ENCLOSURE 2:

Department of the Navy

THE SECRETARY OF THE NAVY
WASHINGTON DC 20350-1000

February 27, 2015

MEMORANDUM FOR UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR PERSONNEL AND READINESS

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2014 Department of Defense Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military

As requested by your memorandum of June 26, 2014, the attached is provided as input from the Department of the Navy (DON) covering Fiscal Year (FY) 2014 for your Annual Report to Congress on Sexual Assault in the Military, as mandated by the National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2011, Section 1631 and Public Laws 111-84 and 109-163.

The DON is deeply committed to achieving a culture of gender respect – where sexual assault is never tolerated and ultimately eliminated; where *all* victims receive effective support and protection; and where offenders are held appropriately accountable. I, the Chief of Naval Operations, and the Commandant of the Marine Corps work collaboratively towards these high-priority goals. The DON remains the only Military Department with a dedicated Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) entity that reports directly to the Secretary. On my behalf, the Department of the Navy Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (DON-SAPRO) maintains visibility and oversight of SAPR activities throughout the Navy and Marine Corps, and it conducts a number of Department-level initiatives. I have included in our inputs a separate executive summary of these uniquely Departmental efforts, which complement and expand upon Service-level programs of the Navy and Marine Corps.

Should you require additional information, my point of contact for this action is Ms. Jill Loftus, Director, DON-SAPRO who may be reached by telephone at (703) 697-2180 or by email at jill.loftus@navy.mil.

Ray Mabus

Attachments:
As stated

Fiscal Year (FY) 2014 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military: Department of the Navy

Fiscal Year (FY) 2014 Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Review Data Call: Department of the Navy

Executive Summary (Department of the Navy)

The Department of the Navy (DON) is deeply committed to achieving a culture of gender respect – where sexual assault is never tolerated and ultimately eliminated; where *all* victims receive effective support and protection; and where offenders are held appropriately accountable. The Secretary of the Navy, the Chief of Naval Operations, and the Commandant of the Marine Corps work collaboratively towards these high-priority goals. The Department of the Navy Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (DON-SAPRO) is an element of the Secretariat that reports directly to the Secretary of the Navy. On behalf of the Secretary, DON-SAPRO maintains visibility and oversight of Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) activities throughout the Navy and Marine Corps, and it conducts a number of Department-level initiatives.

During FY14, the Director and her staff visited 35 Navy and Marine Corps locations world-wide. Agendas at each site typically included private meetings with military senior leaders; presentations by local commanders; individual interviews with diverse stakeholders including senior enlisted leaders, Sexual Assault Response Coordinators, Victim Legal Counsels, criminal investigators, command legal officers, medical personnel, and chaplains; and also focus group conversations with Sailors and Marines. One important and consistent theme arising from focus groups is that Sailors and Marines have more confidence in their command's support, and they feel more comfortable about reporting a sexual assault.

Many of these FY14 site visits also included live-acted, large-audience "InterACT" training programs sponsored by DON-SAPRO. These interactive sessions use professional civilian trainers and audience participation to explore healthy relationships and specific bystander intervention techniques. During just the last three months of FY14, over 10,600 Sailors and Marines attended 58 InterACT programs. This Department-level effort has continued and expanded since then. Feedback has been extremely positive, and we are working to also support requests from other Services for sessions in Joint base environments.

"One Team, One Fight" is a one-hour SAPR training program tailored for civilians, combining video segments and facilitated discussion. It was deployed DON-wide in 2013 and remains in use for new hires. In 2014, DON-SAPRO deployed two other new SAPR training programs. "Make a Difference, Be the Solution" is tailored for pre-commissioned officers, including midshipmen at the Naval Academy and at civilian college Reserve Officers' Training Corps (ROTC) programs, along with candidates in training at Officer Candidate School (OCS). "Empowered to Act" is tailored for prospective commanding officers and is in use at the Naval Leadership and Ethics Center. Both include scenario-based videos and facilitated small group discussion.

Work is already underway for products expected in 2015. These include the following: a SAPR training program tailored for the Navy Senior Enlisted Academy; a separate training program for recruits in training at Navy Recruit Training Command; a video library of short videos from previous training to illustrate specific issues of consent, incapacitation, healthy relationships, and survivor reactions; and "Understanding, Preventing, and Responding to Sexual Assaults: A Fresh Approach to Commander Training" which will use war-game techniques to educate Commanding Officers and Executive Officers. All include on-camera interviews with both male and female survivors.

During FY14, DON-SAPRO updated its "*SAPR Commander's Guide*" for Navy and Marine Corps Commanding Officers. This conveniently-sized, glossy-format booklet summarizes Departmental priorities, background data, and suggestions for managing local sexual assault cases. The original 2012 version was 22 pages long, and over 40,000 copies were distributed to command leadership across the Navy and Marine Corps. The updated 2014 edition is expanded to 50 pages, with new sections written respectively by judge advocates, criminal investigators, chaplains, medical personnel, and the reserve component. The initial print run of 20,000 copies is already being distributed.

In order to better understand the challenges of male sexual assault victims, DON-SAPRO in FY14 began a review of several available sources of data. They estimated the frequency of male sexual assault from inputs to anonymous DON-wide sexual assault surveys conducted in 2011 and 2013; reviewed survey text comments from self-disclosed male victims; categorized cases synopses from the Naval Criminal Investigative Service for male sexual assaults reported since 2009; summarized relevant inputs from over 130 focus groups; and identified trends in sexual assault reporting by male victims since 2008. Their summary report is in the final stages of editing.

Throughout FY14, DON-SAPRO continued its active partnership with Navy leadership to explore new ways to assess trends in the frequency of sexual assault. The success of ongoing student surveys, begun at several key places in 2013, led to their sequential implementation during FY14 at all 19 Navy "A" School (initial post-recruit military vocational training) locations. Surveys at each site utilize a DON-SAPRO survey process that is voluntary, anonymous, web-based, and continuous. Over 9000 Sailors have completed the survey, and participation has recently averaged about 30-40% of all graduates.

In addition, the Department of the Navy now has four years of accumulated experience in sexual assault prevention at Training Support Command (TSC) Great Lakes, Illinois. Initiatives begun in February 2011, as pilot efforts through a partnership of DON-SAPRO, senior Navy leaders, and local stakeholders, have produced a sustained reduction in the number of reported sexual assaults among Sailors in post-recruit vocational training. Over a 48-month period, compared to the same interval prior, reports of any sexual assault (including restricted reports) have decreased by 47%, and

reported penetrating sexual assaults have decreased by 61% (see Figure 1 below).



Figure 1. Reports of Sexual Assault (Including Restricted Reports) at Training Support Command, Great Lakes, by Month of Reported Occurrence

Site visits and focus groups have provided subsequent confirmation of a positive command climate, confidence in SAPR program support, and comfort in reporting sexual assaults. Ongoing electronic sexual assault surveys since 2013 of all departing graduates confirm a consistently low incidence of sexual assault. The visible engagement of leaders, at all levels, in multiple simultaneous efforts, triggered rapid impacts on command climate and the incidence of sexual assault. Transformed activities at Great Lakes are now the norm, and the current staff no longer thinks of them as a “pilot project.” Navy leadership is already applying its lessons Service-wide.

Fiscal Year (FY) 2014 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military: United States Navy

FY14 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military Executive Summary: United States Navy

Sexual assault is a significant threat to the United States Navy, adversely impacting readiness, morale, and retention. Navy takes this threat seriously and is fully committed to sexual assault prevention, victim support, and appropriate offender accountability with due process of law. Navy understands the criticality of creating a culture at all levels intolerant of unacceptable actions and behaviors in order to prevent more serious or criminal behaviors. Efforts are focused on the concept of a continuum of harm of destructive actions and behaviors to include a renewed emphasis on the prevention of hazing, sexual harassment, and alcohol abuse.
 	
Authorizing Regulations
• Secretary of the Navy Instruction (SECNAVINST) 1752.4B, "SAPR," 08AUG2013; directs Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) program establishment within Department of the Navy (DON).
• SECNAVINST 5430.108, "DON SAPR Office," 10JUN2010; outlines mission and functions.
• OPNAVINST 1752.1B, "Sexual Assault Victim Intervention Program," 29DEC2006; establishes policy.
• OPNAVINST 3100.6J, Change Transmittal 2, "Special Incident Reporting Procedures", 04OCT2011; incident reporting procedures.
• Navy Leadership Messages
  • All Navy (ALNAV) 030/14 – Retaliation Against Members of the Armed Forces Reporting a Criminal Offense
  • ALNAV 049/14 – Implementation of Certain Provision of the FY14 NDAA
  • ALNAV 050/14 – Implementation of Section 1705 of the FY14 NDAA Related to Court-Martial Jurisdictional Limits and Minimum Sentences for Certain Sex Offenses
  • ALNAV 051/14 – Implementation of Certain Provisions of the FY14 NDAA Related to Convening Authority Disposition Considerations and Post-Trial Actions
  • ALNAV 052/14 – Implementation of Section 1744 of the FY14 NDAA Related to Elevated Review of Disposition Decisions Regarding Certain Sex-Related Offenses
  • ALNAV 061/14 – Implementation of the Sexual Assault Disposition Report
  • Navy Administrative Message (NAVADMIN) 181/13 – Implementation of Navy SAPR Program Initiatives
  • NAVADMIN 053/14 – Implementation for Deployed Resiliency Counselor (DRC) Program for Fixed Wing Aircraft Carriers and Amphibious Assault Ship Commands
  • NAVADMIN 065/14 – Ombudsman Reporting Requirements for Sexual Assault
  • NAVADMIN 066/14 – Sexual Assault Awareness Month
  • NAVADMIN 087/14 – Establishment of Navy's Victims' Legal Counsel Program
  • NAVADMIN 095/14 – Implementation of the Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP)

- CNICINST 1752.2A, "Monthly SAPR Validation Procedures," 15 Mar 2013 implements changes in CNIC Enterprise SAPR procedures.
- CNICINST 1752.3, Commander, Navy Installations Command "SAPR Installation Drill Procedures" 29 Sep 2013; establishes standardized protocols for SAPR drills.

Organizational Structure and Policy

The structure of Navy SAPR is hierarchical and consistent both afloat and ashore. For purposes of this report, Commander and Commanding Officer (CO) will both be referred to as Commanders. A brief description follows.

- Deputy Chief Naval Operations for Manpower, Personnel, Training and Education serves as the Executive Agent, overseeing policy, program and initiatives.
- Office of Chief of Naval Operations (21st Century Sailor Office) promulgates policy for Sailor total fitness needs, including SAPR.

Key SAPR Stakeholders

- Bureau of Medicine and Surgery (BUMED) provides oversight and policy to Navy Medicine personnel and medical care and support to victims.
- Chaplains provide spiritual counseling.
- Office of the Judge Advocate General (OJAG) provides legal instruction and guidance; judge advocates serve as judges; legal advisors; trial, defense, and victims' legal counsel.
- Naval Education and Training Command (NETC) develops and delivers education and training strategies.
- Navy Chief of Information develops and implements Navy's Communication Plan.
- U.S. Fleet Forces (USFF); Commander, U.S. Naval Forces Europe-Africa; Commander, U.S. Naval Forces Central; and U.S. Pacific Fleet (PACFLT) execute policy and support at the Fleet level.
- Office of the Master Chief Petty Officer of the Navy (MCPON)

Deployed and Joint Environments

Navy's SAPR program afloat is consistent with and complimentary of SAPR programs ashore. Victims are supported by trained and certified SAPR VAs and Installation SARCs ashore. Certified collateral duty Unit SAPR VAs and Deployed Resiliency Counselors (on aircraft carriers and large amphibious ships) deploy with commands and support victims afloat to enhance and compliment the support available ashore.

Other SAPR Personnel Involved

Victims' Legal Counsel (VLC) work with victims through the investigation and military justice processes, advocate for the victim's rights and interests, and help victims obtain access to support resources.

Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Officers (SAPROs) serve as full-time subject matter experts and advisors to Commanders, providing guidance to increase responsibility, awareness, and authority over all aspects of their SAPR Program.

SAPROs are assigned to 25 type, system and regional commands.

Summary of Progress Made and Principal Challenges

There is progress as a result of Navy's efforts. The number of sexual assault reports increased in 2014 from 2013. This rise was expected due to efforts to raise awareness of sexual assault, educate Sailors on the definition of sexual assault, and provide support for those who report sexual assault. The 2014 estimated prevalence results using the comparable 2012 Workplace Gender Relations Assessment (WGRA) survey methodology indicate that 5.1% of women experienced unwanted sexual contact, a decrease from the 7.2% of women in 2012, and 1.1% of men experienced unwanted sexual contact, compared to the 2.7% men in 2012.

The difference between the estimated prevalence and reporting represents the reporting gap, an important measure of victims' trust in the sexual assault response system. Navy's goal is to eliminate sexual assaults; but when sexual assault occurs, Navy wants it reported to enable support to the victim and appropriate accountability for the perpetrator. With the increase in reports and decrease in prevalence, Navy is making progress in closing the reporting gap, indicating a response process that Sailors understand and trust.

Metrics from the Defense Equal Opportunity Management Institute Organizational (DEOMI) Climate Survey (DEOCS) indicate Sailors view their commands' climates positively in regards to intolerance of sexist behaviors, and support for the SAPR program. Navy will continue to aggressively pursue prevention efforts, setting the conditions for a command climate that does not condone, tolerate, or ignore sexual assault, while further expanding and maturing response capability.

While results show trust in command leadership and a decline in prevalence, challenges still remain. Navy recognizes the need to focus more on male victim reporting and will continue to work to remove barriers to reporting and improve male-specific support. Navy will also focus on eliminating professional and social coercion, retaliation, ostracism, maltreatment, or reprisals towards victims of sexual assault.

1. Line of Effort (LOE) 1—Prevention—The objective of prevention is to "deliver consistent and effective prevention methods and programs."

1.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Prevention Endstate: "cultural imperatives of mutual respect and trust, professional values, and team commitment are reinforced to create an environment where sexual assault or sexual harassment is not tolerated, condoned, or ignored."

Sexual assault is a crime that harms Sailors, damages unit cohesion and trust, and stands contrary to Navy Core Values. Navy endeavors to create an environment in which Sailors do not tolerate, condone, or ignore sexual assault or other inappropriate behaviors. Understanding the realities of sexual assault and the conditions under which it occurs is a requirement to advance cultural change. Navy aims to reinforce cultural imperatives of mutual respect, trust, professional values, and team commitment. Navy's prevention strategy is designed to foster a culture and

environment in accordance with Navy Core Values of honor, courage, and commitment. Navy's coordinated prevention efforts include:

- deliberate and engaged leadership,
- innovation,
- education and awareness training,
- presence and intervention, and
- partnerships across Navy organizations.

Setting the right command culture is critical to addressing and preventing all destructive behaviors. Within a continuum of harm framework, Navy seeks to prevent all degrees of harmful behavior. This prevention starts with creating command climates that are intolerant of unprofessional and criminal behavior. Leadership is charged with fostering an environment where sexual assault and the behaviors and actions that may lead to it are unacceptable.

Navy's prevention-based practices focus on institutional, command, and individual actions and accountability. The overarching imperative at all levels of the chain of command is to establish organizational behavior expectations that are clearly communicated and consistently maintained.

Navy implemented several tactical prevention initiatives in FY14:

- Command surveys of facilities identified areas that required better lighting, visibility, and other safety improvements to reduce the vulnerability of Sailors.
- In October 2013, all Navy Fleet Commanders instituted roving barracks patrols, led by senior enlisted personnel or experienced junior officers, to increase the visible presence of leadership in order to deter behavior that may lead to sexual assault or other misconduct.
- Personnel assigned as barracks resident advisors are screened to ensure they are mature, effective leaders, and receive resident advisor training.
- All Sailors residing in barracks attend indoctrination training within 30 days of occupancy, which includes a sexual assault prevention module.

Navy leadership and commanders play a critical role in preventing sexual assault. Leaders drive the command climate and culture and ensure a safe and productive working environment. Leaders set an expectation of dignity, mutual respect, and professionalism among shipmates. The actions and attitude of leaders set the example and define Navy's organizational culture. Leaders contributed to sexual assault prevention efforts through Flag Officer engagement, commander engagement, local leadership involvement, training to support engaged leadership, and leadership outreach to their communities. Across Navy, efforts include:

- Navy Region Marianas (Guam) promoted and instilled a new command philosophy: "Treat People Right, Honesty, and Loyalty and Teamwork." The Region also initiated the 21st Century Sailor Resiliency Pledge, which promotes active bystander intervention in situations involving destructive decisions, including sexual assault. Commanders at all levels have adopted this

philosophy.

- United States Naval Academy (USNA) implemented:
  - Midnight Teachable Moment, which began in January 2014, as a way to bring together new Brigade Leadership. Its purpose is to provide an opportunity to discuss attributes and expectations of a leader, with sexual harassment and sexual assault as central themes. During the experience, Midshipmen leaders from Fall 2013 semester acted out various scenes, each of which was created to provide a framework for discussion on recognizing destructive behavior and employing various bystander intervention tactics.
  - "Lean In" Circles also began in Spring 2014 semester. This initiative creates small group forums where men and women volunteer participants learn to better appreciate what is unique and positive about both genders in an organization and directly supports the positive culture of the USNA.
- Navy Medicine, in alignment with the DoD, developed additional positions to support victim care and management tracking tools to help Commanders develop prevention strategies for their commands which support the deterrence of destructive behaviors, including sexual assault.
- USFF efforts include:
  - A SAPR Campaign Plan to organize activities for operational commands in order to reduce incidents of sexual assault, which focused on fact-based decision making, resulting in more focused measures and commands working together.
  - A Destructive Behavior Model, which allowed the fleet to creatively use Alcohol Awareness, Suicide Prevention, and domestic violence events to reinforce the messaging for sexual assault.
  - Quarterly SAPR Task Force Executive Steering Committee meetings, which include peer Echelon II Commanders, to synchronize SAPR activity.
  - A planning team of SAPROs at the Echelon II and III levels, whose meetings encourage a free exchange of ideas and sharing of best practices.
  - Waterfront Workshops on Destructive Behavior conducted in every Fleet Concentration Area. Workshops include motivational speakers in addition to latest policies and best practices. Past speakers have included former Detroit Lions Quarterback Mr. Eric Hipple, current Outreach Coordinator of the Depression Center of the University of Michigan at Ann Arbor, Mr. Michael Dormitz from the Date Safe Project, and Mr. Bernie McGrenahan from Comedy is the Cure.
- PACFLT efforts include:
  - Logistics Command Western Pacific/Singapore Area Coordinator regularly conducted leadership training, which emphasized mutual respect, trust, and management skills in order to discourage high risk behavior.
  - Patrol and Reconnaissance Wing Two established an "open discussion" environment in regards to both sexual assault and sexual harassment. Having open, frank discussions throughout the command has led to a greater understanding of Navy and command policies.
  - Aboard USS *John C. Stennis*, four mandatory stand-down training evolutions

were conducted to address safety and responsible decision-making. NCIS delivered educational briefings on SAPR, investigatory trends, and the safe use of social media.

- *S.C.R.E.A.M. (*Sailors Challenging Reality and Educating Against Myths) drama presentations continue in Region Japan to educate and inform Sailors of the negative consequences associated with sexual assault. Having been seen by over 2,100 military and civilian participants, this program has been used as a model for other installations in their training.

1.2 Describe your progress in enhancing and integrating SAPR Professional Military Education in accordance with National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) Fiscal Year (FY) 2012 requirements.

Increased education and awareness ensure that Sailors understand what constitutes sexual assault, the avenues for reporting, available support services, and the importance of eliminating sexual assault from the ranks. All Navy Service members are required to receive annual SAPR training, which is delivered via face-to-face facilitation. Topics include ways to prevent sexual assault crimes, the continuum of harm from sexual harassment to sexual assault, actions a victim can take if sexually assaulted, and the difference between Restricted and Unrestricted Reporting options.

SAPR messaging and learning objectives are consistent with the DoD SAPRO and integrated in all levels of training at all accession points, continuing to major commands. All new Navy recruits and officer candidates receive SAPR training within 14 days of initial entrance into Service. Recruit Training Command is working with DON SAPRO to develop a new SAPR training product for enlisted accessions training. Naval Reserve Officer Training Corps (NROTC) Midshipmen and Officer Candidate School (OCS) SAPR training were updated to include the newly developed “Make a Difference, Be the Solution” training for pre-commissioned officers from DON SAPRO, which satisfies FY14 NDAA SAPR core competencies and learning objectives.

In FY14, NETC completed revisions to the Petty Officer Indoctrination Courses (E-5/E-6) and Chief Petty Officer Select Training (E-7) to include SAPR specific training material.

At USNA, the Class of 2017 was the first class to receive a three-session, four-hour Plebe Summer SAPR introduction during Summer 2013. This was continued for the Class of 2018 during Summer 2014. This phased approach included a general introduction on Induction Day, two one-hour SAPR sessions with USNA’s SAPR Office personnel and their Company Officer (both at the small group level), and a two-hour SAPR Leadership Discussion.

The Naval War College is responsible for both officer and enlisted professional military education. In May 2014, the Naval Leadership and Ethics Center (NLEC) was established under the Naval War College, replacing the former Command Leadership School (CLS) course. NLEC serves as Navy’s catalyst and synchronizer for

developing ethical leaders throughout Navy from Seaman Recruit to Captain. NLEC serves to provide curriculum development along with assessment to instill fundamental tenets of ethical leadership; develop and guide leaders with a strong, abiding sense of responsibility, authority, and accountability; and impart commitment of Navy Core Values and Navy Ethos. Prior to the establishment of NLEC, CLS rewrote and implemented new curriculum on SAPR material, which was coordinated with DoD SAPRO in November 2013.

1.3 Describe your progress in implementing core competencies and learning objectives for all SAPR training to ensure consistency throughout the military. If already implemented, describe how you are monitoring and assessing outcomes.

Within Navy, NETC oversees the implementation of core competencies and standardized SAPR learning objectives. NETC is in the process of updating the Navy's annual SAPR General Military Training (GMT)/refresher training, to include all FY14 NDAA SAPR Core Competencies and Learning Objectives for delivery in April 2015. The Center for Personal and Professional Development also completed a SAPR training module for delivery as part of the Command Indoctrination program (pending implementation). Facilitated discussions allow Sailors to fully process and understand the information presented by the trainers. Collaboration between SARCs, SAPR VAs, and command leadership during training enhanced the significance of the messaging and created a positive supportive network at the commands to present a united approach at all levels.

Navy provides a wide range of enhanced training programs based on the standardized SAPR core competencies and audience-focused, interactive, and adult learning methods. Additional efforts included:

- CNIC Headquarters (HQ) SAPR oversaw the following:
  - Updated initial, online SARC 40-hour training and live monthly webinars to increase subject matter expertise in FY14, resulting in increased SARC, DRCs, SAPR Victim Advocates (VAs), and Unit SAPR VAs' (UVAs) ability to provide culturally competent and effective services. CNIC evaluates all continuing education training requests received from regions to ensure they are in compliance with D-SAACP requirements. These efforts ensure SARCs and SAPR VAs are delivering consistent support and training throughout the Fleet, as outlined by DoD SAPRO.
  - Implemented promising practices across regions resulting in a commitment to SAPR team presence during training that was consistent with DoD SAPRO core competencies and learning objectives. Best practices in which core competencies were observed during the following training and education included:
    - Executive Leadership SAPR training,
    - Command Triad SAPR Toolkit briefings,
    - Annual SAPR General Military Training for First Responders, Law

Enforcement (local agencies and NCIS), Legal, Medical, and Resident Advisors,
    - Bystander Intervention Training, and
    - Command SAPR position training (e.g., SAPR Point of Contact, SAPR Data Collection Coordinator, and SAPR Command Liaison).
- The Chief of Chaplains (CHC) developed and delivered a Professional Development Training Course called "Pastoral Response to Military Sexual Assault." Active Duty and Reserve chaplains were trained in this inaugural course to meet DoDI "first responder" and "functional area" training for the Chaplain Corps. The initiative resulted in improving the knowledge, skills, and abilities of chaplains to respond to sexual assault victims, increased awareness of program particulars and pastoral approaches to victim support. The Chaplain Corps increased the capability to effectively respond to sexual assault victims with greater awareness of re-victimization, individual biases, and increased participation across the continuum of harm.
- Navy Medicine initiated the following:
    - Revision of healthcare personnel first responder training and incorporation of bystander intervention training into command indoctrination.
    - Revision of training vignettes focused on the continuum of harm in order to help staff members identify and stop behaviors that may lead to sexual assault.
    - Standardized Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) execution throughout the Navy. Through monthly meetings, Navy Medicine SAFE Program Manager shares best practices, lessons learned, and communicates upcoming requirements. Data is collected quarterly on SAFE supplies and SAFE Examinations throughout the DoD.

1.4 Describe your progress in ensuring commanders receive training on sexual assault prevention and response during pre-command courses.

Navy continues to evaluate the tools provided to commanders to ensure they can execute their charge of command. In particular, Navy focuses on improving the development of leadership and character in leaders selected for command. All Navy leaders complete tailored SAPR training.

Because of the inherent responsibility of commanders, the screening process for selection is rigorous. Commanders must meet strict professional and performance qualification standards.

Prior to assuming command positions within Navy, Commanding Officers (COs), Executive Officers (XOs), and Senior Enlisted Leaders (SELs)/Chiefs of the Boat, referred to as the Command Triad, attend mandatory leadership courses. Navy's CLS SAPR curriculum was developed by Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) SAPRO and the Services to reflect common core competencies, learning objectives, and leadership behaviors. Short videos and facilitated discussions, tailored to the

command leadership perspective, are used to highlight command climate, continuum of harm, and bystander intervention. Senior leaders participate as guest presenters in each course.

This year, the Naval Justice School (NJS) provided the following SAPR-related courses to senior leaders:

- The Senior Officer Course, required for all O-6s en route to command and open to other officers O-4 and above, covers subjects in military justice and civil law important to these leaders in the administration of legal matters. There are over 35 sessions of the Senior Officer Course, resulting in over 900 senior officers trained. The training includes both SAPR and Sexual Assault Initial Disposition Authorities (SA-IDAs) topics.
- The Senior Enlisted Leadership (SEL) Course, which provides legal instruction for senior enlisted leaders, covered subjects in military justice and civil law important to the SEL's role in the administration of the command. The SEL course is offered in Norfolk and San Diego. NJS provided 11 offerings of the course, reaching 234 students. The training includes SAPR and SA-IDA topics.

The Senior Enlisted Academy (SEA) provided SAPR-related instruction to senior enlisted leaders by the installation's SAPRO. NJS also provided separate legal instruction to the SEA on various military justice topics which have relevance in SAPR cases.

The Sexual Assault Case Management Group (SACMG) serves as a central communication point for all stakeholders who are involved with a victim including SARCs, DRCs, SAPR VAs, UVAs, Military Criminal Investigative Organizations (MCIOs), mental health professionals, the victim's Commander, chaplains, and VLC.

In FY14, CNIC HQ SAPR developed a SACMG module for the Senior Shore Leadership Course for all prospective installation Commanders and Executive Officers. The course module discusses policy requirements of the SACMG as well as the responsibility SACMG members have in ensuring system coordination, accountability, and victim access to quality services.

The course provides individuals who will serve in the SACMG Chair role with the tools and knowledge to execute the policy requirements and focuses on ensuring victims receive appropriate and responsive care through the SAPR Program. A mock SACMG meeting is presented to participants to ensure understanding and compliance with the case management process in response to an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault.

Additional information is provided to active and reserve component command triads as follows:

- Installation SARCs provide a Command Toolkit brief within 30 days of the new CO/XO/CMC assignment to a command to discuss pertinent SAPR issues as required by Navy policy. Completion of this requirement is tracked by the CO's immediate superior in command (ISIC).

- Company officers, battalion officers, and senior enlisted leaders at USNA attend pre-command training module, based on the pre-command SAPR training at the Naval Leadership and Ethics Center, formerly known as the CLS. The three-hour training provides an overview of USNA SAPR and Command Managed Equal Opportunity (CMEO); discusses relevant challenges and best practices in the brigade; and includes a break-out discussion of specific questions aimed to stimulate discussion about this issue as it applies to their leadership roles.

1.5 Describe your progress in incorporating specific SAPR monitoring, measures, and education into readiness and safety forums (e.g., quarterly training guidance, unit status reports, safety briefings).

Since 2012, unit commanders delivered personal reports to the first Flag Officer in their chain of command within 30 days of the initial notification of a report of sexual assault to alert senior leadership to any common factors and trends, as well as to provide insight into any gaps or seams in Navy SAPR policy or program initiatives. These reports provide information about care and support provided to the victim, initiatives the command will take (or has taken) to prevent future occurrences, and the impact on the command's ability to carry out its mission. The personal report to the first Flag Officer is in addition to the Sexual Assault Incident Response Oversight (SAIRO) report required within 8 days of an unrestricted report of a sexual assault.

Returning Strike Group Commanders routinely brief the chain of command about destructive behaviors, including sexual assaults that occurred during the deployment.

The Fleet Commanders participate in quarterly SAPR-dedicated video teleconferences with the Chief of Naval Operations (CNO) to discuss trends and recommend future initiatives. Several key initiatives were directed and fast-tracked as a result of the CNO SAPR meetings, including enhanced investigative capability using Navy uniformed investigators, bystander intervention skills training for all Sailors, and simplification of victim support duties within each unit.

Personal Readiness Summits, co-sponsored by the 21st Century Sailor Office and PACFLT, include SAPR briefings to leadership, program managers, and deck-plate supervisors. These summits provide an opportunity for personal interaction between policy makers and implementers to better ensure an effective SAPR program in the Fleet.

Monthly SACMGs, chaired by commanders, also provide a forum to monitor how victim care and investigation of cases are being handled. Navy leaders evaluate the effectiveness of SARC response to sexual assaults, coordinate programming efforts, and ensure that victim services are streamlined and address victim needs on an ongoing basis.

1.6 Describe your progress in exploring expansion of SAPR training to include Recruit Sustainment Programs, Student Flight Programs, and for National Guard prior to arrival at Basic Training.

Navy Recruiting Command (NRC) continued the SAPR Delayed Entry Program (DEP), a mandatory pre-accession training to civilians pending enrollment in a Navy accession program. The SAPR-DEP defines Navy policy standards related to sexual harassment, sexual assault, professional expectations, and potential disciplinary actions for future Sailors. All applicants for accession complete SAPR-DEP training prior to commencement of active duty and it is documented in their service record.

1.7 Describe your efforts to establish and implement policies that prevent individuals convicted of a Federal or State offense of rape, sexual abuse, sexual assault, incest, or other sexual offenses, from being provided a waiver for commissioning or enlistment in the Armed Forces.

Navy policy prohibits commissioning or enlistment waivers for individuals convicted of a federal or state offense of rape, sexual abuse, sexual assault, incest, or other sexual offenses. Application packages of officer candidates for entry into USNA, NROTC, and Officer Training Command are closely reviewed to ensure nominees with such convictions are not permitted into the respective programs.

NRC is responsible for Navy recruiting in both active and Reserve components. Sailors are required to be eligible for a secret clearance. During the recruiting process, prospective member offenses are identified through a background check. Prospective members are not eligible for a waiver for prior sexual assault.

Pursuant to Commander, Navy Recruiting Command Instruction 1130.8J, Volume II, Chapter 5, Article 010104, application for enlistment or affiliation shall be rejected (no waivers authorized) from any individual who is required by any state or federal court, statute, or administrative regulation, to register as a sex offender or has been convicted one of several different enumerated offenses of sexual assault, child pornography, and solicitation or attempts to commit such crimes. This policy has been in effect since 2003.

1.8 Describe your progress in establishing a transition policy that ensures Service member sponsorship, unit integration, and immediate assignment into a chain of command. If already established, describe findings and recommendations.

Sponsorship is considered a crucial step when integrating a Sailor into a new command. Sponsors ensure basic needs are met while the Sailor adjusts to the new organization. A sponsor helps newly assigned personnel integrate into new cultures and environments, and minimizes the risks of becoming a victim of any crime. Some of the more effective programs within Navy include:

- Face-to-face check-in with SAPR representatives to ensure effective sponsorship, unit integration, and immediate assignment into a chain of command;
- Welcome aboard briefs, which cover sexual assault reporting options and

information on points of contact both internal and external to the command; and
- Pre-arrival communication, adequate support upon arrival, and relocation assistance based on the Sailor's needs.

Commands across Navy are required to conduct sponsorship and indoctrination programs to support newly reported service members upon assignment based on guidance in OPNAVINST 1740.3C. Each of Navy's accession venues have customized SAPR training embedded in the indoctrination curriculum.

Navy's 21st Century Sailor Office is working closely with NETC and other commands to expand a Reverse Sponsorship initiative. Initiated by Navy Service Training Command, Reverse Sponsorship is an effort by the "losing" command to be more proactive in making the transition for Sailors. This initiative will be further investigated and assessed as a best practice for possible inclusion in the Command Sponsorship Instruction, which is currently under revision.

1.9 Describe your progress in ensuring commanders conduct an organizational climate assessment within 120 days of assuming command and annually thereafter. Include policy for providing results to the next level in the chain of command.

The DEOCS is a confidential, command-requested development survey focused on issues of equal opportunity and organizational effectiveness. OPNAVINST 5354.1F reinforces the 120-day requirement to commanders. NAVADMIN 336/13 provides a more stringent requirement for Navy Commanders to conduct a DEOCS within 90 days of assuming positions of command and annually thereafter to assess command climate, effectiveness of its SAPR policies, and perceptions of Sailors within a unit. The unit commander briefs the ISIC on the results of the survey, along with a plan of action to address any opportunities for improvement. The unit commander is also required to brief results and future actions to members of the unit.

Equal Opportunity Advisors are Echelon II Command Climate Specialists who work with subordinate specialists throughout the fleet to monitor compliance with the DEOCS command climate assessment. Equal Opportunity Advisors provide Enhanced Commander
Accountability Reports to the commander. Under OPNAVINST 5354.1F (Navy Equal Opportunity Policy), advisors track and report command climate assessment compliance on a quarterly basis using the DEOMI Data Retrieval System. This requirement is monitored through quarterly reports provided to OPNAV from the Echelon II commands.

1.10 Describe your progress in establishing a clear policy to reduce the impact of high-risk behaviors and personal vulnerabilities to sexual assaults and other crimes against persons (e.g., alcohol consumption, barracks visitation, transition policy). Include efforts to collaborate with law enforcement, alcohol and substance abuse officers, and etc.

In FY14, Navy strategy increased focus on the concept of a continuum of harm of destructive actions and behaviors to include a renewed emphasis on the prevention of hazing, sexual harassment, and alcohol abuse. The 21st Century Sailor Office provides coordinated efforts in Sailor resiliency and readiness programs and integrated efforts to counter destructive behaviors.

Towards the "left" end of this continuum are a range of destructive attitudes and behaviors, such as demeaning or discriminatory comments, stereotyping, and unequal treatment. More egregious actions such as groping, forced sexual activity, and rape are to the "right" of the continuum. A command environment that allows inappropriate behaviors to exist may increase the likelihood for incidents of sexual harassment. Further, environments that permit sexual harassment behaviors to exist may increase the likelihood for incidents of sexual assault.

Each Fleet Commander has a designated Flag Officer, reporting directly to the Fleet Commander, as the SAPR program leader for each Navy installation/fleet concentration area and associated local commands. This designated Flag Officer has regular conversations with appropriate installation/local command representatives, local community, and civic leaders to review SAPR program efforts. Flag Officers regularly inform Fleet Commanders of prevention and response trends and opportunities for improvement within their area of responsibility. The Flag Officer also ensures that community outreach and engagement are part of each area's prevention and response measures. Commanders and all Flag Officers work with stakeholders to discuss command climate and SAPR readiness issues.

Navy took multiple actions to revise alcohol policies to address risk factors beyond the individual use of alcohol. These include improvements in training alcohol providers, emphasizing responsible sales practices, and engaging local community leadership and organizations to expand prevention efforts off base. Navy continues to monitor the impacts on the prevention of sexual assault and other destructive behaviors of CNO-directed alcohol sales policies which directed the following actions:

- Distilled spirits can only be sold at main exchanges or at dedicated package (liquor) stores.
- Alcohol displays and merchandise in stores other than package stores are no more than 10% of the total retail floor space and not displayed in the front of stores.
- Alcohol can only be sold between the hours of 0600 and 2200.

Additionally, the Navy Exchange reduced discounts for alcohol sales and made single-use alcohol detection devices available for sale. Navy Morale, Welfare, and Recreation (MWR) venues also reduced the hours for the sale of alcoholic beverages, increased responsible alcohol service training for staff members who serve alcohol, and migrated to industry-recognized training such as SERVSAFE and Controlling Alcohol Risks Effectively (CARE) curriculum. During FY14, CNIC HQ SAPR collaborated with MWR Food and Beverage and the American Hotel and Lodging

Educational Institute to tailor the CARE curriculum to include Navy SAPR Program and sexual assault prevention information and bystander intervention.

The CARE curriculum is required Navy-wide for all personnel who serve alcohol in MWR facilities, including servers and bartenders, who are in a unique position to observe and intervene with problematic behaviors. This will standardize a promising practice that has been implemented in several regions. Routine coordination meetings were established across Navy with installation and local community and civic leaders to increase alcohol awareness and abuse prevention measures.

Many commands are working with local civilian establishments to reduce underage drinking and ensure that those of legal age are served a responsible amount of alcohol. SARCs give training on responsible drinking principles to alcohol servers on base at MWR establishments and off base to some civilian bartenders in San Diego and Great Lakes. SARCs also train resident advisors on how to access resources for those in need of the SAPR program, support residents who have been affected by sexual assault, and implement the policies set forth by their commands that reinforce a safe environment. Command efforts also include establishing the addition of lighting around installation buildings and installation-wide roving patrols.

In some locations, SARCs train bartenders

Commands also report a continuum of education to promote responsible use of alcohol, good decision-making on liberty, and sexual assault prevention. The education continuum commences upon check-in where command policies on responsible use of alcohol, equal opportunity, sexual harassment, hazing, and safety are discussed. Before weekends and holidays, Chiefs and Division Officers typically speak to Sailors about the prevention of sexual assault and other inappropriate behaviors.

Some specific activities to reduce the impact of high risk behaviors include:

- “Sigonella Safe” and “Rota 25” programs in Europe/Africa/Southwest Asia - one-day programs for Service members aged 25 and younger and include topics such as consequences of unsafe behaviors, risk reduction, social media, and bystander intervention.
- A step-by-step table top exercise scenario-based training in the Mid-Atlantic Region challenges command leadership to develop solutions following a report of a sexual assault, encouraging participants to also examine potential intervention strategies, and identify warning signs of a possible sexual assault.
- A risk-reduction analytic tool developed by a forward-deployed PACFLT allows commands to better forecast high-risk, inappropriate behaviors based on the ship’s schedule. The tool helps commands analyze their unique schedules and demographics to discern high-risk situations, allowing them to focus their prevention efforts.
- Twenty performance-based, interactive training sessions in the Southwest Region by the DateSafe Project Inc., University of California Long Beach-

InterACT, and National Conflict Resolution Center agencies to facilitate fleet and shore audience discussion on healthy sexual boundaries, the impact of sexual assault on personal readiness, and consent. Audience members actively participated by working through real life scenarios to work out the kinks of communication and consent.

1.11 Describe your progress in implementing the 2014 Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy.

Navy successfully implemented the five key objectives outlined in the 2014 DoD Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy across the fleet in FY14 as follows:

1. *Inform public policy and legislation (Society):* The public had unprecedented levels of awareness of Navy SAPR policy and legislation due to increased media attention on military sexual assault in FY14. Navy SAPRO, the Office of Legislative Affairs (OLA), Chief of Information Office (CHINFO), and members of OJAG routinely interact with Congress, the media, and the public on SAPR programs and efforts. The DoD SAPR Progress Report to the President of the United States also increased the information available to the public and society regarding Navy's SAPR program.
2. *Institutionalize prevention practices and programs across the Service*: Navy leadership created avenues to ensure a cohesive workflow across organizations. The CNO SAPR cross-functional team is a multidisciplinary forum creating synergy and focused effort among Navy stakeholders. Major stakeholders represent disciplines such as policy and resourcing, investigations, legal, medical support, victim advocacy, and fleet organizations. Stakeholders collaborate on prevention initiatives, response and support, training, and policy and legislation.
   - A USFF Task Force combined leadership from Navy fleet and shore infrastructures to undertake initiatives across the five SAPR LOEs. This ensures synergy across Navy, shares knowledge, and coordinates across the Navy enterprise for a comprehensive solution.
   - PACFLT regions with major Fleet and Force level Flag Officers in the same geographic area have a periodic SAPR council meeting led by the region commander. These meetings assist in aligning program goals, sharing best practices, and identifying leading indicators that may require leadership awareness and action.
3. *Set and enforce standards for appropriate conduct and integrating prevention into command practices (Leaders at all levels):* Strong leaders at the forefront demonstrate Navy's prevention efforts. Navy mandates that commands conduct the DEOCS within 90 days of a change of command, and annually thereafter, to assess command climate and perceptions of SAPR-related issues. Sailors receive specialized training to increase SAPR education and awareness, and support their peers to ensure they stay safe.
4. *Mentor, develop skills, and educate Sailors to promote healthy relationships:* Naval Station Great Lakes is home to Navy's Recruit Training Command, where all enlistees attend basic training. Navy begins teaching new Sailors from day

one about principles of respect and the Navy Core Values of honor, courage, and commitment. These principles are emphasized and enhanced at Training Support Command while Sailors learn their technical skills. Training Support Command Great Lakes teaches important new SAPR training programs, aggressive anti-alcohol efforts, visible leadership engagement in both Sailor discipline and mentoring, and active coordination across organizational lines by regional senior leaders. These efforts are continued in the fleet through support from Fleet and Family Support Centers (FFSC) and Coalition of Sailors Against Destructive Decisions (CSADD).

5. *Intervene against inappropriate/unacceptable behaviors (Relationships/Individuals):* Sailors receive different forms of bystander intervention training to help them recognize how to safely intervene in situations if safe to do so. Bystander intervention is a major tenet of Navy prevention strategy. Bystander intervention positively impacts command climate so that Sailors feel safe among each other, empowering them to intervene on another Sailor's behalf, if necessary. Navy emphatically encourages bystander intervention in SAPR-related training at all levels. Sailors receive different forms of bystander intervention training to help them recognize situations which require action and understand how to safely intervene. One training scenario uses Sailors and actors to role play real life situations where intervention is necessary. The team training event creates unit cohesion, which carries over to Sailors working together to protect and help each other. Additionally, a PACFLT social media campaign in 2014 developed the hashtag #StepUpStepIn, to promote bystander intervention related to sexual assault. The #StepUpStepIn hashtag appeared on social media more than 100 times since March 2014, resulting in more than 1,000 likes, shares, and retweets.

Navy DEOCS results indicate the effectiveness of bystander intervention training. Monthly trends from 2014 show that more than 80% of Sailors do intervene. High-risk situations are defined as situations that were, or could have led to, a sexual assault.

Results also reveal an intervening action gap between ranks. Junior Sailors are more likely to observe a high-risk situation, yet are slightly less likely to act. Navy's new Bystander Intervention to the Fleet training for 2015 is designed to better empower junior Sailors to feel more confident in intervening.

1.12 Describe your efforts to increase collaboration with civilian organizations to improve interoperability.

- Navy VLC routinely communicate and engage with local civilian victim-support providers to leverage their experience and guidance in working victim issues. This includes attendance at civilian subject-matter expert training events and cooperation with the American Bar Association and the National Crime Victim Law Institute. Additionally, VLC routinely communicate with local civilian prosecutors and law enforcement to assist military victims participating in local or state investigations or prosecution of sexual assault offenses outside the

military.

- Memorandums of Understanding/Agreements were established between military and civilian medical treatment facilities (MTFs) to provide medical and forensic support services to victims. This support is offered in conjunction with service hours and non-service hours of the MTFs and is further explained in 2.12.
- Annually, NJS contracts with AEquitas, the Presidentially-recognized national resource for prosecuting crimes against women, to provide specialized training to Navy prosecutors on prosecuting alcohol-facilitated sexual assaults.
- In Region Mid-Atlantic, Partners in Reducing Sexual Assault collaboration brings together key players from military installations and the local community to combine knowledge, resources, and networks. This collaboration supports the sharing of best practices and supports annual and educational events.
- Installations in the Southeast Region conducted SAPR training with local public schools, universities, law enforcement personnel, hospitals, and rape crisis centers across the region, including a training collaboration with the Texas Association Against Sexual Assault.
- Region Southwest SARCs and SAPR VAs served as members of community Sexual Assault Response Teams (SARTs), multi-disciplinary committees that meet the diverse needs of all members of the community by increasing awareness and sensitivity through knowledge-based practice, training, and non-judgmental interaction with victims and other professionals in the field.
- Key SAPR Program personnel in Region Marianas conducted a two-day training presentation to civilian victim advocates from local government and non-governmental organizations designed to educate the civilian advocates on the ins-and-outs of military victim assistance and justice processes.
- The USNA SAPR Program Manager collaborated with civilian school counterparts on alternative prevention programs which were well-received by students. One of these successful programs, “Speak About It,” was performed at USNA in September 2014. The USNA SAPR Officer also attended a Maryland Independent Colleges and University Association forum on compliance with federal laws and participated in the Dartmouth Summit on Sexual Assault as a way to work more closely with civilian institutions. A relationship has continued in the form of a working group.

1.13 Describe your future plans for delivering consistent and effective prevention methods and programs, including how these efforts will help your Service plan, resource and make progress in your SAPR program.

Navy has two new training projects in development for delivery in FY15 and FY16. The first is Bystander Intervention to the Fleet training, a peer-delivered skills training to enable Sailors to identify situations that require intervention, and have the skills necessary to actively and safely intervene. The second training, Living Our Core Values: Chart the Course, will combine video vignettes and small group-facilitated discussions to address continuum of harm behaviors including sexism, sexual harassment, sexual assault, and retaliation.

DRCs are civilian licensed mental health counselors who work cooperatively with military and civilian medical, social service, law enforcement, chaplains and legal personnel on behalf of sexual assault victims to provide Sailors with critical support services during deployments. DRCs provide clinical, educational, and support services across a spectrum of care. DRCs are also required to complete SARC and SAPR VA training and maintain D-SAACP certification to perform SAPR duties as needed.

NETC is in the process of replacing the Navy Military Training curriculum with a new product, “LifeSkills,” in FY16. This new course will include both Bystander Intervention post-recruit training, delivered face-to-face, as well as a refresher on basic information about sexual assault prevention and response. Unlike GMT, LifeSkills will be directed toward the Post-Recruit/Pre-Technical Training audience and environment.

The Naval Reserve Force is creating a Command Resiliency Master Mobile Team that will train subordinate commands to effectively create their own Command Resiliency Team. These resiliency teams will stand up focus groups to solicit feedback on the command health of subordinate units and personnel.

Navy Medicine sponsored a training session in February of 2015 for all SAPR and CMEO groups to ensure a standardized, consistent message. The teams will come together to develop a prevention strategy for Navy Medicine. Navy Medicine has also sponsored a team supported by social workers, psychologists, investigators, and other Echelon II commands to develop a resiliency strategy that focuses on changing destructive behavior.

The CHC, in collaboration with DON SAPRO, is moving forward to develop two prevention initiatives. The first, “Sexual Assault Prevention Workshop,” would supplement a command’s existing sexual assault prevention efforts and is based on the U.S. Coast Guard WorkLife program. This workshop would be provided through the Chaplain Religious Enrichment Development Operation. The second initiative is intended to assist those struggling with negative thoughts or actions regarding sexual assault, and leverages the confidentiality offered by chaplains. A messaging campaign would be directed to these individuals ensuring them that they could receive help from chaplains to resist or correct violent behaviors while keeping their counseling sessions confidential. Application of this initiative would be CHC-wide.

The NCIS Advanced Family and Sexual Violence Training Program (AFSVTP) training course meets the advanced training standard specified in DoDIG Directive-Type Memorandum (DTM) 14-0002 pertaining to the investigation of child crimes and domestic/intimate partner violence. To date, 113 Special Agents and Investigators have satisfied this training requirement. This two-week course will be offered twice in FY 2015 (48 seats).

2. LOE 2—Investigation—The objective of investigation is to "achieve high competence in the investigation of sexual assault."

2.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Investigation Endstate: "investigative resources yield timely and accurate results."

If a sexual assault incident involving a Sailor occurs, Navy responds with thorough investigations, actions to support the victims, and fair and transparent processes to hold offenders appropriately accountable. The DoD requires that MCIOs investigate all reports of sexual assault, to include contact offenses. Therefore, all Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault within Navy are referred to NCIS (or another Service MCIO in certain locations), regardless of severity, with the goal of yielding timely and thorough investigations. Commands are specifically directed not to conduct internal investigations for reports of sexual assault and must immediately notify NCIS upon receipt of a report.

The investigation phase includes gathering evidence and facts about the sexual assault allegation through NCIS investigations within Navy's jurisdiction. Modified training to improve investigative skills and an increase in the number of special agents resulted in more timely and thorough investigations. NCIS collaborates early in the investigative phase with trial counsel and/or staff judge advocates to ensure early and ongoing collaboration at the Senior Trial Counsel (STC) and supervisory special agent level.

Since the Navy's focused strategy began in 2009, and the amendments of Article 120 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ) in June 2012, and the DoD direction in January 2013 that NCIS investigate all contact offenses, the number of reported sexual assaults NCIS investigated increased significantly. The 2014 sexual assault statistics showed a 55% increase in NCIS sexual assault investigations since 2012.

NCIS investigation timelines are calculated from initial notification until the date all investigative leads are completed and the case is forwarded to the command for administrative or judicial action. The average timeline for FY13 NCIS investigations in offices with Adult Sexual Assault Program (ASAP) teams was 110 days, which is a 24% decrease from 144 days in FY12. However, FY14 shows a spike in investigation timelines to 127 days. This increase can likely be attributed to the increase in the number of reported sexual assaults and changes in the requirement for MCIOs to investigate all allegations of sexual assault to include contact offenses.

Due to the increase in sexual assault reporting, NCIS received 54 billets in July 2013. These billets included 41 special agents and 13 support staff personnel. The special agents completed six months of training at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center (FLETC) and are currently assigned to field offices participating in the Field Training Evaluation Program. During the field training, new special agents investigate crimes such as larcenies, burglaries, and drug offenses to gain experience and further develop their investigative skills. While these special agents do not work sexual assaults cases, their presence allows for the more experienced agents to solely focus

on investigating sexual assault cases.

In an effort to assist NCIS in investigating the increased number of reported sexual assaults, NCIS partnered with Navy to activate 21 Reserve Component Masters-at-Arms (MAs) for a one-year period. Comprised of mostly state and local police officers and detectives, these Reservists already possess the investigative expertise needed to investigate sexual assault allegations. Upon activation, the Reservists attended five-week instruction at FLETC on NCIS policy, advanced interviewing and interrogation techniques, crime scene processing and management, and advanced sexual assault training. MAs, under the direct supervision of NCIS special agents, currently work on caseloads at their assigned duty stations.

NCIS will begin a three-year pilot program in early FY15 to professionalize and enhance the investigative capabilities of active duty MA personnel. Upon completion of the five-week course attended by the Reservists and an eight-week Military Police Investigator's course, 12 selected active duty MAs will be assigned to NCIS field offices as investigators under the supervision of NCIS special agents.

NCIS policy directs supervisors to conduct a case review every 30 days on open investigations to ensure timeliness, thoroughness, and quality. Additionally, NCIS investigations are subject to further supervisory reviews during field office visits by senior management, quality assistance visits by executive assistant directors, field office inspections by the NCIS Inspector General (IG), and NCIS HQ program reviews.

An NCIS investigation is not forwarded to the command for administrative or judicial action until all investigative leads have been completed. Pursuant to DoDI 5505.18, when an MCIO is the lead investigative agency, it may not close an adult sexual assault investigation without the written disposition data documented in the final investigative report or database. Once the case has been adjudicated within Navy, the investigation is closed only after the convening authority (the individual responsible for adjudicating the case) provides a Sexual Assault Disposition Report (SADR).

NCIS continues outreach initiatives, such as briefings, crime reduction campaigns, and a Text-Tip hotline to increase awareness and encourage timely reporting.

- The NCIS Crime Reduction Program continues to publicly address criminal activity that impacts the military community, including the occurrence of sexual assault. In conducting outreach within the DON, the Crime Reduction Program uses meetings, speeches, and briefs to raise sexual assault awareness, increase victim and Service member confidence, and promote bystander intervention.
- NCIS has a Text & Web Tip Line program as part of the "See Something, Say Something" campaign. This program is an anonymous tip collection system that has proven invaluable in collecting actionable intelligence in support of the criminal investigative mission. This encrypted system equipped NCIS with the ability to provide direct feedback and real-time connectivity with the tipster across multiple platforms. The reporting party may remain anonymous or refrain

from participating in an investigation.

USFF conducted two case studies to ensure investigative resources yield timely and accurate results.

- The Case Processing Efficiency Study on sexual assault cases in USFF area of responsibility looked into various aspects of case processing from first report to punishment awarded. The study outcomes helped establish better synergy between NCIS, fleet legal teams, shore legal teams, and afloat courts-martial convening authorities without creating conditions of unlawful command influence. Patterns were analyzed to ensure better victim advocacy for victims participating in the legal process. Resource shortfalls for NCIS agents were addressed with additional assets to assist with backlogs.
- The Sexual Assault Case Patterns Study focused on non-military offenders, civilian case processing, military cases where command action was precluded, and cases open longer than 270 days to understand root causes and allow for process improvement. The study identified trends requiring improvement and correction, and improving communication flow between military and civilian jurisdictions.

2.2 Describe your progress in implementing Special Victim Capability for MCIO's.

DoD Inspector General (DoDIG) DTM 14-002, "The Establishment of Special Victim Capability (SVC) Within the Military Criminal Investigative Organizations," (now commonly referred to as Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution (SVIP) capability), required MCIOs to develop "a distinct, recognizable group of appropriately trained investigators to investigate allegations of all designated SVC/SVIP-covered offenses." SVC/SVIP-covered offenses include allegations of adult sexual assault, domestic violence involving sexual assault and/or aggravated assault with grievous bodily harm, and child abuse involving sexual assault and/or grievous bodily harm. NCIS currently employs 1,050 special agents and investigators, including 161 dedicated solely to investigating SVC/SVIP crimes.

NCIS created ASAP to provide a distinct and recognizable group of personnel to investigate sexual assault related offenses. This initiative is an operational shift whereby dedicated teams of NCIS personnel investigate reports of sexual assault. Upon receiving a report, ASAP personnel employ a surge team response to complete investigative activity in a timely manner, resulting in the faster delivery of an investigative package to the convening authority. Members of the team collaborate with trial counsel and victim advocate personnel, in accordance with congressionally mandated SVC/SVIP criteria. ASAP teams are located in the largest fleet concentration areas where the volume of sexual assault reports is the greatest. NCIS established ASAP teams in seven locations: Camp Lejeune, NC; Norfolk, VA; Okinawa, Japan; Camp Pendleton, CA; Yokosuka, Japan; San Diego, CA; and Bremerton, WA. Most importantly, ASAP teams increased investigation performance while sustaining the quality and thoroughness of investigations.

2.3 Describe your progress in implementing Special Victim Capability case assessment protocol for open and closed sexual assault, child abuse, and serious domestic violence cases.

The second prong of NCIS' response to the DoD SVC/SVIP initiative is the development of a cadre of investigators skilled in conducting serious domestic violence and child abuse investigations. The NCIS version of the Special Victim Unit Investigations Course (SVUIC) is titled the Advanced Adult Sexual Violence Training Program (AASVTP). The AASVTP course curriculum satisfies the requirements outlined in the DoD Instructions. Within the AASVTP, students receive advanced training on victim and offender dynamics as well as interview techniques designed for trauma survivors. Students conduct case reviews and "table top" exercises facilitated by nationally recognized experts and military senior trial counsel. Students must complete a comprehensive examination at the conclusion of the course.

NCIS has a similar course designed for family-related offenses to include domestic violence and child abuse offenses called the Advanced Family Sexual Violence Training Program (AFSVTP). AFSVTP is a two-week advanced course focused on domestic violence and child abuse collaboratively created by NCIS and U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Command (CID). Experts from within and without the DoD instruct students on victim and offender dynamics, domestic violence and child abuse injuries, risk assessments, stalking, and other relevant topics. In addition to the AFSVTP, these investigators attend the Trial Component Training Program (TCTP).

2.4 Describe your progress in enhancing training for investigators of sexual violence. Include efforts to establish common criteria, core competencies, and measures of effectiveness, and to leverage training resources and expertise.

To meet SVC/SVIP requirements, special agents, investigators, and prosecutors must attend advanced training in adult sexual assault, child physical and sexual abuse, and domestic violence. Requirements for the training are outlined in DoDI 5505.18, "Investigation of Adult Sexual Assault in the Department of Defense," and DoDIG DTM 14-002. NCIS AASVTP and AFSVTP meet these requirements.

NCIS developed a one-day TCTP for ASAP members. TCTP is a joint learning environment wherein investigators and prosecutors discuss topics such as trial preparation, courtroom testimony, and investigative tips designed to enhance the successful prosecution of a case at trial. TCTP is held locally and delivered by the regional STC. Through the joint training environment, investigators and prosecutors enhance their working relationship while working toward a more refined investigation and trial.

NCIS' goal is to provide advanced training to all personnel who could potentially respond to and/or investigate adult sexual assault. This advanced training focuses on the effects of trauma on the memory of victims who have been sexually assaulted. Additionally, special agents and investigators training includes:

- Understanding and respecting a victim's immediate priorities;
- Ensuring a victim's criminal complaints will be taken seriously and fully investigated;
- Establishing transparency and trust with the victim;
- Helping to restore the victim's sense of control;
- Explaining the investigative process to the victim; and
- Understanding interview techniques that can assist the victim's recollection.

2.5 Describe your progress in developing joint doctrine for investigations to incorporate Service interoperability and command independence consistent with authorities of MCIOs in the operational/institutional environment.

In investigations that cross Services, the lead investigative MCIO is determined by the Service affiliation of the subject or the Service affiliation of the victim if a subject has not been identified. In situations where investigative resources are limited, NCIS works jointly with the U.S. Air Force Office of Special Investigations (OSI) and U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Division (CID) to ensure investigations are thorough and timely.

2.6 Describe your progress in sustaining the Defense Enterprise Working Group of Military Criminal Investigation Organizations and Defense Criminal Investigative Service to assess and validate joint investigative technology, best practices, and resource efficiencies benched against external law enforcement agencies.

Since the three MCIOs (NCIS, OSI, and CID) have the same DoD-mandated training requirements, NCIS partnered with CID and OSI to establish sexual assault working groups. Through collaboration, the working groups identified joint training opportunities that have resulted in sharing resources such as subject matter experts.

2.7 Describe your progress in assessing and coordinating with the United States Army Criminal Investigation Laboratory to improve investigative support and facilitate evidence processing.

In June 2014, NCIS established a forensic consultant position at U.S. Army Criminal Investigative Laboratory (USACIL), located within the Defense Forensic Science Center. The forensic consultant is assigned to the Forensic Analysis Division and prioritizes case submissions on behalf of NCIS. The forensic consultant works with the laboratory staff to conduct a comprehensive assessment upon receipt of evidence. The forensic consultant also inventories and inspects the evidence and then builds an examination strategy to ensure the most appropriate testing is conducted. This approach has helped eliminate backlogs and reduced turnaround time to less than 30 days from submission to completion of evidence analysis.

In conjunction with NCIS, OJAG, and CNIC, Navy Medicine continues to look for ways to improve its SAFE collection procedures. SAFE providers throughout DON receive

feedback from USACIL on processing SAFE kits via monthly meetings.

2.8 Describe your progress in ensuring that all sexual assault crimes are immediately reported to MCIOs to establish investigative oversight and coordination.

DoDI 6495.02, DoDI 5505.18, and SECNAVINST 1752.4B require commands to immediately report all Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault and attempts against adults to an MCIO, regardless of the severity of the allegation. DoDI 5505.18 also requires the MCIO to initiate investigations of all offenses of adult sexual assault of which they become aware. Therefore, NCIS responds to all allegations of sexual assault within Navy upon notification. In accordance with Navy policy, commanders are trained and directed to not initiate command investigations of reported sexual assault offenses and to refer all such cases to NCIS.

OPNAVINST F3100.6J dictates the operational/situational reporting (OPREPs/SITREPs) requirements for Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault. In accordance with this instruction, commands are also required to consult judge advocates to ensure that appropriate legal advice is provided and procedural steps followed. OPREP-3 reporting remains the primary tool for tracking MCIO notification within Navy. Notification of MCIO remains an OPREP-3 reporting line item, emphasizing contact prior to release of the initial OPREP-3 or SITREP (within 24 hours of knowledge of incident or sooner depending upon specific criteria). These operational messages are subsequently tracked and followed by mandatory reporting to the first Flag Officer in the CO's chain of command within 30 days of the report being submitted.

Navy SARCs, DRCs, SAPR VAs, UVAs, healthcare providers, chaplains, and VLC are prepared to explain sexual assault reporting options to all victims requesting support. These first responders are kept abreast of changes to the policies and procedures for the handling of Unrestricted Reports on an ongoing basis. If Unrestricted, SARCs immediately notify the command and MCIO of any sexual assault in order to collaborate on victim-centered services and ensure victim safety. SARCs are equipped with after-hours telephone numbers for the NCIS agent on call.

SARCs explain the requirement to notify NCIS during CO's Toolkit Briefs, SAPR VA training, SAPR GMT, and leadership briefs. These briefs ensure leaders, Sailors, and first responders are aware of the current reporting policies and reinforce the importance of MCIO notification for sexual assault crimes. Additionally, as cases are reported, the SARCs remind commands to make the NCIS notification, as well as follow-up with NCIS, to ensure cases are reported and provide Sexual Assault Case Management Group (SACMG) updates. SARCs continue to collaborate with commands to establish standard operating procedures for sexual assault allegations. The Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID) monitors the status of reported sexual assaults.

Inspection teams and case management review ensure timelines are met with each sexual assault case reported. All reported offenses are tracked from the time of the initial report to the final disposition. Part of the tracking process includes ensuring all required notifications are made in a timely manner. Staff judge advocates or command legal officers continually communicate with NCIS and the Region Legal Service Office (RLSO) Trial Counsel, ensuring information is being provided on all newly reported and ongoing sexual assault investigations.

2.9 Describe your progress in ensuring prompt MCIO investigative notification to commanders and SARCs concurrent with initiating an investigation of a sexual assault crime.

Pursuant to DoDI 5505.18 and the FY14 NDAA, the MCIO investigator assigned to an adult sexual assault investigation will ensure a SARC is notified as soon as possible to ensure system accountability and victim access to services as needed. The supervisory special agent investigating the case ensures the SARCs are provided case numbers and the name of the assigned agent within 48 hours after the initial NCIS investigation.

2.10 Describe your continuing efforts to foster early coordination between investigators and judge advocates when initiating a sexual assault investigation.

NCIS notifies trial counsel of all Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault within 48 hours of the report. Trial counsel work with NCIS during the early stages of the investigation. When a victim has a VLC, NCIS, trial counsel, and VLC work together to enhance victim participation and build confidence in the investigative and military justice process. With the investigator-prosecutor team, victims receive consistent communication regarding the investigation while reducing the possibility of miscommunication or confusion that occurs during the traditional transition or hand-off from investigator to prosecutor.

Navy Trial Counsel Assistance Program (TCAP) personnel provide training for Navy prosecutors at the NCIS Advanced Adult Sexual Assault Investigations Training Program (AASAITP), a course focused on improving multidisciplinary coordination of sexual assault investigations. Regional Senior Trial Counsel (STC) meet at least monthly to coordinate case investigation and prosecution and foster early engagement by the response team. As noted above, Navy VLC assist victims in providing statements and relevant evidence to investigators to promote thorough investigation of the case. VLC are engaged in the process and coordinate with investigators.

SAFEs gather evidence that may aid in an investigation. Medical treatment and access for victims necessitated development of a robust, integrated, interdisciplinary program to ensure 24/7 availability of a SAFE in the major military treatment facilities.

2.11 For Unrestricted and Restricted Reports, describe your efforts to ensure sexual assault documentation (DD Forms 2910 and 2911) is retained for 50 years

in accordance with Section 1723 of the NDAA for FY14.

Navy executed records retention requirements in accordance with FY14 NDAA. For every Unrestricted Report of sexual assault, an electronic copy of the Defense Department (DD) Form 2910 Victim's Preference Statement is uploaded into DSAID for retention for 50 years. A hard copy of the DD Form 2910 is maintained under double-lock filing for 50 years for every Restricted Report of sexual assault. Hard copies of DD Form 2910s for Unrestricted Reports are not maintained outside of DSAID.

As required, DD Form 2911 DoD SAFE Report is collected by NCIS investigative personnel following a victim's SAFE. The form is a required exhibit in the NCIS report of investigation, which, by policy, is retained for 50 years from the date the investigation is closed. NCIS considers all Restricted Reports as unsolved; therefore, SAFE kits are retained indefinitely. SARCs are not responsible for maintaining copies of the DD Form 2911.

NCIS further ensures agency wide compliance by issuing internal policy informing NCIS personnel of the DoDI 5505.18 requirements involving records retention and inclusion of DD Form 2911. NCIS employs Program Support Assistants who are internally trained on records retention regulations. They are specifically trained on DoD standards enumerated within NCIS policy chapter "File Retention and Disposal of Closed Investigations, Operations, Sources and Security Clearance Adjudication Cases." The NCIS HQ Records Management Division is responsible for the physical retention of NCIS investigative records.

2.12 Describe your efforts to increase collaboration with civilian organizations to improve interoperability.

NCIS field offices have the responsibility to maintain collaborative relationships with law enforcement agencies within their area of responsibility. When investigations of sexual assault fall within the primary jurisdiction of a local law enforcement agency, NCIS may initiate a joint investigation or assist the agency with investigative leads as deemed appropriate. During the course of the investigation, NCIS remains engaged with local law enforcement counterparts and reports the progress of the investigation to the command. This enables continued visibility and awareness in the event that civilian authorities defer prosecution to the military, or if civilian prosecutors decline the case and NCIS decides to pursue additional investigative leads.

Navy Medicine provides oversight and policy to Navy's healthcare providers, medical personnel, and commands. This oversight also includes Navy Medicine Regions, MTFs and branch clinics, partnering with regional commands, the Medical Officer of the U.S. Marine Corps, and civilian medical facilities. SAFEs may be conducted at civilian medical facilities to maintain a 24/7 response capability when such services are not available at the local military MTF or command.

Navy Medicine has Memorandum of Understandings/Memorandum of Agreements

(MOUs/MOAs) with off base, non-military facilities for the purpose of providing medical care to eligible victims of sexual assault. The MOU/MOAs include:

- Instructions for the notification of a SARC (regardless of whether a Restricted or Unrestricted Report of sexual assault is involved);
- Procedures for the receipt of evidence;
- Restricted Report labelling procedures; and
- Disposition of evidence by NCIS or the NCIS Consolidated Evidence Facility.

2.13 Describe your future plans for the achievement of high competence in the investigation of sexual assault.

NCIS intends to increase the availability of training and the number of agents who attend training.

- NCIS partnered with Navy to develop strategies for delivering continuing education to agents and prosecutors while limiting the operational impact of attending the training. Specific strategies include using webinars and other delivery methods that remove travel costs and lost time in the workplace.
- NCIS also partners with the U.S. Army CID in an advanced sexual assault investigation training course.

Navy will continue to:

- Ensure NCIS Investigates all allegations of sexual assault, to include both penetration and contact offenses;
- Monitor the timeliness of investigations within Navy as a measure of effectiveness in combating sexual assaults in the military;
- Use judge advocates as Preliminary Hearing Officers for Article 32 preliminary hearings in order to enhance competence in the preliminary hearings of sexual assaults.
- Coordinate with VLC to ensure victims are aware of their rights and benefits through ongoing training; and
- Assess the value of the pilot program of providing MA support to NCIS.

3. LOE 3—Accountability—The objective of accountability is to "achieve high competence in holding offenders appropriately accountable."

3.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Accountability Endstate: "perpetrators are held appropriately accountable."

Holding alleged offenders appropriately accountable is a key element to sexual assault prevention and response. Pursuant to the DoD definition of LOE 3, this section focuses on Navy's disposition and adjudication processes to hold offenders appropriately accountable.

Every effort is made within the confines of statutes and regulations to ensure alleged offenders are held appropriately accountable for their actions in accordance with Navy guidelines, policies, and procedures. Specific actions include:

- Leadership-driven prevention and response programs that address survivor care and, ultimately, hold assailants accountable for their actions as appropriate.
- Requiring immediate investigation of sexual assault reports;
- Handling all sexual assault reports with high importance and a sense of urgency;
- SA-IDAs carefully reviewing investigations and consulting with a judge advocate to make fully informed decisions on dispositions;
- Commanders understanding the full range of administrative and disciplinary actions that are available to address inappropriate behavior (i.e., informal counseling, comments in fitness reports and evaluations, administrative separation, and punitive measures under the UCMJ);
- Increased reporting of all known sexual assaults regardless of the duty status of the victim or subject;
- Providing senior leadership and command triads with training on changes to UCMJ Article 120, and other rule and policy changes in military justice affecting dispositions and adjudications of sexual assault.

Staff judge advocates and trial counsel support commanders throughout the military justice process. OJAG ensures appropriate resources to support victims and defend alleged offenders throughout the adjudication process. Navy judge advocates serve as Preliminary Hearing Officers for Article 32 preliminary hearings of sexual assaults to enhance competency in the military justice process.

Navy Region Legal Service Offices (RLSO) have an experienced cadre of litigation specialists and military justice expert judge advocates serving in litigation-intensive billets. This includes the nine regional STC who prosecute the most complex cases while supervising, mentoring, and training junior trial counsel. More experienced O-5s have been placed as directors of litigation in the three largest prosecution offices. Navy TCAP supports the RLSOs by providing seasoned advice, assistance, and support throughout all phases of the investigation and court-martial process. TCAP is staffed by both uniformed and civilian personnel, including a nationally recognized former civilian prosecutor and a highly qualified expert. Within 48 hours of a sexual

assault notification, NCIS implements the ASAP as a component of Navy's SVC/SVIP capability, which includes the prosecutors at the nine RLSOs and concerned commands.

Pending investigations and prosecutions are reviewed weekly to ensure consistency of efforts and appropriate disposition recommendations across prosecution offices worldwide.

NCIS and prosecutors (RLSO STC or designee) are required to consult within 48 hours of report of a special victims' crime. RLSO trial departments create an entry in the centralized case management database for all SVC/SVIP cases as soon as practicable after they are reported. SVC/SVIP cases are tracked weekly by Chief of Staff-RLSO. After review of the NCIS investigation by a trial counsel, a Prosecutorial Merits Memorandum is sent to the commander recommending a certain disposition. The memorandum must be prepared for all SVC/SVIP cases and is typically completed when the RLSO receives the substantially completed investigation from NCIS. Depending on the case, the memorandum is provided to the commander (or SA-IDA) directly or is considered by a staff judge advocate/command services attorney in advising the commander on the appropriate disposition. The commander's subsequent action, if different from the judge advocate's recommendation, is subject to review by the commander's immediate superior in command (ISIC), or in some cases the Secretary of the Navy.

3.2 Describe your progress in implementing a special victims' advocacy/ counsel for victims.

Navy VLC provides independent legal counsel to eligible sexual assault victims. Establishment of the VLC Program satisfies the "Special Victims' Counsel" mandate of §1716 of the FY14 NDAA .

Staffed by 31 judge advocates and 10 administrative staff and providing victim support at 23 U.S. and overseas naval installations, Navy's VLC Program is driving increased reporting, including conversion of Restricted Reports to Unrestricted Reports and retention of victims in the investigative/adjudicative process through conclusion, resulting in more opportunities to hold perpetrators appropriately accountable. VLC assist victims with reporting options, work with victims through the investigative and military justice processes, advocate for the victim's rights and interests, and help victims obtain access to other support resources.

On February 12, 2014, DoD issued DTM 14-003, "Department of Defense Implementation of Special Victim Capability Prosecution and Legal Support," setting forth minimum standards for the training, selection, and certification of SVC/SVIP prosecutors and legal support personnel as part of the SVC/SVIP program. Although not a component of SVC/SVIP, certification and decertification of Navy VLCs follow these guidelines. Navy VLC qualification requirements incorporating the "total person" concept include completion of the 2-year First Tour Judge Advocate Training Program,

litigation experience, excellent interpersonal and communications skills, sound judgment, and a positive temperament. The rank and seniority of officers assigned as Navy VLC is also considered as part of a calculated plan to provide a purposeful mix of leadership, experience, and appropriate rank to serve and relate to the victim population. More than half of Navy's VLCs in the field are O-4 and above. This is not unlike the relative mix of rank and seniority of Navy trial and defense counsel. The screened candidates are then personally interviewed and vetted by the Chief of Staff or Deputy Chief of Staff for the VLC Program, and either the Judge Advocate General or the Deputy Judge Advocate General of the Navy before assigned as a VLC. Each Navy VLC attends specialized training focused on victim's rights, victim advocacy, and victim support issues before being officially certified by the Judge Advocate General to execute VLC duties.

VLC assist in holding offenders appropriately accountable by advocating on behalf of victims when necessary at Article 32 preliminary hearings, pretrial motion hearings and by being present at courts-martial to answer questions and prepare victims for their testimony. In FY14, Navy VLC assisted 719 sexual assault victims and advocated for their interests in 351 military justice proceedings, ranging from pretrial conferences to Article 32 hearings and courts-martial.

Navy VLC conduct extensive outreach among Fleet personnel, leadership, and other victim-support providers (SARCs, SAPR VAs, UVAs, healthcare providers, Family Advocacy Program (FAP) personnel, Victim Witness Assistance Program (VWAP) representatives, chaplains, law enforcement, etc.) to promote awareness of VLC services and availability. These briefs addressed both the structure and nature of the VLC mission, highlighting the fact that victims could engage a judge advocate to advance and defend their interests.

VLC outreach briefs support general prevention in highlighting sexual assault issues and the resulting disciplinary processes that may occur after an assault. This includes the addition of an attorney to support and assist the victim in that process. VLC support the improved investigation component by ensuring victims are aware of and understand their rights when participating in an investigation, and routinely assist victims in providing information to investigative agents. As of 26 Sep 2014, Navy VLC had provided 830 Outreach Briefs to 24,581 Fleet personnel. These briefs underscored the Navy's commitment to its victims and a determination that victim rights and interests be protected.

3.3 Describe your progress in ensuring those who are affiliated with the special victim capability program (paralegals, JAGs, Judges, special victim counsel/victim legal counsel, and victim-witness assistance personnel) receive specialized SAPR training for responding to allegations of sexual assault.

Navy continuously adds new training modules to increase the abilities and capacity of individuals involved in accountability for sexual assault.

Judge Advocates

NJS provides the majority of Navy judge advocate training and prepares each judge advocate for courtroom litigation including a specialty course on litigating complex cases. Although how to effectively prosecute and defend sexual assault cases are components of many different NJS courses, the following specific courses on sexual assault litigation are the Navy's most advanced:

- Prosecuting Alcohol Facilitated Sexual Assault: This course specifically addresses cases in which alcohol is a factor, teaching judge advocates how to make charging decisions in sexual assault cases, analyze credibility and corroboration, and try the case.
- Defending Sexual Assault Cases: In conjunction with the Center for American and International Law, this course provides defense counsel training on sexual assault litigation.

Judge advocates also participated in a multidisciplinary Sexual Assault Investigation and Prosecution Course. Mobile training teams comprised of Navy TCAP, U.S. Marine Corps TCAP, NCIS instructors, U.S. Army CID, and other external instructors presented the course to assist sexual assault investigators and prosecutors. Topics included working with the victim from the initial interview through direct and cross-examination, case corroboration, the undetected rapist, and unindicted co-conspirators. Specialized SAPR training will continue to enable judge advocates to better advise commanders, alleged offenders, victims, and witnesses on SAPR-related issues.

Specially Trained Trial Counsel

OJAG's Criminal Law Division offers a SVC/SVIP course to train prosecutors, paralegals, SARCs, SAPR VAs, domestic violence VAs, VWAP personnel and other judge advocates. The training focuses on understanding the dynamics of special victim crimes, working with victims, and increasing collaboration of effort within the military justice system. This course improves and enhances victim care, victim support, prosecution support, and provides a more comprehensive and standardized response to allegations of sexual assault, child abuse, and serious domestic violence offenses.

OJAG continues to work with the Family and Sexual Violence Units, to include the ASAP teams. ASAPs consist of advanced-trained sexual assault NCIS investigators, and work in collaboration with SARCs, SAPR VAs, and judge advocates through the investigation and prosecution process. The ASAP initiative also includes early engagement with legal and victim advocacy personnel.

All STC and a large majority of trial counsel attended the Prosecuting Alcohol Facilitated Sexual Assaults course and all prosecution offices completed a nine-hour online course of lectures on special victim offenses as of January 2014. The course collaborates with advisors from the Prosecutors Resource on Violence Against Women to teach trial skills, seminars, and lectures on various aspects of prosecuting alcohol

facilitated sexual assault. The Navy continues to assign Military Justice Litigation Qualified judge advocates to serve as STC.

Trial Counsel and Defense Counsel Assistance Programs

In October 2010, OJAG established the TCAP and Defense Counsel Assistance Program (DCAP). Since their inception, the programs continue to grow and provide enhanced military justice training to both the trial and defense counsel, particularly in sexual assault cases.

TCAP supports trial counsel and staff judge advocates concerning their representation in the courts-martial and post-trial process. TCAP conducts annual inspections on each prosecution office to ensure compliance with instructions, emphasize new developments, and identify leading practices.

TCAP conducts training for trial counsel at every level of experience and expertise to educate and improve counsel judgment and performance. TCAP training includes annual mobile training team site visits with flexible training sections on special victims' crimes and process inspection. Mobile training teams conduct week-long training sessions in each of the nine RLSOs in coordination with NCIS, focusing on special victims crimes. TCAP sponsors subject matter experts to conduct an interactive web-based training through Defense Connect Online. TCAP also provided in-person training at SVUIC in Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri and the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center.

DCAP runs Mobile Training Teams that visit the Defense Service Office headquarters and major detachments twice a year. These training visits focus on litigation and cover everything from in-court exercises to substantive topics like Military Rules of Evidence (MREs) 412-414. The training provides tactics and strategies for defending sexual assault cases interwoven throughout all of the topics. In the last fiscal year, DCAP provided training to judge advocates, civilians, and Legalmen at Defense Service Office locations in San Diego (twice), Yokosuka, Japan (twice), Norfolk (twice), Bremerton, Naples, Italy, and the Washington Navy Yard. DCAP staff trained over 80 personnel at these events.

Paralegals and Legalmen

As discussed above, paralegals and Legalmen attend the annual SVC/SVIP capability course presented by OJAG's Criminal Law Division. The 2014 course had 239 attendees, many of which were paralegals and Legalmen who received specialized instruction on integration with other SVIP and SAPR personnel.

Judges

In FY14, all trial and appellate judges received training via webcast, focusing on a variety of legal issues and topics specific to sexual assault cases. This year's training

efforts also included coordination and development of targeted mobile training teams, site visits with flexible training sections on special victims crimes and process inspection; live online training, archived online training, web-based recordings of previous training that can be accessed as needed as part of local training plans; and regionally-developed training plans.

Victims' Legal Counsel

Navy VLC participated in a number of significant training evolutions to prepare them for effective support of sexual assault victims. All VLC are certified to represent clients at courts-martial, pursuant to Article 27b of the UCMJ. VLC must attend initial VLC training given by the U.S. Air Force, U.S. Army, or U.S. Navy. NJS co-sponsors certification training with the Navy's Criminal Law Division, Code 20, as well as TCAP and the Navy VLC. Courses include the VLC Certification Course, Special Victims Capabilities Course, and Prosecuting Alcohol Facilitated Sexual Assault. In FY14, all Navy VLC attended and completed a certification course focused on victims' rights, support, recovery, and victim advocacy.

VLC also participated in several specialized "child victim" training courses executed by military and civilian experts prior to expansion of VLC services to minors in June 2014. This includes attendance of six VLC at child victim training provided by the National Crime Victims Law Institute and "Child Capacity" training presented by the American Bar Association's Center for Children and Law to all VLC. VLC participate in routine training addressing victim-support issues relevant to successful delivery of services. This web-based training is conducted via the Defense Connect Online system and has included topics ranging from DNA collection, the Physical Evaluation Board System, key changes in victim rights and entitlements established by the FY14 NDAA , and resulting adjustments to the Rules for Courts-Martial. The VLC Program also operates a comprehensive Sharepoint site for internal communications, discussion boards, mentoring, development, and promulgation of "best practices," and storage of victim-support resources.

Victim Witness Assistance Coordinators

VWAP training is covered in general required legal training and through review of DoD/SECNAV/OPNAV and JAG VWAP Instructions. The VWAP personnel training plan is being updated to ensure Victim Witness Liaison Officers and Victim Witness Assistance Coordinators stay current with changing policies and requirements.

3.4 Describe your progress in ensuring that if a service member is convicted by court-martial or receives a non-judicial punishment or punitive administrative action for a sex-related offense, a notation to that effect shall be placed in the service personnel record.

NAVADMIN 189/14, Inclusion and Command Review on Sex-Related Offenses in Personnel Service Records, provides Navy's policy for the inclusion of disposition

information and the mandatory review of annotated personnel records with sex-related offenses by the Service member's commander. Sex-related offenses under this requirement include a violation of Articles 120, 120a, 120b, 120c, or 125 of the UCMJ; or an attempt to commit any of these offenses punishable under Article 80, UCMJ.

Navy requires that an official form Navy Personnel (NAVPERS) 1070/887, Sex Offense Accountability Record, be submitted and filed in the Service member's Official Military Personnel File (OMPF) under Field Code 91. The Sex Offense Accountability Record must be filed within five business days of the court-martial conviction, imposition of NJP, or NJP appeal process by the command to Navy Personnel Command. Once the OMPF is updated, the record is available for commanders to review online through the Bureau of Personnel (BUPERS).

In the case of an officer, reports of court-martial, NJP, final civil action, or misconduct are submitted to Navy Personnel Command, in accordance with Navy Military Personnel Manual (MILPERSMAN) Article 1611-010. The report, along with applicable endorsements from the officer and chain of command, are included in the member's OMPF in accordance with BUPERS Instruction 1070.27C and MILPERSMAN Article 1070-170 at the conclusion of administrative "show cause" proceedings outlined in SECNAVINST 1920.6C.

3.5 Describe your progress to expand the availability, sequencing, and scope of commanders' legal courses (e.g., range of command legal authorities and options). Include how you are assessing course outcomes.

NJS provides the following training to Navy/Marine Corps commanders. Each block of instruction below includes sexual assault information:

Military Justice Training at the Senior Officer Course:

- Introduction to military justice training provides instruction on key military justice considerations for commanders that is relevant in sexual assault cases, including an overview of the military justice process from investigation to appellate review, mandatory NCIS investigations, courts-martial forums, and differences between the military justice and civilian court systems with respect to self-incrimination, search and seizure, grand jury versus Article 32 preliminary hearings, speedy trial, and sentencing.
- Search and seizure training provides instruction on search and seizure issues for commanders that is relevant in sexual assault cases to include elements of a Fourth Amendment search, probable cause searches, non-probable cause searches, and inspections and inventories.
- Self-incrimination training provides instruction on self-incrimination issues for commanders that is relevant in sexual assault cases to include the differences between Article 31b and *Miranda* rights, custodial interrogations, the exclusionary rule, the voluntariness doctrine, and self-reporting.
- Court-martial procedures training provides instruction on court-martial

procedures for commanders that is relevant in sexual assault cases to include personal and subject matter jurisdiction, Reservist jurisdiction, legal hold, double jeopardy, mechanics of convening and referral of charges, types of courts-martial, roles at a court-martial, court-martial members, clemency, and post-trial review.

- Responsibilities of the convening authority training provides instruction on the responsibilities of a convening authority for commanders that is relevant in sexual assault cases to include the accuser concept, unlawful command influence, apprehension and pre-trial restraint, including the pre-trial confinement review process, speedy trial clock and excludable delay, and pre-trial agreements.
- Hazing training provides instruction to commanders relevant to sexual assault cases. Some contact cases, especially male-on-male, are initially called "horseplay," but actually constitute hazing or sexual assault. Instruction is provided on the hazing references, definitions, command responsibilities, and practical examples.
- SA-IDA training provides instruction to commanders on the Navy's SA-IDA withholding policies, collateral misconduct, distinctions among Article 120 offenses, mechanics of withholding and disposition decisions, initial considerations in sexual assault cases, and reporting requirements.
- SAPR training is primarily taught by a SARC as a guest instructor. It provides training to commanders on SAPR policy, restricted and unrestricted reporting, SAPR personnel requirements, expedited transfers, SAFE kit/records retention, protected communications, and command responsibilities upon notification of an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault.

At the conclusion of instruction for the Senior Officers' Course, NJS assesses the quality of the course through course critiques written by the students. Additionally, NJS instructors conduct seminar questions every day during the course, which include presenting hypothetical situations to the students who must apply the principles of the topics just reviewed the previous day.

3.6 Describe your effort to ensure the withholding of initial disposition authority in certain sexual assault cases from all commanders who do not possess at least Special Court Martial Convening Authority and who are not in the grade of O-6 or higher.

Within Navy, all allegations of sexual assault are appropriately forwarded to the SA-IDA. Pursuant to ALNAV 052/14, the authority to dispose of the sex-related offenses is withheld to an SA-IDA officer in the grade of O-6 or above with special court-martial convening authority.

3.7 Describe your efforts to ensure SAPR first responder knowledge of MRE 514 (Victim Advocate-Victim Privilege).

New accessions at the Basic Lawyer Course are trained on MRE 514 during the Article 32 hearing and the courts-martial process segments of the course. All Navy prosecutors and NCIS special agents also receive MRE 514 training applicable to special victims' crimes.

VLC work closely with Navy SARCs, DRCs, SAPR VAs, and UVAs on all aspects of victim support, including training and understanding of the nature and scope of the victim advocate-victim privilege. VLC routinely discuss the parameters and impact of the privilege while working specific cases with SARCs, SAPR VA, UVAs and other first responders.

3.8 Describe any treatment or rehabilitation programs implemented by your Service for those members who have been convicted of a sexual assault. Include any pertinent referrals such as drug and alcohol counseling, or other types of counseling or intervention.

Navy offers training and education courses for court-martialed sex offenders and mandates all brig prisoners convicted of a sexual offense, including possession of child pornography, must attend a 10-week Sex Offender Education Course. The classes aim to provide education on the dynamics of sexual deviance and sexual perpetration; provide information regarding offense-specific treatment available during confinement; and motivate the prisoner to participate in such treatment. Any prisoner may request entry into the Sex Offender Treatment Program (SOTP), during which instructors respect prisoners' privacy and do not request any personal disclosures. To continue rehabilitation, Navy recommends that prisoners convicted of a sexual offense attend offense-specific treatment upon release.

Navy offers Sailors various treatment programs at Naval Consolidated Brigs depending upon the length of their confinement and details of their offense. Prisoners with enough time to complete the 24-month SOTP are confined for treatment at Naval Consolidated Brig Miramar. The psycho-education modules include cognitive restructuring, victim impact training, cognitive and behavioral arousal reduction techniques, relationship skills, sexuality, and prevention of relapse. Psychiatric consultation or assessments are available. Prisoners without sufficient time on their sentences to complete the SOTP program are referred to community based programs after their release. Participation in these community programs is often stipulated as a condition of supervised release. All DoD female offenders are confined and treated at Naval Consolidated Brig Miramar, a Level III women's facility. Charleston and Chesapeake Brigs provide substance abuse education and treatment, sex offender education, anger and stress management, and mental health crisis intervention.

Sex offenders frequently have simultaneous troubles with substance abuse and/or violence. Navy offers these conjunctive programs as needed: Violent Offender Treatment, Anger Management, Dialectical Behavior Therapy, and Drug and Alcohol Dependence Treatment. Aftercare programs include referrals to community-based treatment for continual care. Drug and alcohol counseling is provided for all military

members in Navy's Drug and Alcohol program.

3.9 NGB, describe how you are ensuring that all investigations are being referred to the NGB-JA/Office of Complex Investigations.

Not applicable to U.S. Navy.

3.10 Describe your efforts to increase collaboration with civilian organizations to improve interoperability.

Across Navy, SARC, SAPR VAs and UVAs continue to have successful relationships, collaborations, and relationships with civilian organizations including SARTs, local rape crisis centers, civilian law enforcement agencies, sexual assault forensic facilities, local domestic violence agencies, Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender centers, etc. This collaboration allows for synchronized response, dissemination of current information, and provision of relevant training on setting healthy sexual boundaries, predatory behavior, self-defense, safe dating strategies, and bystander intervention.

VLC routinely communicate with local civilian prosecutors and law enforcement organizations to assist military victims participating in local or state investigations or prosecution of sexual assault offenses. VLC cannot represent military victims in civilian proceedings, but can ensure victims make contact with relevant civilian authorities, have a general understanding of the civilian criminal justice system, and are aware of extensive military support resources regardless of civilian action.

Across Navy, training is conducted with healthcare providers and VAs from local organizations to increase and ensure understanding of SAPR program requirements and the military justice processes. This increases Navy's ability to collaborate with and increase their ability to assist in cases with the military community. Ongoing meetings are held with civilian agencies to build relationships and discuss program enhancements, resources, and concerns that impact the provision of victim care, assistance, and evidence collection.

3.11 Describe your future plans for the achievement of high competence in holding offenders appropriately accountable.

The first step in holding offenders appropriately accountable is to ensure that sexual assaults are reported. The Navy's awareness campaign about the issue and the increased support services for victims are key components of Navy's plan to encourage and improve reporting. Additional efforts that will impact and enhance competence in holding offenders appropriately accountable include the following:

- Encourage victims, especially male victims, to report sexual assaults by striving to eliminate any stigma or fears of victim blaming that are often associated with sexual assaults. This will allow authorities to hold offenders appropriately accountable for their actions.
- Conduct specialized military and civilian sexual assault training courses (for

example, Prosecuting Alcohol Facilitated Sexual Assault course, Defending Sexual Assault Cases course), as necessary.

- Promote externships for judge advocates in sexual assault units of state district attorney offices.
- Heighten the awareness of Navy's VLC Program and provide additional specialized training to VLC.
- Complete the Video Vignettes Project to instruct judge advocates about skills and techniques fundamental to sexual assault litigation.
- Enhance judicial training for judges to ensure they continue to receive updated SAPR policies training.
- Update and re-publish the TCAP's Prosecuting Alcohol Facilitated Sexual Assault Manual.
- Continue publication of all court-martial results of trial to increase transparency of accountability actions and deter other potential offenders.
- Continue ensuring that NCIS investigations of sexual assault allegations lead to appropriate disposition or adjudication.
- Continue training for commanders and senior leadership.
- Maintain detailing of more experienced O-5s as directors of litigation (STC) into the three largest prosecution offices.
- Continue providing judge advocate support in the implementation of ASAP in all fleet concentration areas.

Navy will continue to emphasize the importance of SARCs collaborating and coordinating with MCIOs as a part of continued efforts to promote prevention and awareness through training and events. Additionally, SARCs' collaboration and communication with command leadership will convey messaging of a command climate where offenders will be held appropriately accountable while also ensuring that victims receive the full range of support and advocacy available under the SAPR Program.

3.12 Percentage of SVIP cases preferred, compared to overall number of courts martial preferred in FY14.

In FY14, charges were preferred in 495 courts-martial cases. Of those cases with preferred charges, 40% included allegations of adult sexual assault.

3.13 Percentage of special victim offense courts-martial tried by, or with the direct advice and assistance of a specially trained prosecutor

In FY14, all RLSO STC received specialized training and were SVC/SVIP-certified. Given that all SVC/SVIP cases are under the direct supervision of STC, 100% of SVC/SVIP courts-martial have been tried either by STC or with the direct advice and assistance of a specially trained prosecutor.

4. LOE 4—Advocacy/Victim Assistance--The objective of advocacy/victim assistance is to "deliver consistent and effective victim support, response, and reporting options."

4.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Advocacy/Victim Assistance Endstate: "high quality services and support to instill confidence and trust, strengthen resilience, and inspire victims to report." Include responsibilities established in DoDI 6400.07, enclosure 2.

Increased reporting of this universally underreported crime is central to the prevention of and response to sexual assault. Continued leadership visibility and support are critical to Navy's strategy of building trust among sexual assault victims and maintaining their privacy and confidentiality throughout the SAPR process. Navy's goal is to ensure every Sailor has access to reporting, support, and confidence in their command's ability to appropriately hold alleged offenders accountable.

Navy remains committed to increasing victim confidence in reporting incidents. Personnel who provide direct support to victims are critical to this effort. Although Navy SARCs, DRCs, SAPR VAs, UVAs, chaplains, VLC and other victim assistance personnel are responsible for advocacy coordination, medical services, legal support and counseling for the victim, none of these services can occur without the victim first making a report. Sailors who trust that the command will respond appropriately are more likely to report sexual assaults. To encourage and support reporting, Navy instituted several actions:

- Navy established DRC positions aboard all aircraft carriers and large-deck amphibious assault ships to provide Sailors with critical support services during deployment by working cooperatively with military and civilian medical providers, social service, law enforcement, chaplains, and legal personnel on behalf of sexual assault victims. DRCs conduct immediate victim response, needs assessment, referrals, and other coordination in response to allegations of sexual assault. They also offer an alternative means for male victims to report sexual assault.
- The Navy's VLC Program provides sexual assault victims with direct, no-cost access to their own lawyer who can provide legal advice, assistance, and advocacy across a range of victim rights and interests. VLC services are intended to garner greater victim trust, confidence, awareness, and comfort in the Navy sexual assault response system by male and female victims.
- The VWAP ensures victims and witnesses of crime are afforded their rights throughout the criminal justice process, from the investigator's initial contact through any period of confinement adjudged. Navy policy requires all commands to appoint Victim Witness Liaison Officers to oversee the VWAP in their areas of responsibility. The Liaison Officer works with the RLSO to provide additional support.
- Unrestricted reporting victims can request an expedited transfer to a new command. Military and civilian protective orders are also a means by which the

rights and safety of victims are protected if they choose to come forward.

- Navy stresses the importance of diversity in rank, age, and gender among UVAs to encourage reporting by victims.
- Navy evaluates SAPR services to ensure care is gender-responsive, culturally sensitive, and recovery-oriented. Moreover, all military treatment facilities, along with deployable units with organic medical departments, have gender-specific treatment protocols for caring for sexual assault victims.
- All first responder training includes a module on gender responsiveness and encourages the development of methodologies to better assess and provide oversight to Navy's gender-responsiveness efforts.
- Recognizing the importance of confidentiality, Navy is developing a campaign to protect the privacy of all Service member victims, including males, who seek assistance and treatment. This includes revising the data collection and reporting process to limit the release of personnel information to only official need-to-know personnel or as authorized by law.
- Navy uses the Safe Helpline as the primary crisis intervention tool. The Safe Helpline provides live, confidential, one-on-one crisis intervention and information to the worldwide DoD community. Continuous promotion of the website enables victims to seek assistance in a confidential and informal manner through consistent responses by trained advocates.

4.2 Describe your progress in allowing Reserve Component Service members who are victims of sexual assault while on active duty to remain on active duty status to obtain the treatment and support afforded active duty members.

Regardless of when the incident occurred, Navy ensures that Reserve Component (RC) personnel are afforded both Restricted and Unrestricted reporting options. Regardless of the type of report, RC members have access to medical treatment and counseling for injuries and illness incurred from a sexual assault experienced when performing active service or inactive duty training. However, medical entitlements for RC members remain dependent upon a Line of Duty (LOD) determination as to whether or not the sexual assault incident occurred in an active or inactive duty training status, in accordance with SECNAVINST 1770.3D. A LOD determination is written authorization documenting benefits for any illness, injury, or disease incurred or aggravated by a Reservist while in a duty status. The LOD determination statement will specify the benefits for which the member is eligible. It further defines eligibility to other services based on the victim's status at the time of the incident.

While not performing active service or inactive training, members are eligible to receive limited SAPR services from a SARC, DRC, SAPR VA, UVA, or VLC. Emergent care is authorized.

RC members are informed as soon as practicable after an alleged assault of the option to request continuation or retention on active duty for the purpose of completing the LOD determination. If the victim's request is denied, the RC member may appeal to

the first Flag Officer in the member's chain of command. In the case of such an appeal, a decision on the appeal must be made within 15 days from the date of appeal. RC victims, with their consent, may also be provided orders on active duty (or be continued on active duty) to complete authorized medical care, or medically evaluated for disability or to complete a required DoD healthcare study, which may include an associated medical evaluation.

In cases of Restricted Reports, LOD determinations shall be made without the Service member being identified to the chain of command as a victim of sexual assault.

- The Request for LOD Benefits, DD Form 261 (Report of Investigation Line of Duty and Misconduct Status), and the associated enclosures shall be written, edited, and/or handled in a manner to preclude the commander from connecting an individual to the specific circumstances of the injury, illness, or disease. LOD requests for Restricted Reports shall be marked and directed to remain within the possession of individuals with the ability to maintain covered communications (e.g., SARC, DRC, SAPR VA, UVA, VLC, or Healthcare Provider).
- The SARC may provide documentation that substantiates the victim's duty status at the time of the incident, as well the fact that a Restricted Report has been made to a designated official and documented via a DD Form 2910.
- The appropriate SARC will brief the person designated to process the LOD determination on Restricted Reporting policies, exceptions to Restricted Reporting, and the limitations of disclosure of covered communications. The SARC and command-designated individual may consult with the servicing legal office or VLC in the same manner as other recipients of privileged information for assistance, exercising due care to protect covered communications by disclosing only non-personally identifiable information. Unauthorized disclosure may result in disciplinary action.

The modification of the LOD process for Restricted Reporting does not extend to pay and allowances or travel and transportation incident to the healthcare entitlement. However, the Service member may affirmatively change the reporting option to Unrestricted on the DD Form 2910 at any time in order to be considered for the full range of entitlements authorized.

4.3 Describe your progress in ensuring that a member of the Reserve Components, who is a victim of sexual assault by another member of the Reserve Components, has timely access to a Sexual Assault Response Coordinator.

RC members have access to SAPR services through the supporting Navy Operational Support Center or gaining command. Direct access to a SARC is based on an individual's location. However, a Navy SARC is aligned to every RC command regardless of proximity to a naval installation in order to guarantee that RC victims are offered SAPR services and advised of their rights and benefits. Reservists assigned to geographically isolated, non-fleet concentrated areas are supported by a designated

installation SARC who may not be physically located within the same area. The regional or other SARC is also available via telephone on a 24/7 basis. Commanders of RC commands are required to designate at least one UVA and receive support from the nearest naval installation SARC. Victims may also access SAPR services via the Safe Helpline and local watchbills. SARCs assist commands to obtain posters, brochures, training, and other resource materials about SAPR Program services, eligibility, points of contact, and resources.

4.4 List the total number of full-time SARC/SAPR VAs serving at brigade or equivalent level. If not at 100%, describe your efforts to achieve 100% fill.

To be in compliance with this FY14 NDAA requirements, Navy was required to provide 64 full-time equivalent (FTE) SARC and 64 FTE SAPR VA positions navy-wide. Navy exceeds this number with a total of 75 SARCs and 67 FTE SAPR VAs as well as 5,472 D-SAACP certified UVAs who are screened by SARCs and designated by their respective commanders.

4.5 Describe what measures have been taken by your Service to ensure that Service members are informed in a timely manner of the member's option to request a Military Protective Order (MPO) from the command of assignment. Include documentation that requires law enforcement agents to document MPOs in their investigative case files, to include documentation for Reserve Component personnel in title 10 status.

Navy policy and training require SARCs, DRCs, SAPR VAs, UVAs, and VLC to provide sexual assault victims information about MPOs or CPOs as an option upon initial contact and at the completion of an initial safety assessment. Completion of this action is documented on DD Form 2965 SAPR Program Intake Data Form. SARCs also document all services offered and MPO status in DSAID. Options and limitations of MPOs/CPOs are explained to the victim upon filing a report of sexual assault. For example, victims electing Restricted Reports are advised that requesting an MPO is not an option.

Commanders are advised of the benefits and limitations of MPOs/CPOs and issue MPOs when circumstances warrant. The status of MPOs issued are reviewed during the monthly SACMG and documented in meeting minutes within DSAID.

VLC are specifically tasked with assessing victim safety and security when meeting with sexual assault victims. NJS provides training on MPOs during the senior officer course in both the SAPR and SA-IDA classes. The training covers the Service member's right to seek an MPO and the commander's obligations to consider MPOs when appropriate.

4.6 Describe your efforts to establish processes for reviewing credentials, qualifications, and refresher training for victim-sensitive personnel positions. Describe your Service's process to address inappropriate behavior

demonstrated by those in victim-sensitive personnel positions. Include process for revocation of certification if appropriate.

Navy strives to care for victims and strengthen their resilience following a sexual assault by providing high-quality response services and a safe environment. Victim support for Navy Service members relies on a broad, coordinated network of trained and certified personnel.

Through D-SAACP, Navy uses a cadre of certified and professional SARCs, DRCs, SAPR VAs, and UVAs, trained and committed to upholding the highest standards of victim advocacy and privacy. SARCs serve as the single point of contact to coordinate response when a sexual assault is reported, while DRCs, SAPR VAs, and UVAs facilitate care and provide referrals and non-clinical support and advocacy to victims. The D-SAACP process ensures that SARCs, DRCs, SAPR VAs, and UVAs meet ethical and professional standards through verification of training requirement completion, background checks, and recommendation letters from commanders/supervisors and SARCs. SARCs, DRCs, SAPR VAs, and UVAs who do not meet these standards are not permitted to work with a victim of sexual assault.

NAVADMIN 095/14 (implementation of the D-SAACP) provides fleet-wide guidance on the certification and revocation procedures for certified SARCS and certified installation SAPR VAs and UVAs. Credentials and qualifications are carefully reviewed prior to hiring victim-sensitive personnel across Navy. Background checks are initiated, interviews are conducted, and outstanding training is initiated prior to participating in victim-sensitive activities.

In accordance with BUMED Instruction (BUMEDINST) 6310.11 Enclosure 8, paragraph 4, annual sustainment training is completed by healthcare providers using primary and secondary DVDs, *Sexual Assault Forensics and Clinical Management: A Virtual Practicum* and *Sexual Assault Forensic Examinations in Navy Medicine*. Healthcare providers without pelvic or genitalia examination competency or privileging will complete the standard Navy Medical SAFE Competency Assessment (NAVMED 6310/7) annually and complete sustainment training requirements. Training compliance is tracked in Fleet Management & Planning Systems. Navy Medicine currently provides credentials and privileges every two years in accordance with joint commission medical staff standards. Additionally, clinical support staff nurses are credentialed at each new duty station and at two year intervals. Personnel who do not meet the standard requirements are not authorized to perform SAFEs.

Within Navy, revocation of SARC, DRC, SAPR VA, or UVA certification may be based on violation of one or more established adverse actions, administrative inquiries, or investigative procedures. Any inappropriate behaviors exhibited and/or lapse in qualifications or credential requirements are forwarded to command leadership and the area SARC for action/revocation. If UVA requirements are violated, the command leadership and SARC will address and potentially relieve that individual of SAPR duties, including revoking the SAPR certification.

Before revocation of a SARC, DRC, SAPR VA, or UVA certification, the Commander must ensure the following minimum standards and procedures are met:

- A timely and appropriate inquiry is conducted.
- The SARC, DRC, SAPR VA, or UVA is notified in writing that a complaint has been received, an inquiry has been initiated, and the authority to perform SARC, DRC, SAPR VA, and UVA duties is suspended until reinstated by the responsible commander.
- Any and all unrestricted allegations of sexual assault are reported to the appropriate MCIO and all procedures for Unrestricted Reporting are followed.

The Commander will notify the individual in writing when suspending or revoking a D-SAACP certification within three business days of the suspension or revocation. Should the complaint prove unfounded, the Commander will reinstate the SARC, DRC, SAPR VA, or UVA via re-issuance of an appointment letter.

All individuals performing the duties of a SARC, DRC, SAPR VA, or UVA must immediately self-report if they believe they are no longer eligible for continued certification. All self-reports may render those SARCs, DRC, SAPR VAs, or UVAs ineligible for initial or continued certification.

4.7 Describe your progress in ensuring all SARC and SAPR VAs are D-SAACP certified prior to performing the duties of a SARC and SAPR VA.

Navy-wide implementation of rigorous guidelines and requirements ensures victims are receiving high-quality services and support from SARCs and first responders. All SARCS, DRCs, SAPR VAs, and UVAs complete the National Advocate Credentialing Program, complete all CNIC pre-approved initial training, and are credentialed prior to serving in the capacity of a SARC, DRC, SAPR VA, or UVA. Certification from the National Organization for Victims Assistance (NOVA) to individual applicants includes a D-SAACP unique identification number and validity dates and serves as official proof of certification status. Training completion is verified prior to performing duties.

As part of the D-SAACP certification process, SARCs, DRCs, SAPR VAs, and UVAs must agree to follow a Code of Ethics for victim engagement and complete ongoing refresher training that emphasizes compassionate and trauma informed care for victims of sexual violence. CNIC HQ SAPR standardized continuing education by reviewing and approving all SARC-generated SAPR refresher training provided to SAPR VAs. Monthly D-SAACP pre-approved refresher training is provided to SARCs and SAPR VAs via webinar and in-person annual SARC training. CNIC HQ SAPR developed a two-hour ethics training for SARCs and SAPR VAs to address how to handle inappropriate behavior and ethics violations. For D-SAACP revocation, CNIC HQ SAPR requires a written request for revocation that is sent to NOVA and OSD SAPRO.

In order to maintain certification through D-SAACP, support personnel must satisfy the

D-SAACP continuing education training guidelines and apply for certification renewal every two years. Applicants must complete a new D-SAACP application packet (DD Form 2950) and show proof of 32 hours of the National Advocate Credentialing Program and CNIC pre-approved continuing education training for certification renewal. The training must be comprised of 30 hours of prevention and advocacy training and two hours of ethics training. Applications must be approved by the NOVA before the current certification expires.

4.8 Describe your continued efforts to ensure that the 24/7 DoD Safe Helpline has accurate contact information for on-base SAPR resources (i.e., Chaplains, SARCs, Military Police, Medical Personnel).

Navy regularly conducts audits of the Safe Helpline contact information to ensure its accuracy and accessibility and requires that SARCs immediately notify HQ of any changes to telephone numbers included in the Navy SAPR Program's three-tiered response, including 24/7 response numbers.

All installation SARC and SAPR contacts are monitored via auditing phone calls to ensure a proper response to a potential victim looking for assistance in locating advocacy services (while still maintaining the Restricted Report option). In order to verify that the right person answers the phone and is able to provide the right services and information, random phone calls are made to check different installations each month.

Pursuant to CNICINST 1752.2A, Monthly SAPR Validation Procedures, Regional Operation Centers conduct 100% monthly validation calls that confirm both the accuracy of the listed 24/7 response numbers and ensure that response is taking place within the required timeframes. The CNIC HQ Battle Watch Captain conducts monthly random spot checks to 10% of Navy installations. The results of these monthly calls are reported to CNIC HQ SAPR, where monthly random spot checks on 10% of Navy installations are also conducted on a monthly basis. All results are reported quarterly to DON SAPRO.

As part of the DoD Safe Helpline semi-annual audit, CNIC HQ SAPR follows up on all unconfirmed or non-working SAPR 24/7 response, SARC, and first responder numbers to ensure accuracy.

4.9 Describe your efforts to publicize various SAPR resources, such as DoD Safe Helpline, to all Service Members.

Across Navy, SAPR resources are publicized through posters, brochures, electronic media, and events throughout the year. It is mandatory that commands include a minimum of three means of contacting a local SAPR VA on command websites. The following examples show SAPR resource publicity:

- The CNIC HQ SAPR webpage provides SAPR information to victims, bystanders, friends and family, and commands. The webpage also provides

victims with an understanding of the program's crisis response services, including an explanation of the different reporting options; bystanders with tools to prevent a sexual assault and information on available services to victims; and commanders with required actions on what their responsibilities are to create a climate of prevention and information on an appropriate response. The webpage also provides information on the DoD Safe Helpline.

- Overseas, local Armed Forces Network radio and television are used to broadcast SAPR commercials and discussions with commanders, Command Master Chiefs (CMCs), and SARCs wherein SAPR numbers are advertised.
- At USNA, laminated, wallet-sized response cards with SAPR resource information are provided to all Midshipmen, faculty, and staff during the academic year. Sponsor parents are also briefed by SAPR staff, and available resources and contact information is highlighted.
- Many organizations include SAPR contact information in their Plans of the Week/Month.
- The Naval Reserve Force uses *The New Republic* magazine to publicize FY14 Sexual Assault Awareness Month activities and provide a point of contact list of SAPR Program Managers.
- Commands are required to widely disseminate information to include telephone numbers for available resources. Key SAPR personnel and available resources are also highlighted during GMT and SAPR stand-downs.

4.10 Describe your progress in ensuring victims are afforded their legal rights, protections, and services.

Immediately following a Restricted or Unrestricted Report of a sexual assault, the DD Form 2910 is reviewed with the victim by the SARC, DRC, SAPR VA, or UVA. The victim receives a copy of this form which lists certain rights and provides, an explanation of the reporting options, protections and services.

Individuals reporting sexual assault offenses within Navy are advised of their rights and provided a copy of DD Form 2701, Federal Victim Rights. In addition, victims are referred to SARC, DRC, SAPR VAs, UVAs, or VLC for further explanation of their rights if they initially seek assistance via an individual who is not authorized to take Restricted or Unrestricted Reports.

4.11 Describe your progress to improve the victim care services at Joint Bases, in Joint Environments, and for the Reserve Components.

Due to diverse geographic locations, Navy personnel have the option to receive U.S. Army, U.S. Air Force, or other Joint SAPR VA assistance. Navy's goal is to promote improved victim care services within joint environments, and joint bases, as well as for Reservists. SAPR VAs from other Services who take a sexual assault report involving a Navy Sailor provide a personal hand-off to the Navy SARC and SAPR VA in order to ensure that any Service-specific reporting and investigation requirements are

conducted.

As a part of FY14 efforts, SARCs integrated other Service UVAs onto the installation watch bill and refresher training, discussed joint program measures as a part of the CO's toolkit briefing, and incorporated joint environment issues and RC requirements into their SAPR training to better educate other Services' tenant commands and Reserve members. SARCs, regardless of where they are located, support RC victims of sexual assault, regardless of duty status, as explained in 4.2.

Navy and other Services transitioned to DSAID to improve individual case tracking and reporting capabilities.

Regions have reported the following promising practices:

- On Joint Base San Antonio, the SAPR programs of all Services are housed together in one central "SAPR Office." Everyone, including Navy VLC, is under one roof, which makes for a one-stop service center. MCIOs come to the office to conduct victim interviews in a designated interview room. The building is centrally-located in the middle of the student population, making access easier.
- At Joint Base Charleston the Services are on two different bases. They provide support and attend each other's meetings. Neither are located at Fleet and Family Support Centers (FFSC) offices, so they have direct access to the commander and mission partner commands. They work closely with security forces to ensure proper procedures are in place for victims at either the U.S. Air Force base or the Weapons Station. SARCs also conduct visits and training at their assigned Navy Operational Support Center, which has markedly increased SAPR awareness in the reserve community.
- In Naval District Washington DC, SARCs engage in multiple opportunities to promote victim care services in joint environments and with RCs. Examples include attending multi-Service SARC meetings and monthly SACMGs on U.S. Army and U.S. Marine Corps Installations with Navy Commands. Participation in these meetings allows for easier collaboration on awareness activities and sharing of best practices between Services to ensure seamless interaction between SAPR programs in the event that a sexual assault occurs.

Navy VLC provide support to eligible sexual assault victims regardless of whether they are stationed on joint bases or in joint environments. The Service victims' counsel programs routinely cooperate to ensure that area victims establish communications with the appropriate VLC provider. The Navy VLC Program is available to all Navy Reserve sexual assault victims regardless of the circumstances of their assault.

4.12 Describe your progress in strengthening participation in an integrated victim services network of care.

Keeping local leaders informed of the status of the SAPR program within their area of responsibility is critical to their ability to stay engaged and drive results. Navy has 25 dedicated SAPRO billets to directly support the commanders of major staffs, type

commands, and Navy regions. SAPROs work closely with local SARCs, SAPR program managers, and local SAPR stakeholders such as NCIS special agents, staff judge advocates and trial counsel, chaplains, and healthcare providers. SAPROs provide program continuity and ensure understanding and proper execution of policy, training, and oversight activities.

Another initiative that supports continued command awareness and engagement is the establishment of a permanent Navy Reserve Forces SAPR Program Manager, who reports directly to the Commander, Navy Reserve Forces Command. This individual greatly improves the ability of Navy Reserve Forces Command to provide oversight, manage the SAPR program more effectively, and best support the unique requirements of Navy Reserve Sailors.

SARCs collaborative efforts with local military and civilian stakeholders resulted in streamlined protocols in managing cases and increased compliance with SACMG participation.

Navy installation Commanders are responsible for implementing and executing an effective SAPR program to meet requirements and incorporate a coordinated approach between medical, mental health, legal, investigative, security, chaplain, FFSC, and civilian resources to include establishing MOUs/MOAs, where necessary, in order to provide required services. Sexual assault victims receive priority in the emergency room for trauma-focused SAFEs as well as victim recovery services available through mental health departments.

First responders continue to coordinate with each other and local civilian resources to provide optimal services. For example:

- Chaplains provide an important resource for supporting the emotional healing and successful reintegration of victims back into the command, regardless of victim religious affiliation or beliefs.
- Victim-centered support for sexual assault victims requires addressing physical and psychological trauma, appropriate coordination of care, and collection of medical-forensic evidence. Medical regional program management created and implemented victim care protocols to ensure standardized and coordinated care for victims of sexual assault. BUMED promulgates policy to ensure the total array of medical assistance is available to eligible victims of sexual assault.
- Many installations conducted SAPR drills to test the integrated victim response process. These drills are now standardized and required twice per year via CNICINST 1752.3 of 29 September 2014.
- In the Metro San Diego Area, FFSC established male and female victim support groups for active duty sexual assault victims. The women's sexual assault group was established in June 2012 and has been instrumental in the healing process for numerous female sexual assault victims. Victims volunteer for this group and have found it very empowering in keeping them engaged in the investigative and prosecutorial processes. The male group, established in January 2013, is a different model and continually evolves according to its

participants' input.

- Sex University, conducted in PACFLT, provides awareness of personal responsibility in a gender-specific, small group atmosphere. Participants engage in discussion to help them find support, understand how to support others and learn risk management.
- CNIC conducts Lesbian, Gay and Bisexual (LGB)-centric training, developed to meet the diverse needs of all LGB members of the community by collectively increasing awareness and sensitivity of everyone alike through knowledge-based practice, training, non-judgmental interaction with LGB individuals.

Navy-wide, peer-to-peer influences of indoctrination training ensure visibility of the program and the expectations of maintaining a safe climate, as well as collaboration with command CSADD chapters to address the importance of abstaining from destructive behaviors.

4.13 Describe your efforts to increase collaboration with civilian victim response organizations to improve interoperability.

Collaboration between military and civilian organizations is important to the success of any SAPR program. To this end, partnerships have been developed with local rape crisis centers, state coalitions, hospitals, police departments, legal aid organizations, and community mental health resources. These partnerships are solidified by collaborating on volunteer victim advocate training and participating in local SARTs to be aware of trends and incidents of sexual assault in the local area.

OPNAV requires Navy Base Commanders to identify and maintain a liaison with civilian sexual assault victim resources, establishing MOUs and MOAs with relevant community agencies such as community crisis counseling centers and private or public sector sexual assault councils to supplement efforts and to implement program requirements where appropriate and useful.

All Navy Medicine 24/7 emergency rooms maintain sexual assault response capabilities in accordance with the DoDI 6495.02 series and FY14 NDAA. However, some remote facilities and other claimancies have established MOUs/MOAs with local civilian hospitals where resources are better positioned for victim care services after hours or when in-house care is impractical.

VLC routinely communicate and collaborate with civilian victim response organizations for both victim-focused training to improve VLC services directly supporting of Navy victims.

Regions have reported the following promising practices:

- Northwest - Naval Base Kitsap conducts a monthly meeting titled, "Kitsap Special Assault Unit," in which military and civilian victim advocates, civilian prosecutors, civilian law enforcement, NCIS, Child Protective Service, Adult Protective Service, juvenile justice, corrections, probation, and tribal personnel

meet to discuss challenging cases, changes in policies, legislative changes, and strengthening relationships. In addition, participants discuss lessons learned, opportunities for increased collaboration, and areas for growth.

- Hawaii - SARCs are part of the Community Action Team (CAT) comprised of the Hawaii Department of Health, other Service SARCs in Hawaii, universities, the Sex Abuse Treatment Center, and various non-profit organizations who support sexual assault victims in Hawaii. The team meets annually to address state-wide issues of sexual assault and share strategic planning ideas and implementations.
- Singapore - Logistics Command Western Pacific/Singapore Area Coordinator and the local NCIS Field Office have established close relationships with local Singaporean organizations to reduce the impact of high-risk behaviors. The Singapore Area Coordinator also works with a local women's support group and Singapore American Community Action Council to provide counseling services for members who have been victims of sexual assault or domestic violence, or who are dealing with substance abuse. NCIS works closely with the Singapore Police Force on investigations and collaborative training.
- Mid-Atlantic Partners in Reducing Sexual Assault collaboration connects key players from the military installations and local communities to join together to combine knowledge and resources.
- Region Japan provides the primary means of support to all civilians on base, but has also partnered with civilian victim response organizations. These groups are used to supplement the victim response, as they are not the exact equivalent of a U.S based region. In the case where a Japanese national is assaulted by a military member on base, SAPR services will be afforded to the victim.
- USNA - Members of the USNA SAPR Office attend monthly meetings with the local SART, which offers a multidisciplinary approach to sexual assault prevention. Key stakeholders such as Anne Arundel Medical Center, YWCA of Annapolis, local Rape Crisis Center, and area Sexual Assault Response Coordinators from neighboring Navy installations all come together to review case studies, share best practices and discuss trend analysis locally. USNA also collaborates with Mercy Medical Center's Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner, who periodically addresses the Midshipmen regarding forensic evidence collection and the medical process. Additionally, the USNA response team attends briefings and conferences offered by the Maryland Coalition Against Sexual Assault on relevant topics and invites staff to address the Midshipmen periodically as well.
- Reserve Component Commands have regional Psychological Health Outreach Program teams attached to them to assist any victim with issues of mental health, resiliency, and support services. These teams will provide initial assessment, place them with appropriate counseling services close to the victim, and continue to monitor their case.
- "Dream Worlds" Leadership Training at Joint Base Little Creek facilitates discussion among command triads about influential media, celebrities, music, and games on the attitudes and behaviors of Sailors. Increased leadership

awareness of environmental factors that affect the personal behaviors of Sailors is crucial to maintaining professional standards and improving sexual assault prevention efforts.

4.14 Provide an assessment of the implementation of your expedited victim transfer request policy. Include measures taken to ensure victims are informed in a timely manner of their right to request an expedited transfer, and challenges to the implementation of the policy. Documentation should be included as an appendix.

MILSPERSMAN 1300-1200 (Safety and Expedited Transfers) provides Navy's guidance regarding expedited transfer requests for Service member victims who file Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault. Service member victims who file a Restricted Report are informed that the option is not available unless the report is converted to Unrestricted Report. Victims are informed of the option to request an expedited transfer during initial contact with the SARC, DRC, VLC, SAPR VA, or UVA, as a part of safety planning with the victim. Sailors who make an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault have the option to request a temporary or permanent reassignment within or away from the assigned duty station. Selected Reservists (SELRES) who are victims of sexual assault may request expedited transfers, reassignment to a different unit, or a different schedule than the alleged offender. SARCs are required to document this discussion and related expedited transfer data into DSAID.

Within 72 hours of receiving a request for an expedited transfer, the Commander must decide to whether to approve or refer to higher authority. If the Commander approves the transfer, he or she forwards the request and recommendation to Navy Personnel Command for processing (as applicable) and three-year documentation retention. In the case of a recommended denial of the expedited transfer, the Commander must immediately forward the reason in writing to the first Flag Officer, or Senior Executive Service (SES) equivalent in the requesting Service member's chain of command. The Flag Officer or SES must decide to approve or disapprove the request within 72 hours of receiving the command-level recommendation and then forward to Navy Personnel Command to process and file.

Challenges with expedited transfers include the following items:

- Victims do not want the gaining command to know of the sexual assault because it could negatively impact the victim's attempt to have a fresh start at the new command.
- Limitations of confidentiality exist when there is an ongoing investigation that may require the victim to return to the previous command or participate in judicial or administrative proceedings. Additionally, providing victims time to meet with counselors or other professionals providing victim care often require supervisors in the chain of command being aware of the general nature of appointments during normal working hours. SARCs discuss with the victims the possibilities of the new commander becoming aware of their case, especially if the investigation is still ongoing.

- Victims sometimes withdraw from the investigative and prosecutorial processes upon transfer.

4.14.1 Pertaining to temporary and/or permanent unit/duty expedited transfers (does NOT involve a Permanent Change of Station (PCS)), provide:

- **The number requested**
- **The number approved as the victim requested**
- **The number approved different than the victim requested**
- **The number denied and a summary of why**
- **The number moved within 30 days of approval**
- **The number moved after 30 days of approval**

Temporary and/or permanent unit/duty expedited transfers (does NOT involve a PCS) within Navy for FY14 are as follows:

- The number requested – 13
- The number approved as the victim requested – 13
- The number approved different than the victim requested – N/A
- The number denied and a summary of why – 0
- The number moved within 30 days of approval – 13
- The number moved after 30 days of approval – 0

4.14.2 Pertaining to permanent requested installation expedited transfers (does involve a PCS move), provide:

- **The number requested**
- **The number approved as the victim requested**
- **The number approved different than the victim requested**
- **The number denied and a summary of why**
- **The number moved within 30 days of approval**
- **The number moved after 30 days of approval**

Permanent requested installation expedited transfers (does involve a PCS move) within Navy for FY14 are as follows:

- The number requested – 151
- The number approved as the victim requested – 150
- The number approved different than the victim requested – N/A
- The number denied and a summary of why – 1, not a credible report of sexual assault
- The number moved within 30 days of approval – 150
- The number moved after 30 days of approval – 0

4.15 Describe your efforts to implement and enhance first responder training (e.g. sexual assault health care providers).

CNIC HQ SAPR developed standardized first responder training for SARCs. The training familiarizes first responders with the foundation of the SAPR program and can be tailored to meet the needs of the specific group being trained. Topics include the roles and responsibilities of the SARCs and SAPR VAs, SAPR policy, impact on mission readiness, prevention, bystander intervention strategies, and key SAPR resources. The training ensures standardized training throughout the enterprise and includes law enforcement, fire, and healthcare personnel. All Regions report facilitating first responder training in their area. In the field, there were many examples of promising practices, including:

- In the Europe/Southwest Africa/Asia region, first responder training was conducted in coordination with NCIS to ensure their protocols incorporate immediate notification of a SAPR VA or SARC for all sexual assault reports.
- In the Southwest region, SAPR training, specifically the roles of the SARC and SAPR VA, was integrated into the SAFE Examiner course.
- Northwest Region created a First Responder SAPR Medical Drill to train medical personnel on response policies, reporting options, available advocacy services, and patient referrals to SAPR personnel.
- All healthcare providers at the Brigade Medical Unit at USNA are trained using the DON approved Dartmouth Medical School interactive virtual practicum DVD as well as a supplemental Navy specific training on instruction and procedure. There are monthly drills performed to maintain proficiency of the process. There is annual training promulgated by BUMED for all SAFE providers.
- In Hawaii, civilian victim advocates conduct first responder training including active duty MAs and civilian security forces which guard the base.

Through the BUMED office of the Sexual Assault Medical Program Manager, SAFE providers and other SAPR stakeholders receive published SAFE program updates with topics germane to the performance of SAFEs. Moreover, Navy Medicine Professional Development Center hosts a bi-monthly SAFE Webinar online training series which also can be claimed for continuing education credits. Topics covered for FY14 include forensic toxicology provided by the Armed Forces Medical Examiner Office; Tips for Testifying provided by OJAG; and Forensic Science Issues provided by USACIL.

In FY14, TCAP partnered with the Navy Chaplain's Corps to provide world-wide, on-site training to chaplains on related legal issues including privileges and trauma informed support of victims and alleged perpetrators.

4.16 List the number of victims, if any, whose care was hindered due to lack of Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) kits or timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources and describe the measure you took to remedy the situation.

Navy Region Hawaii/Joint Base Pearl Harbor Honolulu completely relies on Tripler Army Medical Center (TAMC) for after-hours and weekend care of emergency room and SAFEs. TAMC's policy is to refer patients to off-base clinic if a Sexual Assault

Nurse Examiner (SANE) is not available. Having only one SANE assigned to TAMC is problematic. Three sexual assault victims were not able to have SAFEs completed at TAMC in July 2014 while the sole SANE for that MTF was on vacation. Two of the victims agreed to have SAFEs conducted at a local civilian medical facility, but one declined. Of the two who were seen at the civilian medical facility, only one received a SAFE. The other victim did not have a SAFE since the incident did not involve penetration. Since then, TAMC has two additional certified SANEs assigned.

4.17 Provide the following information about coverage for Sexual Assault Forensic Examinations for all Military Treatment Facilities (MTFs):

- **A list of MTFs with the number and hours of emergency room coverage**
- **The number of full-time Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) assigned at each MTF under your respective jurisdiction that operates an emergency room 24 hours per day**
- **A list of the number of qualified SAFE examiners by MTF, listed separately by employees and contractors, if any**
- **The number and types of providers (i.e. registered nurse, advanced practice registered nurse, medical doctor, physician assistant, independent duty corpsman)**
- **The dates of Service-certification to perform these exams (and/or national certification date) by provider**
- **The number of full-time equivalents (FTEs) assigned for sexual assault examiner response per facility and the types of providers assigned to those FTEs**
- **A listing of all MOU/MOA to provide SAFE services, with the location, distance from the facility, and execution and termination dates for each agreement**
- **How many SAFE kits were processed and results used to inform command action**

Complete 4.17 answers are provided in the following attachments:

- Appendix A: MTFs 24 Hours
- Appendix B: Occupancy Hours
- Appendix C: BUMED MOUs

- For the list of MTFs with the number and hours of emergency room coverage, see Appendix A.
- There are 14 FTE SANEs assigned at each MTF that operate an emergency room 24 hours per day.
- For the list of the number of qualified SAFE examiners by MTF, listed separately by employees and contractors, see Appendix A.
- For the number and types of medical providers see Appendices A and B.
- For the dates of Navy-certification to perform these exams (and/or national certification date) by provider, see Appendices A and B.

- For the number of full-time equivalents (FTEs) assigned for sexual assault examiner response per facility and the types of providers assigned to those FTEs, see Appendices A and B.
- For a listing of all MOU/MOA to provide SAFE services, with the location, distance from the facility, and execution and termination dates for each agreement, see Appendix C.
- In FY14 there were 195 SAFE kits processed, including active duty military, civilian, Unrestricted and Restricted Reports.

4.18 Provide information about any problems or challenges that have been encountered with MTFs during the previous year and the actions taken to improve the program or services.

Despite efforts to maintain Restricted Reports at MTFs, there are instances where DoD Law Enforcement is contacted, resulting in a report to local law enforcement as required by law. For example, the state of California mandates reporting. These actions result in victims' inability to maintain Restricted Reports.

The FY14 NDAA requirement to place a full-time SANE at all 24/7 emergency room capable facilities did not take into consideration the available credentialed, qualified, diverse sexual assault forensic examiners to include doctors, physician assistants, advanced nurse practitioners, and independent duty corpsmen. Navy Medicine met the requirement of the law utilizing existing resources (uniformed and civilian nurses) to support this requirement.

4.19 Describe your future plans for delivering consistent and effective victim support, response, and reporting options.

Navy will continue to adhere to and execute SAPR Program policy and guidance to ensure SARCs and SAPR VAs are delivering consistent and effective victim support and response. Additionally, CNIC will continue standardization efforts by reviewing training content and approving SAPR refresher training provided by SARCs to SAPR VAs. Navy will continue to evaluate staffing ratios across the enterprise to ensure adequate allocation of SARCs and professional SAPR VAs. CNIC will work with Regional SARCs (RSARCs) to ensure SARCs and SAPR VAs are completing the required training and credentialing requirements to ensure continuity of services and a superior level of excellence.

Moving into FY15, Navy will continue to develop, maintain, and further strengthen relationships and partnerships with key stakeholders in both the military and civilian jurisdictions. In order to assess the efficacy of SAPR response, regional SAPR Programs will work with Command Leadership to implement installation requirements under the CNIC SAPR Installation Drill Procedures, CNICINST1752.3, to enhance the installation response capability and ensure victims have access to SAPR services.

All Navy VLC billets are currently funded through the Future Years Defense Program (FYDP). A pending civilian billet to assume Deputy Chief of Staff duties for the Navy VLC Program will be funded beginning FY16 through the FYDP. The JAG Corps will continually assess demand for VLC services to ensure the Navy has the appropriate number of VLC in the right locations to be responsive to victims' needs. Additionally, Program Objectives Memorandum (POM)-16 has provided Naval Legal Service Command (NLSC) with $300,000 each year through the FYDP supporting VLC travel, training, and supplies, in addition to the referenced civilian billet (and associated labor funds). Commander, NLSC will request additional personnel and funding through the POM process as necessary to meet changes or increase in mission demand.

The Chaplain Corps, in collaboration with DON SAPRO, is moving forward to provide "Survivors of Sexual Violence Resiliency Retreats" through Chaplain Religious Enrichment Development Operation programs. These retreats will allow victims to reestablish their personal confidence and restore relationships. Additionally, they will assist victims to reduce the chances of being re-victimized by sexual predators.

BUMEDINST 6310.11 outlines standardized process for training and recertifying sexual assault forensic examiners who meet the Department of Justice protocol, thereby ensuring a basic level of competency available at all SAFE capable facilities. Through coordination with OPNAV and other Echelon II commands, Navy Medicine continues to explore ways on improving Health Insurance Portability & Accountability Act (HIPAA) compliance, privacy in reporting, and the requirements of reporting medical outcomes.

Navy recognizes the damage and challenges of retaliation experienced by victims of sexual assault who come forward. Through training and awareness, command-level engagement, analysis of survey data, and ensuring appropriate disciplinary action for those who commit retaliation, Navy will continue efforts to better understand the problem of retaliation and support the victims who experience it.

4.20 Compliance with DoD Victim/Witness Assistance Program (VWAP) reporting requirements to ensure victims are consulted with and regularly updated by SVIP legal personnel

RLSOs maintained 100% compliance with the DoD VWAP. VWAP compliance tracking was accomplished through the Navy-Marine Corps centralized Case Management System and is included as an assessment item on the bi-annual Article 6, UCMJ, Inspection plan.

4.21 Percentage of specially trained prosecutors and other legal support personnel having received additional and advanced training in SVIP topical areas

The Navy's nine STC supervise all Navy prosecutions, across the Navy Regions. In FY14, 100% of the STC possessed the following credentials:

- O-4 or above (STC RLSO Midwest is O-4 select);

- Military Justice Litigation Career Track (MJLCT) Experts or Specialists;
- Completed the U.S. Army SVUIC and/or NCIS AASAITP;
- Completed NJS Prosecuting Alcohol Facilitated Sexual Assault course; and
- Completed the 12-hour, on-site TCAP Targeted Mobile Training Team curriculum on adult sexual assault and special victims crime issues.

The STC supervise core trial counsel, first tour judge advocates, and paralegals, which are permanently assigned among the nine RLSO according to office caseloads. In FY14, of the 36 core trial counsel:

- 16% (6) were O-4 or above;
- 16% (6) were MJLCT Specialists;
- 66% (24) completed either SVUIC or AASAITP;
- 41% (15) completed NJS Prosecuting Alcohol Facilitated Sexual Assault course; and
- 83% (30) completed the TCAP Targeted Mobile Training Team.

All nine offices participated in 10 monthly webinars focusing on SVC/SVIP topics. Based upon the above information, all offices were certified SVC/SVIP capable by Director, TCAP, after an annual site-visit and inspection.

4.22 Provide status of developing and implementation of regulation that prohibits retaliation against a victim or other member of the Armed Forces who reports a criminal offense in accordance with Fiscal Year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act. Include measures to ensure Service members receive education and training pertaining to reprisal prevention and detections; policies and procedures for filing a complaint of retaliation.

SECNAVINST 5370.7D, Military Whistleblower Protection of 4 December 2014, prohibits retaliation against any person who reports a criminal offense, brings forward a complaint, or cooperates in the investigation process. Retaliation also includes ostracism and such acts of maltreatment committed by peers of a member of the Armed Forces or by other persons because the member reported a criminal offense. This policy is being widely publicized and discussed with leadership, especially by SARCs, to ensure an understanding that action will be taken on these types of offenses to protect victims and their rights to report. The instruction is punitive, so any acts of retaliation, ostracism or maltreatment may be punished as a violation of Article 92, UCMJ.

If the alleged offender is the victim's commander or otherwise in the victim's chain of command, sexual assault victims have the opportunity to go outside the chain of command to report the offense to NCIS, other commanders, the DoD Safe Helpline, or an Inspector General. If a Service member experiences any retaliatory action for making a report of sexual assault, he or she has a number of options to report the retaliation for investigation and appropriate action. To specifically ensure there are no retaliatory separations from the Navy, a Flag Officer reviews the records of any victim

who is being considered for involuntary separation within one year of a final adjudication of an Unrestricted Report, in accordance with SECNAVINST 1752.4B.

A victim who believes he or she is being retaliated against will be advised of services available via his or her VLC at the earliest stages of their professional relationship. Complaints of Wrong (Navy Regulations Article 1150/ or UCMJ Article 138) are specifically addressed in the Scope of Representation Letter signed by both the VLC and the client under the section dealing with Scope of Legal Services provided. Additionally, victims may call an IG hotline or seek the assistance of defense counsel in order to determine their options or pursue a complaint.

Service members who experience any retaliatory personnel action for making a report of sexual assault may also submit an application for correction of military records in accordance with SECNAVINST 5420.193, NAVPERSCOMINST 5420.1, and MILPERSMAN Article 1000-150.

DoD collects data on victim retaliation using three sources: DEOCS, WGRS, and the Survivor Experience Survey (SES). Combining these three data sources provides a more robust understanding of Sailor perception and personal experiences. Navy will continue to use the three data sources to assess policies and initiatives regarding retaliation.

LOE 5 – Assessment—The objective of assessment is to "effectively standardize, measure, analyze, assess, and report program progress."

5.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Assessment Endstate: "responsive, meaningful, and accurate systems of measurement and evaluation into every aspect of the SAPR program."

In developing responsive, meaningful, and accurate systems of measurement and evaluation, Navy draws on data from sexual assault reports, survey instruments, focus group discussions, and other measures to evaluate the SAPR program and inform strategy and policies.

DSAID, the centralized data source, is utilized to assess progress, conduct trend analysis, and tailor effective initiatives. Collaboration with DoD SAPRO, DON SAPRO, and the other Services provides alignment and standardization on multiple DoD-wide survey efforts. Navy also executes surveys and polls, providing valuable feedback for efforts to eliminate sexual assault.

During FY14, Navy regions engaged in their own proactive methods to fulfill DoD's endstate to assess the SAPR program. Monthly SACMGs are used as a means of evaluating the SAPR program, assessing the quality of care and support provided to sexual assault victims and the effectiveness, or lack thereof, of various resources utilized within each case. FFSCs receive valuable feedback on SAPR services from quarterly and annual anonymous surveys to FFSC clients.

SAPR Focus Groups were conducted at installations in fleet concentration areas in an effort to explore the effective delivery of SAPR services and identify possible barriers to junior Sailors reporting a sexual assault. Feedback was consolidated and provided to the regions in order to eliminate barriers and improve SAPR services.

Regional practices also include the following:

- Commander, Navy Region Southeast implemented an installation scorecard to assess training, SARC/SAPR VA accessibility, monthly required SACMG meetings, and incident tracking data.
- Navy Region Mid-Atlantic conducted 16 focus groups with junior Sailors, instituted a victim co-advocacy program, and developed new training on male-on-male sexual assault as a result of participant feedback.

USNA formed a SAPR Advisory Panel to provide an ongoing end-to-end review of the Academy's SAPR efforts. Feedback from Brigade focus groups provides a pulse check on current attitudes regarding SAPR efforts, command climate, training, and impressions of sexual assault issues, as well as in shaping Midshipmen and staff training and engagement. Additional efforts employed to measure, evaluate, and improve the SAPR program include:

- Midshipmen evaluating effectiveness of the Sexual Harassment and Assault Prevention Education Program.

- Fleet Mentor Feedback providing informal feedback on the effectiveness of each SAPR session via a one-page critique from each Fleet Mentor.
- USNA SARCs/VAs encouraging personnel receiving SAPR services to participate in an anonymous survey from CNIC. Survey feedback allows for performance assessment and generates specific ideas for improvement.
- The Class of 2018 participating in an anonymous Plebe Summer Survey measuring incoming Midshipman opinions pertaining to rape myths, bystander intervention, and other concepts relating to sexual harassment and sexual assault. The results were compiled and evaluated to ensure Plebe sexual assault training will effectively meet the unique needs of the class.

To assess various aspects of the SAPR program, USFF:

- Developed standardized data and automated reports. Within the sexual assault tracking spreadsheet, USFF defined and standardized field entries, allowing analysis of cumulative data. A dashboard was developed and other recurring reports were automated to improve accuracy and display timeliness with trends and better target prevention efforts.
- Implemented the Commander Readiness Assessment Visit, a graded event used by the ISIC to assess ships' personnel readiness programs and provide assistance and training to those focus areas that require additional attention. With regard to SAPR, an assessment occurred, at a minimum, once every 27 months and assessed/validated the command's SAPR Program.

PACFLT Echelon III commands reported significant progress towards measuring and evaluating numerous aspects of the SAPR program. The PACFLT SAPRO maintained databases of sexual assault SITREPs, first Flag Officer Reports, and adjudication results and analyzed the combined data on a monthly and quarterly basis to determine trends and adherence to DoD, DON, and OPNAV SAPR guidelines/policies.

- *USS George Washington* - The command religious ministries program led an initiative to track various "human performance" metrics, including counseling, NJP, and other measures of crew stress or crisis.
- Fleet Readiness Center Southwest - The SAPR team conducts monthly meetings to discuss new initiatives and potential areas of improvement. The Commander and Command SEL attend monthly round table meetings with the Base Commander, local medical, and NCIS discussing all open cases.
- Navy Expeditionary Combat Command Pacific - Echelon IV commands conduct monthly commander-level meetings to discuss initiatives with the Installation Commander, Installation SARC, and all applicable SAPR liaisons.
- Commander Naval Surface Forces Pacific - Upon completion/resolution of each case, the process is reviewed, and lessons learned are discussed with all key stakeholders.

Navy continues to assess the effectiveness of prevention and response methodologies through collected data, metrics analysis, surveys, focus groups, and other feedback mechanisms. As Navy knowledge increases of circumstances surrounding these

incidents, the ability to target prevention efforts and respond properly improves.

5.2 Describe your oversight activities that assess the SAPR program effectiveness. Include frequency, methods used, findings and recommendations, corrective action taken (e.g., program management review and Inspector General inspections), and other activities.

Senior Navy leadership plays a critical role by providing oversight, guidance, and review of SAPR programs. The SAPR Director regularly meets one-on-one with the CNO to discuss program updates and initiatives. The Director also provides a regular update to a panel of three-star admirals. All Navy four-star admirals, led by the CNO, meet quarterly via video teleconference to discuss SAPR issues and program updates. The Navy SAPR cross-functional team meets monthly with stakeholders to discuss progress and share best practices. SAPR is an ongoing agenda item at the Joint Chiefs of Staff and Service Operations Deputies Tank briefings as well as the USFF Task Force and PACFLT Executive Steering Committee meetings. Navy senior leadership have regular, face-to-face engagements with the fleet during on-site visits where senior leaders hear directly from Sailors and share information about Navy SAPR initiatives. Regional SAPR Officers provide a means to disseminate information and best practices to the regional and local levels.

Direction from the Secretary of the Navy requires the Naval Inspector General to inspect, investigate, assess, or inquire into important matters, including SAPR-related programs on all command inspections and area visits. These inspections offer additional oversight to assess compliance and quality of programs, and ensure the quality of SAPR efforts executed across the fleet. Navy SAPRO provides on-site support for command inspections, area visits, and special inquiries as requested. The Naval Inspector General is also available on an as-needed basis should concerns or complaints arise related to SAPR.

Navy assesses the success of its SAPR program through periodic surveys of Sailors. Survey results are compared to actual Restricted and Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault to assess Service member confidence in the system and willingness to report. The following surveys were used in 2014:

- RAND Military Workplace Study (RMWS) – Utilizing newly designed assessment criteria and methods, the RMWS assigned a version of the prior 2012 WGRA questionnaire to a small number of service members, and analyzed the comparable results to provide historical trends. The FY14 prevalence estimates were calculated using the 2012 WGRA data. Estimates of sexual assault prevalence in the Navy are based on the percentage of surveyed Sailors who had at least one experience of unwanted sexual contact (which includes contact, attempted penetration, and penetration offenses) in the previous 12 months, and represent both male and female victims of various offense types. The results show a decrease in prevalence for both male and female victims and indicate Navy's efforts are working.
- Survivor Experience Survey (SES) – Launched in June 2014, the SES is

administered specifically to military sexual assault victims who filed either a Restricted or Unrestricted Report. Initial results from the survey are based on the 22 Navy responses received to date. As survivor survey responses are collected, they will provide feedback on processes from the victim's perspective in areas such as support services, command actions, and peer responses. This information will play a vital role in assessing Navy's progress, and help shape future policies and programs.

- "A" School Exit Surveys – Over 1,800 women and 5,600 men completed the voluntary anonymous surveys since initial fielding in August 2013. Indications continue to suggest that Sailors in "A" School training environments have a low incidence rate of sexual assault compared to other Navy environments. Results directly reflect the efforts made in training environments and the engagement of local commanders. This survey underscores Navy's commitment to seeking insights and assessing progress in combatting sexual assault. NETC and DON SAPRO continue to develop and expand their collaborative efforts to conduct sexual assault surveys of all Navy "A" School graduates at Navy's five largest "A" School concentration sites:
    - Great Lakes, Illinois;
    - Pensacola, Florida;
    - Meridian, Mississippi;
    - Groton, Connecticut; and
    - San Antonio, Texas.
- DEOCS – Since January 2014, Navy DEOCS 4.0, which includes new and revised SAPR climate questions containing seven measures: (1) perceptions of safety, (2) chain of command support, (3) publicity of SAPR information, (4) unit reporting climate, (5) perceived barriers to reporting sexual assault, (6) unit prevention climate with bystander intervention, and (7) restricted reporting knowledge.

These surveys provide leadership with direct feedback from deckplate Sailors. Local commanders can assess their command climate in comparison with Navy and DoD averages, and take appropriate action as necessary to address specific areas of concern. Examples include local training on proper reporting channels, intolerance of retaliation, and effective bystander intervention methods. Navy uses this information continuously to assess the effectiveness of policy and training initiatives and then refine activities or training. Examples of action taken as a result of DEOCS feedback include revision to the sexual assault training module at Command Leadership School (now NLEC), creation of Navy-wide bystander intervention skills training, and additional training and processes to address perceived barriers to reporting.

Additional Assessment Methods:

- In addition to surveys, Navy conducts data calls, routine inspections, and periodic self-assessments and participates in ongoing Executive Steering Committees and meetings to assess SAPR program effectiveness. SITREPs and OPREPs are monitored for additional data and trends.
- Focus Groups – The Defense Manpower Data Center conducted focus groups

with each of the Services in 2014. One training site (Pensacola, Florida) and one operational site (Norfolk, Virginia) were chosen to host the event. Focus group leaders divided groups by gender and rank to facilitate an honest and open discourse on Sailors' perceptions of SAPR initiatives in a non-attribution environment. Direct engagements like the focus groups influence decisions to modify delivery of training. Changes such as the desire for more peer-to-peer training have been made, as captured in the new Bystander Intervention to the Fleet training.

- OJAG – The NLSC Inspector General includes SAPR in biennial self-assessments and on-site inspections. The inspection program relies on performance metrics obtained through existing case management systems, surveys, and qualitative assessments from OJAG, NLSC leadership, subject matter experts, client commands, military judges, and command members.
- CNIC – CNIC HQ SAPR continuously reviews the SAPR Program through engagement with the RSARCs, to include monthly auditing of DSAID data entry, monthly monitoring of 24/7 on-call installation response, and regional monthly verification of 24/7 Navy SAPR response numbers for the Safe Helpline
- BUMED – To support a more frequent review than the requisite tri-annual inspections, regional IG teams have been developed to conduct BUMED site visits evaluating weaknesses within the local programs including SAPR. SAPROs provide guidance to the local BUMED commanders on implementing process improvements and establishing standardized procedures. Navy Medicine also developed a sexual assault annual audit within the Manager Internal Control Program (MICP), which assesses Navy Medicine risk regarding, personnel trained, screened, and appointed, HIPAA requirements, case confidentiality, adequate victim support resources, collateral misconduct, sexual assault knowledge, and review of local guidance.

Continued Region participation in an Executive Steering Committee provides an opportunity to provide information on the number of Restricted/Unrestricted Reports, basic incident demographics, and installation SAPR initiatives. Regions also report continuing efforts to monitor 24/7 on-call response rates, timely and accurate DSAID entry, and monitoring of all sexual assaults at installations in an effort to develop trends.

5.3 Describe your efforts to ensure integrity of data collected in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database.

To ensure data integrity, metrics and details pertaining to reported sexual assaults (i.e., demographics, type of incident, case specifics) are continually collected, tracked and analyzed across the fleet. The 21st Century Sailor Office conducts quarterly DSAID audits for consistency and completeness of Navy-wide data.

CNIC HQ SAPR continues work with RSARCs as well as installation SARCs to ensure 100% of sexual assault reports are recorded in DSAID and works to assist regions in achieving a 0% error rate with their cases. RSARCs receive monthly DSAID Quality

Assurance reports from CNIC HQ, and ensure gaps and inconsistencies within DSAID are corrected by SARCs. CNIC HQ continuously provides updated policy and guidance from DoD to the Regions throughout the year.

Judge advocates are responsible for data input in the DSAID legal officer module related to dispositions, and also synchronize with NCIS and CNIC for year-end data analysis.

Regions perform monthly audits to ensure all open unrestricted cases are being reviewed at SACMG and cases are promptly closed in DSAID. SARCs work collaboratively with NCIS, command legal, and other SACMG members to obtain case numbers, initial dispositions, and other critical data for DSAID entry. The impact of this collaborative effort is accurate data.

Navy Medicine reviews submitted data and ensures alignment with DSAID data. Navy Medicine works closely with other Echelon II commands with the DON to ensure each sexual assault is tracked through closure.

5.4 Provide a summary of your research and data collection activities conducted in FY14. Include documentation in the appendix.

Navy performs a number of activities for data collection and research. As mentioned earlier, DEOCS and A School Exit Surveys are major assessment tools. Additional data collection efforts include the following:

- RAND Military Workplace Study – Confidential surveys are currently the best tool available to estimate the number of sexual assault incidents in the Navy. The RMWS was conducted from August through September 2014, as explained previously in Section 5.2.
- DSAID Data – CNIC HQ SAPR collects and analyzes DSAID case data on a monthly basis. CNIC HQ ensures that all cases have been accounted for within DSAID, appropriate fields have been populated, and any discrepancies with NCIS are remedied. CNIC HQ also utilizes a SADR tracker to monitor all unrestricted cases, and ensure that the appropriate steps in the investigation are reflected within DSAID.
- Regions use the data to create quad charts and other tables and graphs in order to analyze pertinent components of sexual assault including: assault location, gender, age, time of day/year and rank. Data is analyzed to extrapolate trends and share with commands during Executive Steering Committees, and with CNIC HQ.
- The Chaplain Corps conducted a data call requesting the total number of sexual assault victims (without personally identifiable information) seen by chaplains for confidential care who did not make either a restricted or unrestricted report.
- BUMED developed the MICP as an audit for medicine commands to assess risk associated with the program and report out to the commander and the ISIC. The assessment tool was developed in alignment with SECNAV-M-5200.35,

DoD 6495.02, and the 5 DoD SAPR Lines of Effort for Navy medicine commands to conduct a risk assessment associated with both the SAFE and SAPR program. Questions were developed to:
  - Ensure that personnel are adequately trained, certified, and understand the different types of sexual assault reporting;
  - Ensure the delivery of gender-specific protocols, privacy, and HIPAA policies are regulated;
  - Maintain accurate staffing;
  - Track and trend data; and
  - Use data for the development of prevention strategies.
- See BUMED's MICP risk assessment, attached in Appendix D.
- USFF developed a risk assessment matrix to analyze risk for sexual assault based on deployment cycle. Deep analysis of the nature of sexual assault in the fleet revealed the types of assault vary based on the actual deployment cycle of deploying units. USS *Mahan* developed a risk matrix that helps commanders look forward at mission changes and how that manifests in risk for the different types of sexual assault. The matrix has been distributed through the Atlantic Fleet Surface Force.

5.5 Describe your efforts to explore the feasibility of a SARC Military Occupational Specialty (MOS) or restructuring of military table of organization; addition of skill identifiers.

Nine of Navy's 75 SARCs are currently active duty Navy officers or enlisted Sailors. Navy is assessing the value in providing a unique identifier for these personnel. Navy Medicine is also exploring the option to create an additional qualification designator for SAFE Providers.

5.6 Describe your efforts to assess the feasibility of incorporating sexual assault prevention training in Family Readiness, Family Advocacy Program (FAP), and Substance Abuse programs to enhance FAP and SAPR collaboration and training.

The 21st Century Sailor Office is responsible for Navy SAPR and FAP policy, ensuring collaboration and synchronization within each program.

CNIC HQ continues to engage with the CNIC Counseling and Advocacy Program to collaborate on FAP and SAPR efforts, as well as encouraging collaborations within the regions.

Regions report that at many installations activities and programs are run jointly; SAPR and FAP staffs regularly attend and contribute to activities, programs, and training sessions facilitated by the both offices. One example is the "Rock the Pier" talent show held by Navy Region Mid-Atlantic during Domestic Violence Awareness month in February. The event, which had command leadership involvement, helped disseminate the message that victims are supported throughout the process and that

reporting is safe for victims.

5.7 Describe your plans for FY15 that pertain to synchronizing and standardizing the SAPR program across the Joint Force (from Joint/Service basing to forward stationed and deployed units worldwide).

Joint bases coordinate SAPR efforts to include data sharing, joint training, and joint SACMG meetings. SARCs continue to work with all tenant commands, including deployable commands while in port, train SAPR team members, and give SAPR command training. Some regions have included initiatives within their FY15 FFSC Business Plan to work with U.S. Marines, U.S. Army, U.S. Air Force, and U.S. Coast Guard to focus on fostering the relationships currently in place and to further create more specific policies to encourage cooperative relationships.

Navy Medicine is working with CNIC to identify all MOU's throughout the Navy to support sexual assault victims. In addition, Navy Medicine is working with the other services to identify requirements and areas not covered by MOU's.

USFF and PACFLT will continue their current efforts to synchronize and standardize the SAPR program across the Joint Force through working relationships with Joint Commanders and cross-functional teams, with added improvements where possible. For example, in PACFLT the Naval Air Facility, Misawa and the U.S. Air Force Wing Commander are routing a change to the current SAPR MOU to better respond to issues affecting both the Navy and Air Force Communities.

5.8 Describe your efforts to increase collaboration with civilian organizations to improve interoperability.

As discussed in 1.12, 3.10, and 4.13, collaboration between military and civilian organizations is important to the successful implementation of the sexual assault prevention and response program. To this end, many of the installation SAPR Programs developed partnerships with local rape crisis centers, state coalitions, hospitals, police departments, legal aid organizations, and community mental health resources. These partnerships are solidified by collaborating on volunteer victim advocate training, establishing MOUs between hospitals and commands to ensure expedient care for sexual assault victims, and participating in local SARTs to be aware of trends and incidents of sexual assault in the local area.

The USNA SAPR Office attends monthly meetings with the local SART which offers a multi-disciplinary approach to sexual assault prevention. Key stakeholders such as Anne Arundel Medical Center, YWCA of Annapolis, local Rape Crisis Center, and area SARCs from neighboring Navy installations all come together to review case studies, share best practices, and discuss trend analysis locally. USNA collaborates with Mercy Medical Center's SANE, who periodically addresses the midshipmen regarding forensic evidence collection and the medical process. The USNA response team attends briefings and conferences offered by the Maryland Coalition Against Sexual

Assault on relevant topics and invites staff to address the midshipmen periodically as well. USNA will also join with other universities in the "It's On Us" White House campaign, as explained in section 5.9.

5.9 Describe your future plans for effectively standardizing, measuring, analyzing, assessing, and reporting program progress.

FY14 was the first year that DSAID was the main system in use, and it will continue to undergo revisions and updates to improve the methods used to capture and organize data. In future years, improvements to DSAID will allow for more standardized data input and analysis.

To obtain more timely prevalence data and trends, Navy explored incorporating a Prevalence Question into the DEOCS. The results of this question would not be provided to the unit, only aggregated and provided to the 21st Century Sailor Office. DEOMI is currently reviewing the proposed survey question.

Other Navy stakeholders and Fleet entities report the following future SAPR plans:

- CNIC HQ SAPR will continue to work with regions to analyze data and programs in order to implement policies and procedures which promote a safe environment for all Sailors and an environment where reporting is protected and encouraged. CNIC will continue to perform monthly DSAID audits, 24/7 on-call audits, and site visits to ensure that Regions are adhering to policies and procedures. CNIC will also continue to monitor relevant trends in assaults across regions, share best practices, and devise applicable policies and procedures to combat sexual assault on military installations.
- CNIC will continue to work with the regions on expanding installation evaluation methods including surveys, self-assessments, and/or quality assurance review. CNIC will work to standardize evaluation methods across regions and develop new methods for ensuring every Sailor receives the best support possible under the SAPR Program.
- Commander, Navy Reserve Force (CNRF) - CNRF SAPRO has partnered with the USFF SAPR team leadership to ensure unique Reserve issues are implemented in the forthcoming USFF Campaign Plan. CNRF will implement Bystander Intervention to the Fleet requirements across the Reserve Force in such a way that recognizes unique Selected Reserve challenges while preserving total Navy standardization. Measurement and analysis of the program will continue at the CNRF level.
- USFF - Fleet Forces is developing a SITREP data tool to automatically generate operational unit reports for all destructive behaviors. The data tool will streamline and simplify the reports, automatically standardizing reporting across Navy and collecting data for analysis. The tool was fielded October 2014 with Atlantic Fleet transition by April 2015.
- PACFLT - Continues to analyze DEOMI Survey results to better understand current data across the region and focus program efforts to trending issues.

PACFLT will incorporate unit level analysis into the assessments to determine if there are possibly any command-level factors that could lead to higher incidents of sexual assault.

- Commander, Submarine Forces PACFLT - Will increase frequency of assist visits in reviewing SAPR program effectiveness and oversight and D-SAACP compliance by providing squadron commanders periodic D-SAACP certification status for submarines and other operational units' SAPR VAs.

USNA has outlined several future SAPR initiatives. In January 2015, the Academy hosted the Dartmouth College Summit on Sexual Assault Working Groups. The purpose of the event is to continue collaboration and discussions among approximately 60 personnel from colleges and universities around the country, providing a venue to share campaign best practices and brainstorm ways to work together. The second Military Service Academy SAPR Colloquium will be held in the spring 2015, with the campaign as one of its topics.

USNA will also participate in the White House's "It's On Us" campaign, directed at stopping sexual assault on college campuses.

- Introduce the Campaign to the 4,500 Brigade of Midshipmen at a Brigade-wide meal.
- Integrate the Campaign into Midshipmen peer education sessions facilitated by Midshipmen to promote the campaign at the Company (approximately 150 Midshipmen) level.
- Modify the website and use social media to promote the campaign to the Brigade of Midshipmen, faculty and staff.
- Create a Midshipmen-led video to promote the campaign as part of the ongoing video series in support of Sexual Assault prevention.
- Interaction by alumni and the surrounding community to promote the campaign on the stadium video board at upcoming home football games .

6. Overarching Tenet: Communication and Policy

6.1 Describe your efforts to post and widely disseminate sexual assault information (e.g., Safe Helpline, hotline phone numbers and internet websites) to Service members, eligible dependents, and civilian personnel of the DoD.

As explained in detail in section 4.9, sexual assault information is disseminated to Service members, eligible dependents, and civilian personnel using a variety of media designed to reach the widest audience possible. In addition, the following measures add to Navy-wide communication and dissemination of SAPR information:

- SARCs educate organizations on the Safe Helpline and assist with obtaining promotional supplies (brochures, pamphlets, wallet-sized cards, posters, key chains, lanyards, newsletters, bulletin boards, command plans of the day/week, marquees, websites, etc.) to facilitate advertisement and access to this resource.
- Navy commands are required to have three local SAPR VAs' contact information (telephone, online, text) listed directly below the Safe Helpline logo on the command website.
- The NavyLive blog, the official blog of the United States Navy, is a vehicle to communicate information, progress reports, and public service announcement videos. The blog provides a search option to access specific subjects and links to 21st Century Sailor information. Senior leadership posts to the blog include VCNO posts addressing the RAND Military Workplace Study.
- Director, 21st Century Sailor holds face-to-face engagements in fleet concentration areas worldwide, ashore and afloat. To ensure widest dissemination of information, the Director posts information and embedded video on SAPR and relevant 21st Century Sailor subjects on the NavyLive blog.
- U.S. Navy Twitter tweets, re-tweets, and modified-tweets information about SAPR or links to SAPR information.
- Sexual Assault Awareness and Prevention Month, recognized annually in April, provides an opportunity Navy-wide to partner with other Services and civilian communities to communicate awareness of sexual assault.
- CNO directed Fleet Commanders to designate a Flag Officer, reporting directly to the Fleet Commander, as the SAPR program leader for each Navy installation/fleet concentration area and associated local commands. This designated Flag Officer established regular conversations with appropriate installation/local command representatives, local community, and civic leaders to review SAPR program efforts. Flag Officers regularly inform Fleet Commanders of prevention and response trends and opportunities for improvement within their area of responsibility. The Flag Officer also ensures that community outreach and engagement are part of each area's prevention and response measures. Commanders and all Flag Officers work with stakeholders to discuss command climate and SAPR readiness issues.
- Fleet Commanders participate in quarterly SAPR-dedicated video teleconferences with the CNO to discuss trends and recommend future initiatives.

- The Public Affairs Office strategic communication plan includes N17 Blogs, Navy news stories, and engagement with media in fleet concentration areas.

6.2 Provide updates on your development and implementation of specialized medical and mental health care policy for sexual assault victims. If applicable, provide a copy of your updated implementation plan in the appendix.

In FY14, the CNIC HQ Counseling and Advocacy Program promulgated additional implementing guidance for all FFSC clinical counselors on the coordination and provision of counseling services for victims of trauma, including victims of sexual assault.

CNIC guidance directs that clients presenting to a FFSC for treatment of sexual assault or other trauma-related incidents receive a comprehensive assessment by a clinical counselor at the time of intake. Once the assessment has been completed and reveals the client has a diagnosable condition falling outside the scope of the FFSC, a referral will be provided to the MTF. No client will be referred to the MTF for treatment unless a thorough intake assessment has been completed and the assessment indicates a referral.

FFSC clinical counselors provide treatment to victims of sexual assault, or other trauma-related incidents, when the intake assessment indicates their diagnosis is subclinical in nature and falls within the FFSC scope of practice. For example, a victim disclosing sexual assault but not meeting the criteria for a PTSD diagnosis and who is diagnosed with “Other Conditions that May be a Focus of Clinical Attention” may be treated at the FFSC.

Recently promulgated guidance emphasized the requirement ensuring clients are assigned to one clinical counselor, providing clinical care and support throughout the life of a case. Counseling and Advocacy Program supervisors make every effort to ensure a client remains with the same counselor throughout the course of treatment.

If a referral is made for treatment outside the FFSC, the clinical counselor is responsible for ensuring the referral process is conducted with a warm hand off, providing continuity of care and support to the client.

The DRC initiative was fully implemented in FY14 to enhance victim services and response. DRCs are attached to aircraft carriers and large deck amphibious ships. DRCs provide clinical, educational, and supportive services across a spectrum of care.

BUMED released the following policy:

- BUMEDINST 6310.11A CH-1, SAPR Medical – Forensic Program, of 18 September 2013, outlines the SAFE program ensuring comprehensive medical management for victims of sexual assault at MTFS and provides templates for MOUs/MOAs when needed.
- BUMEDINST 6300.16A, Navy Abortion Policy, of 24 April 2014, outlines

guidance regarding abortions in Navy MTFs and the approval processes for the provision of abortion services when the pregnancy is the result of an act of rape or incest, and when the life of the mother would be endangered if the fetus were carried to term.

6.3 Describe your ongoing efforts to review, revise, update, and issue policy pertaining to:

- **The record of dispositions of unrestricted reports.**
- **General education for correction of military records when victims experience retaliation.**

FY14 NDAA mandated new requirements regarding the record of disposition of unrestricted reports. Navy policy on the record of disposition of Unrestricted Reports was promulgated via ALNAV 061/14, Implementation of the SADR. The SADR (NAVPERS 1752/1) is required upon final disposition of an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault within Navy. Final disposition is defined as action taken to resolve the reported incident, documenting the case outcome, and addressing the misconduct by the alleged offender/subject, as appropriate. Final dispositions may include military justice proceedings, NJP, administrative actions, and administrative separation actions. If multiple actions are taken, commands report the most serious action taken.

NAVADMIN 189/14 (Inclusion and Command Review of Information on Sex-Related Offenses in Personnel Service Records) promulgated policy to meet the FY14 NDAA requirement for inclusion and command review of information on sex-related offenses in personnel service records. The policy was made to alert commanders of members in their commands who have received a court-martial conviction or NJP for these offenses to reduce the likelihood that repeat offenses will escape their notice.

Upon conviction at court-martial or imposition of NJP for any sex-related offense or an attempt to commit any of these offenses, Commanders shall submit and file the Sex Offense Accountability Record (NAVPERS 1070/887) in a member's official military personnel file, where it will remain for the duration of his or her career. Commanders are required to conduct a mandatory review of each Service member's personnel record for notation of those sex-related offenses for all newly reporting personnel within 30 days.

Navy policy for correction of military records when victims experience retaliation is covered in ALNAV 030/14 to all Navy personnel, prohibiting retaliation against members of the DON who report a criminal offense, including victims of sexual assault. In December 2014, the Secretary of the Navy signed out SECNAV Instruction 5370.7D, Military Whistleblower Protection. General information and the process for correction of military records due to retaliation, including retaliation for reporting a sexual assault, are addressed in the instruction.

In addition to promulgating official policy to all Navy personnel via release of ALNAV 030/14 and SECNAV Instruction 5370.7D, general education for correction of military

records when victims experience retaliation was accomplished using the following:

- Chief of Naval Personnel Public Affairs released a story on the navy.mil website and posted information on the weekly wire (a weekly roll up of top Navy stories). A link was tweeted out to both.
- All Hands Magazine (hard copy and online) ran an article titled "Five Things to Know About Reprisals and Retaliation." The article also provides resources for Sailors who wish to have their record corrected for action taken as a result of retaliation.

6.4 Describe your efforts to sustain policy for General or Flag officer review of and concurrence in adverse administrative actions and separation of victims making an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault in FY14.

In accordance with MILPERSMAN 1910-704, Commander, Navy Personnel Command (a Flag Officer) is the Separation Authority for involuntary separation of active duty members who are the alleged victims of a sexual assault. All administrative separation actions involving victims of sexual assault are reviewed by the Flag Officer to ensure victims receive full and fair consideration of their military Service and that such determinations are consistent and appropriate.

7. Secretary of Defense Initiatives

7.1 Enhancing Commander Accountability—Describe your progress in developing methods of assessing commander effectiveness in establishing command climates of dignity and respect. Include efforts made by your Service to incorporate SAPR prevention and victim care principles in their commands, and efforts made to hold them accountable.

Navy's sexual assault strategy focuses on setting the conditions and creating a culture in which sexual assault is not tolerated, ignored, or condoned. Setting the right command culture is critical to addressing and preventing all destructive behaviors. Cultural elements include the policies, command statements, actions, values, and personal comportment of the entire team. This strategy uses a multi-pronged approach that includes prevention at the institutional, command, and individual levels. Key prevention strategy components are leadership and Sailor engagement, stakeholder and community involvement, training and awareness, appropriate offender accountability, and continuous assessment. Accountability at all levels is vital to this approach.

Commanders' fitness reports contain two performance traits that are specifically used to rate personal bearing and character, and the commanders' performance in establishing a command climate of dignity and respect. A policy update on 28 August 2013 codified that to achieve high marks in these categories. Commanders must demonstrate how they have cultivated or maintained command climates where improper discrimination of any kind, sexual harassment, sexual assault, hazing, and other inappropriate conduct is not tolerated; where all hands are treated with dignity and respect; and where professionalism is the norm.

Within the continuum of harm framework, Navy seeks to prevent all degrees of harmful behavior. The overarching imperative at all levels of the chain of command is to establish organizational behavior expectations that are clearly communicated and consistently maintained. The performance, safety, and climate of a unit begin and end with the commander. Leaders drive the command climate and culture and ensure a safe and productive working environment. The actions and attitude of leaders set the example and define Navy's organizational culture. Leaders set an expectation of dignity, mutual respect, and professionalism among shipmates.

Based on analysis of sexual assault reports and cases, Navy understands many of the circumstances of sexual assault incidents. The commander is responsible to address these factors by fostering an appropriate command climate of dignity and respect for everyone and ensuring safe workplaces and living areas. Overall, the commander is responsible for good order and discipline of the unit and the well-being of all Sailors.

Because of the inherent responsibility of commanders, the screening processes to select them are rigorous. Commanders must meet strict professional and performance qualification standards as well. As described in the "Charge of Command" that all Navy officers sign in the presence of their reporting senior upon taking command,

commanders are responsible and accountable for everything that happens in their commands. By virtue of experience, skill, and training, Navy commanders are the best assessors of their people and are the key to sustaining the readiness of their unit. Effective and permanent climate change in Navy must be implemented through commanders.

Within 30 days of assuming command, commanders and their leadership team will receive a Commanders' Toolkit and a SAPR in-brief from their SARC that includes information regarding trends for the command or area of responsibility, local risk reduction strategies, Restricted Reporting confidentiality requirements, and the SAPR program requirements. The commander will also receive training from a judge advocate on MRE 514 privilege, SA-IDA, the SADR, and the Sex Offense Accountability Record.

Commanders are responsible for establishing and maintaining an immediate, coordinated, and effective 24/7 sexual assault response capability ensuring timely access to appropriate victim services, including medical care, victim advocacy, VLC, counseling, criminal investigation of Unrestricted Reports, VWAP information, and chaplain support.

Assessing Command Climate

Navy mandates that commands conduct the DEOCS command climate survey within 90 days of a change of command, and annually thereafter. The DEOCS asks Sailors to rate their perceptions of leadership support for SAPR. Sailor perceptions averaged between "agree" and "strongly agree" since this question was added to the DEOCS.

After results of the DEOCS are provided to commanders, they are required to conduct a face-to-face debrief with the ISIC on the results of the survey and a plan of action to address any opportunities for improvement. Commanders are also required to brief their units on the survey results and resultant command actions.

Sexual assault is an underreported crime. Increasing the number of sexual assault reports is an indicator of command climate improvement. When a Sailor trusts the command to respond appropriately, he or she is more likely to make a report. Therefore, Navy aims to increase confidence in the confidentiality and quality of program resources to help Sailors feel empowered to report. Further, Commanders must immediately refer all allegations of sexual assault to an MCIO as well as ensure allegations of retaliation or reprisal against victims or witnesses who report an offense are investigated.

Subsequent to a report of a sexual assault, victims who make an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault may request an expedited transfer to another command, duty station or a different schedule than the alleged offender. Within 72 hours of receiving a request for an expedited transfer, the commander must decide to approve or refer to higher authority in the case of expedited transfer disapproval. If the expedited transfer

request is disapproved by the commander, the first Flag Officer, or SES equivalent, in the requesting Sailor's chain of command must decide to approve or disapprove the request within 72 hours of receiving the command-level recommendation.

Commanders are directed to provide a personal report to the first Flag Officer in the chain of command within 30 days of the initial notification of a report of sexual assault, as operational circumstances allow. This report includes review of the case circumstances, possible root causes and key enablers to sexual assault, command climate, and best practices. These reports also provide information about care and support provided to the victim, initiatives the command will take (or has taken) to prevent future occurrences, and the impact to the command's ability to carry out its mission.

Victim's Commanders attend monthly SACMG meetings, chaired by the Installation CO or XO, to review individual Unrestricted Report cases, facilitate monthly victim updates, and direct system coordination, accountability, entry of disposition, and victim access to quality services. Within Navy, the ISIC or other appropriate representative attends during periods when the unit is underway or deployed. Otherwise, this is a non-delegable commander responsibility. Commanders are responsible for providing a monthly update on the status of the case to the victim within 72 hours of the SACMG.

The Naval Inspector General inspects, investigates, assesses, or makes inquiries into important matters, including SAPR-related programs. These inspections offer additional oversight to assess compliance and quality of programs, and ensure the quality of SAPR efforts executed across the fleet. Results of command inspections and area visits conducted in FY14 generally found that SAPR programs were well-managed and in compliance with program requirements.

Sexual Assault – Initial Disposition Authority

The responsibility, authority, and accountability Navy places in the commander requires that he or she is provided with the tools to maintain appropriate readiness and safety every day. Military justice is one of those tools. The fundamental structure of the military justice system and UCMJ, centered on the role of the commander as the convening authority and, supported by the staff judge advocate, is sound. Navy commanders are often required to make independent decisions far from shore, in uncertain or hazardous conditions. In this environment, it is essential that commanders be involved in each phase of the military justice process, from the report of an offense through adjudication under the UCMJ. In all cases prior to making initial dispositions, commanders are required to consult with and receive a recommendation from judge advocates. Removing prosecutorial discretion from those commanders – a move that is not empirically tied to an improvement in the military's efforts to prevent or respond to sexual assault – would risk degrading the system's ability to deploy.

The Secretary of Defense imposed limitations on which military commanders may exercise disposition authority over sexual assault allegations. These limitations require

that all allegations of sexual assaults involving penetration be forwarded to a commander in the grade of O-6 or higher who is authorized to convene special courts-martial and who must consult with a judge advocate before deciding what action to take. FY14 NDAA further constrained military commanders' pretrial discretion by providing that only general courts-martial have jurisdiction over charges alleging sexual assaults involving penetration or attempts to commit such assaults. Following written formal advice by a staff judge advocate under Article 34, UCMJ, any decision by a general court-martial convening authority not to refer charges of certain sex-related offenses to court-martial must be reviewed by a higher-level official including, in some circumstances, the Secretary of the Navy.

Flag Officer Engagement

Fleet Commanders designated a Flag Officer as SAPR program leaders for each Navy installation/fleet concentration area and associated local commands. These designated Flag Officers established regular conversations with appropriate installation/local command representatives, local community, and civic leaders to review SAPR program efforts. Flag Officers regularly inform Fleet Commanders of prevention and response trends and opportunities for improvement within their area of responsibility. The Flag Officers also ensure that community outreach and engagement are part of each area's prevention and response measures. COs and all Flag Officers work with stakeholders to discuss command climate and SAPR readiness issues.

Fleet Commanders participate in quarterly SAPR-dedicated video teleconferences with the CNO to discuss trends and recommend future initiatives. Several key initiatives were directed and fast-tracked as a result of the CNO SAPR meetings, including enhanced investigative capability using Navy uniformed investigators, bystander intervention skills training for all Sailors, and simplification of victim support duties within each unit.

A USFF Task Force combined leadership from Navy fleet and shore infrastructures to undertake initiatives across the five SAPR LOEs. This ensures synergy across Navy, shared knowledge, and coordination across the Navy for a comprehensive solution.

PACFLT regions host regular SAPR council meetings attended by all major Fleet and Force level Flag Officers in the PACFLT area of responsibility. These meetings assist in aligning program goals, sharing best practices, and identifying leading indicators that may require leadership awareness and action.

7.2 Ensuring Safety—Describe your efforts, policies, and/or programmatic changes undertaken to improve SAPR training for members of the military serving in recruiting organizations, Military Entrance Processing Stations, and the Reserve Officer Training Corps. Include measures taken by your Service to select, train, and oversee recruiters, disseminate SAPR program information to potential and actual recruits, and how your Service has incorporated SAPR

program information in ROTC environments and curricula.

Navy has various accession points through which individuals join the Service as Sailors each year. Navy capitalizes on the opportunity to mentor new Sailors, instill Navy Core Values of honor, courage and commitment, and establish the level of expectation for command culture and climate. All accession points provide incoming Sailors initial sexual assault training.

Recruiting

Navy recruiters are carefully selected, trained, and overseen. The certification process involves a review of security clearance status to verify no documented adverse behavior, and reviews of electronic service records for pre-service offenses and offenses conducted while on active duty, including NJP, courts-martial convictions, and civilian convictions. Final certification or continuation on recruiting duty is the responsibility of the respective Recruiting District Commanding Officer. Recruiter credentialing and qualification review include screening of the following databases:

- Electronic Service Records (Enlisted) - Disqualifying misconduct;
- Official Military Personnel Files (Officers) - Disqualifying misconduct;
- Joint Personnel Adjudication System - Security Clearance Eligibility;
- Alcohol and Drug Management Tracking System Database - Alcohol Related Incidents; and
- Department of Justice National Sex Offender Public Website - Sex offender registry.

Navy recruiters receive extensive SAPR training. Command indoctrination for all Navy recruiters includes training on recruiting standards of conduct, SAPR issues, fraternization and sexual harassment, and alcohol and drug abuse policies. Specifically, training for recruiters emphasizes Navy Core Values and the following points:

- Demand an environment free from sexual assault and will protect the dignity and respect of every Service member, applicant, and future Sailor.
- Align conduct with existing policies on alcohol deglamorization, fraternization, sexual harassment, and sexual assault.
- Understand the impact of sexual assault on command readiness, recruiting readiness, the Sailor, and family.
- Uphold and enforce the high standards of conduct expected between Recruiters and applicants/future sailors.
- Abide by the recruiter's duty to prevent destructive behaviors and never ignore or condone destructive behaviors.

The Navy Recruiting Orientation Unit (NORU), located aboard Naval Air Station Pensacola, Florida, has the primary mission of training prospective Navy recruiters in both recruiting techniques and the challenges of preparing applicants for success in recruit training and subsequent Navy service. The unit teaches six different courses,

ranging from two to six weeks in duration.

Both NORU staff and students attend a Professional Behavior Training course. During command check-in, NORU staff members receive separate training on standards of conduct with a special emphasis on SAPR concerns. For students, concepts of professional behavior and SAPR issues are additionally embedded into every course of instruction at NORU. Student training specifically includes 4.75 hours of Day-1 instruction in three briefings on SAPR issues – a Command SAPR Brief, an "Expectations Brief," and a "Follow-Up Expectations Brief." On training Day-3, all students receive an additional hour of training on decision making and Navy Core Values as they relate to fraternization, sexual harassment, and sexual assault. An additional 5-13 hours of related training is threaded throughout the curricula.

Every class receives a two-hour SAPR workshop with specific reinforcement through additional training and scenarios, along with a dedicated additional half-hour of ethics reinforcement prior to graduation. The Advanced Recruiter Course includes a specialized four-hour segment to deglamorize alcohol and drugs, fraternization, sexual harassment, and sexual assault. This segment is expanded to eight hours in the Career Recruiter Force Academy Course. In addition, NORU ensures that all personnel have completed required Navy SAPR-Fleet or SAPR-Leadership training, and provides that training on-site as necessary. As an additional layer of oversight, NRC senior leadership, including the Commander, Deputy Commander, Chief of Staff, Force CMC, and National Chief Recruiter, visits every class and provides all students with Recruiter Integrity Reinforcement business cards.

Recruiter oversight mechanisms are numerous. Navy uses formal, comprehensive screening programs for prospective recruiters. Recruiters regularly undergo scheduled and unscheduled inspections for procedural compliance and professionalism. Allegations of recruiter misconduct are promptly investigated and appropriately addressed.

At the headquarters level, the NRC actively utilizes a formal oversight framework that includes data collection, maintenance, reporting requirements, management goals, and performance measures and milestones to evaluate their management of alleged sexual assault incidents and their efforts to prevent sexual assault in the recruiting environment. They maintain comprehensive and integrated assessments of successes, challenges, and lessons learned from their various efforts. Navy utilizes unannounced personal visits by Commanding Officers and others, including Flag Officers. Team-based inspection visits are also common. In addition, recruiters police themselves and report misbehavior of fellow recruiters.

NRC continues leadership/supervisory oversight visits to verify recruiting personnel knowledge of standards of conduct, ensure professional command climate, and enhance future Sailors' understanding of behavioral expectations. Recruiters can be found guilty of misconduct and subject to removal from recruiting duty and decertification based on numerous reasons, not necessarily related to sexual assault.

Reasons include fraternization, alcohol-related offenses (i.e., DUI, domestic violence), drug use, and other misconduct.

Applicants in Navy's Delayed Entry Program receive 72 total hours of indoctrination training that includes the following: training on recruiter and applicant responsibilities; printed training materials in the Delayed Entry Program "Tool Kit;" training on fraternization prohibitions and the responsibility to report violations by recruiting personnel; signing a formal "Page 13" to explicitly acknowledge fraternization prohibitions and responsibilities; standardized video training that is tailored to future Sailors and describes Navy policies on SAPR, sexual harassment, fraternization, and alcohol abuse; and discussion of avenues for sexual assault victims to seek help.

Recruits in training receive multiple sessions of formal SAPR training, starting on the bus ride from the airport to RTC. All recruits see a video of the commanding officer articulating six "zero tolerance" policies: sexual harassment, sexual assault, racism, hazing, fraternization, substance abuse, and recruit-to-recruit contact. Recruits receive at least two hours of initial SAPR training within four days of their arrival. The same concepts are reinforced at numerous points in other presentations and training exercises, including presentations to all recruits by the commanding officer and CMC.

Within Navy, recruit applicants are regularly surveyed about their recruiter's performance and behavior. In addition, all applicants are provided information on standards of recruiter conduct and mechanisms for reporting misconduct. Hotline telephone numbers are provided to applicants and their parents for reporting any suspected misconduct. Hotline notices are prominently posted and listed on provided wallet cards. In some places, wallet cards detail recruiter and applicant rights, along with prohibited recruiter behaviors, and list hotline numbers for the recruiting command's IG office. Recruits in training also have numerous opportunities to disclose recruiter misconduct they have observed or experienced, and recruits are frequently asked specifically about these matters during training.

Military Entrance Processing Stations (MEPS)

The U.S. Military Entrance Processing Command is the DoD entity that screens and processes applicants into each of the U.S. Armed Forces. It operates 65 MEPS located throughout the United States. The command functions as a joint service command under the direction of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Military Personnel Policy, who in turn reports to the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness. Collectively, MEPS process approximately 500,000 applicants for military service each year, putting them through a battery of tests and examinations to ensure that they meet standards required for military service. SAPR program information and awareness materials (touch cards, brochures, posters, etc.) are provided to the Military Entrance Processing Centers and Reception Battalions.

Navy Reserve Officer Training Corps

NROTC instructors are screened for past issues and patterns of behavior and undergo a formalized dedicated screening process before being assigned. NROTC units work with the placement officer who screens proposed replacements for quality and forwards the nomination packages to the NROTC Unit XO who reviews them with the Unit commanding officer. Nominations that are acceptable to the unit are presented to the university for approval.

NROTC Midshipmen receive formal SAPR training during various points in their NROTC course of instruction. All NROTC freshmen and Strategic Sealift Officer students receive the Navy's SAPR-Fleet training module. Additionally, all NROTC seniors receive the Navy's SAPR-Leadership training module.

All NROTC Unit staff and student personnel receive a "pre-summer" training brief prior to the end of an academic year before leaving school. This training includes discussions on many topics including safety, SAPR, and command expectations with regards to performance and behavior of all personnel during summer months.

Annually in July, prospective commanding officers, prospective executive officers, and new Naval Science Instructors attend a week of training to prepare them for their roles and responsibilities as Professors of Naval Science, NROTC instructors, and assistant college professors. SAPR training is a focused area during this instruction and, in addition to the leadership aspect of sexual assault prevention and response, includes the importance of teaching new students about campus resources.

At the beginning of the fall and spring semesters, new NROTC and Strategic Sealift Officer Program students receive SAPR-F training as part of their Freshman Orientation program. New students who do not attend Freshman Orientation will receive SAPR-F training within 14 days of arrival. For sophomore and junior students, each NROTC Unit and Strategic Sealift Officer Department of Naval Science conducts SAPR-F training for Midshipmen, Seaman-to-Admiral Program students, and Marine Enlisted Commissioning Education Program students within the first 60 days of the academic year. For Senior Students and final year students in these two programs, SAPR-L training is provided within 90 days of the start of the academic year. Students unable to receive training during the regular training curriculum receive training within 30 days of return. SAPR training focuses on the issue of sexual assault in the Navy, Sailors' roles in preventing sexual assault through responsible decision-making and bystander intervention, reporting options, and available resources for victims of sexual violence. SAPR-F and SAPR-L training are tailored to provide guidance, prevention, and response information unique to that specific campus environment.

United States Naval Academy

USNA instructors are screened and selected based on educational experience and performance in the disciplines in which they will instruct. Civilian faculty members are required to hold a PhD, while military faculty members are required to hold at minimum a Master's Degree. Military faculty members in the Permanent Military Professors

program are required to hold a PhD. The USNA SAPRO provides annual training and education for all midshipmen, faculty, staff, active duty, and civilian personnel. Training and education ranges from large group informational sessions to small, interactive discussions.

7.3 Evaluate Commander SAPR Training—Describe your progress in developing core competencies and learning objectives for Pre-Command and Senior Enlisted Leader SAPR training. If your Service has completed an assessment of newly established core competencies and learning objectives, explain findings and recommendations.

Navy's SAPR-related training enhances the ability of all levels of leadership to comprehend the scope of the sexual assault problem, and the causes and factors which will drive its prevention. SAPR modules delivered for pre-command training courses and CMC/Chief of the Boat courses were updated in FY13 to incorporate standardized competencies and learning objectives established by DoD and the Services. Navy utilized portions of the SAPR-L training video in the updated module to ensure continuity of message and relevance for the operational fleet. Designed for all leaders in the grades of E-7 and above, SAPR-L focuses on leadership's role in preventing sexual assaults including encouraging bystander intervention, creating the appropriate command climate, caring for victims, and holding alleged offenders appropriately accountable. DON SAPRO's *Commander's Guide* is also provided to all current and prospective command triads, to help them manage and execute their command SAPR programs.

DoD SAPRO assessed the following Pre-Command Course (PCC)/ SEL courses presented by the Navy Leadership and Ethics Center: Prospective Major Command Officers, Prospective Commanding Officer (PCO), Prospective Executive Officer, and Prospective CMC/Chief of the Boat in combined sessions, co-facilitated by a post-command CO and post-tour CMC/Chief of the Boat.

Navy specific findings and actions taken to correct noted discrepancies include the following:

- Bystander Intervention: This area is now addressed during PCC/SEL SAPR course, Navy Leadership and Ethics Center five episode Command Triad case study, and CSADD Chapter president presentation to PCOs during the RTC visit.
- Risk Reduction: Navy training includes prevention of destructive behaviors across the entire continuum of harm to include the following:
    - Alcohol policies;
    - Collaboration with on and off base establishments;
    - Command sponsorship programs;
    - Bystander intervention/Awareness; and
    - Command monitoring of individuals with behavior problems
- Reporting Options for non-Service members (DoD civilians, DoD dependents, DoD Contractors) both CONUS And OCONUS: A one-page handout addressing

these reporting options is now being disseminated to participants during the SAPR module

Navy took the following actions to address deficiencies, which were identified to have existed across all Services:

- *Extend course length for greater use of adult learning theory techniques and required learning objectives:* All core competencies/learning objectives are addressed in the current time allotted and reinforced elsewhere in the curriculum.
- *Approximately 50% of class time should include in adult learning technique:* Navy is in compliance.
- *Use pre- and post-assessment tools:* Navy conducts assessments at the conclusion of the PCC and SEL course to gauge the overall course curriculum and value to the attendees.
- *Use prerequisite and take-away readings:* Navy is in compliance.
- *Fully address all core competencies/learning objectives in class setting or in prerequisite and/or take home materials:* Navy is in compliance.

7.4 Develop Collaborative Forum for Sexual Assault Prevention Methods—Describe your implementation plan and methods for establishing a community of practice and collaboration forum to share best and promising practices and lessons learned with external experts, federal partners, Military Services, NGB advocacy organizations, and educational institutions.

Navy senior leadership plays a critical role by providing oversight, guidance, and review of SAPR programs. Multiple engagements and efforts ensure synergy across Navy and coordination across the Navy enterprise for a comprehensive solution.

The Navy SAPR Director meets regularly with CNO and VCNO to discuss program updates and initiatives. The Navy SAPR Director also provides regular updates to OPNAV three-star admirals. Navy four-star Fleet Commanders have a quarterly SAPR VTC with CNO to discuss trends, gaps, and opportunities for improvement. Quarterly SAPR USFF Task Force and PACFLT Executive Steering Committee meetings provide an opportunity for combined shore and fleet leadership to coordinate and collaborate on SAPR initiatives. Navy senior leadership have regular, direct, face-to-face engagements with the fleet during fleet visits, where senior leaders hear directly from Sailors and share information about Navy SAPR initiatives.

Navy SAPRO works closely with DoD SAPRO. Navy is an active participant in the OSD SAPRO-led executive integrated product team, the prevention round table, and ad hoc working groups focused on topics such as updated policy, NDAA implementation, and SECDEF initiatives. In August 2014, Navy SAPRO joined Director OSD SAPRO and other Service SAPR leads in site visits to and discussions with the Centers for Disease Control and USACIL.

The Navy SAPR cross functional team comprised of SAPR stakeholders representing all LOEs, meets monthly to provide updates, synchronize actions, and ensure standardization of messaging across Navy. Collaboration via the cross functional team is critical in bringing program challenges to the forefront as well as multi-dimensional resolution for the Total Force.

The 21st Century Sailor Office was established to provide coordinated efforts in Sailor resiliency and readiness programs, and integrate efforts to counter destructive behaviors. The 21st Century Sailor Office is led by a Navy Admiral and is responsible for policy, resourcing, and oversight for a portfolio of programs, including the goal of measurably reducing and eliminating sexual assault. The portfolio also includes operational stress control and suicide prevention; physical readiness and nutrition; sexual harassment prevention; equal opportunity; hazing prevention; and drug and alcohol abuse prevention. The 21st Century Sailor Office addresses the need for coordination and synergies among these critical Sailor-focused programs across the entire continuum of harm by strategically enabling each level of accountability within the Navy: institutional, command, and individual.

Another initiative that supports continued command awareness and engagement is the establishment of 25 permanent SAPRO billets to directly support the commanders of major staffs, type commands, and Navy regions. SAPROs work closely with local SARCs, Fleet Commander staffs, and local SAPR stakeholders to provide program continuity and ensure understanding and proper execution of policy, training, and oversight activities. SAPROs also provide a means to disseminate information and best practices to Sailors at all levels.

During FY14, the Naval Post-graduate School (NPS) conducted a study designed to assist in developing proactive and adaptive Fleet communication strategies and tailored messages to help accomplish Navy's SAPR vision of promoting and fostering a culturally aware and informed Navy respectful of all, intolerant of sexual assault, and supported by a synergistic program of prevention, advocacy, and accountability. NPS Researchers examined the effectiveness of existing communication strategies and identified innovative communication strategies for different Fleet audiences, including junior enlisted, enlisted leadership, chiefs, officers, CO/XOs, with an end goal of reducing sexual violence and enabling positive, respectful working relations. NPS worked in conjunction with designated Fleet members to gather data about existing and desired communication strategies to address the following:

- Goals, both overarching SAPR goals and associated communication goals;
- Identification and analysis of key internal and external stakeholders related to SAPR's effectiveness and goal priorities for each audience;
- Methods for measuring effectiveness for SAPR communication;
- Media types that might be most effective for each stakeholder group
- Type of messages to be communicated;
- Best ways to reach all groups with different strategies;
- Suggestions for how often to train and with what media;

- Message framing strategies to achieve broad-based goals (attitude or behavioral change) as well as more specific message goals (e.g., courage to intervene if safe to do so or report harassment as a third party observer); and
- Sustainable, long-term communication processes for the SAPR program.

The study identified the opportunity for Navy to develop more proactive and adaptive Fleet communication strategies and tailored messages to successfully address a variety of internal and external stakeholders.

Community Outreach

Working collaboratively with subject matter experts is important to better understand and overcome the challenges of preventing and responding to sexual assault. Local commanders work with external partners on an ongoing basis to develop plans based on expert advice in the fields of victim advocacy and law enforcement. These meetings will continue to foster open communication between first responders, stakeholder organizations, commanders, tenant commands, and local law enforcement to help prevent sexual assault.

Outreach efforts to prevent sexual assault and improve response capabilities span the globe. For example, Navy SARCs in Hawaii are part of the Community Action Team (CAT) comprised of Department of Health, other Service SARCs, universities, sex abuse treatment centers, and various non-profit organizations that support sexual assault victims. CAT meets annually to address statewide issues of sexual assault and share strategic planning ideas and implementations.

Collaboration Outside of Navy

Navy representatives actively participate in DoD and DON sponsored working groups designed to improve data collection, procedures, resource management, policy development, training initiatives, and program execution.

Navy leveraged highly qualified experts to enhance Navy's ability to prevent and respond to sexual assault.

7.5 Improving Response & Victim Treatment—Describe your efforts to improve overall victim care and trust in the chain of command. Include updates or initiatives undertaken by your Service to reduce the possibility of ostracizing victims, to increase reporting, and measures your Service has taken to account for victim input in these efforts.

Navy strives to care for victims and strengthen their resilience following a sexual assault by providing high-quality response services and a safe environment. Victim support for Navy active duty and reserve members relies on a broad coordinated network of support personnel: trained and certified SARCs, SAPR VAs, DRCs, chaplains, as well as medical and legal services providers. These victim support

personnel are responsible for advocacy coordination, medical services, legal support, and counseling for the victim. However, none of these services can occur without the victim first making a report. Victims have the option to make a Restricted Report, which gives them access to medical, counseling, and legal services if they prefer; or victims can make an Unrestricted Report, which alerts the command and initiates an NCIS investigation, in addition to medical help. Navy must instill confidence and trust to motivate victims to report, while striving to continually improve the level of victim support services available.

Navy remains committed to increasing victim confidence to self-report incidents. Continued leadership visibility and support is critical to build victim trust and endurance and ensure confidentiality is maintained in the SAPR process. Improvements to victim resources include VLC, the DoD Safe Helpline, VWAP, expedited transfers, and MPOs and CPOs.

Navy prohibits retaliation against any person who reports a criminal offense, brings forward a complaint, or cooperates in the investigation process. If the alleged perpetrator is the victim's CO or otherwise in the victim's chain of command, sexual assault victims have the opportunity to go outside the chain of command to report the offense to NCIS, other COs, the DoD Safe Helpline, or an Inspector General. If a Service member believes they have experienced any retaliatory action for making a report of sexual assault, he or she has a number of options to report the retaliation for investigation and appropriate action. To specifically ensure there are no retaliatory separations from the Navy, a Flag Officer reviews the records of any victim who is being considered for involuntary separation within one year of a final adjudication of an Unrestricted Report.

DoD collects data on victim perceptions on retaliation using three sources: DEOCS, WGRS, and SES. Combining these three data sources provides a more robust understanding of Sailor perception and personal experiences. Navy will continue to use the three data sources to assess policies and initiatives.

Navy SAPR strategy continues to focus on real and perceived barriers to reporting. DEOCS helps Navy assess progress in this area through command climate surveys. There is a decreasing trend in the percent of respondents who perceive barriers to reporting sexual assault. By the end of FY13, 50% of respondents perceived three or more barriers to reporting sexual assault. By the end of FY14, the respondents that perceived three or more barriers to reporting had decreased to 35%.

The most frequently perceived barrier to reporting sexual assault was "loss of privacy/confidentiality" followed by "fear of social retaliation for making the report." Navy training and awareness campaigns across all programs will continue to stress the importance of maintaining victim privacy. Navy continues its commitment to address Sailors' privacy and confidentiality concerns by consistently stressing to all Sailors the importance of maintaining and fostering an environment intolerant of retaliation.

Social retaliation is a destructive behavior that inhibits victims' reporting and recovery. Elimination of social retaliation is a command priority. It will be addressed on the continuum of harm and included in bystander intervention training.

Navy fully supports the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) Survivor Experience Survey (SES) and will continue work to encourage victims to participate in the survey. Launched in June 2014, the SES provides direct victim input and has already provided important insights into the reported experience of sexual assault victims, gaps in policy and processes, and other areas for improvement. The SES also validated the importance and value to victims of programs like the VLC and expedited transfer.

Navy offers extensive care and resources to help and support sexual assault victims. Medical services assist victims in recovering from physical trauma. Counselors and chaplains contribute to resolving emotional and internal pain. Increasingly positive command climates and environments allow for victims to return to work without fear of retaliation for reporting. Credentialed SARCs work to manage SAPR VAs, UVAs and DRCs. They work with investigators, and legal personnel to advocate for and assist victims. Navy will continue to increase the capability of response personnel and programs to address victims' needs. Navy uses assessments, described in the next section, to continually evaluate and improve the quality of services provided.

7.6 Enhancing Protections—Describe your efforts to update policies allowing for the administrative reassignment or expedited transfer of a member who is accused of committing a sexual assault or related offense. Include your Service's efforts to account for both the interests of the victim and the accused.

Commanders have the authority and flexibility to take measures to ensure the safety of assigned personnel, which may include temporary or permanent reassignment within and away from the command. Commanders process expedited transfer requests from victims who file Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault, considering a temporary or permanent reassignment of the alleged offenders instead of the victim pursuant to a determination that reasonable grounds exist to believe that an offense constituting sexual assault has occurred based on the advice of the supporting judge advocate and the available evidence or to maintain good order and discipline.

7.7 Improving Victim Legal Support—Describe your efforts to establish a special victim's advocacy program that provides legal advice and representation for victims of sexual assault. Include your Service's measures of effectiveness for this program, as well as efforts made to collaborate and share best practices with other services.

At the direction of the Secretary of Defense, Navy established the VLC Program to provide independent legal counsel to eligible sexual assault victims. Establishment of the Navy VLC Program satisfies the "Special Victims' Counsel" mandate of §1716 of the FY14 NDAA. The Navy VLC Program dedicated 31judge advocates and 10 administrative employees, providing support at 23 U.S. and overseas Navy

installations.

Navy VLC leadership meet frequently with victims' counsel directors of the other services to share lessons learned, best practices, program documents, training opportunities, and policy updates. Group discussions and review often spark additional innovations and insight across all services to improve VLC legal support for all military sexual assault victims. Formal inter-service VLC meetings are held at least every two months while informal communications and collaboration takes place almost weekly to analyze and address collective issues or concerns as they arise in providing support to victims. The Navy VLC Program also operates a highly successful internal website offering immediate access to victim support and advocacy resources, guidance, and training and an active discussion board for working questions, practices, advice, and recommendations to maximize effective assistance for victims. Many of these practices or "lessons learned" are subsequently discussed with the other Service VLC programs.

Navy VLC complement and augment the support from SARCS, SAPR VAs, and other resources. VLC assist victims in understanding and exercising their reporting options, work with victims through the investigative and military justice processes, advocate for the victim's rights and interests, and help victims obtain access to other support resources. At the victim's request, VLC can accompany victims to law enforcement, trial counsel, and defense counsel interviews. VLC also assist victims in providing input to convening authorities regarding case disposition, final action on courts-martial findings, and any alleged offender's requests for clemency. In FY14, Navy VLC assisted 719 sexual assault victims and advocated for their interests in 351 military justice proceedings, ranging from Article 32 hearings to pretrial conferences and motions hearings and courts-martial.

Victims are asked to complete a Victim Satisfaction Survey at the termination of VLC services. Participation is voluntary and responses are confidential. A cumulative survey report is routinely created and provided to VLC leadership to assess the ongoing effectiveness of VLC services. Victims are specifically asked for suggestions on improving the VLC Program. VLC also encourage clients to participate in the broader DoD SES.

7.8 Develop Standardized and Voluntary Survey for Victims and Survivors—Describe your progress in developing and participating in a standardized victim survey. List efforts made jointly with other Services and Departments to regularly administer the standardized victim survey in such a way that protects victim privacy and does not adversely impact victim legal and health status.

Survivor Experience Survey (SES)

In a continuing effort to improve SAPR efforts, a DoD-wide SES was launched in June 2014 to provide a mechanism to receive feedback from sexual assault victims. The SES is a completely anonymous survey administered by the DMDC specifically to

military victims of sexual assault who filed a report of sexual assault. Respondents who submit surveys for this effort cannot be identified. Survey data will never be merged with any identifying information nor will any identifying data be provided to any agency outside of DMDC. To ensure all survivor responses are free from any information that might identify them, DMDC puts all data through a thorough a rigorous process to ensure that even combinations of certain responses will not identify a survivor.

Workplace Gender Relations Survey

The biennial DoD WGRS is the primary tool to estimate the prevalence of unwanted sexual contacts across the Navy. It provides insight and feedback on unwanted sexual contact and unwanted sexual behavior. The WGRS utilizes a series of standard questions to measure the incidence of sexual assault over the previous 12-month period. WGRS also provides insights from victims on barriers to reporting and there level of satisfaction with response and support services.

Other Assessment Efforts

Navy seeks constant feedback on the effectiveness of SAPR programs. Navy measures system responsiveness through feedback from SARCs, SAPR VAs, VLC and victims themselves. Together, these metrics are reviewed quarterly by CNO and 4-star Fleet Commanders to ensure alignment to the SAPR program.

Several local and regional Navy commands implemented independent assessment tools, such as local databases derived from OPREP/SITREP data and informal surveys to assess local trends. These demonstrate proactive methods to incorporate responsive, meaningful, and accurate systems of evaluation into all aspects of SAPR. Additionally, FFSCs give clients anonymous quarterly and annual surveys to complete and provide feedback on SAPR services they receive. All regions utilize monthly SACMG to measure SAPR program effectiveness. SACMGs provide an avenue to assess the quality of care and support provided to sexual assault victims.

Abbreviations and Acronyms

AASAITP	Advanced Adult Sexual Assault Investigations Training Program
AASVTP	Advanced Adult Sexual Violence Training Program
AFSVTP	Advanced Family and Sexual Violence Training Program
ALNAV	All Navy
ASAP	Adult Sexual Assault Program
BUMED	Bureau of Medicine and Surgery
BUMEDINST	Bureau of Medicine and Surgery Instruction
BUPERS	Bureau of Personnel
CARE	Controlling Alcohol Risks Effectively
CHC	Chief of Chaplain Corps
CID	(Army) Criminal Investigation Command
CMC	Command Master Chief
CMEO	Command Managed Equal Opportunity
CNIC	Commander, Navy Installation Command
CNICINST	Commander, Navy Installation Command Instruction
CNO	Chief of Naval Operations
CNRF	Commander, Navy Reserve Force
CO	Commanding Officer
COB	Chief of the Boat
CPO	Civilian Protective Order
CSADD	Coalition of Sailors Against Destructive Decisions
DCAP	Defense Counsel Assistance Program
DD Form	Department of Defense Form
DEOCS	DEOMI Organizational Climate Survey
DEOMI	Defense Equal Opportunity Management Institution
DMDC	Defense Manpower Data Center
DoD	Department of Defense
DoDI	Department of Defense Instruction
DoDIG	Inspector General of the Department of Defense
DON	Department of the Navy
DRC	Deployed Resiliency Counselor
D-SAACP	Defense – Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program
DSAID	Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database
FAP	Family Advocacy Program
FFSC	Fleet and Family Support Center
FTE	Full-Time Equivalent
FY	Fiscal Year
FYDP	Future Years Development Program
HIPAA	Health Insurance Portability & Accountability Act
HQ	Headquarters
IG	Inspector General
ISIC	Immediate Superior in Command

JAG	Judge Advocate General
LGB	Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual
LOD	Line of Duty
LOE	Lines of Effort
MA	Master-At-Arms
MCIO	Military Criminal Investigative Organization
MCPON	Office of the Master Chief Petty Officer of the Navy
MEPS	Military Entrance Processing Stations
MICP	Manager Internal Control Program
MILPERSMAN	Military Personnel Manual
MOA	Memorandum of Agreement
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
MPO	Military Protective Order
MRE	Military Rules of Evidence
MTF	Medical Treatment Facility
MWR	Morale, Welfare and Recreation
NAVADMIN	Naval Administrative Message
NAVPERS	Navy Personnel
NAVPERSCOM	Navy Personnel Command
NCIS	Naval Criminal Investigative Service
NDAA	National Defense Authorization Act
NETC	Naval Education and Training Command
NGB	National Guard Bureau
NJP	Non-Judicial Punishment
NJS	Naval Justice School
NLSC	Naval Legal Service Command
NORU	Navy Recruiting Orientation Unit
NOVA	National Organization for Victim Assistance
NRC	Navy Recruiting Command
NROTC	Navy Reserve Officer Training Corps
OJAG	Office of the Judge Advocate General
OMPF	Official Military Personnel File
OPNAV	Office of the Chief of Naval Operations
OPNAVINST	Office of the Chief of Naval Operations Instruction
OPREP	Operational Report
OSI	(Air Force) Office of Special Investigations
PACFLT	Commander, Pacific Fleet
PCS	Permanent Change of Station
RC	Reserve Component
RLSO	Regional Legal Service Office
RMWS	RAND Military Workplace Survey
ROTC	Reserve Officer Training Corps
RSARC	Regional Sexual Assault Response Coordinator
SA-IDA	Sexual Assault – Initial Disposition Authority
SACMG	Sexual Assault Case Management Group
SADR	Sexual Assault Disposition Report

SAFE	Sexual Assault Forensic Examination
SANE	Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner
SAPR	Sexual Assault Prevention and Response
SAPR-DEP	SAPR – Delayed Entry Program
SAPR-F	SAPR – Fleet
SAPR-L	SAPR – Leadership
SAPR VA	SAPR Victim Advocate
SAPRO	SAPR Office
SAPRO	SAPR Officer
SARC	Sexual Assault Response Coordinator
SART	Sexual Assault Response Team
SECNAV	Secretary of the Navy
SECNAVINST	Secretary of the Navy Instruction
SEL	Senior Enlisted Leader
SES	Senior Executive Service
SES	Survivor Experience Survey
SITREP	Situation Report
SOTP	Sex Offender Treatment Program
STC	Senior Trial Counsel
SVC	Special Victims' Capability
SVC	Special Victims' Counsel
SVIP	Special Victims Investigation and Prosecution
SVUIC	Special Victim Unit Investigations Course
TAMC	Tripler Army Medical Center
TCAP	Trial Counsel Assistance Program
TCTP	Trial Component Training Program
UCMJ	Uniform Code of Military Justice
USACIL	United States Army Criminal Investigative Laboratory
USCG	United States Coast Guard
USFF	United States Fleet Forces
USN	United States Navy
USNA	United States Naval Academy
UVA	Unit SAPR VA
VLC	Victims' Legal Counsel
VWAP	Victim and Witness Assistance Program
WGRA	Workplace and Gender Relations Assessment
WGRS	Workplace and Gender Relations Survey
XO	Executive Officer

Appendix A – 24 Hour MTFs

24/7 MTFs	Physicians Trained	Physician Assistants Trained	NPs Trained	Nurses Trained	IDCs trained	Others (specify)	Numbers of Contractors and/or GS SAFE Providers if applicable	Number of sexual assault nurse examiners assigned at each MTF with a 24/7 ER	Number of FTEs assigned for sexual assault examiner response per facility and types per FTE
NMC San Diego	1	1	1	4	0	0	0	4	1 RN
NH Camp Pendleton	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	4	1 RN
NH 29 Palms	0	2	2	9	0	0	0	9	1 RN
NH Guam	0	2	2	2	0	7 HM	0	2	1 RN
NH Okinawa	4	3	1	2	0	8 HM	0	2	1 RN
NH Yokosuka	1	0	1	4	0	0	0	4	1 RN
NMC Portsmouth	0	1	2	9	0	18 HMs	1	9	1- RN

Appendix A – 24 Hour MTFs

NH Camp Lejuene	2	0	1	7	0	0	GS=6; Contract =1	7	1-RN
NH Jacksonville	0	0	0	8	0	0	GS=1	8	1-RN
FHCC	4	4	1	5	1	0	GS=4	6	1-RN
NH Guantanamo Bay	5	1	1	1	1	0	0	2	1-RN
NH Naples	5	1	3	1	0	0	C=1	1	1-RN

Appendix A – 24 Hour MTFs

NH Sig	1	1	0	10	0	8 HM Ast	Local National = 4	10	1-RN
NH Rota	2	0	2	7	0	0	0	9	1-RN
	25	**16**	**17**	**73**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**77**	

Totals	
Physicians Trained	2
Physician Assistants Trained	0
NPs Trained	2
Nurses Trained	7
IDCs trained	0
Others (specify)	0
Numbers of Contractors and/or GS SAFE Providers if applicable	0
Number of SANEs assigned at each MTF with a 24/7 ER	9
Number of FTEs assigned for sexual assault examiner response per facility and types per FTE	1-RN

Appendix B – Occupancy Hours

SAFE Capable Clinics in NMW* Occupancy Hours only	Physicians Trained	Physician Assistants Trained	NPs trained	Nurses Trained	IDCs trained	Others (specify)	Numbers of Contractors and/or GS SAFE Providers if applicable	Number of FTEs assigned for sexual assault examiner response per facility and types
NBHC Coronado	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Miramar	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC NTC	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC MCRD	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC San Diego	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Port Hueneme	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
NH Lemoore	2	1	4	0	0	0		0
NBHC China Lake	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Bridgeport	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Fallon	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
NH Bremerton	2	1	1	6	0	1	0	0
NH Oak Harbor	1	1	3	8	0	0		0
NBHC Bangor	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Everett	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Pearl	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Wahiawa	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Kaneohe Bay	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Camp Smith	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBMC NAVST Guam	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
NBMC Futenma*	1	0	0	0	0	0	close*	0
NBMC Evans*	0	0	0	0	0	0	close*	0
NBMC Kinser*	0	0	0	0	0	0	close*	0
NBMC Camp Courtney*	0	0	0	0	0	0	close*	0
NBMC Sasebo	3	0	1	0	0	4 nurse assistants	0	0
NBHC Iwakuni	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Atsugi	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Chihae	1	0	0	0	1	1 female	0	0
NBHC Diego Garcia	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
NHC Annapolis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NHBC Bancorft	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
NHBC Naval Weapons	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NHBC Lakehurst	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NH Clinic Quantico	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
NBMC OCS Quantico	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Quantico TBS	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
NBHC Sugar Grove	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

Appendix B – Occupancy Hours

NBHC Washing Navy Yar	0	1	1	0	0	0	GS=1	0
NHC Pax	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
NBHC Andrews	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
NBHC Dahlgren	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Indian Head	0	1	0	1	1	0	GS=1	0
NBMC USS Tranquility	2	2	1	2	1	0	GS=1	0
NBMC USS Red Rover	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC USS Fischer	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
NHC Corpus Christi	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Fort Worth	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Kingsville	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
NBHC Sewells Pt	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Oceana	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
NBHC Chesapeake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Yorktown	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
NBMC Hadnot Pt MCB	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
NBMC MCAS New	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
NBMC Capt Geiger	0	1	0	0	0	0	GS=1	0
NBMC Wayne Caron	1	0	0	1	0	0	GS=2	0
NBMC Camp Johnson	0	1	1	0	0	0	GS=1	0
NBMC French Creek	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
NHC New England	5	0	1	4	1	0	GS=1	0
NACC Groton	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Portsmouth HC	2	1	0	0	0	0	GS=1	0
NBHC Saratoga Spring	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
NH Pensacola	0	0	3	3	0	0	GS=1	0
NBHC Milton Whiting	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Meridian	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Gulfport	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Belle Chase	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Mid South	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Kings Bay	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Jacksonville	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Mayport	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Key West	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC Albany	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NH Beaufort	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
NBHC Parris Island	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

Appendix B – Occupancy Hours

NHC Cherry Point	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
NHC Charleston	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
NBHC FL Clinic NAS-2*	0	1	1	1	0	1 HM	0	0
NBHC NAV Souda Bay	1	0	0	0	2	3 HM	0	0
NBHC Bahrain	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
NBHC Capodichino	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

[*]= Branch clinics in close proximity to parent hospital/MTF

Appendix C – BUMED MOUs

Medical Treatment Facility	Civilian Referral Center	Distance in miles	Date Signed	Date	REGION
Branch Health Clinic Portsmouth	Exeter Hospital	15.5	9/18/201	9/18/201	NME
Branch Health Clinic Groton	William Backus Hospital	14.5	9/9/201	9/9/201	NME
Branch Health Clinic Newport	Newport Hospital	1.6	7/2/201	7/2/201	NME
Naval Health Clinic Annapolis	Anne Arundel Hospital	3.6	12/4/201	12/4/201	NME
Naval Health Clinic Annapolis	Mercy Medical Center	30.8	5/16/201	5/16/201	NME
James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center	Advocate Condell Med. Center	7.4	5/13/201	5/13/201	NME
Branch Health Clinic Quantico	Inova Ewing Forensic Assessment	31.2	5/30/201	5/30/201	NME
Naval Health Clinic Charleston	Medical Univ. South Carolina	19.5	11/13/201	11/13/201	NME
Naval Air Station Jacksonville	Women's Center of Jacksonville	13.7	1/23/201	1/23/201	NME
Naval Air Station Jacksonville	Behavior and Human Services Division, City of Jacksonville	13.8	10/2/201	10/2/201	NME
Branch Health Clinic Key West	Christina's Courage	0.6	4/8/201	4/8/201	NME
Branch Health Clinic Albany	Lily Pad SANE Center	9.2	5/20/201	5/20/201	NME
Branch Health Clinic King's Bay	Golden Isles Rape Crisis Center42.7 miles	42.7	4/19/201	4/19/201	NME
Naval Hospital Pensacola	Sacred Heart Medical Center	9.2	8/3/201	8/3/201	NME
Branch Health Clinic Crane	Bloomington Hospital, Indiana	35.5	4/30/201	4/30/201	NME
Branch Health Clinic Gulport Panama City	Gulf Coast Medical Center	7.2	3/7/201	3/7/201	NME
Branch Health Clinic Gulfport	Memorial Hospital	0.7	12/19/201	12/19/201	NME
Branch Health Clinic Mid-South	Rape Crisis Center Memphis	20.7	4/17/201	4/17/201	NME
Branch Health Clinic Corpus Christi &	Doctors Regional	12	4/27/201	4/27/201	NME
Branch Health Clinic Belle Chasse	University medical Center Management Corporation/LSU	14.2	12/1/201	12/1/201	NME
Branch Health Clinic Kingsville	Doctors Regional	43.4	4/27/201	4/27/201	NME
NBHC Port Hueneme, Ca	Safe Harbor Ventura County	8.1	3/27/201	No date	NMW

Appendix D – BUMED Risk Assessment

Functional Area:	Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR)					
Goals/Objectives:	To eliminate destructive behaviors in the Continuum of Harm that contradict the core values, high standards of professionalism, and personal discipline of the Department of the Navy.					
Risks	**Likelihood**	**Impact**	**Inherent Risk**	**#**	**Mitigating Internal Controls**	**Notes**
Untrained personnel	Moderate	High	High		Health Care personnel are trained in alignment with SECNAVINST 1752.4b as a First Responder. Encl (10). 1a.	Training requirements stated in SECNAVINST 1752.4B
Personnel (SAPR-VA) not properly appointed and/or screened	Low	High	High		CO has screened VA's through JPAS, inlcuding an annual review substantiated assault and batrery , drug and alcohol, FAP, and is not a regsitered sex offender.	
SAPR-VA not properly certified (D-SAACP)	Low	High	High		Prior to the VA being appointed to dutires are they ecrtfied through CNIC (D-SAACP)	
Confidentiality of victim's case	Moderate	High	High		1) Safe Provider assignemnt of RRCN and the proper managemtn of the data 2) all Health care perssonel(including all MTF personnel) receive training on restricted reporting procedures regarding sexual assault forensic examination 3) all Health care personnel(including all MTF personnel) receive training on Reporting Options regarding sexual assault and resources available to support	Guidance provided by SECNAVINST 1752.4B includes: 1) Properly assigning a Restricted Reporting Case Number (RRCN) unique to each incident. 2) Ensuring that healthcare personnel maintain the confidentiality of a Restricted Report. 3) Only a SARC, SAPR VA, or healthcare personnel may recieve a Restricted Report.
Spillage	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate		All Health care personel(including all MTF personnel) receive training on HIPAA procedures regarding sexual assault and spillage procedure. DD Form 2911 processed and forwarded with SAFE Kit. Training on a realese of information Form.	
Not having adequate resources to treat victims	Low	High	High		Meets NDAA Requirements for SAFE Trained Providers and SAFE Kits. Key SAPR Positions are filled and have direct access to the Commander. Are well versed on internal and extrenal resources for support services. Have an idenitifed training budget to support the Sexual Assault Program.	SECNAVINST 1752.4B states minimum protocols that are required for all healthcare providers.
Commanding Officers not developing reports to help prevent sexual assualt	Moderate	High	High		Metrics are developed utlizing the BUMED SAPR database and reviewed qtrly with the commanding officer. The commanding officer uses the metrics to track and trend data to develop prevention straetgies for the command. The information is provided to the region in the First Flag Reports.	
EO complaints/issues not handled appropriately	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate		Coordination with the CMEO and legal for the proper handling of the Sexual Assault Case.	
Victim is not aware of the process for reporting sexual assaults	Moderate	High	High		Education on reporting options. (ie. uar are personnel in california briefed on the local policy that assault have a mandated reporting)	
Local guidance is not aligned with DoDI 6495.02 and/or SECNAVINST 1752.4B	Moderate	High	High		Review local SAPR /SAFE instcruction.	

FY14 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military Executive Summary: United States Marine Corps

Sexual assault in the Marine Corps damages lives, destroys trust, erodes mission capability, and compromises unit readiness. Marine Corps leaders agree that this and other criminal acts and misconduct have no place among the ranks. They remain committed to eliminating this problem, offering support to all victims, and holding offenders appropriately accountable. In the last year, the Marine Corps has made progress in each of these areas.

According to the 2014 RAND Military Workplace Study, approximately 1,000 fewer Marines were victimized in 2014 than in 2012, which is especially significant given the 94% reporting increase during that two-year period. With sexual assault being a highly underreported crime, this reveals that Marine Corps efforts are working to not only prevent sexual assault but instill confidence in victims that the necessary care and support will be provided. In addition to these trends, a larger number of Marine Corps victims are participating in the military justice process, leading to more sexual assault investigations being completed, more court-martial charges preferred for both penetrative and contact crimes, and more prosecutions for sexual offenses.



These and other positive signs are the result of a sustained effort toward Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) initiatives throughout FY14, which saw the continued implementation of the three-phase Marine Corps SAPR Campaign Plan. Launched in June 2012, the SAPR Campaign Plan was expanded in April 2014 to address specific periods of vulnerability, such as Marines transitioning to the operating forces from the

entry level pipeline; the accuracy of existing SAPR metrics; and external communication and transparency. In FY14, the Marine Corps also ensured compliance with all sexual assault-related National Defense Authorization Act provisions, the 2014–2016 Department of Defense (DOD) Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy, all Secretary of Defense (SecDef) memoranda and directives, and continues to evaluate and execute recommendations from the Response Systems Panel (RSP), Judicial Proceedings Panel (JPP).

Since FY12, the Marine Corps has expended over $16 million toward SAPR and special victim legal training initiatives, funding a 300% increase in full-time SAPR personnel in the field, 144% increase in headquarters-level SAPR personnel, and the establishment of the Victims' Legal Counsel Organization (VLCO), which was established in November 2013. Since its establishment, the VLCO has continuously expanded to meet demand, growing to 15 active-duty judge advocates, 1 senior paralegal, 8 enlisted legal services specialists, and 2 auxiliary counsel available to assist when needed. To date, the VLCO has assisted over 750 crime victims.

These and other large-scale initiatives, to include the ongoing development of the SAPR training continuum, have led to positive trends in other important areas, namely bystander intervention, leadership engagement, and command climate—three areas around which all SAPR training is built. According to recent survey data, more Marines are willing to intervene in high-risk situations, believe their command supports the SAPR program, and perceive a favorable command climate in which mutual respect and trust is promoted, and sexist comments and behaviors are actively discouraged.

Despite these promising data trends, the Marine Corps is careful not to confuse progress with victory. More efforts and improvement are needed in specific areas. Junior enlisted Marines continue to be the most at-risk demographic, with reporting rates among male victims remaining low and, despite a downward trend, prevalence rates among female Marines remaining relatively high. The Marine Corps will continue to strengthen its efforts against sexual assault—to include the prevention of alcohol abuse, sexual harassment, retaliation, and other high-risk behaviors and crimes—and is currently in the process of developing and implementing more customized training initiatives, targeted awareness campaigns, and victim protection mechanisms.

Historically, the Marine Corps has always been the youngest, most junior, and least married of all the Services—demographics that make this institution particularly susceptible to sexual assault. The Marine Corps recognizes that its demographics will not change, and that our SAPR efforts must be further strengthened and tailored to the unique Marine Corps culture and environment. The battle continues. All Marine Corps leaders, starting with the Commandant, remain engaged, committed, and willing to take the necessary measures to eliminate sexual assault completely from the ranks. In October 2014, the 36th Commandant of the Marine Corps assumed command and reinforced the Marine Corps commitment to continuing and advancing SAPR efforts: "I believe the Marine Corps has taken the right steps to combat sexual assaults within its

ranks; however, much work remains. I am committed to confronting this crime."

1. Line of Effort (LOE) 1—Prevention—The objective of prevention is to "deliver consistent and effective prevention methods and programs."

1.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Prevention Endstate: "cultural imperatives of mutual respect and trust, professional values, and team commitment are reinforced to create an environment where sexual assault or sexual harassment is not tolerated, condoned, or ignored."

The Marine Corps continues to develop its SAPR training continuum, with new and updated training initiatives being implemented even before recruit training, emphasizing individual character and the core values, and extending into the later stages of a Marine's career, focusing on leadership and accountability. While built around leadership engagement, each of these Marine Corps SAPR training programs were designed to reduce stigma, challenge preexisting beliefs, and disrupt the offender's cycle of harm in part by teaching the principles of bystander intervention. Research has shown that the likelihood of bystanders intervening to prevent a crime decreases significantly when the offender and the victim know each other. This finding is especially critical in the context of sexual assault, where the large majority of victims are acquainted with their attackers. This reluctance to intervene is most effectively addressed at the community level with proper training that empowers bystanders in two ways: 1) infusing them with a sense of responsibility to intervene, and 2) teaching them how to intervene. The Marine Corps has addressed these two elements in its training courses by appealing to all Marines' sense of duty to protect each other and instructing them in the three D's of bystander intervention: Direct, Distract, and Delegate. The following specific training products were developed and implemented in FY14:

"Step Up" for Junior Enlisted Marines

Marine Corps efforts to focus on that critical period of transition between entry-level training and the operating forces were strengthened by the 28 July 2014 release of the "Step Up" bystander intervention training program. Designed specifically for junior Marines, the most at-risk demographic in the Marine Corps, "Step Up" is a 90-minute video-based, interactive program that teaches the principles of bystander intervention. The video segment, which is integral and exclusive to this training, follows a group of acquainted junior Marines attending an off-base house party during which one Marine aggressively pursues another. The training teaches Marines about sexual assault and how to prevent it by identifying in this scenario the different ways in which bystanders could have intervened to stop an incident from occurring.

Regarding bystander intervention, "Step Up" training discusses red flags (i.e., actions and behaviors that go against the core values of the Marine Corps), as well as when and how to intervene, providing specific techniques and examples. In addition, the "Step Up" curriculum teaches junior Marines about healthy relationships, consent, sexual harassment (and how it differs from sexual assault), and reporting options for victims.

Ethical Discussion Groups (EDGs)

Based on the success of the six video-based EDGs that were implemented in FY12 as part of All Hands training, eight additional EDGs were developed in 2014. Four were distributed for Corps-wide use in September 2014. The remaining four will be incorporated into trainings targeting leaders at various levels. The EDGs enhance Marine Corps prevention training efforts, incorporating bystander intervention and teaching Marines how to properly respond if a sexual assault occurs. Each EDG targets a specific audience, from junior Marines to leadership, and relates to the targeted audience's level of responsibility and knowledge. Using realistic scenarios that depict incidents surrounding a sexual assault, EDGs allow Marines to think about how they would act in similar situations, preparing them to intervene and respond appropriately in real life. After watching an EDG video, Marines participate in small groups that enable candid, nonjudgmental discussions and serve to dispel myths and misconceptions about sexual assault.

In FY14, one of the EDG videos titled "The Gunny" received a bronze Telly Award. The Telly Award is an industry award for creative excellence honoring outstanding video and film programs. Winners represent the best work of many national and regional advertising agencies and production companies. "The Gunny" recounts a scenario in which a female Lance Corporal tells her Gunnery Sergeant that she was raped by another Lance Corporal the previous night. Rather than putting her in contact with a Uniformed Victim Advocate (UVA), the Gunnery Sergeant takes it upon himself to handle the situation the wrong way. After obtaining details about the incident from the victim, including the name of the alleged offender, the Gunnery Sergeant sends the victim home and angrily confronts the offender, eventually taking him to the Provost Marshal's Office (PMO). Later, the Sergeant Major gets wind of how the Gunnery Sergeant handled the situation and asks to speak with him. While the Sergeant Major praises the Gunnery Sergeant's initiative, he corrects his judgment on how to handle reports of sexual assault and goes through the proper protocol for such situations.

***

Military Equal Opportunity (Sexual Harassment)

While the Marine Corps SAPR program continues to implement large-scale initiatives, the Marine Corps Military Equal Opportunity (EO) program continues to advance its primary objective of integrating equal opportunity into every aspect of Marine Corps life. Specific objectives include building and maintaining a cohesive combat-ready corps of Marines who are focused and determined to accomplish their mission; promoting teamwork and cohesion through the elimination of prejudices and harassment; and ensuring equal opportunity exist for all Marines.

Regarding EO matters, the Marine Corps operates under the following principles, outlined in Marine Corps Order P5354.1D: "The organizational climate of a unit is the responsibility of the Commander. Sound leadership is the key to eliminating all forms of unlawful discrimination, and those in supervisory positions must foster an environment free of inappropriate behavior. All individuals in the unit must be treated fairly and with

mutual respect." Leadership training is thus our primary means of implementing the Corps EO objectives. It includes the following methods to prevent discrimination and forms of harassment:

- Being proactive and ensuring that all EO complaints are thoroughly investigated.
- Ensuring all Marines are aware of the avenues for filing EO complaints and actions that will be taken against personnel in substantiated cases. A capable, trusted method of communicating EO complaints strengthens our Corps against negative values and inappropriate behavior.
- Setting the example by knowing what sexual harassment is and refusing to condone it. Marines must not only refrain from sexual harassment but also actively counter and report such actions immediately. Counseling harassers when sexual harassment is viewed even if a complaint is not filed.

1.2 Describe your progress in enhancing and integrating SAPR Professional Military Education in accordance with National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) Fiscal Year (FY) 2012 requirements.

In compliance with the FY12 NDAA, SAPR training at each level Professional Military Education (PME) is tailored to Marines' new responsibilities and leadership as they are promoted, emphasizing the central role of leadership in sexual assault prevention and response. Officer PME at the Expeditionary Warfare School and the Command and Staff College utilizes case studies to emphasize the importance of response protocol, command climate, and leadership engagement. All PME – to include Sergeants Course, Staff Academy, Advanced Academy, and First Sergeants Course – is aligned with DODI 6495.02 and covers the following requirements:

- Explanation and analysis of the SAPR program;
- Explanation and analysis of the necessity of immediate responses after a sexual assault has occurred to counteract and mitigate the long-term effects of violence. Long-term responses after sexual assault has occurred will address the lasting consequences of violence;
- Explanation of rape myths, facts, and trends pertaining to the military population;
- Explanation of the Commander's and senior enlisted Service member's role in the SAPR program;
- Review of all items found in the Commander's protocols for Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault; and
- Overview of what constitutes reprisal and procedures for reporting allegations of reprisal.

1.3 Describe your progress in implementing core competencies and learning objectives for all SAPR training to ensure consistency throughout the military. If already implemented, describe how you are monitoring and assessing outcomes.

The Marine Corps continues to assess its training programs across the SAPR training continuum, implementing all core competencies and learning objectives as identified by DOD SAPRO. In January 2014, the SAPR training at the Marine Corps Commanders Course was observed by DOD SAPRO, which found that 5 of 20 learning objectives were not met, and an additional 2 were only partially met. The Marine Corps has since updated its SAPR training at the Commanders Course to meet all 20 learning

objectives, and is working to expand its curriculum (and time block) specifically to facilitate the interactive, skills-application case study portion of the training. The Marine Corps will continue to monitor all of its training programs to help ensure consistency across the Services, and welcomes future opportunities for assessment by DOD SAPRO.

1.4 Describe your progress in ensuring Commanders receive training on sexual assault prevention and response during pre-command courses.

The Marine Corps Commanders Course is mandatory for all prospective Commanders and Senior Enlisted Advisors. The course emphasizes the importance of command climate and the central role of leadership in both prevention and response. The four-phase course employs a read-ahead, a group lecture/discussion, and practical application (i.e., small-team problem-solving scenarios). The last phase is a brief by their installation Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) within 30 days of assuming command or getting posted. The brief covers SAPR resources available at the local level. In addition to teaching the basic concepts and issues related to sexual assault in the military, the Commanders Course is designed to ensure that all Marine Corps leaders understand:

- Risks and circumstances associated with sexual assault incidence and the proactive measures to prevent sexual assault and other destructive behaviors within their command;
- Essential elements of quality victim care and the roles and responsibilities of victim service providers;
- Complexity of sexual assault crimes and the appropriate investigation and disposition options available; and
- The roles of Commanders and Senior Enlisted Leaders in fostering a command environment free of sexual assault.

To reinforce these lessons throughout a Commander's career, HQMC SAPR is developing refresher training, to be conducted annually by Installation SARCs, for all Command Teams.

HQMC SAPR also outreaches to Commanders of all ranks. For example, in FY14, a SAPR brief was conducted at the Brigadier General Select Orientation Course (BGSOC). Material provided as part of this presentation outlined key command responsibilities—including military protective orders, civilian protective orders, expedited transfers, transferring of the alleged offender, and holding offenders appropriately accountable—while the brief emphasized the importance of setting a command climate nonpermissive to sexual assault and making sure that any incidents are properly addressed per policy.

1.5 Describe your progress in incorporating specific SAPR monitoring, measures, and education into readiness and safety forums (e.g., quarterly training guidance, unit status reports, safety briefings).

To keep lines of communication open with the fleet, HQMC SAPR has developed a communication strategy that includes face-to-face engagements, traditional print media,

and social media platforms. Spurred by Summer 2014 Roadshow of the SAPR Branch Head, face-to-face engagements between HQMC SAPR and installation Marines increased in FY14 and is further planned for FY15 to help accomplish the following objectives:

- Serve as a model of engaged leadership that emphasizes every Marine's inherent duty to step up and step in to prevent sexual assault;
- Enhance and expand communications between HQMC SAPR and Marines of all levels
- Provide Marines with the status of their SAPR efforts;
- Provide HQMC SAPR with situational awareness of how Marines perceive the SAPR Program and efforts;
- Enable HQMC SAPR to measure the tempo of ground operations; and
- Enable HQMC SAPR to provide assistance with installation-level SAPR programs.

As part of this communication strategy, the Marine Corps began the electronic distribution of a SAPR Monthly Snapshot in August 2014. This document was designed to provide Marines with an understanding of the ground situation of sexual assault in the Corps. The SAPR Monthly Snapshot enumerates the total number of reports filed each month and in the fiscal year to date. The document also provides metrics that detail SAPR progress in terms of command climate, response and accountability, and the demographics of sexual assault. Each of these topics will be addressed once per quarter, with the goal of tracking development over the long term. HQMC also produces brochures, newsletters, and other print media throughout the year to communicate with different audiences about SAPR efforts and progress.

In addition, the following initiatives have been implemented to enhance SAPR prevention efforts and education across the Corps:

Pre-deployment Training

The Marine Corps revised its pre-deployment SAPR training program, which now includes bystander intervention and risk reduction strategies. The training also provides information pertaining to the country anticipated for deployment, emphasizing its customs, mores, and religious practices. In addition, the training identifies first responders who will be available during deployment, to include law enforcement, legal, the SARC, UVAs, healthcare personnel, and Chaplains.

Annual Training for all Marines

Every Marine is required to complete annual SAPR training to ensure a thorough understanding of the nature of sexual assault in the military environment and the entire cycle of prevention, reporting, response, and accountability. While each annual training program is customized to grade and level of responsibility for each Marine, all SAPR training provides Marines with a general knowledge of sexual assault, to include:

- What constitutes sexual assault;

- Why sexual assault is a crime;
- The definition of consent;
- Available reporting options, exceptions, and limitations of each option;
- Awareness of the Commander's roles, responsibilities, and available military and civilian resources for victims;
- The distinction between sexual assault and sexual harassment and other types of sexual-related misconduct; and
- Methods of prevention and risk reduction, to include bystander intervention.

Other ongoing initiatives include HQMC quality assurance measures, such as IG inspections and courtesy visits; data fidelity measures, to include Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID) monitoring; as well as ongoing collaboration with Force Preservation Council and Leadership Development Program.

1.6 Describe your progress in exploring expansion of SAPR training to include Recruit Sustainment Programs, Student Flight Programs, and for National Guard prior to arrival at Basic Training.

The Marine Corps has instituted a formal two-hour ethics package course of instruction titled "Whole of Character" for all poolees (i.e., Marine Corps enlistees in the Delayed Entry Program [DEP] who are awaiting travel to Recruit Training). This training is conducted by recruiters and required prior to accession. It is designed to introduce young men and women to the Marine Corps ethos of honor, courage, and commitment, while addressing the Marine Corps position on sexual assault, harassment, hazing, and alcohol abuse. Learning objectives include:

- Understand the Marine Corps core values and how they are consistent with ethical standards of behavior;
- Understand the DOD definitions of sexual harassment, sexual assault, consent, and bystander intervention;
- See the value of making ethical decisions consistent with Marine Corps ethical standards;
- Anticipate consequences of decisions; and
- Avoid actions that could lead to negative outcomes.

Upon initial enlistment, recruits view the "Conduct Awareness" video, which describes inappropriate behavior and how to report misconduct and crimes, delivered by the Military Entrance and Processing Station (MEPS) Liaison.

In addition to "Whole of Character" training, all new members of the DEP receive a "Welcome Aboard Package" at the time of acceptance for enlistment into the Marine Corps. This packet is geared toward all new members and their families. In addition to a booklet and video about the command, sexual assault wallet cards are included that outline the DEP member's responsibilities for reporting of any incidents, bystander intervention, and acceptable conduct and reporting.

During recruit training, recruits now receive four separate SAPR-related trainings. Training is provided on the first day of arrival to recruit training, conducted by a UVA. The second is on Training Day 10, which is a lecture given by the MCRD academics department. The third is a foot locker discussion with their Senior Drill Instructor, which occurs at Training Day 50. The fourth occurs at the end of recruit training and consists of the Marine Corps "Lost Honor" video, which includes interviews with four Marines convicted of sexual assault, each recounting the various circumstances and decisions leading up to the incident.

1.7 Describe your efforts to establish and implement policies that prevent individuals convicted of a Federal or State offense of rape, sexual abuse, sexual assault, incest, or other sexual offenses, from being provided a waiver for commissioning or enlistment in the Armed Forces.

Current DOD Accession Policy prevents the commissioning or enlistment of individuals convicted of these crimes, which is reinforced in Marine Corps Recruiting Command (MCRC) policy. MCRC Order 1100.1 states: "Any applicant who was prosecuted, and convicted, or received an adverse adjudication for a Major Misconduct Offense (felony) or Misconduct Offense (misdemeanor) as an adult or juvenile of any sexual-related crime, or sexual assault is disqualified for enlistment. Any applicant with a conviction for an offense which results in the mandatory registration as a Sexual Offender on the National Sexual Offender Registry is ineligible for enlistment. No waivers will be considered."

1.8 Describe your progress in establishing a transition policy that ensures Service member sponsorship, unit integration, and immediate assignment into a chain of command. If already established, describe findings and recommendations.

The Addendum to the CMC's SAPR Campaign Plan, approved in April 2014, directs the Marine Corps to review and update the Marine Corps Sponsorship Program, as appropriate, to mitigate the risk of sexual assault for Marines in transition. The Addendum also directs the Marine Corps to identify best practices and institutionalize the process for Marines Awaiting Training during the entry-level training pipeline and transitioning to the operating forces. In addition, HQMC SAPR is currently coordinating with the Marine Corps Leadership Development Program to augment the existing mentoring program with SAPR-specific responsibilities.

1.9 Describe your progress in ensuring Commanders conduct an organizational climate assessment within 120 days of assuming command and annually thereafter. Include policy for providing results to the next level in the chain of command.

All Commanders must ensure all members administratively attached to their commands have the opportunity to participate in the assessment process. For this purpose, the Marine Corps utilizes two separate, mandatory command climate surveys, both of which must be briefed to the next level in the chain of command after completion.

For commands with more than 50 personnel, the Defense Equal Opportunity

Management Institute (DEOMI) Organizational Climate Survey (DEOCS) will be conducted within 90 days of assumption of command, and annually thereafter. Subordinate commands of 50 or less personnel will be surveyed with a larger unit in the command to ensure anonymity. All survey results will be briefed to the next higher level Commander in the chain of command within 30 days of receipt of the survey results. To ensure this requirement is being met, new features have been added to the command climate survey request form and notification emails. Per MARADMIN 464/13, published September 2013, survey administrators enter the next level Commander's information of their DEOCS request to ensure he/she is aware that the survey has been requested and that a brief will be required.

In addition, a new command climate survey directed by the Commandant of the Marine Corps (CMC) was implemented in June 2013 to supplement the existing DEOMI survey. The CMC's command climate survey is mandatory within 30 days of assuming command, and annually thereafter. Per MARADMIN 316/13, published June 2013, survey results are briefed to the next higher level Commander in the chain of command.

Command climate surveys identify areas in a unit that can be improved, allowing Commanders to prevent misconduct that may lead to serious crimes, such as sexual assault. These surveys are designed to measure the overall health and well-being of a command, helping to identify and mitigate the high-risk behaviors that tear at the fabric of the Corps.

1.10 Describe your progress in establishing a clear policy to reduce the impact of high-risk behaviors and personal vulnerabilities to sexual assaults and other crimes against persons (e.g., alcohol consumption, barracks visitation, transition policy). Include efforts to collaborate with law enforcement, alcohol and substance abuse officers, and etc.

The Reawakening campaign was launched in October 2013 with the aim to return and re-strengthen Marine Corps focus on its timeless foundations and ethos, and thus eliminate high-risk behaviors. The Reawakening campaign was designed to ensure a smooth transition from more than a decade of continuous combat to a return to garrison and the primary role as a crisis-response force-in-readiness. As such, the campaign focused on the foundations of discipline, faithfulness, self-excellence, and concerned leadership especially with regard to NCOs: 42% of the active duty Marine Corps hold the rank of Lance Corporal or below, making the Marine Corps easily the most junior of all military services. Initiatives implemented as a result of the Reawakening campaign included policy changes pertaining to the following:

Alcohol Sales

The Marine Corps efforts to improve safety and reduce the risks posed by alcohol, especially in relation to sexual assault prevention, are outlined below. In addition to policy reviews, initiatives have been implemented pertaining to the proper training of alcohol providers, responsible sales practices, awareness efforts including public service announcements, and "Whole of Character" training programs for new Marines

that emphasize responsible and ethical behavior. These efforts include:

On-Base Alcohol Sales Restrictions: MCO 1700.22F on Alcoholic Beverage Control is being updated and is expected to be published in early 2015. The MCO will provide additional safeguards for on-base facilities that sell/serve alcohol and reflect requirements outlined in a memo released by the CMC in August 2013. These requirements have already been implemented at all on-base facilities and include the following:

- Restriction of on-base retail alcoholic beverage sales to the hours of 0800 to 2200;
- Removal of distilled spirit products from sites adjacent to barracks;
- Reinforcement of Department of Defense (DOD) alcoholic beverage pricing policy at local commands;
- Limitation of floor space dedicated to the sale and display of alcoholic beverages in locations other than package stores or consolidated main stores, that encompass the package store, to no more than 10% of total retail selling floor space available;
- Consolidated locations for alcohol beverage products and displays so that they are away from the main entrance of the facility (sides or rear) in a location not normally used by underage patrons;
- Restriction of in-store marketing of alcoholic beverages to the area where alcohol is sold; and
- Site-by-site evaluation of on-base facilities operated by the Marine Corps outside of the United States to ensure compliance with existing ration programs and applicable Status of Forces Agreements.

Training for Alcohol Providers: All Marine Corps Community Services (MCCS) employees who serve alcohol consumed on premises are required to complete annual responsible alcohol service training using the industry recognized ServSafe Alcohol certification program, offered by the National Restaurant Association, or the Controlling Alcohol Risks Effectively (CARE) program, offered by the American Hotel & Lodging Educational Institute. In addition, a data call was released in September 2014 requiring verification that all Marine Corps employees (employed 30 days or longer) who sell or serve alcohol to patrons for immediate consumption on premises have attended and successfully passed the approved alcohol service training program. All commands reported their employees had completed the required training, or are currently in the process of completing the annual renewal requirement

- The Marine Corps NAF Business and Support Services Division (MR) contacted the Washington D.C.-based nonprofit Safe Bars Initiative to determine if their training program for bar staff to recognize and respond to incidents of sexual harassment and sexual assault among staff and patrons might be appropriate for adoption by club staff. While it was determined that the training was too early in the stages of development for adoption by the Marine Corps, which already

provides bystander intervention training to club staff utilizing the CARE program, the initiative appears promising. Marine Corps personnel were provided more information about the initiative and will further review the training to determine whether it fits the evidence-based prevention model for inclusion in civilian bystander intervention training.

The Headquarters Marine Corps (HQMC) SAPR branch is collaborating with the HQMC Substance Abuse Program (SAP) to draft a Public Service Announcement that addresses all Marines taking action to identify and intervene when high-risk behaviors are present.

HQMC SAP provides policy level guidance to the field via MCO 5300.17 that requires installation specific alcohol abuse prevention planning that encompasses a comprehensive marketing plan to combat misuse and abuse of alcohol for coping. In addition, MCO 5300.17 on Marine Corps SAP is currently being updated to reflect a correlation between the use of alcohol and other significant behavioral health issues, including sexual assault.

Enhanced Barracks Oversight

In September 2013, at the General Officers Symposium, the CMC called for several new initiatives pertaining to the barracks. He cited several behavioral problems as the reasoning behind these changes, mentioning specifically the issues of sexual assault, hazing, alcohol misuse, fraternization, and failure to maintain personal appearance standards. These initiatives included:

- Sergeants and Corporals will return to the barracks to provide leadership to the maximum extent possible. This policy change was made in 2011, with the CMC saying it was necessary to save money and put the Corps' new, impressive bachelor enlisted quarters to full use.
- Senior officers, staff NCOs (SNCOs), and NCOs will be in and out of the barracks regularly, especially between 2000 and 0400.
- Company-grade officers will be assigned as officers on duty and SNCOs will be assigned as staff officers on duty. All Marines on duty will be required to wear service uniforms, either Bravos or Charlies, depending on which uniform is in season.
- Two NCOs will be on duty per barracks, and a firewatch will be conducted on each floor of each building.
- Television and video games will not be allowed in the watchstander's place of duty. They must be out and about, and not behind a desk.

1.11 Describe your progress in implementing the 2014 Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy.

The Marine Corps has assessed the 2014 DOD Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy and developed courses of action to ensure compliance with all identified initiatives, many of

which overlap with existing initiatives put forth in the SAPR Campaign Plan and Addendum. Specific DOD Prevention Strategy tasks that the Marine Corps has implemented include:

- Conduct specialized leader sexual assault prevention training
- Develop and expand gender-responsive and culturally competent programs (e.g. mentorship, initial entry) to address healthy relationships and active bystander intervention—with the emphasis that core values should anchor all actions—in order to support the establishment of a culture of mutual respect
- Review and if necessary expand DOD and Service alcohol policies to address factors beyond individual use (e.g. pricing, outlet density, Arizona Safe Bars Alliance)
- Explore the development of (enhancement of existing) sexual assault deterrence measures and messaging (e.g. publishing court martial results).
- Assess, implement core competencies and continue to update all sexual assault-prevention related training and programs based on latest evidence-based research, practices, and lessons learned
- Implement policies that appropriately address high-risk situations targeted by offenders
- Institute recurring senior leadership meetings (e.g. quarterly Flag Officer/General Officer drumbeat, leader summits) to review sexual assault prevention programs (not case management group meetings)
- Develop sexual assault prevention strategies and programs which employ peers, near-peers (e.g. Service member one rank higher or somewhat senior in position of authority), and social influencers

1.12 Describe your efforts to increase collaboration with civilian organizations to improve interoperability.

On 30 September 2014, HQMC SAPR hosted an Inter-Service Working Group on Marine Corps Base (MCB) Quantico that included SAPR representatives from each Service and DOD SAPRO, as well as representatives from NCIS and HQMC Behavioral Health. The speaker was Dr. James Hopper, an independent consultant, therapist, researcher, and clinical instructor of psychology at Harvard Medical School. His presentation was titled “Outreach to Males Sexually Assaulted in the Service: Foundations, Basics, Next Steps.” The working group discussed potential initiatives, but all agreed that not enough substantive research on the topic existed to validate a specific approach. Key takeaways included:

- Highlight the myths surrounding male sexual assault to leadership at every level to mitigate stereotypes surrounding male victimization.
- Most traumatized men have other traumas they might feel safer discussing. These might include Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE), combat, and other adult trauma.
- Speculation as to why most males do not seek help includes the lack of awareness and acceptance of males as victims of USC. This includes the perception that it is unmanly and weak to be a victim, need or seek help, or share

vulnerabilities.
- The general consensus of the working group was that male victims are not comfortable reporting USC to a SARC, VA, UVA, or anyone on base.

Areas to focus on included attacking the stigma surrounding male victimization; establishing anonymous and confidential protocols for male victims to access available supportive services; learning about and employing the fundamentals of outreach, e.g., using language that empowers them rather than labeling them, and launching an awareness campaign.

In addition, the Marine Corps has continued its collaboration with the Rape, Abuse, Incest National Network (RAINN), which operates the DOD Safe Helpline, a military and civilian resource database. The DOD Safe Helpline service referral database is populated with input from each Military Service, the National Guard Bureau, the Coast Guard, Military OneSource, and Military HomeFront, and is updated frequently to ensure accuracy and provides information on SARCs, legal, medical, mental health, and spiritual military resources. The referral database also houses information for local civilian resources for Safe Helpline users (victims and victim assistance providers) seeking information and crisis support from both civilian and military response systems. Representatives from RAINN and the DOD Safe Helpline have participated in the Marine Corps SAPR Annual Training Event in August 2014 as guest lecturers.

1.13 Describe your future plans for delivering consistent and effective prevention methods and programs, including how these efforts will help your Service plan, resource and make progress in your SAPR program.

The Marine Corps continues to expand its SAPR Branch at the headquarters level, adding more research and prevention subject matter expertise to implement a more comprehensive approach to preventing sexual assault. These efforts will focus on validating the effectiveness of existing initiatives and protecting vulnerable Marines from high-risk situations. Prevention efforts will consider all Marine populations (bystanders, victims, and offenders) in order to fully understand and interrupt the chain of an offender's actions, prior to an incident of sexual assault. In addition to continued implementation of its SAPR Campaign Plan and the Phase II Addendum, HQMC SAPR will also increase collaboration with other Marine Corps programs to strategically address associated behaviors, including sexual harassment as a possible precursor and substance abuse.

More research will also be conducted to identify more effective training approaches, including a virtual immersive training model. Steps have already been taken toward the development of a large-scale SAPR training product that focuses on the roles and leadership responsibilities of company grade officers, SNCOs, and NCOs. Using the virtual immersive model, the training will be designed to enhance the target audiences' knowledge and skills through an evidence-based approach that positively modifies behavior with respect to SAPR. The training will center on a video production-based simulation requiring participants to play characters in order to identify, prevent,

intervene, and/or respond to sexual assault or related incidents or dilemmas. The participants will practice in the safety of cyberspace to address real-life situations they may encounter in their roles as leaders, supervisors, and mentors. The participants will learn about consequences of their decisions and actions in terms of how they can affect a victim, unit, and ultimately the Marine Corps.

Each training simulation storyline will be multidimensional in scope, meaning there are several complex and dynamic issues and dilemmas occurring simultaneously or that compound over a period of time. The storylines will not be limited to a single incident during a single period of time, but be inclusive of various periods of time following a sexual assault (e.g., six months after a report is filed, etc.). Below are some topical areas that will be included in the training simulations:

- Reporting outside of the chain of command;
- Addressing false reporting and maintaining supportive climate for victims;
- Contributing to a positive command climate;
- Recognizing signs of retaliation;
- Selection of Uniformed Victim Advocates;
- Initial actions for responding to sexual assault;
- Expedited transfers;
- Navy Regulation Article 1137 (Mandatory Reporting);
- Sexual assault prevention; and
- Distinguishing between sexual assault and sexual harassment.

Additionally, all other training requirements will be covered in accordance with DODI 6495.02 and the DOD learning objectives and core competencies.

2. LOE 2—Investigation—The objective of investigation is to "achieve high competence in the investigation of sexual assault."

2.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Investigation Endstate: "investigative resources yield timely and accurate results."

In FY14, Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS) – the Military Criminal Investigation Organization (MCIO) for the Marine Corps – continued its use of the Adult Sexual Assault Program (ASAP) teams, established in June 2012 in support of the DOD Special Victim Capability (SVC) initiative. ASAP pairs special agents and investigators dedicated specifically to the investigation of sexual assaults. This team concept allows personnel to surge sex crime investigations, resulting in a more timely completion and a quicker delivery of investigative reports to Navy and Marine Corps Convening Authorities. ASAP members collaborate throughout the investigative process with Victim Advocates (VAs), Victim Legal Counsel (VLC), and prosecutors, in accordance with the SVC criteria.

To help offset the increase in sexual assault reporting, the Department of the Navy (DON) approved 54 new NCIS billets—including 41 special agents and 13 support staff—in July 2013. The Special Agents have completed the nearly six-month Special

Agent Basic Training Program at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center (FLETC) and reported to their assigned field offices, where they are now participating in the Field Training Evaluation Program (FTEP). During the FTEP, new special agents investigate such crimes as larcenies, burglaries, and drug offenses to gain experience and further develop their investigative skills. Although these new special agents are not currently investigating sexual assaults, their contributions help mitigate overall workloads, allowing more experienced agents to focus on the investigation of sex crimes.

To further address increased sexual assault reporting, NCIS activated 21 NCIS Master-at-Arms (MA) reservists for a period of one year. The reservists are predominantly local and state police officers and detectives who already possess the investigative expertise needed to investigate sexual assault allegations. The MAs were recalled to active duty and attended five weeks of instruction on NCIS policy, advanced interviewing/interrogation techniques, crime scene processing/management, and advanced sexual assault training at FLETC. The MAs graduated in July 2014 and reported to NCIS field offices in the continental United States for duty.

2.2 Describe your progress in implementing Special Victim Capability for MCIOs.

The implementation of ASAP in June 2012 established SVC for NCIS. Since its implementation, the timeliness of NCIS sexual assault investigations improved markedly without any degradation to investigative quality. ASAP teams operate in fleet concentration areas where the volume of sexual assault reports is the greatest. ASAP teams have been established in the following locations:

- Camp Lejeune, NC – established June 2012
- Norfolk, VA – established August 2012
- Okinawa, Japan – established September 2012
- Camp Pendleton, CA – established October 2012
- Bremerton, WA – established March 2013
- San Diego, CA – established April 2013
- Yokosuka, Japan – established August 2013



NCIS investigative timelines are calculated from initial notification until the date all logical investigative leads have been completed and the case has been presented to command for administrative/judicial action. Prior to the ASAP concept, investigative timelines were as long as 300 days in some locations. The average timeline for investigations conducted by ASAP teams in FY 2013 was 110 days, nearly a 24% decrease from 144 days in FY 2012. Data through the 4rd quarter of FY 2014 indicates the length of investigations is 126 days, which is attributed to the continued increase in sexual assaults reported throughout the year.

Additionally, since the expansion of Article 120 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), the number of reported sexual assaults investigated by NCIS has increased significantly. The 2014 sexual assault statistics showed a 55% increase in sexual assault investigations since June 2012. NCIS has diverted Special Agents from other critical mission areas to address this dramatic increase.

2.3 Describe your progress in implementing Special Victim Capability case assessment protocol for open and closed sexual assault, child abuse, and serious domestic violence cases.

NCIS policy directs supervisors to conduct a case review every 30 days on open investigations to ensure timeliness, thoroughness, and quality. Additionally, NCIS investigations are subject to further supervisory reviews during field office senior management visits, quality assistance visits by Executive Assistant Directors, field office inspections by the NCIS Inspector General, and NCISHQ program reviews.

Per DODI 5505.18, when an MCIO is the lead investigative agency, it may not close an adult sexual assault investigation without the written disposition data documented in the final investigative report or database. An NCIS investigation is not forwarded to

command for administrative/judicial action until all investigative leads have been completed. Once the case has been adjudicated, the investigation is closed only when the convening authority provides a Sexual Assault Disposition Report (SADR) to HQMC Judge Advocate Division.

2.4 Describe your progress in enhancing training for investigators of sexual violence. Include efforts to establish common criteria, core competencies, and measures of effectiveness, and to leverage training resources and expertise.

To meet SVC requirements, Special Agents, Investigators, and prosecutors must attend advanced training in adult sexual assault, child physical and sexual abuse, and domestic violence. Requirements for the training are outlined in DODI 5505.18, "Investigation of Adult Sexual Assault in the Department of Defense," and DODIG directive-type memorandum (DTM) 14-002. Training courses that meet these requirements include the Army's Special Victims Unit Investigations Course (SVUIC) (for adult sexual assault investigations) and the NCIS Advanced Family and Sexual Violence Training Program (AFSVTP) (for the investigation of child crimes and domestic violence).

NCIS' goal is to provide advanced training to all personnel who could potentially respond to, investigate, and/or supervise the investigation of SVC offenses. NCIS currently employs 1,050 Special Agents and Investigators, 161 of which are dedicated solely to the investigation of SVC crimes. Since August 2012, 101 of the 161 dedicated personnel and 197 of the non-dedicated personnel have attended the SVUIC—thus leaving a large percentage of Special Agents and Investigators untrained in the advanced course. NCIS continues to work with the Army to satisfy these training requirements and mitigate the restrictions brought about by the limited number of training courses conducted per year, as well as the limited number (8 to 10) of training seats per course available to MCIOs.

To address the issue, NCIS partnered with representatives from the USN Trial Counsel Assistance Program (TCAP) and developed an advanced adult sexual assault investigation course that not only meets new legislation and DOD training requirements, but also certifies investigators in conducting these types of investigations. At the end of FY 2014, NCIS offered two pilot courses of the Advanced Adult Sexual Assault Investigations Training Program (AASAITP) at FLETC and trained an additional 40 NCIS Special Agents and Investigators and 8 USN/USMC prosecutors. At this time, 11 iterations (264 seats) of the AASAITP are funded for FY 2015.

The NCIS AFSVTP training course meets the advanced training standard specified in DODIG DTM 14-0002 pertaining to the investigation of child crimes and domestic/intimate partner violence. To date, 113 Special Agents and Investigators have satisfied this training requirement. This two-week course will be offered twice in FY 2015 (48 seats).

2.5 Describe your progress in developing joint doctrine for investigations to incorporate Service interoperability and command independence consistent with

authorities of MCIOs in the operational/institutional environment.
In investigations that cross services, the lead investigative MCIO is determined by the service of the subject or the service of the victim if a subject has not been identified. In situations where investigative resources are limited, NCIS works jointly with the Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) and the Army Criminal Investigation Command (CID) to ensure investigations are thorough and timely.
2.6 Describe your progress in sustaining the Defense Enterprise Working Group of Military Criminal Investigation Organizations and Defense Criminal Investigative Service to assess and validate joint investigative technology, best practices, and resource efficiencies benched against external law enforcement agencies.
Since the three MCIOs have the same DOD-mandated training requirements, NCIS partnered with the Army CID and the AFOSI to establish sexual assault working groups. Through collaboration, the working groups identified joint training opportunities that have resulted in sharing resources, such as subject matter experts. The FY 2013 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) (title V, subtitle H, section 571) requires all MCIOs to “establish special victim teams for the purpose of investigating allegations of child abuse, serious domestic violence, and sexual offenses.” Additionally, each MCIO must “prescribe standards for the training, selection, and certification of personnel assigned for the special victim teams.” To meet NDAA directives, DODIG DTM 14-002, “The Establishment of SVC Within the MCIOs” required all MCIOs to develop “a distinct, recognizable group of appropriately trained investigators to investigate allegations of all designated SVC-covered offenses.” SVC-covered offenses include allegations of adult sexual assault, domestic violence involving sexual assault and/or aggravated assault with grievous bodily harm, and child abuse involving sexual assault and/or grievous bodily harm.
2.7 Describe your progress in assessing and coordinating with the United States Army Criminal Investigation Laboratory (USACIL) to improve investigative support and facilitate evidence processing.
NCIS established a Forensic Consultant (FC) position in June 2014 at the Defense Forensic Science Laboratory (DFSL). The FC is assigned to the Forensic Analysis Division and prioritizes case submissions on behalf of NCIS. The FC works with the DFSL staff to conduct a comprehensive assessment upon receipt of evidence. The FC also inventories and inspects the evidence and then builds an examination strategy to ensure the most appropriate testing is conducted. This approach has helped eliminate backlogs and reduced turnaround time to less than 30 days from submission to completion of evidence analysis. The US Navy Bureau of Medicine and Surgery (BUMED) has partnered with USACIL to provide quality assurance feedback on Navy and Marine Corps Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) kits processed by their facility. Unlike the civilian sector, USACIL

does not limit forensic samples submitted and USACIL is time-bound by the UCMJ to complete forensic evidence within the 120 day maximum for "speedy trial." Their staff does a monumental job in completing evidence examinations within an average of 71–77 days. For comparison, civilian facilities can take 150–160 days to process evidence. All Navy and Marine Corps SAFE kits submitted by Navy SAFE providers to USACIL undergo quality assurance review. Feedback results will be shared with the provider submitting forensic evidence and trends will be shared with all.
2.8 Describe your progress in ensuring that all sexual assault crimes are immediately reported to MCIOs to establish investigative oversight and coordination.
DODI 6495.02 states that "unit Commanders who receive an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault shall immediately refer the matter to the appropriate MCIO," and DODI 5505.18 requires the MCIO to initiate investigations of all offenses of adult sexual assault of which they become aware. Additionally, the instruction states "all Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault (and attempts) against adults will be immediately reported to the MCIO, regardless of the severity of the allegation." NCIS continues to respond to all allegations of sexual assault upon notification. NCIS continues outreach initiatives, such as briefings, Crime Reduction Campaigns, and a Text-Tip anonymous hotline to increase awareness and encourage timely reporting.
2.9 Describe your progress in ensuring prompt MCIO investigative notification to Commanders and SARCs concurrent with initiating an investigation of a sexual assault crime.
In accordance with DODI 5505.18 and the FY14 NDAA, the MCIO investigator assigned to an adult sexual assault investigation will ensure a SARC has been notified as soon as possible to ensure system accountability and victim access to services as needed. In instances where NCIS initiates an investigation, NCIS personnel notifies VAs and SARCs within 24 hours and consults with all members within 48 hours.
2.10 Describe your continuing efforts to foster early coordination between investigators and judge advocates when initiating a sexual assault investigation.
Upon receipt of a sexual assault report, ASAP teams will employ a surge response to complete the investigative activity in a timely manner, with the intent of providing more rapid delivery of the investigative package to the convening authority. The ASAP initiative also includes early engagement with legal and victim advocacy personnel. In instances where NCIS initiates an investigation, NCIS personnel notify prosecutors within 24 hours and consults with them within 48 hours.
2.11 For Unrestricted and Restricted Reports, describe your efforts to ensure sexual assault documentation (DD Forms 2910 and 2911) is retained for 50 years in accordance with Section 1723 of the NDAA for FY14.
As required by NCIS policy, DD Form 2911 (DOD Sexual Assault Forensic Examination Report) is collected by NCIS investigative personnel following a victim's examination by a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE). The form is a required exhibit to the NCIS Report of Investigation, which by policy is retained for 50 years from the date the

investigation is closed. NCIS does not collect these forms for Restricted reporting. Until new DODI policy is published, NCIS considers all Restricted reports as unsolved, therefore SAFE kits are retained indefinitely. Additionally, the DON requires 50-year retention of DD Form 2910/2911 until new DODI policy is published, changing this requirement from 5 years to 50 years.

2.12 Describe your efforts to increase collaboration with civilian organizations to improve interoperability.

NCIS field offices have the responsibility to maintain collaborative relationships with law enforcement agencies within their area of responsibility. When investigations of sexual assault fall within the primary jurisdiction of a local law enforcement agency, NCIS may initiate a joint investigation or assist the agency with investigative leads as deemed appropriate. During the course of the investigation, NCIS remains engaged with local law enforcement counterparts and reports the progress of the investigation to command. This enables continued visibility and awareness in the event that civilian authorities defer prosecution to the military or civilian prosecutors decline the case and NCIS decides to pursue additional investigative leads.

2.13 Describe your future plans for the achievement of high competence in the investigation of sexual assault.

NCIS launched the Staff Assistance Visit (SAV) Program to assess field performance and adherence to operational excellence, focusing on investigative quality, timeliness, and compliance with NCIS policy and standards. SAVs are initiated by the NCIS Deputy Director at his/her discretion. In addition, the Quality Assurance Visit Program is a program in which the NCIS geographic Executive Assistant Directors for Atlantic, Pacific, and Global Operations conduct regularly scheduled visits to field offices to assess investigative quality, timeliness, and compliance with NCIS policy and standards.

Additionally, in an effort to further professionalize and enhance the investigative capabilities of active-duty MAs, NCIS will commence a separate pilot program in FY15. Twelve MAs selected from the fleet who have already attended the eight-week Military Police Investigator's course will attend the same FLETC course of instruction as their reserve counterparts. Upon graduation, they will report for duty to NCIS field offices, where they will conduct criminal investigations under the auspices of the Special Agent in Charge.

3. LOE 3—Accountability—The objective of accountability is to "achieve high competence in holding offenders appropriately accountable."

3.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Accountability Endstate: "perpetrators are held appropriately accountable."

During FY14, the legal community continued to implement and refine the 2012 CMC-directed legal reorganization to raise the quality and consistency of legal support across the Marine Corps. The Marine Corps has instituted a number of process improvements designed to formalize the higher standards for the practice of military justice. They

include new detailing and qualification standards, implementation of the Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution (SVIP) directive memorandum, implementation of the FY14 NDAA and standardization of military justice processes. These improvements promote the proper detailing of counsel, the efficient handling of complex cases such as sexual assault to better hold offenders accountable.

Increased Counsel Qualification Standards
The Marine Corps recently increased the qualification standards for all judge advocates, including Article 32 investigating officers, handling special victim cases. With few exceptions, Article 32 investigating officers now must be field grade judge advocates that have experience handling special victim cases as a trial counsel or defense counsel. Additionally, detailing authorities must consider a number of factors when detailing counsel or investigating officers, including trial experience, education, training, and the individual characteristics of the case.

For special victim cases, the Marine Corps developed new guidance and qualification criteria for detailing counsel. In FY14,the regional trial counsels (RTC) personally detailed all counsel and other trial support assets to all special victim cases.. Before being detailed by the RTC to a special victim case, the trial counsel must be special victim qualified. This qualification requires the trial counsel to meet certain standards including time as a trial counsel, experience, training, prior qualification as a general court-martial trial counsel, and previous experience as an assistant trial counsel on a contested special victim case. Once the trial counsel meets the standards to be qualified as special victim capable, the RTC and the Legal Services Support Section (LSSS) Officer in Charge (OIC) will review their background and experience and ensure they are confident in the counsel's ability to work with victims of sexual assault and to prosecute special victim cases. In addition, trial counsel assigned to sexual assault cases are required to consult with civilian Highly Qualified Experts (HQE). HQEs are seasoned civilian prosecutors with significant experience in complex criminal litigation, to include successful trial-level work in sexual assault cases. Trial counsel must consult HQEs within10 days of receiving a sexual assault case to ensure all avenues of investigation are explored and that they begin to develop an overview of the trial strategy. With these new requirements and consistent field grade supervision, trial counsels are well-equipped to handle the increasing complexity of sexual assault cases.

Implementation of DOD DTM 14-003, "DOD Implementation of Special Victim Capability (SVIP) Prosecution and Legal Support"
In February 2014, the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness issued a DTM to establish policy for implementation of a Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution (SVIP) capability, across the DOD to provide a distinct, recognizable group of appropriately skilled personnel to investigate and prosecute covered offenses. The Marine Corps utilized the RTC/HQE supervisory model to implement this requirement.

Implementation of the FY14 NDAA
The Fiscal Year 2014 NDAA included changes to nearly every stage of the military

justice process: changes to initial disposition decisions, limitations on the scope of preliminary hearings under Article 32, review of non-referral decisions, establishment of minimum sentences for certain sex offenses, and limitations on the scope of clemency available for members convicted of crimes. The Marine Corps, as a member of the Joint Service Committee on Military Justice, has been working closely with the other Services to implement these significant changes through amendments to the Manual for Courts-Martial DOD, DON, and Marine Corps regulations, and practice advisories to the fleet. Additionally, the Marine Corps began a complete revision of the Manual for Legal Administration in order to implement and incorporate the authorities and developments from the Fiscal Year 2013 and 2014 NDAAs.

Standardized Forms
In FY14, the Marine Corps continued to standardized its trial services forms ensure all reporting and requests for legal services are standardized regardless of where a Marine serves. This standardization promotes consistency across the Service and reduces variances in information collected and reported and also minimizes the training time when Marines moves to a different region.

3.2 Describe your progress in implementing a special victims' advocacy/counsel for victims.

The Marine Corps VLCO was established on 1 November 2013 (and became fully operational on 1 January 2014) to provide legal advice and counseling to eligible victims of all crimes under the UCMJ, including sexual assault, throughout the length of the investigation and prosecution process. Victims' Legal Counsel (VLC) help safeguard and assert victims' rights throughout the military justice process. To date, the VLCO:

- Assisted more than 750 crime victims.
- Established an enduring personnel structure now comprised of 15 active duty judge advocates, one senior paralegal, and 8 enlisted legal services specialists, plus 2 Auxiliary VLC available to assist when needed.
- Received overwhelmingly positive feedback from the fleet.
- Helped Commanders across the Marine Corps to understand victims' views with regard to disposition decisions, expedited transfers, and collateral misconduct, among other matters.

The VLCO mission is to protect victims' rights at all stages of the military justice process by providing legal advice and, when detailed, representation to victims of sexual assault and other crimes. VLC safeguard and assert victims' rights provided within the Manual for Courts-Martial, including the Military Rules of Evidence (MRE), Rules for Courts-Martial (RCM), and UCMJ. Since the decision by the Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces in *L.R.M. v. Kastenberg* (CAAF Jul 2013), victims have had the right to be heard "through counsel." Among the rights VLC assert on behalf of their clients are:

1. Rights under Article 6b, UCMJ
2. Right to attend and be heard at legal proceedings per MRE 412, 513, or 514;
3. Right to be present at all legal proceedings per MRE 615;

4. Right to provide "Victim's View as to Disposition" of the case to the convening authority per RCM 306;
5. Right to confidential communication with victim advocate per MRE 514;
6. Right to receive copy of record of trial upon completion of the case per Article 54(e), UCMJ; and
7. Any other victim rights provided by law, regulation, or Service policy.

The Marine Corps expanded the eligibility for VLC assistance beyond victims of sexual assault to include victims of all crimes in violation of the UCMJ, as permitted by 10 USC §§ 1044, 1044e, and 1565b, including both adult and minor dependents. Additionally, eligible victims include those who file restricted reports or have not yet decided whether to file a report are entitled to VLCO services.

The Marine Corps set high standards for judge advocates selected for assignment to VLCO: they must have military justice experience, pass sensitive position screening, and complete a specialized VLC course offered by one of the Judge Advocate General's schools. Ultimately, VLC are certified by the Judge Advocate General of the Navy per 10 U.S.C. § 1044e(c).

VLC and the VLCO supervisory chain are autonomous from, and independent of, any other legal organization or chain of command within the Marine Corps. The SJA to CMC establishes and oversees the VLCO, while an OIC leads the VLCO and is responsible for the professional supervision of VLC and the delivery of victims' legal services. The initial personnel structure approved for the VLCO at initial operating capability was 15 active duty Marine Corps judge advocates, supported by nine enlisted legal services specialists, plus four part-time active duty O-3 judge advocates as Auxiliary Victims' Legal Counsel (AVLC). AVLC have a separate primary duty assignment and serve as VLC only when needed to handle conflict cases or high case volume. The Marine Corps added one reserve O-3 judge advocate who received one year orders to establish a VLCO office at Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) Cherry Point, NC. The service also added an Individual Mobilization Augmentee (IMA) Detachment, VLCO Reserve Branch, which currently consists of one drilling reserve O-5 and one drilling reserve O-3, each supporting the VLCO up to 36 days per year. The Marine Corps has also hired one GS-11 Paralegal Specialist to support the VLCO headquarters element, taking the place of the previous enlisted legal services specialist. The eight remaining enlisted legal services specialists will be replaced by GS-9 paralegals in FY15. Finally, the VLCO has requested to hire four part-time HQEs, with one available to support each of the four VLCO regions. These HQEs will be experienced litigators to help train VLC and to advise VLC on case strategy.

Since establishment, the VLCO has provided numerous briefings to increase awareness of legal services available to victims. Between October 2013 and February 2014, the VLCO OIC traveled extensively across all Marine Corps regions to provide briefs about this program, including meeting with Commanders, SARCs, VAs, Family Advocacy Program (FAP) personnel, Victim-Witness Assistance Program (VWAP) personnel,

military criminal investigators (such as NCIS and Marine Corps CID), and all Marine Corps judge advocates. On 24 January 2014, the VLCO OIC briefed all the Marine Corps three- and four-star generals at the Executive Officer Offsite (EOS) meeting in Arlington, VA. The VLCO Deputy OIC spoke at each of the TCAP training events, conducted at Camp Lejeune, NC, on 14 January 2014, and at Camp Pendleton, CA on 4 February 2014, to educate trial counsel about the role of VLC. During the FY14 SJA to CMC Legal Community Training, 25-27 February 2014, the VLCO OIC briefed all the senior JAs, including Marine Corps military judges.

Since November 2013, the VLCO has also made use of media platforms to advertise available services. Information about VLCO has been included in monthly Marine Corps Public Affairs updates read by Commanders and others. Additionally, several military newspapers have featured articles about VLCO, including the Marine Corps Times, and base newspapers at Camp Lejeune, MCAS Beaufort, and MCB Quantico. Finally, the VLCO has a public website with essential information about the program and VLC contact information.

VLCO Training
Certification Training
All attorneys and paralegals assigned to VLCO attend specialized initial training at one of the Judge Advocate General's Schools. The Air Force offers The Special Victims' Counsel Course twice per year at Maxwell Air Force Base, Montgomery, AL,. The Army Special Victims' Counsel Course offered at The Judge Advocate General's Legal Center and School (TJAGLCS) in Charlottesville, VA, has been offered three times to date. Navy Justice School (NJS) has offered one similar course to date. All these courses include instruction in substantive military law, victim behavior, victim services, counseling techniques, and participation in practical scenario-driven exercises.

Legal Education
VLC attend additional specialized training offered by organizations outside the military as available. Some of the courses attended by VLC since 1 November 2013 are Preventing, Assessing, and Treating Child, Adolescent, and Adult Trauma offered by the Institute on Violence, Abuse and Trauma; Equal Justice for Children sponsored by the National District Attorneys Association; and the 2014 Crime Victim Law Conference sponsored by the National Crime Victim Law Institute.

Annual VLCO Training Event
The first annual VLCO-wide training event took place in August 2014. This event featured several classes by prominent victims' legal rights experts, and provided an opportunity to share lessons learned and develop best practices.

See item 5.10 in LOE 5 for more information pertaining to the implementation of VLCO.

3.3 Describe your progress in ensuring those who are affiliated with the special victim capability program (paralegals, JAGs, Judges, special victim counsel/victim legal counsel, and victim-witness assistance personnel) receive

specialized SAPR training for responding to allegations of sexual assault.

Enhanced Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Training

The Marine Corps TCAP has overseen a large increase in training in the last three years. In FY14, TCAP offered two week-long courses focused on the prosecution of sexual assault cases as mandated in MARADMIN 610/13, attendance at which meets the training requirement to be awarded the qualification to prosecute special victim cases. The TCAP courses included training in building case theory, charging under Article 120, UCMJ, general trial advocacy skills, use of expert witnesses, victim support, and prosecutorial ethics. A mix of experienced experts provided the instruction, including senior judge advocates, district attorneys, and expert witnesses who frequently testify in sexual assault cases, such as computer forensic experts, forensic DNA analysts, toxicologists, and SANEs. To ensure that trial counsel better represent the victims' interests when prosecuting cases, the Marine Corps also continued its partnership with the United States Department of Justice's Office for Victims of Crime (OVC). The OVC provided valuable financial support and information on current victims' rights laws and trends.

Since 2011, the Marine Corps has significantly expanded the funding and approved courses available to assist trial counsel in understanding and prosecuting special victim cases from three courses available through NJS and TCAP, to over 20 courses available through NJS, TCAP, the National District Attorney's Association, the Department of Justice, National Advocacy Center, the FLETC, and the Army and Air Force Judge Advocate General's (JAG) schools. In these courses, trial counsel, trial administrative officers, legal services specialists, and regional trial investigators, focus on specific aspects of special victim cases, from working with victims to trial advocacy, understanding digital exploitation of children and child abuse, gathering and analyzing evidence, and partnering with victim advocates and agents from NCIS in investigating and prosecuting special victim cases. Marine Corps TCAP will continue to work with NCIS, our sister Services, the Department of Justice, and other national prosecution training organizations to provide the highest quality of training for our trial counsel working with special victim cases and other special victim capable partners.

The Marine Corps Defense Services Organization (DSO), with the mission of delivering zealous, independent, and professional defense services to Marines and Sailors facing disciplinary action, oversees the Defense Counsel Assistance Program (DCAP). Since 2011, DCAP has aggressively sought out and sent defense counsel to training courses designed to ensure DSO attorneys maintain the knowledge and experience necessary to provide successful representation despite sweeping changes in the manner the military prosecutes sexual assault cases when cases are referred to courts-martial. The DSO continues to attend service school training at NJS and the Army and Air Force JAG schools. The training from these service schools is bolstered by attendance at civilian training events sponsored by organizations such as the National Association for Criminal Defense Lawyers, Federal Public Defenders Association, Bronx Defenders Academy, National Criminal Defense College, and various other local and state public defender offerings. More specific training is provided through consultations with the

Marine Corps criminal defense HQE. The Marine Corps DSO will continue to train its attorneys to the best extent possible, ensuring that Marine and Navy accused receive competent and effective representation.

In addition to the nationally recognized training provided to Marine Corps trial and defense counsel, the Marine Corps implemented increased regional and local training standards by the regional and senior trial/defense counsel. As recorded in the updated Manual for Legal Administration, each regional supervisory counsel must provide for two days of training for all counsel within the region every quarter, and each senior supervisory trial or defense counsel must provide two one-day trainings each quarter. These trainings focus the counsel on how to leverage the additional special victim capabilities at their region and installation such as the family advocacy specialists, health care providers, child protective services, law enforcement officers, SARCs, VAs, and the local forensic testing facilities. They also instill the importance of ethical representation and the basic trial advocacy skills required for special victim cases. The below charts show both the number of counsel trained for special victim capabilities (including VLC) and the level of funding the Marine Corps has committed to train our trial counsel, defense counsel, and VLC (FY14 only) in handling these complex cases.


Amount of Funds Expended to Train Marine Corps Legal Staff on Sexual Assault per Fiscal Year
$700,000.00
$600,000.00
$500,000.00
$400,000.00
$300,000.00
$200,000.00
$100,000.00
$0.00
$126,192.05
$266,405.90
$337,037.31
$698,153.17
FY11
FY12
FY13
FY14
Number of Marine Corps Personnel Receiving Sexual Assault Legal Training per Fiscal Year
500
450
400
350
300
250
200
150
100
50
0
202
300
492
FY12
FY13
FY14

Victim Witness Assistance Personnel:
The Marine Corps provides special victim capability training to all of its regional and installation victim witness liaisons through an annual course. This course covers an in depth discussion of victims' rights and how to ensure victims are provided needed services. The course has classes on working with victims, understanding services offered by SAPR as well as FAP, and enforcing victims' rights. In addition to the annual course, each region has semi-annual meetings of all the Victim-Witness liaisons where they discuss and train on issues faced by that region as well as quarterly installation meetings to discuss and train on issues faced by that particular installation.

3.4 Describe your progress in ensuring that if a service member is convicted by court-martial or receives a non-judicial punishment or punitive administrative action for a sex-related offense, a notation to that effect shall be placed in the service personnel record.

The Manpower Management Special Duty Assignment section screens the Marine Corps Total Force System (MCTFS) for sex-related offenses. SAPR led an Operational Planning Team to develop requirements and policy that captured UCMJ articles for non-judicial punishment and court martial entries concerning sexually-related misconduct. MARADMIN 416/14, published 22 August 2014, outlines the process for Commanders to identify and review the OMPFs of Marines with sexual misconduct convictions. Naval Justice Information System (NJIS) ALNAV 065/14 was released 18 August 2014 and is the long-term solution (two-year process) for this task.

3.5 Describe your progress to expand the availability, sequencing, and scope of Commanders' legal courses (e.g., range of command legal authorities and options). Include how you are assessing course outcomes.

Training for Commanders and Marines
The Marine Corps legal community has increased training and education of Marines regarding sexual assault to supplement broader SAPR programs. These legal efforts to confront sexual assault include increased training of senior leaders, participation in training of Marines, and increased communication concerning the results of courts-martial for educational and deterrent effect.

Senior Leader Training
The Marine Corps provides formal and informal legal training for senior enlisted leaders, Commanders, and General Officers. Formally, senior enlisted leaders are trained at the Senior Enlisted Course and the Sergeant Major Symposium on Military Justice, which includes an overview of the military justice process, the role of convening authorities in that process, unique sexual assault requirements, legal pitfalls such as unlawful command influence, and recent developments in military justice. Senior Commanders are similarly trained in these areas in the weeklong Commanders Course, and the senior officer course through NJS and TJAGLCS. The Marine Corps recently increased the focus on legal accountability at the Commanders Course from one hour to four hours to help Commanders understand the nuances of sexual assault cases. All of these courses have been modified to increase the focus on sexual assault, including educating these leaders about sexual assault myths, victimology, updates to UCMJ

Article 120, and SAPR policies such as Sexual Assault Initial Disposition Authority (SA-IDA) and SAPR 8-Day Briefs.

Legal Community Training
SJAs provide daily advice and informal training to the Commanders and senior enlisted they serve, keeping those Commanders apprised of continuing developments and advising them on military justice matters as issues arise in disciplinary cases. The SJA to CMC provides annual training to SJAs on updates in the law from the NDAA and other policy updates at the annual Legal Community Training. SJAs also receive instruction on emerging sexual assault tools and trends. This training ensures Commanders are provided the most accurate and up-to-date legal advice.

3.6 Describe your effort to ensure the withholding of initial disposition authority in certain sexual assault cases from all Commanders who do not possess at least Special Court Martial Convening Authority and who are not in the grade of O6 or higher.

Sexual Assault Initial Disposition Authority (SA-IDA)
Grade requirements for convening authorities to dispose of sexual assaults have also Increased. In April 2012, the SecDef withheld initial disposition authority (IDA) in sexual assault offenses (SA-IDA)—including penetration offenses, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit those crimes—to the Colonel/special court-martial convening authority (SPCMCA) level. The CMC expanded SA-IDA to include all contact sex offenses, child sex offenses, and any attempts to commit those offenses. As a result, the Marine Corps now has a smaller group of more senior and experienced officers making disposition decisions for all sexual offense allegations and any related collateral misconduct. These requirements have been implemented in the Marine Corps in the Legal Administrative Manual. Commander's courses and the Legal Community Training discuss the requirements with Commanders and SJAs. In addition, reports of dispositions of sexual assault cases are sent to Judge Advocate Division on the Secretary of Navy's required SADR form, which lists the grade and name of the Commander making the disposition decision.

3.7 Describe your efforts to ensure SAPR first responder knowledge of MRE 514 (Victim Advocate-Victim Privilege).

Training for MRE 514 is included in the 40-hour Marine Corps victim advocacy training required for all SARCs and VAs.

In addition, SAPR first responders often work alongside VLC. Marine VLC protect victims' rights at all stages of the military justice process by providing legal advice and, when detailed, representation to victims of sexual assault and other crimes. Among the rights VLC assert on behalf of their clients are:

1. Rights under Article 6b, UCMJ
2. Right to attend and be heard at legal proceedings per MRE 412, 513, or 514;
3. Right to be present at all legal proceedings per MRE 615;
4. Right to provide "Victim's View as to Disposition" of the case to the convening

authority per RCM 306;
5. Right to confidential communication with victim advocate per MRE 514;
6. Right to receive copy of record of trial upon completion of the case per Article 54(e), UCMJ; and
7. Any other victim rights provided by law, regulation, or Service policy.

3.8 Describe any treatment or rehabilitation programs implemented by your for those members who have been convicted of a sexual assault. Include any pertinent referrals such as drug and alcohol counseling, or other types of counseling or intervention.

There are no specific direct care programs that Navy Medicine maintains or has implemented specifically for members convicted of sexual assault. While convicted offenders are offered programs when incarcerated (if applicable) and several civilian programs are available as well, very few Navy providers have expertise in treatment for sex offenders.

3.9 NGB, describe how you are ensuring that all investigations are being referred to the NGB-JA/Office of Complex Investigations.

N/A

3.10 Describe your efforts to increase collaboration with civilian organizations to improve interoperability.

For FY14: The Marine Corps organized external collaborators who presented at our Annual SAPR training which took place 19–21 August 2014 in Quantico, VA. This training was attended by all SARCs and SAPR VAs.

- The Voices and Faces Project (Chicago) who presented “What We Can Learn From Sexual Violence Survivors”
- DOD Inspector General (Whistleblower Reprisal Investigations) who presented on “Assisting Victims with Reprisal”
- Mid-Shore Region for All Seasons Mental Health Clinic who presented on "Secondary Trauma"
- DOD SAPRO and RAINN who presented on the DOD Safe Helpline and HelpRoom
- “1in6” organization (Santa Barbara, CA) who presented on “Understanding Men Who Were Sexually Abused or Assaulted”

As discussed above, every RTC office in the Marine Corps is composed of a civilian HQE. By having the HQEs positioned within the regional LSSSs, the trial counsel have ready access to these specialists to help develop trial strategies and provide relevant feedback on a day-to-day basis. The prosecution HQEs have provided analysis and assisted with case strategy in over 150 sexual assault cases. The Response Systems to Adult Sexual Assault Crimes Panel (RSP) studied the effectiveness of this program and recommended the “SJA to the CMC continue to fund and expand programs that provide a permanent civilian presence in the training structure for both trial and defense counsel.” The RSP cited these HQEs as adding perspective, base-level experience,

continuity, transparency, and validity to military counsel training programs.

In LSSS-West, the HQE has organized coordination meetings and training with local law enforcement agencies, district attorneys' offices and the local sexual assault response team. These efforts have resulted in the development of SOPs concerning transfer of cases from local authorities to military authorities, transfer of SAFE exams to military installations, obtaining records from Child Protective Services, employed local resources to support child victims and witnesses in court-martials and provided insight to local prosecutors and law enforcement on unique military issues.

3.11 Describe your future plans for the achievement of high competence in holding offenders appropriately accountable.

The Marine Corps legal community will continue to lead the accountability line of effort in the fight against sexual assault. In the upcoming years, key initiatives will include solidifying changes to law and policy through implementing regulations; evaluating and executing recommendations from the RSP, JPP, and the MJRG; refining military justice management tools and processes; and ensuring the Marine Corps maintains the judge advocate structure required to support these developments.

Under the leadership of the SJA to CMC, the legal community will use improved management tools and processes to evaluate best practices, identify shortfalls in our practice, and develop new standards for the legal community. Our forthcoming initiatives include increased standardization of sexual assault disposition processes and development of training for Article 32 Preliminary Hearing Officers to ensure competent, thorough, and fair evaluation of allegations under the new Article 32 rules. In FY15, the SJA to CMC will publish a completely revised Legal Services Administration Manual that sets standards, requires training to meet those standards, and further implements, the legal services inspection, to inspect to those standards. In addition, Judge Advocate Division will publish guidance and training for SJAs to help them understand the numerous policy and legislative changes affecting military justice and sexual assault response.

3.12 (Q3 from DOD Amendment to Data Call) Provide a response to the following data points regarding to the Special Victims Investigation and Prosecution (SVIP) Capability:

- **Percentage of SVIP cases preferred, compared to overall number of courts martial preferred in FY14**
- **Percentage of special victim offense courts-martial tried by, or with the direct advice and assistance of a specially trained prosecutor**

- In FY14, 13.0% of all cases preferred were SAPR sexual assault cases. The Marine Corps preferred charges in 1,342 cases that went to either a general, special, or summary court-martial, and 175 of these cases were SAPR sexual assault cases.

- 100% of all SAPR sexual assaults were prosecuted by a specially trained

prosecutor. In accordance with paragraph 1204 of MCO P5800.16A, all lead trial counsel detailed to a special victim case, including all sexual assault cases, must be personally detailed by the regional trial counsel. Before detailing a trial counsel to a special victim case, the counsel must be qualified to try a special victim case. In order to qualify to try a special victim case, a trial counsel must be general court-martial qualified, and meet additional standards, including training, experience, and have previously tried a contested special victim case as an assistant trial counsel. The training requirement can only be met by attending an intermediate-level sexual assault course.

4. LOE 4—Advocacy/Victim Assistance—The objective of advocacy/victim assistance is to "deliver consistent and effective victim support, response, and reporting options."

4.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Advocacy/Victim Assistance Endstate: "high quality services and support to instill confidence and trust, strengthen resilience, and inspire victims to report." Include responsibilities established in DODI 6400.07, enclosure 2.

Throughout out FY14, the Marine Corps continued to refine its ability to deliver consistent and effective victim support, response, and reporting options through several measures, including the following: continued compliance with the DOD Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP); further refinement and auditing of the 24/7 Sexual Assault Helplines; sustainment of proper staffing requirements; continued use of the SAPR 8-Day Brief; and continued compliance with the standards of victim assistance personnel, as identified in DODI 6400.07, Enclosure 2.

SARC and VA training is designed to provide these SAPR personnel with a wide repertoire of knowledge, skills, and tools to successfully provide culturally sensitive, high-quality, and victim-centered response and care. From the time a victim of sexual assault contacts an advocate and chooses to file a report until the time the victim decides that services are no longer needed, Marine Corps SAPR advocates dedicate themselves to a process that is not only multifaceted but also requires an innovative and skillful ability to navigate effectively: building rapport with victims; lending a compassionate, nonjudgmental ear; performing nonclinical safety assessments; accurately informing victims of their options and carrying out their decisions; referring victims to and helping them access the desired medical, counseling, legal, investigative, and other services; accompanying them to appointments as requested; providing victims with case status updates; and staffing the 24/7 Installation Helplines.

4.2 Describe your progress in allowing Reserve Component Service members who are victims of sexual assault while on active duty to remain on active duty status to obtain the treatment and support afforded active duty members.

Marine Corps Forces Reserve (MARFORRES) evaluates each sexual assault report to determine the needs of the victim. If a Reserve Component member reports being assaulted on active duty status for over 30 days, he or she is afforded the option to remain on active duty orders until services are no longer required under the Title 10

status, or the victim chooses to waive the Title 10 status. If a Reserve Component member waives his or her Title 10 status or has been on orders for less than 30 days, a Line of Duty (LOD) Determination is established that will allow for the victim to receive medical and mental health care while not on an active duty status.

While medical entitlements for Reserve Component members remain dependent on a Line of Duty (LOD) determination as to whether or not the sexual assault incident occurred in an active duty or inactive duty training status, this determination does not affect their eligibility to file a report or to receive SAPR support services. All SAPR program services are available to Reserve Component members who are sexually assaulted when performing active service and during inactive duty training. If they report a sexual assault that occurred prior to or while not performing active service or inactive training, they are still eligible to receive SAPR support services from a SARC and a SAPR VA and to file a restricted or unrestricted report.

If requested by the Reserve Component member, the command should allow for separate training on different weekends or times from the alleged offender or with a different unit in the home drilling location to ensure undue burden is not placed on the victim and his or her family by the transfer. Transfer of the alleged offender instead of the victim will also be considered.

In accordance with SECNAV 1770.3D and MCO 1770.2B, LOD determinations are processed and completed prior to the victim's change in duty status.

4.3 Describe your progress in ensuring that a member of the Reserve Components who is a victim of sexual assault by another member of the Reserve Components has timely access to a Sexual Assault Response Coordinator.

MCO 1752.5B applies equally to the Active and Reserve Forces. MARFORRES has a 24/7 Sexual Assault Helpline that provides immediate telephonic crisis response to all active duty and reserve component Marines/Sailors assigned to the 162 Marine Reserve Sites throughout the United States including Alaska, Hawaii, and Puerto Rico. The Helpline is staffed by the MARFORRES SARC, the three major subordinate Command SARCs, and two civilian VAs located in New Orleans. All Reserve Sites are mandated to post the MARFORRES SAPR Helpline as well as the DOD SAFE Helpline throughout the common areas of their facilities. In addition, all Marine Corps Reserve Sites have at least one trained and appointed UVA assigned to the site to provide in person response to victims of sexual violence. The majority of Reserve Sites have multiple certified UVAs totaling 320 assigned throughout MARFORRES. All of the sites have memorandums of understanding (MOUs) with other SAPR military services and rape crisis centers in their localities establishing relationships for victims' services. Sexual assault victims can access SAPR services by calling the MARFORRES SAPR Helpline, contacting their unit's UVA directly, calling the DOD SAFE Helpline or notifying their chain of command. No matter how the report is received, a referral will be made to the local UVA to provide immediate in-person response. UVAs are required to answer all calls within 15 minutes and to respond in person within one hour of notification.

4.4 List the total number of full-time SARC/SAPR VAs serving at brigade or equivalent level. If not at 100%, describe your efforts to achieve 100% fill.

The Marine Corps continues to maintain full compliance with this NDAA requirement. As of December 2014, the current full-time Marine Corps SAPR workforce includes 48 SARCs and 20 SAPR VAs. In addition, the Marine Corps SAPR workforce also includes 1,423 UVAs and 41 collateral-duty SARCs.

Due to the size and operational nature of the Marine Corps, VAs have been placed at the installation in general support of the operational forces. The number of VAs hired and their placement were determined by the size of the eligible population supported and the number of victims. Full-time SARCs were placed at the installation level, in general support of the operational forces, and at the Marine Expeditionary Force (MEF), Marine Division, Marine Aircraft Wing, Marine Logistics Group, and select Military Occupational Special (MOS) schools. Placement of civilian full-time SARCs at these levels allowed the Marine Corps to further operationalize the SAPR program, while providing the best support to victims of sexual assault. Per Marine Corps Order, SARCs are required at the General Court-Martial Convening Authority (GCMCA) level and at every Marine Expeditionary Unit. O-6 level Commanders can appoint a collateral-duty SARC, but this is not a requirement.

A minimum of two UVAs are appointed to geographically remote units, schools, operational battalions, squadrons, and equivalent-sized commands, whether in garrison or deployed. MCRC ensures each recruiting region, Marine Corps District, and recruiting station appoints a minimum of one UVA. MARFORRES ensures each Inspector-Instructor or Site Support Staff on every MARFORRES site appoints a minimum of one UVA. Marine Corps Embassy Security Group ensures each region appoints a minimum of one UVA.

4.5 Describe what measures have been taken by your Service to ensure that Service members are informed in a timely manner of the member's option to request a Military Protective Order (MPO) from the command of assignment. Include documentation that requires law enforcement agents to document MPOs in their investigative case files, to include documentation for Reserve Component personnel in Title 10 status.

All SAPR personnel and command team members are instructed to immediately perform a safety assessment whenever a sexual assault report is received. In addition, MCO 1752.5B mandates Commanders to issue an MPO, if applicable, and to provide the victim with a copy of the signed MPO. The MPO will remain in effect until the Commander terminates the order or issues a replacement order. To help ensure its implementation, this requirement was also included in the SAPR 8-Day Brief, as well as in the forthcoming Sexual Assault Incident Response Oversight (SAIRO) Report, currently in development.

Regarding the documentation of MPOs by law enforcement, the following excerpt is provided in NCIS policy:

NCIS personnel must respond in a timely manner to all reported sexual assault complaints, regardless of when or where the assault occurred. NCIS personnel should have initial face-to-face contact with the victim as soon as possible upon receipt of a complaint. If the victim desires, the VA should be present during the interview. Investigators should interview the victim prior to writing a Report of Investigation (ROI) (OPEN). Follow-up meetings with the victim can be arranged, at the victim's convenience, to obtain further details of the sexual assault incident. Due to external reporting requirements, the following must be contained within the ROI (OPEN): database checks, command notification, MPO issued or considered, SAFE exam conducted, crime scene conducted or pending, specific location, date, and time of the incident, alcohol involvement, VWAP pamphlet, and UCMJ offense.

4.6 Describe your efforts to establish processes for reviewing credentials, qualifications, and refresher training for victim-sensitive personnel positions. Describe your Service's process to address inappropriate behavior demonstrated by those in victim-sensitive personnel positions. Include process for revocation of certification if appropriate.

SARCs/VAs/UVAs

In order to maintain D-SAACP credentials, SAPR advocates must complete 32 hours of continuing education every two years. SARCs and many civilian VAs are able to complete this requirement at the Annual SAPR Training Event. UVAs are able to maintain their D-SAACP credentials through the Continuing Education Guidance and Course Catalog, which the Marine Corps assembled in June 2013 and maintains on the HQMC SAPR SharePoint site. This guidance features a list of accessible online courses that have been approved by HQMC SAPR and include content designed to hone the skills and knowledge of a UVA. In addition, this publication also contains quizzes that must be completed by the UVAs after they take each course; this enables SARCs to gauge the progress and knowledge of each UVA, and the results must be submitted to D-SAACP as proof of completion of the credit hours. The Continuing Education Guidance and Course Catalog was recently updated in April 2014 to ensure that all courses listed were still available, relevant, and appropriate.

Marine Corps victim advocacy training emphasizes the Victim Advocate Code of Professional Ethics, which provides guidance on appropriate relationships and boundaries with clients, colleagues, other professionals, and the public. It states that the victim's interests should be the top priority for all SAPR personnel, which includes the protection of the victim's legal rights, civil rights, and privacy. In addition, SAPR personnel are expected to share knowledge and encourage proficiency and excellence in victim assistance among colleagues and allied professionals, as well as maintain high personal and professional standards in the capacity of a service provider and advocate for victims. The Marine Corps is dedicated to ensuring that these and all other criteria are met prior to SAPR personnel performing duties in order for all victims of sexual assault to receive proper care and support.

The Marine Corps process of credential revocation was established with the implementation of DSAID, and is initiated by Commander notification to HQMC SAPR via a revocation letter. The DSAID Program Manager at HQMC SAPR will ensure that the DSAID profile of the SARC/VA/UVA whose credentials are being revoked is inactivated. In addition, the Commander can also exercise the option to suspend the credentials of a SARC/VA/UVA pending the completion of an investigation. HQMC SAPR then notifies the National Organization for Victim Assistance of the revocation or suspension, and maintains copies of all revocation and suspension letters.

Medical Personnel (Navy Medicine)
Per BUMEDINST 6310.11, Enclosure 8, paragraph 4, annual sustainment training will be completed using primary and secondary DVDs, Sexual Assault Forensics and Clinical Management: A Virtual Practicum and Sexual Assault Forensic Examinations in Navy Medicine. Health care providers without pelvic or genitalia examination competency or privileging will complete the standard Navy Medical Sexual Assault Forensic Examination Competency Assessment (NAVMED 6310/7) annually and complete sustainment training requirements. Training compliance is tracked in Fleet Training Management & Planning System.

Navy Medicine currently credentials and privileges providers every two years in accordance with joint commission medical staff standards. Additionally, clinical support staff nurses are credentialed at each new duty station and at two year intervals.

Personnel who do not meet the standard requirements are not authorized to perform SAFE examinations as directed by higher authority.

4.7 Describe your progress in ensuring all SARC and SAPR VAs are D-SAACP certified prior to performing the duties of a SARC and SAPR VA.

Both DODI 6495.02 and MCO 1752.5B require that all SAPR personnel in the field obtain credentialing through D-SAACP. The Marine Corps enhanced its advocacy training curriculum to include the prerequisite 40 hours of victim advocacy training. In 2011, the Marine Corps received approval by the National Advocacy Credentialing Program to develop victim advocacy training for SARCs, UVAs, and civilian VAs. In June 2012, the Marine Corps implemented its new training, initially for SARCs at the first Marine Corps-sponsored SAPR Annual Training Event. The SARCs then executed this training requirement for the UVAs. As a result, the Marine Corps was able to satisfy its requirement to have 100% of SAPR personnel in the field credentialed by October 2013. In order to maintain D-SAACP credentials, SAPR advocates must complete 32 hours of continuing education every two years. The SAPR Annual Training Event, mandatory for all SARCs and SAPR VAs fulfills the continuing education requirement, ensuring that these SAPR personnel receive up-to-date training to satisfy the continuing education requirement.

In addition, Commanders are intimately involved in the credentialing process and have the authority to revoke or suspend credentials as appropriate. Prior to D-SAACP

certification, the Commander initiates a Local Records Check, which provides more immediate insight into the SARC/UVA/VA candidate's recent behavior. This process is designed to supplement the D-SAACP requirement for a National Agency Check, which can be up to nine years old.

4.8 Describe your continued efforts to ensure that the 24/7 DOD Safe Helpline has accurate contact information for on-base SAPR resources (i.e., Chaplains, SARCs, Military Police, Medical Personnel).

HQMC SAPR continues to conduct monthly audits of all 24/7 Sexual Assault Helplines, measuring response proficiency of SARCs, civilian VAs, and UVAs responsible for answering inquiries and providing information pertinent to victim options and resources. In addition, as part of the DOD Safe Helpline Database, the 24/7 Sexual Assault Helplines, along with other contact phone numbers for other responders, such as PMOs, Chaplains, and medical personnel, are subject to biannual audits conducted by RAINN. These audits are conducted for all Service branches. The Marine Corps has scored above average, consistently reaching a 100 percent success rate during these audits.

In addition, the Marine Corps published an Letter of Instruction (LOI) on Implementing 24/7 Sexual Assault Helplines on 14 July 2014 and the accompanying MARADMIN 428/14: Response Standards for 24/Hour, 7-Day-A-Week on 26 August 2014. The primary change in protocol pertained to the implementation of the DSTRESS Line as a brick-and-mortar back-up to the 24/7 Helplines, and the necessary warm hand-off procedures. The LOI directs that DSTRESS personnel, after receiving a forwarded call, will make two attempts to connect the caller back to the appropriate installation SAPR program using that installation's 24/7 Sexual Assault Helpline number. If DSTRESS personnel cannot connect the caller to the installation SAPR program after two attempts, DSTRESS personnel, with the caller's consent, shall conduct a warm handoff to a civilian rape crisis center.

4.9 Describe your efforts to publicize various SAPR resources, such as DOD Safe Helpline, to all Service Members.

MCO 1752.5B mandates information about victim support services, points of contact, and resources be made available in the unit's or command's common area and areas of high pedestrian traffic. This includes posters that display the photograph of the SARC or UVA, the installation's 24/7 helpline, the DOD Safe Helpline, and reporting options. A copy of the command's policy statement on sexual assault awareness and prevention must also be posted throughout the command's common areas. In addition, all official command and installation websites include the 24/7 helpline phone number on their homepage and link to reporting information and resources.

In an effort to supplement these efforts, maintain transparency, and keep the lines of communication open with the fleet, HQMC SAPR has developed an internal communication strategy that includes a SAPR Roadshow, a SAPR Monthly Snapshot document, and a social media campaign. The SAPR Monthly Snapshot is a document distributed throughout the Corps that aims to give Marines awareness of efforts in

responding to and preventing sexual assault. Recently been recognized by the Government Accountability Office as a best practice, the SAPR Monthly Snapshot covers topics such as data trends, demographics, and new initiatives related to sexual assault.

The HQMC SAPR launched its social media strategy in October 2014. Up to two social media posts or events are planned for each month and will encompass a range of topics with messaging about prevention, response/victim care, investigations, accountability, and assessment. The first post on Facebook and Twitter implemented October 2014 was about the DOD Safe Helpline. For the following November, the social media post highlighted the first annual DOD Sexual Assault Prevention Innovation Award, given to Marine Corps Combat Service Support Schools (MCCSSS) for its innovative, cyclical approach to preventing sexual assault that involves an intensive SAPR awareness campaign and a full-day SAPR class that every single entry-level student must take and pass. Future posts will focus on new training initiatives, such as “Step Up” for junior Marines, and reporting for male victims.

4.10 Describe your progress in ensuring victims are afforded their legal rights, protections, and services.

The Marine Corps established its VLCO in November 2013, with the mission to protect victims’ rights at all stages of the military justice process by providing legal advice and, when detailed, representation to victims of sexual assault and other crimes. The VLC safeguards victims’ rights provided within the Manual for Courts-Martial, including the MRE, RCM, and UCMJ. Since the decision by the Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces in L.R.M. v. Kastenberg (CAAF Jul 2013), victims have had the right to be heard “through counsel.”

4.11 Describe your progress to improve the victim care services at Joint Bases, in Joint Environments, and for the Reserve Components.

Mechanisms to review and assess SAPR program within Joint Environments are in development. Marine Corps efforts to synchronize SAPR program with larger Joint Force include alignment of the CMC’s SAPR Campaign Plan with the DOD SAPR Strategic Plan; incorporation of all DOD Directives and Instructions into Marine Corps Orders and policy; and compliance with all SecDef memoranda.

The MARFORRES SAPR program provides consistent care and referrals to all Marines and Sailors, regardless of duty status. A Marine is a Marine. Available medical and investigative services vary depending on duty status; however, SAPR services are always available. In addition to the required curriculum included in the standard 40 hour Initial UVA Training, MARFORRES UVAs are also instructed on how to create professional relationships with civilian services near the standalone Reserve Sites. Because many Reserve Marines do not reside in close proximity to their home training centers, the MARFORRES UVAs are trained to seek services near and far. When appropriate, relationships are formalized with MOUs.

4.12 Describe your progress in strengthening participation in an integrated victim

services network of care.

Comprehensive Care Initiative
The Navy Bureau of Medicine and Surgery (BUMED), M9 (Wounded, Ill and Injured), Behavioral Health, and Marine Corps Health Services (HS) established a comprehensive system of psychological health care for Marines, attached Sailors and their families, from education and prevention, through all levels of care, including aftercare and/or continuing care. In addition, sexual assault patients receive priority in the emergency room for trauma focused sexual assault forensic exams as well as victim recovery services available through our mental health departments. This MOU clarified the full continuum of care between BUMED, HS, and USMC, ensuring that all commands understand that there is no wrong door for referring individuals to care. It outlined a comprehensive system of care, reduced redundancies/gaps, and developed a seamless coordinated case management protocol for individuals seeking help.

Sexual Assault Response Teams (SARTs)
SARTs provide a coordinated, multidisciplinary approach, and victim-centered response to address sexual assault. SARTs are chaired by the Installation SARC and include, at a minimum, representation from the following agencies/personnel: NCIS, PMO/Marine Corps Police Department, VA, SJA/trial counsel, a mental health services representative, behavioral health representative (if available), and a sexual assault forensic examiner.

4.13 Describe your efforts to increase collaboration with civilian victim response organizations to improve interoperability.

Medical
All Navy Medicine 24/7 emergency rooms, which provide medical services to the Marine Corps, maintain sexual assault response capabilities in accordance with DODI 6495.02 and the NDAAs. However, some remote facilities and other claimancies have established MOUs/memorandums of agreement (MOAs) with local civilian hospitals where resources are better positioned for victim care services after hours or when in-house care is impractical.

DSTRESS Line
The Marine Corps continues to utilize the DSTRESS Line as the default backup line to the 19 Marine Corps installation SAPR 24/7 Helplines. Installation cell phones are programmed to forward calls that are not answered after five rings to DSTRESS. While DSTRESS staff do not assume the role of Marine Corps VA, they provide support and crisis intervention until a warm handoff is made to the 24/7 helpline or the local Sexual Assault Crisis Center. DSTRESS Line Personnel are Behavioral Health Counselors who, among other requirements, must hold a current state license to practice independently as a clinical social worker, licensed marriage and family therapist, licensed professional counselor, licensed mental health counselor, or clinical psychologist.

4.14 Provide an assessment of the implementation of your expedited victim transfer request policy. Include measures taken to ensure victims are informed in

a timely manner of their right to request an expedited transfer, and challenges to the implementation of the policy. Documentation should be included as an appendix.
The Marine Corps expedited transfer policy was released on 28 February 2012 via an LOI. Victim advocates inform victims, at the time they elect to file an unrestricted report of sexual assault or as soon as practicable, of their option to request a temporary or permanent transfer from their assigned command or base, or to a different location within their assigned command or base. Marines requesting a transfer must submit a signed and dated written request to their Commander, who must approve or disapprove the request within 72 hours. If the request is disapproved, the victim is given the opportunity to request a review by the first General Officer/Flag Officer in the chain of command, who is also given 72 hours to approve or disapprove the request. Separate from the expedited transfer process, MARADMIN 031/14, which was released in January 2014, also enables Commanders to transfer the accused, vice the victim. To help ensure that victims are informed of their right to request an expedited transfer, this requirement is included in the SAPR 8-Day Brief, a tool used by Commanders to standardize victim response and care. A copy of the SAPR 8-Day is provided in Appendix A. The SAPR 8-Day Brief provided the basis for the forthcoming SAIRO Report, a similar oversight mechanism that will be implemented for use across the entire DOD.
4.14.1 Pertaining to temporary and/or permanent unit/duty expedited transfers (does NOT involve a PCS), provide: - **The number requested** - **The number approved as the victim requested** - **The number approved different than the victim requested** - **The number denied and a summary of why** - **The number moved within 30 days of approval** - **The number moved after 30 days of approval**
Of the 55 expedited transfer requests made in FY14, 16 were for a Permanent Change of Assignment (PCA) (i.e., a different location within their assigned command or installation). None of the 16 requests were denied and all orders were generated within three days of the request.
4.14.2 Pertaining to permanent requested installation expedited transfers (does involve a PCS move), provide: - **The number requested** - **The number approved as the victim requested** - **The number approved different than the victim requested** - **The number denied and a summary of why** - **The number moved within 30 days of approval** - **The number moved after 30 days of approval**
Of the 55 expedited transfer requests made in FY14, 39 were for a Permanent Change of Station (PCS) (i.e., a transfer from their assigned command or installation). None of

the 39 requests were denied and all orders were generated within three days of the request.

4.15 Describe your efforts to implement and enhance first responder training (e.g. sexual assault health care providers).

DOD Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP)
DODI 6495.02 requires that all SAPR personnel in the field obtain credentialing through D-SAACP. The Marine Corps thus enhanced its advocacy training curriculum to include the prerequisite 40 hours of victim advocacy training. In 2011, the Marine Corps received approval by the National Advocacy Credentialing Program to develop victim advocacy training for SARCs, UVAs, and civilian VAs. In June 2012, the Marine Corps implemented its new training, initially for SARCs at the first Marine Corps-sponsored Annual SAPR Training Event (described below). The SARCs then executed this training requirement for the UVAs. As a result, the Marine Corps was able to satisfy its requirement to have 100% of SAPR personnel in the field credentialed by October 2013.

In order to maintain D-SAACP credentials, SAPR advocates must complete 32 hours of continuing education every two years. SARCs and many civilian VAs are able to complete this requirement at the Annual SAPR Training Event. UVAs are able to maintain their D-SAACP credentials through the Continuing Education Guidance and Course Catalog, which the Marine Corps assembled in June 2013. This guidance features a list of accessible online courses that have been approved by HQMC SAPR and include content designed to hone the skills and knowledge of a UVA. In addition, this publication also contains quizzes that must be completed by the UVAs after they take each course; this enables SARCs to gauge the progress and knowledge of each UVA, and the results must be submitted to D-SAACP as proof of completion of the credit hours. The Continuing Education Guidance and Course Catalog was recently updated in April 2014 to ensure that all courses listed were still available, relevant, and appropriate.

SAPR Annual Training Event
The SAPR Annual Training Event is a week-long event that includes external speakers who provide lectures and presentations all designed to keep SARCs and VAs up to date not only on Marine Corps policy but also on the current research in the field. These events help to ensure the consistency and continuity of the SAPR mission and vision and also enable our personnel to receive current training to refine their abilities to provide quality victim supportive services. The most recent SAPR Annual Training Event was held in August 2014 and featured lectures on the following topics:

- DOD Initiatives
- Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID)
- Functional Area Checklist 963, used in inspections by the Inspector General of the Marine Corps (IGMC)
- From the Inside: What We Can Learn from Sexual Violence Survivors
- Assisting Victims with Reprisal

- Secondary Trauma
- VLCO
- Creating and Executing a Successful Budget
- Safety Planning
- DoD Safe Helpline and HelpRoom
- Behavioral Health Initiatives
- Ethical Discussion Groups Preview
- Working with Victims of Sexual Assault
- Case Management Groups
- Judicial Proceedings
- Legal Services Support Section
- Understanding Men Who Were Sexual Abused or Assaulted
- Stop and Breathe!

SAFE Providers (Navy Medicine)
Through the BUMED office of the Sexual Assault Medical Program Manager SAFE providers (and other SAPR stakeholders) receive published SAFE program updates with topics germane to the practice of the sexual assault forensic exam. Moreover, Navy Medicine Professional Development Center hosts a bimonthly SAFE Webinar online training series which also can be claimed for continuing education credits. Topics covered for FY14 include forensic toxicology provided by the Armed Forces Medical Examiner Office; Tips for Testifying provided by the Office of the Judge Advocate General; Forensic Science Issues provided by USACIL.

Seeking Safety Model (Behavioral Health)
HQMC Behavioral Health has implemented an evidence-based informed intervention for trauma victims. The Seeking Safety model helps victims of trauma with the process of learning coping skills, accessing those skills when appropriate and building a support network of personal and community resources all of which can prove to be helpful for individuals with a history of trauma. Eighty Community Counseling Program (CCP), SAP, and FAP counselors are trained in the use of the Seeking Safety model. Marines can access this service by self-referring to CCP, SAP, or FAP Seeking Safety is an evidence based model of treatment originally developed as a group treatment for PTSD and Substance Use Disorder in women. Research shows there is a correlation between trauma and substance abuse. Seeking Safety is the most studied treatment for PTSD-substance abuse. Numerous studies are completed all evidencing positive results across multiple domains. Study populations include veterans (both men and women), homeless, women, adolescents, and community populations. Treatment focuses on stabilization rather than trauma processing or building resilience.

4.16 List the number of victims, if any, whose care was hindered due to lack of Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) kits or timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources and describe the measure you took to remedy the situation.

None identified.

4.17 Provide the following information about coverage for Sexual Assault Forensic Examinations for all Military Treatment Facilities (MTFs):

- **A list of MTFs with the number and hours of emergency room coverage**
- **The number of full-time Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) assigned at each MTF under your respective jurisdiction that operates an emergency room 24 hours per day**
- **A list of the number of qualified SAFE examiners by MTF, listed separately by employees and contractors, if any**
- **The number and types of providers (i.e. registered nurse, advanced practice registered nurse, medical doctor, physician assistant, independent duty corpsman)**
- **The dates of Service-certification to perform these exams (and/or national certification date) by provider**
- **The number of full-time equivalents (FTEs) assigned for sexual assault examiner response per facility and the types of providers assigned to those FTEs**
- **A listing of all MOU/MOA to provide SAFE services, with the location, distance from the facility, and execution and termination dates for each agreement**
- **How many SAFE kits were processed and results used to inform command action**

See Appendix B.

4.18 Provide information about any problems or challenges that have been encountered with MTFs during the previous year and the actions taken to improve the program or services.

To address challenges in accessibility and to improve services, MTFs around the globe have trained a total of 400 Navy MTF SAFE providers. Our Fleet and Expeditionary Forces can state that an additional 535 SAFE-trained providers stand ready to meet the SAPR mission. Training for these 935 personnel included 14.5 hours of standardized DVD teaching. This interactive DVD training was mapped against the Department of Justice National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examination and helps ensure that all providers receive an industry-standard quality training product. Program managers at the regional and MTF level are actively engaged in sustaining proficient, confident, caring SAFE providers to meet the needs of victims of sexual assault 24/7. In addition, gender-based sensitivity lectures are included in SAFE orientation classes at MTFs in Navy Medicine West and Navy Medicine East (efforts to standardize this practice for all MTFs are being reviewed).

The creation, implementation, and testing of victim care protocols (VCP) at 96 of 97 SAFE-capable MTFs have been achieved through regional program efforts. VCPs ensure standardized and coordinated SAPR/SAFE responses to victims of sexual assault.

MTFs work to have both male and female SAFE providers available to perform exams,

as well as having both sexes available as assistants for the exams if needed.

4.19 Describe your future plans for delivering consistent and effective victim support, response, and reporting options.

Improving upon our existing victim response services remains one of the top priorities of the Marine Corps SAPR program. Doing so would ensure that more victims get the help they need and stay engaged in the process, which will also lead to more offenders being held accountable. As a continued high rate of reporting is anticipated, the Marine Corps will work to ensure that its responders are appropriately trained and prepared to take action. In FY15, the Marine Corps will continue to implement those tasks outlined in the SAPR Campaign Plan Addendum, which includes the evaluation of supportive SAPR services available for Marines, recruits, and members of the DEP who disclose they were prior victims of sexual assault to identify potential gaps in services. In addition, the Marine Corps is working toward ensuring services for victims in transition. This entails the sustainment of victim response capabilities when victims transfer, deploy, or end active service. Other ongoing initiatives include:

Regional SAFE Trainers (Navy Medicine)
BUMED 6310.11 outlines standardized process for training and recertifying sexual assault forensic examiners that meets the Department of Justice protocol, thereby ensuring a basic level of competency available at all of our SAFE capable facilities. Moreover, to improve upon the capacity and state of the art SAFE capabilities in the enterprise, a SAFE trainer has been established at both of the Navy Medicine Regions (East and West) whose sole responsibility is to provide up to date lectures and hands-on training to SAFE providers across Navy Medicine. Through coordination with OPNAV and other echelon II commands, Navy Medicine continues to explore ways on improving HIPAA compliance, privacy in reporting, and the requirements of reporting medical outcomes.

Behavioral Health Quality Assurance Team
Behavioral Health programs maintain a state of continual readiness crucial to supporting Marine Corps SAPR efforts. HQMC Behavioral Health recently deployed a Quality Assurance Team whose mission is to ensure all Behavioral Health programs are functioning at peak level by facilitating a three-tiered accreditation approach. The approach ensures services are evidence-based, compliant with policies and procedures, and create conditions that promote wellness and optimal functioning. The Quality Assurance Team works quickly with programs to identify and correct deficiencies and to implement best practices across all installations.

High-Risk Response Teams
Policy for High-Risk Response Teams is being developed for the purpose of assessing sexual assault cases with a high likelihood of danger or violence. Chaired by the victim's Commander when activated, the team will consist of the suspect's Commander, SARC, VA, MCIO, JA, VWAP, healthcare provider, and mental health/counseling services provider. The forthcoming LOI will provide guidance on the evaluation of several factors,

including the victim's safety concerns; the suspect's access to the victim; whether the suspect (or the suspect's friends or family members) has destroyed victim's property or threatened or attacked the victim; etc.

Victim Assistance Response Kit (VARK)
After intensive review of civilian best practices, DOD SAPRO implemented VARKs, which are designed to help restore dignity to victims by providing them with new clothes, toiletry items, and food to provide comfort immediately following the completion of a SAFE.

4.20 (Q4 from DOD Amendment to Data Call) Provide status of developing and implementation of regulation that prohibits retaliation against a victim or other member of the Armed Forces who reports a criminal offense in accordance with Fiscal Year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act. Include measures to ensure Service members receive education and training pertaining to reprisal prevention and detections; policies and procedures for filing a complaint of retaliation.

The Marine Corps continues to strengthen its ability to reduce and mitigate the effects of retaliation, both professional and social, and has updated its policies and training initiatives to reinforce these ongoing efforts.

Policy
Retaliation against crime victims is explicitly prohibited in both Department of the Navy (DON) and Marine Corps policy. All Navy (ALNAV) message 030-14, released 25 April 2014, states that the prohibition of retaliation against alleged victims "constitutes a lawful general order, is punitive, and is applicable to all DON personnel without further implementation."

In addition, Marine Corps Order (MCO) 1752.5B, published 1 March 2013, specifically addresses retaliation, stating that it is the Commander's responsibility under the SAPR program to:

- "protect victims of sexual assault from coercion, retaliation, and reprisal";
- "establish standard operating procedures for SAPR functions within the command and to protect the SARC and UVA from coercion, discrimination, or reprisal related to execution of SAPR duties and responsibilities"; and
- "foster a command environment that encourages reporting of sexual assaults without fear of reprisal."

To ensure compliance with these policies and to measure overall SAPR program effectiveness, the IGMC conducts "regular and no-notice inspections" with the use of an extensive Functional Area Checklist (FAC) developed by HQMC SAPR. Included in the FAC is the requirement for each command to have an SOP that establishes formal SAPR procedures and protocols, specific to the command location and structure, for the execution of the SAPR program, which, at a minimum, shall:

- Localize procedures;

- Identify local resources;
- Ensure protection of the UVA/VA/SARC from coercion, discrimination, reprisal;
- Ensure victim protection from reprisal; and
- Implement commander's protocols (specific to the commands location).

Training
All DON military and civilian personnel are required to complete biennial training on the Notification and Federal Employees Antidiscrimination and Retaliation Act (No FEAR Act) of 2002. This training provides information about the rights and remedies available under Antidiscrimination and Whistleblower Protection Laws applicable to them. Specifically, the training provides an overview of the No FEAR Act, the EEO Discrimination Complaint Process (including who to contact to file a complaint), the Whistleblower Protection Act, and freedom from reprisal, which covers the elements of a reprisal claim, elements of proof for whistleblower reprisal, opposition to discriminatory practice, participation in the EEO process, and disciplinary actions. All Marines must also complete annual Equal Opportunity training, which also covers retaliation and the complaint process for retaliation.

In addition, Marine Corps SAPR training is currently being enhanced to include more information pertaining to reprisal prevention and detections, as well as policies and procedures for filing a complaint of retaliation. Currently, training for prospective Commanders and Senior Enlisted Leaders is designed to ensure that they understand the risks and circumstances associated with sexual assault incidence, including retaliation, and the proactive measures to these and other destructive behaviors.

4.21 (Q3 from DOD Amendment to Data Call) Provide a response to the following data points regarding to the Special Victims Investigation and Prosecution (SVIP) Capability:

- **Compliance with DoD Victim/Witness Assistance Program (VWAP) reporting requirements to ensure victims are consulted with and regularly updated by SVIP legal personnel**
- **Percentage of specially trained prosecutors and other legal support personnel having received additional and advanced training in SVIP topical areas**

- The DoD VWAP Instruction is implemented by the Marine Corps through Marine Corps Order (MCO) 5800.14 and Article 6b, UCMJ. Marine Corps trial counsel are specifically tasked in MCO 5800.16A to ensure all victims and witnesses are provided timely and appropriate information, notifications, and consultations in accordance with Article 6b, UCMJ and the Victim Witness Assistance Program, MCO 5800.14. Additionally, in Practice Advisory 4-14, the SJA to CMC specifically directed trial counsel to provide victims' legal counsel with specific disclosures through the trial process including (1) a copy of all statements by the victim; (2) the date, time and location of any pretrial confinement review hearing; (3) a copy of the preferred charge sheet; (4) the date, time, and location of a preliminary hearing pursuant to Article 32; (5) a copy of the victim's testimony at

the preliminary hearing; (6) a copy of the referred charge sheet; (7) the approved trial schedule by the military judge; (8) a copy of any motions or other filings where the victim has a right to be heard or otherwise affecting the victim's possessory rights; (9) notice of any pretrial agreement and an opportunity to express the victim's views regarding the proposed terms; and (10) a copy of any approved pretrial agreement.

These orders and the practice advisory ensure that victims are provided all applicable notices under the DOD VWAP Instruction.

- 100%. As discussed above, in order to be qualified as a special victim prosecutor, a trial counsel must complete an intermediate level sexual assault prosecution course. This is a requirement per paragraph 1203 of MCO P5800.16A.

  Additionally, each Regional Trial Counsel Office in the Marine Corps has two regional trial counsel investigator billets and one paralegal billet. The Marine Corps requires that individuals assigned in one of these jobs attend a specialized sexual assault training course within six months of assuming the billet. Presently, all the regional trial investigators within the Marine Corps have received specialized training in a special victim training course. Due to the paralegal program being recently created in 2012, and the requirement for a four year bachelor's degree, the Marine Corps has not yet graduated and assigned any paralegals to these billets.

5. LOE—Assessment—The objective of assessment is to "effectively standardize, measure, analyze, assess, and report program progress."

5.1 Summarize your efforts to achieve the Assessment Endstate: "responsive, meaningful, and accurate systems of measurement and evaluation into every aspect of the SAPR program."

The Marine Corps continues to utilize and develop various mechanisms for data collection, analysis, and assessment that assists Commanders, SARCs, service providers, investigators, and judge advocates in evaluating and improving program and service efficacy. Specific initiatives include:

- Continued use and refinement of the SAPR 8-Day Brief
- Continued use of the DEOMI Organizational Climate Survey and the CMC Command Climate Survey
- Development and implementation of the Survivor Experience Survey (SES)
- Regular inspections and helpline audits at the installation level

These and other efforts provide the Marine Corps with the clarity needed to pinpoint the problem areas and to effectively focus future efforts.

5.2 Describe your oversight activities that assess the SAPR program

effectiveness. Include frequency, methods used, findings and recommendations, corrective action taken (e.g., program management review and Inspector General inspections), and other activities.

The Marine Corps implemented the CMC Command Climate Survey in July 2013 as a supplemental survey to the existing DEOMI Organizational Climate Survey. According to the results of the CMC Command Climate Survey compiled between July 2013 and May 2014, Marines agreed most with the following five statements pertaining to command climate:

1. Leaders/supervisors in my unit have made it clear that sexual assault is criminally unacceptable behavior.
2. Leaders/supervisors in my unit have set a command climate wherein sexual harassment is not tolerated.
3. My unit provides a safe environment against sexual assault.
4. My unit would take appropriate action in the case of a hazing allegation.
5. My unit provides a retaliation-free environment for those who report misconduct (e.g., sexual assault, sexual harassment, hazing, or fraud/waste/abuse).

In addition to command climate surveys, the Marine Corps conducts “regular and no-notice inspections” by the IGMC to measure SAPR program effectiveness. These are conducted by the IG team with the use of an extensive Functional Area checklist developed by Headquarters Marine Corps (HQMC) SAPR. The IG team is also accompanied by a HQMC SAPR Policy & Plans Specialist. The Marine Corps also continues to conduct monthly audits of all 24/7 Sexual Assault Helplines, measuring response proficiency of SARCs and VAs responsible for answering inquiries and providing information pertinent to victim options and resources.

5.3 Describe your efforts to ensure integrity of data collected in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database.

All Marine Corps SARCs receive training on DSAID and use DSAID as a case management system, entering information within 48 hours of a report of sexual assault (96 hours in deployed locations presenting internet connectivity issues). NCIS uploads final case disposition weekly into DSAID.

DSAID training consists of four modules that cover all functions of DSAID, including establishing initial SARC and VA profiles, creating and converting cases, transferring and closing cases, and business and administrative functions. Refresher DSAID training is ongoing. In addition, DSAID Case Control Board (CCB) meetings are conducted by DOD SAPRO every month to suggest and discuss possible changes to DSAID based on difficulties and challenges reported from the field.

5.4 Provide a summary of your research and data collection activities conducted in FY14. Include documentation in the appendix.

The Marine Corps continued its use of the SAPR 8-Day Brief, a tool designed to enhance victim care but is also used for data collection and assessment. The tool is used to compile statistics that help identify trends regarding sexual assault in the Marine

Corps, including alcohol involvement, victim age and grade, latency of reports, issuance of MPOs, location of incidents, and offender information. Every quarter, these statistics are compiled by HQMC SAPR and briefed to the Assistant Commandant of the Marine Corps.

In addition to DOD quarterly and annual reports, research and data collection activities in FY14 included:

- "Tone of the Force" and Gouge Sheet monthly summaries, which include a year-to-date tally of restricted reports and unrestricted reports, as well as a quarterly update of adjudicated cases (see Appendix C)
- Weekly NCIS data reconciliations, performed to match up NCIS investigations with unrestricted reports in DSAID
- Expedited transfer tracking, which tracks the quantity of requests, approvals, and denials. This tracking also contains limited victim information and location

The SAIRO, a similar oversight mechanism based on the SAPR 8-Day Brief, is currently being developed for use across the DOD.

Ongoing research activities include a confidential qualitative study that examines sexual assault victims' perceived quality of psychological health services. Results of this study are expected by June 2015. The study is a collaboration between HQMC SAPR and HQMC Behavioral Health and aims to: examine the pathways in which sexual assault victims access psychological health services following an assault; understand the barriers to and facilitators for receiving psychological health care following a sexual assault; and examine victims' satisfaction with the psychological health care received following a sexual assault. Data collection and analysis is ongoing at three Marine Corps locations: Camp Pendleton, Camp Lejeune, and Okinawa.

In addition, the Marine Corps has initiated sexual assault research studies related to: male victims; unit life cycle vs. assault comparison; and unit, MOS, location, and gender analysis.

5.5 Describe your efforts to explore the feasibility of a SARC Military Occupational Specialty (MOS) or restructuring of military table of organization; addition of skill identifiers.

The Marine Corps determined a SARC primary MOS is not advisable; however, assessment is ongoing regarding secondary MOS feasibility. SARC/VA training completion codes are maintained in the Marine Corps Total Force System.

5.6 Describe your efforts to assess the feasibility of incorporating sexual assault prevention training in Family Readiness, Family Advocacy Program (FAP), and Substance Abuse programs to enhance FAP and SAPR collaboration and training.

The Marine Corps continues to assess the feasibility of further incorporation of SAPR training into all aspects of Marine and Family Programs. Current efforts include

providing installation-specific analysis of alcohol-involved incidents to assist in the comparative analysis of reported sexual assaults and other risk factors. This helps to assess the training and education needs across the Marine Corps, and to ultimately lower the risks associated with these activities. Additionally universal integrated behavioral health training, called Marine Awareness and Prevention Integrated Training (MAPIT), includes sexual assault as a risk factor.

5.7 Describe your plans for FY15 that pertain to synchronizing and standardizing the SAPR program across the Joint Force (from Joint/Service basing to forward stationed and deployed units worldwide).

In FY15 and beyond, the Marine Corps will continue its compliance with all legislative and policy initiatives specified in the National Defense Authorization Acts, DOD Instructions and Directives, SecDef Memoranda, and the 2014 DOD Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy. Full DOD compliance with each of the tasks outlined in these and future guiding documents will help to establish standardized prevention capabilities, response systems, and assessment mechanisms across the Joint Force.

5.8 Describe your efforts to increase collaboration with civilian organizations to improve interoperability.

RAND Corporation
As part of the Progress Report to the President of the United States (POTUS), the DOD, in compliance with a request from the Senate Armed Services Committee, arranged for an independent assessment of sexual assault prevalence in the DOD. Prior to this, the prevalence of unwanted sexual contact was assessed through the Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members (WGRA), administered by the Defense Manpower Data Center. The RAND Corporation was thus contracted to administer its RAND Military Workplace Study, which served as the 2014 WGRA. The Marine Corps supported this initiative by providing input for survey methodology, design, and content, and continues to work with the RAND Corporation directly regarding analysis and implications of Marine Corps-specific data and findings.

Rape, Abuse and Incest National Network (RAINN)
As part of the DOD Safe Helpline Database, the 24/7 Sexual Assault Helplines, along with contact phone numbers for other responders, such as PMOs, Chaplains, and medical personnel, are subject to biannual audits conducted by RAINN. These audits are conducted for all Service branches. The Marine Corps has consistently scored above average, reaching a 100 percent success rate during these audits.

5.9 Describe your future plans for effectively standardizing, measuring, analyzing, assessing, and reporting program progress.

As part of the POTUS report, the Marine Corps was instrumental in developing the 12 metrics and 6 non-metrics for use by the entire DOD to measure SAPR progress. (Non-metrics address trends in the military justice process. As such, no effort has or will be made to direct non-metric outcomes, as doing so may constitute illegal or undue command influence.) The 12 metrics, standardized across the Services, include:

1. Past-year prevalence of unwanted sexual contact

2. Prevalence vs. reporting
3. Bystander intervention experience in the past 12 months
4. Command climate index – Addressing the continuum of harm
5. Investigation length
6. All certified SARC and VA personnel currently able to provide victim support
7. Victim experience (SARC/VA support, VLC support)
8. Victims declining to participate in the military justice process
9. Victim retaliation (victim perspective/command climate perspective)
10. Victim experience (victim kept informed regularly in the military justice process)
11. Perception of leadership support for SAPR
12. Reports of sexual assault over time

The six non-metrics include:

1. Command action – Case dispositions
2. Court-martial outcomes
3. Time interval – Report of sexual assault to court outcome
4. Time interval – Report of sexual assault to nonjudicial punishment (NJP) outcome
5. Time interval – Initial disposition decision
6. Action taken in sexual assault cases declined by civilians

These metrics and non-metrics will continue to be tracked by the Marine Corps through several tools, including the RAND Military Workplace Study (including the Workplace and Gender Relations Survey), SES, DEOMI Organizational Climate Survey, CMC Command Climate Survey, SAPR 8-Day Briefs, and DSAID reporting data. Continued analyses of these and other metrics will help to identify gaps and trends in the SAPR program, inform future policy revisions, and direct the focus of Marine Corps prevention efforts. In FY15 and beyond, the Marine Corps will continue to collaborate with DOD SAPRO, the other Services, and the field to incorporate responsive, meaningful, and accurate systems of measurement and evaluation into every aspect of its SAPR program. Doing so will help us determine the impact that Marine Corps efforts are having on reducing and eliminating sexual assault, responding to victims in need, and holding offenders accountable.

5.10 (Q3 from DOD Amendment to Data Call) Provide a response to the following data points regarding to the Special Victims Investigation and Prosecution (SVIP) Capability:

- **Victim feedback received on the effectiveness of SVIP prosecution and legal support services and recommendations for possible improvements; participation by victims will be voluntary and provide for confidentiality, feedback mechanisms will be coordinated and standardized within each Military Service so victims do not have to unnecessarily complete multiple questionnaires, and these mechanisms will be used to gain a greater understanding of the reasons a victim elected or declined to participate at trial and whether SVIP prosecution and legal support services had any positive impact on this decision**

The 2015 Military Justice Experience Survey (MJES) is currently being developed for DOD-wide use by the Defense Manpower Data Center. The Marine Corps is supporting its development by providing input as requested. This new survey will enable the Marine Corps to gain a greater understanding of victims' legal needs and refine our services accordingly.

While formal feedback mechanisms are currently being developed, other indicators suggest that the Marine Corps VLCO has had a significant positive impact for victims engaging the military justice process. Between 1 November 2013 and 30 September 2014, VLCO provided legal services to 734 victims of crime, including 415 (57%) for sexual assault, 157 for domestic violence (21%) and 40 (5%) for assault in violations of Article 128, UCMJ. Thirty clients were under the age of 18. Of the 734 cases, 371 (51%) required VLC to be detailed to actively represent the victim and advocate for their legal interests during the investigative and military justice process.

Implementation of the VLCO and other victim support programs are part of the reason the Marine Corps has seen a decrease in the number of victims declining to participate in the military justice process – from 16% in FY11 to 8% in FY14.

Below are some narrative descriptions of assistance VLC provided to victims since the establishment of the program:

1. Helping Victims Understand Options: A VLC assisted a teenage child victim and her parents in fully understanding the military justice process, victim rights, and options going forward. The parents wanted the accused prosecuted, while the teenage victim did not. The VLC was able to bring all family members together to understand the process and options. After consulting with the VLC, the victim and parents were able to agree on their desired disposition of the case, which they communicated to the Convening Authority, who agreed.

2. VLC Assistance with Separations and Characterization of Service: A male victim that was sexually assaulted by a male assailant became so distraught and embarrassed by what happened that he left his unit without permission, followed by 10 months of Unauthorized Absence (UA). When he returned, he contacted a VLC that assisted him request voluntary separation under the Best Interests of the Service immediately after the victim testified in a general court-martial. His characterization of service was approved as Honorable.

3. VLC Motion to Exclude Prior Sexual History at an Article 32 Proceeding: During an Article 32 pretrial proceeding a VLC successfully argued to the Article 32 Investigation Officer (IO) that prior sexual history of the victim was not relevant to the case before him, and therefore should not be introduced by the defense. The IO concurred. This decision kept the focus of the hearing on the case at hand rather than previous sexual history of the victim, giving the victim the confidence to continue to stay engaged in the military justice process.

4. Facilitating Testimony of Child Victim: A VLC represented a six-year-old dependent victim accompanied by her parents. The VLC assisted the child to participate in the military justice process by accompanying her throughout the court-martial proceeding, including when the child had to testify. By persistence of both the VLC and Trial Counsel, the military judge ruled that the child's testimony would be conducted by closed-circuit television rather than in the presence of the accused. This comforted the child and the family, and gave them confidence in the military justice system.

5. Coordinating with External Organizations: VLC Liaison with Domestic Abuse Shelter. A VLC had a client that fled her abusive husband and was residing in a domestic abuse shelter with her two minor children. She was concerned that she could only stay there for a few weeks before she would have to find a new place to live. The VLC contacted the shelter and they agreed to allow her to stay as long as she needed. Additionally, the VLC put together a package to HQMC requesting orders to relocate her and her children due to personal safety.

6. VLC Assistance with Victim Spouse Transfer Request: A civilian spouse that was the victim of sexual assault wanted her Marine husband to be transferred to another base to get her further away from the accused. The spouse and her Marine husband felt singled out and very uncomfortable at his Command. The VLC helped facilitate a PCS transfer (non-expedited since the victim was a dependent), which was a great relief for the entire family.

7. VLC Motion to Prevent Release of Mental Health Records: A VLC successfully represented a victim's privacy interests in their mental health records during a court-martial. The VLC argued before the military judge that the victims' mental health records were not relevant in the case and should not be provided to the parties. The military judge not only granted the VLC's motion to prevent the release of the records, but the military judge refused to privately review the mental health records "in camera." Successfully preserving the privacy interest in medical records gave that victim great consolation during the trial and confidence that the military justice system does works.

8. VLC Motion to Exclude Prior Sexual History at an Article 32 Proceeding: During an Article 32 pretrial proceeding, a VLC successfully argued to the Article 32 IO that prior sexual history of the victim was not relevant to the case before him, and therefore should not be introduced by the defense. The IO concurred. This decision kept the focus of the hearing on the case at hand rather than previous sexual history of the victim, giving the victim the confidence to continue to stay engaged in the military justice process.

9. Increased Satisfaction with Military Justice Process: A VLC had a client that, on the last day before her EAS, came to his office and told him that if it was not for the

VLC then she did not think she would have made it through the court-martial process. As a result of her participation, a former Gunnery Sergeant was sentenced to reduction to E-1, 9 months confinement, and a bad-conduct discharge.

10. VLC Assistance to Stop Retaliation Against Victim: A male Marine who was a victim of sexual assault by an unknown assailant was subsequently harassed by members of his unit after they heard about the crime against him. The Marine had disclosed the sexual assault to the NCOIC of his section in order to get permission to attend therapy sessions. He asked that the matter remain private and not be disclosed to others. Soon thereafter Marines in the work section began openly discussing his sexual assault and ridiculing him. Informal resolution to stop the harassment failed. The work environment became so hostile that the corporal requested to be transferred to another section within the command, which his Commander denied. Thereafter, he sought the assistance of a VLC to stop the retaliation against him for his absences due to treatment sessions and attempt to transfer. In accordance with the Marine Corps VWAP Order (MCO P5800.16A), the VLC requested reconsideration of the transfer request to the commanding general, who approved this victim's expedited transfer to another unit on base.

6. Overarching Tenet: Communication and Policy

6.1 Describe your efforts to post and widely disseminate sexual assault information (e.g., Safe Helpline, hotline phone numbers and internet websites) to Service members, eligible dependents, and civilian personnel of the DOD.

MCO 1752.5B mandates information about victim support services, points of contact, and resources be made available in the unit's or command's common area and areas of high pedestrian traffic. This includes posters that display the photograph of the SARC or UVA, the installation's 24/7 helpline, the DOD Safe Helpline, and reporting options. A copy of the command's policy statement on sexual assault awareness and prevention must also be posted throughout the command's common areas. In addition, all official command and installation websites include the 24/7 helpline phone number on their homepage and link to reporting information and resources.

In addition, in an effort to maintain transparency of SAPR data trends and recent SAPR developments, as well as to keep lines of communication open with the fleet, HQMC SAPR has developed a three-pronged communication strategy that includes face-to-face engagements, traditional print media, social media platforms. Spurred by Summer 2014 Roadshow of the SAPR Branch Head, face-to-face engagements between HQMC SAPR and installation Marines increased in FY14 and is further planned for FY15 to help accomplish the following objectives:

- Serve as a model of engaged leadership that emphasizes every Marine's inherent duty to step up and step in to prevent sexual assault;
- Enhance and expand communications between HQMC SAPR and Marines of all levels
- Provide Marines with the status of their SAPR efforts;

- Provide HQMC SAPR with situational awareness of how Marines perceive the SAPR Program and efforts;
- Enable HQMC SAPR to measure the tempo of ground operations; and
- Enable HQMC SAPR to provide assistance with installation-level SAPR programs.

As part of the communication strategy, the Marine Corps began the electronic distribution of a SAPR Monthly Snapshot in August 2014. This document was designed to provide Marines with an understanding of the ground situation of sexual assault in the Corps. The SAPR Monthly Snapshot enumerates the total number of reports filed each month and in the fiscal year to date. The document also provides metrics that detail SAPR progress in terms of command climate, response and accountability, and the demographics of sexual assault. Each of these topics will be addressed once per quarter, with the goal of tracking development over the long term. HQMC also produces brochures, newsletters, and other print media throughout the year to communicate with different audiences about SAPR efforts and progress.
In addition, the Marine Corps has also launched its SAPR social media campaign, which primarily utilizes Facebook, Twitter, and YouTube. SAPR social media posts are visually-based "posters" with general messaging content, announcements (of new training, for example), and infographics for more detailed data. Thus far, the posts have focused on the DOD Safe HelpLine; the DOD Prevention Innovation Award presented to MCCSSS; and “Step Up” bystander intervention for junior enlisted Marines. Future social media initiatives will include live "town hall" meetings done via Facebook; live "tweets" during briefs, speeches, or other events; and SAPR "commercials" with well-regarded Marines talking about SAPR. These and other communications efforts will help keep open the lines of communication with the fleet, enhancing our assessment efforts with first-hand accounts and direct feedback from Marines.

6.2 Provide updates on your development and implementation of specialized medical and mental health care policy for sexual assault victims. If applicable, provide a copy of your updated implementation plan in the appendix.

Every Marine Corps installation provides non-medical counseling services to victims of sexual assault through installation Marine and Family Programs CCP. CCP is responsible for the education, care, and case management of victims of sexual assault who seek services. The CCP also provides diagnostic screening and assessments for the purpose of determining appropriate referrals and care of victims of sexual assault. In addition, CCP provides community-based counseling and case management services for victims of sexual assault whose diagnoses are sub-clinical in nature and not potentially disabling. Clinical case management services provide access to care between multiple care settings, ensuring the Marine does not “fall through cracks.” Both services are provided by licensed clinical staff.

CCP Licensure includes Licensed Clinical Social Workers, Licensed Marriage and Family Therapists, and Licensed Professional Counselors. All clinicians are required to be credentialed to practice independently and have a minimum of two years post-

licensure experience in clinical practice, or must be supervised by a licensed clinician who has been credentialed to practice independently.

6.3 Describe your ongoing efforts to review, revise, update, and issue policy pertaining to:

- **The record of dispositions of unrestricted reports.**
- **General education for correction of military records when victims experience retaliation.**

MCO 1752.5B, signed in March 2013, specifies that the SJA establish protocol requiring case disposition information be given to the Command/Installation SARC for input into DSAID.

The Marine Corps falls under the Board for Correction of Naval Records (BCNR) and SECNAVINST 5420.193, which establishes the procedures to correct military records. The Marine Corps has developed a one-page document that SARCs provide to all victims of sexual assault. The handout provides detailed guidance on how to petition the BCNR for correction of records.

6.4 Provide an update on your progress in modifying policy provisions for general education campaign for correction.

Question deleted.

6.5 Describe your efforts to sustain policy for General or Flag officer review of and concurrence in adverse administrative actions and separation of victims making an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault in FY14.

Marine Corps policy requires that the GCMCA be the separation authority in all such involuntary separation cases, regardless of whether or not the victim requests general officer review based on an alleged retaliation action. In practice, almost all GCMCAs in the Marine Corps are general officers; however, for those GCMCAs who are not general officers, they must forward such a case to a general officer, in accordance with the Marine Corps Separation and Retirement Manual (MARCORSEPMAN). Paragraph 6307(3)(c) states:

The separation authority for all administrative separation actions involving any Marine who made an unrestricted report of a sexual assault or any Marine who was the victim of sexual assault (whether or not an unrestricted report was made) that occur within one year of final disposition of his or her sexual assault case shall be a general officer exercising GCMCA and may not be delegated further.

7. Secretary of Defense Initiatives

7.1 Enhancing Commander Accountability—Describe your progress in developing methods of assessing Commander effectiveness in establishing command climates of dignity and respect. Include efforts made by your Service to incorporate SAPR prevention and victim care principles in their commands, and efforts made to hold them accountable.

The CMC's June 2012 SAPR Campaign Plan emphasizes the central role of the Commander and continues to guide the implementation of several initiatives designed to enforce positive command climates and enhance Commander accountability. Large-scale training initiatives implemented since the Campaign Plan's launch—to include Command Team Training, the June 2013 Leadership Summit, and SAPR training at the Commanders Course—have underscored the Commander's central role. Since the launch of the SAPR Campaign Plan, the Marine Corps also implemented SAPR 8-Day Briefs and a CMC Command Climate Survey, which augments the existing DEOMI Organizational Climate Survey. These tools are provided to higher levels in the chain of command, ensuring checks and balances and giving greater visibility and insight into subordinate commands.

While these initiatives meet the SecDef's 6 May 2013 requirement to enhance Commander accountability, the Marine Corps continues to review and develop internal mechanisms to ensure the effective sustainment and further strengthening of these efforts. The SAPR Campaign Plan Addendum, signed by the CMC in April 2014, included further direction to reinforce our Commander accountability efforts, with the following tasks set for completion:

- Update the Performance Evaluation System Manual to reflect an evaluation of the Commander's ability to set a command climate that is non-permissive of misconduct, especially sexual assault.
- Review the process and criteria for selection of Commanders and instructors assigned to commands and detachments throughout the entry-level pipeline.

7.2 Ensuring Safety—Describe your efforts, policies, and/or programmatic changes undertaken to improve SAPR training for members of the military serving in recruiting organizations, Military Entrance Processing Stations, and the Reserve Officer Training Corps. Include measures taken by your Service to select, train, and oversee recruiters, disseminate SAPR program information to potential and actual recruits, and how your Service has incorporated SAPR program information in ROTC environments and curricula.

SAPR efforts, policies, and programmatic changes have occurred across the entire MCRC area of operations, reached roughly 3,700 recruiters in 48 recruiting stations, 570 recruiting sub-stations, and 70 officer selection offices across the continental United States, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, and Guam. Specific targeted initiatives, detailed below, were developed for recruiters and members of the DEP for enlisted and officer candidates.

Recruiter Screening and Training

Of the 9,050 Marines screened annually, only 1,800 Marines are assigned to Recruiting Class. The screening process ensures that when a Marine is screened for recruiting duty, any history of activity that would put a recruit at risk would immediately disqualify the recruiter from the duty. This process includes a records review of evaluations/fitness reports, NJPs, courts-martial, alcohol consumption, drug involvement, and family advocacy issues. It also includes medical screening, to include a full mental health

screening, and interviews with the Commander and Senior Enlisted Leader. In addition, all selected must have a background check and be checked against the National Sex Offender Registry.

All recruiters receive an "Ethics Package" at Basic Recruiters School (BRC) at MCRD San Diego prior to graduating from Recruiters School and being placed on recruiting duty. This formal course of instruction deals with the Marine Corps core values of honor, courage, conduct, and commitment, and outlines the following:

- Relationship restrictions between the recruiter and the recruit
- Two-person integrity policy (detailed below)
- Fraternization
- Sexual harassment
- Sexual assault
- Alcohol issues and usage

Two-Person Integrity Policy for Recruiters

Marine Corps Recruit Command (MCRC) Frost Call 033-13—"Safeguarding recruiting activities involving recruiters and members of the opposite sex"—was published 19 July 2013 to help ensure that Marines, prospects, applicants, DEP members, and officer candidates are appropriately safeguarded during all portions of the Marine Corps recruitment process. Because isolated contact was one of the most common factors in a number of sexual misconduct allegations and incidents involving recruiting personnel and local citizens, the policy calls for the inclusion of a third party between recruiting personnel and prospective recruit prospects, applicants, candidates, and members of the DEP of the opposite sex. These recruiting activities include, but are not limited to: home visits, appointments, sales interviews, applicant processing, transportation, and any DEP activities. A third party includes any adult; another Marine (to include a Marine Boot Camp graduate on leave or Marines serving in the Recruiter Assistance Program); or other applicant, DEP member, or candidate.

7.3 Evaluate Commander SAPR Training—Describe your progress in developing core competencies and learning objectives for Pre-Command and Senior Enlisted Leader SAPR training. If your Service has completed an assessment of newly established core competencies and learning objectives, explain findings and recommendations.

The Marine Corps SAPR Commanders Course is mandatory for all prospective Commanders and Senior Enlisted Advisors, and was updated to meet all core competencies and set learning objectives as defined by the Office of the Secretary of Defense and in accordance with the SecDef Memorandum signed September 25, 2012. The course emphasizes the importance of command climate and the central role of leadership in both prevention and response. The four-phase course employs a read-ahead, a group lecture/discussion, and practical application (i.e., small-team problem-solving scenarios). The last phase is a brief by the Installation SARC within 30 days of assuming command or getting posted. In addition to teaching the basic concepts and issues related to sexual assault in the military, the training is designed to ensure that all

Marine Corps leaders understand:

- Risks and circumstances associated with sexual assault incidence and the proactive measures to prevent sexual assault and associated destructive behaviors within their command;
- Essential elements of a quality victim care response program and the roles and responsibilities of victim service providers;
- Complexity of sexual assault crimes and the appropriate investigation and disposition options available; and
- The roles of Commanders and Senior Enlisted Leaders in fostering a command environment free of sexual assault.

In January 2014, the SAPR training at the Marine Corps Commanders Course was observed by DOD SAPRO, which found that 5 of 20 learning objectives were not met, and an additional 2 were only partially met. The Marine Corps has since updated its SAPR training at the Commanders Course to meet all 20 learning objectives, and is working to expand its curriculum (and time block) specifically to facilitate the interactive, skills-application case study portion of the training. The Marine Corps will continue to monitor all of its training programs to help ensure consistency across the Services, and is prepared for future assessments by DOD SAPRO.

7.4 Develop Collaborative Forum for Sexual Assault Prevention Methods—Describe your implementation plan and methods for establishing a community of practice and collaboration forum to share best and promising practices and lessons learned with external experts, federal partners, Military Services, NGB advocacy organizations, and educational institutions.

While the development of an official forum of collaboration is ongoing, the Marine Corps continues to partner with its sister Services, external experts, federal partners, and other organizations regarding specific initiatives. These include several speakers who participated in the Marine Corps SAPR Annual Training event, held August 2014 on MCB Quantico, which was mandatory of all Marine Corps SARCs and SAPR VAs. The speakers included:

- Dr. Nate Galbreath (DOD SAPRO)
- Katie Feifer (The Voices and Faces Project)
- Richard Leatherman (DOD Office of the Inspector General)
- Alisha Saulsbury (National Center for Trauma Informed Care)
- Lindsy Gundrum (RAINN/DOD Safe Helpline)
- Steve LePore (“1in6” organization)
- Susan Ginsberg (Stop and Breathe)

In addition, on 30 September 2014, HQMC SAPR hosted an Inter-Service Working Group on MCB Quantico that included SAPR representatives from each Service and DOD SAPRO, as well as representatives from NCIS and HQMC Behavioral Health. The speaker was Dr. James Hopper, an independent consultant, therapist, researcher, and clinical instructor of psychology at Harvard Medical School. His presentation was titled “Outreach to Males Sexually Assaulted in the Service: Foundations, Basics, Next

Steps." The working group discussed potential initiatives, but all agreed that not enough substantive research on the topic existed to validate a specific approach. Key takeaways included:

- Highlight the myths surrounding male sexual assault to leadership at every level to mitigate stereotypes surrounding male victimization.
- Most traumatized men have other traumas they might feel safer discussing. These might include Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE), combat, and other adult trauma.
- Speculation as to why most males do not seek help includes the lack of awareness and acceptance of males as victims of USC. This includes the perception that it is unmanly and weak to be a victim, need or seek help, or share vulnerabilities.
- The general consensus of the working group was that male victims are not comfortable reporting USC to a SARC, VA, UVA, or anyone on base.
- Areas to focus on included attacking the stigma surrounding male victimization; establishing anonymous and confidential protocols for male victims to access available supportive services; learning about and employing the fundamentals of outreach, e.g., using language that empowers them rather than labeling them, and launching an awareness campaign.

7.5 Improving Response & Victim Treatment—Describe your efforts to improve overall victim care and trust in the chain of command. Include updates or initiatives undertaken by your Service to reduce the possibility of ostracizing victims, to increase reporting, and measures your Service has taken to account for victim input in these efforts.

MCO 1752.5B mandates that all Commanders "protect victims of sexual assault from coercion, retaliation, and reprisal. Victims of sexual assault shall be treated with dignity and respect, and shall receive timely access to comprehensive medical treatment, including emergency treatment and services." Specific measures of victim protection and support – such as issuing MPOs, referring the victim to medical and counseling, and informing the victim of his or her right to request an expedited transfer – are included in the SAPR 8-Day Brief, a tool for Commanders to ensure a standardized response to sexual assault reports. The SAIRO, which was based on the SAPR 8-Day Brief, is currently being developed for use across the DOD.

In addition, all victims of sexual assault are provided a document titled, "Correction of Military Records for Victims of Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment." The document provides instructions on how to petition the BCNR and states the following:

Marines or Sailors who feel they have been retaliated against for reporting a sexual assault or sexual harassment by receiving adverse evaluations or adverse administrative action can petition the BCNR to request removal of such material from their records.

Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552 authorizes the Secretary of a Military

Department to correct any military record of current and former service members from that Department when the Secretary considers it necessary to correct an error or remove an injustice. The record correction authority extends to considering requests to correct records of service members who experienced retaliation for reporting sexual assault or sexual harassment. Title 10, United States Code, Section 1034(f) provides procedures regarding actions to correct records where the victim of a sexual assault or sexual harassment alleges retaliatory actions.

The requirement to provide this information will be included in the SAIRO to ensure implementation.

7.6 Enhancing Protections—Describe your efforts to update policies allowing for the administrative reassignment or expedited transfer of a member who is accused of committing a sexual assault or related offense. Include your Service's efforts to account for both the interests of the victim and the accused.

On 22 January 2014, the Marine Corps released MARADMIN 031/14, which provides guidance to Commanders on the consideration of, and processes for, the administrative reassignments or transfer of Marines accused of sexual assault or related offense. The MARADMIN specifies that in determining whether to reassign or transfer an accused, the interests of the victim and accused should be balanced and the following factors should be considered:

- The nature and circumstances of the alleged offense.
- The adequacy of unit or intra-unit transfer to protect the interests of the victim.
- The availability of other measures (e.g., MPO) to protect the interests of the victim.
- The location and status of the victim (e.g., civilian employee, dependent residing on-base). If the victim has submitted a written expedited transfer request, whether such request can be approved.
- The location and status (e.g., training, pre-trial confinement) of the accused.
- The availability of billets commensurate with the accused's grade and experience within other units on the installation or potential PCA/PCS locations.
- For Reserve members, the SA-IDA may elect to direct that the accused and the victim perform inactive duty training on alternate weekends or at different times, or at a different unit in the home drilling location or geographic region.
- Career and significant personal or familial impacts of reassignment or transfer on the accused.
- Whether the accused has formed an attorney–client relationship with a defense counsel, and the anticipated costs associated with travel due to representation of the accused by such attorney at any possible proceedings.
- Other pertinent circumstances or facts.

The MARADMIN also specified that the accused may not be transferred pursuant to this policy if such transfer would interfere with the accused's due process rights (e.g., right to counsel and to participate in his or her own defense), and that the SA-IDA may also consider victim input, if any, as to the accused transfer.

7.7 Improving Victim Legal Support—Describe your efforts to establish a special victim's advocacy program that provides legal advice and representation for victims of sexual assault. Include your Service's measures of effectiveness for this program, as well as efforts made to collaborate and share best practices with other services.

The Marine Corps established the VLCO in November 2013, with the mission to protect victims' rights at all stages of the military justice process by providing legal advice and, when detailed, representation to victims of sexual assault and other crimes. The VLC safeguards victims' rights provided within the Manual for Courts-Martial, including the MRE, RCM, and UCMJ. Since the decision by the Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces in L.R.M. v. Kastenberg (CAAF Jul 2013), victims have had the right to be heard "through counsel." Among the rights VLC assert on behalf of their clients are:

1. Rights under Article 6b, UCMJ;
2. Right to attend and be heard at legal proceedings per MRE 412, 513, or 514;
3. Right to be present at all legal proceedings per MRE 615;
4. Right to provide "Victim's View as to Disposition" of the case to the convening authority per RCM 306;
5. Right to confidential communication with victim advocate per MRE 514;
6. Right to receive copy of record of trial upon completion of the case per Article 54(e), UCMJ; and
7. Any other victim rights provided by law, regulation, or Service policy.

The Marine Corps expanded the eligibility of VLC beyond only victims of sexual assault to victims of all crimes in violation of the UCMJ, as permitted by 10 USC §§ 1044, 1044e, and 1565b, including both adult and minor dependents. Additionally, eligible victims who file restricted or unrestricted reports, or are still undecided, are entitled to VLCO services.

Implementation of the VLCO and other victim support programs are part of the reason the Marine Corps has seen both an increase in reporting and a decrease in the number of victims declining to participate in the military justice process – from 16% in FY11 to 8% in FY14. In addition, according to the Marine Corps Victim Advocacy Survey, victims were largely satisfied with the legal services they were provided. Survey data taken between November 2013 and March 2014 showed that 83% of victims agreed that legal personnel handled their situation with sensitivity, while 79% felt they were believed and 75% felt their decisions were supported by legal personnel.

7.8 Develop Standardized and Voluntary Survey for Victims and Survivors—Describe your progress in developing and participating in a standardized victim survey. List efforts made jointly with other Services and Departments to regularly administer the standardized victim survey in such a way that protects victim privacy and does not adversely impact victim legal and health status.

Fielded between November 2013 and May 2014, the Marine Corps Victim Advocacy Survey was designed and implemented to examine the effectiveness of services

provided by the Marine Corps SAPR Program to victims of sexual assault. In order to achieve and maintain world-class victim care, it is imperative that Marine Corps leadership understand the impact SAPR services has on victims; the opinions, knowledge, and attitude regarding these services; the level of coordination and communication during response; and the overall satisfaction with the services provided. The survey revealed whether victims find the provided services useful and effective, and whether the effectiveness of services is enhanced by the level of coordination and communication among agencies that influence outcomes for sexual assault victims.

In addition, this survey aimed to identify what factors increase knowledge about SAPR services to target outreach and risk reduction activities, as well as understand which services are helpful and effective for good service planning, coordination, and follow-through. The survey data provided the Marine Corps with valuable, direct feedback from victims that we have been working to incorporate into our current service and future initiatives. The SES, adopted for use by the entire Department of Defense, was largely based on the Marine Corps Victim Advocacy Survey, which the SES replaced in June 2014. The Marine Corps has continued its use of the SES, fielding it to victims of sexual assault on a voluntary, anonymous basis.

Headquarters United States Marine Corps
Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (MFB)
3280 Russell Road
Quantico, Virginia 22134-5103

Fiscal Year (FY) 2014 Sexual Assault Statistical Report Data Call for Sexual Assault in the Military: United States Navy

1. Analytic Discussion

1.1. Provide an analytic discussion of your Service's Statistical Report. This section should include such information as:

- **Notable changes in the data since FY13 (in percentages) and other time periods (at least FY12, FY13, and FY14), as appropriate**
- **Insight or suspected reasons for noted changes, or lack of change, in data**
- **Implications the data may have for programmatic planning, oversight, and/or research**
- **How reports of sexual assault compliment your Service's scientifically conducted surveys during FY13 or FY14 (if any)**
- **Prevalence vs. reporting (the percentage of Service member incidents captured in reports of sexual assault (Restricted Reports and Unrestricted Reports) (Metric #2)**
- **Total number of Sexual Assaults (Restricted Reports and Unrestricted Reports) over time (since 2004) (Metric #12)**
- **Other**

Total Number of Sexual Assault Reports



In FY14, Navy had 1,295 total reports of sexual assault broken out by 991 Unrestricted Reports, 294 Restricted Reports remaining restricted, and 10 Restricted Reports made in previous fiscal years that converted to Unrestricted Reports in FY14. This represents a 12% increase in reporting of sexual assaults between FY13 (1,158) and FY14 (1,295), following a 53% increase in reports between FY12 (755) and FY13, and a 31% increase between FY11 (578) and FY12. A three-fold increase in reports of sexual assault

between FY07 (408) and FY14 (1,295) is strong evidence of trust and confidence in the Navy response systems, and indicates progress toward closing the gap between actual incidents and reports.

It is important to note that an increase in sexual assault reports is the result of various factors and may not necessarily represent increased incidents of sexual assault. Many factors contributed to changes in reporting and demographics, including additional training, education, awareness campaigns; improvements to victim support services; and changes to Article 120 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ).

Restricted Reports
Restricted Reports enable a victim to receive support services without command notification or initiating an investigation. In FY14, 400 initial Restricted Reports indicated an increase of 31% over FY13 (305). This follows a 24% increase between FY12 (246) and FY13, and a 41% increase between FY11 (174) and FY12. In FY14, 106 (27%) initial Restricted Reports were converted to Unrestricted Reports, compared to 49 (16%) in FY13, 47 (19%) in FY12, and 32 (18%) in FY11. This increase in conversion rates is another indicator of growing trust in the response system.

Unrestricted Reports
Unrestricted Reporting initiates a Military Criminal Investigation Organization (MCIO) investigation and provides an opportunity to hold offenders appropriately accountable, in addition to giving victims access to support services. In FY14, there were 991 new Unrestricted Reports and 10 conversions from Restricted Reports being made in previous fiscal years; totaling 1,001 Unrestricted Reports. This is an increase of 11% from 902 Unrestricted Reports in FY13.

Implications of the data

In FY14, reports of sexual assault in the U.S. Navy continued to increase over previous years. For a crime that is universally underreported, Navy views this trend as a positive endorsement of efforts to improve command climate. When a Sailor trusts the command to respond appropriately, he or she is more likely to make a report. The results of the Defense Equal Opportunity Management Institute Organizational Climate Survey (DEOCS) reiterated the trust and confidence victims place in their commands through a consistent positive perception of command climate and leadership support of the SAPR program.

The year-over-year increase in reporting indicates Sailors' trust in commands, along with Navy efforts in increasing the number of dedicated personnel, training, and resources to include judge advocates, Victims' Legal Counsel, NCIS, Special Victim Capability/Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution (SVIP) personnel, and other legal assistants.

During the last several years, there was a strong Navy-wide education campaign to educate Sailors and civilians about sexual assault reporting options (restricted and

unrestricted), services available to victims of sexual assault, and crime prevention initiatives. As a result of these on-going education campaigns, there have been many changes in the reporting data that indicate the success of the message. Populations that may have otherwise discounted their experiences are increasingly coming forward to receive victim services and seek justice against their alleged perpetrators, especially male victims, victims with incidents that occurred years prior, and victims of contact offenses. Additionally, Sailors are vigilantly reporting and interceding on behalf of potential victims in an effort to prevent assaults and support victims.

Prevalence vs. Reporting



RAND's 2014 Military Workplace Gender and Relations Survey

In early 2014, DoD SAPRO hired the RAND National Defense Research Institute to conduct an independent assessment of sexual assault, sexual harassment, and gender discrimination in the military. The study, known as the RAND Military Workplace Study (RMWS), invited close to 560,000 Service members (approximately 124,000 Navy Service members) to participate.

Confidential surveys are currently the best tool available to estimate the number of sexual assault incidents in the Navy. The Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Personnel (WGRA) was conducted by the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) through 2012. The RAND Military Workplace Study (RMWS) was used in 2014, utilizing newly designed assessment criteria and methods. RAND assigned a small number of Service members a version of the prior 2012 WGRA questionnaire, and analyzed the comparable results to provide historical trends. The FY14 prevalence estimates were calculated using the WGRA data. Estimates of sexual assault

prevalence in the Navy are based on the percentage of surveyed Sailors who had at least one experience of unwanted sexual contact (this includes contact, attempted penetration, and penetration offenses) in the 12 months before responding to the survey, and represent both male and female victims of various offense types.

RAND's 2014 Military Workplace Gender and Relations Survey indicated 5.1% of women and 1.1% of men experienced some form of unwanted sexual contact, estimating that roughly 2,800 men and 2,800 women experienced unwanted sexual contact (see graph above). Utilizing FY14 reporting numbers, of these reports, approximately 32% of women and 8% of men made reports in FY14. In FY14, 1,141 Service members made restricted or unrestricted reports, representing 20% of the estimated incidents.

Survivor Experience Survey (SES)
Based on results of the Survivor Experience Survey, Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCS), SAPR Victim Advocates (VAs), and Victims' Legal Counsel (VLC) services received positive feedback from the majority of those surveyed. Many victims indicated they believed they experienced some form of social and/or professional retaliation. Further research will better define the specifics of retaliation and allow for a better understanding of the issue to aid in the development of mitigation strategies. Retaliation in any form, is prohibited and contrary to Navy core values. Initiatives to identify and address retaliation include training at all levels, focusing on the first line supervisor, identifying and addressing retaliation at monthly case management group meetings, and reinforcing remedies available to commanders.

Navy will continue to use the results of surveys to assess progress in closing the reporting gap, both from the perspective of reducing incidents and increasing reporting. While the ultimate goal is to eradicate sexual assault, Navy continues to ensure maximum reporting of incidents to facilitate victim care and ensure appropriate accountability for offenders.

Other Trends

Male Reporting
Male victims in the Navy represent an underreported segment of an underreported crime. Of the 888 Service member victims initially making an Unrestricted Report in FY14, 23% (201) were male victims. In FY13, male victims accounted for 18% (141) and in FY12 11% (54) of the Unrestricted Reports. The consistent increase in the percentage of male victims making Unrestricted Reports from FY12 to FY14 is reflective of the progress of educational campaigns in breaking preconceived notions about sexual assault. Navy continues efforts to encourage reporting among men and women.

Service Member on Service Member
Service member on Service member allegations accounted for 60% of Unrestricted Reports in FY14, down from 67% in FY13 and 69% in FY12. In FY14, out of 595 Service member on Service member Unrestricted reports, approximately 42% were

penetration crimes and 50% were contact with 8% having an offense code (UCMJ violations indicated in investigations) not available. In FY13, approximately 50% were reports of penetration offenses and 50% were contact.

Report Latency
In FY14, 61% of Unrestricted Reports were made within 30 days of the incident, 37% were greater than 30 days after the incident, and 2% is not known. Comparatively, in FY13 only 52% of Unrestricted Reports were made within 30 days of the incident, 42% were greater than 30 days, and 6% is not known.

Investigations
There were 943 victims in investigations initiated during FY14. Of these, there were 83% (779) victims in investigations completed by the end of FY14. In FY13, there were 902 victims in investigations initiated and 62% (561) victims in investigations completed by the end of FY13.

2. Unrestricted Reporting

2.1. Victim Data Discussion and Analysis. This section should include an overview of such information as:

- **Type of offenses**
- **Demographic trends**
- **Service referrals**
- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest (CAI)**
- **Military Protective Orders Issued as a Result of an Unrestricted Report (e.g., Number issued, number violated)**
- **Approved expedited transfers and reasons why transfers were not approved**
- **The number of victims declining to participate in the military justice process (Metric #8)**
- **Others (Please explain)**

Type of Offenses
Note: 15% of data pertaining to the type of offense is not available. In FY14, the most frequently reported offense was abusive sexual contact, representing 32% (313) of Unrestricted Reports (991), followed by sexual assault at 25% (246) and rape at 19% (184). Aggravated sexual contact, forcible sodomy, aggravated sexual assault, indecent assault, and attempts to commit an offense accounted for the remaining reports.

Demographic Trends
Of the 991 Unrestricted Reports made in FY14, 79% were women (783), 90% were Service members (888), 50% were between the ages of 20 and 24 (495) at time of incident and 68% were pay grades E-1 to E-4 (677). Nearly all of the Service member victims were active duty (870) 98%, and affiliated with the U.S. Navy (841) 95%. The remaining Service member victims were affiliated with other Services. These trends

have remained steady from FY11 to present.

Service Referrals
See section 4.1 below.

Combat Areas of Interest (CAI)
In FY14, 22 victims made Unrestricted Reports of a sexual assault that occurred in a combat location. The general trends for these reports match the overall Navy demographics with respect to time of delayed report and demographic information of the victims. All of these victims were Service members.

Military Protective Orders
In FY14, 235 Military Protective Orders were issued in 991 Unrestricted Report cases, with one violation by a subject. In FY13, 244 Military Protective Orders were issued, with 11 violations by subject.

Expedited Transfers
In FY14, there were 13 unit/duty and 151 installation expedited transfer requests by Service member victims. One of the installation expedited transfer requests was denied on the basis that the report of sexual assault was determined by the victim's commander not to be credible. In FY13, there were 20 unit/duty and 128 installation expedited transfer requests by Service members. Two unit expedited transfer requests were denied. In one instance, the victim and offender were not co-located, and in the other, the report of sexual assault was determined not to be credible.

Victims Declining to Participate in the Military Justice Process
Legal action could not be pursued in 122 (16%) cases where victims declined to participate in the military justice process. This is not, however, reflective of all cases in which the victim declined to participate in the investigative/military justice process. In some cases, command action was pursued, resulting in administrative or disciplinary action against a subject, despite non-participation of the victim. However, these cases are not categorized as a victim declination within our reporting.

2.2. Subject Data Discussion and Analysis. This section should include an overview of such information as:

- **Demographic trends**
- **Disposition trends**
- **Experiences in CAI**
- **Command action for Military Subjects under DoD Legal Authority (to be captured using the most serious crime charged (Non-Metric #1)**
- **Sexual Assault Court-Martial Outcomes (to be captured using the most serious crime charged) (Non-Metric #2)**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Demographic Trends
In FY14, there were 989 subjects in completed investigations. Male subjects accounted

for 83% (821) of the total, 61% (605) were between 20 and 34 years of age, and Service members accounted for 74% (736). Of the 736 Service members, 95% were active duty (702), 93% were in the Navy (687), and 94% were enlisted Service members (689). Less than 3% (21) of the Service members were activated Reservists at the time of the alleged sexual assault.

Disposition Trends

Disposition data was examined for cases closed during the fiscal year vice open and closed in the same fiscal year. Thorough investigations and prosecutions require time, particularly in complex cases. Examining cases opened and closed in the same fiscal year would have excluded analysis of the more egregious reports received in previous fiscal years, and as a practical matter, all cases reported in the last quarter of the fiscal year, because it takes time to investigate and take disposition actions.

In FY14, there were 921 final dispositions for subjects accused of sexual assault. Of this totally numbed of final disposition, 50%or 459 of the subjects were not subject to disciplinary action for the following reasons: lack of jurisdiction (i.e., civilian subjects not subject to UCMJ) (59), civilian or foreign authority exercised jurisdiction over Service member subject (7), subject was unknown (104), allegation was unfounded (e.g., it was false or baseless (21), statute of limitations expired (4), subject died or deserted (1), evidence was insufficient (141), or victim declined or refused to cooperate with the investigation or prosecution (122).

Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest

In FY14, 53% (10) of the total subjects (19) were Service members in CAIs. Unknown and foreign national subjects accounted for the remaining subjects. Of the 10 Service member subjects, eight were Navy Service members, one was a Marine Service member, and one was an Air Force Service member. The Service member subjects were predominantly active duty and enlisted, both accounting for 90% of the data. Due to the relatively small sample size (19) for Unrestricted Reports in CAIs and varying missions within them, there also is a wide variability of subject demographics from year-to-year.

Command Action for Military Subjects Under DoD Legal Authority

In FY14, command action was taken against 462 Service members for both sexual assault and non-sexual assault (e.g., failure to obey order or regulation) offenses. Types of command action included court-martial, non-judicial punishment, administrative separation, or other adverse administrative actions (including Midshipmen Disciplinary System Action at the U.S. Naval Academy). Court-martial charges were preferred in approximately 48% of cases, a 4% rate increase over FY13.

Sexual Assault Court-Martial Outcomes

In FY14, there were 207 cases where court-martial charges were preferred for a sexual assault offense, an 88% increase over the 110 cases in FY13.

Of those 207 cases, 130 proceeded to trial on at least one sexual assault offense. Of

those 130, 89 cases resulted in a conviction (68%) and 41 in an acquittal (32%) of at least one charged offense. Of those 207 cases, 8 resulted in a resignation or separation in lieu of trial and 37 resulted in dismissal of charges at some point during the military justice process.

2.3. Reporting Data Discussion and Analysis. This section should include an overview of such information as:

- **Trends in descriptive information about Unrestricted Reports (e.g., Did more reported incidents occur on/off installation?)**
- **Investigations**
- **Experiences in CAI**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Location and Time of Incident

Of the 991 initial Unrestricted Reports, 46% (451) of the incidents during FY14 reportedly occurred on military instillations, and 40% (401) occurred off military installations. An additional 14% (139) of incidents occurred at multiple or unknown locations. Incidents occurred every day of the week, with the majority, 54% (539), occurring Friday through Sunday. The time of the incident was grouped into the following intervals; 32% (315) occurred 6 p.m. to midnight, 31% (307) occurred midnight to 6 a.m., 23% (228) 6 a.m. to 6 p.m., and 14% (141) unknown, various times, or could not be categorized. In the initial report by the victim, 35% (345) of the reports were made within three days of the sexual assault, and 26% (256) were made four to 30 days after the sexual assault, meaning 61% of reports were made within 30 days of the incident. The remaining reports were delayed longer than 30 days.

Investigative Authority

NCIS was the predominant investigative authority for Navy Service members during FY14. A small number of Navy Service members were also investigated by other Service MCIOs and civilian or foreign law enforcement. NCIS routinely investigates non-Service member subjects (civilian or foreign national) and cases where the subject is unknown as long as there is Navy jurisdiction (i.e., Navy victim or alleged incident occurred on board a Navy installation).

Combat Areas of Interest

Of the 22 Unrestricted Reports occurring in CAIs, 55% (12) occurred on and 41% (9) off of military installations, with a small percentage of incidents occurring either in unidentified locations or possibly multiple locations. The incidents largely occurred in Bahrain with 50% (11), which remains consistent since FY11. The incidents in other countries in combat areas fluctuate over the years, but are small compared to Bahrain. For FY14 they are: Djibouti at 14% (3), Iraq at 9% (2), Kuwait at 4.5% (1), Oman at 4.5% (1), and the United Arab Emirates at 18% (4). The majority of the incidents took place Friday through Monday representing 77% (17); the time-interval of the incidents varied.

3. Restricted Reporting

3.1. Victim Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Demographics trends**
- **Service referrals**
- **Experiences in CAI**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Restricted Reports are not reported to MCIOs for investigation or to commands for disposition. SARCs do not report the types of offenses for Restricted Reports. For all Restricted Reports, the role of the SARC is to focus on support services (e.g., crisis intervention and referrals to advocacy, medical, and counseling services) and case management.

Total Restricted Reports
Between FY13 and FY14, there was a notable increase in initial Restricted Reports. Note that only Service members and their adult non-Service member dependents may file Restricted Reports of sexual assault. There were 95 more reports in FY14 (400) than in FY13 (305), an increase of 31%. In FY14, 382 Service members, six adult family member victims (involving a military subject), and 12 reports with victim type not known, made a Restricted Report to a SARC and/or SAPR Victim Advocate (SAPR VA). Increased trust and confidence in the SAPR program and a better understanding of what constitutes sexual assault may have contributed to this increase in reporting.

Service Affiliation
In FY14, of the 400 Restricted Reports, 294 remained restricted (not converted to an Unrestricted Report). Of the reports remaining restricted, within Navy, 98% (289) were filed by Service member victims as follows: 95% (274) Navy Service members, 2% (6) U.S. Marine Corps, 2% (5) U.S. Air Force, and 1% (4) U.S. Army. The remaining five Restricted Reports were filed by adult family member victims and involved Service member alleged offenders.

The 294 Service member reports that remained restricted were reported to SARCs and/ or SAPR VAs as follows: 56% (166) of cases involved Service member alleged offenders on Service member victims, 22% (65) unidentified alleged offenders on Service member victims, and 17% (51) were non-Service members on Service member victims. Data was not available for 2% (7) of cases.

Lastly, 24 of the Restricted Reports involved incidents that occurred prior to the victims' military service (enlistment or commissioning).

Demographic Trends
Demographically, 87% (255) of the reports remaining restricted involved female victims and 13% (39) involved male victims. The majority of victims, 53% (156), were between the ages of 20 and 24. The remaining ages of victims at the time of incident were as follows: 22% (65) ages 16 to 19, 18% (53) ages 25 to 34, 1% (4) ages 35 to 49, and 1% (3) ages zero to 15. Age demographics were not available in 4% (13) of the reports. Ranks of Service member victims were reported as follows: 67% (193) were E1 to E4, 24% (71) were E5 to E9, 7% (20) were O1 to O3, and 2% (5) Cadet/Midshipman.

Nearly all (94% or 273 reports in total) of Service member victims who filed Restricted Reports were active duty, while 4% (11) of reports were made by Reservists who were activated at the time of report.

Combat Areas of Interest

In FY14, there were 10 Restricted Reports filed by Service members in CAIs compared to one in FY13. Of the 10 reports to Navy SARCs or SAPR VAs, 90% (9) were Navy victims and 10% (1) was U.S. Air Force. Demographically, 90% (9) involved female victims and 10% (1) involved a male victim. The ages of victims at the times of incidents were as follows: 70% (7) ages 20 to 24, 20% (2) ages 35 to 49, and 10% (1) ages 16 to 19. Ranks of the victims were as follows: 60% (6) were E1 to E4 and 40% (4) were E5 to E9.

Non-Service Member Victims

In FY14, there were 10 Non-Service member victims who initially made a Restricted Report. Of the nine adult family members, reports remaining restricted (one report converted to an Unrestricted Report) were made as follows: 78% (7) non-Service member on adult family member, 11% (1) unidentified subject on non-Service member and 11% (1) victim type is not known.

Demographically, of the reports remaining restricted, 89% (8) of the Restricted Reports involved female victims and in 11% (1) was victim gender not known. The age of victims at the time of incident were as follows: 56% (5) were between the ages of 20 and 24, 11% (1) were between the ages of 25 and 34, 11% (1) of victims were between the ages of 16 and 19, 11% (1) were between the ages of 35 and 49, while 11% (1) data was not known.

3.2. Reporting Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Trends in descriptive information about Restricted Reports (e.g., Did more reported incidents occur on/off installation)**
- **Trends in Restricted Reporting conversions**
- **Experiences in CAI**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Restricted to Unrestricted Conversions

In FY14, of the 400 initial Restricted Reports, 27% (106) were converted to Unrestricted Reports, a 116% increase in conversions from FY13 (49). Of the 106 converted cases, 93 were filed by Service member victims, one was filed by a non-Service member victim, and in 12 cases the victim type is not available. Approximately 56% (166) of the 294 remaining Restricted Reports were filed by Service members indicating they were sexually assaulted by other Service members, 22% (65) involved Service members assaulted by unidentified subjects, 17% (51) involved Service members assaulted by non-Service members, 2% (5) involved non-Service members assaulted by Service members (entitled to make a Restricted Report by DoD Policy), and 3% (7) of the Restricted Reports have unavailable (blank) for this category. The time between Restricted Reports being made and later converted to Unrestricted Reports averaged

33.88 days.

Location of Incident
Reports remaining restricted occurring on a military installation accounted for 26% (76) of incidents, compared to 54% (159) that occurred off a military installation, and 19% (57) that occurred in an unidentified/multiple location. In 1% (2) of the reports, this category had unavailable data. Despite an increase in Restricted Reporting, there was an overall 8% decrease for incidents occurring on a military installation when compared to FY13 (83), a 10% increase occurring off of a military installation [FY13 (144)], and a 27% decrease among those incidents occurring in an unidentified/multiple locations [FY13 (78)].

Additionally, of the 274 Navy Service member victims who made Restricted Reports to SARCs and/or SAPR VAs, 5.4% (15) disclosed incidents that occurred aboard ship, 5.1% (14) occurred while on the installation, and <1% (1) of cases had an unidentified ship location.

Time of Incident
Approximately 30% (88) of reported incidents occurred between midnight and 6 a.m., 30% (88) between 6 p.m. and midnight, and 9% (27) between 6 a.m. and 6 p.m. Time of the incident was unknown in 30% (90) of reported incidents and unavailable in 1% (1) case.

Day of Incident/Latency
In 20% (59) of Restricted Reports the incident day of the week is not known, while 24% (70) of cases reportedly occurred on Saturday, and 15% (44) of cases on Friday. In 33% (98) of Restricted Reports were made within three days of incident, while 14% (40) of cases were reported 31 to 365 days after incident. Approximately 26% (76) of cases have unavailable (blank) data for this category, 11% (32) were greater than 365 days after incident, 10% (29) within four to 10 days of incident, and 6% (19) within 11 to 30 days of incident.

Combat Areas of Interest
The 10 Restricted Reports in CAIs did not convert to unrestricted. Of these, 70% (7) were made by Service members who indicated that they had been sexually assaulted by other Service members, 10% (1) involved a Service member assaulted by unidentified subject, 10% (1) involved Service member assaulted by non-Service member, and 10% (1) of the Restricted Report data for this demographic was unavailable (blank).

Of the 10 Restricted Reports in CAIs, 60% (6) were reported as occurring on a military installation and 40% (4) occurred off a military installation. Approximately 20% (2) of sexual assault incidents occurred between midnight and 6 a.m., 30% (3) of incidents occurred between 6 a.m. and 6 p.m., 20% (2) occurred between 6 p.m. and midnight, while the time the reported incidents is unknown for 30% (3).

Of the 10 Restricted Reports in CAIs, 40% (4) occurred on Sunday, 20% (2) occurred on Tuesday, 10% (1) occurred on Monday, 10% (1) occurred on Saturday, and data for 20% (2) is not available. Approximately 30% (3) of the reports were received within three days of the incident, 20% (2) within four to 10 days of incident, 20% (2) were greater than 365 days after incident, 10% (1) within 31 to 365 days of incident, and 20% (2) of the cases is not known.

4. Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault

4.1. Unrestricted Report Referral Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Summary of referral data**
- **CAI referral data**
- **Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Service Referrals for Service member Victims of Sexual Assault (Unrestricted Reports)

There were 2,655 total support service referrals for Service members making Unrestricted Reports for both military and civilian resource referrals. Referrals were provided by SARCs when the victim requested or conveyed a need for military and/or civilian medical, victim advocacy, mental health, legal, or chaplain services. Referrals were primarily made to military resources for mental health support as well as victim advocacy services. Of the total referrals, 91% (2,411) were made to military support services: 684 for victim advocacy, 495 for mental health, 385 for legal assistance, 285 for chaplain/spiritual support, 257 for medical, 171 to the DoD Safe Helpline, and 134 were referrals for other services. In addition, 9% (244) referrals were made to civilian facilities as follows: 56 to a rape crisis center, 48 for mental health, 50 for victim advocacy, 20 for medical, nine for legal assistance, six for chaplain/spiritual support, and 55 to other services.

Combat Areas of Interest

In FY14, there were 82 total support service referrals, both military and civilian resources, for Service members making Unrestricted Reports in CAI. Referrals were provided by SARCs when the victim requested or conveyed a need for military resources and/or civilian medical, victim advocacy, mental health, legal, or chaplain services. Referrals were primarily made to military facilities. Of the total referrals, 90% (74) were made to military support services: 16 for victim advocacy, 11 for mental health, 13 for chaplain/spiritual support, 12 for legal assistance, 11 for medical, nine to DoD Safe Helpline, and two to other services. In addition, 10% (8) referrals were made to civilian facilities as follows: two to a rape crisis center, two for mental health, one for medical, one for chaplain/spiritual support, one for victim advocacy, and one to other services.

Sexual Assault Forensic Examination

In FY14, there were 133 Unrestricted Reports by Service members to SARCs where a SAFE was conducted, representing a 56% increase over FY13 (85). In FY14, there

were no instances where these victims reported to SARCs that SAFE kits or other supplies were not available at the time of the victim's exam.

In FY14, there were three cases where Service members in CAIs reported to SARCs and a SAFE was conducted.

4.2. Restricted Report Referral Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Summary of referral data**
- **CAI referral data**
- **Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Service Referrals for Service member Victims of Sexual Assault (Restricted Reports)

In FY14, there were 792 total support service referrals for Service members who made Restricted Reports, a 19% decrease from FY13 (982). As with the Unrestricted Reports, referrals were primarily made to military resources for mental health support, victim advocacy services, and mental health services. Of these total referrals, 90% (714) were made to military resources, including 188 for victim advocacy, 165 for mental health, 108 for chaplain/spiritual support, 99 for medical, 66 for DOD Safe Helpline, 57 for legal assistance, and 31 to other services. In addition, 10% (78) referrals were made to civilian facilities as follows: 25 to a rape crisis center, 22 for mental health, 12 for victim advocacy, five for medical, two for chaplain/spiritual support, and one for legal assistance.

Combat Areas of Interest

In FY14, there were 24 total support service referrals for Service members who made Restricted Reports in CAIs. Referrals were provided by SARCs when the victim requested or conveyed a need for military and/or civilian medical, mental health, legal, victim advocacy, or chaplain services. Referrals were primarily made to military resources for mental health support, victim advocacy services, and mental health services. Of these total referrals, 92% (22) were made to military resources, including seven for victim advocacy, seven for mental health, four for medical, two for legal assistance, one for chaplain/spiritual support, and one for DoD Safe Helpline. In addition, two (9%) referrals were made to civilian facilities as follows: one to a rape crisis center and one for chaplain/spiritual support.

Sexual Assault Forensic Examination

In FY14, there were 39 Restricted Reports by Service members to SARCs where a SAFE was conducted, a 15% increase from FY13 (34). In FY14, there were no instances where these victims reported to SARCs that SAFE kits or other supplies were not available at the time of the victim's exam.

In FY14, there were no Service members in CAIs with Restricted Reports to SARCs where a SAFE was reported to have been conducted.

4.3. Service Referrals for Non-Military Victims Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Summary of referral data**
- **CAI referral data**
- **Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Service Referrals for Non-Service member Victims of Sexual Assault (Unrestricted)
In FY14, there were 134 total support service referrals for non-Service members who made Unrestricted Reports. Referrals were provided by SARCs when the victim requested or conveyed a need for military and/or civilian medical, mental health, legal, victim advocacy, or chaplain services. Referrals were primarily made to military resources for mental health support, victim advocacy services, and mental health services. Of these total referrals, 78% (105) were made to military facilities, including 32 for victim advocacy, 23 for mental health support, 13 for chaplain/spiritual support, 13 for medical, 11 for legal, nine for DoD Safe Helpline, assistance, and four to other services. In addition, 22% (29) referrals were made to civilian facilities as follows: 10 for mental health support, seven to a rape crisis center, three to victim advocacy, two for medical services, one for legal services, and six referrals were to other services.

Service Referrals for Non-Service member Victims of Sexual Assault (Restricted)
In FY14, there were 40 total support service referrals for non-Service members who made Restricted Reports. Referrals were primarily made to military facilities for victim advocacy, mental health support, chaplain/spiritual support, and medical services. Of these total referrals, 90% (36) were made to military resources, including eight for victim advocacy, seven for mental health, seven for chaplain/spiritual support, seven for medical, four for DoD Safe Helpline, two for legal assistance, and one to other services. In addition, 10% (4) referrals were made to civilian facilities as follows: two for a rape crisis center and two for medical.

Combat Areas of Interest
There were no reports involving non-Service member victims filed a CAIs. Therefore, no support service referrals were made for this category.

Sexual Assault Forensic Examination
In FY14, there were 17 non-Service member Unrestricted Reports to SARCs where a SAFE was conducted. In FY14, there were no instances where these victims reported to SARCs that SAFE kits or other supplies were not available at the time of the victim's exam.

In FY14, there were six non-Service member Restricted Reports to SARCs where a SAFE was conducted. In FY14, there were no instances where these victims reported to SARCs that SAFE kits or other supplies were not available at the time of the victim's exam.

5. Additional Items
5.1 Military Justice Process/Investigative Process Discussion. This section should include such information as: • **Length of time from the date a victim signs a DD 2910 to the date that a sentence is imposed or accused is acquitted (Non-Metric #3)** • **Length of time from the date a victim signs a DD 2910 to the date that NJP process is concluded (e.g., punishment imposed or NJP not rendered) (Non-Metric #4)**
In cases where the most serious offense investigated by NCIS was a penetration offense, the average length of time between the date the investigation was completed and the date legal advice was rendered to the command regarding case disposition was 29 days. In those cases disposed of at court-martial, the average length of time between the date the victim chose to make an Unrestricted Report and the date the sentence was imposed or an accused was acquitted at court-martial was approximately 313 days. In cases disposed of at non-judicial punishment, the average length of time between the date the victim signed a DD2910 and the date non-judicial punishment was imposed was approximately 149 days.

Fiscal Year (FY) 2014 Sexual Assault Statistical Report Data Call for Sexual Assault in the Military: United States Marine Corps

1. Analytic Discussion

1.1 Provide an analytic discussion of your Service's Statistical Report. This section should include such information as:

- **Notable changes in the data since FY13 (in percentages) and other time periods (at least FY12, FY13 and FY14), as appropriate.**
- **Insight or suspected reasons for noted changes, or lack of change, in data**
- **Implications the data may have for programmatic planning, oversight, and/or research**
- **How reports of sexual assault compliment your Service's scientifically conducted surveys during FY13 or FY14 (if any)**
- **Prevalence vs. reporting (the percentage of Service member incidents captured in reports of sexual assault (Restricted Reports and Unrestricted Reports) (Metric #2)**
- **Total number of Sexual Assaults (Restricted Reports and Unrestricted Reports) over time (since 2004) (Metric #12)**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Background: DSAID

In accordance with the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for 2009, section 593, the Department of Defense (DoD) was tasked to develop a centralized, case-level database for the collection and maintenance of information regarding sexual assaults involving members or the Armed Forces. As a result, the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID) was created for Service-wide implementation.

As discussed in the FY13 DoD Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military, beginning with FY14, the Department is using DSAID as the system of record to populate the DoD Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military.

In order to produce relevant reports, DSAID relies on data from multiple sources, including Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs), Headquarters Marine Corps (HQMC) Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR), HQMC Military Justice Branch legal officers, Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS) agents, and the proper interface between DSAID and NCIS' Consolidated Law Enforcement Operations Center. As the source for victim, subject, incident, and legal disposition information for sexual assaults in the Marine Corps in FY14, DSAID was used to calculate the information reported herein.

Number of Reports vs. Number of Victims

With the implementation of DSAID as the centralized, case-level database, came new guidelines for the accounting of Unrestricted Reports. Prior to the implementation of DSAID, Unrestricted Reports were recorded as the number of sexual assault cases, as reported by NCIS, the Military Criminal Investigation Organization (MCIO) for the Marine Corps. Thus, one Unrestricted Report or open investigation of sexual assault did not always equate to one victim of sexual assault, because one report or investigation may have involved multiple victims. Therefore, the number of Unrestricted Reports has historically been lower than the number of known victims. Starting in FY14, however,

each and every victim identified in an open investigation or in an Unrestricted Report is counted within DSAID as an individual report. Restricted Reports have always involved one victim per reported incident.

Effective FY14, the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) mandated that Annual Reports emphasize the number of victims, vice reports, in the reporting data. This report will also use the number of victims in reporting data between FY08 and FY14. As a result, comparing other findings across years (i.e., demographics, alcohol involvement, etc.) proves challenging, as the only readily available data for FY08 through FY13 is that found in the DoD Annual Reports, which again is based on the number of reports, not victims. Thus, developments and trends extended to FY14 are not perfect continuations of like data but the best possible approximations based on what is available.

Reports of Sexual Assault: FY08-FY14

The Marine Corps has maintained its high rate of reporting evidenced by a 94% increase in number of reports recorded from FY12 to FY14. As shown in Figure 1 below, the 880 victims in FY14 reports of sexual assault include 516 victims in Unrestricted Reports and 364 victims in Restricted Reports.

Figure 1: Number of USMC Sexual Assault Victims in Reporting Data (FY08–FY14)



Note 12 of the 516 victims in FY14 Unrestricted Reports were victims who filed a Restricted Report in a previous year but converted that report to an Unrestricted Report in FY14. No demographic data was available for these 12 victims. All relevant FY14 data discussed herein centers on the remaining 504 victims in Unrestricted Reports and

364 victims in Restricted Reports filed in FY14.
Between FY13 and FY14, the number of victims in Unrestricted Reports decreased by 9%, while the number of victims in Restricted Reports increased by 19%. This increase in Restricted Reports is largely a result of reports made for incidents that occurred prior to joining the Marine Corps. These and other trends are discussed in the following section.

Estimated Prevalence vs. Reporting

The 2014 RAND Military Workplace Study is the latest iteration of a confidential survey fielded biennially used to estimate the number of Service members experiencing sexual assault in the Marine Corps. According to this study, the Marine Corps saw a decrease in the estimated prevalence of Unwanted Sexual Contact between FY12 and FY14. As shown in Table 1, the initial analysis provided by the RAND Corporation, using WGRA methodology, suggests that in FY14, approximately 8.44% of active duty Marine women and 0.66% of active duty Marine men experienced some form of Unwanted Sexual Contact in the year prior to being surveyed. This is a welcome downward development from Unwanted Sexual Contact rates observed in FY12 (10.1% for Marine women; 1.1% for Marine men).

Table 1: Marines Experiencing Unwanted Sexual Contact Using WGRA Methodology

Year	Overall	% Females in Total Active-Duty Force	% Males in Total Active-Duty Force
CY06	~3,700	11.9	1.4
FY10	~3,100	6.6	1.2
FY12	~3,300	10.1	1.1
FY14	**~2,300**	**8.44**	**0.66**

WGRA: Workplace & Gender Relations Survey for Active Duty Members

This decrease does not yet establish a stable trend line. Large-scale Marine Corps prevention initiatives need more time to be further integrated. The November 2014 RAND Military Workplace Study report stated additional analysis needs to be performed in order to understand how factors specific to the Marine Corps impact the data (i.e., demographics). At the writing of this report, RAND's in-depth analyses of the demographic makeup of the Marine Corps were not available for review or comment. However, the decrease in Unwanted Sexual Contact prevalence in FY14 is encouraging, especially when taken together with other supporting indicators since FY12—to include positive developments in perception of leadership engagement, victim satisfaction with services, and willingness to intervene in high-risk situations. The continuation of Marine Corps SAPR initiatives should lead to further promising results.

Reporting Gap:

The FY12 reporting gap—that is, the difference between the approximate number of Unwanted Sexual Contact incidents and those *in-Service* incidents that were reported—was approximately 90%. The equivalent statistic for FY14 is 78%, suggesting that the reporting gap is closing, as shown in Figure 2.

Ultimately, the Marine Corps wants the reporting data to match the number of incidents experienced, which would mean that all victims are receiving access to advocacy

services. The Marine Corps will continue to use the survey results to assess progress in closing the reporting gap, both from the perspective of reducing incidents and increasing reporting.

Eliminating sexual assault completely from the Corps remains our ultimate goal, but our efforts must also continue to focus on creating an environment in which victims feel safe in coming forward.

Figure 2: Reporting vs. Marines Who Experienced Unwanted Sexual Contact Using WGRA Methodology*



Explanation and Implications of the Data

Given the highly underreported nature of sexual assault, the Marine Corps is encouraged by the overall positive reporting trend since FY12. Victims continue to come forward, following the implementation of large-scale efforts to raise awareness of the definition of sexual assault and available SAPR resources, as well as to increase confidence and trust in the Marine Corps response system.

Demographics

Of the 868 victims who made either an Unrestricted or Restricted Report in FY14, 77.6% (674) victims were females, 22.1% (192) were males, and 0.2% (2) were not categorized in DSAID. For victim type, the 868 victims were categorized as 88% (763) service members, 11.8% (103) non-service members, and 0.2% (2) were not categorized in DSAID. Female Marines between the ages of 18 and 24 and between the ranks of E1 and E4 continue to be the highest at-risk demographic, comprising the majority of victims in both Unrestricted and Restricted Reports. The number of male victim reporting for both Unrestricted and Restricted Reports has increased noticeably from FY12 to FY14. Increased reporting is a positive indicator, as the Marine Corps recognizes the stigma associated with all reporting, especially pertaining to males, and is dedicated to eliminating all barriers to reporting. For subject-victim categorization, assaults involving Service member on Service member comprised just less than half of all Unrestricted Reports in FY14, while assaults involving non-Service member on Service member comprised just over half of all Restricted Reports. Finally, just over

half of all assaults for Unrestricted Reports were recorded as penetrative offenses, compared to approximately one third for contact offenses.
With the above focused at-risk demographics, Marine Corps prevention efforts have focused on these critical periods within a Marine's career. The Marine Corps "Step Up" training program, designed specifically for junior enlisted Marines (E1 to E3), was released in June 2014, focusing on bystander intervention but including lessons pertaining to healthy relationships and reporting options. In addition, since the launch of the SAPR Campaign Plan in June 2012, the Marine Corps has implemented its "Whole of Character" training for enlistees in the Delayed Entry Program; updated its SAPR training at the Recruit Depots; and mandated all newly promoted Non-Commissioned Officers (NCOs) (E4 and E5) to complete the "Take A Stand" bystander intervention training as an annual training requirement.

The Marine Corps has begun to implement initiatives designed to enhance our existing support to male victims of sexual assault, identify and dispel myths surrounding male sexual assault, and mitigate stereotypes surrounding male victimization. One example of our recent efforts is an inter-Service working group arranged and hosted by HQMC on 30 September 2014 that included SAPR representatives from each Service and DoD SAPRO, as well as representatives from NCIS and the HQMC Behavioral Health Program. The speaker was Dr. James Hopper, an independent consultant, therapist, researcher, and clinical instructor of psychology at Harvard Medical School. His presentation was titled *Outreach to Males Sexually Assaulted in the Service: Foundations, Basics, Next Steps.*

Prior-to-Service Reporting

Prior-to-Service reporting data involves Marine Corps victims who file a report for sexual assaults that occurred prior to their joining the Marine Corps. The total number of prior-to-Service sexual assault reports has increased between FY13 and FY14 attributed by an increase in prior-to-Service Restricted Reports during that same period. This is due to the fact that the large majority of victims who report prior-to-Service incidents come forward to receive victim care services. Thus of the 364 Restricted Reports filed in FY14, 53% (193) involved females being victimized prior to becoming a Marine. Female Marines in the pay grade of E1 comprise the majority of these Marines making prior-to-Service reports. For many, the Marine Corps affords them the first opportunity to discuss their previous sexual assaults. For some, it is their first time away from their offender.

Of the 868 victims in FY14 reports for the Marine Corps, 271 were for prior-to-Service incidents, including 227 victims in Restricted Reports and 44 victims in Unrestricted Reports. Of the 876 FY13 victims, 177 were for prior-to-Service incidents, including 158 Restricted Reports and 19 Unrestricted Reports. Without counting prior-to-Service incidents, victims in reports decreased from 699 in FY13 to 597 in FY14.

The increase in prior-to-Service reporting within the Marine Corps can be attributed to the increase in SAPR initiatives being implemented at the Marine Corps Recruit Depots (MCRDs). All Marine Corps recruits, both male and female, receive four separate

SAPR-related trainings during recruit training. SAPR training is conducted by a Uniformed Victim Advocate (UVA) on the first day of arrival to recruit training. The second is a brief given by the MCRD academics department on Training Day 10. The third is a foot locker discussion with their Senior Drill Instructor, which occurs at Training Day 50. The fourth occurs at the end of recruit training and consists of the Marine Corps *Lost Honor* video, which includes interviews with four Marines convicted of sexual assault, each recounting the various circumstances and decisions leading up to the incident. Each of these training sessions covers supportive services available to all victims of sexual assault, regardless of when the incident occurred.

Location and Time

Regarding the location of sexual assaults in FY14, incidents in Unrestricted Reports occurred predominately on base, with the majority of assaults taking place in a residence, which includes apartments, condominiums, quarters, and barracks. For Restricted Reports, sexual assaults were more likely to occur off base rather than on base, which again is influenced by the large percentage of prior-to-Service reports.

Regarding when sexual assaults are most likely to occur, FY14 data shows that most Unrestricted Reports were filed for incidents that occurred between Friday and Sunday between the hours of 1800 and 0600. This data is consistent with information recorded for Unrestricted Reports in FY12 and FY13. No conclusions can be made regarding the day or time for Restricted Reports, as a significant number of victims provided limited information.

Given these trends, the Marine Corps has recently taken measures to enhance oversight and increase watchstanders in the barracks. These include the return of NCOs to the barracks to provide leadership to the maximum extent possible; increased presence of senior officers and staff NCOs (SNCOs) at the barracks, especially between 2000 and 0400; and the assignment of company-grade officers as officers on duty and SNCOs as staff officers on duty at the barracks. In addition, television and video games are not allowed in the watchstander's place of duty. These enhancements were implemented to reduce several behavioral problems, including hazing, fraternization, and alcohol misuse.

Alcohol

In FY14, as recorded in DSAID, alcohol continued to be a contributing factor for sexual assault reports. For the 868 reports of sexual assault, 44.8% (389) involved alcohol use by the victim, subject, or both. For the 504 FY14 Unrestricted Reports, 54% (272) involved alcohol use by the victim, subject, or both, which is consistent with FY13 Unrestricted Report data. In addition, 32% (117) of the 364 FY14 Restricted Reports involved alcohol use by the victim, subject, or both. It is important to acknowledge that alcohol and drug use, as reported here, is not derived through empirical evidence such as toxicology reports but rather through self-reporting and therefore may reflect a reporting bias on behalf of the victim, subject, and collateral witnesses.

Recent Marine Corps efforts to improve safety and reduce the risks posed by alcohol

include revisions and updates of policy pertaining to the proper training of alcohol providers, the enforcement of responsible sales practices, awareness efforts including public service announcements, and "Whole of Character" training programs for new Marines that address alcohol misuse directly and emphasize responsible and ethical behavior. Regarding alcohol sales policies specifically, the Marine Corps Order on Alcoholic Beverage Control is being updated to reflect, among other changes, new restrictions of on-base retail alcoholic beverage sales being limited to the hours of 0800 to 2200, as well as the complete removal of distilled spirit products from sites adjacent to barracks.

Way Ahead

Overall, the FY14 reporting data for the Marine Corps reinforces the findings of FY12 and FY13 data, on which most of the recent Marine Corps SAPR initiatives have been based. One positive finding that stands out in FY14 is the high percentage of Unrestricted Reports made within three days of the assault, which increased by 11% over FY13. The sooner reports are filed, the sooner the Marine Corps can provide supportive services to victims and the sooner evidence can be collected. A decrease in the latency of filed reports also suggests that command climates continue to shift in positive ways; with more Marines willing to report incidents earlier, barriers to reporting (such as the fear of loss of privacy or the fear of negative impact to career) appear to be slowly declining. These factors all speak to the large-scale efforts undertaken since FY12 to not only increase the awareness of and confidence in the response system, but to implement initiatives that enhance all aspects of the response system. In the last three years, these initiatives have included improvements to the following capacities: access to 24/7 crisis intervention, nationally accredited first responder credentialing requirements, discrete medical and mental health accessibility, special victim investigation and prosecution capability, and multidisciplinary coordination.

The USMC SAPR Campaign Plan is currently in Phase II, which was expanded in April 2014 to build upon the energy and momentum of our efforts thus far, while addressing and exploring newfound gaps and areas of improvement. Based on new and continued data trends, more initiatives will be developed in support of the SAPR Campaign Plan. This includes the restructuring and expansion of the HQMC SAPR Branch to enhance its research, planning, and prevention capabilities and to achieve the following objectives:

- Open up the aperture of the subject matter, with increased focus on prevention and understanding the interplay among the bystander, victim, and offender Marine populations
- Execute a SAPR program founded on state-of-the art research and proven practices
- Sustain the quality of the services already available to victims, while also embracing a more long-term and strategic focus on prevention
- Maximize and optimize SAPR resources and personnel through strategic up-staffing and placement, while providing more efficient layers of leadership and opportunities for advancement.

In addition, HQMC SAPR will expand its ability to drill down into specific data to better understand the parameters surrounding each incident of sexual assault, including the effect across different demographics, such as gender, rank, and age. By identifying overarching trends—including why Marines choose not to report (barriers to reporting) and the experiences of Marines who do report—the Marine Corps can evaluate the impact and effectiveness of all aspects of our SAPR program, from victim services to command climate. From these factual-based analyses, we will leverage best-of-class initiatives to effectively help high-risk individuals (both potential victims and potential offenders) from actually becoming victims and offenders.

Ultimately, the third and final phase of the SAPR Campaign Plan must ensure the lasting sustainment of our progress to date. While the Marine Corps has increased its ability to respond to sexual assaults, the larger mission of getting further left of this problem remains. Ultimately, the goal is to stop sexual assaults before they occur. To this end, the Marine Corps will continue to assess trends in its reporting data, as well as survey findings pertaining to victim satisfaction, command climate, bystander intervention, and leadership engagement, so that further programmatic gaps can be identified and areas of focus can be effectively addressed. The data presented herein offers a snapshot of an ongoing fight, and will be used to develop future strategies to further advance our progress.

2. Unrestricted Reporting

2.1. Victim Data Discussion and Analysis. This section should include an overview of such information as:

- **Type of offenses**
- **Demographic trends**
- **Service referrals**
- **Experiences in Combat Areas of Interest (CAI)**
- **Military Protective Orders Issued as a Result of an Unrestricted Report (e.g., Number issued, number violated)**
- **Approved expedited transfers and reasons why transfers were not approved**
- **The number of victims declining to participate in the military justice process (Metric #8)**
- **Others (Please explain)**

In FY14, the Marine Corps reported 516 victims via Unrestricted Reports, compared to 569 in FY13, marking a 9% decrease. Note: 12 of the 516 victims in FY14 Unrestricted Reports were victims who filed a Restricted Report in a previous year but converted that report to an Unrestricted Report in FY14. Demographic data is not available for these individuals therefore all FY14 data discussed herein centers on the remaining 504 victims in Unrestricted Reports filed in FY14.

Type of Offenses

Of the 504 sexual assault reports, 54% (272) were categorized as penetrating offenses, 31.7% (160) as contact offenses, 4.2% (21) as attempts to commit offenses, and 10.1%

(51) currently could not be categorized in DSAID. Rape is the most predominant offense type recorded for penetrating offenses, followed by sexual assault. For contact offenses, abusive sexual contact was the most predominant offense type.

In comparison to FY13, 61.7% (358) were categorized as penetrating offenses, 37.6% (218) as contact offenses, and 0.7% (4) as attempts to commit offenses. Aggravated Sexual assault was the most predominant offense type recorded for penetrating offenses followed by rape. For contact offenses, abusive sexual contact was the most predominant offense type. For FY12, 71.7% (251) were categorized as penetrating offenses, 27.4% (96) as contact offenses, and 0.9% (3) as attempts to commit offenses. Aggravated sexual assault was the most predominant offense type recorded for penetrating offenses followed by rape. For contact offenses, wrongful sexual contact was the most predominant offense type. Note from FY12 to FY14, the percentage of penetrating offenses has decreased from 71.7% in FY12 to 54% in FY14, a drop of 17.7%.

A penetrating crime is defined by the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ) as rape, aggravated sexual assault (pre-June 2012 UCMJ wording), sexual assault (post June 2012 UCMJ wording), forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses. A non-penetrating crime as defined by the UCMJ is abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, indecent assault, and attempts to commit these offenses.

Victim Gender and Age for All Victims

Table 2 below lists the total number and percentage of sexual assault victims by gender from FY12 through FY14.

Table 2: Total Number of Unrestricted Reports by Gender from FY12 through FY14

	FY12	FY13	FY14
Female	313	458	371
Male	38	111	131
Unknown	0	0	2
Total	351	569	504

The percentage of male victims reporting has increased 245% from FY12 to FY14. The percentage of female victims reporting has increased 19% from FY12 to FY14.

For victim age in FY14, 73.6% (371) of the male and female victims for Unrestricted Reports were aged 24 and under. Male and female victims aged 18 through 24 comprised 69.6% (351) of all Unrestricted Reports. This data remains consistent with FY13 and FY12 data for victims' ages.

Victim Type for All Victims

Of the 504 total victims, 80.6% (406) were military, 19% (96) were non-Service members, and 0.4% (2) were not classified. Of the 406 military victims, 68% (276) were females, and 32% (130) males. Of the 96 non-Service members, 98% (95) were

females, and 1% (1) were male. As in FY12 and FY13, the largest percentages of victims in FY14 were female active duty Service members.

Military Victim Age, Rank, and Duty Status

Of the 406 Service member victims, 306 (75.4%) were between the ages of 18 and 24 and 87.4% (355) in the pay grades of E1 to E4. The most common pay grade for both males and females was E3. Of the military victims, 97.3% (395) were active duty Service members.

Subject-Victim Categorization for All Victims

DSAID categorizes the subject/victim classification in one of four ways: Service member on Service member; Service member on non-Service member; non-Service member on Service member; unidentified subject on Service member. Of the 504 total victims, FY14 data is categorized as follows:

- 47% (237) Service member on Service member
- 19% (96) Service member on non-Service member
- 16.3% (82) unidentified subject on a Service member
- 6.9% (35) non-Service member victimizing a Service member
- 10.7% (54) were not categorized by DSAID

Similar to FY14, the largest number of assaults reported in FY12 and FY13 also involved Service member on Service member, followed by Service member on non-Service member.

Of the 237 reports involving Service member on Service member, the largest percentage involved male on female at 61.6% (146) followed next by male-on-male reports at 29% (69). The remaining reports were split among various categories such as female on male, female on female, etc.

Victims Declining to Participate in the Military Justice Process: Metric #8

The number of victims declining to participate in the military justice process has decreased from 16% in FY11 down to 9% in FY14. This steady drop in victim declinations corresponds with the Marine Corps increased efforts to support victims. The Marine Corps has implemented several initiatives to improve services to victims and increase their willingness to participate in the military justice process, including its continued compliance with the DOD Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP); further refinement and auditing of the 24/7 Sexual Assault Helplines; sustainment of proper staffing requirements; continued use of the SAPR 8-Day Brief; and continued compliance with the standards of victim assistance personnel, as identified in DODI 6400.07, Enclosure 2. In addition, continued emphasis on special victims' investigation and prosecution and the establishment of the Victims' Legal Counsel Organization demonstrate the Marine Corps' commitment to ensuring victims are treated with the utmost dignity and respect throughout the military justice process.

Combat Areas of Interest (CAI)

As recorded by DSAID, the Marine Corps documented four Unrestricted Reports of

sexual assault in the defined CAI during FY14. All assaults occurred in Afghanistan with three assaults occurring on base and one off base. All victims were female military members ranging in age from 19 to 20 when the incident occurred. The four victims included three Marines and one soldier. Victims' pay grade ranged from E3 to E4. This is a noticeable decrease from the 12 Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault reported in CAIs in FY13 and 9 in FY12.

Military Protective Orders
A Military Protective Order (MPO) can be issued by the Commander through DoD Form 2873, which orders two or more persons to discontinue any future contact or communication in person, via technology, or through a third party until a specified date. MPOs are most useful in situations in which the named parties are in close proximity, such as stationed on the same installation or housed in the same dwelling, and in situations where interaction may disrupt good order and discipline. For FY14, Commanders issued 134 MPOs at the request of the victim or on behalf of the victim's protection. Only one report of an MPO violation by the subject was recorded. A total of 231 MPOs were issued in FY13 and 222 in FY12.

Expedited Transfers
In FY14, DSAID recorded 54 expedited transfer requests, 46 of which requested a change of installation, frequently called Permanent Change of Station orders. Eight expedited transfer requests were for a unit change within or near the installation, commonly called Permanent Change of Address orders. There were 56 expedited transfer requests recorded in FY13 and 34 in FY12.

2.2. Subject Data Discussion and Analysis. This section should include an overview of such information as:

- **Demographic trends**
- **Disposition trends**
- **Experiences in CAI**
- **Command action for Military Subjects under DoD Legal Authority (to be captured using the most serious crime charged (Non-Metric #1)**
- **Sexual Assault Court-Martial Outcomes (to be captured using the most serious crime charged) (Non-Metric #2)**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Demographic Trends for Subjects
Data analyzed in this section was compiled from investigations completed in FY14. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior FYs. There were a total of 563 subjects for investigations completed in FY14. Of these investigations, the vast majority were male Service member subjects serving in the Marine Corps in the pay grades of E1 to E4. This was in line with FY13 and FY12 subject data.

Disposition Trends, Command Actions for Military Subjects (Non-Metric #1) and Sexual Assault Court-Martial Outcomes (Non-Metric #2)
In FY14 there were 455 investigations with subject disposition information. Of those 455 cases, Commanders had the ability to take military justice action in 382 cases.

Commanders did not have the ability to take military justice action in 73 cases, because the offender was unknown (41), the subject was a civilian or foreign national (20) or a civilian or foreign authority exercised jurisdiction (12).

Of the 382 cases presented to Commanders for disposition, sufficient evidence supported Commander action against 73% (279) subjects. For the remaining 27% (103) of these cases, action was not possible because of victim declination (35), insufficient evidence of any offense to prosecute (57) or the allegation was deemed unfounded by command and legal review (11).

Commanders took action in 279 cases. Of those cases, evidence supported sexual assault adjudication in 70% (196) of cases and adjudication for non-sexual assault charges in 30% (83) of cases. In the 83 substantiated cases in which the evidence did not support sexual assault adjudication, the investigation identified other misconduct that was detrimental to good order and discipline. Of these 83 cases, court-martial charges were preferred against 18 subjects, while 42 subjects received non-judicial punishment (NJP), 7 received administrative separations, and 16 received other adverse administrative action.

For cases in which command action supported adjudication for a sexual assault offense, the Marine Corps preferred sexual assault charges against 175 subjects. Of those cases, 64% (112) proceeded to trial. Of those 112 cases, 81 subjects were convicted of at least one charge at trial. Both the number of preferrals and courts-martials for sexual assaults in FY14 increased from FY13.

Looking specifically at penetrating crimes, and excluding contact offenses, in FY14, 63% (73) of penetrating cases proceeded to court-martial and approximately 68% (50) of those resulted in convictions. For non-penetrating crimes, 72% (38) of cases proceeded to trial and 79% (30) of those resulted in convictions. Figure 3 shows command action for alleged military offenders under DoD legal authority from FY09 to FY14.

Figure 3: Command Action for Alleged Military Offenders under DoD Legal Authority



Combat Areas of Interest (CAI)

As recorded by DSAID, the Marine Corps documented four Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault in Afghanistan. Three investigations were initiated and two were completed during FY14. One investigation was not recorded in Marine Corps CAI matrices. Additional reconciliation is required between HQMC SAPR and DoD SAPR Office.

2.3. Reporting Data Discussion and Analysis. This section should include an overview of such information as:

- **Trends in descriptive information about Unrestricted Reports (e.g., Did more reported incidents occur on/off installation?)**
- **Investigations**
- **Experiences in CAI**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Incident Location

Of the 504 Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault in FY14, 56.2% (283) occurred on base, 36.7% (185) occurred off base, and for 7.1% (36) of the reports victims did not record a location. In FY13, 56% of the assaults occurred on base and 39% off base. For FY12, 55% occurred on base, and 40% off-base.

Location Type

Of the 504 Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault in FY14, the top three location types recorded in DSAID were as follows:

- 56.3% (284) in residence/home [includes apartment, condominium, and nursing home, Quarters, Barracks, Bachelor Officer Quarters (BOQ)/Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (BEQ)]
- 7.5% (38) in hotel/motel/etc. (includes other temporary military lodging)
- 6.7% (34) in government/public building

For location type, FY14 data is similar to FY13 data, which showed that 57% occurred in private residences, BOQs, or BEQs. In FY12, 62% of assaults occurred in private residences, BOQs, or BEQs.

Day and Time of Assault

For the 504 Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault, incidents occurred each day of the week; however, Fridays, Saturdays, and Sundays were the most reported days, accounting for 48.6% (245). For FY13 and FY12, 51% of incidents and 44% of incidents occurred on Fridays, Saturdays, and Sundays, respectively. For time of incident in FY14, the 1800 to 2400 and the 2400 to 0600 timeframes accounted for 31.2% (157) and 34.1% (172) of the reports, respectively. In FY13, these same two timeframes, 1800 to 2400 and 2400 to 0600, accounted for 26% and 27% of the reports, respectively.

Victim Reporting Latency

Victim reporting latency is defined as the period of time from when a sexual assault occurred to the incident being reported. Figure 4 shows FY14 with the highest percentage of reports recorded within three days of the incident.

Figure 4: Sexual Assault Victim Reporting Latency for Unrestricted Reports From FY12 to FY14



Alcohol and Drug Use

In regards to alcohol use for all Unrestricted Reports filed in FY14, 54% (272) involved use by either the victim, subject, or both. For FY13 and FY12, 48% and 46% of the Unrestricted Reports involved alcohol, respectively. For illicit or prescriptive drug use in FY14, only 4.6% (23) of assaults reported involved use by the victim, subject, or both. In FY13, illicit or prescriptive drug use was reported as a possible contributing factor for only two Unrestricted Reports. It is important to acknowledge that alcohol and drug use, as reported here, is not derived through empirical evidence such as toxicology reports but rather through self-reporting and therefore may reflect a reporting bias on behalf of the victim, subject, and collateral witnesses.

Investigations

As recorded in DSAID for FY14, 428 investigations were initiated by an MCIO or a civilian or foreign law enforcement agency based on the Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the victim case associated with the investigation and subject. The majority of these subjects were investigated by NCIS; however, a small number were investigated by another MCIO or a civilian or foreign law enforcement agency. An additional 498 investigations came to completion in FY14, including investigations begun in previous years. In FY13, 501 investigations were initiated and 531 investigations were completed. In FY12, 333 investigations were initiated and 334 were completed. Please note that although the trends for investigations in FY13 and FY12 seem comparable to FY14, FY14 used a new data management system (DSAID) that makes direct comparisons difficult.

3. Restricted Reporting

3.1. Victim Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Demographics trends**
- **Service referrals**
- **Experiences in CAI**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Restricted reports are not reported to law enforcement or to a Service member's chain of command. SARCs do not indicate the types of offenses for Restricted Reports, as they are self-reported and may or may not meet the definition and criteria of the UCMJ offenses. For all Restricted Reports, the SARC's focus is on support services (e.g., crisis intervention; referrals to advocacy, medical, counseling services; etc.) and case management.

For FY14, the Marine Corps reported 364 victims via Restricted Reports. This is a 19% increase from the 307 Restricted Reports filed in FY13. The greatest contributor to this increase is the number of prior-to-Service Restricted Reports made. In FY14, there were 227 prior-to-Service reports compared to 158 in FY13, a 44% increase. For FY14, a large percentage of the prior-to-Service reports were made by female recruits to SARCs or UVAs at the recruit training depot. The Marine Corps has made a concerted effort to train recruits on what constitutes a sexual assault, reporting options, and services available.

Victim Gender and Age for All Victims

In FY14 for gender, the 364 victims were categorized as follows:

- 83% (303) female
- 17% (61) male

As with FY14, FY13 and FY12 also recorded a large percentage of female victims. Of the 364 victims, 90% (327) of the victims were 24 years old or younger. Male and female victims aged 18 through 24 comprised 44.2% (161) of all Restricted Reports. For FY13, due to the large percentage of victims reporting unknown for their age at the time of the incident, FY14 age comparison is not possible. For FY12, 78% (85) of the victims were 24 years old or younger.

Victim Type For All Victims

Of the 364 Restricted Reports, 98% (357) were military and 2% (7) were non-Service members victims. Of the 357 military victims, 83% (296) were females and 17% (61) males. As in FY12 and FY13, military members comprised the largest percentages of victims in FY14.

Military Victim Age, Rank, and Duty Status

Of the 357 Service member victims, 43% (154) were between the ages of 18 and 24. Of the 296 female Service member victims, 60% (178) were in pay grade E-1, while 33.7% (100) were in grades E2 through E4 at the time of their report. For the 61 male victims, 41% (25) were in pay grade E1, while 51% (31) were in pay grades E2 through

E4. Of the Service member victims, 99% (352) were active duty.

Prior to Service

Of the 364 Restricted Reports, 53% (193) reports were made by female Marines for prior-to-Service sexual assaults and 8.5% (31) were made by male Marines for prior-to-Service sexual assaults.

Subject-Victim Categorization for All Victims

Of the 364 total victims, DSAID breaks down the subject/victim classification in one of four ways: Service member on Service member; Service member on non-Service member; non-Service member on Service member; unidentified subject on Service member. FY14 data is classified as follows:

- 53.3% (194) involved non-Service member on Service member
- 24.2% (88) Service member on Service member
- 15.7% (57) unidentified subject on a Service member
- 1.9% (7) Service member on non-Service member
- 4.9% (18) were not categorized by DSAID

FY13 and FY14 both recorded more sexual assaults occurring between non-Service member and Service member. This is attributed to the large percentage of prior-to-Service Restricted Reports for each year; therefore, more assaults were committed by non-Service members. FY12 recorded more assaults that involved Service member on Service member.

Combat Areas of Interest (CAI)

DSAID recorded one Marine Corps Restricted Report of sexual assault in the defined CAI during FY14, compared to four in FY13 and zero in FY12. FY14's sole Restricted Report occurred in Iraq in 2008 but was not reported until September 2014. The victim was a female Marine who at the time of the incident was an E4 age 27.

3.2. Reporting Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Trends in descriptive information about Restricted Reports (e.g., Did more reported incidents occur on/off installation)**
- **Trends in Restricted Reporting conversions**
- **Experiences in CAI**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Incident Location

Of the 364 Restricted Reports of sexual assault in FY14, approximately 60.7% (221) of the incidents occurred off base, 14.8% (54) occurred on base, 22% (80) of the victims did not identify the assault location, and 2.5% (9) could not be classified in DSAID. In FY13, approximately 46% occurred off base, 16% on base, and 38% in an unidentified location. In FY12, 41% occurred off base, 55% on base, and 4% in an unidentified location.

Location Type

Of the 364 Restricted Reports of sexual assault in FY14, the top three location types recorded in DSAID were as follows:

- 68.4% (249) in residence/home includes apartment, condominium, nursing home, Quarters, Barracks, BOQ/BEQ
- 13.5% (49) recorded as unknown
- 4.4% (16) in hotel/motel/etc. (includes other temporary military lodging)

Day and Time of Assault
For the 364 Restricted Reports, assaults were recorded each day of the week; however, 52% (189) of the victims could not or did not provide a day the assault occurred. Additionally, 31.5% (115) of the victims could not or did not provide a time of the sexual assault incident. Due to the large percentage of unknown days of week and times of day for incidents, a comparison is not feasible with FY13 or FY12 data.

Alcohol and Drug Use
Of the 364 Restricted Reports filed in FY14, 32% (117) involved the use of alcohol by the victim, subject, or both. For illicit or prescriptive drug use, only 5.8% (21) of reported incidents involved use by the victim, subject, or both. It is important to acknowledge that alcohol and drug use, as reported here, is not derived through empirical evidence such as toxicology reports but rather through self-reporting and therefore may reflect a reporting bias on behalf of the victim, subject, or collateral witnesses.

Trends in Restricted Reporting Conversions
In FY14, 426 victims initially filed a Restricted Report; however, 62 victims chose to convert to an Unrestricted Report, a 68% increase from FY13 (37).

4. Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault

4.1. Unrestricted Report Referral Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Summary of referral data**
- **CAI referral data**
- **Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Summary of Referral Data for Military Victims Filing Unrestricted Reports
Of the 406 military members who filed an Unrestricted Report, a total of 1,389 support service referrals were made, a ratio of approximately 1 to 3.4, compared to the FY13 ratio of 1 to 8.23 and the FY12 ratio of 1 to 10.5. The decrease from previous FYs is attributed to the transition of data entry processes into DSAID. Referrals were provided by SARCs when the victim requested or conveyed a need for service, including military and/or civilian medical, military and/or civilian victim advocacy, mental health, legal, or chaplain services. Of the total 1,389 support service referrals in FY14, 1,274 (91.7%) were made to military support services, with 115 (8.3%) referrals made to civilian resources. Figure 5 delineates the types of military referrals provided from the SARCs/UVAs/VAs to military victims who made an Unrestricted Report in FY14:

Figure 5: Type of Military Referrals for Unrestricted Report Victims in FY14



CAI for Military Victim Filing an Unrestricted Report

For the four female military victims who made Unrestricted Reports for assaults that occurred in Afghanistan, their respective SARCs collectively recommended two referrals for mental health, one to legal, two for chaplains, and three for VA/UVA support.

Sexual Assault Forensics Exam (SAFE) Kits for Military Victims Filing Unrestricted Reports

In FY14, there were 66 Unrestricted Reports made to SARCs where a SAFE kit was conducted, compared to 46 in FY13 and 84 in FY12. In all three FYs, SAFE kits were readily available at the time each victim requested an exam.

4.2. Restricted Report Referral Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Summary of referral data**
- **CAI referral data**
- **Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Summary of Referral Data for Military Victims filing Restricted Reports

In FY14, of the 357 military members who filed a Restricted Report, a total of 852 support service referrals were made, a ratio of 1 to 2.4, compared to the FY13 ratio of 1 to 4.8 and the FY12 ratio of 1 to 3.8. The differences between the FYs can again be attributed to the transition of data entry processes into DSAID. Of the total 852 support service referrals in this category for FY14, 815 (95.7%) were made to military support services with 37 (4.3%) receiving referrals to civilian resources. Figure 6 below delineates the type of military referrals given by the SARCs/UVAs/VAs to military victims who made a Restricted Report in FY14.

Figure 6: Type of Military Referrals for Restricted Report Victims in FY14



Combat Areas of Interest for Military Victims Filing Restricted Reports
One Marine victim, a female in the grade of E4, made a Restricted Report in FY14 for an assault that occurred in Iraq in 2008. The SARC referred this victim to military medical, mental health, legal, chaplain support, and the DoD Safe Helpline.

SAFE Kits for Military Victims Filing Restricted Reports
In FY14, medical personnel administered 16 SAFE kits for military victims filing a Restricted Report compared to 22 in FY13 and 11 in FY12. In all three FYs, SAFE kits were readily available at the time each victim requested an exam.

4.3. Service Referrals for Non-Military Victims Data Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Summary of referral data**
- **CAI referral data**
- **Discussion of any trends of interest identified in referral data**
- **Other (Please explain)**

Summary of Referral Data for Non-Military Victims and Unrestricted Reports
In FY14, of the 43 non-military victims who filed Unrestricted Reports, a total of 97 support service referrals were made, a ratio of 1 to 2.3 compared to the FY13 ratio of 1 to 7.34 and the FY12 ratio of 1 to 9.6. Of the 97 support service referrals in this category for FY14, 75 (77%) were made to military support services (i.e., for those victims who were military dependents) and 22 (23%) were made to civilian resources. Figure 7 below breaks out the type of military referral given by the SARCs/UVAs/VAs to non-military victims who made an Unrestricted Report in F14.

Figure 7: Type of Military Referrals for Non-Military Victims Filing an Unrestricted Report in FY14



SAFE Kits for Non-Military Victims and Unrestricted Reports

In FY14, medical personnel administered 8 SAFE kits for non-military victims filing an Unrestricted Report, compared to 8 in FY13 and 18 in FY12. In all three FYs, SAFE kits were readily available at the time each victim requested an exam.

Summary of Referral Data for Non-Military Victims and Restricted Reports

In FY14, of the 12 non-military victims who filed Restricted Reports, a total of 51 support service referrals were made, a ratio of 1 to 4.3, compared to the FY13 ratio of 1 to 5.21. Of the 51 support service referrals in this category, 46 (90.2%) were made to military support services, with 5 (9.8%) referrals made to civilian resources. Figure 8 breaks out the type of military referrals given by the SARCs/UVAs/VAs to non-military victims who made a Restricted Report in F14.

Figure 8: Type of Military Referrals for Non-Military Victims Filing an Restricted Report in FY14



SAFE Kits for Non-Military Victims and Restricted Reports
In FY14, medical personnel administered 3 SAFE kits for non-military victims filing a Restricted Report, compared to 2 in FY13 and 0 in FY12. In all three FYs, SAFE kits were readily available at the time each victim requested an exam.

5. Additional Items

5.1. Military Justice Process/Investigative Process Discussion. This section should include such information as:

- **Length of time from the date a victim signs a DD 2910 to the date that a sentence is imposed or accused is acquitted (Non-Metric #3)**
- **Length of time from the date a victim signs a DD 2910 to the date that the NJP process is concluded (e.g., punishment imposed or NJP not rendered) (Non-Metric #4)**

Non-Metric #3: Length of time from the date a victim signs a DD 2910 to the date that a sentence is imposed or accused is acquitted
For the Marine Corps, the time interval from report to court outcome averaged 343 days with a median of 323 days. Several processes occur between the time a victim signs a DD 2910 and the date a sentence is imposed:

- NCIS is notified of the victim's report;
- The report is investigated by NCIS in consultation with trial counsel;
- The Sexual Assault Initial Disposition Authority evaluates the investigation and, in consultation with an SJA, decides whether to request legal services for a court-martial or other disposition;
- If legal services are requested, a defense and trial counsel are formally detailed to the case;
- Charges are preferred;
- An Article 32 investigation is held;
- The Article 32 investigating officer provides a recommendation;
- The Commander and SJA review the report to decide whether to refer charges; and

- If charges are referred, an arraignment is held, motions hearings and discovery are conducted, and the case proceeds to a court-martial.

Various factors may lengthen an investigation or military justice actions, such as the number of witnesses, the location of witnesses (one base or multiple locations around the world), forensic analysis of the evidence, the need for expert assistance, defense continuance requests, the need for subpoenas or judicial orders to obtain evidence, the number and type of motions litigated, and the availability of the witnesses. A well-executed investigation could take weeks or, in most cases, months to develop. While the UCMJ and Rule for Court-Martial 707 impose limits on the days until a case must proceed to trial, the numerous factors discussed above differ greatly among cases.

Non-Metric #4: Length of time from the date a victim signs a DD 2910 to the date that NJP process is concluded (e.g., punishment imposed or NJP not rendered)
The time interval from report to NJP outcome in the Marine Corps in FY14 was on average 158 days with a median of 134 days. The process for offering, accepting, and imposing NJP is faster than the court-martial process due to the numerous procedural safeguards and due process rights provided to an accused at a court-martial, as described in Non-Metric #3. While a court-martial is a slower process, Commanders generally refer allegations of sexual assault to court-martial because of the serious nature of the allegations. When the evidence does not support referral of the sexual assault allegations to court-martial, Commanders often address collateral misconduct and lesser offenses uncovered during the investigation at NJP when appropriate.

NAVY SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTS CLOSED DURING FISCAL YEAR 2014 INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS	FY14 Totals
Total Service Member Victims in all investigations closed in FY14*	898
Service Member Victims whose reports of sexual assault could be substantiated*	491
Total Service Member Subjects in all investigations closed in FY14**	736
Service Member Subjects against whom sexual assault reports could be substantiated**	335

*Does not include Victims from Restricted Reports, per mandate in PL 111-383; Also, does not include Victims from investigations where command action had yet to be reported.
**Does not include Subjects from investigations where command action had yet to be reported.

SUMMARY OF RESTRICTED SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTS RECEIVED DURING FISCAL YEAR 2014 INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS	FY14 Totals
# Service Member Victims initially making Restricted Reports	382
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14*	93
# Service Member Victim Reports Remaining Restricted	289

NAVY FY14 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY14 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members. **Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY14. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.** **This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.**	**FY14 Totals**
# FY14 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)	**991**
# Service Member Victims	888
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject	100
# Relevant Data Not Available	3
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	**991**
# Service Member on Service Member	595
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	100
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	43
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	184
# Relevant Data Not Available	69
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	**991**
# On military installation	451
# Off military installation	401
# Unidentified location	139
# Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation	**993**
# Victims in investigations initiated during FY14	**943**
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2014	164
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2014	779
# Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming	**22**
# Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement	**28**
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ	**6**
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	**0**
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service	**5**
# Victims - Other	**17**
# All Restricted Reports received in FY14 (one Victim per report)	**400**
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)	106
# Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY14	**294**

B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY14	FY14 Totals	FY14 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	**991**	**888**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	345	316
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	143	125
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	113	97
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	238	206
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	132	124
# Relevant Data Not Available	20	20
Time of sexual assault	**991**	**888**
# Midnight to 6 am	307	275
# 6 am to 6 pm	228	209
# 6 pm to midnight	315	284
# Unknown	47	45
# Relevant Data Not Available	94	75
Day of sexual assault	**991**	**888**
# Sunday	145	131
# Monday	105	90
# Tuesday	115	101
# Wednesday	88	83
# Thursday	124	115
# Friday	187	169
# Saturday	207	179
# Relevant Data Not Available	20	20

C. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY14 Totals
	591	**140**	**11**	**15**	**30**	**96**	**2**	**106**	**991**
# Service Member on Service Member	424	111	10	13	0	3	2	32	**595**
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	94	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	**100**
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	35	5	1	0	0	1	0	1	**43**
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	38	20	0	0	30	92	0	4	**184**
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	69	**69**

FY14 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)

UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY14	*Penetrating Offenses*				*Contact Offenses*						
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY14 Totals
D1.	**184**	**9**	**246**	**8**	**49**	**313**	**0**	**2**	**35**	**145**	**991**
# Service Member on Service Member	81	6	161	0	32	254	0	0	10	51	**595**
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	33	0	13	0	5	27	0	0	6	16	**100**
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	14	0	9	1	1	5	0	1	0	12	**43**
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	48	3	54	7	6	20	0	1	18	27	**184**
# Relevant Data Not Available	8	0	9	0	5	7	0	0	1	39	**69**
D2.											
TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY14 Reports	**150**	**9**	**233**	**8**	**43**	**286**	**0**	**2**	**29**	**128**	**888**
# Service Member Victims: Female	137	7	192	1	30	201	0	0	24	95	687
# Service Member Victims: Male	13	2	41	7	13	85	0	2	5	33	201
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT MADE IN FY14											
D3. Time of sexual assault	**184**	**9**	**246**	**8**	**49**	**313**	**0**	**2**	**35**	**145**	**991**
# Midnight to 6 am	72	2	90	3	11	74	0	0	13	42	307
# 6 am to 6 pm	28	0	52	2	10	107	0	1	8	20	228
# 6 pm to midnight	63	3	79	1	22	99	0	0	10	38	315
# Unknown	7	1	10	2	3	4	0	1	1	18	47
# Relevant Data Not Available	14	3	15	0	3	29	0	0	3	27	94
D4. Day of sexual assault	**184**	**9**	**246**	**8**	**49**	**313**	**0**	**2**	**35**	**145**	**991**
# Sunday	39	0	34	0	7	41	0	1	2	21	145
# Monday	14	1	27	0	8	35	0	0	3	17	105
# Tuesday	24	2	28	0	3	39	0	0	5	14	115
# Wednesday	14	0	24	2	3	24	0	0	5	16	88
# Thursday	18	3	24	1	8	56	0	0	3	11	124
# Friday	31	2	53	1	9	63	0	1	9	18	187
# Saturday	44	1	56	4	11	55	0	0	8	28	207
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	20

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS	FY14 Totals
E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY14 **Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.**	
# Investigations Initiated during FY14	**861**
# Investigations Completed as of FY14 End (group by MCIO #)	536
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY14 End (group by MCIO #)	325
# Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY14	**967**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	**8**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	1
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	7
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	**666**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	598
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	68
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	**4**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	4
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations **Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.**	**33**
# Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations **Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.**	**200**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement **Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.**	**5**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	5
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**10**
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**8**
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available	**33**
E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY14 **Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY14. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY14 or any prior FY.**	
# Total Investigations completed by Services during FY14 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	**880**
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	60
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	46
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	3
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY14 involving a Victim supported by your Service	**966**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	**7**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	7
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	**723**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	683
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	40
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	**2**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	2
# Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**49**
# Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**165**
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	**20**
# Victims in investigations completed during FY14, supported by your Service	**986**
# Service Member Victims in CID investigations	**8**
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	5
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	3
# Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	**866**
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	849
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	17
# Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	**3**
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	3
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service	**104**
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	**5**
E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY14 **Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.**	
# Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY14 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	**23**
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY14 involving a Victim supported by your Service	**23**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	**4**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	4
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**9**
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**9**
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	**1**
# Victims in investigations completed during FY14, supported by your Service	**23**
# Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	**21**
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	20
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	1
# Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service	**2**
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	**0**
E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY14 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs") **Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.** **Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.**	
# Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY14 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	**0**
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY14 involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	**0**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	**0**
# Victims in MP investigations completed during FY14, supported by your Service	**0**
# Service Member Victims in MP investigations	**0**
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service	**0**
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	**0**

Victims in Investigation Completed in FY14	*Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY14*										
	Penetrating Offenses				*Contact Offenses*						
F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY14 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)**	**Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)**	**Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)**	**Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)**	**Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)**	**Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)**	**Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)**	**Offense Code Data Not Available**	**FY14 Totals**
F1. Gender of Victims	**232**	**25**	**231**	**8**	**43**	**326**	**0**	**4**	**39**	**101**	**1009**
# Male	19	4	35	5	11	67	0	2	3	20	166
# Female	212	21	195	3	32	258	0	2	36	79	838
# Unknown	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	5
F2. Age of Victims	**232**	**25**	**231**	**8**	**43**	**326**	**0**	**4**	**39**	**101**	**1009**
# 0-15	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4
# 16-19	52	9	51	0	6	75	0	0	7	23	223
# 20-24	125	7	124	5	26	156	0	0	22	43	508
# 25-34	40	8	43	3	6	64	0	3	8	21	196
# 35-49	6	0	4	0	3	20	0	1	2	4	40
# 50-64	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	4
# 65 and older	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	9	0	6	0	2	8	0	0	0	8	33
F3. Victim Type	**232**	**25**	**231**	**8**	**43**	**326**	**0**	**4**	**39**	**101**	**1009**
# Service Member	194	21	215	7	36	299	0	4	36	86	898
# DoD Civilian	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	34	3	13	1	6	21	0	0	2	10	90
# Foreign National	3	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	3	12
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	5
F4. Grade of Service Member Victims	**194**	**21**	**215**	**7**	**36**	**299**	**0**	**4**	**36**	**86**	**898**
# E1-E4	154	13	176	6	26	231	0	0	23	61	690
# E5-E9	32	6	32	1	9	57	0	3	10	18	168
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	5	1	6	0	0	6	0	0	1	3	22
# O4-O10	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	2	8
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	1	1	0	1	3	0	0	1	0	8
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F5. Service of Service Member Victims	**194**	**21**	**215**	**7**	**36**	**299**	**0**	**4**	**36**	**86**	**898**
# Army	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	1	8
# Navy	190	20	213	7	35	289	0	4	35	84	877
# Marines	3	1	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	10
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F6. Status of Service Member Victims	**194**	**21**	**215**	**7**	**36**	**299**	**0**	**4**	**36**	**86**	**898**
# Active Duty	188	19	213	7	34	289	0	3	34	83	870
# Reserve (Activated)	5	1	1	0	1	7	0	1	1	1	18
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	1	1	0	1	3	0	0	1	0	8
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY14 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	*Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY14*										
	Penetrating Offenses				*Contact Offenses*						
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY14 Totals
G1. Gender of Subjects	**254**	**23**	**231**	**8**	**44**	**282**	**0**	**4**	**40**	**103**	**989**
# Male	216	16	184	7	39	252	0	3	24	80	821
# Female	4	2	8	0	2	17	0	0	3	0	36
# Unknown	26	5	37	1	3	8	0	1	13	14	108
# Relevant Data Not Available	8	0	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	9	24
G2. Age of Subjects	**254**	**23**	**231**	**8**	**44**	**282**	**0**	**4**	**40**	**103**	**989**
# 0-15	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	7
# 16-19	22	2	13	0	2	24	0	1	3	2	69
# 20-24	92	8	89	0	16	97	0	0	11	26	339
# 25-34	64	3	60	3	13	91	0	0	6	26	266
# 35-49	19	4	14	2	5	44	0	1	3	8	100
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3	5
# 65 and older	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
# Unknown	9	1	8	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	22
# Relevant Data Not Available	47	5	46	2	7	24	0	2	15	31	179
G3. Subject Type	**254**	**23**	**231**	**8**	**44**	**282**	**0**	**4**	**40**	**103**	**989**
# Service Member	190	15	167	3	37	251	0	0	22	51	736
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Recruiters	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Civilian	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	6
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	14	2	10	2	1	3	0	1	1	6	40
# Foreign National	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	8	12
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	46	6	51	3	6	20	0	3	16	29	180
# Relevant Data Not Available	2	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	8	15
G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects	**190**	**15**	**167**	**3**	**37**	**251**	**0**	**0**	**22**	**51**	**736**
# E1-E4	105	7	100	2	18	116	0	0	13	24	385
# E5-E9	67	8	59	0	18	122	0	0	8	22	304
# WO1-WO5	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
# O1-O3	7	0	3	1	0	5	0	0	0	2	18
# O4-O10	4	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	1	2	13
# Cadet/Midshipman	2	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	6
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
G5. Service of Service Member Subjects	**190**	**15**	**167**	**3**	**37**	**251**	**0**	**0**	**22**	**51**	**736**
# Army	4	0	2	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	12
# Navy	170	14	156	3	34	237	0	0	22	51	687
# Marines	11	1	7	0	2	6	0	0	0	0	27
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
G6. Status of Service Member Subjects	**190**	**15**	**167**	**3**	**37**	**251**	**0**	**0**	**22**	**51**	**736**
# Active Duty	177	13	163	3	34	241	0	0	22	49	702
# Reserve (Activated)	6	2	1	0	2	9	0	0	0	1	21
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	2	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	6
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY14 INVESTIGATIONS	FY14 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY14 INVESTIGATIONS	FY14 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY14, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	**9**		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	3		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	2		
# Subjects - Other	4		
# Subjects in investigations completed in FY14	**989**	**# Victims in investigations completed in FY14**	**1009**
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY14	**394**	**# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY14**	**532**
# Total Subjects with allegations unfounded by a Military Criminal Investigative Organization	**15**	**# Total Victims associated with MCIO unfounded allegations**	**14**
# Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	11	# Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	13
# Non-Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	3	# Non-Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	1
# Unidentified Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	1		
# Subjects with Subject data not yet available and with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Victims with Victim data not yet available and involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	**183**		
# Unknown Offenders	111	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	93
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	12
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	63	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	48
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	7
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	9	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	5
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
		# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	**232**		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	107	*# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action*	91
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	109	*# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute*	90
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	2	*# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations*	2
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	14	*# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command*	18
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	*# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action*	0
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	**225**	**# Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available**	**284**
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2014	**334**		
# FY14 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	**335**	**# FY14 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action**	**345**
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	135	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals against Subject	147
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	63	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	61
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	10	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	9
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	15	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	21
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	11	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals for non-sexual assault offenses	10
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	87	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	85
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	3	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	2
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	11	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	10

*** Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.**

I. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martial for sexual assault crimes completed during FY14	**FY14 Totals**
# Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a Sexual Assault Charge Pending Court Completion	**207**
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY14	32
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY14	**175**
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	37
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	17
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	6
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	7
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	6
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	1
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	8
# Officer Subjects who were allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	8
# Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a sexual assault charge	**130**
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	41
# Subjects Convicted of Any Charge at Trial	89
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	**89**
# Subjects receiving confinement	72
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	65
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	44
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	52
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	11
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	5
# Subjects to be processed for administrative discharge or separation subsequent to sexual assault conviction	19
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	15
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	3
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	1
# Convicted Subjects with a conviction under a UCMJ Article that requires Sex Offender Registration	43
J. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for sexual assault crimes completed during FY14	**FY14 Totals**
# Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY14	**78**
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY14	9
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY14	**69**
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	10
# Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment	**59**
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	**59**
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	40
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	44
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	41
# Subjects receiving extra duty	33
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	8
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual assault charge	24
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	14
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	7
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	2
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	1
K. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN. This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	**FY14 Totals**
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY14	8
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a sexual assault offense	**6**
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	3
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	3
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY14	8
# Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a sexual assault offense	**12**

L. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martials for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	**FY14 Totals**
# Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a non-sexual assault offense in FY14	**14**
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY14	2
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY14	**12**
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial for a non-sexual assault offense	0
# Officer Subjects who were officers that where allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a non-sexual assault offense	**11**
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	0
# Subjects Convicted of Any Non-Sexual Assault Charge at Trial	11
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	**11**
# Subjects receiving confinement	5
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	5
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	5
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	4
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	2
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	1
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to conviction at trial	2
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	1
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	1

M. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Non-Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	**FY14 Totals**
# Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY14	**111**
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY14	4
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY14	**107**
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	6
# Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense	**101**
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	**101**
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	72
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	73
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	59
# Subjects receiving extra duty	46
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	17
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge	30
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	14
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	14
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	1
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	1

N. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	**FY14 Totals**
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY14	2
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a non-sexual assault offense	**2**
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	2
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY14	2
# Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a non-sexual assault offense	**12**

NAVY FY14 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
A. FY14 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY14 Totals**
# TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports	**400**
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	382
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	12
# Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY14*	**106**
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14	93
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	12
# Total Victim reports remaining Restricted	**294**
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	289
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories	**294**
# Service Member on Service Member	166
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	51
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	5
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	65
# Relevant Data Not Available	7
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY14 Totals**
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	**294**
# On military installation	76
# Off military installation	159
# Unidentified location	57
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	**294**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	98
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	29
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	19
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	40
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	32
# Relevant Data Not Available	76
Time of sexual assault incident	**294**
# Midnight to 6 am	88
# 6 am to 6 pm	27
# 6 pm to midnight	88
# Unknown	90
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
Day of sexual assault incident	**294**
# Sunday	32
# Monday	26
# Tuesday	20
# Wednesday	17
# Thursday	26
# Friday	44
# Saturday	70
# Relevant Data Not Available	59
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	**FY14 Totals**
# Service Member Victims	**289**
# Army Victims	4
# Navy Victims	274
# Marines Victims	6
# Air Force Victims	5
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY14 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY14 Totals
Gender of Victims	**294**
# Male	39
# Female	255
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Age of Victims at the Time of Incident	**294**
# 0-15	3
# 16-19	65
# 20-24	156
# 25-34	53
# 35-49	4
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	13
Grade of Service Member Victims	**289**
# E1-E4	193
# E5-E9	71
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	20
# O4-O10	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	5
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Status of Service Member Victims	**289**
# Active Duty	273
# Reserve (Activated)	11
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	5
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Victim Type	**294**
# Service Member	289
~~# DoD Civilian~~	
~~# DoD Contractor~~	
~~# Other US Government Civilian~~	
# Non-Service Member	5
~~# Foreign National~~	
~~# Foreign Military~~	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE	**FY14 Totals**
# Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service	**24**
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	6
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	18
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY)	**FY14 Totals**
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	33.88
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	50.23
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	2
G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY14	**FY14 Totals**
Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY14	**10**
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14	10
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
*** The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.**	

NAVY FY14 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of	
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY14 Totals**
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	**2411**
# Medical	257
# Mental Health	495
# Legal	385
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	285
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	684
# DoD Safe Helpline	171
# Other	134
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**244**
# Medical	20
# Mental Health	48
# Legal	9
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	6
# Rape Crisis Center	56
# Victim Advocate	50
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	55
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**133**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**
# Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service	**22**
B. FY14 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	**FY14 TOTALS**
# Military Protective Orders issued during FY14	**235**
# Reported MPO Violations in FY14	**1**
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	1
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	0

*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk for the Victim.		Use the following categories or add a new category to identify the reason the requests were denied:	**FY14 TOTALS**
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	13	**Total Number Denied**	**1**
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0	**Reasons for Disapproval (Total)**	**0**
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	151	Not a Credible Report of Sexual Assault	1
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	1		

C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS	**FY14 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	**714**
# Medical	99
# Mental Health	165
# Legal	57
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	108
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	188
# DoD Safe Helpline	66
# Other	31
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**78**
# Medical	5
# Mental Health	22
# Legal	1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	2
# Rape Crisis Center	25
# Victim Advocate	12
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	11
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**39**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**

CIVILIAN DATA	
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER	**FY14 Totals**
D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:	**53**
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	11
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	16
# Relevant Data Not Available	26
D2. Gender of Non-Service Members	**53**
# Male	2
# Female	43
# Relevant Data Not Available	8
D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident	**53**
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	1
# 20-24	8
# 25-34	6
# 35-49	5
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	33
D4. Non-Service Member Type	**53**
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	1
# Other US Government Civilian	1
# US Civilian	42
# Foreign National	1
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	8
D5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	**105**
# Medical	13
# Mental Health	23
# Legal	11
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	13
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	32
# DoD Safe Helpline	9
# Other	4
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**29**
# Medical	2
# Mental Health	10
# Legal	1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	7
# Victim Advocate	3
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	6
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**17**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**
E. FY14 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS	**FY14 Totals**
E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report	**10**
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14	1
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	**9**
# Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:	**9**
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	7
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims	**9**
# Male	0
# Female	8
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident	**9**
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	1
# 20-24	5
# 25-34	1
# 35-49	1
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
E4. VICTIM Type	**9**
~~# DoD Civilian~~	
~~# DoD Contractor~~	
~~# Other US Government Civilian~~	
# Non-Service Member	8
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources	**36**
# Medical	7
# Mental Health	7
# Legal	2
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	7
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	8
# DoD Safe Helpline	4
# Other	1
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**4**
# Medical	2
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	2
# Victim Advocate	0
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**6**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**

NAVY COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	
A. FY14 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members. **Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY14. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.** **This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.**	**FY14 Totals**
# FY14 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)	**22**
# Service Member Victims	22
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	**22**
# Service Member on Service Member	7
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	3
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	10
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	**22**
# On military installation	12
# Off military installation	9
# Unidentified location	1
# Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation	**22**
# Victims in investigations initiated during FY14	**21**
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2014	4
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2014	17
# Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming	**0**
# Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement	**1**
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ	**0**
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	**0**
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service	**0**
# Victims - Other	**1**
# All Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest received in FY14 (one Victim per report)	**10**
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)	0
# Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY14	**10**

B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FOR FY14	FY14 Totals	FY14 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	**22**	**22**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	7	7
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	2	2
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	1	1
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	6	6
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	6	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
Time of sexual assault	**22**	**22**
# Midnight to 6 am	5	5
# 6 am to 6 pm	5	5
# 6 pm to midnight	8	8
# Unknown	3	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	1
Day of sexual assault	**22**	**22**
# Sunday	2	2
# Monday	4	4
# Tuesday	2	2
# Wednesday	2	2
# Thursday	1	1
# Friday	6	6
# Saturday	5	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0

C. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY14 Totals
	12	**2**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**2**	**22**
# Service Member on Service Member	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	**7**
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**3**
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	4	2	0	0	1	3	0	0	**10**
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	**2**

FY14 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)

UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY14	*Penetrating Offenses*				*Contact Offenses*						
D. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY14 Totals
D1.	**4**	**0**	**8**	**1**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**3**	**22**
# Service Member on Service Member	0	0	3	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	**7**
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	**3**
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	2	0	5	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	**10**
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	**2**
D2.											
TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY14 Reports	**4**	**0**	**8**	**1**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**3**	**22**
# Service Member Victims: Female	3	0	7	0	1	3	0	0	2	3	19
# Service Member Victims: Male	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST MADE IN FY14											
D3. Time of sexual assault	**4**	**0**	**8**	**1**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**3**	**22**
# Midnight to 6 am	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	5
# 6 am to 6 pm	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	5
# 6 pm to midnight	2	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	8
# Unknown	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
D4. Day of sexual assault	**4**	**0**	**8**	**1**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**3**	**22**
# Sunday	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
# Monday	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
# Tuesday	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Wednesday	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Thursday	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Friday	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	6
# Saturday	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

FY14 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT. Note: The data in this section is drawn from raw, uninvestigated information about Unrestricted Reports received during FY14. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY14 Totals
TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	**4**	**0**	**8**	**1**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**3**	**22**
Afghanistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bahrain	1	0	5	1	0	1	0	0	1	2	11
Djibouti	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3
Egypt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iraq	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
Jordan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kuwait	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Kyrgyzstan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oman	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Qatar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Uae	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	4
Uganda	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yemen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	4	0	8	1	1	3	0	0	2	3	22

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY14 Totals
E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY14 in Combat Areas of Interest **Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.**	
# Investigations Initiated during FY14	**20**
# Investigations Completed as of FY14 End (group by MCIO #)	13
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY14 End (group by MCIO #)	7
# Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY14	**22**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	**0**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	**7**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	5
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	2
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	**0**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations **Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.**	**3**
# Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations **Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.**	**11**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement **Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.**	**0**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available	**1**
E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY14 in Combat Areas of Interest **Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY14. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY14 or any prior FY.**	
# Total Investigations completed by Services during FY14 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	**18**
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY14 involving a Victim supported by your Service	**19**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	**0**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	**10**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	8
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	2
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	**0**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**2**
# Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**7**
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	**0**
# Victims in investigations completed during FY14, supported by your Service	**18**
# Service Member Victims in CID investigations	**0**
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	0
# Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	**18**
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	17
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	1
# Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	**0**
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service	**0**
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	**0**
E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY14 in Combat Areas of Interest **Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.**	
# Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY14 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	**0**
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY14 involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	**0**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	**0**
# Victims in investigations completed during FY14, supported by your Service	**0**
# Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	**0**
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service	**0**
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	**0**
E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY14 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs") in Combat Areas of Interest **Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.** **Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.**	
# Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY14 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	**0**
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY14 involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	**0**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	**0**
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	**0**
# Victims in MP investigations completed during FY14, supported by your Service	**0**
# Service Member Victims in MP investigations	**0**
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service	**0**
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	**0**

Victims in Investigations Completed in FY14 in Combat Areas of Interest	*Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY14*										
	Penetrating Offenses				*Contact Offenses*						
F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY14 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)**	**Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)**	**Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)**	**Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)**	**Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)**	**Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)**	**Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)**	**Offense Code Data Not Available**	**FY14 Totals**
F1. Gender of Victims	**6**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**18**
# Male	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Female	5	0	6	0	1	3	0	0	1	1	17
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F2. Age of Victims	**6**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**18**
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# 20-24	1	0	6	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	10
# 25-34	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	5
# 35-49	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F3. Victim Type	**6**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**18**
# Service Member	6	0	6	0	1	3	0	0	1	1	18
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F4. Grade of Service Member Victims	**6**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**18**
# E1-E4	3	0	4	0	1	3	0	0	1	0	12
# E5-E9	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F5. Service of Service Member Victims	**6**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**18**
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	6	0	6	0	1	2	0	0	1	1	17
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F6. Status of Service Member Victims	**6**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**18**
# Active Duty	4	0	6	0	1	3	0	0	1	1	16
# Reserve (Activated)	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY14 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	*Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY14*										
	Penetrating Offenses				*Contact Offenses*						
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY14 Totals
G1. Gender of Subjects	**6**	**0**	**7**	**0**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**19**
# Male	6	0	4	0	1	2	0	0	1	1	15
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G2. Age of Subjects	**6**	**0**	**7**	**0**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**19**
# 0-15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 20-24	2	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	6
# 25-34	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
# 35-49	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	7
G3. Subject Type	**6**	**0**	**7**	**0**	**1**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**19**
# Service Member	3	0	3	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	10
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Recruiters	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign National	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	2	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects	**3**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**10**
# E1-E4	2	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	6
# E5-E9	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	3
# WO1-WO5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G5. Service of Service Member Subjects	**3**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**10**
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	3	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	8
# Marines	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G6. Status of Service Member Subjects	**3**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**10**
# Active Duty	2	0	3	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	9
# Reserve (Activated)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY14 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY14 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY14 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY14 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY14, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	**1**		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	0		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	0		
# Subjects - Other	1		
# Subjects in investigations completed in FY14 Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.	**19**	**# Victims in investigations completed in FY14**	**18**
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY14	**5**	**# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY14**	**13**
# Total Subjects with allegations unfounded by a Military Criminal Investigative Organization	**0**	**# Total Victims associated with MCIO unfounded allegations**	**0**
# Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Non-Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Unidentified Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0		
# Subjects with Subject data not yet available and with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Victims with Victim data not yet available and involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	**4**		
# Unknown Offenders	4	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	3
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	0
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	0
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	0
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
		# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	**2**		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	1	*# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action*	1
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	1	*# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute*	1
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0	*# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations*	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	0	*# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command*	0
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	*# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action*	0
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	**7**	**# Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available**	**7**
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2014	**6**		
# FY14 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	**6**	**# FY14 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action**	**6**
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	3	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals against Subject	3
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	1
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	2	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	2
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	0

*** Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.**

NAVY COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) FY14 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
A. FY14 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY14 Totals**
# TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports	**10**
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	10
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY14*	**0**
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14	0
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Total Victim reports remaining Restricted	**10**
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	10
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories	**10**
# Service Member on Service Member	7
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	1
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
B. INCIDENT DETAILS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY14 Totals**
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	**10**
# On military installation	6
# Off military installation	4
# Unidentified location	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	**10**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	3
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	2
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	1
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
Time of sexual assault incident	**10**
# Midnight to 6 am	2
# 6 am to 6 pm	3
# 6 pm to midnight	2
# Unknown	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Day of sexual assault incident	**10**
# Sunday	4
# Monday	1
# Tuesday	2
# Wednesday	0
# Thursday	0
# Friday	0
# Saturday	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY14 Totals**
# Service Member Victims	**10**
# Army Victims	0
# Navy Victims	9
# Marines Victims	0
# Air Force Victims	1
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY14 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY14 Totals
Gender of Victims	**10**
# Male	1
# Female	9
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Age of Victims at the Time of Incident	**10**
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	1
# 20-24	7
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	2
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Grade of Service Member Victims	**10**
# E1-E4	6
# E5-E9	4
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	0
# O4-O10	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Status of Service Member Victims	**10**
# Active Duty	8
# Reserve (Activated)	2
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Victim Type	**10**
# Service Member	10
~~# DoD Civilian~~	
~~# DoD Contractor~~	
~~# Other US Government Civilian~~	
# Non-Service Member	0
~~# Foreign National~~	
~~# Foreign Military~~	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY14 Totals**
# Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service	**0**
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	0
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	0
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY14 Totals**
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	0
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	0
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	0
G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY14 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY14 Totals**
Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY14	**0**
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14	0
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
TOTAL # FY14 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY14 Totals**
TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**10**
Afghanistan	3
Bahrain	4
Djibouti	2
Egypt	0
Iraq	1
Jordan	0
Kuwait	0
Kyrgyzstan	0
Lebanon	0
Oman	0
Pakistan	0
Qatar	0
Saudi Arabia	0
Syria	0
Uae	0
Uganda	0
Yemen	0

*** The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.**

NAVY CAI FY14 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	
NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.	
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY14 Totals**
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	**74**
# Medical	11
# Mental Health	11
# Legal	12
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	13
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	16
# DoD Safe Helpline	9
# Other	2
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**8**
# Medical	1
# Mental Health	2
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	1
# Rape Crisis Center	2
# Victim Advocate	1
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	1
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**3**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**
# Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service	**0**
B. FY14 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY14 TOTALS**
# Military Protective Orders issued during FY14	**4**
# Reported MPO Violations in FY14	**0**
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	0
*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made	
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	0
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	3
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY14 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	**22**
# Medical	4
# Mental Health	7
# Legal	2
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	1
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	7
# DoD Safe Helpline	1
# Other	0
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**2**
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	1
# Rape Crisis Center	1
# Victim Advocate	0
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**0**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**

Use the following categories or add a new category	**FY14 TOTALS**
Total Number Denied	**0**
Reasons for Disapproval (Total)	
Moved Alleged Offender Instead	
Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead	
Enter reason	
Enter reason	
Enter reason	
Enter reason	
Enter reason	

CIVILIAN DATA	
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY14 Totals**
D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:	**0**
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
D2. Gender of Non-Service Members	**0**
# Male	0
# Female	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident	**0**
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
D4. Non-Service Member Type	**0**
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# US Civilian	0
# Foreign National	0
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
D5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**0**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**
E. FY14 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY14 Totals**
E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report	**0**
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14	0
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	**0**
# Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:	**0**
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims	**0**
# Male	0
# Female	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident	**0**
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E4. VICTIM Type	**0**
~~# DoD Civilian~~	
~~# DoD Contractor~~	
~~# Other US Government Civilian~~	
# Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources	**0**
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**0**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**

	FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																		Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is / Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
1	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Acquittal						Notes: Victim reported that on two separate occasions, while in Subject's office, he placed his hand on Victim's inner thigh over clothing. The command elected non-judicial punishment; however, Subject was not guilty of offenses at non-judicial punishment.
2	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q2 (January-March)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported she had been sexually assaulted, but declined to provide any additional information about the incident. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
3	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Navy	O-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	A Civilian/Foreign authority is Prosecuting Service Member								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Subject convicted by local authorities after spending the night at the apartment of his adult niece. While victim was unconscious, Subject had sexual intercourse with Victim. Subject is currently serving a six-year sentence in a local jail. Subject will be processed for administrative separation.
4	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No	Chaplain/Spiritual Support	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Disorderly conduct (Art. 134-13)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Two Victims reported being sexually assaulted by Subject. Victim #1 reported after consuming alcohol and falling asleep in a bed next to Subject, she awoke to Subject having nonconsensual intercourse with him. Victim #2 reported that Subject, a former boyfriend, had nonconsensual intercourse with Victim #2 while she was sleeping. Both Victims declined to participate in the in the military justice process. Two separate Article 32 hearings were held, both resulted in recommendations not to prosecute due to insufficient evidence. Subject was convicted of other misconduct at a summary court-martial and was subsequently administratively separated with an other than honorable characterization of discharge.
5	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)				Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 20; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Multiple Victims reported they were sexually harassed and/or sexually assaulted by Subject to include inappropriate touching and kissing. Subject exposing his penis and touching the Victims, and Subject making sexually explicit comments to and/or groped the Victims.
6	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted by being anally penetrated when she passed out outside of the party she was attending at which she was drinking heavily. Subject is unknown and presumed civilian. Victim declined Sexual Assault Forensic Exam and refused to provide statement to the civilian police department and NCIS. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
7	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that two Subjects sexually assaulted her in a private residence. Victim declined to identify the Subjects or participate in the investigation. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, command action was precluded and the case was closed.
8	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Acquittal						Notes: Victim reported that he experienced unwanted sexual contact and physical harassment by Subject while they shared the same berthing area. Victim reported that Subject touched his body several times in an attempt to wake him up while he was asleep and touched his groin, open handed, while telling him to wake up. RLSO recommended that charges be adjudicated at non-judicial punishment due to insufficient evidence and the command concurred. Subject was found not guilty of offenses at non-judicial punishment.
9	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched her breast over her clothing. Charges were preferred to a Court-Martial, however, Subject received an Other-than-Honorable separation in lieu of trial.
10	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that Subject flicked the left breast pocket of her uniform and made contact with her ID card. Victim questioned Subject about his actions and Subject responded that he knew her ID card was in there, and giggled. A week later Victim made a report of the incident to her chain of command. Subject received a written counseling and extra military instruction on the proper behavior and conduct within a professional setting.
11	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Male	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 3; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that after consuming alcohol and becoming intoxicated, Subject (Victim's former romantic partner) removed Victim's pants, fondled Victim's penis, and orally copulated Victim's penis as they were on a couch in Subject's living room. Victim also reported Subject digitally penetrated his anus and repeatedly attempted to penetrate Victim's anus with his penis. Victim could not recall if he told Subject to stop, but he did not reciprocate and made himself dead weight. Subject was convicted at a court-martial and subsequently administratively separated with an Other Than Honorable discharge.
12	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Male	Unknown		Male				Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported he was drinking off base when he met several civilian males and began drinking with them. Victim reported he became very intoxicated and the unknown males offered to give him a ride back to base, which Victim accepted. Victim recalled getting into the back of the vehicle and closing his eyes, and the next thing he remembered was waking up on an unknown street off-base. Victim reported he had some pain in his anus and felt he may have been sexually assaulted. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
13	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported Subject digitally penetrated her in her room. Victim reported the incident to her command after Subject''s separation from the Navy for unrelated matters. NCIS forwarded the case to the local District Attorney, who declined the case citing insufficient evidence to prosecute.
14	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that while in the workplace, Subject grabbed both of her breasts underneath her clothing as well as her buttocks without her consent. Victim further reported that Subject exposed his penis and tried to force Victim to perform oral sex on him while squeezing Victim?s neck. Following case review and a recommendation from the Region Legal Service Office, the command administered non-judicial punishment and was subsequently processed for administrative separation; however, the administrative separation board found no misconduct.
15	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-1	Male	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject grabbed Victim?s genitalia and made sexual comments towards Victim while in a vehicle. Victim declined to participate in the military justice process, following which, the command imposed non-judicial punishment.
16	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 30; Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her while Subject was at her residence. Victim reported that Subject began removing his clothing and advancing towards Victim, despite Victim continuously asking Subject to leave. Victim reported that Subject then grabbed Victim by the neck and forced her head into the bed. Victim reported Subject did not remove his hand from her neck, lifted her dress and forced his penis inside of her vagina. Victim reported the incident to local authorities, but declined to continue with the investigation. Subject was convicted at court-martial and subsequently administratively separated with an other than honorable characterization of discharge.
17	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Off-base offense of USN Victim by US Civilian Subject involving alcohol. Local PD asked NCIS to assist in the investigation of the rape of a USN Victim. Victim reported she met with Subject, an old friend, and the two ate dinner and had drinks. After having drinks and both becoming intoxicated, Victim and Subject returned to Subject''s room at the hotel where Victim intended to sleep on the sofa. Subject pushed Victim onto the bed, removed her clothing, forced her legs apart, and penetrated Victim''s vagina with his penis. Victim stated she repeatedly told Subject to stop. Victim further related after repeated attempts to get Subject to stop, Victim began to act as though she was enjoying the sex to facilitate an end to Subject''s assault. All evidence, original statements and case notes will be provided to Local PD. No further assistance has been requested by Local PD, therefore, this investigation is closed.
18	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that after she consumed a large amount of alcohol, she fell asleep on Subject's bed and awoke to Subject vaginally penetrating her with his penis. Although court-martial charges were referred, victim later declined to participate in the case, and thus, following Staff Judge Advocate advice, charges were dismissed.
19	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim was found passed out in a mall bathroom and fled police when approached. While being transported back to the barracks, Victim reported that she may been sexually assaulted. Victim declined to answer any other questions and stated she did not wish to participate in an investigation or prosecution. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, command action was precluded and the case was closed.
20	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Victim (single victim)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that while she was asleep, Subject entered her home, began rubbing her buttocks, and then inserted his finger into her vagina. Subject then vacated Victim's residence. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement, declining to participate further in the investigation. Victim clearly stated that although she did not want to participate in any trial, she remained hopeful that swift administrative measures would be taken to remove Subject from the military. Subject received non-judicial punishment. Subject was then processed for administrative separation. During the ADSEP board the Victim testified against Subject, but also recommended that he receive a General discharge instead of an OTH.
21	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	Yes		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her on three occasions to include Subject inserting his hand into Victim''s pants and touching her vagina over her underwear, inserting his hand into Victim''s pants and touching her buttocks over her underwear, and touching Victim''s buttocks over her pants while at work. In addition, Victim reported that Subject grabbed Victim''s buttocks over her shorts while at an off-base residence. Following the advice of the Region Legal Service Office, the commanding officer imposed nonjudicial punishment.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
22	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 60; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 33; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that after a night of drinking, Subject brought Victim back to his residence and fondled her over her clothes. Subject was convicted at a special court-martial and subsequently administratively separated with an Other Than Honorable characterization of discharge.
23	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male				Q2 (January-March)	Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported experiencing unwanted sexual contact from Unknown Subject outside the Navy Exchange. Victim reported that Subject walked past Victim, running his hand along her buttocks in a caressing manner. Victim pursued the Subject and confronted him, but he did not respond. Victim did not know Subject but gave a description. Review of video footage failed to provide any usable information. Victim signed a Victim's Preference Statement. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
24	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution		Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 66; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim #1 reported that while at a hotel with Subject, he touched her breasts and pubic area in her sleep. Victim #2 reported that Subject repeatedly massaged her shoulders, kissed her cheeks, forehead and hair while at work, and fondled her buttocks while on liberty. Victims #3 - #5 also reported they were sexually assaulted by Subject. An Article 32 was held; however, charges were dismissed subsequent to the recommendation by Investigating Officer due to insufficient evidence. Command administered nonjudicial punishment and subsequently administratively separated Subject with an Other Than Honorable discharge.
25	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Spain	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject kissed her on the cheek and lips without her consent while at a restaurant. Subject received non-judicial punishment and was later processed for administrative separation. The administrative separation board recommended Subject be discharged from the service.
26a	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim reported in December of 2012 that during a deployment in 2011 Subject and another individual held her down while a third individual placed his testicles on her face and eyes in a joking manner. Subject was separated in lieu of trial with an Other Than Honorable characterization of discharge.
26b	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-3	Male			Other	Q4 (July-September)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported in December of 2012 that during a deployment in 2011 Subject and another individual held her down while a third individual placed his testicles on her face and eyes in a joking manner. Charges were preferred against the other two active duty servicemembers; however, Subject was a civilian. The local US Attorney"'s office declined to prosecute.
26c	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)				Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that she was hanging out with her three friends Subject #1, Subject #2 and Subject #3 in Subject #1"'s room when the discussion turned to the television show Tosh.0 and Egyptian goggles. Victim told the others she thought that was disgusting and she would never do something like that. Victim said Subject #1 told her they were going to do it to her in a joking manner. Victim said Subject #2 and Subject #3 grabbed her and held her down on the bed with while Subject #1 pulled off his shorts and rubbed his testicles on her face and eyes. Victim said one of them took a photo of the event using an IPad, but she later deleted the photo. Victim said she was upset, but did not report the incident to her Command because she wanted to keep their friendship during the deployment. Subject (Subject #1) was convicted at a special court-martial. Subject #2 was separated in lieu of trial, and the U.S. Attorney's office declined prosecution of Subject #3, who is a civilian.
27	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	BRITISH INDIAN OCEAN TERRITORY	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No	Chaplain/Spiritual Support	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Burglary (Art. 129)	None		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 2; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that after an evening out at a nightclub drinking alcohol with Subject and other members, Victim returned to her room where another member put Victim to bed. Victim reported that she awoke to Subject lying on top of her kissing her and trying to pull her down her underwear. Subject was also alleged to have broken into Victim's housing unit. Victim reported that Subject was able to place his hand under Victim's bra, having skin to skin contact with her breast. Subject was convicted at court-martial.
28	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-6	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	Yes		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim reported being raped by Subject several times circa September 2012 through November 2012 while she was in a romantic relationship with him. Victim stated the sexual assaults all occurred in her off base residence. Victim described how on each instance of sexual assault, she would verbally and physically attempt to refuse to have sexual intercourse with Subject but he would eventually pry her legs apart with his knee, remove her clothing from the waist down, and have non-consensual vaginal intercourse with her. Victim declined to participate in an Article 32 hearing, and as a result, the Region Legal Service Office recommended that charges be dismissed and the command concurred.
29	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Navy	E-3	Female	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Subject (a single subject)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Victim reported that she awoke to Subject kissing her while Victim was sleeping. Victim reported that Subject attempted to kiss Victim again, despite being told to stop. Based on RLSO's recommendation not to prefer charges, the command administered other adverse administrative action.
30	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 8; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Three (3) DoD Civilian Victims reported that Subject became intoxicated at a command party, and sexually touched them inappropriately. Subject grabbed Victim #1 from behind and rubbed his groin on her buttocks. Subject touched Victim #2's inner thigh with his hand. Subject rubbed Victim #3's breasts twice without her consent. Subject was convicted at a special court-martial.
31	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Multiple Victims - Male	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 24; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim #1 reported that he and Subject went to several bars together. After throwing up several times in Subject"'s apartment, Victim #1 eventually passed out on Subject"'s couch. Victim #1 recalled waking up and seeing Subject remove his pants; however passed out again. Victim #1 awoke again and observed Subject performing oral sex on him; however, passed out again. Victim #1 awoke a final time and observed Subject on top of him completely naked; however passed out again. Victim #1 awoke in the morning with Subject putting Victim #1"'s pants back on him. When Victim #1 arrived on the ship, he notified a Command member who notified his Chain of Command. During course of the investigation, other victims of Subject were identified. On 04MAR13, USN Victim #2 was interviewed and provided a sworn statement wherein he detailed multiple instances of being sexually assaulted by Subject. Victim #2 also detailed an incident in which Victim #3 was sexually assaulted in a hotel room by Subject. On 28MAR13, US Civilian Victim #5 provided a sworn statement wherein he detailed an incident in which he was sexually assaulted by Subject.
32a	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes	All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 42; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that after consuming alcohol with a group of friends at several bars, she became intoxicated and does not recall anything after taking a taxi to a local hotel in which the group was staying. Victim reported that she was informed by the several members of the group the following day that they witnessed Victim engage in sexual intercourse with three individuals. All three Subjects were convicted at general court-martial.
32b	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		False official statements (Art. 107)	None	Yes	All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 2; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that after consuming alcohol with a group of friends at several bars, she became intoxicated and does not recall anything after taking a taxi to a local hotel in which the group was staying. Victim reported that she was informed by the several members of the group the following day that they witnessed Victim engage in sexual intercourse with three individuals. All three Subjects were convicted at general court-martial.
32c	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that after consuming alcohol with a group of friends at several bars, she became intoxicated and does not recall anything after taking a taxi to a local hotel in which the group was staying. Victim reported that she was informed by the several members of the group the following day that they witnessed Victim engage in sexual intercourse with three individuals. All three Subjects were convicted at general court-martial.
33	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	O-3	Female	Navy	O-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Four Victims reported that on multiple occasions, Subject touched them by grabbing their breasts and buttocks over clothing without their consent while Subject was intoxicated. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
34	Rape (Art. 120)	Japan	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	O-1	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)	Convicted		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)				Courts-Martial discharge: Dismissal; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 14; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Multiple Victims reported that Subject had sexually harassed and/or fraternized with them. One Victim reported that Subject struck her on the buttocks with his hand, and a search of Subject"'s digital media revealed that Subject had recorded his sexual relations with two Victims. Victim pled guilty at a General Court-Martial.
35	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported consuming a large amount of alcohol while out with a fellow shipmate, Subject. Victim reported that she only remembered Subject assisting her to an unknown room where she was on her shins/knees and saw a penis she believed was Subject"s. However, Victim did not remember if any sexual contact occurred. There were no details provided to determine if there was any sexual contact between Victim and Subject. Victim signed a Victim Declination Acknowledgement declining to participate in the investigation. Due to lack of further investigative leads, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.

								FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY											Administrative Actions			
Nb.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
26	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	O-1	Female	Unknown		Male				Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported being sexually assaulted both on-base and off-base, but declined to provide any identifying information regarding Subject, the specific location where the assault(s) occurred, how many incidents occurred, or what those incidents consisted of. Victim signed a Victim"s Preference Statement indicating her desire not to report the assault(s) at the present time. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
27	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Male	Unknown		Unknown				Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that he may have been sexually assaulted by an unknown Subject after he became very intoxicated and was separated from his group. Victim remembered getting into a taxi, vomiting in the taxi and going to sleep. Victim reported that for the next 3 days he had bloody stool and rectal pain when he defecated. No additional information was developed and the investigation was closed. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
28	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Male	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim #1 reported that Subject, who was fully clothed, approached Victim's desk and placed his genitals on Victim #1's shoulder and moved them in a thrusting manner. Victim #2 reported while sitting next to Victim #1, Subject came to assist Victim #2, and Subject made a comment to him about his testicles being on his shoulder. Both Victims signed a Victim Preference Statement declining to participate. Due to victim"s declination, the command had insufficient evidence to pursue charges at court-martial, but instead, administered non-judicial punishment.
29	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported after leaving an on-base club with Subject, Subject forced the victim to perform oral sex and then had sexual intercourse with her without her consent. Charges were preferred; however, prior to the Article 32 hearing, the victim signed a declination letter. Based on victim"s declination, Region Legal Service Office and staff judge advocate recommended dismissal of the charges due to insufficient evidence. Command concurred and dismissed the charges.
40	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that she was sexually harassed by her work mentor, Subject, during the duty day onboard the ship. Subject grabbed her thigh while on watch, made sexual comments towards her, kissed Victim on the neck and lips, attempted to pull Victim onto his lap and placed his hand over her right breast. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment upon Subject.
41	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 40; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched her chest, pelvic area and buttocks in an enclosed stairwell. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
42	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject rubbed his genitals on the back side of Victim while both were fully clothed at the bowling alley on base. Following advice from the Region Legal Service Office, the commanding officer imposed non-judicial punishment and was subsequently administratively separated with a General (Under Honorable) characterization of discharge.
43	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	O-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Conduct unbecoming (Art. 133)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Victim (single victim)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Ex-fiancé of Victim reported to Victim's command that Victim related to him that after ending her engagement to fiancé, Victim began to drink alone in her apartment, and became intoxicated. Victim related that she invited Subject into her home, and Subject had vaginal and anal sex with Victim, and Victim performed oral sex on Subject. Victim reported that she did not recall giving consent due to the amount of alcohol she consumed. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
44	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-5	Male	Unknown		Unknown				Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim"s spouse alleged that Victim was sexually assaulted by another crewmember. Victim declined to identify the Subject or participate. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
45	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Unknown		Male				Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her in January 2012. Subject was a service member at the time of the assault, but is now a civilian. Victim declined to participate in the military justice process and later separated from the Service. Special Assistant to U.S. Attorney reviewed the case and recommended no prosecution.
46	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported civilian Subject grabbed her arm to stop her from moving and slapped her on the buttocks. Installation Commanding Officer debarred suspect from base.
47	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Male	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Uncharacterized		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 13; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 5; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject repeatedly groped and grabbed Victim in the groin and chest area without his consent. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
48	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Third party witness reported that he observed Subject, non-activated reservist, inappropriately touching Victims in a sexual manner at an off-base bar. Subject repeatedly grabbed Victims and tickled them, slapped their buttocks, and grabbed their buttocks with his hands. The case was turned over to the District attorney; however, the Victims advised they did not want to pursue further prosecution. Although Subject was not subject to the UCMJ at the time of the offenses, the command processed him for administrative separation, however, the board found no misconduct.
49a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Male	Navy	E-2	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		All subjects (multiple subjects)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported two Subjects entered Victim's room intoxicated and began kissing Victim and fondling Victim's genitals over his clothes. Subject #1 received non-judicial punishment and was subsequently processed for administrative separation. The administrative separation board found misconduct and recommended separation with an other than honorable characterization of discharge. Charges were preferred in Subject #2's case; however, he was separated in lieu of trial (SVCT).
49b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Male	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject #1 and Subject #2 entered the barracks room intoxicated and began kissing Victim and fondling his genitals over his clothes. Victim also stated he was also physically assaulted by Subject #1 after he resisted Subject #1"s sexual advances. Court-martial charges were preferred, but pursuant to a pretrial negotiation, command imposed nonjudicial punishment upon Subject #1 and administratively separated him with an other than honorable characterization of discharge.
50	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Ireland	Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that while walking back to her hostel, she was assaulted by a man who grabbed her from behind, pushed her against a wall, placed his arm across her throat and placed his hand down her shirt and also digitally penetrated her vagina. Victim stated the assault was interrupted when a taxi turned onto the street where she was being assaulted at which point the Suspect fled. Victim added that she was not willing to travel back to talk with law enforcement the host nation or to testify should a suspect be identified and arrested.
51	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Midshipman	Female	Navy	C-2	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported that while dancing with the Subject, he dropped her and fell on top of her. Victim reported subject allegedly groped and kissed her without her consent. Subject was acquitted at general court-martial.
52	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-4	Female	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that she had a consensual relationship with Subject, but that Subject was now sexually harassing her. Shortly after Victim"s report of sexual harassment, she reported Subject sexually assaulted her inside her barracks room by placing her hands around her neck and squeezing while Subject performed oral sex on Victim without her consent. Victim declined to participate in the military justice process. Based on the Region Legal Service Office recommendation of insufficient evidence of an offense and Victim"s lack of participation, the Command elected to impose nonjudicial punishment on the Subject.
53	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-5	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q2 (January-March)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assault by an unknown Subject at her off-base residence. Victim declined to file a formal complaint with local Police Department and refused to provide any information regarding the date/time of the incident, the location, any identifying information regarding the Subject, or any other specifics regarding the assault. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, command action was precluded and the case was closed.
54	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that she returned to her room after leaving a bar extremely intoxicated. Victim reported that she met Subject, a friend of her roommate, who entered Victim"s room when Victim"s roommate left. Subject then allegedly forcibly penetrated Victim"s vagina with his penis while Victim was passed out due to intoxication. Subject was court-martialed and acquitted of all charges.
55	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Other				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted at an off-base residence during a party. Victim reported Subject forcibly held Victim down and attempted to put his penis in her mouth and later that evening, she awoke to Subject penetrating her vagina with his penis. After the conclusion of the Article 32 hearing, Victim declined to participate and charges were dismissed. Subject was subsequently processed for administrative separation; however, the Administrative Separation Board found no misconduct.
56	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No	Chaplain/Spiritual Support	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject made inappropriate sexual comments and gestures to her in the workplace. Victim also reported that Subject brushed her buttocks with his open hand while walking past her at work. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment upon Subject.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																							Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
57	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		General Article Offense (Art. 134)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported after returning to the ship from liberty, Subject entered the room and began making sexual advances toward Victim by kissing her without her consent. Subject asked Victim to have intercourse, to which victim replied no. Victim reported that Subject then placed his hands around her waist and pulled her pants down; however, Victim's recollection of the encounter is unclear as she reportedly blacked out. Victim reported that she awoke the next morning in her room with pain in her vagina and anus. Based on RLSO's recommendation that there was insufficient evidence, the command administered non-judicial punishment.
58	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNDERWAY	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No	Chaplain/Spiritual Support	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she experienced unwanted sexual contact while at work by Subject when he used his pelvic area to touch her leg under her pants around her left ankle. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
59	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed Victim"s genitals over clothing and made unwanted sexual comments. Subject reported the touching was accidental and that his comments were made in jest. Charges were originally referred to court-martial; however, the Command considered Victim"s input requesting Subject receive nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense.
60	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-2	Male	No	No	Chaplain/Spiritual Support	Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 33; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted Victim and made vulgar comments to her after he took an item from Victim and stated that she could not have it back unless she hugged Subject. Victim reported that she complied and hugged Subject and Subject's lips came in contact with her upper forehead. Subject received non-judicial punishment and was subsequently administratively separated.
61	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	Foreign National	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: First victim reported that subject touched her backside on two different occasions and tried to kiss her while they were at a bar together. Second victim reported that subject brushed up against her backside with his hand while trying to walk past her in a crowded bar and that she thought it was intentional. Subject denied all accusations. Subject was processed for administrative separation, however, the Administrative Separation Board found no misconduct occurred.
62	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	QATAR	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that she was out for an evening with the Subject having drinks at a bar. Shortly after leaving the bar, Victim reported that she felt dizzy and returned to her barracks room. Upon returning to her room, Victim reported that the Subject forced her to have sex with him. Subject was acquitted at a general court-martial.
63	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment			Honorable			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that on two occasions Subject grabbed her cheeks and moved in to kiss Victim on the lips without her consent. Charges were referred to a special court-martial; however, in accordance with pretrial negotiations, they were later withdrawn and dismissed and disposed of at non-judicial punishment.
64	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported Subject forced her to perform oral sex on him onboard ship. Subject was acquitted at a general court-martial.
65	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	UNITED STATES	Navy	O-5	Female	Unknown		Female	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported Subject reached out and grabbed her breast and said "I"m an equal opportunity groper" and then grabbed Victim"s other breast. Civilian prosecutors declined to prosecute. Command issued a letter of counseling for inappropriate and professional behavior.
66	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed her buttocks and made lewd, sexual comments to her on a regular basis. Victim reported she always told Subject to stop when he touched her or made sexual comments to her, but his response to was to laugh it off. The command imposed nonjudicial punishment.
67	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-5	Male	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that after becoming extremely intoxicated and falling asleep he woke to Subject performing fellatio on him and digitally penetrating his anus. Subject was acquitted of all charges at a general court-martial.
68	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-9	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Notes: Victim reported being sexually assaulted by Subject on three separate occasions on board the ship. Victim reported on one occasion, Subject approached her from behind while she was seated at her computer terminal, reached under her bra, and grabbed her breasts. Victim also reported on a separate occasion she was talking with Subject when he reached into her pants and digitally penetrated her vagina. Victim further reported that on a third occasion, Subject tricked her around, pulled down her shorts, and forcibly inserted his penis into her vagina. An Article 32 hearing was held and the Investigating Officer recommended dismissal of all charges primarily based on a lack of corroboration. Based on the Investigating Officer, Trial Counsel, and SJA recommendation, the SA-IDA dismissed all charges.
69	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male				Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported Subject sexually assaulted her in a hotel bathroom. Victim reported she was in the bathroom when Subject entered. Victim asked Subject to leave, but Subject responded he only wanted to talk. Victim reported that Subject locked the door and approached her. Victim reported she became scared and did not say anything. Victim said Subject penetrated her vagina with Subject"s penis. Victim declined to identify Subject. Due to Victim"s declination, lack of further investigative leads, and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
70	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male				Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported she was raped by a Sailor in the barracks. Victim was on a duty status, on watch, in the barracks when the assault occurred. Victim did not identify Subject and declined to participate in the investigation. Victim advised she had decided to not participate further in any NCIS investigation. Victim signed the Victim Preference Statement. The name of Subject, any witness, and the specific location of the incident are unknown. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
71	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that she met Subject #1 and Subject #2 at a local bar and became heavily intoxicated. Victim reported that she recalled walking with Subjects to the Navy Lodge where she passed out or blacked out after exiting the elevator. Victim reported that she awoke in a room on the bed to both Subjects having sex with her. Subject #1 was found not guilty of all charges at a general court-martial (GCM) and charges against Subject #2 were subsequently withdrawn prior to his GCM.
72	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Victim reported multiple incidents of unwanted kissing by Subject followed by unwanted advances by Subject. Victim declined to participate in the military justice process. Based on the declination and a recommendation from a judge advocate, the command administered a verbal counseling.
73	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-2	Female	Navy	E-8	Male	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Honorable		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim #1 reported Subject was intoxicated and grabbed her buttocks and breast over her clothing without her consent. Victim #2 reported Subject grabbed her hips and pulled Victim close to Subject"s body in a sexual manner without her consent. Command imposed non-judicial punishment.
74	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-1	Female	Navy	E-2	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General		Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported Subject, while intoxicated, grabbed her around the waist, neck, and hair, and told Victim he wanted to have sexual intercourse with her while on the smoking deck. Subject was administratively separated from the US Navy for commission of a serious offense unrelated to this incident and received an Other Than Honorable characterization of discharge.
75	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-5	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		General Article Offense (Art. 134)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 12; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject kissed her on the forehead after she had leaned over and put his head on his shoulder, telling him she was tired. Nonjudicial punishment was imposed upon Subject.
75a	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Midshipman	Female	Navy	M-2	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by Subject, and two other individuals, during a party at an off-base residence. Victim reported that she had consumed a large amount of alcohol, blacked out, and later felt as though someone had sexual intercourse with her while she was incapacitated. An Article 32 hearing was held for all three Subjects and charges were referred to a general court-martial; however, following suppression of pertinent evidence at a subsequent hearing, the Convening Authority dismissed charges based on insufficient evidence to prosecute.
75b	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Midshipman	Female	Navy	M-1	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by Subject, and two other Subjects, at a party at an off-base residence. Victim said she consumed a lot of alcohol and blacked out, and felt as though someone had sexual intercourse with her while she was incapacitated. Following an Article 32 hearing charges were referred to a GCM, where Subject was found not guilty of violating Article 120 of the UCMJ. Subject later submitted his resignation, in lieu of facing charges of violating the honor code for making false official statements.
75c	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Midshipman	Female	Navy	M-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by Subject and two other Subjects at a party at an off-base residence. Victim stated she consumed a lot of alcohol and blacked out, and felt as though someone had sexual intercourse with her while she was incapacitated. Charges were preferred and an Article 32 hearing conducted. As a result of the investigating officer"s recommendation that charges against Subject be dismissed and following consultation with the staff judge advocate, the convening authority dismissed the charges.
77	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Unknown		Unknown				Q2 (January-March)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported he was sexually assaulted after visiting a local bar. Victim may have been drugged and does not remember much of the incident. Victim was interviewed by local police department. Victim disclosed he consumed alcohol at an unidentified bar, where he believed his drink was "scofied" by Unknown Subject. Victim stated he was not previously acquainted with Subject and did not believe Subject was a member of the military. Victim stated his next recollection was waking the next morning with a black eye, scraped knuckles, and his anus was sore. Victim reported to local authorities and NCIS he did not desire to participate in an investigation. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
78	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Disorderly conduct (Art. 134-13)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Victim (single victim)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed her buttocks and the side of her body without her consent. RLSO recommended no prosecution; however, recommended an alternate disposition. Subject received non-judicial punishment.

	FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																		Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject in Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
79	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Male	Unknown		Male				Q2 (January-March)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported having been drugged at a concert and waking up in an unidentified alley with a bloodied lip and pants and underwear around his ankles. Victim reported the assault following notification of a failed urinalysis, having tested positive for ecstasy. Victim declined to participate further in the investigation. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
80	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported than an unidentified Subject pinned her down inside her on-base residence. Victim declined to identify the Subject or participate in the investigation. Due to Victim's declination, lack of further investigative leads, and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
81	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Male	Unknown		Female				Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported he was drinking with Subject and blacked out. Victim later woke up with his pants down and Subject asleep next to him. Subject informed Victim three months later that she was pregnant. Victim declined to provide any additional information or participate in the investigation. Due to lack of Victim's participation and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
82	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported after going to Subject's house she had an alcoholic beverage and blacked out. Victim stated Subject punched her in the right eye, which caused bruising and swelling. Victim reported upon waking up she did not remember what happened but that she was sure no vaginal or anal intercourse occurred because she did not feel like sex happened, nor was she sore. Victim stated she believes she may have been groped or oral sex may have occurred while she was passed out, as when she woke up she had a dried white substance on her lower lip and believed it was semen. The Deputy District Attorney with the County District Attorney's Office was consulted and declined to prosecute this case.
83	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	Foreign National	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Security MAs observed Subject at a night club forcing Victim into a chair, rubbing his face into the Victim's chest and grinding his pelvis against the Victim. Victim declined to participate in the investigation. Command administered nonjudicial punishment and Subject was subsequently administratively separated with an Other Than Honorable characterization of discharge.
84	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Midshipman	Female	Navy	C-1	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that one year earlier, she was invited to a party by subject, her ex-boyfriend. Victim reported that at the party, she drank to the point of vomiting, but recalled lying on the floor intoxicated when Subject began pulling down her pants. Victim reported that Subject then vaginally penetrated her from behind with his penis. Victim also disclosed two additional sexual assaults by Subject while they were dating. An Article 32 hearing was held; however, charges were dismissed subsequent to the recommendation of the Investigating Officer. The Convening Authority did not refer charges to court-martial and no further action was taken against Subject.
85	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-4	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Subject (a single subject)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Victim reported that Subject came into his barracks room, pulled his pants out of his pants and then grabbed Victim's penis. Victim reported that he physically pushed Subject away and Subject then vomited due to alcohol consumption. Victim reported that he permitted Subject to stay in Victim's room; however, Subject took off his clothes and sat in a chair next to the bed and began using his foot to touch Victim's penis while Victim was in bed. Subject received other adverse administrative action as a result of the incident.
86	Rape (Art. 120)		Navy	E-5	Female	Unknown		Male				Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: USN Victim advised her ex-boyfriend, Civilian Subject, sexually assaulted her. Victim provided her biographical information to NCIS, but did not speak about the details of the sexual assault. NCIS met again with Victim and Victim did not disclose any details of the sexual assault or identify the subject other than the Subject was civilian. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
87	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-7	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that after returning to base from a command function, Subject walked her back to her barracks room, began kissing, and pulled down her dress and bra and placed his mouth on her breasts without her consent. Victim reported that Subject also grabbed Victim's hand and placed it on his groin area, led Victim to the bed, lifted her dress and attempted to perform oral sex on Victim without her consent. Victim reported that she requested Subject leave her room and he complied. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement declining to participate. Due to victim's declination, the command had insufficient evidence to pursue charges at court-martial, but instead, imposed non-judicial punishment.
88	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that she awoke in her bed with no recollection of how she got there and discomfort in her vagina. Victim reported being out drinking with a friend, a civilian Subject, that evening, but could not remember anything after being at a local bar. Subject reported that he picked Victim up at her house and drove to the bar. At the bar, they met another civilian female, who neither had known previously. Subject, Victim and other civilian female returned to Subject's where Victim and civilian female performed oral sex on each other and Subject engaged in sexual intercourse with civilian female. Subject later transported both women home. Subject provided NCIS with video footage from his residence security camera. Civilian female was interviewed and confirmed events as Subject reported. The case was forwarded to local authorities.
89	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Multiple Victims - Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim reported that she had been sexually harassed verbally and kicked in the buttocks by Subject. Victim also indicated that she had been sexually assaulted by Subject earlier the same year when Subject slapped Victim buttocks. Additionally, during Victim's interview, she mentioned that another female Sailor, Victim #2, was touched in the crotch area over her clothes by Subject Charges were referred to a Special Court-Martial, however, after recommendation by the Staff Judge Advocate, Subject was administratively separated.
90	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge;; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 36; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her at his residence after she prepared dinner for Subject. Victim reported that she consumed alcohol while at Subject's residence, became highly intoxicated during the course of the evening and ended up lying on Subject's bed. Victim reported that she awoke to Subject engaging in sexual intercourse with her. Victim reported that once she regained consciousness, she was able to physically separate herself from the Subject.
91	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 35; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 35; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim #1 reported that the Subject exposed his penis and touched Victim's buttocks, over clothing, on multiple occasions. Victim #2 reported that the Subject brushed his penis against her leg. Based on RLSO's recommendation, the Command imposed nonjudicial punishment on Subject.
92a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she had fallen asleep at an off-base apartment when she awoke to Subject digitally penetrating her vagina. Victim reported she asked subject to stop and he complied. Victim subsequently declined to participate in the military justice action, following which the command imposed nonjudicial punishment.
92b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she had fallen asleep at an off-base apartment when she awoke to Subject digitally penetrating her vagina. Victim reported she asked subject to stop and he complied. Victim subsequently declined to participate in the Military Justice Action. As a result of the investigation, victim declination, recommendation of the Region Legal Service Office and SA-IDA, the command imposed nonjudicial punishment for nonsexual assault offenses.
93	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: A third party command member reported that she witnessed Subject swim up to Victim at a pool and grab her breasts and move his hands toward her vaginal area. Command member reported that she believed Victim was too intoxicated to realize that Subject was touching her. Victim was interviewed and did not recall the incident. Command imposed non-judicial punishment and awarded Subject a Letter of Reprimand, and Subject was later administratively separated with a general discharge.
94	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No	Medical	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject sexually assaulted her in an off-base hotel. Victim reported Subject took her to the NEX and to dinner, then rented a room at the hotel. Subject and Victim engaged in sexual conversations while driving and Subject touched Victim's thigh, breasts and vagina over her clothes, while they were in his car. Victim told Subject to stop several times and asked him to take her back to the ship. Victim went to Subject's hotel room where he began taking off her sweater. Victim tried to resist, but felt obligated to have sex with Subject. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment.
95	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Investigation was initiated after SARC contacted NCIS and stated that the Victim had alleged she had been sexually assaulted by an unknown male to whom she was introduced. Victim signed a victim preference statement and when interviewed, advised she did not wish to participate in a criminal investigation and refused to provide any details regarding the alleged sexual assault. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
96	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Midshipman	Male	Navy	M-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Cadet Disciplinary System Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System; Notes: Victim reported that Subject grabbed Victim's genital area over her clothing while in the workplace. A performance review board was held and the Commanding Officer recommended disenrollment from the NROTC program. The package was approved by the Assistant Secretary of the Navy and the Subject was removed from the NROTC program.
97	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported she was in her barracks room when Subject entered her room and attempted to kiss her on several occasions while trying to pull her down onto her bed. Command administratively separated Subject with an Other Than Honorable characterization of discharge.
98	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-3	Female	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported after a consensual sexual encounter in the victim's barracks room, he, the Victim, was lying nude on top of her, the Subject, when she grabbed his testicles with her hand and refused to release his testicles after several requests. The two engaged in a verbal argument, and then Subject placed Victim in a headlock. Subject was awarded non-judicial punishment and was subsequently administratively separated with an Other Than Honorable discharge.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
99	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Air Force	E-5	Male	Unknown		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported meeting Subject, a foreign national, at a bar overseas. Victim had purchased a beer and left it unattended near Subject while watching a match. Victim stated he returned to where his beer was and finished drinking it while sitting next to Subject. Victim stated he and Subject left in Subject"s vehicle to go to another club and once they arrived Victim was given a shot of what he believed to be tequila but could not remember if he consumed it. Victim said Subject wanted to take him home and they left the club. Once in Subject"s vehicle, Victim was seated in the front passenger seat and aware of what was happening around him but felt as if he was paralyzed and could not move. While in this state, Victim said Subject, who was seated in the driver"s seat, began kissing him on his cheek and lips and was inserting Subject"s tongue into his mouth. Victim also stated Subject was rubbing Victim"s genitals through his shorts. Victim stated the Subject administered a date rape drug to him while at the club. The Security Department met with Victim to file a complaint against the Subject. Victim provided a verbal statement regarding the incident but refused to sign the completed complaint and requested additional time to decide whether he wanted to submit the complaint. Victim later reiterated that he did not wish to file an a complaint with the foreign authorities nor participate with the foreign authorities or NCIS to pursue this any further. Investigation was then closed.
100	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Female	Unknown		Unknown	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim was babysitting when she was assaulted by Subject in Subject"s residence. Victim declined to participate in the investigation. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, command action was precluded and the case was closed.
101	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Navy	O-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported being raped by Subject at Subject"s off-base residence. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement declining to participate. Due to victim"s declination, the command had insufficient evidence to pursue charges at court-martial, but instead, administered non-judicial punishment. Subject also received a Letter of Reprimand.
102	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported while at Subject's residence, Subject touched her both over and under her clothes without her consent and made her touch his genitals. Charges were referred to a general court-martial and Subject was found not guilty on all charges.
103	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported waking up in the bottom rack to feeling her right breast being massaged. Subject was interrogated and stated that he was trying to climb into the top rack, fell, and may have have put his hand on her breast trying to get up. Nonjudicial punishment was imposed and Subject was subsequently administratively separated with an Other Than Honorable characterization of discharge.
104	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported being sexually assaulted and declined to provide any information about the incident. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, command action was precluded and the case was closed.
105	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	O-1	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)	Convicted		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)				Courts-Martial discharge: Dismissal; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 14; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Multiple Victims reported that Subject had sexually harassed and/or fraternized with them. One Victim reported that Subject struck her on the buttocks with his hand, and a search of Subject"s digital media revealed that Subject had recorded his sexual relations with two Victims. Victim was convicted at a general court-martial.
106	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim was found inebriated and unresponsive in her barracks room. The boyfriend called emergency services. Victim stated to base police that she had been sexually assaulted multiple times. Victim was interviewed by NCIS and declined to provide any information regarding her report of being sexually assaulted and declined to participate in an investigation. Subject never identified. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, command action was precluded and the case was closed.
107	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)				Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 40; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Multiple victims reported inappropriate statements and sexual contact by Subject in the workplace. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial.
108	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-5	Multiple Victims - Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim #1 reported to her supervisors that she was the subject of abusive sexual contact and sexual harassment by Subject on-base. Victim #1 reported that Subject made verbal sexual comments and also grabbed or slapped her buttocks through her clothing, or attempted to kiss her, on several occasions. Victims #2, #3 and #4 were also identified as victims of sexual contact and harassment by Subject. Subject agreed to a Separation in Lieu of Trial and was separated with an Other Than Honorable characterization of discharge.
109	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-1	Female	No	No	Marital	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Two Victims reported being repeatedly sexually harassed and sexually assaulted by Subject. Victims reported that Subject's behavior included grabbing Victims from behind, grabbing Victims' breasts after waking them up in the morning, unwanted kissing and touching of Victims and Subject watching Victims in the shower. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
110	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted. Victim declined to participate in the investigation. Due to Victim"s declination, lack of further investigative leads, and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
111	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Female	Navy	E-1	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject reached out and grabbed Victim's breast over clothing as victim walked through a classroom toward her seat. RLSO recommended no prosecution; however, recommended alternate disposition. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
112	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported being raped on base barracks and in the process of the rape, Subject confined Victim against Victim"s will. Subject was acquitted of all charges at general court-martial.
113	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that while on watch aboard the ship, she was approached by Subject and without her consent, Subject straddled Victim"s body and massaged her back. Victim stated that shortly thereafter, while following behind Subject down a ladder to an adjacent space, Subject pressed his elbow against Victim"s vagina, outside of her clothing. Victim also reported that on another occasion, Subject began fixing her collar and placed his right hand inside Victim"s shirt, touching the upper portion of her breast. The command imposed nonjudicial punishment and Subject is being processed for administrative separation.
114	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported a sexual assault; and following Victim"s report, Victim declined to participate, and thus, Subject was never identified. Due to the lack of viable leads and the declination of participation by Victim, this investigation is closed.
115	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	O-1	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject attacked her in the workspace on the ship when he yelled at her, grabbed the collar of her uniform, bit her face, shook her, and rubbed his genitals against her buttocks through their uniforms. Victim ultimately declined to participate in the military justice action, and thus, charges were dismissed and nonjudicial punishment imposed. Victims provided a statement for the nonjudicial punishment. Subject was detached for cause and referred to a board of inquiry, which is still pending.
116	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No	Marital	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		False official statements (Art. 107)	General			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 66; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject tried to kiss her upon entering her room. Victim told him no, however, Subject continued to pursue and groped victim"s buttocks. Victim pushed offender away again stating no, and he grabbed victim"s hands and attempted to put them on his genitals. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial.
117	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-6	Male	Navy	O-2	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Conduct unbecoming (Art. 133)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim #1 reported that after an evening of consuming alcohol, Subject attempted to anally penetrate Victim several times while Victim was sleeping at Subject"s residence. Victim #2 reported that on the same evening, while also sleeping at Subject"s residence, Subject touched Victim #2 inappropriately. Victim #1 signed a Victim Preference Statement indicating his desire not to participate with the investigation and the Command determined there was insufficient evidence to prosecute. Subject received nonjudicial punishment and a written reprimand.
118	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-8	Male	Unknown		Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that he was sexually assaulted by an unknown Subject several years earlier. Victim reported that Subject grabbed his genitals underneath his sheer shorts. Victim believes Subject separated from the Navy in 2007. The command advised due to Subject"s military separation, the Navy does not have prosecutorial jurisdiction regarding the alleged offense.
119	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Midshipman	Female	Unknown		Male				Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that during the spring of her freshman year (early 2010), she was attending a party at a residence when she became intoxicated and was sexually assaulted. The Victim provided no details other than identifying the suspect as Subject.
120	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	US Civilian	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject engaged in nonconsensual oral, vaginal and anal intercourse with Victim. Subject was interrogated and stated that they had consensual sexual intercourse. U.S. Attorney"s Office declined to prosecute.
121	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-1	Female	Navy	E-3	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject, while performing a routine screening before Victim could be seen by a physician, requested Victim lift her shirt as he used a stethoscope to listen to her heart. During this procedure, Victim reported that Subject used his right hand to cup Victim's breast over her bra and then invited Victim to socialize with him in his room, providing Victim with a note containing his telephone number. NCIS conducted an exchange of directed text messages between the Victim and Subject, wherein Subject admitted to touching Victim's breast for his sexual gratification. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
122	Rape (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Unknown	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported a sexual assault. Victim expressed she did not want to provide any details of the rape, was not willing to disclose any details regarding the sexual assault, and did not wish to participate in an investigation. Victim declined to participate in and signed a Victim Preference Statement. Due to the lack of viable leads and the declination of participation by Victim, this investigation is closed.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																							
																				Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
123	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-3	Male				Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Other				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her in her barracks room while she was intoxicated. Victim reported that Subject penetrated her vulva and mouth with his penis and her vulva with his fingers and tongue. Charges were withdrawn and dismissed after an Article 32 hearing because Victim declined to participate further. Subject is currently pending administrative separation processing.
124	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	O-2	Female	Navy	O-1	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that after a night of drinking with Subject, Subject entered Victim's room where they engaged in consensual kissing. Victim reported that she told Subject she didn't want to have sex; however, Subject told her to take of her pants and she could go to sleep. Victim removed her pants, at which point Subject engaged in non-consensual sex with Victim. Charges were referred to court-martial and Subject was found not guilty on all charges.
125	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported she had blacked out on the floor in a barracks room but recalled laying on the floor while someone was having vaginal intercourse with her and seeing a male with his penis in her mouth. Victim indicated she woke later confused and naked from the waist down. Subject was acquitted at general court-martial.
126	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Bahrain	Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that while in a taxi returning to base, Subject sat next to Victim and grabbed Victim's penis twice over his clothing. Victim reported that he removed Subject's hand both times and told him to stop. Victim later declined to participate in the military justice process. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
127	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Navy	E-3	Male	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 24; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that while Victim was in an incapacitated state due to extreme alcohol intoxication, Subject manually stimulated Victim's penis and then Subject performed oral sex on Victim without his consent. Subject was convicted at court-martial.
128	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		General Article Offense (Art. 134)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported consuming approximately six beers on an empty stomach during a social gathering at Subject"s residence. Victim reported that she recalled an image of Subject orally copulating her and vaginally penetrating her with his penis. Subject provided a sworn statement in which he reported that he and Victim were both intoxicated and engaged in consensual sexual intercourse. Subject disclosed Victim initiated the sexual encounter and participated during its entirety. Command determined there was insufficient evidence of any offense for the sexual assault. Following the advice of RLSO, the commanding officer imposed nonjudicial punishment.
129	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Victim (single victim)	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 22; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim #1 reported that Subject sexually harassed her and touched her buttocks over her clothing at an off-base party. Victim #1 also reported that Subject was observed undressing Victim"s #2 after Victim #2 had passed out from alcohol intoxication. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial.
130a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted her in an off-base apartment by two Subjects. Victim reported that while she was heavily intoxicated, both Subjects performed oral sex on Victim and penetrated her vagina with their penises. An Article 32 hearing was held and the Investigating Officer recommended dismissal of all charges due to insufficient evidence. Based on the Investigating Officer's findings, the command took no further action.
130b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that 2 Subjects sexually assaulted her in an off-base apartment by performing oral sex and penetrating her vagina with their penises while she was inebriated. An Article 32 hearing was held and the Investigating Officer recommended dismissal of all charges due to insufficient evidence. The SA-IDA took no action on the case, returning the case to the command for any action. Based on Investigating Officer"s findings, the command took no disciplinary action.
131	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male				Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that while on duty on the ship, she went to civilian Subject"s workspace and asked for assistance. Victim reported that Subject then locked the door, turned off the light and groped and squeezed Victim"s buttocks, over her uniform, for approximately 10-15 seconds while making moaning sounds. The case was referred for local prosecution; however, it was declined by the United States Attorneys Office.
132	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Three (3) Victims reported Subject assaulted them at various times. Victim #1 reported Subject reached down the front of her pants and touched her vaginal area underneath her clothing then grabbed her hand and placed it on his crotch where she could feel his erect penis, all while onboard the ship. Victims #2 and #3 both reported Subject touched them in a sexual manner on different occasions during deployment, specifically Subject put his hand on Victim #2"'s inner thigh and moved it toward her private area while saying "you know you want to." Victim #3 reported Subject would regularly ask her to show him her bra and/or panties and she would comply, and would shake her buttocks or breasts for him when he asked. Victim #3 also reported that on one occaion Subject reached his hands underneath her shirt and bra and rubbed her nipples. After preferral but before the Article 32 hearing, two of the victims declined to participate further. Subject was convicted at a special court-martial.
133	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No	Chaplain/Spiritual Support	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Acquittal						Notes: Victim reported while she and Subject were talking outside a building aboard base, Subject held Victim"s waist with his hands and then grabbed Victim"s breasts, pubic area, and buttocks without her consent. Victim advised she left the scene and later reported the incident. Command held an Article 15 hearing; however, Subject was found not guilty and charges dismissed.
134	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Riot (Art. 116)	General		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 25; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject grabbed her buttocks without her consent and she also witnessed Subject grope the buttocks and breasts of four other women during a party hosted by Subject and his wife. Subject was convicted at court-martial.
135	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject came up behind her, rubbed up against the back of her body and put his hands on her hips. Victim also reported that while Subject and Victim were gathering supplies from the store room located on ship, that Subject came up behind Victim, undid her belt and pants, pulled her pants and panties down to her thighs and proceeded to engage in sexual intercourse with Victim. Following the investigation, commanding officer determined there was insufficient evidence to prefer charges in this case for resolution by court-martial, however, nonjudicial punishment was imposed.
136	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-5	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Two Victims reported they experienced unwanted sexual contact during appointments with Subject, a chiropractor. Subject was a contractor and is no longer employed by the Navy. Subject was questioned and admitted to touching the top part of Victim #2"s breast and admitted to massaging Victim #2 in places he should not have. Local District Attorney"s Office reviewed the case and declined to prosecute due to insufficient evidence.
137	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Subject (a single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 2; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 66; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breast and kissed her mouth while she was sleeping. Subject was convicted at a special court-martial.
138	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 18; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject, others, and he went to a bar where they consumed alcohol and then went to fellow Navy member"'s house to continue drinking where they all ended up spending the night. This was the first time Victim and Subject had met. Victim reported he fell asleep at 0400, fully clothed on the sectional in the living room and Subject was on the other and of the sectional. Victim woke up the next morning at 0630 and found his pants were half way down, his penis was fully exposed and Subject"'s hand was around his penis. Victim allowed Subject in the face then jumped on top of him and punched him repeatedly. Victim awoke the others, relayed the story, then left the house and drove to the ship where he reported the incident. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial.
139	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-6	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim #1 reported unwanted sexual touching by Subject at work to her chain of command. Subject hugged Victim at work, swiped his index finger along her buttocks, and touched her breast with his hand. Victim #2 also reported unwanted touching by Subject at work. The command imposed nonjudicial punishment.
140	Rape (Art. 120)	SPAIN	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	Yes	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: In February, 2013, Victim reported she was sexually assaulted in the fall of 2011. She stated she was in her barracks room when the Subject knocked on the door, entered the room and pushed her against a wall locker, and had sexual intercourse with her against her will. The case was forwarded to the SA-IDA. The Region Legal Service Office (RLSO) reviewed the case and found there was insufficient evidence to prosecute. Following the RLSO recommendation, the SA-IDA took no action on the sexual assault related charge. Subject was administered non-judicial punishment for collateral misconduct and was administratively separated with an other than honorable characterization of discharge.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
141	Rape (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Article 15 Acquittal					Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject came over to Victim's house intoxicated. Victim reported that Subject began to place his hand on Victim's buttocks, stomach area and attempted to kiss Victim. Victim reported that Subject physically pushed her down and removed Victim's pants and underwear. Victim reported that she did not verbally or physically resist Subject as he took off her pants and proceeded to perform oral sex on Victim followed by sexual intercourse. Victim executed an expedited transefer, signed a Victim Preference Statement declining to participate, and reiterated her declination when she was contacted again by trial counsel when the investigation was closed. Victim also confirmed that she would be satisfied if the case was disposed of at NJP-level. Due to victim"s declination, the command had insufficient evidence to pursue charges at court-martial, but instead, elected non-judicial punishment. Subject was found not guilty of offenses at non-judicial punishment.
142	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 5; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: Yes; Total $ Amount of Fines: 1000; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported multiple incidents of sexual harassment and non-consensual sexual contact by her supervisor (Subject) while onboard the ship. Subject would make sexual advances towards Victim, including kissing her neck, touching her vagina over her clothing, and forcing Victim"s hand to touch Subject"s penis. Subject was convicted at special court-martial and administratively separated with an Other Than Honorable characterization of discharge.
143	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim was was found fully clothed, but unresponsive, intoxicated, and sleeping in a public bathroom located outside the Naval Exchange. While at medical, medical personnel noted bruising on Victim"s thighs; however, Victim did not know why she had bruising and stated that she could not remember what had occurred throughout the night. No subjects were identified during the NCIS investigation, and command was unclear as to whether a crime had actually been committed. Victim voluntarily signed a Victim Preference Statement requesting no further participation. All logical leads have been exhausted revealing no subject. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
144	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 12; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject raped her while she was sleeping, despite having told him three weeks prior they were not going to have intercourse again until he was divorced from his current wife. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial.
145	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported when returning from liberty walking down the passageway on the ship, Subject, a civilian Maritime Sailor, grabbed her buttocks. Subject received administrative disciplinary action and was sent back to the U.S. The Department of Justice declined to prosecute the case.
146	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Acquittal					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her in off-base apartment one year prior by inserting his penis in her vagina while she was incapacitated. The local District Attorney declined to prosecute and RLSO recommended charges not be pursued at court-martial. Command found subject not guilty of offenses at non-judicial punishment and subsequently awarded Subject a Letter of Instruction.
147	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported to her shipmate that she awoke in a weapons magazine on the ship to Subject attempting to force her mouth onto his penis. Victim had attempted to make a restricted report and provided no amplifying details. Victim declined to identify her alleged assailant. Victim also declined to participate in the NCIS investigation or military justice prosecution. Due to Victim"s declination, lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, command action was precluded and the case was closed.
148	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Male	Navy	E-1	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed and slapped his buttocks while he was dancing at an on-base club. Victim also reported that on a separate occasion, Subject tried to punch him with a closed fist in the groin area, which Victim was able to deflect with his hand. Subject also made inappropriate comments of a sexual nature to Victim. Subject admitted slapping Victim on the buttocks, but stated the slap was an attaboy congratulatory slap because Victim was dancing with an attractive female sailor, and not done for sexual gratification or arousal. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment.
149	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)	Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged subject exposed his penis in workspace on the ship. Second victim alleged subject grabbed her buttocks and made sexually inappropriate comments. Charges referred to SPCM, but after further consideration charges withdrawn. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment and administratively separated Subject with an Other Than Honorable characterization of discharge.
150	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that one year earlier Subject, a service member at the time of the incident, now a civilian, raped Victim while she was sleeping in his barracks room. Victim reported that she awoke to Subject penetrating her vagina with his penis. Subject could not be contacted or located. Local civilian authorities closed the case due to the lack of progress and evidence.
151	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Spain	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-6	Female	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Acquittal					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that after drinking at a party attended by other members of her command, she and the others went to a bar. Victim reported that at the bar, Subject cornered Victim and began kissing her without her consent. Victim reported that during the taxi ride back to base, Subject began rubbing Victim"s thigh and kissing her on the ear without Victim"s consent. Command found subject not guilty of offenses at non-judicial punishment.
152	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Uncharacterized	Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 3; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her vagina over her underwear with his hand and kissed her on the mouth. It was also reported that Subject touched Victim #2"s breasts over her shirt with his hand and kissed her mouth. Subject convicted at special court-martial.
153	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that he was harassed and sexually assaulted by three Subjects in the workplace over the course of a year. The victim alleged that the Subjects would slap his buttocks (referred to as "good games") and squeeze his chest area over his clothes. The victim also alleged that Subjects would put their hands inside his uniform pockets to examine the contents. Charges were not preferred due to insufficient evidence, however, Subject received adverse counseling.
154	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim #1 reported that Subject assaulted her when Subject placed his hand under Victim #1"s pants and underwear and touched Victim #1"s vaginal area without her consent. The incident occurred during the early morning hours at the off-base residence of Victim"s cousin. During the investigation, Victim #2 was identified as an additional Victim of sexual assault by Subject. Victim #2 confirmed the sexual assault but signed a Victim Preference Statement, declining to proceed with prosecution against Subject. Subject was acquitted at a general court-martial.
155	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		False official statements (Art. 107)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject hugged her, kissed her on her lips without her consent and then fell on top of her momentarily. Following advice from the Region Legal Service Office, the commanding officer imposed nonjudicial punishment on Subject.
156	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Male	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Subject (a single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 20; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that while he was sleeping in his barracks room, he awoke to Subject fondling Victim"s penis over his underwear. Victim reported that at the time of the incident, Subject was naked and appeared to be highly intoxicated. Victim immediately reported incident to command. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial.
157	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported after a night of drinking she returned home and Subject brought her son over to her residence. Victim further reported that she went into the bedroom, removed her shirt, went into the bathroom, and threw up approximately three to four times. Victim advised she went to her bed, passed out, and was later awoken by someone touching her breast under her bra and rubbing her vaginal area over her pants so she moved away. Victim stated after she moved away she felt someone touching her in the same way but this time they were also touching her buttocks. Victim advised she rolled over this time and identified Subject as the individual that was touching her so she got up, grabbed a pillow and went into the bathroom to fall asleep. Victim further advised Subject never touched her again. The local authorities were notified and declined to investigate. Subject was acquitted at a special court-martial.
158	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 2; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched her breast while she was asleep and on a separate occasion touched her breast and kissed her neck and face. Subject was convicted at special court-martial and administratively separated with an other than honorable characterization of discharge.
159	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	A Civilian/Foreign authority is Prosecuting Service Member									Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her in off-base housing. Local State"s Attorney Office prosecuted the case; however, due to Victim"s lack of participation, Subject was charged with battery versus sexual battery. Subject pled guilty to one count of misdemeanor battery and was sentenced to 12 months of probation. Subject received an adverse performance evaluation withdrawing retention recommendation and was separated from the Navy.
160	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Female	Navy	E-3	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her in room after a night of drinking. Victim reported that Subject escorted her to her room, where she was in and out of consciousness. Victim reported that she recalled waking up several times to Subject penetrating her vagina with his fingers despite Victim telling Subject to stop. Following the investigation, the command determined there was insufficient evidence to prefer charges against Subject; however, Subject received non-judicial punishment.
161	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported to her chain of command that she was assaulted by her former fiance in her PPV barracks room. After they argued with each other, Subject allegedly held Victim down and forcibly kissed and licked Victim and spit in her face. Victim declined to participate in the investigation and declined a SAFE kit conducted.

	Part I Sexual Assault Sexual Assault Synopses Report 3.xlsx																						Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
162	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject passed behind her and placed his hand on her buttocks. The command imposed nonjudicial punishment.
163	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Midshipman	Female	Navy	C-2	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Cadet Disciplinary System Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System; Notes: Victim reported that she and Subject were engaged in consensual kissing in a bedroom when Subject asked if he could digitally penetrate Victim, to which Victim responded, no. Victim and Subject remained in bed and Victim reported that Subject removed her pants before she could react and digitally penetrated Victim. A conduct hearing was held and resulted in Subject being administratively separated with a general characterization of discharge.
164	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Spain	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject sexually assaulted her in an alley off-base while both Victim and Subject were highly intoxicated. Victim reported that Subject physically took her into an alley, kissed her neck and lips while attempting to remove her clothing, despite Victim attempting to stop Subject's advances. Victim reported that Subject proceeded to digitally penetrate her vagina and performed oral sex on her. Charges were referred to court-martial and Subject was found not guilty of all charges.
165	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male				Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Multiple Victims reported that they were all approached by Subject off base overseas where Subject touched either their breasts and/or buttocks without their consent. NCIS referred investigation to local law enforcement. Local authorities ultimately declared Subject mentally challenged and declined to pursue criminal charges against him.
166	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		False official statements (Art. 107)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 2; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 25; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported to other command members that Subject sexually violated her in her room, but did not specifically allege that she was raped. Victim was interviewed and refused to answer any questions or provide details regarding Subject's alleged sexual misconduct. Subject was convicted at a special court-martial.
167	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-6	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	General			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 90; Notes: Victim reported she awoke in her room to Subject on top of her with his penis near her vagina. Subject admitted to messaging Victim's vagina with his hand while she was asleep, but denied any sexual act involving his penis. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial and was subsequently administratively separated with a general characterization of discharge.
168	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)				Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 11; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 33; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim #1 reported that Subject exposed his penis to Victim while underway. Victim #2 also reported that Subject repeatedly made verbal sexual comments and touched her breasts and buttocks without her consent. Victim #2 reported that Subject continued behavior even after Victim"s repeatedly asking Subject to stop. Subject was convicted at special court-martial.
169	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No	Chaplain/Spiritual Support	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution		Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject groped her buttocks and touched his genital area over her clothed genital area while at Subject"s off-base residence. An Article 32 hearing was conducted and the investigating officer recommended dismissal of the charge. Following the recommendation of the investigating officer and the staff judge advocate, the SA-IDA dismissed the charge. Subsequently, the command imposed nonjudicial punishment upon the Subject and administratively separated him with an Other Than Honorable characterization of discharge.
170	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Acquittal					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject allegedly touched Victim"s leg and genitalia over the clothes without consent. Both Subject and Victim consumed alcohol and claimed to be intoxicated. An Article 15 hearing was held at which the commanding officer chose to dismiss charges based on lack of evidence.
171	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-8	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q4 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Victim reported she willingly accompanied Subject to his temporary room at the Navy Lodge after meeting him at a bar. Subject took off all of his clothes and requested she orally stimulate him, forcefully grabbed and squeezed her breasts and nipples beneath her clothes, prevented her from leaving, and slapped and punched her. Victim was difficult to locate and communicate with during attempts to prosecute the Subject, so Subject was administered other adverse administrative action due to insufficient evidence due to lack of participation of the victim.
172	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Victim reported that Subject grabbed her waist and kissed her on the neck without her consent. Subject was given an oral reprimand.
173	Rape (Art. 120)		Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 36; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by Subject. Victim stated she last remembered having drinks with Subject and waking up in an unknown location, later identified as Subject"s apartment, with Subject on top of her engaging in vaginal intercourse. Victim stated she passed out again and then awoke the next morning alone in Subject"s apartment. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial
174	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that when she walked past Subject in front of her desk, Subject grabbed her, put her over his shoulders and hit her hard on her buttocks in front of the other individuals. Victim reported that she told Subject to put her down. Commanding officer imposed nonjudicial punishment on Subject.
175	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Male	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		General Article Offense (Art. 134)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched him by kissing, groping, masturbating, and having oral sex while in ship"s berthing on separate occasions. Victim stated that although he let the sexual interactions occur, he did not want them to happen and felt degraded when he reflected on the interactions. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense following the Region Legal Service Office recommendation that no charges be pursued at court-martial based on the victim declination and insufficient evidence.
176	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-4	Female	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately touched her vaginal area over her clothing while on liberty. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
177	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Unknown		Male				Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that he was sexually assaulted by a civilian employee at a military hospital. The investigation by NCIS, Army CID and FBI found insufficient evidence of a crime. No further action was taken.
178	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	A Civilian/Foreign authority is Prosecuting Service Member									Notes: Victim reported that she was at her residence when Subject climbed on top of her while she was sleeping and put his hand down her underwear attempting to digitally penetrate her vagina. Victim awoke, pushed Subject off of her, and left the apartment. Subject was prosecuted by local authorities and plead guilty to lesser offense of assault and battery in the 2nd degree. Subject was subsequently administratively separated with an Other Than Honorable characterization of discharge.
179	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Male	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that he was sexually assaulted at knife point by an unknown white male on board ship. Victim has refused to provide any information to NCIS in regards to this incident. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, command action was precluded and the case was closed.
180	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	O-1	Female	Navy	O-5	Male	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution		None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that while out to dinner with a friend, she was introduced to Subject. During the course of the evening, both Victim and Subject were consuming alcohol, and Victim reportedly became heavily intoxicated. At some point during the night, Subject took Victim to his apartment, where Victim awoke in the morning naked in bed with Subject. An Article 32 hearing was held and resulted in a recommendation to dismiss sexual assault charges as the evidence supported consensual sexual activity. However, the command imposed nonjudicial punishment on Subject for a non-sexual assault related offenses.
181	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		False official statements (Art. 107)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed her by her wrist and attempted to force her to touch his groin over his clothing while he was making lewd comments of a sexual nature. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment and an administrative separation board hearing is pending.
182	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Navy	E-3	Male	Yes	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 20; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that while at a pretrial confinement facility (PTCF), that he and Subject were alone in the library seated next to each other at the table reading books. Subject touched Victim"s leg and then grabbed Victim"s genitals over the clothing from underneath the table. Victim reported the incident to the PTCF staff, and Subject was removed from the general population. Subject was in PTCF on suspicion of a separate sexual assault charge. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial for charges related to a separate incident.
183	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported that that her boyfriend, Subject, digitally penetrated her vagina while she was taking an afternoon nap with him at his on-base residence. Subject was acquitted at a general court-martial.
184	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-8	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Disorderly conduct (Art. 134-13)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject made contact with her buttocks over her clothing without her consent. Following advice from RLSO, the command imposed non-judicial punishment on Subject.

	FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																		Administrative Actions			
Nos.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
185	Unknown (NG Only)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Male	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim met with NCIS and stated that upon consoling a service member friend about the service member"s sexual assault, Victim told the service member that he was also assaulted approximately four (4) years prior. The service member reported to command that they were informed by Victim that he was previously sexually assaulted and the command contacted NCIS. Victim agreed to meet with NCIS, he was interviewed and would not provide any details regarding the incident. Victim would only tell NCIS that the incident occurred 4 years ago on a military installation. Victim would not ascertain if he was raped or sexually assaulted during the interview. Subsequent to the interview of Victim, he stated that he did not wish to participate in an investigation and refused to provide further details or a statement regarding the circumstances surrounding the incident. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
186	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 24; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped Victim in an on-base barracks room by holding Victim down and penetrating her vulva with his penis. Subject was convicted at a general-court
187	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Female	Navy	E-3	Female	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim#1 reported that Subject touched her buttocks in a sexual manner without her consent while they were in class. Victim#1 also reported that Subject touched her without consent previously, but she did not perceive the touching to be of a sexual nature until Subject made a statement that Victim had a cute butt. Victim#2 reported a similar scenario except Subject was allegedly advising Victim #2 that her rear pants pocket buttons were undone. Victim#2 reported that Subject informed her that she just wanted an excuse to touch her buttocks. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
188	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-6	Male	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim #1 reported that Subject entered a berthing area where the two victims resided aboard ship. Subject touched the thigh and groin area over the two victims clothing and then made sexually explicit comments directed towards the two victims. RLSO Southwest declined to prefer criminal charges based on NCIS investigation. The case was adjudicated at NJP for Sexual Harassment.
189	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-1	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject touched and kissed Victim, and subsequently attempted to orally copulate her; however, Victim stopped Subject from doing so by closing her legs and moving away from Subject. Subject later attempted to have sexual intercourse with Victim by pressing his penis onto Victim"s vaginal area, however, Victim told Subject that she did not wish to have sexual intercourse with him and pushed him away from her. Subject then laid in bed with Victim, placed her hand on his penis, and asked her to masturbate him. As a result of the investigation, the Region Legal Service Office advised there was no abusive sexual contact, and subsequent SA-IDA determination, the command imposed nonjudicial punishment and administratively separated Subjet with a General (under Honorable) characterization of discharge.
190	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject kissed her neck, grabbed her breast over her clothing, and rubbed her left leg over the clothing without her consent while onboad the ship. The command imposed nonjudicial punishment and subsequently administratively separated Subject with an Other Than Honorable characterization of discharge.
191	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-2	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject kissed and fondled victim in her barracks room and when victim rebuffed his advances he grabbed her breast over her clothing and attempted to put his hands inside of her shorts, but stopped when she pushed his hand away. Victim indicated there was no skin-on-skin contact. Victim reported Subject applied pressure to her throat before leaving her room, which Victim believed he was doing as a sexual thing rather than trying to hurt her. Victim declined to participate in prosecution. The SA-IDA determined this offense did not warrant resolution at court-martial based on RLSO and JA recommendations. The command administered nonjudicial punishment and subsequently, administratively separated Subje with an Other Than Honorable characterization of discharge.
192	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that she was with Subject at an off-base bar, where she consumed two (2) drinks. Victim awoke the next morning without any clothes and the Subject, also naked, was sleeping next to her. She was in pain and noted bleeding from her vagina. Victim declined to participate in the case. Subject was processed for administrative separation.
193	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Multiple Victims reported Subject engaged in unwanted sexual contact with Victims by kissing Victim #1 on the earlobe, touching Victim #2 on the buttocks, and kissing Victim #3 on the neck. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment and Subject was subsequently separated from the Service with an other than honorable characterization of discharge.
194	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject had been making inappropriate sexual comments to her at work, and that he had slapped her on the bottom part of her buttocks. Subject denied making contact. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment on Subject.
195	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q2 (January-March)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported attending a party in a hotel room with friends. During the evening, the Victim"s friends left the party to get pizza while she was sleeping. When she woke up, an unknown man was penetrating her without her consent. Subject was never identified. No charges were filed. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
196	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-2	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that, after a night of drinking where Victim fell asleep on the hotel bed, Subject attempted to digitally penetrate her vagina, then inserted his penis into her vagina from behind. Subject was administratively separated with a General.
197	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed her waist from behind and attempted to kiss her neck while rubbing his genitals against her buttocks over her clothing. Victim reported that Subject also grabbed her by the belt loop and pulled her toward him as well as squeezed her buttocks while making explicit comments about her body. RLSO recommended prosecution and charges were preferred. Subject was separated in lieu of trial (SILT) with an other than honorable characterization of discharge.
198	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Female	Navy	E-1	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 48; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject forced her to the ground where he placed his hand inside her pants and touched her vagina, forced her to perform oral sex on him, and placed his head under her shirt where he bit and kissed her breasts. Subject was convicted at court-martial.
199	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male				Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that after she consumed approximately 5 or 6 shots of alcohol, she and her friends returned to their hotel room and all slept in one king sized bed, while Subject slept in a chair in the room. Victim reported that once everyone had gone to sleep, Subject pulled the covers off of her and grabbed her legs and lower body. Victim responded by pushing Subject away. Victim again woke to feeling Subject take her pants and underwear off, then Subject began to orally copulate Victim"s vagina. Victim pretended to be asleep, hoping Subject would stop, but Subject continued. Victim reported that she tried to push Subject off her, but Subject grabbed her hips and pulled her halfway off the bed and penetrated her vagina with Subject"s penis for 1 or 2 minutes before Victim pushed Subject off her. Victim subsequently declined to participate in the investigation or identify Subject.
200	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)		Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Communicating a threat (Art. 134-53)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject forced himself into Victim"'s room and attempted to sexually assault her. Victim struggled with Subject and was able to force him out of her room. Victim declined to participate in prosecution. Nonjudicial punishment was imposed and Subject was subsequently administratively separated with a General characterization of discharge.
201	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-7	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted by Subject on base after both Victim said Subject consumed alcohol at an event earlier in the evening. Victim reported that Subject slapped her on her buttocks over her clothing and kissed her on the lips without her consent. Following the investigation, the command decided to impose non-judicial punishment; however, Subject refused non-judicial punishment and Subject was subsequently processed for administrative separation. The administrative separation board found misconduct and recommended separation with an other than honorable characterization of discharge.
202	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-6	Female	Unknown		Male				Q2 (January-March)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted on two separate occasions, once at an off-base residence in 2003, and once in a barracks room in 2005. Victim stated she did not wish to report the incidents to law enforcement, but would be willing to meet with NCIS. During interview, Victim advised she did not wish to report the incidents to law enforcement and advised she only reported the sexual assaults to her command because she wanted to obtain counseling services. Victim declined to provide any information regarding the date, time, and location of the incidents and she did not provide any identifying information for either Subject. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement declining to participate. Due to Victim"s declination, lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
203	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported drinking at a friend"s off-base apartment, but she wasn"t so intoxicated to the point she was stumbling or unaware of her surroundings. Later that evening, Victim reported she had a headache so she laid on a bed located in the living room of the studio apartment and fell asleep. Victim woke to someone touching her arms. Victim realized Subject placed his left hand between her thighs (over her clothing) and rubbed her vaginal area without her consent. Subject also slipped his hand under Victim"s blouse and fondled her breasts. Subject was acquitted at a special court-martial.

FY13 Service Members Sexual Assault Synopses Report NAVY																								
																				Administrative Actions				
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
204	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-3	Female	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted in the bathroom by her liberty buddy Subject. Subject reportedly grabbed Victim by the hair, kissed her, rubbed her hand across Victim"s breasts, and attempted to take her shirt off and unbutton her pants. Victim pushed Subject away multiple times and was able to depart the restroom when an unknown individual opened the door. The command imposed nonjudicial punishment, and subsequently, was administratively separated with a General characterization of discharge.
205	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Bahrain	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Acquittal						Notes: Victim reported that after meeting Subject in their hotel room, she was feeling sick, rested on a bed, and at one point everyone except Subject left the room. While alone in the room, Subject got into bed with her, pulled her into him and began kissing her forehead. Victim stated that despite her telling him "no" and indicating she did not want his advances, Subject continued to kiss her, touched her breast, and put one his hands into her pants and underwear. Subject did not touch her vagina, because Victim turned away. Command found Subject not guilty of alleged offenses at nonjudicial punishment.
206	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Italy	Army	E-6	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject grabbed her buttocks twice while on the dance floor at a local bar one year earlier. Subject was court-martialed and acquitted of all charges.
207	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q3 (April-June)	A Civilian/Foreign authority is Prosecuting Service Member								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that she met Subject in a casino and consumed numerous alcoholic drinks together. They left the bar to return to Subject"s hotel room, at which point Victim changed mind and tried to leave. Subject became aggressive and used his body to hold her in place while Subject digitally penetrated Victim"s vagina. Victim reported immediately the next day. Subject was arrested by the local Police and charged with Sexual Assault. Subject eventually agreed to plead to felony lewd coercion. Victim declined to participate in military justice action or civilian case. Subject was processed for administrative separation. Members substantiated the misconduct for commission of a serious offense on the basis of subject"s forcefulness with the victim, however, they did not find that subject sexually assaulted victim or committed a sexual offense. Despite the members" recommendation for retention, the Subject"s command and the General Court-martial Convening Authority recommended separation.
208	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		DoD	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched her shirt between her breasts while she was assisting him at the Navy Exchange. Victim stated Subject pulled on her shirt causing the space between the buttons to gap and allowing Subject to look inside Victim"s shirt. Non-judicial punishment was imposed and Subject was subsequently administratively separated.
209	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Unknown		Male				Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Civilian Victim reported that while Victim and civilian Subject were checking residents for identification, Subject approached Victim from behind and briefly pressed his groin against her buttocks over the clothes. NCIS briefed the Assistant United States Attorney on this investigation, however, the U.S. Attorney"s office declined to prosecute the case.
210	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: A Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) representative reported a sexual assault involving Victim and an unknown Subject. The incident occurred approximately three weeks prior in one of the barracks located on base, by an individual who also resides in the same barracks; however, the SAPR representative relayed Victim did not want to cooperate with the investigation. Victim was interviewed regarding this investigation and advised she did not want to participate in the investigation and signed a Victim Preference Statement. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
211	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported she was kissed on the cheek by a foreign national civilian Subject while overseas. Victim declined to report incident to local authorities and reported that she did not wish to pursue charges against Subject. Subject"s Navy related employment was terminated.
212	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	US Civilian	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q3 (April-June)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that following a meal in a restaurant off-base, Victim used the restaurant"s restroom and while she was washing her hands, the restaurant"s head-waiter, who was a foreign national, cornered her and attempted to kiss her. Victim pushed Subject away and quickly departed the restaurant. Victim later reported the incident to the restaurant owner, but no action was taken. The NCIS agent accompanied the Victim to the appropriate foreign agency and assisted her with filing a denuncia, following which, NCIS"s investigation was closed.
213	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Unknown		Male				Q4 (July-September)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that Subject pushed her onto the bed in her barracks room, pulled off her pants and penetrated her vagina with his penis after she said she did not want to have sex. Victim reported that on a separate occasion Subject kissed her, pushed her onto the bed and penetrated her vagina with his fingers and penis despite her telling him "no" and "stop." Subject was a military member at the time of the offense, but was separated from the Navy in 2012. Victim made her report in 2013. NCIS was later informed that the U.S. Attorney"s office did receive this complaint but declined to prosecute the case.
214	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	None	Yes	Victim (single victim)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 2; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject had come to his residence to socialize and to drink alcohol. Victim stated he was awakened by the feeling of an individual performing fellatio on him. Victim initially thought he might be imagining these events, but after attempting to roll over the same sensations remained. Victim awoke the next morning to find that he was naked from the waist-down and the shorts he had worn to sleep were adjacent to his bed on the floor.
215	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Male	Navy	E-4	Male	No	Yes		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Subject (a single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 8; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 66; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that he awoke in the middle of the night feeling a hand touching his genital area over his clothing. Victim reported that he then observed Subject, his roommate, crouched near Victim's bed. During the investigation, Subject admitted touching Victim, although Subject claimed he was highly intoxicated. Subject was convicted at special court-martial.
216	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that she was told by a friend that Victim may have been sexually assaulted by Subject at an off-base party. Victim was advised that Subject reportedly penetrated victim's vulva and anus while Victim was substantially incapacitated. An Article 32 hearing was held and the investigating Officer recommended dismissal of charges based on insufficient evidence. Charges were dismissed subsequent to the recommendation by the Investigating Officer.
217a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Four female Victims reported that they were touched through blankets and clothing on their inner thighs while sleeping in berthing. The offender"s identity could not be determined. All logical investigative leads were exhausted. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
217b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown									
218	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Male	Unknown		Unknown				Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported he had been sexually assaulted at an off-base party sometime in the past year. Victim declined to provide any additional information and declined to participate in an investigation. Due to the Victim"s declination, lack of further investigative leads, and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
219	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Female	Navy	E-1	Female	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched her exposed breast while Victim and Subject were in a shower area. Victim reported that she told the Subject to stop. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
220	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that she offered to let Subject sleep on her couch after a night out because he was too intoxicated to drive home. Victim reported that she went to sleep in her bed and left Subject on the couch; however, Subject tried to come into her room. Victim reported that she advised Subject she did not want to have sex with him and Victim went back to sleep. Victim reported that she awoke to Subject removing her pants and climbing on top of her; however, she was disoriented at the time and went back to sleep, but awoke again in pain as Subject was forcefully penetrating her vagina with his penis. Charges were referred to court-martial and Subject was found not guilty of all charges.
221a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male				Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported she was assaulted by three civilian Subjects. Victim reported that Subject #1 approached Victim from behind and grabbed Victim"s crotch and breasts above Victim"s clothing and exposed his penis to Victim. Victim reported that Subjects #2 and #3 had pinched and grabbed Victim"s buttocks on multiple occasions between May 2012 and July 2012. Subjects #1, #2, and #3 were interviewed and denied culpability. The victim declined to participated and thus, prosecution was declined by the United States Attorney"s Office.
221b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male				Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported she was assaulted by three civilian Subjects. Victim reported that Subject #1 approached Victim from behind and grabbed Victim"s crotch and breasts over Victim"s clothing and exposed his penis to Victim. Victim reported that Subject #2 and Subject #3 pinched and grabbed Victim"s buttocks on multiple occasions. Prosecution was declined by the United States Attorney"s Office.
221c	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male				Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported she was assaulted by three civilian Subjects. Victim reported that Subject #1 approached Victim from behind and grabbed Victim"s crotch and breasts over Victim"s clothing and exposed his penis to Victim. Victim reported that Subject #2 and Subject #3 pinched and grabbed Victim"s buttocks on multiple occasions. Prosecution was declined by the United States Attorney"s Office.
222	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Subject (a single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 3; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject had made numerous sexually explicit comments to her, touched her buttocks over the clothing at least ten times, touched her inner thigh and unzipped her uniform pants. Subject was convicted at a special
223	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that on two separate occasions, Subject attempted to kiss Victim while on duty. On one occasion, Subject grabbed Victim's face and pulled her close to kiss her; however, Victim refused and pulled away. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
224	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-1	Female	Navy	E-1	Female	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: Yes; Total $ Amount of Fines: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject made sexually suggestive comments and repeatedly touched Victim's breasts and buttocks. Subject received non-judicial punishment and was subsequently administratively separated with an other than honorable characterization of discharge.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
225	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-5	Female	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted victim by making numerous sexually explicit comments and touching Victim"s inner thigh over the clothing on two occasions. The Region Legal Service Office recommended that charges not be preferred, but rather, disposed of a nonjudicial punishment, following which the command concurred and nonjudicial punishment was imposed.
226	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Navy	E-3	Male	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject forcibly sodomized Victim with his penis on two different occasions, once in a hotel room during a port visit and a second time onboard the ship. An Article 32 hearing was held and charges were dismissed based on advice from the Region Legal Service Office. Subject subsequently received non-judicial punishment.
227	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No	Mental	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Victim (single victim)	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 84; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported after attending an off-base social event, Subject and victim went to victim"s barracks room on base. Victim was awakened by Subject touching his genitalia. Subject was convicted at general court-martial.
228	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		False official statements (Art. 107)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported when she walked by Subject in the base bowling alley he reached out and grabbed her crotch over her clothing. Following a Region Legal Service Office recommendation for no prosecution, the command imposed nonjudicial punishment.
229	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	W-2	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim #1 was facing an Article 92 violation related to being in a space with a member of the opposite sex when she disclosed that she did not feel safe in her own work center because Subject kissed her on her neck and lips and rubbed her breasts and vagina. Victim #1 reported to have been subjected to oral copulation by Subject while in her barracks room and to have been digitally penetrated while riding in a government vehicle with Subject. During the course of investigation, Victim #2 was identified as a secondary Victim of sexual assault by Subject. The Victims declined to participate further in the investigation and as a result, Subject was administered non-judicial punishment.
230	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Third party servicemember reported possible sexual assault on civilian Victim by another servicemember. NCIS reached out to Victim; however, Victim only reported that Subject exposed his genitals while Victim was at Subject"s residence, and Subject attempted to touch Victim. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement indicating her desire to not participate in the investigation. Due to Victim"s declination, Subject received other adverse administrative action. Subject was later administratively separated from the Service due to unrelated misconduct.
231	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	US Civilian	Female	Navy	O-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: Dismissal; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she was assaulted by the Subject in his office, behind closed doors. Victim reported the Subject fondled and placed his mouth on her exposed breasts, touched her vaginal area over her underwear and grabbed her buttocks. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial.
232	Rape (Art. 120)		DoD	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 10; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Third party report by Victim's husband in which husband reported that his wife was raped by Subject while she was asleep. When interviewed by NCIS, Victim reported that she was not sure she was sexually assaulted because she, Husband, and Subject engaged in a consensual threesome the week prior. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
233	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						General		Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported Subject repeatedly tried to kiss her and slapped her on the buttocks. Subject was administratively separated with a general characterization of discharge.
234	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	Prep School Student	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Cadet Disciplinary System Action								Subject (a single subject)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System; Notes: Victim reported Victim and Subject were passengers in the back seat of a car returning from the club to barracks when alleged offender bit victim in a sexual manner on the back and buttocks and made inappropriate sexual comments. Victim further reported that Subject exposed himself while urinating and asked victim to help him zip his trousers. Command determined there was insufficient evidence of a sexual assault; however, the command disciplined him for assault and other infractions via Naval Academy preparatory school"s conduct system and disenrolled him from NAPs.
235	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Soliciting an offense (Art. 134-48)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported being groped on multiple occasions on her breasts and buttocks and being offered money for sexual favors earlier in the day via internet conversation. Following a victim declination and determination that there was insufficient evidence to prefer charges, the command imposed nonjudicial punishment upon Subject.
236	Rape (Art. 120)		DoD	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 36; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject had sexual intercourse with her while she was passed out from drinking too much, and threatened to kill her if she told anyone. Subject was convicted at general court-martial.
237	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	General		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-6; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Two Victims reported that they were sexually assaulted by Subject. One Victim reported that Subject took her clothes off after telling Subject to stop. The other Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by Subject when he forced her to have sexual intercourse with him at his residence. Both victims signed letters declining to participate in the military justice action. The SA-IDA referred charges to a summary court-martial and Subject was convicted. Subject was subsequently administratively separated for a non-sexual assault related offense, and received a General characterization of discharge.
238	Rape (Art. 120)	Italy	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported she left a club with three unknown foreign nationals, was taken to a beach near the club and was raped by one of the men but fought off the other two. Victim declined to be interviewed and elected not to provide any information about the alleged assault. Due to lack of logical investigative leads, the victim"s preference, and the absence of jurisdiction, this investigation is hereby closed.
239	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted Victim at a house party by penetrating Victim"s vagina with his penis. An Article 32 hearing was held and the Investigating Officer recommended no prosecution. Based on the recommendation, the command took no further action against the subject as the evidence did not support prosecution.
240	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Male	Navy	E-2	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Convicted		Wrongful use, possession, etc. of controlled substances (Art. 112a)				Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 2; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that he had experienced repeated unwanted sexual contact at his desk when Subject touched and squeezed victim"s chest. Victim also experienced unwanted sexually harassing comments by Subject on a repeated basis. Subject claimed he did not realize that the victim was offended or that the touching was unwanted. Subject was convicted at special court-martial.
241	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 30; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 90; Notes: Victim #1 reported she was in a sleeping bag in a cabin when Subject touched her hip with his hand and attempted to "spoon" her by pressing the front of his body against the back of her body, from outside her sleeping bag without her consent. Victim #1 told him to get away from her. Victim #2 reported she was asleep in a highly intoxicated state in a different cabin and awoke to Subject digitally penetrating her vagina and performing oral sex on her vagina without her consent. The command referred charges to a General court-martial.
242	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Male	Unknown		Unknown				Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported being sexually assaulted in 2011 by an unknown civilian. Victim indicated he never reported it to local police because penetration did not occur and until now he was not defining the assault followed by forceful kissing and groping over the clothes as a sexual assault. Victim explained that he processed it as an attack he was barely able to escape from and related he had forgotten about the incident until he was preparing his April 2014 SAPR Training presentation. Victim refused to give any additional identifiers of the subject, where the assault took place or of any potential witnesses and signed a Victim Preference Statement. Due to Victim" desire to not participate in the investigative process and the lack of investigative leads, this investigation is closed.
243	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Male	Navy	E-2	Male	Yes	No		Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Notes: Victim reported to local law enforcement that his former roommate, Subject, sexually assaulted Victim in a bathroom aboard ship while Victim was urinating. Victim reported that Subject placed his hand around Victim"s hand that was holding his penis to urinate. Subject and Victim were co-subjects in a previous sexual assault investigation. Subject was administratively separated with an other than honorable discharge.
244	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: SARC stated that Victim reported a sexual assault, but did not have any details regarding the assault. The Victim"s Legal Counsel advised that Victim was not ready to disclose any details of the case at this time, and thus, no information is available regarding a suspect, location, time, or any alleged facts of the assault. Due to the inability to identify an offender, the case closed.
245	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-8	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		False official statements (Art. 107)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported instances of unwanted physical contact and sexual comments made by Subject while on duty. Victim declined to participate in the military justice process; however, Subject received non-judicial punishment and was detached for cause.

	FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
246	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-6	Female	Navy	O-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Fraternization (Art. 134-23)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject passed a "love note" intended for Victim through another service member who ultimately turned the note in to the command. During a command investigation into possible fraternization issues, Victim reported to investigating officer that Subject, a Physical Therapist, nudged Victim"s buttocks during a balancing exercise in a therapy session. Victim reported that she initially did not consider this to be an issue; however, after mentioning the encounter to another service member who was receiving the same type of treatmet for a similar injury, and the other service member was not nudged on the buttocks during the same balancing exercise, Victim reported the conduct. Victim also reported that on one occasion, Subject attempted to kiss her while alone in his office. Victim later declined to participate further in the military justice process, and as such, RLSO recommended nonjudicial punishment as the final action. The command imposed nonjudicial punishment and issued Subject a Letter of Reprimand.
247	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported she had been raped by Subject, an ex-boyfriend, two years ago. Victim related Subject became forceful as he attempted to drag her out of the bed and roll her over on her back. Subject forced Victim"s legs apart and inserted his fingers then his penis into her vagina. Subject was administratively separated from the Navy due to misconduct unrelated to this investigation, and thus, the command no longer had prosecutorial jurisdiction over him. The local police department assumed primary investigative jurisdiction over this case.
248	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	ITALY	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	O-4	Male	No	Yes		Q4 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Victim reported that Subject exposed his penis to Victim and touched her buttocks over her clothing while she was laying in a crew bunk onboard an aircraft. Alcohol is believed to be involved in the instances in which Subject exposed his penis to Victim. A Board of Inquiry was convened for three UCMJ charges: Article 133, conduct unbecoming an officer and gentleman, Article 134, disorderly conduct and drunkenness, and Article 134, fraternization. The Board found no basis related to Article 133 and 134, Fraternization, but found the evidence supported a violation of Article 134, disorderly conduct and drunkenness. Subject was not recommended for separation.
249	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted by an unknown Subject while alone in a rear smoking area on base. Victim reported Subject pushed her shoulder against a wall and grabbed her groin area as Subject stood in front of her. Victim stated she was facing downward and did not look at Subject"s face. Victim stated she kneed the Subject in the groin and Subject fell to the ground. Victim advised if she saw Subject again she would not be able to identify Subject. Due to lack of further investigative leads, and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
250	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Female	Unknown		Male				Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim told another USN member that she was sexually assaulted. This USN member subsequently reported Victim"s allegations to a Victim Advocate. Victim additionally informed a second USN member of her allegations. Command notified the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator, and Victim was uncooperative. According to Command, Victim reported she fell asleep in the barracks room of Unknown Subject, and awoke naked and sore. Victim would not identify Subject, and said that Subject transferred out of the unit. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement indicating she did not wish to participate in this investigation.
251	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported she was raped by an Unknown subject off-base. Victim declined to participate in the investigation. Due to Victim"s declination, lack of further investigative leads, and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
252	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: After an evening of drinking, Victim and her friends returned to Victim"s residence with two people that they knew from the bar. Victim had been drinking and started feeling ill. The last thing Victim remembered was escorting one of her friend"s spouses upstairs around midnight. At approximately 0600, Victim was awakened when one of her friends pulled the Subject off of her. Victim refused to provide the names of witnesses to the incident and other details that could assist with providing investigative leads. Victim signed a Victim Declination Statement with the Region Legal Service Office as well as a Victim Preference statement, indicating that she did not wish to provide information to necessary to further the investigation. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, command action was precluded and the case was closed.
253	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Navy	O-5	Male	No	No	Chaplain/Spiritual Support	Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Victim #1 reported that while conversing with another Sailor, Subject inappropriately touched her breasts. Victim #1 reported that despite her attempts to cover herself by crossing her arms, Subject continued to touch her. Victim #2 reported she also was inappropriately touched by Subject, but could not recall specifically when Subject had touched her. Victim #2 reported she was standing in the passageway when Subject approached her to congratulate her on a job well done. During the encounter, Subject patted her on the buttocks. Victim #3 reported being inappropriately touched by Subject on the buttocks as well. Subject was processed for separation via an Administrative Separation Board; however, the Board found no misconduct and recommended retention.
254	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Victim #1 reported she was sexually assaulted by Subject while attending training. Victim #1 reported that while sharing a room with Subject, she awoke to Subject rubbing her upper thigh, lower stomach, buttocks and lower back. Victim #2 also reported that while attending training, Subject ran his hand up Victim #2's inner thigh and grabbed her vagina. The command elected to administer non-judicial punishment; however, Subject refused. The command declined to pursue a court-martial and Subject was subsequently processed administratively. The administrative separation board found no misconduct.
255	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject sexually assaulted her in his off-base apartment after a night of drinking. Subject received non-judicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense and was subsequently administratively separated for unrelated performance issues.
256	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-5	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Suspect groped Victim"s breasts under her bra and told her to give him oral sex. The command imposed nonjudicial punishment and Subject is pending administrative separation from the Navy.
257	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q2 (January-March)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Local law enforcement contacted NCIS regarding allegations made by Victim, who reported being vaginally and anally raped by an unknown assailant onboard base. Victim could not identify her attacker, and reported that the Subject entered her boyfriend"s parked vehicle and assaulted Victim while she waited for her boyfriend. When she was initially interviewed by NCIS, Victim lied about her own identity. NCIS conducted an investigation, and after searching the vehicle, photographing the scene, interviewing persons of interest and witnesses, and obtaining surveillance footage, NCIS telephonically confronted the Victim with evidence of deception on her part. Victim immediately hung up the phone and refused to cooperate further. Due to lack of cooperation from the Victim and the unknown identity of the Subject, the investigation was closed.
258	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	Foreign National	Female	Navy	E-2	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Disorderly conduct (Art. 134-13)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped Victim in a bathroom at a local bar. Victim had consumed alcohol that evening and presented at the hospital with high blood alcohol content (BAC). During the investigation, Victim later made a statement denying the allegation of rape and declining to participate in the military justice process. Subject ultimately received non-judicial punishment for behavior related to the incident.
259	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No	Marital	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-6; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim #1 alleged that Subject groped her breast and buttocks onboard the ship and sent her inappropriate sexual text messages. Victim #2 alleged that Subject attempted to kiss her, touch her inappropriately, and also sent her inappropriate sexual text messages. Subject was convicted at summary court-martial.
260	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Acquittal					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted by her liberty buddy after a day of drinking together when Subject put his open hand on her buttocks. Victim reported that later the same day, Subject placed his hand between her thighs without her consent. Command held an Article 15 hearing; however, charges were dismissed.
261	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that she attended a social gathering hosted at Subject"s residence. Following a night of consuming alcoholic beverages, Victim stated Subject kissed her without her consent. On several other occasions that night, Victim stated Subject attempted to kiss her. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment.
262	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that while walking with Subject to catch a taxicab, Subject made inappropriate sexual comments to Victim. In the cab, Subject touched Victim"s inner thighs, crotch, and buttocks over her clothing and attempted to grab her breast. Command determined insufficient evidence to pursue charges at court-martial and imposed nonjudicial punishment.
263	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported she had recently been sexually assaulted by an unidentified Subject. Victim declined to provide any information regarding being sexually assaulted and stated she did not wish to participate in an investigation or prosecution and subsequently signed a Victim Preference Statement. Due to lack of investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
264	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-6	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject groped her buttocks over her clothing on two occasions during a social gathering. Subject reported that he did not recall any physical contact between Victim and himself due to consuming a large amount of alcohol at the event. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
265	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Male	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim indicated Subject and he left the bar late that evening and Subject assisted Victim to Subject"s temporarily assigned quarters on board the base. Victim advised he passed out shortly after their arrival and woke up several hours later to Subject performing oral sex on him. Victim was too intoxicated to fight Subject off or say anything. Victim further advised the morning after the incident he left Subject"s room without confronting him and went to work. Victim related he never confronted or spoke to Subject again. Victim advised he finally decided to come forward with the report after receiving a sexual assault awareness and prevention brief.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																							
												Investigation Or Evidence							Administrative Actions				
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
266			Navy	E-6	Male	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported he was sexually assaulted aboard the a ship in 1996. Victim stated as he returned to his assigned bunk after taking a shower, he was called to a darkened space in the berthing area by a group of sailors. When he approached the other sailors, Victim stated he was grabbed from behind by one USN member. At the same time, USN Subject grabbed Victim with one hand, ripped Victim"s towel off, and grabbed Victim"s buttocks. Victim stated one of Subject"s fingers touched his anus but did not penetrate. Victim reported the incident lasted less than a second and did not suspect alcohol to be a factor. Regional Legal Service Office advised the statute of limitations has expired, so no judicial action is possible in this matter.
267	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported waking up to unwanted sexual intercourse with the Subject at the her residence. Subject was acquitted at a general court-martial.
268	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported being sexually assaulted by an unknown intruder in her barracks room. Victim reported that Subject appeared in her room in the middle of the night and apparently entered through the window. Victim reported she awoke to the sense of something touching her right breast and when Victim opened her eyes, she realized there was someone in her room. Victim reported that she screamed prompting Subject to flee the room through the open window. Another service member reported witnessing a male running from the area of Victim"s room. Subject was later identified and command imposed nonjudicial punishment. Subject was processed for administration separation which resulted in a finding of no misconduct and a recommendation for retention. Navy Personnel Command recommended Subject be processed for administrative separation by reason of best interest of the service (BIOTS). Subject"s case is currently pending Secretary of the Navy approval.
269	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	A Civilian/Foreign authority is Prosecuting Service Member								Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Local law enforcement responded to a residence for a report of a naked man, later identified as Subject, attempting to gain entry into a residence through a window. Victim reported that she was visiting a friend and awoke to find Subject, naked, hanging from the window sill by his arms, head and chest inside the house. A resident in the house heard the commotion and scared Subject away. Local law enforcement tracked Subject and placed him in custody. Subject was found guilty of Criminal Trespass, Public Indecency and Resisting Arrest. Subject was sentenced to one year confinement; however, via plea agreement, Subject received suspended execution of jail time for 3 years of probation.
270	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None				Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported to her chain of command that Subject had sexually assaulted her in the work center on the ship by kissing her without consent, holding her down, kissing her bare breasts, and attempting to have sexual intercourse with Victim. Victim declined to participate in a military justice prosecution after NCIS investigation. SA-OIA disposed of her report in accordance with her declination and command imposed nonjudicial punishment.
271	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Philippines	Navy	E-6	Female	Navy	E-9	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Disorderly conduct (Art. 134-13)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her on the buttocks and thighs, over clothing, without her consent. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
272	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim reported Subject used physical force to subdue her, including grabbing Victim by the throat, and placing his penis into Victim"s vagina. Following referral of charges to a general court-martial, the command withdrew and dismissed charges due to insufficient evidence as a result of victim"s declination to participate in the action.
273	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported to her active duty boyfriend that she had been sexually assaulted, but declined to be interviewed or provide any details of the assault when contacted by NCIS. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement declining to participate. Due to Victim"s declination, lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, command action was precluded and the case was closed.
274	Rape (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)	None	Yes	Subject (a single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 5; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: Yes; Total $ Amount of Fines: 3000; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that she and Subject rented a room at the Navy Lodge, wherein Subject reached into a bag near the bed and removed a roll of duct tape. Victim told Subject she did not want him to use the duct tape on her; Subject ignored Victim and forced her onto her stomach, taped her wrists and ankles, and secured a towel around her face with duct tape. Subject had vaginal intercourse with Victim. Victim later learned Subject had taken pictures of Victim with his cell phone during the incident. Subject freed Victim, but forced Victim to have vaginal sex with him again. Subject was convicted at a special court-martial, following which, the command would pursue administrative separation of Subject at the end of his confinement.
275	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted by Subject in an off-base hotel room after they consumed multiple alcoholic drinks. Victim reported feeling hazy and laying down, at which time Subject took off her pants and began having sexual intercourse with her. Victim reported biting Subject on the chest and subsequently passing out. Victim reported waking up in a different hotel with no recollection of how she got there. Charges against Subject were preferred, and he was separated with an Other Than Honorable Discharge pursuant to a Separation-In-Lieu-of Trial.
276	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	Foreign National	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 2; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: Yes; Total $ Amount of Fines: 2,000; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject forcibly placed her hand against his clothed genitals during a conversation at the doorway to Subject"s room. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial and was subsequently separated with an other than honorable characterization of discharge.
277	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		DoD	US Civilian	Multiple Victims - Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Victim (single victim)	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject pulled her shirt up with his hands and put his mouth and tongue on her breasts without her consent. Subject was convicted at a court-martial.
278	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-1	Female	No	No	Mental	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Two Victims reported being repeatedly sexually harassed and sexually assaulted by Subject. Victims reported that Subject's behavior included grabbing Victims from behind, grabbing Victims' breasts after waking them up in the morning, unwanted kissing and touching of Victims and Subject watching Victims in the shower. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
279	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Male	Unknown		Male				Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported he offered a ride to a stranger, who then pulled out a knife and instructed Victim to get in the backseat of his vehicle. Subject punched Victim in the chest and forced him onto his stomach, slid down his shorts and penetrated his anal cavity with his penis. Victim told the Subject to stop and unsuccessfully attempted to resist. Victim was unable to provide the Subject"s name or any other identifiers. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
280	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted while she was incapacitated due to fatigue at Subject"s off-base residence. Subject made statements to the Victim suggesting that the two engaged in sexual activity. The Subject was investigated and admitted to having sexual intercourse with the Victim on two occasions but denied either was non-consensual. The Victim advised that she had no memory of previous sexual contact with him and would never have consented to sexual activity with him. An Article 32 hearing was held and the charges were referred to a general court-martial. Charges were subsequently withdrawn and dismissed following the advice and recommendation of the Staff Judge Advocate.
281	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 24; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Five Victims reported that Subject touched their genitals over their clothing while they were sleeping. Subject was convicted at general court-martial.
282	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Navy	E-6	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 66; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject allegedly tried to kiss her in the barracks after gaining access to her room. the Region Legal Service Office advised the command that there was insufficient evidence to support prosecution for a violation of Article 120. UCMJ. Subject was convicted at summary court-martial.
283	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	O-3	Female	Navy	O-4	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject and she were drinking alcohol with other command members during a port call. After they arrived back at the hotel, Subject touched Victim inappropriately by grabbing her hips with both hands and pulling Victim against him. Subject also grabbed Victim"s buttocks with his hand multiple times. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment.
284	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	Cadet/Midshipman	Female	Navy	O-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victims reported Subject inappropriately fondled them without their consent by touching their buttocks and putting his hand up Victim"s skirt and touching her vagina while out in town on liberty. Following consultation with the victims, the command imposed nonjudicial punishment and processed for administrative separation.
285	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she had been drinking the night before, but when she awoke the next morning, she was wearing the same clothes, but her underwear were missing. When asked about the night before, Subject allegedly told victim they had sexual intercourse. Victim reported having no recollection of the sexual act. Charges were referred to a general court-martial; however, Subject was acquitted on all charges.
286	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her one year earlier in a barracks room by penetrating her vulva with his penis without her consent while she was highly intoxicated. Article 32 hearing was held and charges were dismissed subsequent to the recommendation by the Investigating Officer due to insufficient evidence.
287	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 84; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that she had consumed alcohol at a party, and was raped by Subject when he penetrated her mouth with his penis without her consent. Subject was interviewed and believed he had sex with the victim because he found a used condom on his residence floor; however, he stoen"t remember any sexual contact because he stated he "blacked out" from drinking alcohol. Subject was convicted at general court-martial.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject in Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
28B	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		DoD	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No	Chaplain/Spiritual Support	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 10; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported observing Subject rubbing his penis over his pants while looking at her. Victim reported that she confronted Subject and asked him to stop. Victim further reported that on another occasion, Subject touched her buttocks with his hands as she tried to pass by Subject in a [illegible]
28B	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject approached Victim from behind and grabbed and held both of Victim's arms together behind her back, pressing the front of his pelvis against Victim's buttocks. Victim also reported that Subject then bent Victim over in a 90 degrees position and solicited another command member to spank Victim's buttocks, to which the command member declined. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
29D	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						General			Notes: Victim reported in early 2013 that Subject held her in the corner of an office against her will and groped her breasts and vaginal area over her clothing in the fall of 2010. Following review by the Region Legal Service office, and due to the Statute of Limitations, the command did not administer nonjudicial punishment. Subject was subsequently administratively separated with a general, under honorable, characterization of discharge.
29L	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she and Subject were talking about the necklace Victim was wearing while in Subject"s car, when Subject groped Victim"s breasts over her clothing. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment.
29Q	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported while she and subject were carrying supplies to an area in the workplace, Subject pulled her towards him by her clothing and placed one hand on her buttocks and the other hand on her breast while he attempted to kiss her. Victim pushed Subject away and reported the incident. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment and Subject is pending a subsequent administrative separation [illegible]
29S	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-5	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		False official statements (Art. 107)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject raped her, however there was insufficient evidence of the sexual assault offense. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment for nonsexual assault offense. Subject was subsequently administratively separated with an [illegible]
29W	Rape (Art. 120)	Japan	Navy	E-4	Male	Unknown		Male				Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that he had been raped off-base in 2011. Victim declined to participate in the investigation and signed a Victim"s Preference Statement indicating so. Due to Victim"s declination, lack of further investigative leads, and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
29X	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported that after locking herself out of her hotel room, she was able to retrieve a key from her roommate who was nearby at a local bar with the Subject. Victim returned to the room and took prescription medication for sleeplessness and anxiety. Shortly thereafter, Subject knocked on the door. Victim allowed Subject to enter and recalled hugging Subject; however, he allegedly began to pull her hair and bite her face. Subject allegedly forced his hand down Victim"s pants and groped her vagina, and pushed Victim"s head down to perform oral sex on him. Victim reported that she did not resist because she thought if she continued he would leave her alone. Following the Article 32 hearing, the case was referred to a general court-martial, however, upon the discovery of new evidence, the Article 32 hearing was reopened. Following the 2nd Article 32 hearing, the Investigating Officer (IO) recommended charges not be preferred as he found that reasonable grounds did not exist to believe the accused committed the offense. Major inconsistencies regarding the allegations and lack of truthfulness on the part of the victim were discovered at the second Article 32 hearing. The command concurred with the IO"s recommendation, resulting in the withdrawal and dismissal of charges in this case.
29B	Rape (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No	Chaplain/Spiritual Support	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		False official statements (Art. 107)	None		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 30; Notes: Victim reported while at a hotel with Subject during a port call, Subject followed her into the opposite room of the suite and raped her. Subject was subsequently convicted at [illegible]
29F	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her on the buttocks and made inappropriate remarks in the workplace. Following case review and consultation, Commanding Officer imposed nonjudicial punishment.
29R	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 60; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject vaginally and anally penetrated her with his penis while she was passed out due to alcohol intoxication. Subject was convicted at a general-court [illegible]
29S	Rape (Art. 120)		Navy	O-5	Female	Navy	O-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other. Notes: Victim #1 reported that she was raped twice in Subjects hotel room. . Victim #2 reported that she was the victim of sexual misconduct but then declined to participate any further. The Region Legal Service Office recommended against the preferral of charges due to insufficient evidence because of lack of forensic, physical, or other evidence supporting the allegation. The command concurred and took other adverse administrative action against the Subject.
30D	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 2; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Two Victims reported that Subject sexually assaulted them. Victim #1 reported that on one occasion, Subject slapped Victim #1"s right buttock. Victim #1 reported that Subject touched her by massaging her shoulders and head in a sensual manner and also grabbed her around her waist, arms, and small of her back on numerous occasions. Victim #2 also reported that Subject grabbed Victim #2"s hand, smelled it, put her finger into his mouth and sucked on it. Both Victims reported that Subject made sexually explicit comments to them. Subject was convicted at court-martial.
30L	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male				Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she was drinking alcoholic beverages at a hotel bar and returned to her room without paying her bar tab. A foreign national hotel employee went to Victim"s room to drop off the tab, at which time Victim reported he entered her room and touched her inappropriately. Victim pushed the subject away but he continued forward. Victim then passed out and believes that she was sexually assaulted. The Victim notified NCIS that she does not want to participate in the investigation. The Subject was fired from his position at the hotel.
30Z	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	OMAN	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Two victims reported that Subject sexually assaulted them on separate occasions. Victim #1 reported that Subject pushed her on a hotel bed and she told him to stop in a playful manner, but Subject got on the bed and starting having vaginal sex with her. Victim stated Subject was aggressive and she became afraid. Victim stated she did not actively participate but did not resist Subject either. Victim #2 reported that Subject raped her onboard their ship. Victim #2 declined to participate in the judicial action, following which, the Region Legal Service Office advised the command there was not enough evidence to proceed at trial. The command concurred and administered Non-Judicial Punishment. Subject was administratively separated with an Other-Than-Honorable characterization of service.
30D	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Victim (single victim)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that she had been sexually assaulted by Subject, a person to whom she was previously engaged. Victim reported that after cancelling their engagement to marry and ceasing sexual relations, Subject reportedly assaulted Victim after an evening out to dinner together where the Victim consumed several alcoholic beverages and became intoxicated. Victim reported that once they returned home, Victim began undressing and kissing Subject. Victim recalled Subject penetrated her vaginally, both digitally and with his penis, but Victim reported she was too intoxicated to knowingly consent and would not have consented if sober.
30A	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim jokingly chastised subject for failure to promptly fix a computer and subject struck victim in the groin with the back of his hand. Subject apparently intended to hit victim in the stomach, and victim believed that the subject was just "playing around" and was not malicious in striking him. Following the investigation, the command determined that no sexual offense had occurred, but imposed nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense.
30B	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Unknown		Unknown	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported the sexual assault happened approximately four years ago, but refused to say whether it was before or after he joined the U.S. Navy. Victim reported that his roommate, unknown Subject, gave Victim some water. Victim woke up a few hours later, his senses came back to him slowly, and he felt something was wrong. Victim provided details of how after he woke up, Victim went to his girlfriend"s home, who told him that he was sexually assaulted. Victim would not provide additional information. Victim subsequently signed a Victim Preference Statement stating he no longer wanted to participate in the investigation. Due to the refusal of Victim to participate in the investigation and the lack of viable leads, this investigation was closed.
30E	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male				Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported Subject raped her in her residence. Victim reported she had a previous relationship with the Subject, but refused to identify Subject. Due to lack of further investigative leads, and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
30T	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported consuming a large amount of alcohol at civilian residence followed by civilian Subject allegedly initiating non-consensual sexual intercourse. Subject forcibly penetrated Victim"s vagina with his penis without Victim"s consent. Local authorities interviewed suspect who stated the sexual act was consensual. Local authorities reviewed case and declined to prosecute.

	FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																		Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
308	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Male	Navy	E-1	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that on two occasions, Subject approached him, put his hand behind Victim"'s head and pulled Victim"'s head towards him so that Victim"'s face made contact with Subject"'s groin over the clothes. The command imposed nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense due to insufficient evidence for a sexual assault related offense. Subject was administratively separated from the Navy.
309	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her while in Victim"'s room. Victim reported that she awoke to Subject digitally penetrating her vagina, and then Victim locked herself in the bathroom until Subject left. The Convening Authority referred the case to a special court-martial. Subject was administratively separated in lieu of trial (SILT) with an other than honorable characterization of discharge.
310	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-5	Male	Unknown		Unknown				Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported this case to SARC but declined to be interviewed or to provide a statement. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement. Due to the limited information provided by Victim, to include the identification of a Subject, all investigative action has been completed and case is closed.
311	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)			Subject (a single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 3; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted Victim by intentionally touching Victim"s inner thigh and genitals on top of the blanket Victim was sleeping under without consent. Subject was convicted at special court-martial.
312	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)				Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 9; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim #1 reported that he went to sleep and awoke to Subject touching his groin area near his genitals without his consent. Victim #1 later discovered that Subject allegedly assaulted another member two years earlier when he touched his penis without his consent. Subject was convicted at general court-martial.
313a	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Four (4) Victims reported that Subject groped and fondled Victims over their clothing. Subject also pulled one victim into a storeroom on the ship and exposed Subject"s buttocks to him. Command administered nonjudicial punishment.
313b	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim #1 reported he was groped and fondled over his clothing by Subject #1 on multiple occasions over an extended period of time. Victim #1 reported, in October 2012, he was held down in a chair in his work space aboard ship by Subject #1, Subject #2 and Subject #5, while Subject #4 teased him on his lips. The second incident occurred approximately one year later aboard the ship when Subject #1 pulled Victim #1 into a store room aboard the ship and exposed his buttocks to him after Subject #3 shut and latched the door to the room. During investigative interviews of other individuals in Victim #1"s division, other Victims were identified. Subject #1 was interrogated and denied any involvement in the incident where Victim #1 was kissed. Subject #1 admitted to engaging in horse play with Victim #1, but denied exposing his buttocks to him. Subject #1 provided a sworn statement in which he stated his actions were meant to be playful and not intended to be malicious or sexual in nature towards any of the Victims. Following the Region Legal Service Office recommendation that no charges be preferred for a sexual assault related offense, the command imposed nonjudicial punishment on Subject for nonsexual assault related offenses. No judicial or administrative action was taken against Subject #2 or Subject #3. On 11Mar14, liaison with the United States Attorney"'s Office (USAO) revealed prosecution of Subject #4 and Subject #5, both civilians, would not be pursued due to the allegations made against them not meeting the USAO requirements.
313c	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Multiple Victims reported multiple individuals, including Subject, sexually assaulted and hazed Victims by groping and fondling Victims, over clothing, in the work place. Subject was separated from the Navy prior to the allegation being reported. The case was referred to local authorities; however, it was declined for prosecution.
313d	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Multiple victims reported that Subject groped and fondled Victims over their clothing. Subject also pulled one victim into a storeroom on the ship and exposed Subject"s buttocks to him.
313e	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Multiple Victims reported multiple individuals, including Subject, sexually assaulted and hazed Victims by groping and fondling Victims, over clothing, in the work place. Subject was separated from the Navy prior to the allegation being reported. The case was referred to local authorities; however, it was declined for prosecution.
314	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Male	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that he was sexually assaulted while at work at his previous command by Subject. Victim reported that he was slightly bent over a desk as he gathered some paperwork and Subject grabbed his buttocks. Victim reported that he immediately yelled at Subject and made Subject leave the work space. Subject received non-judicial punishment and subsequently separated at the End of Active Obligated Service (EAOS).
315	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-3	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject entered her living quarters and laid on top of her while she slept on her stomach. Subject began to kiss the side of Victim"s face and hair. Victim told Subject she would scream if he did not leave, and, in turn, Subject departed. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment upon Subject.
316	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		False official statements (Art. 107)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		All subjects (multiple subjects)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported socializing with friends off-base and volunteering to drive Subject #1 home because he was too intoxicated to drive. Victim reported that Subject #1 invited her to sleep over and offered to take her back to the ship in the morning. Victim reported that Subject #1 digitally penetrated her, grabbed her breast and had vaginal intercourse with her against her will. Victim remained at Subject #1"s residence the following day and that evening Subject #2 came over and proceeded to get so intoxicated he needed physical help getting to bed. Victim then got into the bed and laid down in bed between Subjects, at which time Subject #2 began touching her and forcing her to touch his genitals. Victim stated she told him to stop, but Subject #2 put on a condom and placed his penis in her vagina. No action was taken against Subject #2 due to insufficient evidence, and Subject #1 was taken to NJP for making false official statements to NCIS.
317	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Acquittal					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported unwanted sexual contact that occurred one year earlier at Subject's home where Subject allegedly groped Victim's breast and forcibly kissed her without her consent. Command found subject not guilty of offenses at non-judicial punishment and Subject was verbally counseled.
318	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Female	Navy	E-3	Female				Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject approached Victim from behind while she was bent over, grabbed her hips and began to thrust back and forth. Victim also reported that on a separate occasion Subject grabbed her buttocks with an open hand, then quickly let go and slapped her buttocks. Subject admitted she grabbed Victim"'s hips, but denied she had thrust more than once. Subject also admitted she slapped Victim"'s buttocks with the back of her hand, but denied ever grabbing it. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment upon Subject.
319	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that while deployed, Subject inappropriately touched him on his back and buttocks while commenting about his body in male berthing. RLSO recommended allegations be adjudicated at non-judicial punishment. Subject received non-judicial punishment, was awarded a Letter of Reprimand, and was subsequently processed for administrative separation. The administrative separation board found no misconduct.
320	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No	Chaplain/Spiritual Support	Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed her buttocks and groin over her clothing while inside of her room. Due to victim declination and lack of evidence, the Region Legal Service Office did not recommend a court-martial. Command administratively processed Subject at an administrative separation board hearing, however, the board recommended retention.
321		UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Unknown		Female	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she had been sexually assaulted by the Subject"s husband when Victim had attended his Subject"s birthday party. Victim reported she was inebriated but recalled the Subject leading her to an upstairs bedroom where the Subject"s husband had vaginal sexual intercourse with her without her consent. The Victim recalls hearing the Subject being in the room when the assault occurred.
322	Rape (Art. 120)		Navy	E-6	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that after an evening at a nightclub and while intoxicated, she went swimming in the ocean with several others. Victim"s friends realized that Victim was no longer around and started a search for Victim, which included local police, local security and command members. In the early morning hours, a local security guard discovered Victim alone at the nightclub, which was closed at that time. Victim was advised that she had been swimming when she was swept away by the current. Victim reported that when she finally made it to shore, she began walking down the beach when two men kidnapped her and took her to an unknown location where they took turns raping her. Victim reported the men loaded her back into the vehicle and shortly thereafter she escaped and made her way back to the nightclub. NCIS met with local authorities to review the investigation due to lack of further investigative leads, and no identified Subjects, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
323	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted in July 2013 and only wanted access to medical services and counseling. Victim refused to provide information related to the sexual assault to include the name of the Subject. Victim met with NCIS and stated she still did not want to participate in an investigation and signed a Victim Preference Statement (VPS). Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																							
																			Administrative Actions				
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
324	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that while at an off-base apartment, Subject grabbed her forearm, pulled her into the bedroom, pushed her onto the bed, laid on top of her and forcefully kissed her. Victim stated she struggled but was unable to push Subject off of her. Another servicemember in the room punched and shoved Subject to get him off Victim. Subject got up and left the room.
325	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Navy	C-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Civilian victim reported that subject sexually assaulted and forcibly sodomized her against her consent. Local authorities responded to the case and investigated with assistance from NCIS. Subsequent to their investigation, local authorities declined to prosecute. NCIS took over the investigation and charges were preferred; however, prior to the Article 32 hearing, victim declined to participate and charges were dismissed.
326	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-5	Male		No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Honorable			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject forcibly tried to remove her belt twice and forcibly touched her genitalia over her clothing three times. Subject received non-judicial punishment and while on restriction, Subject was caught engaging in consensual sexual activity onboard the ship, was again taken to NJP, and was subsequently administratively discharged based on a Pattern of Misconduct and Commission of a Serious Offense.
327	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	O-4	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported following a command Christmas party, Subject insisted on walking her back to her vehicle. Subject allegedly grabbed Victim"s upper shoulders and neck and kissed her on the lips without Victim"s consent. Victim stated that she would not file a report with local police department.
328	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject, three other Sailors, and she were drinking alcohol in the hotel room after attending the Navy Ball. Victim reported she became intoxicated, fell asleep on the floor of the hotel room, and later awoke to Subject on top of her having vaginal sexual intercourse with her without her consent. Victim stated she was only awake for a few seconds before falling back unconscious. Victim confirmed that although Subject and she had a prior sexual relationship, they were no longer romantically involved. Subject was acquitted at a general court-martial.
329	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assaulting or willfully disobeying superior commissioned officer (Art. 90)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 14; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she followed Subject to his space onboard the ship to talk, but once in the space, he began to touch her hand and made her grope him. When she tried to pull away, he resisted and tried to get victim to perform oral sex. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial.
330	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment			Honorable			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that on two occasions Subject grabbed her cheeks and moved in to kiss Victim on the lips without her consent. Charges were preferred; however, later dismissed and Subject received non-judicial punishment.
331	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 96; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported to her command that she had been sexually assaulted by Subject 5-10 times between June 2011 and June 2012 prior to her joining the Navy. She stated the assaults began when she was 17 years old, and the Subject forcibly penetrated her vagina with his pants. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial.
332	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim was interviewed by NCIS and reported she was raped while visiting her high school friend. Victim stated she and her friend went out to a bar where they started drinking. While at the bar, Victim and her friend saw Subject, who they also went to high school with. Victim stated her friend invited Subject and four individuals back to her house after their time at the bar. Upon return to residence, Victim said she continued drinking with the group until approximately 0300 or 0330 when she decided to go to sleep. Victim stated she went into the living room to sleep on the couch when Subject came in and sat on the couch with her. Victim reported that Subject pulled down her pants and inserted his penis into her vagina without her consent. Victim said she started to cry and that Subject stopped having sexual intercourse with her after approximately 3 minutes. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement acknowledging she did not wish to participate in the investigation or prosecution of her alleged rape. Subject is is a civilian and thus, the investigation was referred to the local authorities.
333	Rape (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	Navy	E-3	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported feeling pain in his rectum and observing blood in his stool after a night of drinking where he passed out in his barracks room. While passed out in his room, Victim observed Subject also passed out in his (Victim"s room). Subject was tried and acquitted at a court-martial.
334	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male				Q2 (January-March)	Offender is Unknown								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she was approached by an unidentified Subject who offered her an alcoholic beverage. Victim"s next recollection was waking up on a hospital bed, at which point Victim was informed that the local police department found her wandering the hotel completely naked. The Police Department Sex Crime Unit was apprised and assumed investigative jurisdiction but closed the investigation due to lack of logical leads. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
335	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Wrongful use, possession, etc. of controlled substances (Art. 112a)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Father of Victim reported that Victim was drunk with three other sailors, including Subject. He stated Victim reported Subject took her pants off and began kissing and touching her vagina, digitally penetrating her. Witnesses saw Victim vomit before getting into the car and crying after the incident. Victim signed declination letter declining to participate in the military justice action. As a result of the declination, and the recommendation by the Region Legal Service Office, court-martial charges were not preferred. However, the command imposed nonjudicial punishment and Subject was administratively separated with a General characterization of discharge.
336	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	Yes	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that while drinking and dancing with other members in the barracks and dancing on Subject"s lap, Subject placed her hand inside Victim"s underwear. Victim also reported that Subject pressed Victim against a wall and proceeded to lick and kiss Victim"s neck without her consent. Victim declined to participate in the military justice process. Subject was also accused of sexually assaulting another member shortly before this incident, who also declined to participate in the military justice process. The Regional Legal Service Officer recommended disposing of both cases at nonjudicial punishement due to insufficient evidence and the Victims" declination. The command concurred and imposed nonjudicial punishment on the Subject.
337	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	None	Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: Yes; Total $ Amount of Fines: 4,200; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that sometime in 2012, Subject groped her inner thigh and attempted to touch her vaginal area while she was seeking medical attention. Victim also reported Subject leaned over Victim"s hand and forearm, and pressed his penis and testicles on Victim"s hand and forearm. Subject was convicted at a special court-martial.
338	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No	Marital	Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject forced himself on her, kissed and placed his tongue inside her mouth without her consent, while they were in a work space. Victim also reported that Subject pinned her against the wall with his body and through clothing, rubbed his penis on her, placed her hand against his crotch, and forced his hand partially down her pants. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment and was subsequently administratively separated with a General (under Honorable) characterization of discharge.
339	Rape (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 84; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim #1 reported three sexual assaults by Subject, a former boyfriend. The first and second assaults were reported as nonconsensual penile-vaginal sexual intercourse onboard ship and in port, respectively. The third assault was reported as nonconsensual penile-anal sexual intercourse. Victim #2 reported she had been sexually assaulted by Subject as well. Subject was convicted at general court-martial.
340	Rape (Art. 120)		Navy	E-1	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Housebreaking (Art. 130)				Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that while in the workplace, Subject closed and locked the door, grabbed her by the arm, pushed Victim's face and shoulder onto a desk, removed her pants, placed his fingers in her vagina, and subsequently forced his penis into her vagina. Victim also reported that on a second occasion, Subject attempted to have sex with Victim in the workplace; however, she was able to get out of the work space before an incident occurred. Charges were referred to court-martial and Subject was convicted.
341	Rape (Art. 120)		Navy	O-4	Male	Unknown		Male				Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported meeting 3 male sailors who were in port onboard a ship OCONUS 25 years ago. Victim reported being sexually assaulted, battered, and sodomized by the three men. There was insufficient evidence available to identify the Subjects. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, command action was precluded and the case was closed.
342	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Multiple Victims reported that Subject, while in port overseas, touched Victims in a sexual manner without their consent. Victim #1 reported Subject placed his hand down her shirt touching her right breast and kissed her on the neck. Victim #2 and Victim #3 reported while out at a local establishment with Subject, Subject kissed them on the cheek on several occasions without their consent. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment and Subject was subsequently processed for administrative separation. Administrative separation board recommend separation with a general characterization of discharge.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
343	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported she had been sexually assaulted at an off-base residence, but declined to participate further in the investigation. Victim stated she wanted to sign a Victim Preference Statement indicating she does not want to participate in an investigation and refused to provide any information regarding the incident in which she was sexually assaulted. Due to a lack viable leads and unidentified Subject, DoD action was precluded and this case was closed.
344	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-6	Male	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 42; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that he and his wife attended a party at a private residence. Victim reported a level of intoxication of six or seven out of ten. Victim fell asleep, fully dothed, on a couch in the upstairs living room, and awoke to an unknown individual performing oral sex upon him and digitally penetrating his anus. Victim stated it was so dark he did not see his assailant and the assailant disappeared as soon as Victim stirred; however, Subject was later identified. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial.
345	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Offender is Unknown								Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported that after serving as a designated driver for a group of friends and attending a party at a service member's apartment, victim fell asleep in an available bedroom and was awoken at approximately 0300 by Subject, who was unknown to the Victim and who proceeded to join her to the bed while kissing, touching, and attempting to remove her blouse. Victim struggled to free herself and told Subject multiple times to stop. Subject stopped when startled by a light in the adjacent room, after which he immediately left Victim's bedroom. Victim contacted NCIS and expressed her desire not to cooperate further with the investigation and after consulting with legal counsel, Victim signed a Victims Preference Statement. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
346	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Female	Navy	E-1	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed and squeezed her buttocks while standing in line for live fire training.
347	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male				Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim was contacted as a witness for an unrelated investigation. During interview by NCIS agent, Victim disclosed that she had been sexually assaulted in 2009 by Subject. Victim reported that one night when she was working late, Subject entered her workspace and attempted to unzip her work coveralls. Subject then exposed penis and placed Victim's hand on penis. Victim was easily able to resist Subject. Victim refused to identify the Subject and was adamant that she did not wish to pursue any charges and was only providing the information in response to NCIS questions about the atmosphere of the command. Due to Victim's unwillingness to cooperate further or identify the Subject, NCIS closed the investigation.
348	Rape (Art. 120)		Navy	E-1	Female	Unknown		Male				Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim advised she was attending a party and consumed too much alcohol and awoke to a civilian Subject having nonconsensual sexual intercourse with her and another unidentified person switching between her and another female at the party. Following Victim's report, NCIS contacted the Sheriff's office to advise of the incident, and advised Victim that she would have to contact the Sheriff's department to file a report at which point the case would be investigated.
349	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-6	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported she was assaulted by an unknown Subject in 2002 while in her assigned berthing area aboard her ship. Victim reported she was awakened to Subject fondling her breast above her clothing. The unknown Subject was wearing a stocking cap to conceal his identity. Victim advised she no longer wanted to pursue the matter and signed a Victim Preference Statement. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
350	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		False official statements (Art. 107)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject #1 and his friend, Subject #2 sexually assaulted her after a night of drinking. Victim reported that Victim and Subjects returned to her apartment in the evening and Victim fell asleep. She reported that she awoke to Subject #2, getting on top of her. Victim reported that Subject #2 was nude, took Victim's clothes off and started having sex with her. Victim reported she attempted to stop Subject #2, but was extremely intoxicated. Victim also reported that Subject #1 then got on the bed and forced Victim to perform oral sex on him. Following the RLSO recommendation, the command took no action against Subject #1 and Subject #2 due to insufficient evidence for a sexual assault related offense. The command did impose non-judicial punishment upon Subject #1 for a nonsexual assault related offense.
351	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted Victim in her room after she had consumed alcohol earlier in the evening. An Article 32 hearing was held and charges were referred to a general court-martial. After extensive consultation with Victim's Legal Counsel, Victim declined to participate in the military justice process and charges were subsequently withdrawn and dismissed due to Victim's declination.
352	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-1	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that on two occasions while standing at the bar to order a drink, Subject slapped her on the buttocks. Subject was reportedly heavily intoxicated and did not recall touching Victim on the buttocks. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
353	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male				Q4 (July-September)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that after an evening out in town, consuming alcohol, she left her identification card at the off-base establishment and was unable to re-enter the military installation. Victim reported that Subject, a law enforcement official, offered to escort Victim on-base to her room to verify her identity. Victim reported that she recalled flirting with Subject, but did not recall the rest of the evening and awoke in her bed, naked, believing someone had sexual intercourse with her. Victim reported the assault and local authorities responded. Victim declined to provide local authorities with details of the alleged assault and Victim further declined to cooperate with NCIS. Victim later decided to participate and identified Subject; however, local authorities declined to prosecute citing insufficient evidence of an offense.
354	Rape (Art. 120)	IRAQ	Navy	E-5	Female	Unknown		Male				Q2 (January-March)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported she was raped by two (2) unknown males while mobilized and deployed in 2005. Victim declined to participate in the investigation. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
355	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-7	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported while walking to base after a group dinner and outing at local bar, which included Subject, Subject slapped Victim on the buttocks and grabbed her buttocks. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment and Subject was processed for administrative separation. The administrative separation proceedings are currently pending.
356	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that during an altercation over a water bottle, Subject touched her left breast. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
357	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-1	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)				Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 14; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim, reported that while on Subject's lap, Subject touched her breasts and buttocks and he digitally penetrated her vagina. During interrogation Subject admitted to touching Victim as described and digitally penetrating her vagina. Subject convicted at general court-martial.
358	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GUAM	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Acquittal						Notes: Victim reported to NCIS that she had experienced unwanted sexual contact by the subject when he kissed her on the lips, grabbed her buttocks, waist and wrist without her consent; propositioned her for sex, asked if she found him attractive, and showed her a photograph of himself having sex with his wife. An Article 15 hearing was held and all charges dismissed.
359	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	O-4	Female	Navy	O-4	Male	No	Yes		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject put his hand inside of Victim's underwear and fondled her genital area. Victim further reported that Subject grabbed her hand and used it to masturbate himself to the point of ejaculation. Charges were referred to court-martial and Subject was found not guilty of all charges.
360	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Unknown		Unknown	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown								Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported she had been sexually assaulted, but declined to provide any details regarding the incident. Victim declined to participate in an investigation.
361	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Male	Navy	E-1	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Uncharacterized			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that while sitting folding clothes, Subject came from behind, squatted down and rubbed his clothed genitals on Victim's back. Subject reported that he had made physical contact with the Victim that was inappropriate in nature. Following advice from RLSO, the command imposed non-judicial punishment on Subject.
362	Rape (Art. 120)	DoD	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No			Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Notes: Victim reported she was visiting with Subject, Subject's wife, and Victim's husband at the Subject's off-base residence. Victim advised that after taking a pill given to her by Subject's wife, Victim fell asleep on a chair in Subject's residence. Victim reported she was awakened to Subject raping her while Subject's wife held Victim down. Following an Article 32 hearing, Subject's Commanding Officer dismissed all charges against Subject without prejudice following the recommendation made by Investigating Officer that charges be dismissed due to no reasonable basis.
363	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject touched him on the outside of his clothing without his consent when he massaged Victim's shoulders, slapped Victim's buttocks, and groped Victim's pants. The command imposed non-judicial punishment and Subject is pending administrative separation.
364	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-2	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	Other		None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that on one occasion she allowed Subject to enter her on-base residence. Once inside, Subject began to grab Victim's waist; groped her breasts under her t-shirt; groped her buttocks under her sweatpants, but over her underwear; and attempted to kiss her. Victim reported that she said "stop" and advised Subject that she had a boyfriend. Victim reported that on a second occasion she allowed Subject to spend the night at her residence. On this occasion, Subject grabbed her legs, forcefully pulled her down to him on the couch; groped her vagina outside of her shorts; groped her breasts under her shirt; and attempted to kiss her. After going to bed, Victim reported that she awoke when she felt Subject groping her breasts and buttocks under her shorts, but over her underwear, and Subject was also kissing her back. Charges were preferred; however, After consultation with the Victim, Region Legal Service Office, and the staff judge advocate, charges were dismissed and the command elected to impose nonjudicial punishment on the Subject. Subject was subsequently processed for administrative separation; however, the Board found no misconduct had been committed.

	FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
365	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 67; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that she was drinking alcohol with friends at an off-base bar when Subject came up to her and poked her in the breast with his hand. Subject was convicted at a special court-martial.
366	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Unknown		Unknown				Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported he was sexually assaulted by the Subject at a party prior to enlisting in the Navy and does not know the Subject. Victim declined to participate in a criminal investigation. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
367	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject groped her buttocks as she descended a ladder well. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment.
368	Rape (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Female	Unknown		Male				Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported the sexual assault, describing the Subject by ethnicity, as one in his early 20s, and one whom is a marijuana supplier for another USN member. Victim advised she consumed alcohol and smoked marijuana prior to the sexual assault. Victim declined to provide more information regarding the sexual assault. Although Victim was informed she would need to report the sexual assault to the local police department due to the location of the sexual assault, she declined to do so. Subsequently, she signed a Victim Preference Statement declining to participate in the investigative process. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
369	Rape (Art. 120)		DoD	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Stalking (Art 120a)			Subject (a single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 48; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her by penetrating her anus with his penis while Victim was pregnant with their child. Subject was convicted at court-martial.
370	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General			Notes: Victim reported that she went to Subject"s barracks room and while there, Victim and Subject began consensual kissing and over-the-clothes physical contact. Subject allegedly attempted to remove Victim"s clothes, bit and pulled on her crotch, causing Victim pain. Victim reported that she told Subject to stop; however, Subject held Victim down and physically restrained her. Victim declined to participate in the military justice process and thus, the command elected to process Subject administratively. Subject was separated with a General (Under Honorable) characterization of discharge.
371	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that she consumed a large amount of alcohol with Subject and other shipmates in base housing. Victim went to bed at Subject"s house. Victim alleges she felt Subject pull her pants down from behind, but Victim doesn"t remember Subject touching her anywhere. A witness stopped the Subject. Subject was acquitted at a special court-martial.
372	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-2	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 120; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 66; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched her breasts over her uniform, grabbed her head and kissed her on the lips, removed his genitals from his coveralls and touched Victim's arm with his genitals, all without her consent. Subject was convicted at court-martial and was subsequently administratively separated with an other than honorable characterization of discharge.
373	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male				Q4 (July-September)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject raped her in his barracks room. Victim advised she was only seeking counseling and did not want to participate in the investigation or judicial process. The US Attorney's Office declined to prosecute.
374	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: An unknown witness reported she witnesses Subject "flicking" the clothed breast of Victim. When contacted, the Victim declined to participate, but Subject was found guilty at nonjudicial punishment based on the witness"s account and Subject's admission.
375	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported being a victim of a sexual assault during testimony before a Disciplinary Review Board. Victim provided no further information about the sexual assault. Victim agreed to be interviewed by NCIS and provided biographical information but would not speak about any allegations of sexual assault. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
376	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Two victims reported that Subject sexually assaulted Victims on separate occasions. Victim #1 reported that Subject pushed her on a hotel bed, she told Subject to stop in a playful manner; however, Subject continued and began having vaginal intercourse with Victim. Victim reported that Subject was aggressive, she became afraid, did not actively participate and did not resist. Victim #2 reported that Subject raped her onboard ship. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment and Subject was subsequently administratively separated with an other than honorable characterization of discharge.
377	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q2 (January-March)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that Subject forcibly penetrated her three years ago. Victim declined to participate in the investigation. Due to victim"s declination and inability to identify an offender, all logical leads were exhausted and the case closed.
378	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	UNITED STATES	Navy	Cadet/Midshipman	Female	Navy	O-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed her by the hips, kissed her on the mouth and attempted to put his tongue in Victim's mouth. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
379	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Other					Notes: Victim reported Subject forcibly held her hand on his penis over his clothes, touched her hair, placed his hand inside the sleeve of her shirt, placed his hand on her outer thigh, and sucked on her fingers without her consent and while in his car. Charges were preferred but the SA-IDA chose to dismiss the charge based on the victim"s declination.
380	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Unknown		Female	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted by Subject while in a shared hotel room. Victim reported that she awoke to Subject touching her in appropriately. Victim reported that she asked Subject to stop, and later left the hotel room.
381	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Male	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Notes: From May 2013 to March 2014 subject allegedly hazed the victim on numerous occasions. In Mar 2014 the suspect allegedly put his face in the victims groin and simultaneously put his hand on the victims buttocks. On 20 March 2014 Subject allegedly flipped open a multi-tool knife and made stabbing gestures towards the victim. Following their review of the case, the Region Legal Service Office recommended nonjudicial punishment. The command concurred, administered nonjudicial punishment, and processed the Subject for administrative separation.
382	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Female	Navy	E-1	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim #1 reported Subject grabbed and squeezed her buttocks while standing in line for live fire training. Victim #2 reported Subject touched her inappropriately over the clothes. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment.
383	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported she was slapped on the buttocks by an unknown person during an emergency response drill on the flight deck. Subject admitted to slapping the buttocks of a Sailor he assumed was another male E-3 from his department during the flight deck drill. Both the Subject and the male E-3 stated that slapping each other on the buttocks "like coaches and players in football" was a common practice in their shop. The male E-3 and female E-2 are of approximately the same height and of similar build. As a result of the investigation, and recommendations from the Region Legal Service Office and staff judge advocate, the command provided the Subject with a letter of counseling.
384	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she and Subject went to the mall to see a movie and have dinner. Victim reported Subject was unduly familiar throughout the evening (e.g., holding her hand, placing his arm around her waist, kissing her cheek and nibbling her ear). Subject also placed his hand on her buttocks on at least two occasions, and during the cab ride back to base, on at least three occasions Subject placed his hand on Victim"s breast over her clothes. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment and Subject was administratively separated with an Other Than Honorable characterization of discharge.
385a	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		N/A	US Civilian	Male	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that four Subjects tied his testicles with a shoe lace and forcibly sodomized him by shoving a broomstick in his rectum. Victim reported that during one of the sexual assaults, one of the Subjects also ejaculated on him. Victim advised that all of the assaults occurred in the early morning hours in the bathroom of their barracks/berthing. RLSO advised the statute of limitations for all offenses in this investigation have expired and any identified suspects could not be charged under the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ). Local and federal authorities were notified of the matter; however, all declined to pursue due to expired state and federal statute of limitations.
385b	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		N/A	US Civilian	Male	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that Subject allegedly sexually assaulted victim multiple times between August to October 2001 and then subsequently threatened victim to remain quiet in February 2002. NCIS investigated case in November 2013. Statute of limitations for all crimes alleged had expired both at State level, Federal Level and as applied under the UCMJ.
386	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-4	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported he was in a romantic relationship with Subject, a reservist, in 2012. Victim reported that he and Subject engaged in a verbal altercation which led Victim to refuse to engage in sexual intercourse with Subject. Subject pushed Victim onto the bed and anally penetrated Victim with his penis. Subject was not on official military orders during the time frame of the assault; therefore, Subject was not subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice. The local District Attorney"s Office declined to accept this case for charging/prosecution.
387	Rape (Art. 120)	Japan	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	O-1	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)	Convicted		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)				Courts-Martial discharge: Dismissal; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 14; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Multiple Victims reported that Subject had sexually harassed and/or frattered with them. One Victim reported that Subject struck her on the buttocks with his hand, and a search of Subject"s digital media revealed that Subject had recorded his sexual relations with two Victims. Victim plea guilty at a General Court-Martial.

	Part 4 Serious Military Sexual Assault Synopses Report (SAVR)																		Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
388	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	KUWAIT	Navy	E-5	Male	Unknown		Male	No			Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported during his nonjudicial punishment proceeding, unrelated to this incident, that he was raped at gunpoint by an unknown individual while on deployment several years earlier, and further, that he was molested by a member of his family when he was a child. Due to lack of investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
389	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-1	Female	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject touched Victim"s buttocks over her clothes. Subject received non-judicial punishment and was subsequently administratively separated with an other than honorable characterization of discharge.
390	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Subject and circumstances of the allegation are unknown because Victim declined to participate in military justice investigation. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
391	Rape (Art. 120)	Australia	Navy	E-5	Female	Unknown		Male				Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown								Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported she was raped in 2008 by an foreign sailor while overseas while helping the Subject find his way to the bathroom at the hotel. Victim stated while she was exiting the restroom, Subject blocked her from leaving the bathroom, would not let her pass, and when she turned around the door was locked. Victim fell and hit her head but could not remember how Subject got her down. She stated that although she kicked the Subject and the walls, she was unable to scream for unknown reasons. Victim stated the he was lying on top of her and she was unable to flee, she passed out and upon waking up discovered blood on the back of her head and immediately left the room. Victim declined to answer any questions regarding the incident or provide a sworn statement. Due to the lack of additional logical leads and the identity of the suspect remaining unknown, this investigation is closed.
392	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Male	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject slapped Victim on the buttocks over her coveralls while Victim was cleaning the head and walked past Subject. Victim reportedly yelled and cursed at Subject and told him not to put his hands on him again. On a separate occasion, Victim reported that as Subject passed Victim in the passageway, Subject again grabbed Victim"s buttocks over his coveralls. Subject admitted he inappropriately grabbed Victim"s buttocks and penis over his coveralls on several occasions. Subject explained he was joking and assumed he was participating in a hazing scenario. The command imposed nonjudicial punishment and administratively separated the Subject with an Other Than Honorable characterization of discharge.
392	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal					Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted by Subject at an off-base apartment. Victim reported that she "blacked out" and did not consent to sexual intercourse with Subject. However, Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement declining to participate in the military justice process. Due to victim"s declination, the command had insufficient evidence to pursue charges at court-martial, but instead, administered non-judicial punishment. Subject was found not guilty of offenses at non-judicial punishment.
394	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SPAIN	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	O-1	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject sexually assaulted her by caressing her vaginal area underneath her clothing. Subject was acquitted at general court-martial.
395	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment			Honorable			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that on two occasions Subject grabbed her cheeks and moved in to kiss Victim on the lips without her consent. Charges were preferred; however, later dismissed and Subject received non-judicial punishment.
396	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported while alone in a hotel room with Subject, he grabbed the victim by the arm, pulled her into the rest room, removed her pants, exposed himself, and attempted sexual intercourse. Victim was able to separate from him and leave the room. He also exposed his genitals to third party female while walking around hotel room naked. The Region Legal Service Office recommended that there was a reasonable basis at nonjudicial punishment for Article 120c and 134 of the UCMJ and the command concurred. Nonjudicial punishment was imposed and Subject is currently pending and administrative separation board hearing.
397a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Male	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 25; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 25; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject and 2 other Subjects assaulted him by grabbing his penis over top of his clothes and exposing themselves to Victim. All three Subjects received nonjudicial punishment.
397b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Male	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 25; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 25; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that three Subjects assaulted him by grabbing his penis over the top of his clothes and exposing themselves to Victim. The command imposed nonjudicial punishment upon all Subjects.
397c	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Male	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 25; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 25; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject and 2 other Subjects assaulted him by grabbing his penis over top of his clothes and exposing themselves to Victim. All three Subjects received nonjudicial punishment.
398	Rape (Art. 120)		Navy	E-5	Female	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported being sexually assaulted by subject with whom she shared a taxi in a large city. Subject followed victim out of cab, and forced her into a building. Subject forced Victim on bed, where he then forced a white powder into her nose. Victim has no further memory of the assault, except waking up naked the next morning. While victim initially did not want to participate, she eventually provided a statement and underwent a forensic exam, but could not make an identification. Despite multiple attempts to identify the perpetrator, NCIS could not identify a subject. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
399	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately touched her breast through her clothing while onboard the ship. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
400	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Male	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported being sexually assaulted by five hospital corpsman; however, Victim did not want to participate with the investigation or provide a statement. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement declining to participate. Due to Victim"s desire to not participate in the investigative process and the lack of investigative leads, this investigation is closed.
401	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No	Chaplain/Spiritual Support	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Subject (a single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 6; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 75; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched her breasts, groin area and thigh without her consent. Subject was convicted at a special court-martial.
402	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-2	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she and Subject were in a hotel room drinking with other sailors. Victim and Subject were wrestling when Subject kissed victim without her consent. Following RLSO recommendation, command administered nonjudicial punishment upon Subject.
403	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject put his penis, which was outside of his clothing, on Victim"s arm, while both were in one of the rooms onboard ship. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment upon Subject.
404	Rape (Art. 120)		Navy	O-2	Female	Navy	O-2	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Conduct unbecoming (Art. 133)	None		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject sexually assaulted Victim while Victim was incapacitated due to intoxication during a liberty port call overseas. Victim awoke the next morning wearing no undergarments and having no memory of the incident. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial.
405	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Female	Navy	E-7	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-6; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 14; Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted Victim by fondling Victim"s breasts and thighs, and kissing her without her consent. Subject was convicted at a special court-martial.
406	Rape (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim stated the sexual assault occurred while she was on leave and she was introduced to the Subject through a friend. Victim reported she was drinking alcohol at a bar with Subject and then they went back to Subject"s residence. Victim and Subject began messing around when Victim became uncomfortable and ran out of Subject"s residence. The next thing Victim remembers is waking up in a hospital emergency room with no memory of the prior evening. Subject was interrogated by local Police Department and told PD he stopped when Victim became uncomfortable, and Victim ran out of his residence. NCIS spoke to the police department detective and was told Victim declined to sign a criminal complaint against Subject, therefore the local authorities closed the case.
407	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject slapped her buttocks with his hand onboard the ship. RLSO recommended no prosecution; however, recommended alternate disposition. Subject received non-judicial punishment and was subsequently processed for administrative separation. The administrative separation board found no misconduct.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																									Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
408	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-1	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject slapped her buttocks. Subject admitted he slapped Victim"'s lower buttocks/upper thigh. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment.
409	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately touched her thigh while at work and sexually harassed her on multiple occasions. Command imposed non-judicial punishment and Subject was subsequently administratively separated with an other than honorable characterization of discharge.
410	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 3; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched her thigh and right breast over her clothing while in an off-base apartment. Victim initially declined to participate in the military justice process and RLSO recommended court-martial for nonsexual offense. Victim subsequently decided to participate and Subject was convicted at special court-martial of a nonsexual offense.
411	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No	Marital	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 48; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that she and the Subject spent an afternoon and evening drinking. During that time, Victim reported that she consumed almost an entire bottle of rum and vomited at least six times. Subject and another Sailor discussed taking Victim to the emergency room, but instead took her to the Subject"'s room to watch over her. The other Sailor reportedly left the room, at which time Subject climbed in bed with the Victim while she was unconscious, removed her pants and underwear, penetrated her digitally, performed oral sex on her, and penetrated her vulva with his penis. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial.
412	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		False official statements (Art. 107)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted by Subject at an off-base apartment. However, Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement declining to participate in the military justice process. Due to victim"s declination, the command had insufficient evidence to pursue charges at court-martial, but instead, administered non-judicial punishment.
413	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	A Civilian/Foreign authority is Prosecuting Service Member									Notes: Local authorities executed arrest warrant on Subject after civilian Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted Victim. Subject was transferred to local authorities to be placed in custody and the case was forwarded for prosecution. Subject was convicted in civilian court of misdemeanor sexual battery and received 365 days in confinement.
414	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	CUBA	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-9	Male	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that while watching 4th of July fireworks, Subject, who was intoxicated, slapped Victim on the buttocks and left a bruise. Subject was awarded nonjudicial punishment.
415	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-2	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she was at an off-base apartment for a party with alleged offender. She reported being intoxicated and waking up to accused having sexual intercourse with her. Subject was acquitted at a general court-martial.
416	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject had been sexually harassing her since shortly after she reported aboard the ship, approximately four years earlier. The harassment started with unprofessional comments and escalated to the most recent incident of unwanted touching. Victim reported that while she was walking up a ladder, Subject reached up and rubbed Victim"s vaginal area over her clothing. The command imposed nonjudicial punishment.
417	Rape (Art. 120)		Navy	E-5	Female	Unknown		Male				Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted at her off-base residence. Local law enforcement officer met with Victim in the presence of her Victim Advocate, and determined from Victim she was sexually assaulted at her off-base residence. Victim reported during the late evening hours, while in the garage of her residence, she was approached by an unknown Subject who asked for assistance. Victim stated the Subject grabbed her arm outside her garage, pulled out a small knife, and held the knife against her back. Victim said the Subject shoved her on her hands and knees, told her to not say a word, and told her to lay flat on her stomach. Victim related the Subject pulled her pants down, and tried to anally rape her with Subject"s penis. Victim claimed the Subject then raped her vaginally with Subject"s penis. Victim said she then ran inside her house and locked her door. Victim immediately notified her command of the incident. Local law enforcement were unable to identify a Subject and no witnesses to the alleged assault exist. Investigation was closed by local law enforcement.
418	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject put his hand in Victim"s buttocks crack over his clothing while in the workplace, despite being told to stop. Victim reported that Subject than approached Victim two more times and attempted to grab Victim"s genital area over his clothing. Following the advice of the RLSO, the command administered non-judicial punishment.
419	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject allegedly kissed victim on cheek and neck with hands around Victim"s waist without Victim"s consent. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment and Subject was detached for cause.
420	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that she and Subject consumed wine while watching a movie at her apartment off base. Subject began to physically hold her down on the couch and began to forcefully kiss her without her consent. Subject then grabbed Victim by the wrist and pulled her into a bedroom where he penetrated Victim"s vagina. Victim advised she did not know if she was penetrated by Subject"s penis or hand due to being a virgin and closing her eyes at the time of the incident. Victim was adamant about not providing Subject"s name. Victim confirmed she did not want to participate in an investigation. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement indicating her desire not to participate. Due to Victim"s declination, lack of further investigative leads, and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
421	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Male	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 6; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject"s hand cupped his penis over his clothing while he slept. Subject was convicted at a special court-martial and is pending administrative discharge upon his release from the brig.
422	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that after meeting Subject on Facebook, she went to his barracks room where he had oral, anal, and vaginal sex with her without her consent. The Region Legal Service Office reviewed the case and determined there was insufficient evidence to prosecute. The command concurred and administered nonjudicial punishment.
423	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Local police department reported a sexual assault of Victim. It was reported that Victim had been sexually assaulted on an unknown date by an unknown male, at an unknown location in the area of the Naval Station. Victim was interviewed and did not provide any details to the local police department. Victim stated she still did not want to participate in a criminal investigation and provided no further information. As a result of no lexical leads, the investigation was closed.
424	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that she "blacked out" and awoke in the same bed as Subject in a hotel room. Victim reported that she did not remember anything from the previous evening, but reported that she had scratches on her vagina. Following advice from Staff Judge Advocate, Subject received non-judicial punishment.
425	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	W-2	Male	Yes	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Conduct unbecoming (Art. 133)	None		Subject (a single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject came to her hotel room where he forcibly kissed her at least three times in the doorway. Victim reported that she told Subject to return to his room and to stop kissing her. Victim pushed Subject out of her hotel doorway and locked him out of her room. Subject was convicted at court-martial.
426	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Male	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 25; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that while in the workplace, twice Subject groped Victim's testicles over his clothes, despite Victim telling Subject to stop. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
427	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Navy	E-5	Male	Unknown		Male				Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported being sexually assaulted at an off-base residence after a night of drinking with friends. At the end of the night, Victim returned home, fell asleep and awoke to civilian Subject performing oral sex on Victim and inserting an unknown object into his anus. Victim reported he attempted to kick Subject until he stopped. Civilian law enforcement was notified and responded to take a report. Subject made an incriminating statement, but Victim declined to participate in civilian investigation.
428	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject followed Victim into a restroom and propositioned Victim for sex. Victim reported that she refused the advance and attempted to leave the restroom. Victim reported that Subject then grabbed Victim's arm and forcibly raised her shirt and bra and placed his mouth on her breast. After a few moments, Subject stopped and Victim left restroom. Subject received non-judicial punishment and was later processed for administrative separation. The administrative separation board found no misconduct; however, Subject was subsequently separated from naval service at the End of Active Obligated Service (EAOS).
429	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she was drugged and sexually assaulted by an unknown civilian at a club/hotel off-base OCONUS. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.

	FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																	Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
430	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported she was at work aboard the ship and was watching television with an unidentified male, Subject, in his workspace when Subject reached over and touched Victim on her leg and hand. Victim told Subject to stop, but he persisted. Victim stated Subject grabbed her to prevent her from leaving the space and then began kissing her neck and lips, fondled her breasts, crotch, and buttocks over her clothes, and forced her to touch Subject's exposed penis with her hand. Victim refused to identify the Subject who assaulted her. Victim stated she did not wish to cooperate with any criminal investigation into this matter. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
431	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	A Civilian/Foreign authority is Prosecuting Service Member								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported Subject sexually assaulted her after an evening out at a local establishment where both Subject and Victim consumed alcohol. Victim reported that Subject drove Victim to a residence where he pushed Victim on the bed and forcefully proceeded to have sexual intercourse with Victim despite Victim?s multiple requests to stop. Subject was convicted in civilian court and following his release from confinement, charges were referred to a general court-martial. Subject was convicted at court-martial.
432	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Male	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported while asleep in his bed in the barracks, in the middle of the night the Victim felt someone grab his pants and pull it through the front opening of his boxer briefs. Victim further reported that when he moved, he observed a white male figure in dark clothing move quickly from the area. All logical investigative steps have been exhausted. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
433	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her vagina outside her clothing while on base. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment.
434	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that her buttock was slapped several times by an unknown Subject. It was later discovered who the Subject was, but Subject had been separated from the Service for a previous drunk and disorderly violation. Victim was escorted to the precinct to file a report. As the Subject is a civilian and there is no prosecutorial jurisdiction, command action was precluded.
435	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Male	Navy	E-1	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 2; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that in the middle of the night, Subject entered Victim's room while Victim was asleep and put his hand on Victim's penis over clothing. Subject was convicted at special court-martial.
436	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-2	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	None	Yes	All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 7; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted after becoming so intoxicated she passed out. Two Subjects climbed onto her balcony, entered her bedroom through an unlocked balcony door and had sex with her while she was asleep/intoxicated. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial and is currently pending administrative separation.
437	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted by hotel housekeeping staff while overseas. The Subject was identified by the hotel and his employment was terminated. According to the host country, Subject was being deported back to his home country. Victim was briefed by NCIS regarding the local legal process and Victim declined to engage with local law enforcement regarding their investigation.
438	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UAE	Navy	E-1	Female	Navy	E-1	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported after drinking with Subject, they went back to the ship. Once on board the ship, watched a movie in the workspace. Subject started kissing Victim, took off her clothes, pulled her on top of him and had unprotected vaginal intercourse with her against her will. Victim further related she told Subject on numerous occasions to stop during the assault. Subject was acquitted at a general court-martial.
439	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Male	Unknown		Male				Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported he was sexually assaulted by multiple Subjects. Victim declined to participate in a criminal investigation and refused to provide additional information regarding the sexual assault. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
440	Rape (Art. 120)	Japan	N/A	Foreign National	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 108; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that after leaving a local bar with her friend, Subject, Subject became intoxicated and removed his penis from his pants and forced Victim to perform oral sex on him. Victim further reported that Subject then dragged Victim to a nearby park where he continued to touch Victim in a sexual manner and forced Victim to his off-base residence. While at Subject's residence, Victim reported that Subject inserted his penis into her vagina without her consent. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial.
441	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q4 (July-Sepiamber)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted, but declined to disclose any details of the assault. Due to Victim's declination, lack of further investigative leads, and unidentified Subject, this case is closed.
442	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	Yes	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported she believed she was raped two years earlier by Subject after Victim was heavily intoxicated. Victim reported she recalled falling asleep after becoming heavily intoxicated and Subject being in the same bed with her, but she could not recall any moments of the sexual activity. Victim reported that she felt muscle soreness in her thighs and her rectum felt abnormal and painful for a few days afterwards, leading her to believe Subject penetrated her anus. An Article 32 hearing was held and charges were dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Investigating Officer. Command Judge Advocate recommended no prosecution due to insufficient evidence of an offense.
443	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her and committed forcible sodomy upon her at her off-base residence one year earlier. Victim did not report the incident to law enforcement at the time it initially occurred. After receiving command sponsored Sexual Assault Prevention Response Training, Victim filed a restricted report. After continued assistance from a victim advocate, Victim elected to convert the restricted report to an unrestricted report. Subject was court-martialed and acquitted of all charges.
444	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No	Mental	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 36; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject performed oral sex on Victim without her consent. Victim reported that she had consumed alcohol earlier in the evening. Subject admitted to performing oral sex on Victim as well as digitally penetrating her without her consent. Subject was convicted at court-
445	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Male	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 66; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that on more than one occasion, Subject placed his hand high on Victim's thigh and asked Victim if it made him feel uncomfortable. Victim reported that he advised Subject that this behavior did in fact make him feel uncomfortable and told Subject to remove his hand. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
446	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)		Navy	E-1	Female	Navy	E-1	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Convicted		Disorderly conduct (Art. 134-13)	Uncharacterized		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 40; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Local authorities reported that while on routine patrol, an officer observed Subject and Victim lying in the grass. The officer reported that upon closer observation, he witnessed Subject with his arm on the neck of Victim and Victim appeared to be attempting to push Subject off of her. The officer further reported that when he approached Subject, he observed Subject with his penis exposed. The officer reported that Victim was too intoxicated to stand up, but was fully clothed, and when interviewed, Victim reported that she didn't recall much of the evenings events. Subject was convicted at court-martial.
447	Rape (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her after she returned to her room from a nightclub with some friends. Victim reported that Subject grabbed Victim''s hands, pinned them back, started kissing her neck, and sexually assaulted Victim by penetrating her vagina with his fingers and penis before allowing her to leave. Charges were referred to general court-martial and Subject was acquitted on all charges.
448	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution		None		Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported that while Subject and Victim were engaged in consensual kissing, Subject tried to pull down Victim's pants, despite Victim saying no. Victim reported that Subject then grabbed Victim's hand and tried to get her to touch his penis. An Article 32 hearing was held and charges were dismissed subsequent to the recommendation by the Investigating Officer due to insufficient evidence. However, Subject received non-judicial punishment.
449	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject groped her through her clothing at a club and made multiple verbal advances toward her after the initial incident. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment upon Subject.
450	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)				Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 40; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Twelve (12) Victims reported being sexually harassed and inappropriately touched by Subject in the workplace. Subject was convicted at general court-martial.
451	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-1	Male	No	No	Chaplain/Spiritual Support	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her in an off-base apartment by penetrating her vulva with his penis and fingers after she stated "no" repeatedly, and while she was intoxicated. Subject was court-martialed and acquitted of all charges.
452	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Male	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported for the past year, an upper classman in his chain of command came into his room and sexually assaulted him. Victim was unwilling to disclose any further information, and refused to provide the Subject's name. Victim was adamant Subject would stop messing with him if Victim was moved out of his room and out of his Company. Victim subsequently executed a written Victim Preference Statement. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
453	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	China	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		General Article Offense (Art. 134)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that after an evening out drinking alcohol at a local establishment, she awoke the next morning naked next to the Subject, who had been out with her the night before. Victim reported that she believed she was sexually assaulted while she was unconscious. RLSO recommended no charges be preferred at court-martial due to insufficient evidence. The command concurred, but imposed non-judicial punishment on Subject.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
454	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-1	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)				Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 14; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim, reported that while on Subject"s lap, Subject touched her breasts and buttocks and he digitally penetrated her vagina. During interrogation Subject admitted to touching Victim as described and digitally penetrating her vagina.
455	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	O-3	Female	Navy	O-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she was raped in a vehicle at an off-base residence. Victim reported hanging out at residence with five other people, including the Subject, taking shots of alcohol, and subsequently falling asleep in the living room. Victim reported that she awoke to Subject taking her outside to an SUV where she recalls being in the back seat with the Subject on top of her and Subject penetrating her vagina with his penis. Subject was found not guilty of all charges at a general court-martial.
456	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None				Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject made unwanted attempts to hug/kiss Victim, placing hand on Victim"'s thigh and moving it towards her crotch, and pushing Victim"'s head towards his crotch. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment on Subject and a subsequent administrative separation board is pending.
457	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-8	Male	Navy	E-2	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Honorable			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Third party service member reported witnessing Subject touch Victim"s buttocks over clothing with Subject"s finger while in the work place. Victim reported that Subject poked Victim"s buttocks with his finger. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment and Subject was administratively separated with an honorable characterization of discharge.
458	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	Yes	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that she returned to her room after leaving a bar extremely intoxicated. Victim reported that she met Subject, a friend of her roommate, who entered Victim"s room when Victim"s roommate left. Subject then allegedly forcibly penetrated Victim"s vagina with his penis while Victim was passed out due to intoxication. Subject was court-martialed and acquitted of all charges.
459	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	O-2	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		False official statements (Art. 107)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 3; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: Yes; Total $ Amount of Fines: 42,000; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported meeting Subject by the smoke pit and inviting him and some other males back to her place for games and to drink alcohol. Victim and Subject went into her bedroom and shut the door. They began kissing and Subject removed her pants and performed oral sex on her for approximately 40 minutes, which Victim stated was consensual. Later that night Victim went to bed and Subject entered her room and asked if he could join her in the bed. Victim stated the next thing she recalled is Subject removing her leggings, spreading her legs, and inserting his penis into her vagina without her consent. Victim told Subject no and tried to resist but was too tired. Subject was convicted at general court-martial.
460	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Male	Navy	E-2	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 20; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 20; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject made harassing comments towards him and slapped him on the buttocks four or five times in a seven day period. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
461	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		False official statements (Art. 107)	Article 15 Acquittal					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject sexually assaulted her at Subject's apartment after watching a movie together. Victim reported that Subject carried Victim to Subject's bedroom, took off her clothes, and penetrated her vagina with his penis. RLSO recommended no prosecution due to insufficient evidance; however, recommended alternate disposition. Subject was found not guilty of offenses at non-judicial punishment.
462	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Two Victims reported Subject showed them pornographic photos, made sexual comments and gave unwanted neck massages, as well as groped a another command member's buttocks through clothing with his hand. Subject received a Letter of Counseling as a result of the incident.
463	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Navy	O-3	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she was possibly drugged and raped in her hotel room at a civilian hotel in 2009. Victim reported she drank two beers and one shot of liquor and has no recollection of what happened next that night, other than Subject helping her up when she fell into the grass outside her hotel. Victim recalled waking up naked and feeling ill the next afternoon and she subsequently received a call from Subject informing her they had sex the night before. Subject was acquitted at general court-martial.
464	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported she was drinking at a party in an off-base residence when she went to lie down and the unknown Subject followed her into the room and raped her. Victim declined to participate in the investigation. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
465	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Victim (single victim)	Notes: While at the party, Victim #1 met USN Subject Victim #1 accompanied Subject to his apartment to see the bed. Subject put an exercise belt on Victim #1, and when she complained that it hurt, Subject moved the belt lower and undid Victim #1"s jeans. Victim #1 stated that Subject began touching her groin area and she told him to stop. Subject then began performing oral sex on Victim #1 and she again told him to stop. Victim #1 reported that Subject then pushed her onto the bed and pulled her pants down further. Subject again performed oral sex on Victim #1 and she pushed him away and told him to stop. Subject did not stop and had intercourse with Victim #1 without a condom. Victim #1 said that she repeatedly told Subject to stop, but eventually she just laid there until it was over. Immediately after the assault, Victim #1 did not report the assault nor seek medical attention, but returned to the party and told others to keep Subject away from her. On 09May13, USN Victim #2 was identified and interviewed. Victim #2 reported Subject attempted to perform nonconsensual oral sex on her when she and her USN friend spent the night in Subject"s apartment in 2010. Victim #2 stated she was asleep and awoke to Subject touching her.
466	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Female	Navy	E-1	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Acquittal						Notes: Victim reported that on two separate occasions, Subject pinched and grabbed her buttocks over her uniform. The command held Captain"s Mast, where the allegations were dismissed due to insufficient evidence.
467	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her breast under the clothing on multiple occasions and placed his hand on her groin over the clothing on one instance. Non-judicial punishment was imposed and Subject was subsequently processed for administrative separation. The administrative separation board found no basis for separation; however, Subject separated from Naval Service due to high year tenure.
468a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that she went out drinking with friends, including Subjects #1 and #2, and visited several bars. After bars closed, Victim returned with both Subjects to their hotel room. Victim awoke naked as Subject #2 was penetrating her vagina with his penis, and Subject #1 was penetrating her mouth with his penis. Both Subjects claimed sexual encounter was consensual. Both Subjects were acquitted at separate general courts-martial.
468b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that after an evening out drinking with friends, which included Subjects #1 and #2, Victim returned with both Subjects to their hotel room. Victim reported that she awoke naked to Subject #2 penetrating her vagina with his penis and Subject #1 penetrating her mouth with his penis. Both Subjects were tried, separately, at general court-martial and were acquitted of all charges.
469	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted by an unknown Subject. Victim did not identify the Subject and she signed a Victim Preference Statement. Due to Victim"s declination, lack of further investigative leads, and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
470	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	O-1	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted at an off-base residence after attending a house party. Victim did not know the Subject"s name, but was aware that Subject was the boyfriend of the hostess. Victim refused to provide any further information. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, command action was precluded and the case was closed.
471	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject raped her in her off-base residence. Victim reported that she had previously dated Subject but subsequently married another. However, Subject came to her apartment that evening to hang out and catch up. Victim reported that Subject tried to kiss her, but she turned her head and told Subject to leave. When she stood up, Subject pulled her pants down, picked her up and forcibly had sexually intercourse without her consent. Subject was acquitted of all charges at a general court-martial.
472	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-1	Female	Navy	E-3	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Victim (single victim)	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 5; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Third party command member reported that multiple command members observed Victim being sexually assaulted by Subject in an off-base residence. Third party reported Subject had sexual intercourse with Victim while she was unconscious due to excessive alcohol consumption. Subject was convicted at court-martial.
473	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment		Other	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Seven Victims reported that Subject touched their genitals and buttocks, and made inappropriate sexual comments towards them in the workplace. An Article 32 hearing was held and charges were dismissed subsequent to the recommendation of the Investigating Officer due to multiple Victims declining to participate in the prosecution. Subject subsequently received non-judicial punishment and was administratively separated with an other than honorable characterization of discharge.
474	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Bahrain	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject grabbed Victim"'s arm, forcibly kissed her and pushed her into a wall. The command imposed nonjudicial punishment upon Subject.
475	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim"s husband returned home and found Victim intoxicated and allegedly incapacitated at their residence. Witnesses reported that Victim consumed a large quantity of alcohol along with another Sailor and Subject, and observed Subject and Victim enter the master bedroom and close the door. Investigators sought to speak with Victim; however, Victim"s husband declined on her behalf, citing Victim"s preference not to cooperate in the investigation and lack of interest in medical or other victim services. Victim also declined assistance when contacted directly by Sexual Response Coordinator. Subject received non-judicial punishment.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
476	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-2	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		General Article Offense (Art. 134)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 10; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 10; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject fondled Victim"s breasts and forced her to perform oral sex on him in his barracks room on base. RLSO recommended no charges be preferred, due to victim"s declination to participate further in investigation and prosecution of the alleged offense and thus, the SA-IDA disposed of case at nonjudicial punishment.
477	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)	Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she was raped by Subject at his off-base residence after they had both been drinking, but not to the point of intoxication. Victim reported that she took off all of her clothes except for her bra and panties and laid in bed with Subject. Victim reported that Subject climbed on top of her and held her down while he removed her bra and panties. Victim did not resist out of fear, but did tell Subject to stop and that she did not want to have sex. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial and subsequently administratively separated with an Other Than Honorable characterization of discharge.
478	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Multiple Victims - Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim #1 reported that Subject slapped her on the buttocks while in their work spaces. During the investigation, other victims indicated that Subject made inappropriate sexual comments to them in the work space as well. Subject NJP"d for sexual harassment and assault consummated by a battery.
479	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes	Victim (single victim)	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 72; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Two Victims reported they were raped by Subject while intoxicated. Victim #1 reported that she became intoxicated during a night out with her co-workers and Subject escorted Victim back to her room. Victim reported that she recalled vomiting on a bed while Subject was engaging in anal intercourse with her and Subject later vaginally penetrated her. Victim reported that she realized she was not in her room; she was in the apartment of a local civilian. Victim #2 reported she was raped one month prior to Victim #1"s assault while she was intoxicated. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial.
480	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-5	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		False official statements (Art. 107)				Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 24; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that while on base, Subject grabbed her breasts and buttocks over her clothing on multiple occasions without Victim's consent. Subject was convicted at court-martial.
481	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Male	Unknown		Unknown	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported being sexually assaulted by an unknown civilian. Victim declined to participate. Due to victim"s declination, and lack of any logical leads, the case was closed.
482	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Subject reported that Victim threatened to report that he raped her if he did not pay her $1,000. Subject reported that he drove Victim back to her home where they had consensual sexual intercourse. Subject contacted Victim by text message declaring she could not recall what happened and asked if Subject drugged and raped her. Subject subsequently recorded a phone conversation with Victim in which she demanded $1,000 to keep her quiet and to save Subject"s Navy career. Following an Article 32 hearing, charges were dismissed due to insufficient evidence.
483	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Unknown	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim provided a statement that she had been sexually assaulted by a civilian male that she had just met while at a bar. Victim reported that it occured in the parking lot outside of the bar, but was unsure of who the Subject was. The victim declined to participate in the investigative action and the local authorities declined to prosecute.
484	Rape (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported drinking prior to returning to the ship for liberty expiration in preparation for underway. Subject joined her on the pier, and they both returned on board together and proceeded to the smoking area. Victim reported the next thing she remembered was waking to Subject having sexual intercourse with her. Victim stated she resisted and a physical struggle occurred until the victim broke free and left the space. Subject was acquitted at a general court-martial.
485	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-4	Female	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim #1 reported that Subject grabbed crotch of Victim #1 onboard the ship while at anchor. Later that same day, Victim #2 reported that while onboard, Subject humped Victim #2 from behind by holding Victim"s hips with her hands and thrusting her crotch against his buttocks. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment.
486	Rape (Art. 120)		Navy	E-6	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported being sexually assaulted by multiple Subjects in 2012. Local law enforcement had already investigated and closed the case. When contacted by NCIS, Victim declined to participate in a military justice action or provide any details about the offense, Subjects, date, time, or type of assault. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subjects, command action was precluded and the case was closed.
487	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Male	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Convicted		Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 12; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim was indecently assaulted in his barracks room. Victim reported he and several friends were out drinking alcohol and ended the night in front of Victim"s barracks room. The friends included Subject. Victim stated he was extremely drunk and blacked out and/or passed out at some point. At 0300, Victim awoke to find subject anally penetrating him on his bed. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial.
488	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Male	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Acquittal						Notes: Victim reported Subject approached him from behind, grabbed his buttocks, and made a sexually suggestive comment while in the ship store. Victim subsequently struck Subject and immediately reported the sexual contact to the command. Command imposed nonjudicial punishment.
489	Rape (Art. 120)		Navy	E-6	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted at her off-base residence by an acquaintance. Victim did not report identity of subject and did not report incident to civilian law enforcement. Victim declined participation in the investigation. Due to Victim"s declination, lack of further investigative leads, and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
490	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 93)	Convicted		Fraternization (Art. 134-23)	None		Victim (single victim)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-6; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject previously made multiple unwanted romantic advances towards her since she joined the command and eventually Subject asked her if he could come over to her residence. Victim reported being sexually assaulted by Subject at her residence. Victim reported that she assumed Subject would attempt to make a sexual advance towards her while at her residence, so she drank approximately 3-4 glasses of wine to prepare herself to deal with that possibility. Victim reported that at some point in the evening, while on the couch, Subject began pulling her pants down. Subject performed oral sex on Victim and Victim reported that she did not stop the Subject because of his rank and position in their command.
491a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-6	Male	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim #1 and Victim #2 reported that while returning to the ship a group of 8 to12 individuals swarmed the two sailors, touching them as a distraction to pick pocket them. During the incident, 2 Subjects reached into the pants of both Victims and touched their penises. Subjects reportedly placed their heads near the penises of Victims, seemingly in an attempt to perform oral sex. The local law enforcement agency responded and transported the suspects to the police station, where Victim #1 filed a formal theft complaint. The local law enforcement agency stated although they were aware of the details of the sexual assault, their investigation focused on the alleged theft only.
491b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-6	Male	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim #1 and Victim #2 reported that while returning to the ship, a group of 8-12 individuals, which included Subject, approached Victims, touching them as a distraction to pick pocket Victims. During the incident, Subjects reached into the pants of Victims and touched their genitals. Victims also reported that Subjects placed their heads near the genitals of Victims in an attempt to perform oral sex. Local law enforcement responded and took two Subjects into custody. Victim #1 filed a complaint with local law enforcement regarding the theft. Local law enforcement was aware of the details of the reported sexual assault; however, they focused their investigation on the theft only.
491c	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Male	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim #1 and Victim #2 reported that while returning to the ship a group of 8-12 individuals swarmed the two sailors, touching them as a distraction to pick pocket them. During the incident, 2 Subjects reached into the pants of both Victims and touched their penises. Subjects reportedly placed their heads near the penises of Victims, seemingly in an attempt to perform oral sex. The local law enforcement agency responded and transported the suspects to the police station, where Victim #1 filed a formal theft complaint. The local law enforcement agency stated although they were aware of the details of the sexual assault, their investigation focused on the alleged theft only.
492	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that she had been sexually assaulted, but declined to participate further in an investigation. Due to Victim"s declination, lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, command action was precluded and the case was closed.
493	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-1	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim reported that Subject engaged in abusive sexual contact by touching Victim"s breasts under her sweatshirt, while holding Victim down. The RLSO and the Victim endorsed a Separation in Lieu of Trial.
494	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Bahrain	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male				Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim was awakened in the middle of the night by unknown Subject attempting to remove her underwear with his genitals exposed. Victim yelled "No," ran out of the room, and locked herself inside another bedroom. Victim refused to identify Subject. There is no additional information available at this time including the identity of Subject, the location of the incident, and the use of Alcohol. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, command action was precluded and the case was closed.
495a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown								All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: 2 Victims reported they blacked out at an off-base apartment and woke up with most of their clothing removed and the subject, a neighbor"s boyfriend, in the apartment. The subject was uninvited and naked. After realizing they were awake, Subject dressed and vacated the premises. Local police responded, but did not file a report as the Victims declined to participate in the investigation. Due to a lack viable leads and unidentified Subject, DoD action was precluded and this case was closed.
495b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown								All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victims (2) declined to participate in the investigation.
496	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 90; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Third party command member reported observing Subject take pictures of Victim's breasts while she was sleeping or inebriated due to alcohol consumption. Witness reported observing Subject touch Victim's breasts as well. Subject was convicted at a special court-martial.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
497	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 2; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: Yes; Total $ Amount of Fines: 3000.00; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Third party command member reported witnessing Subject take Victim's hand and force it down the front of Subject's pants while Victim was unconscious after consuming a large amount of alcohol. Subject was convicted at a special court-martial.
498	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 33; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported after a night of drinking, she fell asleep on Subject's living room couch, and awoke to someone rubbing his testicles and erect penis against her left hand for approximately 10-15 seconds. Victim further described the lights in the room were off and that she heard the unidentified male pull up his pants and leave the room. Victim stated when she got up she noticed that her jeans and underwear were pulled down to the middle of her thigh. Subject admitted to touching victim without her consent. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial.
499	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Singapore	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 3; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: Yes; Total $ Amount of Fines: 3000; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject put his genitals on her face five or six times in an attempt to obtain fellatio. Subject was convicted at a special court-martial, and administratively separated with an other than honorable discharge.
500	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		DoD	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Following a night of drinking, Victim stated Subject kissed her without her consent. According to Victim, she pushed Subject away and demanded that he stop. On several other occasions that night, Victim stated Subject attempted to kiss her. The command imposed nonjudicial punishment upon Subject.
501	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-2	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Acquittal						Notes: Victim reported that a friend invited her to tour a space. When they arrived, there were three other male service members in the space. One of the three reached out as if to shake her hand but instead kissed her neck. Victim pushed him away and told him to stop, but then he brushed his hand along her breast. Victim stated she turned to leave the space, and Subject walked behind her and thrust himself against her back side. An Article 15 hearing was held, but the charge was dismissed following eyewitness testimony.
502	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	Yes	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she had experienced unwanted sexual contact by Subject in a private vehicle when the Subject gripped her breast. Subject was convicted at summary court-martial and was subsequently administratively separated with an other than honorable characterization of discharge.
503	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 2; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 66; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject engaged in unwanted physical contact with her 3 times at work. The first time he pulled her onto his lap and grabbed her buttocks, and the second and third time he grabbed her buttocks over her clothes, once while she was leaving her office and another while standing by Subject's chair. Subject was convicted at a special court-martial, following which, the command initiated administrative separation processing.
504	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	United States	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject sexually assaulted her in her off-base apartment by engaging in vaginal sexual intercourse with Victim while she slept. Subject stated that sex was consensual. Subject was acquitted at general court-martial.
505	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No			Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 4; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported being sexually assaulted by Subject after a night of celebrating with her husband and others. Victim reported becoming a bit too intoxicated, getting sick and going to bed. She awoke to Subject attempting to orally copulate her after digitally penetrating her vagina. Subject was taken into custody and booked by local authorities. Local authorities released primary jurisdiction of the investigation to NCIS. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial.
506	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Male	Navy	E-1	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 9; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 66; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Two third party command members reported that they observed Subject standing beside the bedside of Victim with his hand beneath the undergarments of Victim. Victim reported that on multiple occasions, Subject touched his genitals and other areas over his clothing, and fondled Victim's bare penis while sleeping. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial.
507	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-1	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 2; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that after an evening out with friends at a local establishment and consuming alcohol, Victim, group, and Subject returned to the hotel in which the group was staying. Subject was staying in the same hotel, in a room separate from Victim. Victim reported that Subject brought Victim to a room where she then passed out. Victim reported feeling dazed and confused the following morning, with her legs and private areas feeling extremely sore. The investigation revealed that Subject left Victim a voicemail about taking advantage of her. Subject was convicted at general court-martial
508	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Male	Navy	E-7	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Victim (single victim)	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 72; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject developed unduly familiar relationship with subordinate sailors and allegedly touched the penis and/or buttocks of 6 victims without their consent. Subject also set up a hidden camera in the bathroom of Subject's home in order to film several children. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial.
509	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Female	Unknown		Male				Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown								Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported that after attending a party Victim met Subject in the parking lot of the hotel as she was leaving. Victim stated Subject started kissing Victim, which she resisted, and then forced Victim's pants down and inserted his penis into her vagina without her consent. Victim stated Subject walked away immediately after the sexual assault. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, command action was precluded and the case was closed.
510	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q2 (January-March)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim's mother reported Victim had been sexually assaulted two years earlier and requested her daughter receive counseling. Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement, declining to participate in the investigation and provided no information other than the incident happened two years ago. Victim also signed a Victim Declination Statement with the Region Legal Service Office. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
511	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Navy	O-3	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported drinking at a local bar and seeing the bartender, Subject, who she considered to be a friend. Victim reported that while outside smoking, Subject came outside and exposed his penis. Subject reportedly gave Victim verbal instructions and a made a physical gesture that culminated in Victim performing oral sex on Subject. After Victim returned inside and while in the restroom, Subject forced Victim into a stall and pulled her sweatpants and underwear down from behind and penetrated her anus with his penis. Local authorities declined to prosecute this matter.
512	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 30; Notes: Victim #1 reported that Subject grabbed his penis over his clothing approximately 10 times over a period of approximately one and a half years. Victim #2 reported that he was also sexually assaulted by Subject. Subject was convicted at court-martial for the nonsexual assault offense, but he was acquitted for the sexual assault offense of abusive sexual contact. He was subsequently administratively processed at an administrative separation board where the board recommended retention.
513	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Portugal	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her on her buttocks while standing awaiting a ferry. Victim also reported that Subject made sexually explicit comments to her. Subject received non-judicial punishment.
514	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	CUBA	Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-3	Male	No	No			Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Victim (single victim)	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 24; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she was drinking alcohol with USN Subject at the beach and three other persons were present. Upon returning from the beach a couple hours later, Victim advised she was heavily intoxicated. Victim reported Subject came to her room and started kissing her and unzipped her sweatshirt. Victim advised the last thing she remembered was telling Subject she was married and she woke up hours later naked in her bed. Victim subsequently called Subject and asked him if they had sex. Subject told her they did and he was sorry. Subject admitted that Victim was unresponsive and did not participate in the sexual intercourse. Subject was convicted at a general court-martial.
515	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Male	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched his penis outside of his sweatpants. Subject stated that although he touched Victim's waist line, he did not touch his penis area outside his pants, and he touched Victim to get him to move so that he could exit his rack. Following the advice of the Region Legal Service Office, the command imposed nonjudicial punishment upon Subject.
516	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-2	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that after an evening of drinking in a friend's room, Victim and her friend went to his bedroom and fell asleep. Victim reported that she awoke to someone rubbing her vagina and believed it to be her friend. Victim reported that she moved her body and it appeared the person touching her was on the floor, not in the bed. Victim reported waking up to find her friend in bed; however, Subject was also curled up near the end of the bed, purportedly asleep. Charges were referred to court-martial and Subject was found not guilty of all charges.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: NAVY																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
S17	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown				Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted while onboard a ship, but declined to provide any details regarding the incident or the Subject's identity. Due to Victim's refusal to identify the Subject and lack of further investigative leads, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
S18	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Subject allegedly sexually assaulted victim off-base while victim was incapable of consenting due to level of alcohol intoxication. Subject was acquitted at a general court-martial.
S19	Rape (Art. 120)	BRITISH INDIAN OCEAN TERRITORY	Navy	E-3	Female	Navy	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted by Subject. Victim reported that Subject, at one point in the evening, vaginally penetrated her from behind without her consent. Later in the evening, however, Victim and Subject engaged in consensual sex. Victim reported that after an unknown period of time she withdrew her consent and told Subject to stop, but Subject continued to penetrate her. The Region Legal Service Office reviewed the case, following which the command took other adverse administrative action.
S20	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-5	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched Victim's thigh twice while in a movie theatre. RLSO recommended no prosecution; however, recommend alternate disposition. Subject received other adverse administrative action.
S21	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Male	Unknown		Male				Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that he was sexually assaulted by an unknown civilian Subject whom Victim met through "Craigslist" at an off-base private residence. Victim filed a formal complaint with the local Police Department. Victim stated in report that he willingly had anal sex with the unknown Subject, fell asleep, and then awoke to find himself engaged in unwanted anal sex with another unknown Subject. Victim stated did not want to have sex with the second unknown Subject. Victim was unable to identify either Subject. Due to lack of further investigative leads, and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
S22	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported that she was sexually assaulted in her barracks room by Subject. Victim reported that on the night of the incident, Subject arrived at Victim''s room with a bottle of alcohol, which subject consumed before and during the assault. Victim reported that Subject touched and grabbed her breasts and vaginal area. Subject was acquitted at a general court-martial.
S23	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Female	Navy	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Acquittal						Notes: Victim reported that Subject approached Victim and instructed her to perform a job related task. When Victim declined to perform the task Subject Victim''s buttocks with his hand and then laughed. Victim reported that while on duty three days later, Subject, utilizing rolled up papers, struck both of Victim's breasts over her clothing, and again laughed. An Article 15 hearing was conducted, but charges dismissed due to insufficient evidence.
S24	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-4	Female	Navy	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed					Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Victim alleged that Subject made sexually harassing comments to Victim, and then forcibly grabbed and attempted to kiss her while on liberty off of the ship. The Region Legal Service Office recommended against the preferral of charges to court-martial. The command imposed nonjudicial punishment and subsequently processed the Subject at an administration separation board; however, the members retained the Subject.
S25	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	Unknown		Female				Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that Subject put her hand on Victim's leg and inner thigh without Victim"s consent. Victim reported that on a separate occasion, Subject touched his buttocks with her hand while standing in line for formation. Subject was given a formal counseling.

MARINE CORPS SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTS CLOSED DURING FISCAL YEAR 2014 INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS	FY14 Totals
Total Service Member Victims in all investigations closed in FY14*	440
Service Member Victims whose reports of sexual assault could be substantiated*	228
Total Service Member Subjects in all investigations closed in FY14**	414
Service Member Subjects against whom sexual assault reports could be substantiated**	209

*Does not include Victims from Restricted Reports, per mandate in PL 111-383; Also, does not include Victims from investigations where command action had yet to be reported.
**Does not include Subjects from investigations where command action had yet to be reported.

SUMMARY OF RESTRICTED SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTS RECEIVED DURING FISCAL YEAR 2014 INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS	FY14 Totals
# Service Member Victims initially making Restricted Reports	411
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14*	54
# Service Member Victim Reports Remaining Restricted	357

MARINE CORPS	
A. FY14 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members. **Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY14. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.** **This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.**	**FY14 Totals**
# FY14 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)	**504**
# Service Member Victims	406
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject	96
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	**504**
# Service Member on Service Member	237
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	96
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	35
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	82
# Relevant Data Not Available	54
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	**504**
# On military installation	283
# Off military installation	185
# Unidentified location	36
# Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation	**504**
# Victims in investigations initiated during FY14	**466**
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2014	92
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2014	374
# Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming	**7**
# Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement	**31**
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ	**4**
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	**0**
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service	**13**
# Victims - Other	**14**
# All Restricted Reports received in FY14 (one Victim per report)	**426**
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)	62
# Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY14	**364**

B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY14	FY14 Totals	FY14 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	**504**	**406**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	195	150
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	75	55
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	48	37
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	100	86
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	68	61
# Relevant Data Not Available	18	17
Time of sexual assault	**504**	**406**
# Midnight to 6 am	172	126
# 6 am to 6 pm	102	77
# 6 pm to midnight	157	133
# Unknown	48	47
# Relevant Data Not Available	25	23
Day of sexual assault	**504**	**406**
# Sunday	66	50
# Monday	48	39
# Tuesday	64	52
# Wednesday	36	26
# Thursday	52	45
# Friday	88	71
# Saturday	131	105
# Relevant Data Not Available	19	18

C. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY14 Totals
	269	**85**	**4**	**8**	**20**	**45**	**1**	**72**	**504**
# Service Member on Service Member	146	69	1	7	1	4	0	9	**237**
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	90	1	0	1	0	3	1	0	**96**
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	14	10	2	0	1	2	0	6	**35**
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	19	5	1	0	18	36	0	3	**82**
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	54	**54**

FY14 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)

UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY14	*Penetrating Offenses*				*Contact Offenses*						
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY14 Totals
D1.	**140**	**4**	**120**	**8**	**24**	**133**	**0**	**3**	**21**	**51**	**504**
# Service Member on Service Member	52	0	67	0	16	92	0	0	6	4	**237**
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	35	1	28	0	5	21	0	0	4	2	**96**
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	10	1	4	5	0	1	0	1	2	11	**35**
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	29	2	15	2	1	16	0	2	7	8	**82**
# Relevant Data Not Available	14	0	6	1	2	3	0	0	2	26	**54**
D2.											
TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY14 Reports	**105**	**3**	**91**	**8**	**19**	**111**	**0**	**3**	**17**	**49**	**406**
# Service Member Victims: Female	83	3	68	1	12	68	0	3	9	29	276
# Service Member Victims: Male	22	0	23	7	7	43	0	0	8	20	130
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT MADE IN FY14											
D3. Time of sexual assault	**140**	**4**	**120**	**8**	**24**	**133**	**0**	**3**	**21**	**51**	**504**
# Midnight to 6 am	58	3	43	4	9	42	0	0	5	8	172
# 6 am to 6 pm	24	0	19	0	5	43	0	0	5	6	102
# 6 pm to midnight	40	1	53	1	8	37	0	1	9	7	157
# Unknown	14	0	3	3	2	5	0	2	2	17	48
# Relevant Data Not Available	4	0	2	0	0	6	0	0	0	13	25
D4. Day of sexual assault	**140**	**4**	**120**	**8**	**24**	**133**	**0**	**3**	**21**	**51**	**504**
# Sunday	21	0	12	1	1	22	0	2	2	5	66
# Monday	18	1	11	0	2	13	0	0	1	2	48
# Tuesday	17	1	10	1	5	19	0	0	6	5	64
# Wednesday	11	0	6	0	4	8	0	0	1	6	36
# Thursday	16	1	18	2	1	9	0	0	2	3	52
# Friday	26	1	25	2	3	22	0	1	4	4	88
# Saturday	30	0	38	2	8	40	0	0	5	8	131
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	19

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS	FY14 Totals
E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY14 **Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.**	
# Investigations Initiated during FY14	**428**
# Investigations Completed as of FY14 End (group by MCIO #)	255
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY14 End (group by MCIO #)	173
# Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY14	**477**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	**1**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	1
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	**306**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	274
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	32
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	**1**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	1
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations **Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.**	**19**
# Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations **Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.**	**95**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement **Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.**	**10**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	10
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**14**
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**6**
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available	**25**
E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY14 **Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY14. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY14 or any prior FY.**	
# Total Investigations completed by Services during FY14 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	**498**
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	29
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	22
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	1
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY14 involving a Victim supported by your Service	**536**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	**3**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	1
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	2
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	**401**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	365
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	36
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	**0**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**24**
# Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**87**
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	**21**
# Victims in investigations completed during FY14, supported by your Service	**560**
# Service Member Victims in CID investigations	**4**
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	3
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	1
# Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	**411**
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	386
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	25
# Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	**0**
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service	**134**
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	**11**
E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY14 **Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.**	
# Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY14 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	**13**
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	2
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	2
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	2
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY14 involving a Victim supported by your Service	**15**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	**3**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	3
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**8**
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	**4**
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	**0**
# Victims in investigations completed during FY14, supported by your Service	**15**
# Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	**13**
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	13
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service	**2**
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	**0**
E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY14 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs") **Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.** **Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.**	
# Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY14 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	**11**
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	2
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	1
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	1
# Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY14 involving a Victim supported by your Service	**12**
# Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	**7**
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	6
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	1
# Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	**1**
# Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	**2**
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	**2**
# Victims in MP investigations completed during FY14, supported by your Service	**13**
# Service Member Victims in MP investigations	**12**
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	11
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	1
# Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service	**0**
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	**1**

Victims in Investigations Completed in FY14	*Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY14*										
	Penetrating Offenses				*Contact Offenses*						
F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY14 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)**	**Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)**	**Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)**	**Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)**	**Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)**	**Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)**	**Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)**	**Offense Code Data Not Available**	**FY14 Totals**
F1. Gender of Victims	**152**	**22**	**138**	**12**	**35**	**157**	**1**	**2**	**15**	**54**	**588**
# Male	29	0	35	6	4	36	1	0	5	13	129
# Female	123	21	102	6	31	113	0	2	10	39	447
# Unknown	0	1	1	0	0	8	0	0	0	2	12
F2. Age of Victims	**152**	**22**	**138**	**12**	**35**	**157**	**1**	**2**	**15**	**54**	**588**
# 0-15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	6
# 16-19	41	8	39	3	13	39	1	0	1	16	161
# 20-24	82	10	72	5	14	70	0	0	11	12	276
# 25-34	14	1	19	3	5	26	0	1	2	6	77
# 35-49	2	1	2	1	0	7	0	0	0	3	16
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	12	2	6	0	3	13	0	0	1	13	50
F3. Victim Type	**152**	**22**	**138**	**12**	**35**	**157**	**1**	**2**	**15**	**54**	**588**
# Service Member	119	16	104	7	25	117	1	2	14	35	440
# DoD Civilian	2	1	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	10
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
# US Civilian	31	4	30	5	7	22	0	0	0	14	113
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	0	1	10
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
# Unknown	0	1	1	0	0	8	0	0	0	2	12
F4. Grade of Service Member Victims	**119**	**16**	**104**	**7**	**25**	**117**	**1**	**2**	**14**	**35**	**440**
# E1-E4	106	14	92	5	25	107	1	1	14	33	398
# E5-E9	10	1	10	1	0	10	0	1	0	2	35
# WO1-WO5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# O1-O3	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
# O4-O10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F5. Service of Service Member Victims	**119**	**16**	**104**	**7**	**25**	**117**	**1**	**2**	**14**	**35**	**440**
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	6	0	8	0	0	7	0	1	2	1	25
# Marines	113	16	96	6	25	109	1	1	12	34	413
# Air Force	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F6. Status of Service Member Victims	**119**	**16**	**104**	**7**	**25**	**117**	**1**	**2**	**14**	**35**	**440**
# Active Duty	114	16	103	7	25	116	1	2	14	35	433
# Reserve (Activated)	5	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY14 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	*Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY14*										
	Penetrating Offenses				*Contact Offenses*						
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY14 Totals
G1. Gender of Subjects	**155**	**24**	**136**	**10**	**32**	**134**	**1**	**2**	**16**	**53**	**563**
# Male	132	23	115	4	29	114	1	1	13	37	469
# Female	2	0	2	1	1	5	0	0	0	1	12
# Unknown	17	1	15	4	1	11	0	1	2	6	58
# Relevant Data Not Available	4	0	4	1	1	4	0	0	1	9	24
G2. Age of Subjects	**155**	**24**	**136**	**10**	**32**	**134**	**1**	**2**	**16**	**53**	**563**
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	11	4	10	1	2	16	0	0	0	2	46
# 20-24	62	7	66	2	15	47	0	0	8	16	223
# 25-34	33	10	30	0	11	35	1	1	2	11	134
# 35-49	9	1	3	2	1	15	0	0	2	3	36
# 50-64	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	8
# Relevant Data Not Available	36	2	23	5	3	21	0	1	3	17	111
G3. Subject Type	**155**	**24**	**136**	**10**	**32**	**134**	**1**	**2**	**16**	**53**	**563**
# Service Member	107	21	107	1	29	110	1	0	10	28	414
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Recruiters	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Civilian	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	9	1	6	4	0	1	0	0	1	6	28
# Foreign National	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	3
# Foreign Military	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	35	2	19	5	2	20	0	2	3	11	99
# Relevant Data Not Available	2	0	3	0	1	2	0	0	1	8	17
G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects	**107**	**21**	**107**	**1**	**29**	**110**	**1**	**0**	**10**	**28**	**414**
# E1-E4	72	10	75	1	22	68	1	0	6	16	271
# E5-E9	33	11	29	0	7	36	0	0	4	12	132
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# O1-O3	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
# O4-O10	1	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	5
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
G5. Service of Service Member Subjects	**107**	**21**	**107**	**1**	**29**	**110**	**1**	**0**	**10**	**28**	**414**
# Army	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
# Navy	8	0	11	0	3	9	0	0	1	1	33
# Marines	96	20	95	1	26	101	1	0	8	27	375
# Air Force	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Coast Guard	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
G6. Status of Service Member Subjects	**107**	**21**	**107**	**1**	**29**	**110**	**1**	**0**	**10**	**28**	**414**
# Active Duty	104	18	106	1	29	109	1	0	9	27	404
# Reserve (Activated)	3	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	9
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY14 INVESTIGATIONS	FY14 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY14 INVESTIGATIONS	FY14 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY14, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	**7**		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	1		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	3		
# Subjects - Other	3		
# Subjects in investigations completed in FY14	**563**	**# Victims in investigations completed in FY14**	**588**
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY14	**183**	**# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY14**	**230**
# Total Subjects with allegations unfounded by a Military Criminal Investigative Organization	**15**	**# Total Victims associated with MCIO unfounded allegations**	**14**
# Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	5	# Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	12
# Non-Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	4	# Non-Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	2
# Unidentified Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	5		
# Subjects with Subject data not yet available and with allegations unfounded by MCIO	1	# Victims with Victim data not yet available and involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	**86**		
# Unknown Offenders	46	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	37
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	4
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	27	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	20
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	7
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	12	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	4
# Subjects who died or deserted	1	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	1
		# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	**110**		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	38	*# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action*	30
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	57	*# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute*	50
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0	*# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations*	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	15	*# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command*	11
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	*# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action*	0
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	**143**	**# Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available**	**244**
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2014	**209**		
# FY14 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	**209**	**# FY14 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action**	**166**
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	123	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals against Subject	97
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	4	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	3
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	9	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	7
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	4	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	5
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	13	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals for non-sexual assault offenses	10
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	34	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	22
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	4	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	4
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	18	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	18

*** Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.**

I. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martial for sexual assault crimes completed during FY14	**FY14 Totals**
# Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a Sexual Assault Charge Pending Court Completion	**175**
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY14	5
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY14	**170**
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	57
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	28
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	10
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	3
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	8
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	8
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	1
# Officer Subjects who were allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	1
# Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a sexual assault charge	**112**
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	31
# Subjects Convicted of Any Charge at Trial	81
# Subjects with unknown punishment	2
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	**79**
# Subjects receiving confinement	48
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	74
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	45
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	41
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	13
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	5
# Subjects to be processed for administrative discharge or separation subsequent to sexual assault conviction	10
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	7
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	2
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	1
# Convicted Subjects with a conviction under a UCMJ Article that requires Sex Offender Registration	25
J. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for sexual assault crimes completed during FY14	**FY14 Totals**
# Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY14	**3**
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY14	0
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY14	**3**
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	0
# Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment	**3**
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	**3**
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	2
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	1
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	1
# Subjects receiving extra duty	1
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	0
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual assault charge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
K. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN. This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	**FY14 Totals**
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY14	2
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a sexual assault offense	**9**
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	5
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	2
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	2
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY14	0
# Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a sexual assault offense	**7**

L. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martials for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	**FY14 Totals**
# Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a non-sexual assault offense in FY14	**18**
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY14	3
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY14	**15**
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	3
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	3
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial for a non-sexual assault offense	1
# Officer Subjects who were officers that where allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	1
# Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a non-sexual assault offense	**11**
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	1
# Subjects Convicted of Any Non-Sexual Assault Charge at Trial	10
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	**10**
# Subjects receiving confinement	5
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	9
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	8
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	1
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	3
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to conviction at trial	3
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	3
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0

M. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Non-Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	**FY14 Totals**
# Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY14	**42**
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY14	6
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY14	**36**
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	0
# Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense	**36**
# Subjects with unknown punishment	1
# Subjects with no punishment	1
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
# Subjects with Punishment	**34**
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	23
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	27
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	21
# Subjects receiving extra duty	15
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	3
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge	3
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	2
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	1
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0

N. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	**FY14 Totals**
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY14	0
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a non-sexual assault offense	**7**
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	4
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	1
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	2
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY14	0
# Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a non-sexual assault offense	**16**

MARINE CORPS FY14 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
A. FY14 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY14 Totals**
# TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports	**426**
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	411
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	9
# Relevant Data Not Available	6
# Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY14*	**62**
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14	54
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	6
# Total Victim reports remaining Restricted	**364**
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	357
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	7
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories	**364**
# Service Member on Service Member	88
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	194
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	7
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	57
# Relevant Data Not Available	18
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY14 Totals**
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	**364**
# On military installation	54
# Off military installation	221
# Unidentified location	80
# Relevant Data Not Available	9
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	**364**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	40
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	12
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	8
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	45
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	64
# Relevant Data Not Available	195
Time of sexual assault incident	**364**
# Midnight to 6 am	57
# 6 am to 6 pm	53
# 6 pm to midnight	137
# Unknown	115
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
Day of sexual assault incident	**364**
# Sunday	28
# Monday	21
# Tuesday	25
# Wednesday	19
# Thursday	15
# Friday	27
# Saturday	40
# Relevant Data Not Available	189
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	**FY14 Totals**
# Service Member Victims	**357**
# Army Victims	0
# Navy Victims	12
# Marines Victims	343
# Air Force Victims	1
# Coast Guard Victims	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

MARINE CORPS FY14 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY14 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY14 Totals**
Gender of Victims	**364**
# Male	61
# Female	303
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Age of Victims at the Time of Incident	**364**
# 0-15	135
# 16-19	97
# 20-24	95
# 25-34	14
# 35-49	2
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	21
Grade of Service Member Victims	**357**
# E1-E4	334
# E5-E9	21
# WO1-WO5	1
# O1-O3	1
# O4-O10	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Status of Service Member Victims	**357**
# Active Duty	352
# Reserve (Activated)	5
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Victim Type	**364**
# Service Member	357
~~# DoD Civilian~~	
~~# DoD Contractor~~	
~~# Other US Government Civilian~~	
# Non-Service Member	7
~~# Foreign National~~	
~~# Foreign Military~~	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE	**FY14 Totals**
# Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service	**227**
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	164
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	50
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	13
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY)	**FY14 Totals**
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	55.07
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	75.5
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	1
G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY14	**FY14 Totals**
Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY14	**12**
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14	12
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
*** The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.**	

MARINE CORPS FY14 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.	
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY14 Totals**
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	**1274**
# Medical	140
# Mental Health	278
# Legal	210
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	194
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	306
# DoD Safe Helpline	123
# Other	23
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**115**
# Medical	12
# Mental Health	28
# Legal	8
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	10
# Rape Crisis Center	26
# Victim Advocate	25
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	6
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**66**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**
# Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service	**44**
B. FY14 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	**FY14 TOTALS**
# Military Protective Orders issued during FY14	**134**
# Reported MPO Violations in FY14	**1**
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	1
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	0
*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made	
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	8
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	46
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS	**FY14 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	**815**
# Medical	68
# Mental Health	236
# Legal	77
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	151
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	201
# DoD Safe Helpline	64
# Other	18
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**37**
# Medical	3
# Mental Health	11
# Legal	1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	1
# Rape Crisis Center	12
# Victim Advocate	8
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	1
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**16**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**

Use the following categories or add a new category	FY14 TOTALS
Total Number Denied	**0**
Reasons for Disapproval (Total)	
Moved Alleged Offender Instead	
Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead	
Enter reason	
Enter reason	
Enter reason	
Enter reason	
Enter reason	

CIVILIAN DATA	
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER	**FY14 Totals**
D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:	**43**
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	3
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	14
# Relevant Data Not Available	26
D2. Gender of Non-Service Members	**43**
# Male	0
# Female	32
# Relevant Data Not Available	11
D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident	**43**
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	2
# 20-24	3
# 25-34	3
# 35-49	1
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	34
D4. Non-Service Member Type	**43**
# DoD Civilian	4
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# US Civilian	28
# Foreign National	0
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	11
D5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	**75**
# Medical	10
# Mental Health	15
# Legal	13
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	12
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	14
# DoD Safe Helpline	8
# Other	3
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**22**
# Medical	2
# Mental Health	4
# Legal	5
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	1
# Rape Crisis Center	5
# Victim Advocate	5
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**8**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**
E. FY14 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS	**FY14 Totals**
E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report	**12**
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14	1
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	**11**
# Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:	**11**
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	3
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	7
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims	**11**
# Male	0
# Female	10
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident	**11**
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	6
# 25-34	2
# 35-49	2
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
E4. VICTIM Type	**11**
~~# DoD Civilian~~	
~~# DoD Contractor~~	
~~# Other US Government Civilian~~	
# Non-Service Member	10
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources	**46**
# Medical	7
# Mental Health	9
# Legal	7
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	7
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	7
# DoD Safe Helpline	7
# Other	2
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**5**
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	1
# Legal	1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	1
# Victim Advocate	1
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	1
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**3**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**

MARINE CORPS COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST
FY14 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY
Note: These Reports are a subset of the FY14 Reports of Sexual Assault.

A. FY14 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members. Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY14. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year. This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.	FY14 Totals
# FY14 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)	**4**
# Service Member Victims	4
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	**4**
# Service Member on Service Member	0
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	2
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	**4**
# On military installation	3
# Off military installation	1
# Unidentified location	0
# Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation	**4**
# Victims in investigations initiated during FY14	**4**
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2014	1
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2014	3
# Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming	**0**
# Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement	**0**
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ	**0**
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	**0**
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service	**0**
# Victims - Other	**0**
# All Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest received in FY14 (one Victim per report)	**1**
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)	0
# Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY14	**1**

B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FOR FY14	FY14 Totals	FY14 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	**4**	**4**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	2	2
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	1	1
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	0	0
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	0	0
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	1	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
Time of sexual assault	**4**	**4**
# Midnight to 6 am	0	0
# 6 am to 6 pm	1	1
# 6 pm to midnight	3	3
# Unknown	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
Day of sexual assault	**4**	**4**
# Sunday	0	0
# Monday	0	0
# Tuesday	1	1
# Wednesday	2	2
# Thursday	1	1
# Friday	0	0
# Saturday	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0

MARINE CORPS COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST
FY14 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY
Note: These Reports are a subset of the FY14 Reports of Sexual Assault.

C. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY14 Totals
	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4
# Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2

FY14 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)

UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY14	*Penetrating Offenses*				*Contact Offenses*						
D. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY14 Totals
D1.	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4
# Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
D2.											
TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY14 Reports	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4
# Service Member Victims: Female	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4
# Service Member Victims: Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST MADE IN FY14											
D3. Time of sexual assault	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4
# Midnight to 6 am	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 6 am to 6 pm	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# 6 pm to midnight	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D4. Day of sexual assault	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4
# Sunday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Monday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Tuesday	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Wednesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
# Thursday	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Friday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Saturday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATION OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE											
FY14 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT. Note: The data in this section is drawn from raw, uninvestigated information about Unrestricted Reports received during FY14. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY14 Totals
TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**4**
Afghanistan	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4
Bahrain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Djibouti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Egypt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iraq	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jordan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kuwait	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kyrgyzstan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Qatar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Uae	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Uganda	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yemen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY14 Totals
E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY14 in Combat Areas of Interest **Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.**	
# Investigations Initiated during FY14	3
# Investigations Completed as of FY14 End (group by MCIO #)	2
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY14 End (group by MCIO #)	1
# Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY14	3
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations **Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.**	1
# Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations **Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.**	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement **Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.**	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available	2
E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY14 in Combat Areas of Interest **Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY14. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY14 or any prior FY.**	
# Total Investigations completed by Services during FY14 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	2
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY14 involving a Victim supported by your Service	2
# Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	1
# Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	1
# Victims in investigations completed during FY14, supported by your Service	2
# Service Member Victims in CID investigations	0
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	0
# Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	2
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	2
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0
E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY14 in Combat Areas of Interest **Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.**	
# Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY14 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in investigations completed during FY14 involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in investigations completed during FY14, supported by your Service	0
# Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0
E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY14 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs") in Combat Areas of Interest **Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.** **Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.**	
# Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY14 (Group by MCIO Case Number)	1
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
# Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY14 involving a Victim supported by your Service	1
# Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	1
# Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Victims in MP investigations completed during FY14, supported by your Service	1
# Service Member Victims in MP investigations	1
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	1
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

Victims in Investigations Completed in FY14 in Combat Areas of Interest	*Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY14*										
	Penetrating Offenses				*Contact Offenses*						
F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY14 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)**	**Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)**	**Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)**	**Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)**	**Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)**	**Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)**	**Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)**	**Offense Code Data Not Available**	**FY14 Totals**
F1. Gender of Victims	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**3**
# Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Female	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F2. Age of Victims	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**3**
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
# 20-24	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
# 25-34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F3. Victim Type	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**3**
# Service Member	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F4. Grade of Service Member Victims	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**3**
# E1-E4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
# E5-E9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F5. Service of Service Member Victims	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**3**
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marines	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
F6. Status of Service Member Victims	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**3**
# Active Duty	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY14 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY14 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY14 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY14 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY14, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	**0**		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	0		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	0		
# Subjects - Other	0		
# Subjects in investigations completed in FY14 Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.	**3**	**# Victims in investigations completed in FY14**	**3**
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY14	**0**	**# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY14**	**3**
# Total Subjects with allegations unfounded by a Military Criminal Investigative Organization	**0**	**# Total Victims associated with MCIO unfounded allegations**	**0**
# Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Non-Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Unidentified Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0		
# Subjects with Subject data not yet available and with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Victims with Victim data not yet available and involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	**2**		
# Unknown Offenders	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	0
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	0
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	2	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	2
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	0
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
		# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	**0**		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	0	*# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action*	0
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	0	*# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute*	0
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0	*# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations*	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	0	*# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command*	0
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	*# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action*	0
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	**1**	**# Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available**	**1**
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2014	**0**		
# FY14 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	**0**	**# FY14 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action**	**0**
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	0

*** Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.**

MARINE CORPS COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) FY14 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
A. FY14 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY14 Totals**
# TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports	**1**
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	1
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY14*	**0**
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14	0
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Total Victim reports remaining Restricted	**1**
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	1
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
# Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories	**1**
# Service Member on Service Member	1
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
B. INCIDENT DETAILS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY14 Totals**
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	**1**
# On military installation	1
# Off military installation	0
# Unidentified location	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	**1**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	0
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Time of sexual assault incident	**1**
# Midnight to 6 am	0
# 6 am to 6 pm	1
# 6 pm to midnight	0
# Unknown	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Day of sexual assault incident	**1**
# Sunday	0
# Monday	0
# Tuesday	0
# Wednesday	1
# Thursday	0
# Friday	0
# Saturday	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY14 Totals**
# Service Member Victims	**1**
# Army Victims	0
# Navy Victims	0
# Marines Victims	1
# Air Force Victims	0
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

MARINE CORPS COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) FY14 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY14 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY14 Totals**
Gender of Victims	**1**
# Male	0
# Female	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Age of Victims at the Time of Incident	**1**
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	1
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Grade of Service Member Victims	**1**
# E1-E4	1
# E5-E9	0
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	0
# O4-O10	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Status of Service Member Victims	**1**
# Active Duty	1
# Reserve (Activated)	0
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Victim Type	**1**
# Service Member	1
~~# DoD Civilian~~	
~~# DoD Contractor~~	
~~# Other US Government Civilian~~	
# Non-Service Member	0
~~# Foreign National~~	
~~# Foreign Military~~	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY14 Totals**
# Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service	**0**
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	0
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	0
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY14 Totals**
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	0
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	0
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	0
G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY14 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY14 Totals**
Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY14	**0**
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14	0
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
TOTAL # FY14 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY14 Totals**
TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**1**
Afghanistan	0
Bahrain	0
Djibouti	0
Egypt	0
Iraq	1
Jordan	0
Kuwait	0
Kyrgyzstan	0
Lebanon	0
Oman	0
Pakistan	0
Qatar	0
Saudi Arabia	0
Syria	0
Uae	0
Uganda	0
Yemen	0
*** The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.**	

MARINE CORPS CAI FY14 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	
NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of	
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY14 Totals**
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	**8**
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	2
# Legal	1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	2
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	3
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**0**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**
# Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service	**0**
B. FY14 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY14 TOTALS**
# Military Protective Orders issued during FY14	**0**
# Reported MPO Violations in FY14	**0**
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	0
*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made	
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	0
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	0
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY14 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	**5**
# Medical	1
# Mental Health	1
# Legal	1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	1
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	1
# Other	0
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**0**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**

Use the following categories or add a new category	**FY14 TOTALS**
Total Number Denied	**0**
Reasons for Disapproval (Total)	
Moved Alleged Offender Instead	
Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead	
Enter reason	
Enter reason	
Enter reason	
Enter reason	
Enter reason	

CIVILIAN DATA	
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY14 Totals**
D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:	**1**
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
D2. Gender of Non-Service Members	**1**
# Male	0
# Female	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident	**1**
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
D4. Non-Service Member Type	**1**
# DoD Civilian	1
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# US Civilian	0
# Foreign National	0
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
D5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**0**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**
E. FY14 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	**FY14 Totals**
E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report	**0**
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY14	0
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	**0**
# Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:	**0**
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims	**0**
# Male	0
# Female	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident	**0**
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E4. VICTIM Type	**0**
~~# DoD Civilian~~	
~~# DoD Contractor~~	
~~# Other US Government Civilian~~	
# Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories	
# MILITARY Resources	**0**
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
~~# Rape Crisis Center~~	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
# CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	0
# Legal	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
~~# DoD Safe Helpline~~	
# Other	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**0**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	**0**

	FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: MARINE CORPS																		Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
1	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject pulled her into him, and kissed her multiple times on the head. Victim reported Subject also poked her arm repeatedly, touched her bra strap, and touched her one inch from her breast. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results and after consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority imposed NJP. Subject pleaded guilty at NJP to violation of Art. 128 (assault) and agreed to be administratively separated from the Marine Corps.
2	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that unknown Subject sexually assaulted her on the rear exterior patio of her off-base residence. Subject is an unidentified male who restrained victim by putting his arms around her neck and then removed her clothing and penetrated her vagina and anus with his penis. Local law enforcement said that due lack of detail, their investigation was closed.
3	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject attempted to kiss Victim"s ear and repeatedly rubbed his hand up her leg. Victim said she pushed Subject"s hand away several times but he eventually put his hand under her skirt and underwear and digitally penetrated her vagina against her will. Victim also stated Subject repeatedly fondled her chest, over her clothing. After consultation with the SJA, Convening Authority imposed NJP on Subject for violation of Art. 128 (assault). Subject was AdSep"d with an OTH for pattern of misconduct
4	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately grabbed her buttocks while awaiting transport to training off-base. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation and after consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority issued Subject a formal counseling.
5	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-1	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim (pocie) reported Subject (recruiter) inserted his finger inside her vagina and forced her to perform oral sex on him by threatening her that he would ruin her career. NCIS conducted an investigation. The SJA was consulted. Charges were preferred against Subject for non-sexual assault offenses. Prior to trial, the Convening Authority separated Subject in lieu of courts-martial from the Marine Corps.
6	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her by thrusting his pelvis against her buttocks. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority issued Subject a formal counseling for violation of the Sexual Harassment order. Subject was not recommended for re-enlistment and subsequently discharged.
7	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Subject allegedly grabbed the Victim"s breast while drunk outside a bar. A sexual assault charge was preferred. However, in accordance with the Victim"s wishes, the commander dismissed the charge.
8	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that unknown Subject made sexual advances toward her which included trying to kiss her, grabbing her breasts underneath her clothes, grabbing her by the hair, exposing his penis, pushing the Victim against the wall and asking her to perform oral sex on him while his penis was exposed. The incident took place outside of a club. Victim could not identify Subject in a photo lineup. The investigation is closed.
9	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately touched her arm and bra strap without her consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. Charges were preferred against Subject. After consultation with the SJA, prior to Art. 32 hearing, the Convening Authority imposed NJP on Subject for violation of Art. 92 (sexual harrasment).
10	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched her buttocks and vagina without her consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. Charges were preferred for Art. 120 (wrongful sexual contact) and Art. 128 (assault consummated by battery) against Subject. The Art. 32 Investigating Officer recommended that there was insufficient evidence to proceed to a GCM. Based on the recommendation of the Investigating Officer and after consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority entered into a Pretrial Agreement with the Subject, where the Convening Authority dismissed the charges against the Subject and the Subject accepted NJP for Art. 92 (barracks order violation). The Subject was subsequently found Not Guilty at the NJP.
11	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown									Notes: A third party reported that Subject sexually assaulted Victim in an unknown barracks room. The Victim did not name Subject and signed a Victim Preference Statement saying that she did not want to participate in the investigative process. The investigation is closed.
12	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her on three occasions in 2011. NCIS conducted an investigation and Art. 120 (sexual assault and wrongful sexual contact) charges were preferred against Subject. Prior to the Art. 32, and after consultation with the SJA and Trial Counsel, the Convening Authority dismissed the charges based on insufficient evidence.
13	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 6; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 67; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject entered her barracks room uninvited and touched her breasts and vagina while she was sleeping. The Victim woke up and demanded that the Subject stop touching her and leave. Subject left but was later identified by the Victim. The Subject admitted to touching the Victim"s breast. Subject was convicted at GCM of sexual assault.
14	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Other				Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately grabbed her arm and kissed her without her consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. The Convening Authority dismissed charges based on recommendations from the Art 32 officer and SJA after Victim declined to participate in the military justice process.
15	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Adultery (Art. 134-2)			Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that a third party found Subject raping Victim while the Victim was passed out after drinking alcohol. At a GCM, the Subject was acquitted of Art. 120 (sexual assault) and Art. 128 (assault consummated by battery), but was convicted of Art. 134 (adultery).
16a	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-1	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject and other individuals sexually assaulted her on base by groping and kissing her without her consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. An Article 32 was held and the Investigating Officer recommended dismissal of charges against Subject due to insufficient evidence. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority dismissed the charges and took no further action.
16b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-1	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject and other individuals sexually assaulted her on base by groping and kissing her without her consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. An Article 32 was held and the Investigating Officer recommended dismissal of charges against Subject. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority dismissed the charges due to insufficient evidence.
16c	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-1	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject and other individuals sexually assaulted her on base by groping and kissing her without her consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. An Article 32 was held and the Investigating Officer recommended dismissal of charges against Subject. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority dismissed the charges due to insufficient evidence.
16d	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-1	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		False official statements (Art. 107)	None		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject and other individuals sexually assaulted her by groping and kissing her without her consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. The SJA was consulted. Charges were preferred. Subject was found guilty at a Special Court-Martial for UCMJ violations of Articles 92 (Failure to obey a lawful general order) and 107 (making a false official statement). Subject was reduced in rank to an E-5.
17	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she was raped by Subject in his barracks room. Victim left with a friend and another Marine who were in the room during the incident. The Victim declined to participate in the prosecution. At an Art. 32 hearing, the Investigating Officer recommended the charges be dismissed. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority dismissed charges against Subject and took no further action.
18	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that unknown Subject sexually assaulted him by straddling the Victim"s back and trying to stick his erect penis into Victim"s buttocks while Victim was asleep after a night of drinking. Subject fled the room and Victim was unable to find or identify Subject. The investigation found DNA samples but no matches were made. Case closed after all logical leads have been exhausted and no Subject was identified.
19	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported Subject made lewd sexual comments toward Victim. Victim also reported Subject used a pen to touch Victim"s chest in a sexually suggestive way. The Convening Authority reviewed the NCIS investigation and consulted his SJA before deciding not to prosecute the case.
20	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	United States	Navy	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)			Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject. At a SPCM, the Subject was acquitted of Art. 120 (abusive sexual contact) and convicted of Art. 92 (violation of barracks order).

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: MARINE CORPS																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
21	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 9; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 66; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched her legs, hips, thighs, stomach, and vagina approximately five times while they were in a hot tub. After each time, Victim moved Subject's hands, used her legs to push him away, or verbally said to stop. Subject pleaded guilty at SPCM to violating Art. 92 (fraternization) and Art. 120 (wrongful sexual contact).
22	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	Yes	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 60; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she drove to see Subject so he could spend time with their daughter and after arriving she passed out from exhaustion. She woke up several hours later with a very sore vagina, but when she questioned Subject he denied having done anything. Victim reported that while she was driving back to her residence, Subject sent her text messages with photos showing Subject's penis on Victim's naked buttocks and Victim sleeping with semen on her face. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject. Subject was convicted at a GCM for violations of Art. 120 (sexual assault and abusive sexual contact) and Art. 134 (communicating a threat.)
23	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 8; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Multiple victims reported that Subject committed wrongful sexual acts while they were intoxicated. Victims reported they were assaulted on multiple occasions by the Subject groping their penis, masturbating, performing oral sex and possibly anally sodomizing them. Subject was convicted at GCM of Art. 92 (violation of an order) and Art. 120 (wrongful sexual contact).
24	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched her breast and forced her to touch his penis in her workspace on base. NCIS conducted an investigation. Art. 120 (sexual assault) and Art. 92 (sexual harassment) charges were preferred. An Art. 32 was conducted and based on the recommendations of the Investigating Officer, charges were referred to a GCM. The Subject was subsequently acquitted of all charges.
25	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-2	Male	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that unknown Subject and 7-9 other individuals sexually assaulted him by grabbing his genitals and his buttocks outside of his clothing in the berthing spaces just outside the male head. Investigation is closed because Victim could not provide identifying information on the Marines involved and Victim declined further participation. The investigation is closed.
26	Rape (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted and sexually harassed her in the workplace by licking her ear, smacking her buttocks and pulling her onto his lap. Victim reported Subject made numerous sexual gestures and comments over the course of a year. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject. Subject was found guilty at SPCM of violating Art. 92 (orders violation) and Art. 128 x 4 (assault).
27	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Other				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject performed oral sex on her without her consent in her barracks room. NCIS conducted an investigation and an Art. 32 was held for Art. 120 (rape) and Art. 125 (forcible sodomy) charges. After consultation with the SJA, and due to the victim's declination to participate in the proceedings, the Convening Authority took no further action against the Subject.
28	Rape (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	Other		None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject walked her home from a party at his residence and does not remember anything after that due to her level of intoxication. She woke up in the morning feeling as if she had sexual intercourse. Subject acknowledged consensual sexual intercourse. After consultation with the SJA, sexual assault charges were preferred, but were later dismissed after Victim declined to further participate in the military justice process. NJP was later imposed on the Subject for violation of Art. 134 (adultery).
29	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Fraternization (Art. 134-23)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted by Subject in Subject's barracks room after a night of drinking. Victim reported she awoke several times to Subject penetrating her vagina with his penis, and on one occasion penetrating her anus with his penis. Victim declined to participate in the military justice process. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority took no further action regarding the sexual assault. Subject received NJP for fraternization.
30	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	South Africa	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her by entering her room and recording on his camera phone a second individual groping her on top and underneath her clothes and digitally penetrating her vagina. The Victim did not name Subject and signed a Victim Preference Statement saying that she did not want to participate in the investigative process. The investigation is closed.
31	Rape (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		False official statements (Art. 107)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported to a medical facility that Subject raped her in his barracks room aboard base. NCIS conducted an investigation. The Article 32 Investigating Officer recommended Subject be referred to a SCM for non-sexual assault related offenses. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority dismissed the sexual assault charges. Subject pleaded guilty to violation of Art. 80 (attempted drug possession) and Art. 107 (false official statement) and administratively separated with an other than honorable characterization of service.
32	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	O-6	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Multiple victims reported that Subject sexually assaulted them by inappropriately touching their shoulders, knees and putting his arm around them and making comments about their physical appearance. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation and after consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority took Subject to NJP for Art. 92 (failure to obey an order: sexual harrassment) and Art. 133 (Conduct unbecoming). Additionally the Convening Authority recommended that Subject be required to show cause for retention at a board of Inquiry. Subject submitted for early retirement in the lesser grade of LtCol.
33	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-7	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None		Subject (a single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 67; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-6; Hard Labor: No; Notes: A female LCpl alleged the Subject touched her bikini area while at a social function during an exercise in Manila. He is also alleged to have made comments to her about wanting to have sex with her. Victim was consulted and agreed with this case being adjudicated at a SCM. Accused was reduced at his SCM and sent to an AdSep board. Accused was retained and subsequently submitted a request for early retirement under the Temporary Early Retirement Authority.
34	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Victim (single victim)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Subject escorted the alleged Victim back to her residence after a night of drinking. Victim claims Subject entered her bedroom and raped her. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA and based on Victim declining to participate in prosecution, Subject was given a 5105 for inappropriate conduct expected of an NCO.
35	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that unknown Subject may have sexually assaulted her but could not provide more information about the assault. The Victim declined to participate further in the investigative process. The investigation is closed.
36	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted by Subject after a party at her residence. Subject and another guest were invited to stay the night because they had been drinking. Victim reported she awoke with pain in her vagina and saw Subject on top of her. Victim said she told Subject "No" and he was hurting her. Local authorities relinquished investigative jurisdiction to NCIS. The Victim later decided not to participate in the prosecution. Subject was administratively separated for commission of a serious offense.
37	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Unknown	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male				Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 33; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched her upper thigh and breast without her consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation and after consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject. Subject entered into a PTA. At a SCM, Subject pleaded guilty to Art. 128x2 (assault).

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopsis Report: MARINE CORPS																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
38	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 12; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Third party reported that she observed Subject raping Victim at Subject"s residence while Victim was incapacitated due to alcohol. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation, the Convening Authority referred Subject to a GCM. Subject pleaded guilty to Art. 120 (abusive sexual contact).
39	Rape (Art. 120)		Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	A Civilian/Foreign authority is Prosecuting Service Member									Notes: Victim reported that she fell asleep in Subject"s residence and awoke to Subject penetrating her vagina. Victim ran into the bathroom and called 911 and Subject fled the residence. Subject was prosecuted by civilian authorities and pleaded guilty to sexual battery and abduction.
40	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Male	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that Subject grabbed and inappropriately touched the Victim"s buttocks on at least two occasions. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority issued Subject a 6105 formal counseling for inappropriate behavior.
41	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Japan	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that Subject had sexually assaulted him approximately one year prior while Victim was intoxicated. The Victim did not name Subject and signed a Victim Preference Statement saying that he did not want to participate in the investigative process. The investigation is closed.
42	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Convicted		Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject performed oral sex on her and inserted his finger and penis inside her vagina without her consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject. At a GCM, Subject was found guilty of Art. 125 (non-consensual sodomy) and Art. 92 (fraternization).
43	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 18; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported being intoxicated after the Marine corps Ball and requesting Subject #1 to assist her to her hotel room. Victim reported she blacked out and awoke in a hotel room that was not her own and was performing oral sex on Subject #3 while Subject #2 was having vaginal intercourse with her. Subject #1 was found guilty at a General Court Martial for violations of Article 92, Article 120, and Article 134. Adjudication is pending on Subject #2 and Subject #3.
44	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 33; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that she believed she had been raped at a party. She could not recall the details of the incident. NCIS conducted an investigation. After reviewing the investigation, and due to insufficient evidence, the Convening Authority did not prefer sexual assault charges against the Subject. The Convening Authority imposed NJP for Art. 134 (adultery).
45	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that an unknown male entered her room to assist putting her to bed while she was drunk. Victim believed that someone attempted to kiss her and touch her breast over the blanket but could not recall the incident with certainty. NCIS conducted an investigation, and witnesses identified Subject as one of the two Marines who helped her to her room and was briefly alone with her. After reviewing the investigation and consulting with the SJA, the Convening Authority found insufficient evidence to prosecute the Subject for sexual assault offenses and informally counseled the Subject on responsible drinking.
46	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-5	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported Subject (foreign national) smacked her on the buttocks while she was at off-base health facility. In an attempt to locate and interview Subject it was discovered that Subject had returned to his home country of Sudan. Command action was precluded due to Subject being a foreign national.
47	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	Marine Corps	E-1	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject placed his genitals on Victim"s shoulder without his consent while at recruit training. NCIS conducted an investigation. On the advice of the SJA, the Convening Authority imposed NJP on Subject for Viol of Art. 120 (Abusive Sexual Contact). Subject was subsequently processed for administrative separation and retained by the administrative separation board.
48	Rape (Art. 120)	United States	Marine Corps	E-2	Female			Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Offender is Unknown								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that she was attending a party at an off-base hotel with approximately 20 people. She reported that Subject pushed her into a bathtub and penetrated her vagina digitally and with his penis until he was forcefully pulled off of the victim by other individuals who were attending the party. NCIS conducted an investigation, however, due to the inability to identify the Subject and consultation with the SJA, the investigation was closed.
49	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	A Civilian/Foreign authority is Prosecuting Service Member									Notes: This case was initiated to provide limited investigative assistance to the local law enforcement and pertains to a suspected violation Rape-Force/Fear/Etc and Robbery-First Degree. Subject contacted Victim in response to her paid escort advertisement listed in the "adult entertainment" section of Backpage.com. Subject requested sexual intercourse with Victim in exchange for money and she accepted. Later that evening, Subject arrived at Victim"s residence located Subject produced a handgun and ordered her to have sex with him. Subsequently, Subject forced Victim to open her safe in the closet from which she removed almost $1000, photographed Victim"s face and body, and he threatened to kill her if she reported him to the police. On 04Oct13, Subject was discharged under other than honorable conditions for commission of a serious offense (Rape and Robbery).
50	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject showed up uninvited at Victim"s barracks room while Victim and her roommate were watching a movie and joined them. During the movie, Subject put his head on Victim"s lap and when she moved away from him he grabbed the inside of her left thigh pulling her back toward him and placed his hands above her vagina over her clothing. Victim stated she was able to push away from Subject and he left the room. Sexual assault charges was referred to SPCM. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority withdrew and dismissed the charges and the Subject pled guilty to Art 128 (assault/battery) at NJP.
51	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-1	Male	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						General		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject unzipped the Victim"s pants and touched his buttocks while the Victim was sleeping. Local law enforcement conducted an investigation. Subject was charged with misdemeanor sexual battery by civilian authorities, where the charges were subsequently dismissed. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority decided to pursue administrative separation due to lack of jurisdiction to seek criminal prosecution. Subject was administratively separated for commission of a sexual assault offense.
52	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	Yes	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Subject (a single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 7; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject pulled her towards him, touched her arm and chest, touched her leg with his penis, and lay on top of her while she was going to sleep. NCIS conducted an investigation. Charges were preferred against Subject. An Article 32 was conducted, after which, charges were initially referred to a GCM. Pursuant to a pretrial agreement, the Convening Authority withdrew the Art. 120 charges and the Subject pleaded guilty to violations of Art. 128 (assault consummated by battery) and Art. 134 (providing alcohol to a minor and indecent language) at a SPCM.
53	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her in Subject"s barracks room after a night of drinking. Victim reported she did not remember having sexual intercourse with Subject until he told her they had consensual intercourse. At an Art. 32 hearing, the Investigating Officer recommended dismissal of charges due to insufficient evidence. After consultation with SJA, the Convening Authority dismissed the charges and took no further action against Subject.
54	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution		None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her while she was too intoxicated to consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation charges were preferred and an Article 32 investigation held. The Investigating Officer recommended dismissal of charges against Subject due to the evidence not supporting a recommendation for prosecution. Based on the recommendation of the IO and the SJA, the Convening Authority dismissed charges against Subject and took no further action.
55	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 7; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported to local law enforcement that Subject raped her at her off-base residence after he had consumed alcohol. Local law enforcement declined to investigate. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation and after consultation with SJA, the Convening Authority imposed nonjudicial punishment on Subject for Art. 92 (failure to obey an order) and took no further action on the sexual assault due to Victim"s declination to participate in the NCIS investigation.

	FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopsis Report: MARINE CORPS											Disposition Decisions and Outcomes							Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
56	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Other					Notes: Subject allegedly sexually assaulted the Victim by kissing her, removing her clothes, and penetrating her vagina with his finger. The victim did not resist because she feared upsetting the Subject due to his past and present suicidal ideations. The charges were dismissed when the Victim decided not to participate further.
57	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Disorderly conduct (Art. 134-13)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim and Subject were at a conference. They had drinks at a function with other attendees. Subject helped the Victim back to her room and left her her roommate. The roommate called Subject to help her get Victim back into bed since she passed out in the bathroom. Victim claimed she was drunk and drugged. Toxicology reports showed alcohol and Prozac. Victim admitted to both. Sexual assault exam showed nothing, not even consensual sex. Subject guilty at NJP for non-sexual offenses.
58	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes	Subject (a single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 8; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged the offense occurred on base, in the barracks. The incident was reported the same day, alcohol use was reported by the subject. The Commanding Officer reffered the case to a General Court-Martial based on evidence.
59	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-1	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)			Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 6; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject raped and forcibly sodomized her. Subject was Victim"s supervisor. Charges were preferred against Subject for violation of Art. 92 (failure to obey an order), Art. 93 (cruelty and maltreatment), Art. 107 (false official statement), Art. 120 (rape), and Art. 125 (forcible sodomy). After consultation with the SJA and victim, the Convening Authority accepted a Pretrial Agreement where the Subject pleaded guilty to multiple non-sexual assault offenses at a GCM.
60	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Disorderly conduct (Art. 134-13)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject slapped her buttocks and repeatedly tried to kiss her. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority imposed NJP on Subject for violation of Art.134 (disorderly conduct).
61	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her at an off-base location. Investigative efforts coordinated between NCIS and local law enforcement. Both the Victim and Subject have been discharged from the armed forces and local authorities took primary jurisdiction over the case.
62	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject, and other individuals raped her at an on-base residence while she was too intoxicated to consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation, the Convening Authority imposed NJP on Subject for Art. 134 (adultery). Subject was reduced to E-3 and awarded forfeitures and restriction.
63	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately touched her clothed buttocks during a formation on-base. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation and after consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority issued Subject a formal counseling.
64	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted by Subject after a command party. Victim said she passed Subject on the way back to her room and invited him to stay in her barracks room overnight as her roommate was on leave. Victim reported she went to bed with Subject sleeping in her roommate"s bed. Victim recalled she woke up in the morning and Subject was sleeping in her bed next to her. Victim did not remember having sex, but said she vaguely recalled saying "No" to oral sex. The Art. 32 investigating officer recommended that charges be dismissed for insufficient evidence. The Convening Authority dismissed the charge and took no further action.
65	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		False official statements (Art. 107)	None		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 45; Notes: Victim reported passing out on one (1) bed of a two (2) bed hotel room subsequent to a night of heavy drinking and waking up at an unknown time the next morning to find Subject on top of her and actively engaged in vaginal intercourse. Victim reported she pushed Subject off her and onto the floor. She described rolling on to her right side and going back to sleep. An undetermined amount of time later, she awoke a second time to find Subject lying beside her and actively attempting to have anal intercourse with her. Subject was taken to trial by General Court Martial and, Subject was found not guilty of violations of UCMJ, Article 120 (Sexual Assault) and Article 125 (Sodomy). Subject was found guilty of violations of UCMJ, Article 107 (False Official Statement) and Article 134 (Adultery).
66	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-8	Male	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported to local law enforcement that Subject sexually assaulted him by orally and anally sodomizing him without his consent. NCIS assisted local law enforcement with an investigation. The Assistant United States Attorney"s Office declined to prosecute civilian Subject due to Victim"s declination to participate.
67	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Oman	Navy	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: A USN Victim reported being sexually assaulted by a USMC Subject while on liberty in Salalah, Oman. Victim reported, socializing and drinking with subject. At some point during the evening, the Victim and Subject went for a walk on the beach and engaged in sexual actions. Victim reported having vague memories of sexual encounters but claims she did not consent to them. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority imposed Non-Judicial Punishment (NJP) on the Subject for violation of Article 92 (Failure to Obey Order or Regulation), specifically for having sex on a ship.
68	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted him after a night of drinking. The Convening Authority referred charges against Subject with Art. 120 (sexual assault) at a GCM, where the Subject was subsequently acquitted of the charge.
69	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	A Civilian/Foreign authority is Prosecuting Service Member								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she began to feel dizzy from alcohol consumption, she decided to lie down in the upstairs guest bedroom of the residence. Victim reported she woke up to Subject penetrating her vagina with his penis. Subject was prosecuted in civilian court and was later administratively separated with an other than honorable characterization of service.
70	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-1	Male	Marine Corps	E-1	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						Uncharacterized			Notes: Victim reported Subject sexually assaulted him by grabbing his buttocks on several occasions. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority administratively separated Subject via an Entry Level Separation.
71	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	AFGHANISTAN	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Alleged sexual assault against 3 female victims. Subject allegedly rubbed his penis against a victim"s butt while lifting weights. Subject also accused of making sexual comments and showing pictures of his erect penis. All 3 victims declined to participate in the prosecution. Subject was found guilty at summary court-martial of 3 specification of Art 92 (sexual harassment).
72	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject wrongfully touched her breast at an off-base residence. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority imposed NJP on Subject for violation of Art. 128 (assault).
73	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	General		Subject (a single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 67; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject allegedly groped the Victim multiple times while intoxicated at a Warrior Night. Victim was attempting to sleep when the Subject laid next to her. Victim told Subject to quit several times. Pursuant to a PTA and after consultation with the SJA, the Subject pled guilty at Summary court-martial for non-sexual assault offenses and waived his AdSep board. He was separated with a General (Under Honorable Conditions) discharge.
74	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Coast Guard	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 33; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject allegedly sexually assaulted Victim at a party on her off base residence by hugging and grabbing the Victim inappropriately and at one point Subject sat on her lap. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority imposed NJP on Subject for Violation of Art 128(assault/battery) and Art 134 (drunk/disorderly).
75	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her on at least two occasions and threatened her with a firearm if she did not have intercourse with him. Subject was tried at GCM for rape, four specifications of assault, communicating a threat, and adultery. He was acquitted of all charges.
76	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject, along with one other individual, raped her while she was passed-out. An Art. 32 was conducted where the Investigating Officer recommended dismissing all charges against the Subject. Based on the recommendation of the Investigating Officer, and after consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority dismissed the charges due to lack of sufficient evidence.

	FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: MARINE CORPS																	Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
77	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject put his hand down Victim"s pants and touched her vagina while she was sleeping. Subject was tried at GCM for violating an order, abusive sexual contact, and assault consummated by a battery. Subject was acquitted of all charges.
78	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 18; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her in his barracks room aboard base. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject. Subject was found guilty of Art. 120 (rape) at a GCM.
79	Rape (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-5	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her in her off-base residence. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation and after consultation with the SJA, having found insufficient evidence for sexual assault charges, the Convening Authority referred Subject to SPCM for violation of Art. 128 (assault). Subject was acquitted of the charge.
80	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	General		Subject (a single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that he awoke to fingers reaching inside the waist band of his basketball shorts. Victim sat up and observed a hand withdraw through the curtains of the open window next to his bed. Victim noticed a male, who he suspected to be Subject, running away from the window. After reviewing the investigation, consulting with a judge advocate, the Convening Authority referred the charges to summary court-martial and processed the Subject for administrative separation for commission of a serious offense.
81	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-5	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Adultery (Art. 134-2)			Victim (single victim)	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 90; Notes: A third party reported that Subject had inserted his fingers into Victim 1"s vagina and touched her breasts. Victim 1 stated that she had been raped but declined to identify her assailant or participate in the investigation or proceedings. Victim 2 reported that Subject vaginally raped her with his penis while she was passed out. At a GCM, the Subject was acquitted of Art. 120 (sexual assault and abusive sexual contact) and found guilty of Art. 134 (adultery).
82	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Victim (single victim)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject grabbed her buttocks approximately 5 times and attempted to kiss her against her will. NCIS conducted an investigation. After reviewing the investigation and consulting with legal counsel, and in accordance with the wishes of the Victim, the Convening Authority withdrew charges and imposed NJP on the Subject. The Subject was subsequently administratively separated with an Other than Honorable characterization of service.
83	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched his penis in a cab while the Subject, Victim, and 3 other Marines returned from dinner. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority imposed NJP for Art. 128 (assault consummated by battery) due to insufficient evidence and the victim"s preference that this conduct be handled administratively.
84	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject held her down and raped her at an off-base residence. Local law enforcement assumed investigative jurisdiction and civilian prosecutors charged the Subject with felony sexual assault and false imprisonment. After consultation with the SJA, while the civilian proceedings were pending, the Convening Authority initiated Administrative Separation proceedings against the Subject. The Subject was separated from the Marine Corps with an Other Than Honorable characterization of service.
85	Rape (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-4	Male	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that unknown Subject sexually assaulted him and that he does not remember anything besides feeling the beard of a man rubbing against his back. A Sexual Assault Forensic Exam (SAFE) was performed. Victim could offer no information to the investigation regarding the perpetrator or the location of the incident. The local policy department could identify no leads or suspects. The investigation is closed.
86	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that she and Subject had vaginal sex and that she gave the Subject oral sex but that she was intoxicated and did not recall giving her consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on a review of the investigation and after consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority imposed NJP for Art. 134 (adultery) and due to insufficient evidence to prosecute the Subject for sexual assault.
87	Rape (Art. 120)		Navy	E-1	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 2; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reports she attended a party and consumed several different alcoholic beverages and became intoxicated. Victim stated that evening she met Subject and remembers walking upstairs with Subject later in the evening. Victim entered a bedroom and remembers lying down on a bed with Subject. Subject pulled down the bottom of Victim"s swimwear, used his fingers to rub her vaginal area, then had sexual intercourse with her. Victim stated she was too intoxicated to consent. At a Special Courts-Martial, Subject was found not guilty of violations of Article 128 (Assault), 120 (Aggravated Sexual Assault), and 121 (Larceny) of the UCMJ. Subject was found guilty of Article 134 (Adultery) and Article 92 (Orders Violation). Subject was sentenced to 60 days confinement and he received a reduction in rank to E-3.
88	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her in her barracks room six years prior. NCIS conducted an investigation. While investigating the case, NCIS learned that Subject was out of the military at the time of report. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority took no action against Subject due to Subject being outside of DOD"s legal authority.
89	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim alleged offense occurred on base, in the barracks. Victim alleged that Subject kissed her against her will in their shared barracks room. Subject was acquitted of all charges.
90	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	O-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Conduct unbecoming (Art. 133)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately touched her over the clothing, forced her to touch his penis, and attempted to lift her uniform skirt. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority imposed NJP on Subject for Art. 133 (conduct unbecoming an officer and a gentlemen) and Art. 134 (fraternization). Subject was subsequently ordered to show cause at a Board of Inquiry, the board recommended that Subject be retained in the Marine Corps.
91	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject forced her to perform oral sex on him. Victim subsequently stated that she could not remember whether she had performed oral sex on the Subject. An Art. 32 was conducted and the Investigating Officer recommended that sexual assault charges not be referred. Based on the advice of the Investigating Officer and after consulting with the SJA, the Convening Authority took no further action against the Subject.
92	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that Subject while walking back to the barracks from the E-Club, Subject pushed her up against a wall and kissed her. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority took no action against Subject for sexual assault charges due to insufficient evidence, but gave Subject a counseling letter.
93	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject inserted his penis into her vagina in the barracks while she was intoxicated. NCIS conducted an investigation. An Art. 32 was conducted and based on the recommendations of the Investigating Officer, all charges were referred to a GCM. The Subject was acquitted of all Art. 120 charges, but found guilty of Art. 92 (underage drinking).
94	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim alleged that her ex-boyfriend, the Subject, anally and digitally penetrated her while she was inebriated. Victim made a restricted report, submitted to a SAFE examination, and sought medical attention. Later the victim made a sworn statement to NCIS regarding the assault and indicated her desire to file an unrestricted report. Sexual assault charges were dismissed by the Convening Authority after consultation with the SJA, because there was insufficient evidence to prosecute. No further action taken.
95	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	None		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject rubbed Victim"s left breast and raped her. Victim reported that the Subject sexually assaulted her a second time the next day. An Art. 32 was conducted and sexual assault charges were initially referred to a GCM. However, after further review and after consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority withdrew and dismissed the Art. 120 charges due to insufficient evidence. The Subject pleaded guilty at a SCM to Art. 134 (adultery).

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: MARINE CORPS																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject in Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
96a	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported she had been raped by Subject and another individual in a barracks room while she was too intoxicated to consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. Subject is out of the Marine Corps and prosecution was declined by the Special Assistant United States Attorney (SAUSA), a due to weak or insufficient admissible evidence to prosecute.
96b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None		Subject (a single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Alleged Victim and Subject were drinking in Subject"s barracks room. They were alone when Subject arrived. Subject consumed 5 beers and 3 shots of tequila. Victim reported she blacked out and woke up with Subject and another male raping her. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject. Subject was convicted at a CGM of Art. 92 (failure to obey an order), Art. 134(drunk and disorderly conduct) and Art. 128 (assault).
97	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported she was vaginally, orally, and anally raped by Subject while they were in Subject"s barracks room. Subject was charged at GCM with five specifications of rape/sexual assault. He was a acquitted of all charges.
98	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject orally sodomized him in Subjects barracks room while he was too intoxicated to consent. NCIS conducted an investigation, based on the results of the investigation and after consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority found insufficient evidence for the sexual assault charge and administratively separated Subject based on prior misconduct with an Other than Honorable characterization of service.
99	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that she and Subject drank at local bars then returned to Subject"s off base residence where Victim fell asleep on Subject"s couch. Victim reported she later awoke to Subject touching her vagina, slipping her panties off, digitally penetrating Victim"s vagina, and unhooking Victim"s bra before she blacked out again. Victim reported that the next time she remembered waking up, Subject rolled her body over, placed her on top of him, and engaged in vaginal intercourse with her. Subject was found not guilty at GCM of violations of Article 120
100	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject (civilian) sexually enveigled him by orally sodomizing him twice during the night at an off-base residence, while Victim was incapacitated due to alcohol and pain medication. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation, Special Assistant United States Attorney (SAUSA) declined to prosecute Subject based on lack of sufficient evidence.
101	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution		None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 67; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Army CID initiated an investigation into the sexual assault between Subject, and Victim. Victim"s spouse walked in on Subject sexually assaulting Victim and punched Subject on the face and pushed him away from Victim. The SJA was consulted. Subject entered into a pre-trial agreement to accept Non Judicial Punishment (NJP) regarding the UCMJ Article 134 violation (Indecent Acts). He received 45 days restriction with two (2) hours of extra duties during those 45 days, forfeiture of 2 months pay, a letter of admonition. Subject was not recommended for promotion to Staff Sergeant for six (6) months.
102	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		False official statements (Art. 107)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her. NCIS conducted an investigation. Victim declined to participate in the military justice process. Based on the results of the investigation and insufficient evidence to support the rape charge and after consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority imposed NJP on Subject for Art. 134 (fraternization) and Art. 107 (false official statements).
103	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Disorderly conduct (Art. 134-13)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately hit her in the groin. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority imposed NJP on Subject for Art. 134 (drunk and disorderly).
104	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately touched his buttocks over his clothing while in their barracks room aboard base. NCIS conducted an investigation. The Article 32 hearing officer recommended dismissal of charges due to insufficient evidence. After consultation with the SJA, the convening authority dismissed the charges.
105	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported that Subject, a senior Marine, unlawfully kissed her neck, touched her breasts and buttocks, exposed his penis, and pulled her pants away from her body to view her vagina. NCIS conducted an investigation. After an Art. 32 was conducted and based on the recommendations of the Investigating Officer and the SJA, the Convening Authority referred Art. 120 (wrongful sexual contact), Art. 92 (sexual harassment and fraternization), and Art. 93 (maltreatment) charges to a SPCM. The Subject was subsequently acquitted of all charges.
106	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately kissed her while she was performing her duties as the barracks NCO. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation, the Convening Authority referred Subject to SPCM. The Subject submitted an NJP/Board waiver. The CA after consultation with the SJA agreed and the Subject was administratively separated with an Other than Honorable characterization of service.
107	Rape (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her in 2011 while Victim was a civilian and Subject was in the USMC Delayed Entry Program. Victim reported the incident to multiple civilian investigative agencies. The case was not prosecuted by any civilian authorities. The Subject was not subject to the UCMJ at the time of the offense so military prosecution was precluded.
108	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Male	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject without consent had oral sex with him, touched his penis, and inserted a finger into the Victim"s anus. Victim declined to participate in the Art. 32 hearing and in any further prosecution efforts. The Art. 32 officer recommended dismissal of the sexual assault charges. The Convening Authority agreed and dismissed the sexual assault charges, but imposed NJP for violating a barracks order.
109	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported the Subject sexually assaulted her by penetrated the Victim"s vagina with his penis while the Victim was incapable of consenting to the sexual act because of intoxication. An Art 32 hearing was held and the Investigating Officer recommended that the charge and its specification be dismissed. The SJA was consulted and the convening authority dismissed the charge and took no further action.
110	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Victim (single victim)	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 2; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 67; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject grinded his pelvis into victim"s buttocks without her consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject. Subject was convicted at a SPCM of violation of Art. 120 (sexual assault), Art. 130 (housebreaking) and Art. 134 (threat, communicating).
111	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-7	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her in a camper at his off-base residence while she was too intoxicated to consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation, the Convening Authority referred Subject to GCM. The Subject was found guilty at GCM of rape.
112	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	A Civilian/Foreign authority is Prosecuting Service Member									Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her by touching her breast and vagina without her consent, while sharing a room at an off-base hotel. Subject was acquitted of all charges in civilian court. The Convening Authority took no action.
113	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 8; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: On several occasions, Subject ejaculated on Victim and placed his penis on Victim"s stomach while Victim was intoxicated and asleep. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject. Subject was convicted at a GCM for violations of Art. 120 (indecent acts) and Art. 93 (cruelty and maltreatment).
114	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-8	Male				Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 33; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that the Subject sexually assaulted her. NCIS conducted an investigation. However after consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority did not find sufficient evidence for a sexual assault charge, but found sufficient evidence for non-sexual assault offenses. Subject was found guilty at a General Court-Martial of disobeying a lawful general regulation, disorderly conduct, and dereliction of duties. Subject was subsequently sentenced forfeiture of $1,000.00 and a reprimand.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: MARINE CORPS																							
																				Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject in Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
115	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her at an off-base residence while she was too intoxicated to consent. Civilian law enforcement investigated and closed their investigation due insufficient evidence. The Convening Authority took no further action due to there being insufficient evidence.
116	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 25; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped and digitally penetrated her vaginally and anally in the barracks while she was too intoxicated to consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. An Art. 32 was held and the Investigating Officer recommend charges be referred to a GCM. The Convening Authority referred Art. 120 (sexual assault), Art. 125 (forcible sodomy), and Art. 92 (orders violation) charges to a GCM, where the Subject was acquitted of all sexual assault charges but was found guilty of Art. 92 (orders violation).
117	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution		None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her at his residence after drinking alcohol at a local establishment. NCIS conducted an investigation. An Art. 32 was conducted. Based on the Investigating Officer's recommendation, after consultation with the SJA, and due to the victim declining to participate in the proceedings, the Subject received NJP for Art. 134 (adultery).
118	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Subject (a single subject)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that Subject grabbed her by her hips with his fingertips near her buttocks and attempted to pull her closer to him. NCIS conducted an investigation. After reviewing the investigation and consulting with the SJA, the Convening Authority found insufficient evidence of a sexual assault offense. The Subject was given a 6105 counseling for a violation of Art. 134 (drunk and disorderly conduct).
119a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	Unknown		Female				Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that Subject put her hand on Victim"s leg and inner thigh without Victim"s consent. Victim reported that on a separate occasion, Subject touched his buttocks with her hand while standing in line for formation. Subject was given a formal counseling.
119b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	Marine Corps	E-1	Female	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately grabbed his buttocks while standing in formation. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Subject received a formal counseling.
120	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject and another individual raped her. NCIS conducted an investigation. After reviewing the investigation and consulting with the SJA, the Convening Authority found insufficient evidence of a sexual assault offense. The Convening Authority imposed NJP on Subject for violations of Art. 92 (orders violation) and Art 134. (adultery).
121	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that unknown Subject sexually assaulted her while she was drinking. The investigation was closed because Victim said she could not identify the male if shown a photographic lineup and victim decided not to participate. The investigation is closed.
122	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject anally sodomized him while he was too intoxicated to consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. At an Art. 32 hearing, the Investigating Officer recommended dismissal of charges due to the evidence not supporting a recommendation for prosecution. Based on the recommendations of the IO and SJA, the Convening Authority dismissed charges against Subject and took no further action.
123	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 33; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject restrained her and then raped her. Due to the Victim declining to participate in the proceedings and her support for the pretrial agreement, after consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority charged the Subject with Art. 128 (assault consummated by battery) and Art. 134 (adultery) at a SCM, where he pleaded guilty. The Subject was subsequently administratively separated from the Marine Corps with an Other than Honorable characterization of service.
124	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Three victims reported that Subject made unwanted contact to their penis on the outside of their clothing. One of the Victims also reported that the Subject massaged his neck and reached down inside his camouflage utility blouse and rubbed his pectoral muscle. All three victims declined to participate in disciplinary action. After consultation with the SJA, Subject was counseled on inappropriate behavior.
125	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject attempted to kiss her, slid his hand down the front of her pants, and penetrated her vagina with his finger. The Article 32 investigating officer recommended no further action because of insufficient evidence. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority chose to dismiss all charges.
126	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	A Civilian/Foreign authority is Prosecuting Service Member									Notes: Victim reported that Subject entered her off-base residence and wrongfully rubbed his penis against her. NCIS assisted Local law enforcement in the investigation. Based on civilian prosecution, the Convening Authority took no further action against Subject. Subject pleaded guilty to disorderly conduct in civilian court and was ordered to pay court costs.
127	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	O-4	Male	Unknown		Female	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted him by making Victim digitally penetrate Subject while Subject performed oral sex on Victim in a vehicle while he was too intoxicated to consent. Civilian authorities declined to prosecute. The Convening Authority took no further action based on Subject being outside DOD"s legal authority.
128	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Other				Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped Victim in the barracks by using force to penetrate her anus and vagina with his fingers and penis. An Art. 32 was conducted, the Investigating Officer recommended dismissal of charges against Subject due to victim"s declination to participate in the proceedings. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority took no further action against the Subject.
129	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 3; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 90; Notes: Victim reported Subject sexually assaulted at her former off-base residence.Victim said her consumption of alcoholic beverages ultimately led to her intoxication and blacking out. Victim said the next recollection she had was waking up in her bed with Subject on top of her with his penis inside her vagina.General Court Martial (GCM) proceedings for Subject determined he was guilty indicated charges relevant to the captioned investigation.
130	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Convicted		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	None		Victim (single victim)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 67; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim"s spouse reported that Victim was sexually assaulted by Subject in an on-base establishment restroom. NCIS conducted an investigation. Victim stated the incident was consensual. Based on the result of the investigation and after consultation with the SJA, the convening authority took no action regarding the sexual assault charge, but referred changes to a SCM for adultery, where the subject pleaded guilty.
131	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that unknown Subject sexually assaulted her and that she only recalled fragments of what occurred. Victim recalls feeling dizzy with unclear vision when she was separated from her group and brought to an unknown hotel room where an unknown male subsequently sexually assaulted her. NCIS investigated, but could not identify a subject. The investigation is closed.
132	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)				Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 7; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Four Victims reported that Subject sexually assaulted them in various ways, to include rape, forcible sodomy and touching one Victim"s vagina. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject. Pursuant to a PTA, Subject pleaded guilty at special court martial to two specifications of violation of Art. 128 (assault) and two specifications of violation of Art. 134 (adultery).
133	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Female								Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her approximately one year prior. The Victim did not name Subject and signed a Victim Preference Statement saying that she did not want to participate further in the investigative process. The investigation is closed.
134	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-5	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Fraternization (Art. 134-23)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched and kissed her breast without her consent. NCIS conducted an in investigation. After reviewing the investigation and consulting with the SJA, the Convening Authority took no further action on the sexual assault based on insufficient evidence. Subject received NJP for Art. 134 (fraternization).
135	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her and that other individuals had beaten her approximately one year before the report. The Victim did not name Subject and did not want to participate in the investigative process. No Subject identified. The investigation is closed.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: MARINE CORPS																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
136	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately touched her on multiple occasions to include grabbing her hand and kissing her cheek and neck. Based on the results of the investigation, and after consulting with the SJA, the Convening Authority took no further action against Subject for the sexual assault related offenses due to insufficient evidence. The Convening Authority took administrative action for fraternization.
137	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 28; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 28; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that offense occured in a cab, while returning to base from a bar. Victim states that Subject attempted to kiss her and when she turned away, Subject kissed and bit her neck and attempted to put his hand near her waistband. Victim reported the offense and an MPO was issued to Subject. NCIS conducted an investigation and the SJA was consulted. The Subject received NJP.
138	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	Foreign National	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape and Sexual Assault of a Child (Art. 120b)		Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 24; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim, a Japanese national, reported that Subject touched her breast and vagina over her clothes. Subject was tried at GCM for this incident and another incident involving the rape of a child. He pleaded guilty to violation of article 92 (disobeying an order), Art. 120b (sexual assault of a child), Art. 134 (indecent language), Art. 120 (abusive sexual contact of an adult) and violation of Art. 128 (assault consummated by a battery).
139	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-1	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her in Subject's barracks room. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation and after consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority took no further action against the Subject for the sexual assault offenses due to insufficient evidence. Subject was administratively separated for a pattern of misconduct.
140	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 7; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched her vagina and legs, kissed her neck, and laid on her while she was sleep. NCIS conducted an investigation and charges were preferred against the subject. An Article 32 was conducted, after which, charges were initially referred to a GCM. Pursuant to a pretrial agreement and after consulation with the SJA, the Convening Authority withdew the Art. 120 charges and the Subject pleaded guilty to violations of Art. 128 (assault consummated by battery) and Art. 134 (providing alcohol to a minor and indecent language) at a SPCM.
141	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim stated Subject placed his erect penis and genitals on Victim"s leg in their assigned barracks room. After consultation with the SJA the commander took administrative action in this case and Subject received a 6105 counseling for the incident.
142	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that unknown Subject anally sodomized Victim. Victim left a bar, vomited, subsequently passed out and awoke naked in a motel room 30-40 minutes away with pain in his anal cavity. All logical investigative endeavors were completed, but no Subject was identified in this investigation. The investigation is closed.
143	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that unknown Subject potentially drugged and anally sodomized him. Victim indicated he did not seek medical attention after the assault and only came forward now to receive counseling. Victim came to NCIS and declined to provide a statement saying he had no recollection of actual assault. The investigation is closed.
144a	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 12; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 66; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her in his barracks room, while she was incapacitated due to alcohol. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject. Subject pleaded guilty to Art. 120 (wrongful sexual contact) at a SPCM.
144b	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject and another Marine had vaginal intercourse with Victim while she was incapacitated. The Subject was charged with Art. 120 (rape and aggravated sexual contact) and Art. 92 (wrongfully consuming and possessing alcohol while a minor, and a barracks violation) at a GCM where he was acquitted of all charges.
145	Rape (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling		Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her on two separate occasions. An Article 32 hearing was held and the Investigating Officer recommended the case be referred to GCM. The Subject was tried at GCM of two specifications of rape, and was acquitted of all charges.
146	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Victim (single victim)	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 96; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her after punching and choking her. Subject also stole over 100 female undergarments from multiple females. Pursuant to a pretrial agreement, the Subject pleaded guilty at a GCM to Art. 120 (sexual assault) and Art. 121 (larceny).
147	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Wrongful use, possession, etc. of controlled substances (Art. 112a)			Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 6; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that she awoke to find Subject kissing her neck subsequent to drinking alcohol and playing games in a nearby room. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject. Subject was convicted at SPCM of violation of Art. 128 (assault), Art. 112a (wrongful use of a controlled substance), and Art. 134 (unlawful entry).
148	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that at the end of the workday, Subject placed his hand around her waist and pulled her toward him and tried to kiss her on the lips. NCIS conducted an investigation, however, Victim, via her Victims" Legal Counsel, subsequently declined to participate in the prosecution. The Convening Authority imposed NJP for Art. 92 (sexual harassment).
149	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that at a previous duty station Subject raped her while she was incapacitated due to alcohol. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation, charges were preferred against Subject. The Article 32 Investigating Officer recommended that charges be dismissed against Subject due to lack of sufficient evidence to prosecute. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority dismissed the charges took no further action against Subject.
150	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-2	Male	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)				Notes: Victim alleged the offense occured on base, in the barracks. Victim alleged that Subject who was his roomate, groped him over his clothing while he was asleep. Charges were preferred to a Special Court-Martial. Subject accepted a Summary Court Martial in accordance with his pre-trial agreement and was administratively separated from the Marine Corps.
151	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Disorderly conduct (Art. 134-13)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 25; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject flicked her left breast during an altercation at a concert. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation, consultation with the SJA and in accordance with the preference of the Victim, the Convening Authority imposed NJP on the Subject for Art. 134 (disorderly conduct, drunkenness).
152	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her in her barracks room. NCIS conducted an investigation. An Art. 32 hearing was held, the Investigating Officer recommended that charges not be referred against Subject. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority dismissed the charge and took no further action based on insufficient evidence.
153	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Insubordinate conduct toward a warrant officer, NCO, or PO (Art. 91)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject kissed her neck and ear area without her consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority took no further action on the sexual assault offenses based on Victim"s declination to participate. The Convening Authority imposed NJP on Subject for Art. Art. 91 (insubordinate conduct) and Art. 134 (disorderly conduct).
154	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-7	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment			None		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that the Subject wrongfully kissed her, grabbed her breast and touched her buttocks underneath the clothing. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred Subject to a SPCM. Prior to trial, Subject entered into a PTA and agreed to plead guilty at NJP to Art. 128 (assault)).

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: MARINE CORPS																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
155	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that she was awakened when she felt Subject rubbing her vagina over her shorts. The Subject then proceeded to rub her breasts over her jacket and later, his penis over her thighs and side. NCIS conducted an investigation. After reviewing the investigation and consulting with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against the Subject for Art. 120 (abusive sexual contact) and Art. 107 (false official statement) at a SPCM. The Subject was acquitted of all charges.
156	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	Unknown	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 45; Notes: Victim reported that Subject fondled her breasts, digitally penetrated her, and performed oral sex on her without consent. The case was tried at GCM where Subject was found guilty of Art. 92 (orders violation), but not guilty of Art. 120 (sexual assault).
157	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that unknown Subject fondled his penis through his pants, performed oral sex upon and anally sodomized him. Victim was unable to recall details about the Subject, the name of the club where they had been, or the location of sexual assault. Due to lack of investigative leads and no identified Subject, local law enforcement and NCIS closed the case. The investigation is closed.
158	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her on two separate occasions and threatened her life multiple times. Subject was tried at GCM for rape, aggravated sexual contact, assault, and multiple specifications of communicating a threat. He was acquitted of all charges.
159	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No			Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her in a hotel while she was intoxicated. The Convening Authority referred a charge against the Subject for Art. 120 (rape) at a GCM, where the Subject was acquitted of all charges.
160	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject orally sodomized him at an off-base residence while he was too intoxicated to consent. Civilian law enforcement initiated an investigation with NCIS investigative support but declined to prosecute based on insufficient evidence. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority took no further action based on the results of the investigation.
161	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Disorderly conduct (Art. 134-13)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched her inner thigh and vaginal area over her clothing while seated in the back of a taxi cab. NCIS conducted an investigation. After reviewing the investigation and consulting with the SJA, the Convening Authority found insufficient evidence of a sexual assault offense. The Convening Authority imposed NJP on Subject for Violation of Art. 134 (disorderly conduct).
162	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that unknown Subject removed Victim's shorts and proceeded to engage in non-consensual vaginal sex with Victim after pushing her back into the restroom of a bar. The Victim declined to participate further in the investigative process. The investigation is closed.
163	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that unknown Subject sexually assaulted her while she was intoxicated and asleep at a house party. Victim awoke to unknown Subject digitally penetrating her vagina but was not able to identify the Subject. Local law enforcement and NCIS were not able to identify the Subject. The investigation is closed.
164	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately grabbed her buttocks without her consent at an off-base residence. NCIS conducted an investigation. Charges were preferred against Subject for Art. 120 (Abusive Sexual Contact) and other non-sexual assault charges. Upon further review of the evidence and consulting with the SJA, the convening authority dismissed the charges and the Subject was separated in lieu of trial with an other than honorable discharge.
165	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 5; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 66; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her by touching her vagina and upper thigh while asleep. NCIS conducted an investigation. The SJA was consulted. Abusive sexual contact charges were referred to a SPCM. At the SPCM, the subject was found guilty of Art. 128 (assault consummated by a battery).
166	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject choked and restrained her while he performed oral sex on her and penetrated her vagina with his penis at her off-base residence. After consultation with the SJA and due to the victim's declination to participate in the investigation and proceedings, the Convening Authority dismissed the Art. 120 charge and imposed NJP for violations of Art. 80 (attempted adultery) and Art. 92 (dereliction of duty).
167		UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-1	Male	Unknown		Male					Subject Died or Deserted									Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted him as a child. The Victim did not name Subject and declined to participate in the investigative process. The investigation is closed.
168	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	W-1	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Victim stated Subject grabbed her buttocks on three (3) separate occasions and made sexual comments towards her during the alleged assaults, which occurred within Subject's office. After consultation with the SJA, the convening authority recommended the Subject be separated. Subject appeared in front of a Board of Inquiry and was recommended for retention in the USMC. Subject received other adverse administrative actions for evidence of misconduct.
169	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her by digitally penetrating her vagina without her consent in Subject's vehicle aboard base. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority took no action against Subject based on the Victim's declination to participate in the military justice process.
170	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject possibly performed sexual activities on her while she was asleep. The Victim did not name Subject and declined to participate further in the investigative process. The investigation is closed.
171	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim alleged Subject touched her vaginal area with his hand, skin-to-skin and under her clothes, three to four separate times while they were grappling at his on-base residence. Victim also alleged Subject raped her at his former off-base residence while she helped him move out. Local police relinquished investigative jurisdiction to NCIS. After consulation with the SJA the convening authority dismissed charges against Subject due to insufficient evidne. Subsequently, an administrative discharge board convened to hear allegations of possible collateral misconduct on the part of Subject and the board found no basis for separation for Subject.
172	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim reported that Subject struck him in the groin (over his clothing) with the back of his hand on numerous occasions. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject to a SPCM, but later withdrew and dismissed the charges. Subject was counseled for violations of Art. 92 (cruelty and maltreatment) and Art. 128 (assault).
173	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her in an off-base hotel while she was too intoxicated to consent. NCIS conducted an investigation and an Art. 32 was held. After consulting with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred Art. 120 (sexual assault) and Art. 134 (adultery) charges to a GCM, where the Subject was acquitted of all charges.
174	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)			Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 3; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim 1 reported that Subject sexually assaulted her while she was intoxicated and unable to consent. Victim 2 reported that Subject forcibly kissed her and attempted to place his hands down her pants. Victim 3 reported that Subject sexually assaulted her while she was unconscious at a party. Subject pleaded guilty to Art. 92 (providing alcohol to minors and fraternization), Art. 93 (maltreatment), and Art. 134 (adultery) at a GCM. At the same GCM, the Subject was acquitted of Art. 120 (abusive sexual contact).
175	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	W-2	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Conduct unbecoming (Art. 133)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately kissed her, without her consent, inside Subject's office on-base. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation and after consulting with the SJA, the Convening Authority imposed NJP on Subject for viol of Art. 133 (Conduct unbecoming an Officer).
176	Rape (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male	Yes	No		Q4 (July-September)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that in the summer of 2010, a Marine from her unit entered her barracks room through a window and raped her. Subject is no longer in the Marine Corps. The Special Assistant U.S. Attorney for Camp Lejeune declined prosecution due to insufficient evidence.
177	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Victim reported that Subject grabbed her buttocks and made an inappropriate comment. NCIS conducted an investigation. After reviewing the results of the investigation and after consulting with the SJA, the Convening Authority took administrative actions toward the Subject and expeditiously transferred the Victim stateside from Camp Leatherneck, Afghanistan.
178	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Afghanistan	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male	No			Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that Subject (foreign national) groped her breast and vagina over her clothes. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority issued a Letter of Debarment and Subject was subsequently terminated from his employment aboard Camp Leatherneck, Afghanistan.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: MARINE CORPS																				Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
179	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim #1 reported that Subject held her down on the floor, tried to kiss her, and groped her breast. Victim #2 reported that Subject pulled her hair in an unchaste/totally hard manner while having consensual intercourse with him. After consulation with the SJA, the Convening Authority reviewed the results of the investigation and decided not to take any action since there was insufficient evidence.
180	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that unknown Subject raped him at a party while he was asleep. Victim awoke when he felt the unknown female roll off the top of him, noticed his penis and genital area was red and observed semen on his body. The local police department reported there was lack of evidence, and no Subject had been identified. The investigation is closed.
181	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that he awoke to Subject performing oral sex on him. Local police assumed primary jurisdiction, and Subject pleaded guilty to one count of obscenity under State law. The Subject was subsequently administratively separated from the Marine Corps with an Other than Honorable characterization of separation.
182	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-5	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						General		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject kissed her mouth and forehead and grabbed her waist on multiple occasions, at a party without her consent. The Victim also reported that the Subject tried to unhook her bra. NCIS then conducted an investigation. Victim signed a letter declining to participate further in investigation. After reviewing the investigation, receiving trial counsel guidance and consulting with the SJA, the Convening Authority initiated Administrative Separation proceedings against the Subject. The Subject was separated from the Marine Corps for sexual and other misconduct.
183	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her at an off-base residence while she was too intoxicated to consent. Civilian authorities declined to prosecute based on insufficient evidence. DOD did not have jurisdiction over civilian subject.
184	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Obstructing justice (Art. 134-35)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject inserted his penis into the Victim's mouth and touched the Victim's face and hands with his penis without consent. Victim further reported that Subject videotaped the Victim's private areas without the Victim's consent, broadcasted the video, and offered the Victim $5,000 not to pursue criminal charges or participate in the proceedings. NCIS conducted an investigation and an Art. 32 was held. The investigating Officer recommended some of the charges be disposed of at NJP and that the rest of the charges be dismissed. The Convening Authority referred Art. 80 (attempt to commit wrongful sexual contact), Art. 120c (other sexual misconduct), Art. 92 (violation of a general order), Art. 128 (assault consummated by battery), and Art. 134 (indecent language and endeavoring to impede an investigation) charges to a GCM. Pursuant to a Pretrial Agreement, and after the Convening Authority consulted with the SJA, Subject pleaded guilty to Art. 92 (violate a lawful general order) and Art. 134 (indecent language and endeavoring to impede an investigation) charges at a SPCM and the Convening Authority dismissed the remaining charges. The Subject was subsequently separated from the Marine Corps.
185	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-7	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 7; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject attempted to grab her buttocks, grabbed her hip and back of neck while attempting to kiss her and stating sexually suggestive language to her in passing . NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation and advice of the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject. Subject pleaded guilty at SPCM to violations of Art. 92 (sexual harassment and fraternization), Art. 128 (assault consummated by battery), and Art. 134 (adultery and unlawful entry).
186	Rape (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-5	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 60; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject isolated her behind a building outside the enlisted club. He attempted to persuade her to go to his barracks room. When she said no he pushed her to the ground, kissed her face, and digitally penetrated her vagina. A passerby intervened and Subject ran away. The Subject was convicted at GCM of Art. 120 (aggravated sexual contact) and Art. 80 (attempted rape).
187	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)				Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 7; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Four Victims reported that Subject sexually assaulted them in various ways, to include rape, forcible sodomy and touching one Victim's vagina. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject. Pursuant to a PTA, Subject pleaded guilty at special court martial to two specifications of violation of Art. 128 (assault) and two specifications of violation of Art. 134 (adultery).
188	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Disorderly conduct (Art. 134-13)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Two Victims reported that the Subject grabbed their pentses over their clothing. NCIS conducted an investigation. Both Victims declined to participate further in the military justice process. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority NJP'd Subject for Art. 134 (drunk and disorderly conduct).
189	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None	Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 30; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject grabbed her buttocks and attempted to touch her vagina over her clothes. NCIS conducted an investigation. At an Art. 32 hearing, the IO recommended SPCM. Pursuant to a PTA, Subject pleaded guilty at a SCM to violation of Art. 92 (failure to obey an order) and Art. 128 (assault).
190a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Unknown		Unknown				Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that unknown Subject assaulted and subsequently took part in group rape of the victim. Victim was found unconscious in a small pool of blood and a USACIL examination revealed a second source of DNA. The Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement saying that he did not want to participate in the investigative process. No Subject identified. The investigation is closed.
190b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Unknown		Unknown				Q3 (April-June)	Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that unknown Subject assaulted and subsequently took part in group rape of the victim. Victim was found unconscious in a small pool of blood and a USACIL examination revealed a second source of DNA. The Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement saying that he did not want to participate in the investigative process. No Subject identified. The investigation is closed.
191	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately touched her multiple times to include her buttocks at an off-base residence. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation and after consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority administratively separated Subject for Commission of a Serious Offense for misconduct, DUI and fraternization.
192	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 23; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 5; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 5; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that during consensual sexual acts with the Subject, she told the Subject to stop, however, the Subject continued with intercourse for approximately 5 additional seconds. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, due to insufficient evidence to support a prosecution for sexual assault, the Convening Authority imposed NJP for Art. 134 (adultery).
193	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-5	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that Subject and possible other individuals raped her sometime during the previous weekend while at an unknown location off base. The Victim did not name Subject and declined to participate in the investigative process. The investigation is closed.
194	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-7	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Convicted		False official statements (Art. 107)			Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted the Victim. After consultation with the SJA, the convening authority took no action concerning the sexual assault offense due to insufficient evidence. However, there was sufficient evidence for non-sexual assault charges. Subject was found guilty at special court martial of adultery and making a false official statement.
195	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution		None		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her. An Art. 32 was conducted, the Investigating Officer"s recommended charges be dismissed due to insufficient evidence for Art. 120 charges. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority imposed NJP for Art. 92 (fraternization), and Art. 134 (adultery).
196	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-4	Male	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	A Civilian/Foreign authority is Prosecuting Service Member								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted him by touching his penis while the victim was too intoxicated to consent. Subject plied guilty in civilian court to Battery, receiving three years probation. After consultation with the SJA, the Subject was administratively separated with an Other than Honorable.
197	Rape (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that unknown Subject and possible second individual approached Subject on dance floor and aggressively grabbed Victim and groped her gential area. The Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement saying that she did not want to participate in the investigative process. The investigation is closed.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: MARINE CORPS																					Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
198	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-1	Male	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 33; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject wrapped his legs around a student (victim). Later that day Subject tackled the Victim during field day in the barracks, pinned him on the rack, and rubbed the Victim with his hips and body. An Art. 32 hearing was held and upon advice of the SJA, the convening authority referred charges to trial by summary court-martial for an Orders Violation.
199	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject committed some kind of sexual assault but did not provide any further information. The Victim did not name Subject and signed a Victim Preference Statement saying that Victim did not want to participate in the investigative process. The investigation is closed.
200	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: A third party reported that Subject sexually assaulted Victim at an off base residence. The Victim did not name Subject and signed a Victim Preference Statement saying that she did not want to participate in the investigative process. The investigation is closed.
201	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-1	Male	Marine Corps	E-1	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Uncharacterized			Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately grabbed his genitalia and buttocks over his clothing on multiple occasions while in recruit training. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation and after consultation with the SJA, the Covening Authority processed Subject for entry level separation for misconduct.
202	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Marine Corps	E-1	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Uncharacterized			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 67; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject unwanted sexual advances and inappropriately touched victim without his consent while in recruit training. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges to SCM against Subject. Subject was convicted of Art. 120 (abusive sexual contact), Art. 92 (violation of a lawful order) and Art. 128 (assault).
203	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Other				All subjects (multiple subjects)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her in her barracks room while she was too intoxicated to consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. At an Art. 32 Hearing, the Investigating Officer recommended dismissal of charges due to Victim"s declination to participate in the military justice process. The Convening Authority, after consultation with the SJA, dismissed charges against Subject and took no further action.
204	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	A Civilian/Foreign authority is Prosecuting Service Member								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject digitally penetrated her in her off-base residence without her consent. Civilian authorities declined to prosecute based on insufficient evidence. The Convening Authority took no further action based on insufficient evidence.
205	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)				Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 6; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her by digitally penetrating her vagina and forcing her to touch him in a restroom on base. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred Subject to a Special Court-Martial wherein he pleaded guilty to violation of Art. 92 (disobeying an order), Art. 107 (false official statement) and Art. 128 (assault, consumated by a battery).
206	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her two and a half years prior while she was intoxicated and passed out. The Subject was charged with Art. 120 (aggravated sexual assault) at a GCM, where he was acquitted of the charge.
207	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject forced her to perform oral sex on him while they were sitting in Subject"s car. An Article 32 hearing was held and the Investigating Officer recommended dismissal of all charges. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority chose to dismiss all charges due to insufficient evidence.
208	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject attempted to touch Victim"s breasts and vagina. Victim said she stopped Subject"s advances, but he became increasingly more aggressive which led to Victim and Subject grappling on the floor. During the struggle, Subject placed Victim in a head lock choking her. Victim said she was able to free herself and texted her friend to assist. Subject was taken to a General Court-Martial and charged with a Violation of the UCMJ Article 80 (Attempt to Commit a Crime), Article 120 (Aggravated Sexual Contact), Article 120c (Indecent Exposure), Article 128 (Assault), and Article 134 (Communicating a Threat). Subject was aquitted of all charges.
209	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	A Civilian/Foreign authority is Prosecuting Service Member								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported to local law enforcement that Subject anally sodomized him at an off-base hotel while he was incapacitated due to alcohol. NCIS assisted local law enforcement with the investigation, who refused to prosecute based on lack of sufficient evidence. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority took no further action against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
210	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Yes	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Wrongful use, possession, etc. of controlled substances (Art. 112a)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 2; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject began to choke her with one hand and held her arms down with his other hand. Victim reported that Subject then rubbed her vagina over her jeans. NCIS conducted an investigation and an Art. 32 was held. After consultation with the SJA, and pursuant to a Pretrial Agreement, Subject pleaded guilty to violations of Art. 112a (wrongful use of marijuana) and Art. 128 (assault consummated by battery against a different Victim) at a SPCM.
211	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: No; Notes: While assigned to recruiting duty, victims reported that Subject touched several of his female postees in a sexual manner. After consulation with the SJA, the convening authority referred charges to a SPCM. Subject was found guilty at SPCM of fraternization and twisting his wife"s hand. He was found not guilty of all sexual allegations.
212	Rape (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her while she was incapacitated due to alcohol. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation and consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject. Subject was acquitted of Art. 120 (aggravated sexual assault) at a GCM.
213	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Provoking speeches or gestures (Art. 117)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 14; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 14; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject attempted to kiss her after she had pushed him away once and grabbed her buttocks when she tried to leave. NCIS conducted an investigation. After reviewing the results of the investigation and consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority chose to NJP Subject for violation of Art. 117 (provoking speech/gestures).
214	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		False official statements (Art. 107)	None		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 66; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject sexually assaulted while Victim was extremely intoxicated. The Art. 32 officer recommended dismissal of both Art 120 specifications. After consultation with the SJA, and lack of evidence to support the sexual assault charges, the Convening Authority dismissed the charges. Subject pleaded guilty at SCM to violations of Art. 92 (orders violation), Art. 107 (false official statements), and Art. 134 (fraternization).
215	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution		None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim filed a police report with local police, in which she reported having been raped by Subject. NCIS conducted an investigation. At an Art. 32 hearing, the Investigating Officer recommended charges be dismissed due to the evidence not supporting prosecution. Based on recommendations of the IO and SJA, the Convening Authority imposed NJP on Subject for violation of Art. 134 (adultery).
216	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None		Subject (a single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 67; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject rubbed her leg and attempted to touch her vagina and buttocks. NCIS conducted an investigation. After reviewing the evidence, receiving the victim"s preference to support the pre-trial agreement, consulting with the SJA, the convening authority accepted a PTA where the subject pleaded guilty at SCM to violations of Art. 107 (making a false official statement), Art. 134. (drunk and disorderly conduct), and Art. 128 (assault).
217	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Other				Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her in her home. The Convening Authority referred Art. 120 (sexual assault and abusive sexual contact), Art. 128 (assault consummated by battery), and Art. 134 (adultery) charges to a GCM, however, the Convening Authority withdrew and dismissed those charges after the Victim declined to participate in further proceedings.
218	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Multiple Victims Male & Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial						Notes: Victim reported Subject grabbed him by the hips while Victim was cleaning a weapon. This incident was not charged as it was part of a much larger NCIS investigation involving other adult sexual assault, child rape, and child pornography. The Subject pleaded guilty to forcible sodomy, rape, and sexual assault and, pursuant to a pre-trial agreement, was sentenced to 25 years confinement and a dishonorable discharge.
219	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Unknown		Female	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim alleged the offense occurred at an off-base residence. Victim attended a party and became intoxicated and fell asleep in a room. Victim alleged that Subject sexually assaulted her without her consent. Victim pulled away from Subject and left the room. DOD does not have jurisdiction over the Subject.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopsis Report: MARINE CORPS																							
												Victim Initial Disposition Information							Administrative Actions				
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
220	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that Subject forcibly sodomized her while asleep in her barracks room. Victim identified three individuals who were interrogated and denied culpability. A comparison of DNA recovered at the scene and DNA permissively obtained from each of the potential Subjects produced no matches. All logical investigative leads have been exhausted and no suspect has been identified. The investigation is closed.
221	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Other				Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her at his off-base residence while she was too intoxicated to consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. At an Art. 32 hearing the Investigating Officer recommended charges be dismissed against Subject due to Victim signing a Victim Preference Statement to not participate further in the military justice process. The Convening Authority dismissed charges took no further action against Subject.
222	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Victim (single victim)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately touched her hair and groin. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation and after consultation with the SJA, Subject received a Page 11 counseling entry for inappropriate behavior.
223	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	A Civilian/Foreign authority is Prosecuting Service Member									Notes: Victim reports that Subject sexually assaulted the Victims. Subject is suspected of three other similar incidents in recent months in the same area. This investigation was re-opened to investigate the totality of the suspect's sexual assaults and associated crimes. Subject has been administratively separated from the United States Marine Corps; therefore, the USMC no longer has jurisdiction over the Subject. Local PD has jurisdiction.
224	Rape (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported that he awoke to find that Subject had inserted Victim's penis into Subject's anus. The case was referred to GCM but Subject was acquitted of all charges.
225	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-5	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject grabbed her butt, kissed her, and put his hand up her dress touching her thigh and her underwear on several occasions. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject. Subject was acquitted of Art. 120 (aggravated sexual contact) at a SPCM.
226	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Subject (a single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victims report that Subject entered into the barracks and tried to kiss two females and assaulted another Marine while drunk. The SJA was consulted. Pursuant to a pre-trial agreement, Subject pleaded guilty at a summary court-martial to Art. 128 (assault) and Art. 134 (disorderly conduct and waived his AdSep board. Subject was separated with an Other than Honorable characterization of service.
227	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her in a barracks room. An Art. 32 was conducted and the Investigating Officer recommended that the sole charge of Art. 120 (rape) be dismissed. Due to the recommendation of the Investigating Officer and the victim"s declination to continue participation in the proceedings, and after consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority dismissed the charge against the Subject.
228	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape and Sexual Assault of a Child (Art. 120b)		Yes	All subjects (multiple subjects)	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 8; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she was raped at an on base residence. Victim reported that she tried to resist but that Subject struck her 5 times on her lips with an ice pack. Subsequently, Subject masturbated and ejaculated on Victim"s chest then penetrated her vagina with his penis. At a GCM Subject was found not guilty of the sexual assault; however, Subject was found guilty of Article 120b (Lewd Acts with a Child) and Article 120c (Indecent Exposure) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ).
229	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 2; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her in his barracks room on multiple occasions over the course of 11 nights. NCIS conducted an investigation and an Art. 32 was held. Pursuant to a PTA, and after consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority dismissed the sexual assault charges against the Subject due to insufficient evidence, and the Subject pleaded guilty to Art. 112a (wrongful use of a controlled substance) and Art. 128 (assault consummated by battery) at a SPCM.
230	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her at an off-base residence while she was passed out. The local district attorney declined to prosecute due to lack of evidence. After reviewing the investigation and consulting with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges to a SPCM. The Subject pleaded guilty at a SPCM to Art. 92 (providing alcohol to a minor and fraternization), Art. 86 (Absence without leave) and Art. 134 (adultery) and found not guilty of Art. 120 (sexual assault).
231	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Multiple Victims reported that Subject mistreated and sexually harassed them. The Victims were all junior in rank to the Subject. Pursuant to a pre-trial agreement, Subject pleaded guilty at NJP to 9 counts of violating Art. 93 (cruelty and maltreatment). Subject agreed to waive his administrative separation board.
232	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 3; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 40; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately touched her over her clothing. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject. Subject pleaded guilty at SPCM to Art. 128 (assault) and Art. 107 (false official statement to NCIS). Subject was administratively separated with an OTH pursuant to the pre-trial agreement.
233	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	Foreign National	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject and another male individual forced her to have oral sex and vaginal intercourse with both of them in the backseat of a car. The Okinawan civilian court did not pursue the case after the Victim decided not to participate in a prosecution. Pursuant to a pre-trial agreement, Subject pleaded guilty at SCM and agreed to waive his administrative separation board.
234	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reports that Subject raped Victim in a barracks room while Victim was highly intoxicated. The case was referred to general court-martial. The Subject was found not guilty.
235	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted by Subject in Subject"s barracks room. Victim and Subject kissed consensually until Victim decided to leave. Subject tried to persuade Victim to stay, but did not force her to. Charges were referred to a GCM but later withdrawn and dismissed because of insufficient evidence. Subject was taken to NJP for having a guest overnight in the barracks (for a previous encounter with Victim). The NJP hearing was discontinued mid-hearing and Subject was administratively counseled instead.
236	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Subject (a single subject)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched Victim, pulled down her shorts, and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis without her consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. After reviewing the investigation and consulting with the SJA, the Convening Authority formally counseled Subject for attempted fraternization.
237	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-8	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)	None		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-7; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her outside the on-base NCO Club. Victim reported Subject held her down, digitally and orally penetrated her vagina, forced her to perform oral sex on him, and vaginally raped her. Charges were referred to GCM consistent with the Art. 32 Investigating Officer"s recommendation. At the GCM the Subject was found not guilty of rape and adultery, but was found guilty of indecent exposure, disorderly conduct, and indecent language.
238	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject fondled the Victim"s breasts under her clothes and touched her vagina. The Victim admitted to allowing the Subject to sleep in her bed, but never consented to sexual contact. Charges were preferred to SPCM. The SJA was consulted. Pursuant to a pre-trial agreement, Subject pled guilty to Art 128 (assault) at summary court-martial.
239	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-1	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her in a park. An Art 32 hearing was held and the Investigating Officer recommended dismissal of all charges due to the evidence not supporting prosecution. The Convening Authority chose to dismiss all charges and take no further action based on the IO and SJA recommendations.

	FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: MARINE CORPS																		Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
240	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)				Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 7; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Four Victims reported that Subject sexually assaulted them in various ways, to include rape, forcible sodomy and touching one Victim's vagina. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject. Pursuant to a PTA, Subject pleaded guilty at special court martial to two specifications of violation of Art. 128 (assault) and two specifications of violation of Art. 134 (adultery).
241	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that unknown Subject and one to three other individuals sexually assaulted her in the female's bathroom at a bar. The sexual assault lasted for approximately 1 hour and the Victim believed she was pregnant as a result of the incident. The Victim signed a Victim Preference Statement saying that she did not want to participate in the investigative process. The investigation is closed.
242	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-5	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her in 2006 and that local law enforcement did not conduct an investigation. NCIS revealed that local law enforcement did conduct a full investigation and that the victim declined to participate in any judicial action in 2006. The victim reported that she had no new information to provide to NICS. The military no longer has jurisdiction over the Subject. The investigation is closed.
243	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Victim reported that Subject wrongfully touched her buttocks in her barracks room aboard base. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation and the Victim's declination to participate in the military justice process, the Convening Authority issued the Subject a formal counseling.
244	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	O-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped and orally sodomized her without Victim's consent several years earlier in the Subject's apartment. Victim with uncertainty identified Subject as the individual who possibly raped her. The local prosecutor made a determination that no independent corroboration existed that was sufficient for prosecution. The investigation is closed.
245	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her resulting in a trip to the emergency room for an abrasion on her face. The Victim did not name Subject and did not want to participate in the investigative process. The investigation is closed.
246	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Fraternization (Art. 134-23)	Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment		None				Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject forced her to have sex based on his position of authority on multiple occasions while in MOS school. NCIS conducted an investigation. Charges against Subject for Art. 134 (fraternization and adultery) were preferred. Prior to SPCM, the Convening Authority approved a PTA wherein Subject pleaded guilty to Art. 92 (sexual harrasment) at NJP. Subject was passed his EAS at the time of NJP and was therefore not administratively separated.
247	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that Subject (a civilian pizza delivery man) groped her over her clothes outside her barracks. The local district attorney prosecuted the case and the Subject was subsequently found guilty for Class 1 Misdemeanor Assault and Battery, and was sentenced to six months in jail, suspended, except four days; three years of probation; and no contact with Victim. A debarment letter was issued.
248	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General			Notes: Victim alleged that Subject inappropriately touched her buttocks and vagina without consent. Victim alleged that Subject would often touch her and make inappropriate comments about having sex. Subject was administratively separated for a non-sexual assault offense.
249	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 2; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her while she was incapacitated after consuming alcohol. NCIS conducted an investigation and an Art. 32 was held. After consulting with the SJA, the convening authority entered into a Pretrial Agreement with the Subject where the Subject pleaded guilty to Art. 128 (assault consummated by battery) and Art. 112a (wrongful use of a controlled substance) at a SPCM.
250	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 67; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject rubbed her vagina over her clothing in her workspace. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, and due to lack of sufficient evidence to proceed on Art. 120 charges, the Convening Authority entered into a pretrial agreement with Subject. Subject pleaded guilty to Art. 92 (sexual harassment) at a SCM.
251	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	Unknown		Unknown				Q2 (January-March)	Offender is Unknown								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject performed oral sex on him while he was intoxicated and passed out. Victim awoke temporarily but did not know who was sexually assaulting him and could not provide any description of the individual. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, DoD action was precluded and the case was closed.
252	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		False official statements (Art. 107)	None		Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 66; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject kissed her, groped her breasts, licked one of her nipples, and attempted to pull her pants down without her consent. NCIS conducted an investigation and an Art. 32 hearing was conducted. Based on the recommendations of the Investigating Officer and the SJA, and pursuant to a pretrial agreement, the Convening Authority withdrew and dismissed the sexual assault charges for insufficient evidence for the sexual assault offense. The Subject pleaded guilty to Art. 107 (false official statement) at a SCM.
253	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Other					Notes: The Victim reported that the Subject touched her buttocks, breasts, and inner thigh, without her consent, on three separate occasions. An Art 32 hearing was held, after which, the investigating officer recommended all charges be dismissed. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority dismissed the charges.
254	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	Other		None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her upper and inner thighs, vaginal area, and breasts in the duty hut while on duty. Subsequently, Victim stated Subject attempted to place his hands down her blouse and kissed her neck. However, Victim declined to participate in the prosecution so the Convening Authority after consulation with the SJA dismissed the charges. NJP was later imposed for an orders violation.
255	Rape (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-5	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her 2 years prior in her residence while she was asleep. NCIS attempted to make contact with Subject who was in an IRR status and did not make a statement. Victim then declined to further participate in the investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority took no further action against Subject.
256a	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 125c)	Convicted		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 125c)	None		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 59; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted by Subject#1 and Subject#2. Subject#2 admitted he watched Subject#1 perform sexual acts on Victim while she was in an unconscious state and admitted to capturing nude photographs of Victim without her consent. At a trial by Summary Court-Martial,Subject#3 was found guilty of violating Art. 107 (False Official Statement), and Art. 120c (Indecent viewing, visual recording).
256b	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No	Medical		Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 15; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject was investigated for raping a female Marine in a hotel room, videotaping her, and subsequently lying to investigators about the incident. An Art 32 was conducted and charges were referred to a GCM. Pursuant to a PTA, Subject pled guilty to making a false official statement, indecent viewing/recording, and rape.
256c	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)			All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject penetrated Victim's vagina with his penis w/out her consent, and distributed a recording of the Victim's private area, which was recorded w/out her consent. An Art 32 hearing was held and charges were referred to a GCM. The GCMCA withdrew and dismissed all Art 120 charges pursuant to a PTA following consultation with the victim and the SJA. Subject pled guilty at SPCM to violation of an order (sexual harassment), dereliction of duty, and adultery.
257	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that Subject digitally penetrated her vagina, without her permission. NCIS conducted an investigation, and based on insufficient evidence to prosecute the Subject for sexual assault, and based on the SJA's recommendation, the Convening Authority formally counseled the Subject for fraternizing with a married woman and for making a false official statement.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopsis Report: MARINE CORPS																			Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
258	Rape (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution		None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject attempted to penetrate Victim"s anus with his penis. Charges were referred to GCM but were withdrawn and dismissed due to insufficient evidence. Subject was found guilty at NJP for Art. 92 (sexual activity in the barracks) and Art. 134 (fraternization).
259	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Unknown		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim consumed several alcoholic beverages and lost memory of all events of the night in question. Witness reportedly walked in on Victim and Subject engaging in a sexual encounter to include touching and oral copulation. Victim and witnesses declined to participate in the investigation and witnesses were unwilling to testify in court. Local judicial system review the investigation and released a Notice of Denial to prosecute and the investigation was closed. USMC lacked jurisdiction over the Subject.
260	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-1	Male	Marine Corps	E-1	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that Subject placed his testicles on Victim"s face while in recruit training. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the recommendation of the SJA, the Convening Authority issued Subject a formal counseling for false official statement.
261	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		False official statements (Art. 107)				Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 36; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported to the command she was raped by Subject at his on base residence. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation and after consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject. Subject was convicted at a GCM for violations of Art. 92 (failure to obey an order), Art. 107 (false official statement), Art. 128 (assault), and Art. 134 (adultery).
262	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately put his hands on her buttocks. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation, consultation with the SJA and the victim"s declination to participate in the military justice process, the Convening Authority issued Subject an informal counseling.
263	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	Yes	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted by Subject in Subject"s barracks room. Victim and Subject were kissing consensually when Victim said she was uncomfortable and wanted to get dressed, but Subject held her down. Charges were preferred but were later dismissed because of insufficient evidence. Subject was taken to NJP for having a guest overnight in the barracks. The NJP hearing was discontinued mid-hearing and Subject was administratively counseled instead.
264	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	A Civilian/Foreign authority is Prosecuting Service Member								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported Subject anally sodomized her twice at an off base residence. Subject admitted to anally sodomizing Victim after she said no. Subject was convicted by a civilian court. He was administratively separated with an other than honorable characterization of service.
265	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she was raped by an acquaintance inside her barracks room. Victim was still intoxicated from the night before. Subject was found not guilty at General Court-Martial.
266	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown								Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported that unknown Subject sexually assaulted her by grabbing her, pushing her against a wall or vehicle and kissing/licking her neck/face while groping her body over her clothes. Due to the location of the assault and the unknown identity of the perpetrator, local law enforcement was contacted and assumed investigative jurisdiction. Due to lack of further investigative leads and no identified Subject, the case was closed.
267	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject forcibly inserted his penis into her vagina. NCIS conducted an investigation and an Art. was conducted. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred Art. 120 (rape) and Art. 134 (adultery) charges to a GCM where the Subject was acquitted of all charges.
268	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Subject (a single subject)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 25; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject slapped him on the buttocks (over his clothing) while in the barracks lounge. NCIS conducted an investigation. After reviewing the results of the investigation, the Convening Authority took Subject to NJP for violation of Art. 128 (assault).
269	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes		Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Life; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject entered her barracks room and engaged in forcible sexual intercourse with Victim at knifepoint. After raping the Victim, the Subject ordered her into the bathroom and stabbed her 18 times throughout her body. When Subject was finished stabbing Victim, he left her barracks room. Victim was able to crawl to the door and alert her neighbors. Subject was convicted at GCM of Art. 80 (attempted murder), Art. 120 (rape), Art. 124 (maiming) and Art. 134 (assault with intent to commit murder).
270			Marine Corps	E-1	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported that Subject, her step-father, sexually assaulted her as a child to include inappropriate touching and sexual intercourse. Victim declined to participate in any investigative activity and did not wish for a criminal investigation. The investigation is closed.
271	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-1	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	A Civilian/Foreign authority is Prosecuting Service Member								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported to local law enforcement that Subject raped her at his off-base residence while she was too intoxicated to consent. Local law enforcement declined to prosecute Subject based on insufficient evidence. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority took no action against Subject due to insufficient evidence.
272	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-1	Male	Marine Corps	E-1	Male	No	No	Mental		Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 67; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 30; Notes: Multiple Victims reported Subject slapped their buttocks and made inappropriate comments. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation and after consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred case to summary court-martial. Subject was convicted of Art. 92(failure to obey an order) Art. 107 (false official statement) and Art. 128 (assault). Subject was AdSep"d with an OTH for commission of a serious offense.
273	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject orally sodomized her while she was too intoxicated to consent. Civilian law enforcement investigated. Due to Victim not participating in the investigation, the civilian authorities declined to prosecute. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority took no further action based on insufficient evidence.
274	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her several years ago, prior to Victim enlisting in the USMC. Victim disclosed the sexual assault to the Substance Abuse Counseling Officer as the cause of her excessive alcohol consumption. The Victim did not name Subject and signed a Victim Preference Statement saying that she did not want to participate in the investigative process. The investigation is closed.
275	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her while she was unconscious in her off-base residence. Victim could not recall this incident as she stated she was asleep during the sexual assault, but she is certain this sexual assault occurred because it resulted in the birth of her daughter. The Victim did not name Subject and did not want to participate in the investigative process. The investigation is closed.
276	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-1	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Female	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Disorderly conduct (Art. 134-13)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject wrongfully touched her inner thigh. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation and after consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority imposed NJP on Subject for violation of Art. 134 (disorderly conduct).
277	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported that she and Subject fell asleep in the same bed after kissing. Victim told the Subject that she did not want to engage in any further sexual activity. She awoke later with the Subject"s hands down her shorts and in the area of her vagina. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred the Article 120 (abusive sexual contact) charge to a SPCM and was acquitted of all charges.
278	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her aboard a Marine house compound in China, while she was too intoxicated to consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. An Article 32 was held and charges referred to a GCM. However, after referral the Victim declined to participate and after consultation with the SJA, the charges were withdrawn and dismissed.
279	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Victim (single victim)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported Subject entered Victim"s barracks room to use the restroom, upon exiting, the Subject rubbed her clothed body against Victim and kissed him. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority imposed NJP on Subject for violation of Art. 128 (assault) and Art. 92 (failure to obey an order).
280a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject and an accomplice forced her to perform oral sex on them while they took turns having vaginal intercourse with her. Subject was interviewed by NCIS and said the acts were consensual. After reviewing the results of the investigation, consultation with the SJA and finding no probable cause for sexual assault, the Convening Authority imposed NJP on Subject for having sex in the barracks.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: MARINE CORPS																				Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
280b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject and an accomplice forced her to perform oral sex on them while they took turns having vaginal intercourse with her. Subject was interviewed by NCIS and said the Victim was a willing participant. After reviewing the results of the investigation, and consultation with the SJA and finding no probable cause for sexual assault, the Convening Authority imposed NJP on Subject for having sex in the barracks.
281	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Subject and Victim were drinking in a hotel room. Victim got sick from drinking too much and Subject stayed at the hotel with her while the other Marines went out. Victim reported Subject digitally penetrated her while helping her vomit. The Subject said it was consensual. The case was tried at GCM and Subject was acquitted of all charges.
282	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-1	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 66; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject briefly held her down on the bed in his hotel room and, on a different occasion, pulled her toward him, lifted her skirt, and put his hands on her buttocks. NCIS conducted an nnvestigation. After consultation with the SJA, Subject was originally charged with sexual assault, but, pursuant to a pre-trial agreement, he pleaded guilty at SCM to Art. 92 (violating an order) and Art. 128 (assault). Subject also agreed to waive his administrative separation board and was separated with an other than honorable characterization of service.
283	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-1	Male	Marine Corps	E-1	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						Uncharacterized			Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately touched his buttocks and groin while in line, during recruit training. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, Subject was administratively separated with an Uncharacterized discharge.
284	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject repeatedly touched Victim on the buttocks, inner thigh, and groin. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, NJP was imposed and Subject was reduced to E-1. The Subject was administratively separated with an Other Than Honorable for a pattern of misconduct.
285	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No	Mental	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution		Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 45; Notes: Victim reported that Subject digitally and orally penetrated victim without her consent at an off-base residence. NCIS conducted an investigation. The Article 32 Investigating Officer, recommended dismissal of the sexual assault charges due to insufficient evidence. The SJA was consulted and the Convening Authority referred the non-sexual assault charges to SPCM. Subject signed a PTA to accept NJP for violations of Art. 92 (failure to obey an order) and Art. 134(general article.) Subject was subsequently discharged with an Other than Honorable characterization of service.
286	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched her leg and vagina without her consent while in Victim's barracks room. NCIS conducted an investigation. After reviewing the investigation and consulting with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred Art. 120 (abusive sexual contact) and Art. 128 (assault consummated by a battery) charges to a SPCM. The Convening Authority subsequently withdrew and dismissed the charges because the victim declined to participate in the proceedings.
287	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Yes	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject rubbed her palm over the victim"s vagina and kissed her neck in the victim"s barracks room. NCIS conducted an investigation. After reviewing the investigation, the Subject was charged with with Art. 120 (aggravated sexual assault), Art. 128 (assault consummated by battery), and Art. 92 (failure to obey a lawful order) and after consultation with the SJA, the convening authority referred the charges to a SPCM. The Subject was acquitted of all charges.
288	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her by forcibly kissing her, fondling her breast and attempting to touch her groin area. NCIS conducted an investigation. Charges were preferred against Subject for violations of Art. 120(abusive sexual contact) and Art. 92 (failure to obey an order). At an Article 32 hearing, the Investigating Officer recommended GCM where Subject was acquitted of all charges.
289	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that unknown Subject made abusive sexual contact by slapping her buttocks over her clothing as he ran by. Victim did not sustain any injuries or bruising during the incident and did not seek medical attention. Victim could not identify a suspect or witnesses to the incident. The investigation is closed.
290	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 144; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim #1, 2 and 3 reported that Subject made sexually suggestive comments and grabbed Victim #2"s buttocks and Victim #2"s breast and forcing her to touch his penis within the recruiting station. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation, the Convening Authority selferred the case to a GCM. Subject was tried at a GCM and was found guilty of Abusive Sexual Contact.
291	Rape (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported that unknown Subject and two individuals in uniform sexually assaulted her while she was walking to her barracks room after visiting a recreation area. Victim reported that subject and two individuals took turns restraining and raping Victim. Victim did not report incident until five months later and investigators could not identify any possible Subjects. The investigation is closed.
292	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-1	Male	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that Subject attempted to anally sodomize him over his clothing. NCIS conducted an investigation. After reviewing the results of the investigation and consultation with the SJA and finding no probable cause to support the sexual assault allegation, the Convening Authority took administrative action against Subject in the form of a 6105 counseling for striking the Victim on the upper thigh.
293	Rape (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-7	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 9; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: The Subject allegedly sexually assaulted the Victim in her barracks room by touching her neck with his lips and penetrating her vagina with his penis. An Art 32 hearing was held and the investigating officer recommended GCM. At a GCM the Subject pleaded guilty to violation of Art. 92 x4 (failure to obey an order) and Art. 128 (assault).
294	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 8; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 8; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she was raped by Subject. Victim was later interviewed by NCIS and admitted that she lied about being raped. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority took no action on the sexual assault allegation, but did NJP Subject for having sex in the barracks.
295	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-5	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported she had been sexually assaulted while on an assignment to Florida. Victim reports the Subject pushed Victim onto her hotel room bed and jumped on top of her. Victim pushed Subject off of her, and escorted him out of the room. An AdSep board was held and Subject was separated with an OTH.
296	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her in his barracks room. NCIS conducted an investigation. An Article 32 Investigating Officer recommend referral to a GCM on the rape charge. At the GMC, the Subject was aquitted of the charge.
297	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-1	Female	Marine Corps	E-1	Male	Yes	No	Multiple Referrals	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that she woke up in the common area of her barracks with her underwear and pants on the floor and with the Subject on the floor next to her. NCIS conducted an investigation. An Art 32 hearing was held. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject for violation of Art. 120 (aggravated sexual contact). The Subject was subsequently acquitted of the charge at a GCM.
298	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Convicted		False official statements (Art. 107)	None		Subject (a single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject attemptied to pull down his shorts while the Victim was asleep. After consulting with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges to a SCM for Art. 107 (false official statement) and Art. 128 (assault) where the Subject pleaded guilty. The subject was subsequently separated from the Marine Corps for the commission of a serious offense with a General (Under Honorable Conditions) characterization of separation.
299	Rape (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: A fellow Marine reported that an unknown Subject may have raped Victim in the barracks. The base sexual assault response coordinator was engaged. Victim declined to participate and the investigation is closed.
300	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None		Subject (a single subject)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she awoke to Subject lying naked in her bed and fondling her breast. As Subject attempted to unbutton Victim"s jeans, she was able to flee. Victim exited the residence and ran to a neighbor"s porch where she found her husband. Subject pleaded guilty at GCM (pursuant to a pre-trial agreement) to assault consummated by a battery, unlawful entry, and disorderly conduct.

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: MARINE CORPS																				Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject Is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
301	Rape (Art. 120)		Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Marine Corps	E-7	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that for three years between 2007-2009, she felt obligated to have sex with Subject because he was a recruiter and she a prodee. During the investigation Victim also stated that during this time period she did engage in consensual intercourse with the Subject. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority did not find sufficient evidence for sexual assault and imposed NJP on Subject for violation of Art. 134 (adultery).
302	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Victim (single victim)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her in her barracks room while she was incapacitated due to alcohol. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation and consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred Subject to a GCM where Subject was acquitted of all charges.
303	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No	Mental	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Honorable		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she was sexually assaulted by Subject. In a subsequent interview with NCIS, Victim retracted her allegation and acknowledged the sexual activity was consensual. After reviewing the results of the investigation and consulting with the SJA, the Convening Authority decided not to prefer charges to court-martial. Subject received NJP (adultery, underage drinking) and was administratively separated from the Marine Corps.
304	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Wrongful use, possession, etc. of controlled substances (Art. 112a)	Convicted		Wrongful use, possession, etc. of controlled substances (Art. 112a)	None		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 67; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her and restrained her. NCIS conducted an investigation. An Article 32 hearing was held. The IO did not find sufficient evidence to proceed on the rape charge, but found sufficient evidence for wrongful drug use. After consulting with the SJA, Convening Authority dismissed the rape charge and referred the drug use specifications to a SCM.
305	Rape (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-9	Female	Marine Corps	E-9	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None		Victim (single victim)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: Yes; Total $ Amount of Fines: 10,000; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-7; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject sodomized her on two seperate occasions. Victim signed a Victim preference statement to no longer participate in investigation. Charges were preffered for non-sexual assault offenses. After consultation with the SJA and pursuant to a PTA, Subject pleaded guilty to Art. 92 (failure to obey an order) and Art. 131 (Perjury) at a GCM.
306	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-7	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown				Q4 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown									Notes: A third party reported that Subject sexually assaulted Victim over thirty days prior. The Victim did not name Subject and signed a Victim Preference Statement saying that she did not want to participate in the investigative process. The investigation is closed.
307	Rape (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported she was raped off-base by Subject in the parking lot of a bar. NCIS conducted an investigation. An Art 32 hearing was held. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority referred charges against Subject. Subject was convicted at a GCM of Art. 120 (sexual assault) and Art. 134 (adultery).
308	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that after falling asleep at a party, she woke up to Subject rubbing her vaginal area and inserting a finger into her vagina. Victim moved away from Subject and attempted to wake up her husband. Victim revealed her vaginal area was sore the next day and believes she was raped by Subject the night before. Subject was convicted at a court-martial.
309	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)			Victim (single victim)	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 12; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her by raping and sodomizing her while she was too intoxicated to consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. Based on the results of the investigation, the Convening Authority referred the charges to a GCM for sexual assault and non-sexual assault charges. The Subject was found not guilty of the sexual assault charges but found guilty of violating Article 92 and Article 134.
310	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None			Courts-Martial discharge: None; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that subject touched the victim on her waist, hips, and breasts on multiple occasions. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the case was referred to a SPCM. The forum was changed to SCM following pre-trial agreement that included a guilty plea to Art 128 (assault consummated by battery).
311	Rape (Art. 120)		Marine Corps		Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No	Alcohol/Drug Counseling	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her by pinning her down and penetrating her mouth with his penis. NCIS assisted local law enforcement with an investigation and based on the results, the Subject was charged with sexual assault in civilian court. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority processed Subject for administrative separation for commission of a serious offense.
312	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-1	Male	Marine Corps	E-1	Male					Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Uncharacterized			Notes: Victims 1 and 2 reported that Subject, a fellow recruit, grabbed them on their buttocks and Victim 2 reported that subject touched his penis over the Victim's clothes during recruit training. NCIS investigated. After consultation with the SJA and concurrence from the Victims, the convening authority administratively separated the Subject for a non-sexual assault offense, finding insufficient evidence for abusive sexual contact.
313	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A		Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject and three other individuals sexually assaulted her while she was intoxicated. Due to insufficient evidence and after consulation withe the SJA, the Commanding Officer convened an Administrative Separation Board. Subject was separated with an Other than Honorable characterization of service.
314	Rape (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her. NCIS investigated and a rape charge was preferred. An Article 32 hearing was held and the investigating officer recommended dismissal of the charge due to insufficient evidence for prosecution. The SJA concurred and the Convening Authority dismissed the charge and took no further action.
315	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-1	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately touched her vaginal area and kissed her while she was incapacitated due to alcohol in subject"s barracks room. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA and finding insufficient evidence to support sexual assault offenses, the Convening Authority imposed NJP on Subject for the non-sexual assault related offenses.
316	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Victim reported that Subject wrongfully kissed her on the cheek, grabbed her from behind and pressed his groin against. NCIS conducted an investigation, the victim declined to participate in the investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority imposed NJP on Subject for Art. 128 (assault).
317	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Notes: Victim reported that Subject inappropriately touched her breast, exposed his genitalia and placed her hand on his penis without her consent. NCIS conducted an investigation. Charges were preferred against Subject for viol of Art. 120 (sexual assault and abusive sexual contact), Art. 92 (sexual harrasment) and Art. 128 (Unlawful touching). An Article 32 hearing was held and charges referred to a GCM where Subject was acquitted of all charges.
318	Rape (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-2	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 6; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject penetrated her vagina digitally and with his penis, and bit and kissed her neck, in an off-base motel while she was asleep. Civilian authorities initially had jurisdiction over the case, however, they declined to prosecute. The convening authority referred the charges to a GCM and at the GCM, the Subject was convicted of Art. 120 (abusive sexual contact and attempted sexual assault) and Art. 134 (creating alcohol to minors).
319	Rape (Art. 120)		Navy	E-1	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)		No	All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 5; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 40; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject penetrated her mouth with his penis while she was incapacitated due to alcohol in an off-base hotel. NCIS conducted an Investigation. At an Article 32 hearing, the Investigation Officer recommended GCM. Sexual assault charges were referred. At the GCM the Subject was convicted of Art. 120 (rape).

FY14 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: MARINE CORPS																				Administrative Actions			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
320	Rape (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Adultery (Art. 134-2)		No	Victim (single victim)	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 67; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that she was awakened when Subject removed her clothing, covered her mouth with his hand, held her down, and raped her in her home. NCIS conducted an investigation. After consultation with the SJA, the Convening Authority entered into a PTA with Subject. The Subject pleaded guilty at a SCM to Art. 134 (adultery).
321	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution		Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Victim (single victim)	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that subject raped her and forced her to perform oral sex while she was incapacitated due to alcohol. NCIS conducted an investigation.. The Article 32 Officer recommended dismissal of charges against Subject. After consultation with the SJA, due to insufficient evidence for sexual assault charges, the Convening Authority imposed nonjudicial punishment on Subject for Art. 134 (Adultery).
322	Rape (Art. 120)		Marine Corps	E-1	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject raped her in an off-base hotel while she was substantially incapacitated. NCIS conducted an investigation. At an Article 32 hearing, the Investigating Officer recommended a GCM. The convening authority referred the charge to a GCM and the Subject was acquitted of the sole charge and specification.
323	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-2	Female	Marine Corps	E-3	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported that Subject wrongfully touched her breast, thigh and vagina without her consent in a barracks room aboard base. NCIS conducted an investigation. Charges were preferred. At an Article 32 hearing, the Investigating Officer recommended dismissal of charges against Subject due to the evidence not supporting a recommendation for prosecution. After consultation with SJA, the Convening Authority dismissed the charges and administratively separated Subject for unrelated misconduct.
324	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None	No	Both Victim and Subject	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Months): 1; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 100; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject penetrated her vagina with his fingers without her consent at an on-base residence. At an Article 32 hearing, the Investigating Officer recommended GCM. Subject submitted a PTA to plead guilty to Art.92 and 128 at a SCM. After consultation with the SJA and victim, the Convening Authority referred charges to SCM. Subject was found guilty at SCM.
325			Marine Corps	E-3	Female	Marine Corps	E-6	Male					Non-Judicial Punishment			Article 15 Punishment Imposed						Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Percentage of Pay and Allowances Forfeited: 50; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her. NCIS investigated and the SJA consulted. Finding insufficient evidence for sexual assault, the convening authority took no further action on the sexual assault charge. However, the CA found sufficient evidence to find the Subject guilty at NJP for false official statement and orders violation.