



PERSONNEL AND  
READINESS

**UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE**  
4000 DEFENSE PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-4000

**APR 26 2023**

The Honorable Jack Reed  
Chairman  
Committee on Armed Services  
United States Senate  
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Department's response to section 1631 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2011 (Public Law 111-383), as amended by section 537 of the William M. (Mac) Thornberry NDAA for FY 2021, which requires the Secretary of Defense to submit an annual report on sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces during the preceding year, including reports from each of the Military Departments, is enclosed.

The *Department of Defense Fiscal Year 2022 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military* presents statistics and analysis of reports of sexual assault during FY 2022 and discusses policy and program improvements to the Department's Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program. The numerical data and statistics contained in this report respond to reporting requirements outlined in the NDAA's for FYs 2011, 2012, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2018, and 2021.

Throughout FY 2022, the Department and Military Services continued to be fully-focused on supporting and executing the Secretary of Defense initiatives in this mission space, to include working toward completion of the approved recommendations made by the Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military.

During this fiscal year, the Department took action to advance several historic reforms, which when fully implemented, will fundamentally change how sexual assault is addressed in the military. These actions included readying the Offices of Special Trial Counsel to take over prosecutorial decisions at the end of this year, with the goal of restoring our Service members' faith that military justice decisions are fair, impartial, and based on evidence. Additionally, the Department continued to make progress in establishing guidance and infrastructure for a dedicated, integrated, and competent prevention workforce to advise commanders in the development of strategies to reduce sexual harassment and sexual assault before they occur. Other significant actions are discussed within the report.

The Department encourages greater reporting to promote more help-seeking by Service members and to hold alleged offenders appropriately accountable. In total, the Department received 8,942 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as victims and/or subjects in FY 2022, an increase of one percent from the 8,866 reports received in FY 2021. A reporting rate cannot be calculated this year because a prevalence survey for the active force was not required in FY 2022.

The Department will remain on track to make the meaningful changes directed by the Secretary of Defense, and we will not rest until sexual harassment and sexual assault are eliminated from our ranks. Our Service members and their families expect and deserve our utmost commitment to these issues, and we will not let them down.

Thank you for your continued strong support for our Service members. I am sending similar letters to the Committees on Veterans' Affairs of the Senate and the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gilbert R. Cisneros, Jr.", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Gilbert R. Cisneros, Jr.

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc:  
The Honorable Roger F. Whicker  
Ranking Member



PERSONNEL AND  
READINESS

**UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE**  
4000 DEFENSE PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-4000

**APR 26 2023**

The Honorable Mike D. Rogers  
Chairman  
Committee on Armed Services  
U.S. House of Representative  
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Department's response to section 1631 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2011 (Public Law 111-383), as amended by section 537 of the William M. (Mac) Thornberry NDAA for FY 2021, which requires the Secretary of Defense to submit an annual report on sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces during the preceding year, including reports from each of the Military Departments, is enclosed.

The *Department of Defense Fiscal Year 2022 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military* presents statistics and analysis of reports of sexual assault during FY 2022 and discusses policy and program improvements to the Department's Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program. The numerical data and statistics contained in this report respond to reporting requirements outlined in the NDAA's for FYs 2011, 2012, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2018, and 2021.

Throughout FY 2022, the Department and Military Services continued to be fully-focused on supporting and executing the Secretary of Defense initiatives in this mission space, to include working toward completion of the approved recommendations made by the Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military.


During this fiscal year, the Department took action to advance several historic reforms, which when fully implemented, will fundamentally change how sexual assault is addressed in the military. These actions included readying the Offices of Special Trial Counsel to take over prosecutorial decisions at the end of this year, with the goal of restoring our Service members' faith that military justice decisions are fair, impartial, and based on evidence. Additionally, the Department continued to make progress in establishing guidance and infrastructure for a dedicated, integrated, and competent prevention workforce to advise commanders in the development of strategies to reduce sexual harassment and sexual assault before they occur. Other significant actions are discussed within the report.

The Department encourages greater reporting to promote more help-seeking by Service members and to hold alleged offenders appropriately accountable. In total, the Department received 8,942 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as victims and/or subjects in FY 2022, an increase of one percent from the 8,866 reports received in FY 2021. A reporting rate cannot be calculated this year because a prevalence survey for the active force was not required in FY 2022.

The Department will remain on track to make the meaningful changes directed by the Secretary of Defense, and we will not rest until sexual harassment and sexual assault are eliminated from our ranks. Our Service members and their families expect and deserve our utmost commitment to these issues, and we will not let them down.

Thank you for your continued strong support for our Service members. I am sending similar letters to the Committees on Veterans' Affairs of the Senate and the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gilbert R. Cisneros, Jr.", written in a cursive style.

Gilbert R. Cisneros, Jr.

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc:  
The Honorable Adam Smith  
Ranking Member



PERSONNEL AND  
READINESS

**UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE**  
4000 DEFENSE PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-4000

**APR 26 2023**

The Honorable John Tester  
Chairman  
Committee on Veterans' Affairs  
United States Senate  
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Department's response to section 1631 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2011 (Public Law 111-383), as amended by section 537 of the William M. (Mac) Thornberry NDAA for FY 2021, which requires the Secretary of Defense to submit an annual report on sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces during the preceding year, including reports from each of the Military Departments, is enclosed.

*The Department of Defense Fiscal Year 2022 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military* presents statistics and analysis of reports of sexual assault during FY 2022 and discusses policy and program improvements to the Department's Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program. The numerical data and statistics contained in this report respond to reporting requirements outlined in the NDAAs for FYs 2011, 2012, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2018, and 2021.

Throughout FY 2022, the Department and Military Services continued to be fully-focused on supporting and executing the Secretary of Defense initiatives in this mission space, to include working toward completion of the approved recommendations made by the Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military.

During this fiscal year, the Department took action to advance several historic reforms, which when fully implemented, will fundamentally change how sexual assault is addressed in the military. These actions included readying the Offices of Special Trial Counsel to take over prosecutorial decisions at the end of this year, with the goal of restoring our Service members' faith that military justice decisions are fair, impartial, and based on evidence. Additionally, the Department continued to make progress in establishing guidance and infrastructure for a dedicated, integrated, and competent prevention workforce to advise commanders in the development of strategies to reduce sexual harassment and sexual assault before they occur. Other significant actions are discussed within the report.

The Department encourages greater reporting to promote more help-seeking by Service members and to hold alleged offenders appropriately accountable. In total, the Department received 8,942 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as victims and/or subjects in FY 2022, an increase of one percent from the 8,866 reports received in FY 2021. A reporting rate cannot be calculated this year because a prevalence survey for the active force was not required in FY 2022.

The Department will remain on track to make the meaningful changes directed by the Secretary of Defense, and we will not rest until sexual harassment and sexual assault are eliminated from our ranks. Our Service members and their families expect and deserve our utmost commitment to these issues, and we will not let them down.

Thank you for your continued strong support for our Service members. I am sending similar letters to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Veterans' Affairs of the House of Representatives.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gilbert R. Cisneros, Jr.", written in a cursive style.

Gilbert R. Cisneros, Jr.

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc:  
The Honorable Jerry Moran  
Ranking Member



PERSONNEL AND  
READINESS

**UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE**  
4000 DEFENSE PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-4000

**APR 26 2023**

The Honorable Mike Bost  
Chairman  
Committee on Veterans' Affairs  
U.S. House of Representatives  
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Department's response to section 1631 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2011 (Public Law 111-383), as amended by section 537 of the William M. (Mac) Thornberry NDAA for FY 2021, which requires the Secretary of Defense to submit an annual report on sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces during the preceding year, including reports from each of the Military Departments, is enclosed.

*The Department of Defense Fiscal Year 2022 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military* presents statistics and analysis of reports of sexual assault during FY 2022 and discusses policy and program improvements to the Department's Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program. The numerical data and statistics contained in this report respond to reporting requirements outlined in the NDAs for FYs 2011, 2012, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2018, and 2021.

Throughout FY 2022, the Department and Military Services continued to be fully-focused on supporting and executing the Secretary of Defense initiatives in this mission space, to include working toward completion of the approved recommendations made by the Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military.

During this fiscal year, the Department took action to advance several historic reforms, which when fully implemented, will fundamentally change how sexual assault is addressed in the military. These actions included readying the Offices of Special Trial Counsel to take over prosecutorial decisions at the end of this year, with the goal of restoring our Service members' faith that military justice decisions are fair, impartial, and based on evidence. Additionally, the Department continued to make progress in establishing guidance and infrastructure for a dedicated, integrated, and competent prevention workforce to advise commanders in the development of strategies to reduce sexual harassment and sexual assault before they occur. Other significant actions are discussed within the report.

The Department encourages greater reporting to promote more help-seeking by Service members and to hold alleged offenders appropriately accountable. In total, the Department received 8,942 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as victims and/or subjects in FY 2022, an increase of one percent from the 8,866 reports received in FY 2021. A reporting rate cannot be calculated this year because a prevalence survey for the active force was not required in FY 2022.

The Department will remain on track to make the meaningful changes directed by the Secretary of Defense, and we will not rest until sexual harassment and sexual assault are eliminated from our ranks. Our Service members and their families expect and deserve our utmost commitment to these issues, and we will not let them down.

Thank you for your continued strong support for our Service members. I am sending similar letters to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Veterans' Affairs of the Senate.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gilbert R. Cisneros, Jr.", written in a cursive style.

Gilbert R. Cisneros, Jr.

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc:  
The Honorable Mark Takano  
Ranking Member





# Department of Defense Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military

Fiscal Year 2022





*Department of Defense  
Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military  
Fiscal Year 2022*

The estimated cost of this report for the Department of Defense is approximately \$591,000 for the 2022 Fiscal Year. This includes \$147,000 in expenses and \$444,000 in DoD labor.

Generated on 2023Mar16

RefID: 9-A9F0F2F

## Table of Contents

---

Table of Contents.....	2
Executive Summary .....	3
Introduction .....	8
Spotlight: FY22 Secretary of Defense Initiative Implementation Timeline .....	11
Executing Secretary of Defense SAPR Initiatives.....	12
Sexual Assault Reporting Data, Programs, and Policies .....	18
Way Forward .....	24

## Appendices

---

- Appendix A: Additional Accomplishments, Activities, and Outreach
- Appendix B: Statistical Data on Sexual Assault
- Appendix C: Metrics and Non-Metrics on Sexual Assault
- Appendix D: Aggregate DoD Data Matrices
- Appendix E: Safe Helpline Data
- Appendix F: Sexual Harassment Assessment
- Appendix G: Domestic Abuse-Related Sexual Assault
- Appendix H: Child Sexual Abuse
- Appendix I: Acronyms List

## Enclosures

---

- Enclosure 1: Department of the Army Report
- Enclosure 2: Department of the Navy Report
- Enclosure 3: Department of the Air Force Report
- Enclosure 4: National Guard Bureau Report

## Annexes

---

- Annex 1: Men’s SAPR Communication Campaign Department of Defense Safe Helpline Evaluation
- Annex 2: Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military: Implementation Update



#### REPORTING REQUIREMENT:

- Congress requires the Department to provide an annual report on sexual assault involving members of the United States Armed Forces under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Defense. This report satisfies that requirement.
- The Department uses the term “sexual assault” to refer to a range of crimes, including rape, sexual assault, forcible sodomy, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, and attempts to commit these offenses, as defined in the Uniform Code of Military Justice.
- **No sexual assault prevalence survey for the active force was required or conducted this year. The next sexual assault prevalence survey of military members is planned for Fiscal Year 2023.**

#### THIS YEAR’S FINDINGS:

- The Department received a total of 8,942 reports of sexual assault, which is an increase of 76 reports over the 8,866 received in Fiscal Year 2021. Of the 8,942, 5,941 were Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault and 3,001 were Restricted Reports at the end of the year.
- The Department continued implementation of key Secretary of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention and Response initiatives and recommendations informed by the Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military.
- Implementation of the Commission’s recommendations, as approved by the Department, is underway.

## Executive Summary

The Fiscal Year 2022 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military provides an update on Department of Defense efforts to counter sexual assault and sexual harassment in the military. The report includes sexual assault reporting information and military justice outcomes as required by Congress. This year, the report includes summaries of the steps taken to implement prevention and response initiatives and recommendations of the Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military, as approved by the Secretary of Defense. The report covers Fiscal Year 2022 (October 1, 2021 to September 30, 2022).

### Key Fiscal Year 2022 Sexual Assault Reporting Data

The Department encourages greater reporting to promote more help-seeking by Service members and to hold alleged offenders appropriately accountable. In total, the Department received 8,942 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as victims and/or subjects in Fiscal Year 2022, an increase of 1 percent from the 8,866 received in Fiscal Year 2021. Of the 8,942, 5,941 were Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault and 3,001 remained Restricted at the end of the year.

Of the 8,942 reports, 7,378 were from Service members reporting an incident that occurred to them during military service. This reflects a 1.6 percent increase from the 7,260 such reports received in 2021.

The Military Departments reported case outcomes (dispositions) for 3,928 cases in Fiscal Year 2022. Of those 3,928 cases, military commanders had sufficient authority and/or jurisdiction to consider 3,188 cases for possible action against the accused. The evidence supported command action in 2,117 cases. Commanders were precluded from action or respected victims’ desire to not further participate in the justice process in 1,031 cases. Forty of the 3,188 cases were determined to be unfounded, meaning false or baseless.

## WAY FORWARD

- Continue readying the Offices of Special Trial Counsel to take over prosecutorial decisions in Fiscal Year 2024.
- Assign sufficient personnel with appropriate training and expertise to the Offices of Special Trial Counsel for full operational capacity.
- Professionalize the sexual assault response workforce to provide response personnel enhanced skills to better assist victim recovery.
- Professionalize and revise the sexual assault response workforce structure.
- Continue prevention workforce hiring.
- Accomplish On-Site Installation Evaluations to identify and address command challenges.

FULL REPORT IS AVAILABLE AT  
[WWW.SAPR.MIL](http://WWW.SAPR.MIL)

## Secretary of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Initiatives

Throughout Fiscal Year 2022, the Department and Military Services continued to support and execute initiatives directed by the Secretary of Defense that impacted the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response mission.

### Implementation of Recommendations by the Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military as Approved by the Secretary of Defense:

Secretary of Defense Austin directed the establishment of a 90-day Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military in February 2021 to drive meaningful change in how the Armed Forces prevent, respond to, and ensure accountability for sexual assault and sexual harassment.

In July 2021, the Commission published its report, making recommendations to improve climate and culture, prevent sexual assault and sexual harassment, provide better care for victims, and hold perpetrators appropriately accountable.

At Secretary of Defense Austin's direction, Deputy Secretary of Defense Kathleen Hicks developed an

Implementation Roadmap to guide the implementation of the recommendations wherever possible. In September 2021, Secretary of Defense Austin approved the Implementation Roadmap, which organized the Commission's recommendations, as approved by the Secretary, into four tiers to enable implementation across a phased approach for continuous evaluation of effectiveness, progress, and modification where necessary. Between October and December 2021, the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness provided the Department with implementation guidance for executing the approved recommendations across the four tiers.

To date, the Department has made significant progress in implementing approved Independent Review Commission recommendations and legislative requirements, including:

- Establishing professionalized career billets for military justice personnel handling special victim crimes (Recommendation 1.4);
- Developing a model for a dedicated and capable prevention workforce (Recommendation 2.2.a);
- Allowing survivors flexibility to take non-chargeable time off for seeking services or time for recovery from sexual assault (Recommendation 4.3.c); and
- Making sexual harassment victims eligible for Sexual Assault Prevention and Response services (Cross Cutting Recommendation 1).

Implementation of all recommendations, as approved by the Secretary of Defense, has been initiated.

Although investments informed by the Independent Review Commission are underway, results on investments are not yet observable in force-wide assessments. The Department's investments need time to take hold and reverse the harmful trends observed in its surveys and climate assessments. It will take time before the Department will see any measurable impact from these investments. However, work is ongoing to capture the impact of smaller, focused initiatives that will one day resonate throughout the force.

### **September 2022 “Actions to Address” Memorandum:**

Upon release of the Fiscal Year 2021 Annual Report on September 1, 2022, the Secretary of Defense directed the Department to focus on specific actions intended to respond to the increase in prevalence of unwanted sexual contact, sexual harassment, and other readiness impacting behaviors:

- **Ready the Offices of Special Trial Counsel;**

Legislation in the Fiscal Year 2022 and 2023 National Defense Authorization Acts required the establishment of Offices of Special Trial Counsel in the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Department of the Air Force to be headed by a one-star general or flag officer who reports directly to the Secretary of their Military Department. In addition, the Authorization Acts also expanded the types of crime addressed by the Offices of Special Trial Counsel and provided needed procedural changes in military law to support this new approach to the prosecution of special victim crimes.

To date, the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Department of the Air Force have all established the required Offices of Special Trial Counsel; trained and certified their prosecutors; and are finalizing preparations to take over prosecutorial decisions in specified crimes from military commanders in December 2023. The goal of this fundamental change in law was to restore Service member faith that military justice decisions are fair, impartial, and based on evidence.

- **Hire, train, and empower the prevention workforce;**

The Commission's Recommendation 2.2 found that, “effective prevention of sexual harassment, sexual assault, and other forms of violence requires the time and dedication of full-time personnel with specific public health and behavioral social science expertise. Prevention responsibilities should not be carried out as a collateral or additional duty. Building a primary prevention workforce capability will require long-term investments from Military Department leadership to empower the Services and National Guard.”

In 2022, the Department created a model for a primary prevention workforce (2.2.a) and developed a professional credential for prevention workforce members (2.2.b). In addition, the Department worked with the Military Services to identify the appropriate number of prevention workers at each echelon of command for both the Active and Reserve Components. The Prevention Workforce is the necessary resource to counter risk factors that lead to self and other directed harm.

- **Professionalize and strengthen the sexual assault response workforce;**

In Recommendation 4.1, the Commission sought to optimize the Victim Care and Support (i.e., “Response”) Workforce by:

- Moving Sexual Assault Response Coordinators and Victim Advocates from the command reporting structure;
- Exploring the co-location of special victim services; and

- Eliminating collateral duty response workforce members, except onboard ships and small or isolated locations.

With these recommended changes, the Commission recognized that victim assistance cannot be effectively provided by part-time personnel who rarely receive an opportunity to support a victim. In addition, moving personnel from the command reporting structure was intended to eliminate perceived commander bias and influence related to survivor needs, protect survivor privacy and confidentiality, and empower the response workforce to do what is in the best interest of the victim without concern of reprisal against them.

In 2022, the Department directed the Military Services to undertake large-scale workforce studies to assess their requirements, reallocate resources, and devise a plan to redistribute their response workforce according to the Commission's recommendations and the Department's implementation guidance issued in Fall 2021. The Military Services completed their workforce studies in October 2022.

- **Launch new On-Site Installation Evaluations;**

On February 26, 2021, Secretary of Defense Austin directed On-Site Installation Evaluations at select installations. These evaluations focus on an installation's prevention capabilities and ability to effectively address risk for sexual assault, harassment, and suicide. They were designed to provide early detection of risk factors so leaders can take corrective actions and enhance prevention.

In 2021, the Department completed visits to a number of installations and organizations worldwide to provide insights on risk and protective factors on the ground, what works, what does not, how the Department can improve efforts more comprehensively, and support efforts to implement the approved recommendations of the Independent Review Commission. An additional purpose of the inaugural evaluations was to pilot a process and metrics to establish an enduring installation evaluation capability that can be replicated in subsequent evaluations.

In September 2022, the Secretary of Defense directed that a new round of On-Site Installation Evaluations be conducted to target prevention efforts to installations with heightened risk factors, and to enhance senior leaders' visibility of workforce climate in a variety of operational settings. The evaluation visits will occur in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter of Fiscal Year 2023 and also include the three Military Service Academies.

- **Support the 2023 force-wide climate assessment.**

In the "Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Assault Sexual Harassment in the Military" Memorandum, dated September 1, 2022, the Secretary of Defense directed commanders to employ the *Defense Organizational Climate Survey* and take action to address risk to Service members within their units, as directed by and on the schedule set by the Department. Commanders were also directed to support Department assessment efforts by providing on-duty time in private settings for their military personnel to voluntarily complete the 2023 *Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Military Members* when fielded.

## Way Forward

---

Sexual assault and sexual harassment remain persistent challenges across the Military Services. It is for this reason the Secretary of Defense took immediate action to reduce these harmful behaviors. Consistent with his intent to accept the Independent Review Commission's recommendations wherever possible, the Secretary of Defense set into motion the means to produce the cultural and organizational change required to improve accountability, prevention,

culture and climate, and victim care and support. As a result, the Department will continue to focus on several key actions within these mission spaces in Fiscal Year 2023.

The Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and the Department of the Air Force will finalize preparations for Offices of Special Trial Counsel to take over prosecutorial decisions for sexual assault and other covered offenses in December 2023, and assign sufficient personnel with appropriate training and expertise to the Offices for full operational capacity.

To help commanders get ahead of the occurrence of sexual assault and sexual harassment, the Department will continue efforts to hire a dedicated integrated primary prevention workforce that will inform and support command focus on countering sexual assault and other harmful behaviors. In Fiscal Year 2023, Congress authorized \$479 million to allow for the hiring of the Prevention Workforce and implementation of the approved Independent Review Commission recommendations.

Within the victim care and support mission, the Department is professionalizing the sexual assault response workforce to provide responsive survivor support. A sexual assault response workforce will be empowered with the enhanced skills needed for improved care.

Finally, the Department will continue to recognize climate as key to breaking down barriers and achieving expected outcomes. Data and best practices will inform decisions by leaders on how to best support their people and enhance their command climates. Additionally, On-Site Installation Evaluations will continue to identify and address climate challenges and prevention best practices. The Department will leverage its force-wide climate assessment to help leaders address risks facing Service members.

Taken together, the Department's efforts will produce the conditions needed to reduce sexual assault and sexual harassment in our Nation's military and create healthier, safer environments for our Service members. The Department will use the authorities and resources entrusted to it to counter sexual assault and take care of our men and women in uniform.





# Introduction

---

The Department of Defense (DoD) is required by Section 1631 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2011, as amended, to submit a yearly Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military to the Committees on Armed Services and Veterans Affairs of the Senate and House of Representatives.

## Report Focus Areas

This report summarizes key data and Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) efforts by the Department, Military Services, and National Guard Bureau (NGB). This year's report provides updates on actions the Department has taken in the following focus areas:

- Implementing the Secretary of Defense-Approved IRC Recommendations
- Executing the Secretary of Defense SAPR Initiatives; and
- Advancing Sexual Assault Programs and Policies.

## Report Contents and Appendices

The Department and Military Services invest significantly in activities to prevent and respond to sexual assault. The Department views these activities through the lens of two primary metrics:

- **Prevalence** (i.e., estimated total of Service members experiencing sexual assault measured by scientific surveys – desired state is *decrease*)
- **Reporting rate** (i.e., percentage of victimized Service members making Restricted and Unrestricted Reports – desired state is *increase*)

Both measures rely on results from the *Workplace Gender and Relations Survey of Military Members (WGR)*. This survey was not required or conducted in FY22; therefore, this report does not include a prevalence estimate or a reporting rate for the past FY. The Department will field the next iteration of the *WGR* in FY23 to a representative sample of military members.

Though DoD does not have a sexual assault or sexual harassment prevalence estimate for FY22, the new *Defense Organizational Climate Survey (DEOCS)*, launched in 2021, provides commanders with unit-specific information on critical topics so they can take immediate steps to improve their command climate. Results from the 2021 and 2022 *DEOCS* across the entire Active Component indicate that sexually harassing behaviors have remained largely stable, suggesting prevalence has likely remained stable. Per Department of Defense Instruction (DoDI) 6400.11, "Primary Prevention Policy for Prevention Workforce and Leaders," all units must complete the *DEOCS* annually during August and November.

This year's Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military covers sexual assault allegations made during FY22 (October 1, 2021 to September 30, 2022). The SAPR program addresses contact and penetrating sexual crimes by adults against adults, as defined in Articles 80, 120, and 125 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ).

Additional accomplishments, activities, and outreach conducted by the Department can be found in Appendix A. Detailed statistical data and analysis for FY22 is located in Appendices B, C, and D. A summary of data from the Department's Safe Helpline (SHL) is at Appendix E. Sexual harassment falls under the purview of the Office for Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (ODEI). Appendix F contains data concerning formal, informal, or anonymous sexual harassment complaints collected by ODEI in FY22. Sexual assault of a spouse or intimate partner and child sexual abuse falls under the purview of the Family Advocacy Program (FAP).

Appendices G and H contain preliminary data reported to FAP in FY22. A list of acronyms used in this report is in Appendix I.

## Report Enclosures

Enclosed with this report are concurrent reports from the Department of the Army (Enclosure 1), Department of the Navy (Enclosure 2), Department of the Air Force (Enclosure 3), and the NGB (Enclosure 4). This report covers sexual assault allegations made during FY22 and also serves as the Department's assessment of the Military Services' prevention and response efforts from October 1, 2021 to September 30, 2022.

## Report Annexes

### Men's SAPR Communication Campaign DoD SHL Evaluation

Based on past studies, men are far less likely to report an experience of sexual assault than women due to stigma and myths surrounding sexual assault. In 2021, DoD estimated that only 10 percent of active-duty men reported their experience of sexual assault to a Department authority, compared to about 29 percent of active-duty women.<sup>1</sup>

To encourage access to resources and support, the Department launched the Plan to Prevent and Respond to Sexual Assault of Military Men in 2016.<sup>2</sup> This initiative led to a gap analysis, which identified a need for outreach directed towards men who experience sexual assault. In FY21, the Department released the Men's SAPR Communication Campaign to increase awareness that men are victims of sexual assault and encourage men who experience sexual assault to access resources and support.


The evaluation, which can be found at Annex 1, attempts to quantify the impact of the Men's Campaign by reviewing a sample of usage data from the SHL between FY21 and FY22 and comparing the use of the resource by gender before and after the launch of the Men's Campaign. In sum, the number of SHL online and phone users increased after the Department released the messaging campaign (see Figure 1).

---

<sup>1</sup> For more information, see DoD Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military, FY 2021 available at [www.sapr.mil/reports](http://www.sapr.mil/reports).

<sup>2</sup> For more information, see DoD Plan to Prevent and Respond to Sexual Assault of Military Men available at [www.sapr.mil/mens-sapr-campaign](http://www.sapr.mil/mens-sapr-campaign).

Figure 1. Total Count of Sample SHL Users by Gender from Quarter to Quarter




### Independent Review Commission (IRC) on Sexual Assault in the Military: Implementation Update

The Department continues to implement historic reforms to fundamentally change how sexual assault is addressed in the military. In February 2021, Secretary of Defense Austin launched the IRC to recommend new approaches to sexual assault and sexual harassment prevention and response. The Commission provided the Department with recommendations to improve accountability, prevention, culture and climate, and victim care.

Subsequently, Secretary of Defense Austin indicated his intent to accept the recommendations wherever possible and directed Deputy Secretary of Defense Kathleen Hicks to develop an Implementation Roadmap, which the Secretary of Defense approved on September 22, 2021.

Since that time, DoD has remained committed to implementing recommendations informed by the Commission’s work. A summary of DoD’s actions to date to implement the recommendations, as approved by the Secretary, is at Annex 2.

# Spotlight: FY22 Secretary of Defense Initiative Implementation Timeline



# Implementing Secretary of Defense-Approved IRC Recommendations

---

In February 2021, at the direction of President Biden, Secretary of Defense Austin ordered a 90-Day IRC. In July 2021, the IRC published its report, making recommendations to improve how DoD addresses and responds to sexual assault in the military. As a result, the Department is currently taking unprecedented action to implement the historic reforms as approved by the Secretary of Defense.

As of March 2023, the Department has taken significant implementation action on 21 of the approved recommendations, and implementation of many other recommendations is already underway or beginning this calendar year. The following section provides an overview of approved IRC recommendations according to the four Lines of Effort (LOEs): accountability, prevention, culture and climate, and victim care and support.

## Completed IRC Recommendations According to LOE

**LOE 1 (Accountability):** Focuses on policy changes and actions to improve prevention and response efforts. This includes assessing the role of the UCMJ in addressing the prevalence of sexual assault and harassment, survivor likelihood of reporting, and ability to appropriately bring alleged perpetrators to justice.

**LOE 2 (Prevention):** Focuses on a comprehensive assessment of ongoing prevention efforts to determine what additional resources might be needed to create an enduring, Department-wide prevention infrastructure. This effort will equip leaders with the data, people, resources, policy, and tools to stop sexual assault.

**LOE 3 (Culture and Climate):** Identifies the evidence-based approaches to improve climate and culture. This ensures all Service members feel safe, empowered to use resources, and enabled to report without fear of reprisal from leadership or peers.

**LOE 4 (Victim Care and Support):** Conducts a review of victim services to ensure services are comprehensive, evidence-based, and available to all victims. LOE 4 also provides recommendations to improve trauma-informed and victim-centered holistic care.

**Cross-Cutting (CC) Recommendations:** The IRC also identified several overarching themes ripe for specific recommendations that were not exclusive to any one LOE, referred to as CC recommendations.

A summary of the IRC recommendations, as approved by the Secretary of Defense, can be found at Annex 2 of this report.

## Major DoD Implementation Efforts

In addition, the Department is tracking the following major implementation efforts:

- **Office of Special Trial Counsel (OSTC):** The Senate has confirmed lead Special Trial Counsels (STC) in the rank of at least O-7 for each OSTC. The Military Departments issued OSTC training and education policies. The FY22 NDAA requires STCs to begin making prosecutorial decisions for covered offenses occurring after December 27, 2023.
- **Prevention Workforce:** A Prevention Workforce Model and Prevention Workforce Credential have been created. DoD also completed the Workforce Staffing Study and hired personnel. DoDI 6400.11, "Primary Prevention Policy for Prevention Workforce and Leaders," was also released on December 20, 2022. This DoDI institutionalized the

integrated primary prevention workforce, leadership, research, and oversight actions, as well as updated command climate assessment requirements.

- **Response Workforce:** The Services completed Response Workforce Studies directed in October 2022.

## Executing Secretary of Defense SAPR Initiatives

---

The Department strives to advance a military culture free from sexual assault and sexual harassment. All Service members deserve to work and live in a respectful, inclusive environment while serving and defending our Nation. To that end, this section summarizes Department actions in FY22 to support and execute five sexual assault and sexual harassment prevention and response initiatives.

### 1. FY22 Actions to Execute Complete Acting Secretary of Defense Shanahan's May 2019 Call to Action Memorandum

Prior to the release of the IRC report and its recommendations on July 2, 2021, Acting Secretary of Defense Patrick Shanahan released a Call to Action Memo in May 2019. In FY22, the Department completed the following actions outlined in this Memorandum:

#### Action Item 1: Provided Commanders with Improved Assessment Tools to Address Risk Factors in Military Units

The Department's primary assessment tool for assessing unit climate, the *DEOCS* underwent additional revision in FY22. The Office of People Analytics (OPA) completed a quantitative validation study of the *DEOCS* and found the 19 risk and protective factors measured by the survey were valid, highly reliable, and unidimensional (i.e., measuring one underlying concept). The survey also underwent a qualitative assessment, which identified additional ways to improve the *DEOCS* platform and reduce survey burden.

#### Action Item 2: Prepared New Leaders and First-Line Supervisors

The Junior Leader Working Group (JLWG) implemented Service specific plans and curriculum outlined by the Military Services' leadership to incorporate the 24 Knowledge, Skills, and Abilities (KSAs) previously identified as critical for junior leaders (grades E3-E5 and O1-O3) in the area of sexual assault, to include understanding the continuum of harm leading to sexual assault.

- **Department of the Army:** The assessment/gap analysis focused on evaluating the Noncommissioned Officers – Basic Leader's Course (BLC) and Commissioned Officers – Basic Officer Leader Course (BOLC A (ROTC) and BOLC B (Initial Military Training)) programs of instruction (POI) against the KSAs.
- **Department of the Navy:** The Navy completed the KSA assessment and identified that the Navy lacks a standardized training or leadership development program attended by the ranks specified for the JLWG. The Marine Corps identified that all 14 junior enlisted KSAs were addressed either through the curriculum in the enlisted educational continuum or through annual training requirements.
- **Department of the Air Force (DAF):** The DAF identified three schools which reviewed the 14 junior enlisted and ten junior officer KSAs for this task: Air University, Holm Center for Officer Training School (OTS) and Reserve Officer Training Corps (AFROTC); the United States Air Force Academy (USAFA); and Air University, Barnes Center for Airman Leadership School (ALS).

- **NGB:** The NGB had active participation within the working group and in the approval of the KSAs.

**Way Forward:** The Military Services identified steps to evaluate curriculum effectively after training sessions. All Services identified corrective actions to ensure that KSAs were being taught at the appropriate level.

### Action Item 3: Established the Catch a Serial Offender (CATCH) Program

In 2019, the Department established the CATCH Program to help reduce barriers to reporting incidents of sexual assault. In FY22, the Department fielded a dedicated CATCH webpage.<sup>3</sup> Since its launch in August 2019, the CATCH Program has received 1,614 total victim submissions resulting in 63 matches as of September 30, 2022. Additional information about CATCH is provided later in this report.

### Action Item 4: Executed the Prevention Plan of Action (PPoA)

The Department's PPoA laid a solid foundation for prevention work. DoD updated its prevention strategy, including the PPoA, to better address the full spectrum of harmful behaviors. The revised prevention strategy (i.e., PPoA 2.0, published May 27, 2022) reflects the Department's focus on integrated primary prevention, which comprehensively addresses sexual assault, sexual harassment, retaliation, domestic abuse, suicide, and child abuse.

PPoA 2.0 uses research and identified best practices from both the military and civilian workforce and outlines a unified DoD approach to developing, implementing, and evaluating efforts to prevent harmful behaviors. Additionally, it delivers a comprehensive approach to preventing harmful behaviors by layering a combination of reinforcing, research-backed prevention activities (i.e., policies, programs, and practices) to establish a culture free from self-directed harm and prohibited harm or abuse. Continuous evaluation of implemented activities to determine the impact of mitigation strategies on reducing risk of harmful behaviors is a vital facet of PPoA 2.0.<sup>4</sup>

## 2. FY22 Actions to Execute Secretary of Defense Austin's February 2021 Immediate Actions

In January 2021, President Biden ordered a 90-day commission to pursue solutions to sexual assault in the military. To carry out President Biden's direction, Secretary of Defense Austin established the IRC in February 2021 and ordered immediate actions to further address sexual assault and sexual harassment in the military. In FY22, the Department completed the following immediate actions:

### Immediate Action 1: Assessed Compliance with Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment Policies and Integrated Violence Prevention Efforts

As referenced in the FY21 Annual Report, the Military Departments and NGB assessed compliance with Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment Policies and Integrated Violence Prevention Efforts.

### Immediate Action 2: Conducted OSIEs

From July 2021 to January 2022, the Department conducted OSIEs at 20 military installations to help leaders improve command climates. Evaluation teams assessed compliance with sexual

---

<sup>3</sup> For more information and additional resources on the CATCH Program, see [www.sapr.mil/catch](http://www.sapr.mil/catch).

<sup>4</sup> For more information on the PPoA 2.0, see [www.sapr.mil/prevention](http://www.sapr.mil/prevention).

assault, sexual harassment, and integrated violence prevention policies, as well as examined installations' prevention capabilities and abilities to effectively address risk and protective factors associated with readiness-impacting behaviors.

The OSIE Report, released March 31, 2022, summarizes findings from the On-Site assessments, best practices, and lessons learned.<sup>5</sup>

In September 2022, Secretary of Defense Austin directed OSIEs to be conducted on a biennial basis. The next visits will occur in 2023.

### Immediate Action 3: Established a Violence Prevention Workforce

Throughout FY22, the Department offered trainings for the prevention workforce. Moreover, to meet the requirements of immediate action 3, the Department trained 1,082 prevention professionals. The Military Services are in the process of hiring additional staff members to comprise the full-time prevention workforce.

### 3. FY22 Actions to Implement Deputy Secretary of Defense's September 2021 Implementation Roadmap

Following the conclusion of the IRC, Secretary of Defense Austin directed Deputy Secretary of Defense Kathleen Hicks to develop an Implementation Roadmap, which he approved in September 2021. The Roadmap is DoD's strategic plan to guide implementation of IRC recommendations, as approved by the Department, and organized them into four tiers for implementation.

To guide implementation of the approved IRC recommendations in FY22, the Department:

- Issued overarching guidance;
- Developed Service implementation plans; and
- Developed the Outcome Metrics Evaluation Report.

USD(P&R), in consultation with the uniformed and civilian leadership of the Department, formally reviews the Implementation Roadmap twice annually and recommends any adjustments to the Deputy Secretary of Defense to monitor implementation progress and timelines.

### 4. FY22 Actions to Execute Secretary of Defense Austin's September 2022 "Actions to Address" Memorandum

#### Action Item 1: Readied the OSTC (IRC LOE 1 – Accountability)

The Departments of the Army, Navy, and Air Force reached initial operational capacity by standing up their OSTC by the July 15, 2022 deadline and are on track to meet all other implementation milestones. These Offices are led by one-star general officers/flag officers.

#### Action Item 2: Trained and Empowered Prevention Workforce (IRC LOE 2 & 3 – Prevention, Culture and Climate)

DoD made progress establishing guidance and infrastructure for a dedicated, integrated, and competent prevention workforce by taking the following actions:

- **Completed the Prevention Workforce Model (PWM):** The Department published its "Prevention Workforce Model" in June 2022. The document guides Military Department and

---

<sup>5</sup> For more information on the OSIEs, see [Department of Defense Releases 2021 On-Site Installation Evaluation Report > U.S. Department of Defense > Release.](#)



NGB efforts in the development of their PWMs and identifies certain elements for implementation of a successful prevention workforce. While the PWM serves as a framework to standardize prevention roles across the Military Services and the NGB, the organizations may make slight modifications to accommodate their unique organizational needs.

The prevention workforce developed under this model formally integrates the primary prevention functions outlined DoDI 6400.09, “DoD Policy on Integrated Primary Prevention of Self-Directed Harm and Prohibited Abuse or Harm.”<sup>6</sup>

- **Revised and expanded the Department’s PPoA 2.0:** As referenced above, PPoA 2.0 expands the scope of the initial PPoA, aligns DoD prevention requirements, and reflects the Department’s focus on integrated primary prevention of multiple harmful behaviors, such as sexual assault, sexual harassment, suicide, retaliation, domestic violence, and child abuse.<sup>7</sup>
- **Released DoDI 6400.11:** DoDI 6400.11, “Primary Prevention Policy for Prevention Workforce and Leaders,” released on December 20, 2022, institutionalized the prevention workforce, leadership, research, and oversight actions, as well as updated command climate assessment requirements. To that end, per the DoDI, all units must now annually complete the *DEOCS* during August and November.
- **Developed leadership prevention competencies:** In December 2022, through DoDI 6400.11, USD(P&R) approved Leadership Prevention Competencies, which were developed to implement IRC recommendation 2.1.a (defining leaders’ prevention competencies).
- **Established a credentialing organization to oversee and administer a prevention credential:** The PWM and DoDI 6400.11 established the training and continuing education requirements for the prevention workforce that will be used by the Department to issue a prevention credential. Given the novelty of an integrated prevention credential, the Department developed and will administer the credential to the prevention workforce in FY23.

Additionally, hiring for the prevention workforce is currently underway, focusing on locations of elevated risk and positions that will establish policy and processes for the workforce. Some hiring delays in FY22 resulted from the continuing resolution; however, DoD authorized a new direct hiring authority for the prevention workforce and is exploring additional ways to address barriers to civilian hiring processes. Future and enduring Department actions include initiating multiple pilot projects for targeted and community-level prevention.

### Action Item 3: Professionalized and Strengthened the Sexual Assault Response Workforce (IRC LOE 4 – Victim Care and Support)

DoD successfully reached initial operating capability for its SAPR Training Center of Excellence (SAPRTEC) to ensure standardized, quality, skill-based training across the Services. Department actions in training and skill development for the response workforce included revising policy and training to provide Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs) and Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocates (SAPR VAs) greater expertise to foster support and provide victim care, as well as develop Encounter Skills tools to enhance the capacity of responders to provide culturally competent care to all Service members.

---

<sup>6</sup> For more information on the PWM, see [www.sapr.mil/prevention-strategy](http://www.sapr.mil/prevention-strategy).

<sup>7</sup> For more information on the PPoA 2.0 see [www.sapr.mil/prevention](http://www.sapr.mil/prevention).

In addition, the Military Services and NGB are projected to complete their SAPR Workforce Studies by the required suspense date of January 31, 2023. The DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (SAPRO) will use this information to collaborate with the Defense Civilian Personnel Advisory Service (DCPAS) on strategic actions to reshape the sexual assault response workforce's current structure and requirements. It will also examine trends in the current workforce and develop a Strategic Workforce Plan to effectively implement and standardize the Department's functional management of the sexual assault response workforce.

#### Action Item 4: Launched New OSIEs

In September 2022, Secretary of Defense Austin directed OSIEs to occur on a biennial basis. The Department will conduct the OSIEs in 2023 at locations, including the Military Service Academies, that show elevated risk or exceptionally positive command climate from which to draw lessons learned and ensure resources are targeted effectively to where they are needed most. The 2023 OSIEs will enhance senior leaders' visibility of workforce climate in a variety of operational settings. Next year's Annual Report will provide an update on the 2023 OSIEs.

#### Action Item 5: Supported the 2023 Force-Wide Climate Assessment:

The Department took the first critical step in enhancing the quality and accountability for the command climate assessment (CCA) process through the publication of DoDI 6400.11 in December 2022. The DoDI implements multiple IRC recommendations related to the CCA process.

The Department uses surveys to augment its knowledge of the prevalence of sexual harassment, sexual assault, gender discrimination, and other workplace climate indicators. Moreover, the results of command climate surveys provide key insights into Service member and civilian employee perceptions of the work environment. These data points combined with official reports of crimes/violations and key demographic data are critical in analyzing trends in workplace climates and evaluating the effectiveness of actions aimed at reducing these problematic behaviors.

### **5. FY22 Actions to Establish and Maintain Collaborative Relationships and Communities of Practices in Prevention and Response**

The Department established and maintained a Community of Practice and formed opportunities to enhance collaborative relationships within the prevention mission space this FY.

#### SPARX Connection

In accordance with DoDI 6400.09, which instructed the Department to maintain "a prevention community of practice," SPARX Connection, hosted through the All Partners Access Network, launched in January 2022 to foster collaboration and learning among DoD prevention professionals. Service members and DoD civilian employees who are members of SPARX Connection have access to prevention resources from a variety of policy areas, including child abuse and neglect, domestic abuse, harassment, sexual assault, and suicide. In FY22, SPARX Connection consisted of approximately 1,300 members; however, at the time of this report, the community has grown to approximately 1,800 members with participation from all Military Departments, the National Guard, and the Coast Guard. Community content includes over 100 curated resources, recorded webinars on key prevention concepts reaching over 2,700 attendees, and a discussion forum in support of new integrated prevention personnel, program specialists, and individuals seeking to learn more about prevention efforts within the Department.

## Prevention Webinars

In FY22, seven webinars were held on topics such as: “Understanding the Role of Alcohol in Sexual Violence,” “Measuring the Effectiveness of Prevention Activities,” and “Understanding Sexual Harassment and Shifting the Paradigm to Civility.” The seven webinars provided approximately 11 hours of prevention education to the Department. Hundreds of personnel attended the live webinars and the recordings received approximately 2,900 page views resulting in more than 700 certificates of completion. These certificates allowed participants to not only verify their attendance, but also supported their continued education for the response workforce’s DoD Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP) certifications.

## Prevention Roundtables

In FY22, the Department hosted three meetings of the Sexual Assault Prevention Roundtable. At each session, representatives from the Military Services and related policy offices heard updates from each Military Service on their sexual assault prevention efforts, as well as overviews on new initiatives of benefit to the entire DoD community, such as the SPARX Connection Community of Practice and lessons learned from implementation of Getting to Outcomes (an evidence-based prevention process for planning, implementing, and evaluating prevention activities).

## FY23 Priority Actions:

The Department identified the following priority actions for FY23:

- **Continue readying the OSTCs to take over prosecution decisions for sexual assault allegations:** The Military Departments will continue to prepare their Offices for full operational capability. The OSTCs will take over prosecutorial decisions for sexual assault and other named offenses that occur after December 27, 2023.
- **Continue to prioritize prevention workforce hiring:** Integrated Primary Prevention Workforce hiring will continue to focus on locations of elevated risk and positions that will establish policy and processes for the prevention workforce.
- **Strengthen the sexual assault response workforce:** The Department will continue to work implementation actions to provide SARCs and SAPR VAs with enhanced skills to assist victims.

## Advancing Sexual Assault Programs and Policies

---

When Service members report an incident of sexual assault, the Department responds with trauma-informed, gender responsive recovery services. DoD provides Service members reporting incidents of sexual assault with a quality response that includes crisis intervention, reporting options, and recovery services.

## FY22 Sexual Assault Reporting Data

DoD encourages greater reporting to promote help-seeking among Service members and hold alleged offenders appropriately accountable. The Department received 8,942 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as either victims or subjects, which is a 1 percent increase from reports made in FY22.

Reports of sexual assault are categorized as either Restricted or Unrestricted. Of the 8,942 reports received in FY22, 3,001 reports remained Restricted at the end of the year, approximately a 20 percent increase from the number remaining Restricted in FY21. Of these,

319 reports (11 percent) involved incidents that occurred prior to Service members' military service.

Of the 8,942 reports, 5,941 reports were Unrestricted, a 7 percent decrease from the number of Unrestricted Reports in FY21. Of these, 261 reports (4 percent) involved incidents prior to military service. Figure 2 displays the trend in Unrestricted and Restricted Reporting from FY10 to FY22.

**Figure 2. Reports of Sexual Assault Made to DoD, FY10 – FY22**




Table 1 provides a breakdown of reports by who reported, type of report, and whether the report was for an event that occurred prior to military service. Equivalent tables by Service can be found in Appendix B: Statistical Data on Sexual Assault.

**Table 1. Sexual Assault Reports by Victim and Military Status, FY22**

	Unrestricted Reports	Restricted Reports	Total Reports
<b>Total Reports of Sexual Assault</b>	<b>5,941</b>	<b>3,001</b>	<b>8,942</b>
Reports Made by Service Members	5,015	2,943	7,958
<i>Service Member Reports for Incidents that Occurred <b>Prior</b> to Military Service</i>	261	319	580
<i>Service Member Reports for Incidents that Occurred <b>During</b> Military Service</i>	4,754	2,624	7,378
Reports Made by Non-Service Members	748	49	797
<i>DoD Civilian</i>	33	11	44
<i>DoD Contractor</i>	14	0	14
<i>Other U.S. Civilian</i>	669	29	698
<i>Foreign National/Military</i>	32	9	41
Relevant Data Not Available	178	9	187

About a fifth (18 percent) of Service members making Restricted Reports converted to Unrestricted Reports and participated in the military justice process, which is a fairly consistent trend across the past FYs. The Department offers Service members the opportunity to make an Unrestricted or a Restricted Report of sexual assault. When victims convert their Restricted Report to an Unrestricted Report, they elect to participate in military justice system efforts to hold alleged offenders appropriately accountable. The Military Services initially received 3,682 Restricted Reports from Service members in FY22. Of the 3,682 Service members who made initial Restricted Reports, 681 (18 percent) chose to convert to an Unrestricted Report in FY22. These 681 converted Restricted Reports are now counted in the 5,941 Unrestricted Reports received in FY22. The other 3,001 reports remained Restricted at the end of the year.

Commanders had sufficient evidence to take disciplinary action in 66 percent of accused members' cases. The Department takes disciplinary action in every case where it has the jurisdiction and sufficient evidence to do so. In addition, every decision to take disciplinary action is based on evidence discovered during an independent investigation by a Military Criminal Investigative Organization (MCIO).

This year, the Department had sufficient evidence to take disciplinary action in 2,117 cases. Disciplinary action was not possible in 1,031 cases due to primarily two reasons: insufficient evidence of an offense to prosecute or commanders honoring the victim's request to not participate further in the legal process. About 1 percent of subject cases were unfounded, meaning they were false or baseless. False cases are allegations wherein evidence existed to find that the crime did not occur, or the accused did not commit the crime. Baseless cases are those allegations that were inappropriately reported as a sexual assault.

### CATCH Program Update

The CATCH Program allows eligible adult sexual assault victims to submit a confidential entry into the CATCH system to allow the Department to determine if the alleged offender may have assaulted another person. Should one Service member's entry match another's in the system, they are provided an opportunity to convert their report to Unrestricted and participate in the

military justice process. Participation in the CATCH Program is voluntary, and victims may decline to participate even after being notified of a match. Eligibility was initially limited to adult sexual assault victims who file, or have already filed, a Restricted Report utilizing a Department of Defense Form (DD Form) 2910, Victim Reporting Preference Statement.

Beginning in February 2022, the CATCH system began accepting and recording entries from victims who had yet to disclose the identity of the alleged suspect or whose identity was not yet known by law enforcement (e.g., a third-party report with no suspect identification).<sup>8</sup> Eligibility for the CATCH Program includes current Service members and their adult dependents, Military Service Academy cadets and midshipmen, and also former Service members and their adult dependents who filed a formal report of sexual assault.

In FY22, the CATCH Program received 699 submissions from victims who had previously filed a Restricted Report or Unrestricted Report across the Military Services and NGB. CATCH submissions resulted in 28 matches during FY22. Since its launch in August 2019, the CATCH Program has received 1,614 total victim submissions resulting in 63 matches as of September 30, 2022.

### **DoD SHL Data**

The SHL is the Department's sole crisis support service specially dedicated to members of the DoD community affected by sexual assault. The service is confidential, anonymous, secure, and available at all hours of the day. The availability of SHL ensures that all victims have a place to safely disclose their assault, express concerns, and obtain information. As such, this resource is often a first step in the reporting process for many victims and a key support for those who might not otherwise reach out for help through face-to-face military channels. The Department leverages SHL as an accessible point-of-entry for the military community that facilitates sexual assault reporting to SARCs and SAPR VAs.

In FY22, 35,501 users (27,050 online users and 8,451 phone users) contacted SHL for services. Of the 1,519 sessions in which an event was discussed and a user-victim relationship was disclosed, 87 percent of users were identified as victims. Some users called on behalf of victims to learn how they could support and help prevent re-victimization. Additional data on SHL can be found in Appendix E.

### **2022 Men's SAPR Communication Campaign**

#### *Overview*

In January 2022, the Department launched the 2022 Men's Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Communication Campaign based on the Department's "2016 DoD Plan to Prevent and Respond to Sexual Assault of Military Men." The Men's SAPR Campaign addresses the personal impact and negative effects on readiness and unit cohesion when a sexual assault occurs. The campaign also provides access to important resources and educational materials, including print, video, and social media content, to Sexual Assault Prevention and Response professionals and victims of sexual assault.<sup>9</sup>

---

<sup>8</sup> Deputy Secretary of Defense Memorandum "Updates to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," dated November 10, 2021.

<sup>9</sup> For more information, see [www.sapr.mil/mens-sapr-campaign](http://www.sapr.mil/mens-sapr-campaign).

## *Evaluation Using SHL Data*

From May to September 2022, DoD released a social media push to increase exposure to the Men's Campaign. Following the social media push, the Department sought to quantify the impact of the Men's Campaign by reviewing a sample of usage data from the SHL from FY21 and FY22 and comparing use of the resource by gender before and after the launch of the Men's Campaign. To align with the quarterly SHL data, the Department defined the "Launch of the Men's Campaign" as occurring between the first quarter (Q1) of FY22 and the third quarter (Q3) of FY22.<sup>10</sup>

During the timeframe of data collection, SHL staff utilized a session assessment tool to document all online and telephone sessions. In the session assessment tool, the staff member selected the gender the user disclosed or selected "undisclosed" if the user did not disclose their gender. Assessment data are based on information that SHL users volunteered during a session. SHL staff do not solicit information from users for research purposes. Rigorous inclusion criteria were applied for analysis of session assessment data to ensure that cases analyzed provided adequate information. Therefore, the following section is based on findings from the sample, not findings from all SHL sessions.<sup>11</sup>

This evaluation resulted in the following key findings:

- **Finding 1: The Amount of SHL Users Increased Following the Launch of the Men's Campaign, Most Notably for Men and Users of an Undisclosed Gender**

Between Q1 and Q3 FY22 (i.e., during the Men's Campaign social media push), there was an overall increase in SHL users. This increase was most notable for men and users of an undisclosed gender but was seen among users who were women as well.

- **Finding 2: A Significant Majority of Sampled Users of an Undisclosed Gender Contacted the SHL via the Online Chat Option**

For sampled men and women, both ways of contacting the SHL (i.e., phone and online chat options) were similarly utilized with slightly more people using the phone option. In contrast, a significant majority of sampled users of an undisclosed gender contacted the SHL via the online chat option. The high use of the online chat option by those not disclosing their gender may speak to a greater sense of anonymity and comfort in accessing a resource where they are able to use text chat instead of a verbal option. As discussed in a previous section, men are less likely to report an experience of sexual assault than women due to stigma and myths surrounding sexual assault. Having the online chat option for general users not wanting to disclose their gender may make the SHL an accessible first step in receiving support for their experience of sexual assault for all members of the military.

For more information on this evaluation, please see Annex 1: Men's SAPR Communication Campaign DoD SHL Evaluation.

---

<sup>10</sup> This evaluation compared SHL data from FY21 through FY22. Since the social media push occurred from May to September 2022, Q1 of FY22 and Q3 of FY22 are used to mark the time of the intervention.

<sup>11</sup> The sample only represents a percentage of SHL users, and therefore may not accurately represent the impact of the Men's Campaign on SHL usage or on Service members more broadly.

## Published Response-Related Policies

### *Updates to DoD Policy and Procedures for the SAPR Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations*

This Deputy Secretary of Defense memorandum, dated November 10, 2021, expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting; authorized use of non-participating victim "Section 540K Declination Letter;" augmented eligibility to offer submissions into the CATCH Program; implemented Section 536 of the NDAA for FY20 authorizing the return of a sexual assault victim's personal property; and authorized the SAPR Related Inquiry (SRI) Module in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID).

### *Safe-to-Report Policy*

The Safe-to-Report Policy prescribes the handling of alleged collateral misconduct involving a Service member who is the victim of an alleged sexual assault and is applicable to all members of the Armed Forces (including members of the Reserve and National Guard) and cadets and midshipmen at the Military Service Academies. The NDAA requires the development and implementation of "a process to track incidents of minor collateral misconduct that are subject to the Safe-to-Report policy." In FY22 and the beginning of FY23, the Military Services and NGB finalized their Safe-to-Report policies.

### *DoDI 6495.02, Volume 3, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response: Retaliation Response for Adult Sexual Assault Cases"*

This volume establishes policy, assigns responsibilities, and prescribes procedures for the implementation, management, and oversight of the response to retaliation related to sexual assault cases within the SAPR program; provides a definition of "retaliation," used only by the SAPR program; and facilitates services for those who report allegations of retaliation related to an Unrestricted Report, as well as tracks reports of retaliation allegations through case disposition in the monthly SAPR Case Management Group (CMG) meetings.

Additionally, this volume updates the policy in the 2016 "DoD Retaliation Prevention and Response Strategy: Regarding the Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment Reports," and supersedes the requirements and tasks in the January 2017, "DoD Retaliation Prevention and Response Strategy Implementation Plan."

### *Policy on Including Race and Ethnicity in Annual Reports on Sexual Assault*

Section 549G of NDAA for FY22 required the Secretary of Defense to prescribe a policy requiring information on the race and ethnicity of accused individuals to be included to the maximum extent practicable in the DoD Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military. The USD(P&R) memorandum, "Inclusion of Race and Ethnicity in Annual Reports on Sexual Assault," dated August 24, 2022, implemented this NDAA requirement.

### *Policy for Sexual Assault Victims in Unmarried Intimate Partner Relationships to Receive FAP or SAPR Services*

This USD(P&R) memorandum, dated December 7, 2021, establishes the option for some adult sexual assault victims to choose to receive services from either the FAP or SAPR programs due to the expanded definition of "intimate partner" within DoDI 6400.06, "DoD Coordinated Community Response to Domestic Abuse Involving DoD Military and Certain Affiliated



Personnel.” The definition was expanded to include a broader category of individuals in unmarried intimate partner relationships, primarily in dating relationships.

## Way Forward

---

Sexual assault and sexual harassment remain a persistent challenge across the Total Force. The Department continues to address sexual assault holistically with a focus on prevention, addressing problematic culture, improving the skills of leaders at all levels, and evaluating ways to make reporting easier for sexual assault survivors.

During FY23, the Department will continue to:

- Ready the OSTCs to take over prosecutorial decisions after December 27, 2023, to include assigning sufficient personnel with the requisite training and expertise;
- Add to the professionalization of the sexual assault workforce to provide response personnel with the enhanced skills to better assist victim recovery;
- Continue prevention workforce hiring and training to advise commanders and unit leaders on ways to stop sexual assault and sexual harassment before it occurs;
- Accomplish OSIEs to develop and improve best practices for unit climate and culture and sexual assault and sexual harassment prevention and response;
- Employ the *DEOCS* and *WGR* and take action to address risk to Service members; and
- Complete approved IRC recommendations, wherever possible, as provided in the Deputy Secretary of Defense’s implementation guidance.



## Appendix A: Additional Accomplishments, Activities, and Outreach



# Appendix A: Additional Accomplishments, Activities, and Outreach

---

This appendix highlights additional significant accomplishment, activities, and outreach undertaken by the Department of Defense (DoD) during Fiscal Year (FY) 22, which were not covered in the main body of the Annual Report. The activities are organized as shown in Table 1.

**Table 1. DoD SAPRO Activities**

Activity	Goal Description
Prevention	Deliver consistent and effective prevention methods and programs
Victim Assistance and Advocacy	Deliver consistent and effective victim support, response, and reporting options
Investigation	Achieve high competence in the investigation of sexual assault
Accountability	Achieve high competence in holding offenders appropriately accountable
Assessment	Effectively standardize, measure, analyze, assess, and report program progress

## Prevention

---

### Stakeholder Coordination and Outreach

#### *Research Initiatives (NDAA 549A)*

The Department continued research efforts to build prevention capacity and advance the evidence-base for sexual assault prevention. Specifically, the Department completed a multi-year pilot of the Getting to Outcomes process. The pilot culminated in multiple prevention tools and advanced prevention and evaluation efforts at sites across DoD. Rigorous evaluations of five prevention activities continued through the Innovations in Sexual Assault Prevention Pilot Program (ISAPPP). This initiative is evaluating the impact of prevention programs underway across DoD and the Coast Guard to build the evidence-base about what works in military environments to stop and reduce assault.

In addition, the Department established the Evaluation Technical Assistance Center (ETAC) to support prevention evaluation efforts at all levels. ETAC builds on and supports the ongoing work of Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s Violence Prevention Technical Assistance Center, which has been providing training and technical assistance to DoD for several years. The Department developed the FY23 Integrated Prevention Research Agenda as directed in the FY23 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) Section 549A. The agenda, which was approved by the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (USD(P&R)) in October 2022 outlines key priorities for prevention research.

#### *Promoted Excellence in Prevention*

This year the Department and Services selected the following individuals to receive the Promoting Excellence in Prevention Award:

- **Army:** Ms. Stacey Rosenberg, U.S. Military Academy, West Point, NY

- **Navy:** Lieutenant Commander Catherine Cole and LT Stuart Lyster, Naval Station North Island, San Diego, CA
- **Air Force:** Captain Jacob England, First Lieutenant Aimee Ford, First Lieutenant Sarah Johnson, First Lieutenant Kimberly Ratliff, Technical Sergeant Sarah Duckett, Technical Sergeant Matthew Brimmer, and Airman First Class Samantha Hughes, Minot Air Force Base, Minot, ND
- **Space Force:** Major Branden Crockett, Major Shelton Fraser, Captain Adam Embry, Master Sergeant Curtis Oliver, Technical Sergeant Walker Jean-Philippe, Dr. Nicole Stoughton, and Mr. Nicholas Mowes, Schriever Space Force Base, Colorado Springs, CO
- **National Guard:** Ms. Christyn Delgaiollo, California National Guard

## Victim Assistance and Advocacy

### *Liz Blanc Exceptional Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) of the Year*

Since 2009, DoD has annually recognized one SARC from each Military Service and the National Guard for the Exceptional SARC of the Year Award for innovative achievements contributing to unique and exceptional victim response within the military community. The Award was renamed for DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office’s (SAPRO) Senior Victim Assistance Advisor, Liz Blanc, who passed away in 2020 after a battle with cancer.



Liz Blanc

This year, the Department is honored to recognize the following awardees of the Liz Blanc Exceptional SARC of the Year Award:

- **Army:** Sergeant First Class Brandy Jackson-Frazer, U.S. Army North Atlantic Treaty Organization Brigade, Sembach, Germany
- **Navy:** Ms. Kim Birdwell, Naval Station Norfolk, Norfolk, VA
- **Marine Corps:** Ms. Zuzana Hall, Marine Corps Recruiting Command, Quantico, VA
- **Air Force:** Captain Vikki Flores, 335<sup>th</sup> Wing, Davis-Monthan Air Force Base, AZ
- **National Guard:** Ms. Ashley Shelton, Alaska National Guard, Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson, AK
- **Coast Guard:** Ms. Lianne Casupang, District 14, Honolulu, HI

### *Announced New SAPRO Theme*

In February 2022, DoD SAPRO announced its new theme, “Step Forward. Prevent. Report. Advocate,” in advance of April’s Sexual Assault Awareness and Prevention Month. This new theme is a call to action for individuals at all levels of the Department to use their personal strength to advance positive changes in preventing sexual violence.



## Continued Collaborative Relationships and Recognition

### *Facilitated the SARC and Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocate (SAPR VA) Vulnerable Populations Working Group*

The Department hosted three sessions with SARCs and SAPR VAs from the Military Services to enhance DoD understanding of responders' encounter skills when working with Service members from vulnerable populations. These sessions supported the implementation of approved Independent Review Commission (IRC) recommendation 4.4.b (develop training to build the capacity of SARCs and VAs to provide culturally competent care).

### *Hosted 2022 Virtual Learning Event*

In support of the IRC cross cutting recommendation one and 4.4b, the Department hosted the first ever virtual training summit in January 2022, which was attended by over 700 DoD SAPR personnel (87 percent) and other stakeholders. This training event provided an opportunity to further professionalize and build the capacity of SARCs and SAPR VAs to provide culturally competent care to Service members from communities of color, LGBTQ+ Service members, religious minorities, and male victims.

Personnel received the latest updates on SAPR policy, participated in the initial kickoff for Men's SAPR Campaign, and received information on how SAPR personnel can connect a survivor to the Military Sexual Trauma (MST) Coordinator within the Department of Veterans Affairs when the Service member is separating or retiring from the Armed Forces. This free event allowed SAPR personnel to add a substantive number of continuing education hours without incurring travel costs or increasing risk of exposure to the coronavirus.

### *Updated DoD Form 2910 (Victim Reporting Preference Statement)*

DoD Form 2910, *Victim Reporting Preference Statement*, now includes information that every Veterans Healthcare Administration facility has a MST Coordinator who can assist in accessing MST-related medical and mental health care. In addition, the form documents that every Veterans Benefits Administration Regional Office has an MST Outreach Coordinator who can help with disability claims related to MST and instructions for how to connect with those coordinators.

Links to find the names and contact information for the nearest Veterans Health Administration and Veterans Benefits Administration MST Coordinator are included in the form. Additionally, the SARC or SAPR VA will fill in the information of the nearest Veterans Health Administration MST Coordinator and review this information with the survivor while completing the form. This requirement will be included in future advocacy trainings instructing staff on processes for reporting intake and encounter skills.

### *Participated in the National Organization of Victim Assistance (NOVA) Conference*

In August 2022, the Department attended the NOVA Conference and presented topics pertinent to SAPR response personnel and provided a leadership introduction and speech about the importance of victim advocacy and the fundamental changes underway based on approved IRC recommendations.

### *Continued Partnership with Department of Justice Office for Victims of Crime (DOJ OVC)*

In October 2021, the Department met with DOJ OVC to discuss the current memorandum of understanding between the offices. The Department partners with the DOJ OVC Technical Training Assistance Center to support the Strengthening Military Civilian Community Partnerships (SMCCP). The program held a virtual training event for approximately 60 participants from the military and civilian response communities of Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek (Virginia Beach, Virginia). This training helps participants establish partnerships with local military installations to respond effectively to the needs of sexual assault victims in the military.

### *Hosted the Five Eyes SAPR Forum*

On November 30 and December 1, 2021, the Department hosted the virtual Five Eyes SAPR Forum with allies from Canada, Australia, New Zealand, and the United Kingdom. This forum provided an opportunity for the Five Eyes countries to share best practices and challenges their militaries are facing, as well as discuss efforts they are undertaking to address sexual assault and related behaviors in their respective militaries. DoD SAPRO sponsored virtual presentations and discussions across the two days with all five member countries participating.

### *Conducted Safe Helpline (SHL) Briefings at Bases and Installations around the World*

The SHL team led 68 events at bases and installations around the world to promote awareness of the SHL as a unique resource that provides anonymous, confidential crisis intervention support and resources to victims of sexual assault in the military community, their family, friends, and SAPR personnel.

## Accountability and Investigation

### **Appropriations for Special Victims' Counsel/Victims' Legal Counsel (SVC/VLC) and Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability**

DoD SAPRO worked with the Military Departments and the National Guard Bureau (NGB) to identify requirements for the congressional budget appropriation of \$47 million for the Special Victims Counsel program expansion. Appropriations were then re-programmed to the Military Services and NGB for execution.

## Assessment

### **Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID) Update**

DSAID is the Department's authoritative, centralized case-level database used to collect and maintain information on sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces, including tracking and reporting on sexual assault-related retaliation data. DoD SAPRO operates DSAID and works collaboratively with the Military Services to implement and sustain the system.

SARCs use DSAID to provide comprehensive and standardized victim case management. Additionally, DoD uses DSAID to conduct oversight, inform Department and Service-level SAPR program planning and analysis, and meet Congressional reporting requirements.

The Department meets monthly with DSAID representatives from the Military Services and NGB to discuss improvements to the database. Some changes may be required by law or policy.

The following DSAID improvements took place in FY22:

- **Space Force Integration into the Data Warehouse:** On January 24, 2022, version 2.4 for the DSAID Data Warehouse was successfully implemented. This new version moves United States Space Force data from DSAID into the data warehouse for enhanced consolidated data reporting.
- **Updates to SARC Profile, Legal Officer Module, and Case Synopsis Reports:** On February 16, 2022, DSAID version 5.4 and version 2.5 for the DSAID Data Warehouse were released to the field. This release added new fields to the SARC profile, updated the legal officer module, and added a scheduling feature for case synopsis reports.
- **Addition of Victim Primary Occupational Field:** On May 12, 2022, DSAID version 5.5 was released to add the victim's primary occupational field as a demographic category. This release will allow the Department to compare sexual assault reporting by Service member occupation.

In addition to the above DSAID updates, the Department also coordinated a data sharing agreement with the Veterans Benefits Administration to better serve veterans in their disability claims related to an alleged sexual assault while serving in uniform. The DSAID data sharing agreement with the Veterans Benefits Administration will help to facilitate claims for individuals making an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault. The Department cannot access personally identifying information on Restricted Reports. In addition, not all incidents of sexual assault are reported to military authorities. Accordingly, the data sharing agreement stipulates that the Veterans Benefits Administration will not use the absence of a claimant's case from the Unrestricted Reports database as the sole reason for denying benefits.

### Government Accountability Office (GAO) and DoD IG Report Update

The Department supported six GAO and DoD IG engagements by reviewing reports, statements of fact, and developing Corrective Action Plans for over 30 recommendations.

The following are the GAO and DoD IG reports for which the Department provided direct response or support during FY22:

- GAO Engagement – DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Key Prevention and Response Efforts (103973);
- GAO Engagement – SAPR Army Effectiveness (104673);
- GAO Engagement – Sexual Assault Against Women in Special Operations (105168);
- GAO Engagement – Review of Service Member Trauma and Experiences with Unwanted Sexual Behavior during Military Service (105381);
- GAO Engagement – Sexual Harassment and Sexual Assault: Guidance Needed to Ensure Consistent Tracking, Response, and Training for DoD Civilians (21-113); and
- DoD IG – DoD Emergency Medical Care for Victims of Sexual Assault (D2022-D000AW-0031.000).

### National Defense Authorization Act Requirement Implementation Status

Per GAO 22-103973 recommendation 5, the Department is developing a mechanism to

consistently track and document implementation of ongoing and future NDAA statutory requirements related to sexual assault prevention and response.

### **Leadership Team Awareness Seminar – Virtual (LTAS-V)**

In partnership with the Defense Equal Opportunity Management Institute (DEOMI), DoD SAPRO facilitated the SAPR lesson of LTAS-V. This course is held quarterly with an emphasis on different human relations programs. The Fourth Quarter LTAS-V focused on SAPR and Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion programs and policies. The course was attended by more than 200 Military Service command teams, SAPR leaders and SARCs, Equal Opportunity advisors, and other stakeholders around the world that play a key role in executing the SAPR program.





## Appendix B: Statistical Data on Sexual Assault



# Table of Contents

---

Background.....	4
Purpose .....	4
Scope.....	4
Data Included.....	5
Period Covered .....	6
Data Collection.....	7
Overview of Reports of Sexual Assault in FY22 .....	7
Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault.....	12
Crimes Alleged in Unrestricted Reports.....	12
Investigations of Unrestricted Reports.....	14
Sexual Assault Case Dispositions .....	16
Military Subjects Considered for Disciplinary Action .....	19
Military Justice .....	22
Demographics of Victims and Subjects in Completed Investigations.....	30
Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault.....	32
Demographics of Victims in Restricted Reports.....	33
Service Referral Information.....	34
Expedited Transfers.....	36
Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest.....	37
Demographics of Victims and Subjects in Unrestricted Reports in CAIs.....	38
Demographics of Victims and Subjects in Restricted Reports in CAIs.....	38
FY22 Retaliation Allegations .....	38
Data on Reports of Perceived Retaliation.....	39
Reports Received for Reprisal by the Department of Defense Office of the Inspector General (DoD OIG).....	44
FY22 SAPR-Related Inquiries.....	44
Victim Stated Reason for Not Reporting.....	46
Victim Support Services Referred .....	47
Sexual Assault Reports by Victim and Military Status by Service .....	47
Case Dispositions Reported in FY22 by Service .....	49

## List of Figures

---

Figure 1. Reports of Sexual Assault Made to DoD, FY10 – FY22.....	8
Figure 2. DoD Sexual Assault Reports Made by Service Members for Incidents that Occurred During and Prior to Military Service, FY10 – FY22 .....	10
Figure 3. Estimated Number of Service Members Who Indicated an Experience of Unwanted Sexual Contact or Sexual Assault in the Past Year Compared to the Number of Service Members Who Made Reports of Sexual Assault for Incidents that Occurred During Military Service, FY10 – FY22.....	11
Figure 4. Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault by Service Member Involvement, FY10 – FY22 .....	12
Figure 5. Offenses Originally Alleged in Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault, FY20 – FY22 .....	13
Figure 6. Reports of Sexual Assault, Completed Investigations, and Case Dispositions, FY22.....	15
Figure 7. Cases Outside DoD Legal Authority, FY22.....	17
Figure 8. Cases Investigated for Sexual Assault by DoD with Subjects Determined to Be Outside Military Disposition Authority or Prosecuted by a Civilian/Foreign Authority Instead, FY13 – FY22 .....	18
Figure 9. Dispositions of Cases Considered for Possible Action by DoD Commanders, FY22.....	20
Figure 10. Percentage of Cases with Misconduct Substantiated, Command Action Precluded/Respected Victims’ Desired Non-Participation, and Command Action Declined, FY10 – FY22.....	21
Figure 11. Breakdown of Disciplinary Actions Taken for Sexual Assault Offenses, FY10 – FY22 .....	23
Figure 12. Dispositions of Cases with Sexual Assault Court-Martial Charges Preferred, FY22 .....	25
Figure 13. Dispositions of Cases with Sexual Assault Court-Martial Charges Preferred by Crime Charged, FY22 .....	26
Figure 14. Dispositions of Cases Receiving Nonjudicial Punishment, FY22 .....	28
Figure 15. Cases with Probable Cause for Non-Sexual Assault Offenses, FY22.....	29
Figure 16. Restricted Reports Received and Converted, FY10 – FY22.....	33
Figure 17. Average Number of Service Referrals per Service Member Who Reported Sexual Assault, FY10 – FY22 .....	35
Figure 18. SAFEs Involving Service Member Victims, FY10 – FY22 .....	36
Figure 19. Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest, FY10 – FY22 .....	37
Figure 20. Reports of Perceived Retaliation Made to DoD, FY22.....	43

## List of Tables

---

Table 1. Sexual Assault Reports by Victim and Military Status, FY22 .....	9
Table 2. Reporting Rate per Thousand Service Members by Fiscal Year and Service, FY13 – FY22 .....	9

Table 3. Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault by Offense Alleged and Military Status, FY22.....	14
Table 4. Case Dispositions Reported in FY22.....	19
Table 5. Sex of Victims and Subjects in Completed Investigations of Unrestricted Reports, FY22 .....	30
Table 6. Age of Victims and Subjects at the Time of the Alleged Incident in Completed Investigations of Unrestricted Reports, FY22 .....	30
Table 7. Grade/Status of Victims and Subjects at the Time the Report of Sexual Assault was Received in Completed Investigations of Unrestricted Reports, FY22.....	31
Table 8. Race of Victims and Subjects in Completed Investigations of Unrestricted Reports, FY22 .....	31
Table 9. Sex of Those in Restricted Reports, FY22.....	33
Table 10. Age of Those in Restricted Reports at Time of Incident, FY22.....	34
Table 11. Grade or Status of Those in Restricted Reports at Time of Report, FY22.....	34
Table 12. Race of Those in Restricted Reports, FY22.....	34
Table 13. Expedited Transfers and Denials, FY13 – FY22 .....	36
Table 14. Unrestricted and Restricted Reports by Combat Area of Interest, FY22 .....	37
Table 15. Individuals/Organizations to Whom the Report of Retaliation was Made, FY22.....	39
Table 16. Sex of Retaliation Reporters in Reports of Perceived Retaliation, FY22 .....	39
Table 17. Pay Grade of Reporters in Reports of Perceived Retaliation, FY22 .....	40
Table 18. Type of Retaliation Reporter in Reports of Perceived Retaliation, FY22 .....	40
Table 19. Action Taken to Address Retaliation Allegations, FY22 .....	40
Table 20. Relationship of the Alleged Retaliator and the Reporter, FY22 .....	41
Table 21. Relationship of the Alleged Retaliator and Alleged Perpetrator, FY22 .....	41
Table 22. Outcomes of DoD OIG Investigations, FY22 .....	44
Table 23. SAPR-Related Inquiries by Type of Inquirer, FY22.....	45
Table 24. SAPR-Related Inquiry Victims by Gender and Military Status, FY22 .....	45
Table 25. SAPR-Related Inquiry Victim Stated Reason for Not Reporting, FY22 .....	46
Table 26. SAPR-Related Inquiry Victim Support Service Referrals, FY22.....	47
Table 27. Army Sexual Assault Reports by Victim and Military Status, FY22 .....	48
Table 28. Navy Sexual Assault Reports by Victim and Military Status, FY22 .....	48
Table 29. Marine Corps Sexual Assault Reports by Victim and Military Status, FY22 ..	49
Table 30. Air Force Sexual Assault Reports by Victim and Military Status, FY22 .....	49
Table 39. Army Case Disposition Category, FY22 .....	49
Table 40. Navy Case Disposition Category, FY22.....	51
Table 41. Marine Corps Case Disposition Category, FY22.....	52
Table 42. Air Force Case Disposition Category, FY22 .....	53

# Appendix B: Statistical Data on Sexual Assault

---

## Background

### Purpose

The Department of Defense (DoD) collects reporting data on sexual assault to inform Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) policy, program development, and oversight actions. Congress requires DoD to report on sexual assault reports, the outcome of sexual assault investigations, and related accountability actions. Each year, the Sexual Assault Response and Prevention Office (SAPRO) aggregates data on reports of sexual assault, analyzes the results, and presents them in this report.

### Scope

DoD uses the term “sexual assault” to refer to intentional sexual contact characterized by the use of force, threats, intimidation, or abuse of authority or when the victim does not or cannot consent. The term includes a broad category of sexual offenses consisting of the following specific UCMJ offenses: rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy (forced oral or anal sex), or attempts to commit these offenses.<sup>1</sup> For the purpose of data analysis in this report, DoD organizes analyses by the most serious sexual assault allegation made by a victim or investigated by a Military Criminal Investigative Organization (MCIO).<sup>2</sup> The information in initial reports and/or behaviors alleged do not necessarily reflect the final findings of the investigators or the matter(s) addressed by court-martial charges or other forms of disciplinary action against suspects (referred to by DoD as “subjects of investigation” or “subjects”).<sup>3</sup>

DoD’s sexual assault reporting statistics include data on penetrating and sexual contact crimes by adults against adults for matters defined in Articles 120 and 125 of the UCMJ, as well as Article 80, which governs attempts to commit these offenses.<sup>4</sup> Data analyses within this Appendix do not include:

- **Sexual harassment complaints.** The Office for Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion supplies information about sexual harassment complaints in Appendix F.
- **Sexual assault allegations involving spouses and/or intimate partners.** DoD Family Advocacy Program (FAP) supplies the domestic abuse-related sexual assault data in Appendix G.
- **Sexual abuse allegations involving children.** DoD FAP supplies the child-related sexual abuse data in Appendix H.

Although most victims and subjects in the following data are aged 18 or older, DoD statistics may capture information about victims and subjects aged 16 and 17 at the time of the report,

---

<sup>1</sup> Department of Defense Instruction 6495.02.

<sup>2</sup> Criminal Investigative Command for the Army, Naval Criminal Investigative Service for the Navy and Marine Corps, and Air Force Office of Special Investigations for the Air Force.

<sup>3</sup> The term “subject” does not denote innocence or guilt of the person being investigated.

<sup>4</sup> Beginning January 1, 2019, the UCMJ categorizes acts that used to constitute forcible sodomy under Article 125 within the crime of rape or sexual assault under Article 120. In addition, to align with changes in the UCMJ, sexual contact crimes no longer encompass touching of body parts other than the genitals, inner thighs, breasts, and buttocks. Acts such as forcible kissing or nonconsensual touching of other body regions may be deemed sexual harassment, assault consummated by a battery, or another crime under the UCMJ, depending on the facts of the case.

which includes Service members approved for early enlistment. Additionally, 16- and 17-year-old military and civilian victims may be included in the data that follow, if such matters do not fall under FAP's purview.

## Data Included

### *Unrestricted and Restricted Reports*

Per reporting requirements levied by Congress, DoD sexual assault data capture Restricted and Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault made to DoD during a Fiscal Year (FY) involving a military person as an alleged perpetrator and/or a victim.<sup>5</sup>

Victims make a Restricted Report to specified individuals (e.g., Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs), SAPR Victim Advocates (VAs), or healthcare providers), enabling confidential access to care and services. These reports are not referred for investigation and do not involve review by command authorities. Given the desire for confidentiality, the victim is not asked to provide extensive details about the sexual assault. SARCs therefore record limited data about these victims and the alleged offenses in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID). Furthermore, DoD does not request or enter subject information into DSAID for Restricted Reports. A victim can choose to participate in a criminal investigation and any subsequent military justice proceedings, as applicable, by converting a Restricted Report to an Unrestricted Report at any time.

Unlike a Restricted Report, an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault is referred for investigation to an MCIO, and command is notified of the alleged incident. DoD collects data on Unrestricted Reports from the cases entered into DSAID by SARCs. Additionally, MCIO information systems interface with DSAID in order to incorporate subject and investigative case information into records.

Notably, the number of sexual assaults reported to DoD in a given year is not necessarily indicative of the number of sexual assaults that may have occurred that year. This difference exists because not all sexual assault victims report allegations of sexual assault. DoD estimates the annual sexual assault prevalence using survey responses to the *Workplace and Gender Relations Survey (WGR)*. The difference between estimated sexual assault prevalence measured using unwanted sexual contact estimates in 2021 (i.e., the estimated number of Service members indicating an experience of unwanted sexual contact in the past year) and the number of reports received in the year is described in detail in Figure 3 of this Appendix. Additionally, reports may be made to DoD at any time, including reports of alleged sexual assaults that occurred prior to service.

### *Case Dispositions*

Once the investigation of an Unrestricted Report is complete, Congress requires the Military Services to report the outcome or "case disposition" of the allegations against each subject named in an investigation (See Military Services' Reports for individual case synopses). When a person is the subject of multiple investigations, he or she will also be associated with more

---

<sup>5</sup> Use of the term "subject," "perpetrator," or "offender" refers to a person who has allegations of misconduct made against them by another individual, and does not convey any presumption about the guilt or innocence of the alleged offenders, nor does the use of the term "incident" or "report" legally substantiate an occurrence of a sexual assault. Use of the term "victim" refers to a person who has made an official Unrestricted or Restricted Report of sexual assault with the Department of Defense and does not imply a finding of fact.

than one case disposition in DSAID. DoD holds Service member subjects who have committed sexual assault appropriately accountable based on the evidence available.

Upon completion of a criminal investigation, the MCIO conducting the investigation provides a report documenting investigative findings to the subject's commander for military justice action,<sup>6</sup> as appropriate. A servicing staff judge advocate may also review the MCIO report and recommends appropriate action. For investigations of rape, sexual assault, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these crimes, a senior military officer who is at least a special court-martial convening authority (SPCMCA) and in the grade of O-6 (Colonel or Navy Captain) or higher retains initial disposition authority over the matters alleged.

The SPCMCA determines what, if any, initial disposition action is appropriate, to include whether further action is warranted and, if so, whether the matter should be addressed by court-martial, nonjudicial punishment, administrative discharge, or other adverse administrative action. The SPCMCA bases the initial disposition decision on a review of the matters transmitted in the investigative report, any independent review, and consultation with military attorneys. Subordinate unit commanders may also provide their own recommendations regarding initial disposition to the convening authority.

Disciplinary action against a particular subject may not always be possible due to legal issues or evidentiary problems with a case. For instance, a commander may be precluded from taking disciplinary action against a subject when the investigation fails to show sufficient evidence of a crime. Furthermore, DoD's legal authority under the UCMJ extends mostly to Service members, with limited exceptions. Civilians are not subject to the UCMJ for the purpose of court-martial jurisdiction, except in rare circumstances, such as in deployed environments when accompanying the Armed Forces.

Additionally, U.S. civilian authorities and foreign host nations usually hold primary responsibility for prosecuting non-U.S. military personnel who are alleged to have perpetrated sexual assault against Service members within their respective jurisdictions. DoD may also exercise its legal authority over its members alleged to have committed sexual assault in a civilian jurisdiction. Prosecutions by civilian authorities against Service members are determined on a case-by-case and jurisdiction-by-jurisdiction basis. Prosecutions of Service members by a foreign nation are often governed by a Status of Forces Agreement (SOFA) between that country and the U.S.<sup>7</sup>

## Period Covered

This Annual Report includes data on sexual assaults reported from October 1, 2021 to September 30, 2022, as well as information that describes the status of sexual assault reports, investigations, and case dispositions.

Sexual assault investigations can extend across FYs, because investigations may span several months from start to completion. As a result, investigations opened toward the end of the FY often extend into the following FY. Disciplinary actions, such as court-martial and discharge proceedings, also require time; therefore, reporting of these outcomes can extend across FYs. When the outcome has yet to be determined at the end of the FY, case dispositions are marked

---

<sup>6</sup> The military justice reforms directed by Congress in the Fiscal Year 2022 National Defense Authorization Act, and implemented by the President via Executive Order, are not yet in effect and are not reflected in the data collected during FY22.

<sup>7</sup> A host nation's ability to prosecute a Service member may be subject to the SOFA between the U.S. and a particular foreign government. SOFAs vary from country to country.

as “pending completion.” DoD tracks pending dispositions and requires the Military Services to report them in subsequent years’ reports.

Under DoD’s SAPR policy, there is no time limit as to when a sexual assault victim can report a sexual assault. Consequently, DoD receives reports about incidents that occurred during the current FY, incidents that occurred in previous FYs, and incidents that occurred prior to military service. When a Service member reports a sexual assault that occurred prior to enlistment or commissioning, DoD provides care and services, but will not be able to hold the alleged offender appropriately accountable if he or she is not subject to military law. In these cases, DoD authorities often assist the victim in contacting the appropriate civilian or foreign law enforcement agency.

## Data Collection

DoD and the Military Services use DSAID to enter and store data on Restricted and Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault. For each report of sexual assault, SARCs must use DSAID to enter information about the victim and the alleged incident. DSAID interfaces with MCIO systems, which contribute additional information about subjects and the incident(s). MCIO databases are the systems of record for all Unrestricted Reports they investigate. Service-appointed legal officers validate and enter case disposition information into DSAID. Since DSAID is a real-time data-gathering tool:

- **Not all data points are immediately available for this report.** Data provided on sexual assault reports represent the state of DSAID data at the time of the final pull for FY22. Data may be incomplete at the time of the DSAID data pull, despite best efforts by DoD and the Military Services to capture all data points. Therefore, some demographic or case-related information presented below is categorized as “relevant data not available.”
- **Data may change over time and may differ from what DoD reported previously.** Updates, changes, and corrections occur as a normal, continuous process of DSAID data management. DoD SAPRO works with Service SAPR program managers to validate entries, identify errors, and make corrections throughout the year. In addition, the investigative process may also uncover additional information. Data presented here reflect this rigorous process.

## Overview of Reports of Sexual Assault in FY22

In FY22, the Military Services received 8,942 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as either victims or subjects (Table 1 and Figure 1), a 1 percent increase from reports made in FY21.

As stated above, DoD sexual assault reports are categorized as either Restricted or Unrestricted. Of the 8,942 reports received in FY22, 3,001 reports remained Restricted at the end of the year, approximately a 20 percent increase from the number remaining Restricted in FY21. Of these, 319 reports (11 percent) involved incidents that occurred prior to the Service member’s military service.

***Of the 8,942 reports of sexual assault involving Service members, how many were made by Service members as alleged victims?***


Service members made 7,958 reports. Of these, 580 reports were for incidents that occurred prior to military service and 7,378 reports were for incidents that occurred during military service.

***Who made the other reports?***

797 reports came from U.S. civilians, foreign nationals, and others who were not on active duty status with the U.S. Armed Forces. Relevant data were not available for 187 reports.



Of the 8,942 reports, 5,941 reports were Unrestricted, a 7 percent decrease from the number of Unrestricted Reports in FY21.<sup>8</sup> Of these, 261 reports (4 percent) involved incidents that occurred prior to military service. Figure 1 displays the trend in Unrestricted and Restricted Reporting from FY10 to FY22.



**Figure 1. Reports of Sexual Assault Made to DoD, FY10 – FY22**

Table 1 provides a breakdown of reports by who reported, type of report, and whether the report was for an event that occurred prior to military service. Equivalent tables by Service can be found on page 57.

<sup>8</sup> Beginning with the implementation of DSAID in 2014, DoD has extracted and analyzed data six weeks after the end of each FY to allow sufficient time for data validation. DSAID is a “live” database, and its records change daily to reflect case status. During this six-week period, 83 additional Restricted Reports converted to Unrestricted. After a report converts from Restricted to Unrestricted, all data associated with the report is then counted in the Unrestricted Report category. These 83 reports that were made during the FY, converted to Unrestricted in the six-week period after the end of the FY, and are therefore included with the 681 report conversions.

**Table 1. Sexual Assault Reports by Victim and Military Status, FY22**

	Unrestricted Reports	Restricted Reports	Total Reports
<b>Total Reports of Sexual Assault</b>	<b>5,941</b>	<b>3,001</b>	<b>8,942</b>
Reports Made by Service Members	5,015	2,943	7,958
<i>Service Member Reports for Incidents that Occurred <b>Prior</b> to Military Service</i>	261	319	580
<i>Service Member Reports for Incidents that Occurred <b>During</b> Military Service</i>	4,754	2,624	7,378
Reports Made by Non-Service Members	748	49	797
<i>DoD Civilian</i>	33	11	44
<i>DoD Contractor</i>	14	0	14
<i>Other U.S. Civilian</i>	669	29	698
<i>Foreign National/Military</i>	32	9	41
Relevant Data Not Available	178	9	187

To compare sexual assault reports across Military Services with varied population sizes, DoD calculates a reporting rate per thousand Service members.<sup>9</sup> Standardized reporting rates also allow for year-over-year comparisons, even when the total number of people in a group has changed. In FY22, for every 1,000 Service members, 6.0 Service members made a Restricted or Unrestricted Report of sexual assault, a slight increase from prior years. Table 2 compares the reporting rate by Military Service and across FYs.


**Table 2. Reporting Rate per Thousand Service Members by Fiscal Year and Service, FY13 – FY22**

Service	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Total DoD	3.3	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.5	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.9	6.0
Army	3.5	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.7	5.5	5.5	5.5	7.1	6.6
Navy	3.2	3.6	3.8	4.1	4.5	4.8	5.0	4.7	5.2	5.7
Marine Corps	3.8	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.8	5.7	5.4	5.9	6.1	6.5
Air Force	2.9	3.7	3.7	3.7	4.0	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.6	5.3

Research shows that reporting sexual assault increases the likelihood that victims will engage in medical treatment and other forms of assistance.<sup>10</sup> The Department encourages victims to report sexual assault, strives to improve response resources for victims, and supports victim participation in the military justice process, as appropriate. Figure 2 displays the reporting trends for Service members who made sexual assault reports for incidents they experienced *before* entering military service compared with the number of reports for incidents experienced *during* military service.

<sup>9</sup> DoD calculates victim-reporting rates using the number of Service member victims in Unrestricted and Restricted Reports and active duty Military Service end-strength for each year on record with the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC).

<sup>10</sup> Zinzow, H. M., Resnick, H. S., Barr, S. C., Danielson, C. K., & Kilpatrick, D. G. (2012). Receipt of post-rape medical care in a national sample of female victims. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 43(2), 183-187.




**Figure 2. DoD Sexual Assault Reports Made by Service Members for Incidents that Occurred During and Prior to Military Service, FY10 – FY22**

Although beneficial to track, reporting data provide only partial insight into the problem of sexual assault. Sexual assault is an underreported crime among both the civilian and military populations, meaning that the number of individuals who report the crime to law enforcement falls far short of the number of individuals who have likely experienced the crime. Therefore, the Department utilizes the *WGR* survey to estimate the number of Service members who may have **experienced** a sexual assault or unwanted sexual contact in the past year. DoD then compares those estimates to the number of Service members who **reported** a sexual assault. Figure 3 shows the difference between the survey-estimated number of Service members who indicated experiencing sexual assault or unwanted sexual contact, based on the *WGR*, and the number of Service members who reported a sexual assault incident occurring during military service. DoD administers its sexual assault prevalence survey biennially, thus prevalence estimates are available for Calendar Year (CY) 06, FY10, FY12, FY14, FY16, FY18, and CY21.

Due to the coronavirus pandemic, the Department did not administer a sexual assault prevalence survey in FY20. Consequently, DoD postponed the fielding of the *WGR* to December 2021 to March 2022. The 2021 survey results include Service members' experiences in the 12 months prior to their taking the survey, a period which began on December 10, 2020. In addition, changes to survey administration procedures required the Department to change sexual assault prevalence metrics for the FY21 *WGR*. As a result, the department was required to replace the lengthy, RAND-developed sexual assault measure with a shorter, proxy measure for sexual assault in the military, Unwanted Sexual Contact (USC).<sup>11</sup>

<sup>11</sup> The term "unwanted sexual contact" is used as a proxy term for crimes consistent with sexual assault and is used to estimate prevalence in the 2021 *Workplace and Gender Relations Survey (WGR)*. It refers to a range of behaviors prohibited by the UCMJ and includes penetrative sexual assault (completed intercourse, sodomy [oral or anal sex], and penetration by an object), non-penetrative sexual assault (unwanted touching of genitalia, breasts, buttocks, and/or inner thigh), and attempted penetrative sexual assault (attempted sexual intercourse, sodomy [oral or anal sex], and penetration by object).

While this report includes information about sexual assault reports made during fiscal years (October 1 through September 30), the prevalence estimate for unwanted sexual contact generated by the most recent *WGR* covers a 12-month period more closely aligned with Calendar Year (CY) 2021. For the reporting data shown in Figure 3 only, the Department presents sexual assault reports made in CY21 to better align with the period of prevalence assessed by the *WGR* survey.



**Figure 3. Estimated Number of Service Members Who Indicated an Experience of Unwanted Sexual Contact or Sexual Assault in the Past Year Compared to the Number of Service Members Who Made Reports of Sexual Assault for Incidents that Occurred During Military Service, FY10 – FY22**


**Note:** The number for “unwanted sexual contact” (USC) refers to the measure used on the survey administered by Office of People Analytics (OPA) in FY10 and FY12. The “sexual assault” measure used in FY14, FY16, and FY18 was designed to align more closely with legal language from the UCMJ. The USC measure used on the 2021 *WGR* was the result of a change directed by the Office of Management and Budget in federal survey procedures. The USC measure fielded for the 2021 *WGR* has been revised since it was last used in the active duty population in FY12. The 2021 USC measure has not been rigorously compared to the RAND measure employed from FY14 to FY18. As a result, no scientific comparisons have been made between USC prevalence in 2021 and prior year prevalence estimates.

In CY21, approximately 8.4 percent of active duty women and 1.5 percent of active duty men indicated experiencing at least one past-year incident of unwanted sexual contact. These rates correspond to about 35,875 Service members experiencing unwanted sexual contact in the year prior to being surveyed. Of the 35,875 estimated victims in CY21, about 19,255 were women and 16,620 were men. The next survey will be administered in FY23 and results reported out in the FY23 Annual Report to Congress due to Congress by April 30, 2024.

In FY22, the number of Service members choosing to report a sexual assault that occurred during military Service increased, from 7,260 in CY21 to 7,378 in FY22.

## Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault

In FY22, there were 4,535 Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault involving Service members as the subject and/or victim of a sexual assault.<sup>12</sup> For a detailed analysis of victim demographics in completed investigations, see page 31. Each FY, most sexual assault reports received by MCIOs involve victimization allegations of Service members by other Service members. In FY22, 2,933 Unrestricted Reports involved allegations of sexual assault perpetrated by a Service member against a Service member. Figure 4 below shows Service member alleged involvement in Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault between FY10 and FY22.



**Figure 4. Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault by Service Member Involvement, FY10 – FY22**


**Note:** There were 5,941 Unrestricted Reports in FY22, 6,356 Unrestricted Reports in FY21, 5,640 Unrestricted Reports in FY20, 5,699 Unrestricted Reports in FY19, 5,805 Unrestricted Reports in FY18, 5,110 Unrestricted Reports in FY17, and 4,591 Unrestricted Reports in FY16. However, for the analysis in Figure 4, we excluded 1,406 reports from FY22, 1,066 reports from FY21, 1,064 reports from FY20, 999 reports from FY19, 878 reports from FY18, 734 reports from FY17, 610 reports from FY16, and 564 reports from FY15, due to missing data on subject and/or victim type.

## Crimes Alleged in Unrestricted Reports

Of the Unrestricted Reports made to DoD in FY22, most offenses alleged fall into three of the five UCMJ offenses the Department addresses with the SAPR program: rape, sexual assault, and abusive sexual contact. They do not, or rarely, include the offenses of aggravated sexual

<sup>12</sup> Beginning with the implementation of DSAID in 2014, DoD has extracted and analyzed data six weeks after the end of each FY to allow sufficient time for data validation. DSAID is a “live” database, and its records change daily to reflect case status. During this six-week period, 83 additional Restricted Reports converted to Unrestricted. After a report converts from Restricted to Unrestricted, all data associated with the report is then counted in the Unrestricted Report category. These 83 reports that were made during the FY, converted to Unrestricted in the six-week period after the end of the FY, and are therefore included with the 681 report conversions.

contact and forcible sodomy or attempts to commit any of the five offenses. MCIOs categorize Unrestricted Reports by the most serious offense *alleged* in a victim’s allegation, which may not be the same offense for which evidence supports a misconduct charge, if any. Figure 5 below shows the breakdown of Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault by offense originally alleged, while Table 3 presents the offense originally alleged, broken down by the military status of the victim.



**Figure 5. Offenses Originally Alleged in Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault, FY20 – FY22**

**Note:** There were 5,941 Unrestricted Reports in FY22. However, 1,138 cases have been excluded from the analysis for this chart due to missing data on the offense originally alleged, which can occur if MCIOs have not yet entered the allegation, particularly for reports made closer to the end of the FY. Percentages may not sum to 100 percent due to rounding. **Bold** text labels designate penetrating crimes (rape, aggravated sexual assault/sexual assault, and forcible sodomy).

**Table 3. Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault by Offense Alleged and Military Status, FY22**


Most Serious Offense Alleged in Report	Total Unrestricted Reports	Reports Made by Service Members	Reports Made by Non-Service Members	Relevant Data Not Available
Rape	481	349	110	22
Sexual Assault	1,745	1,301	359	85
Aggravated Sexual Contact	61	56	5	0
Abusive Sexual Contact	2,458	2,176	213	69
Wrongful Sexual Contact	2	2	0	0
Indecent Assault	13	11	0	2
Forcible Sodomy	0	0	0	0
Attempts to Commit Offenses	43	38	5	0
Offense Data Not Available	1,138	1,082	56	0
<b>Total Unrestricted Reports</b>	<b>5,941</b>	<b>5,015</b>	<b>748</b>	<b>178</b>

### Investigations of Unrestricted Reports

This section closely mirrors the flow chart in Figure 6. In FY22, 5,941 Unrestricted Reports (Figure 6, Point B) were referred to MCIOs for investigation. DoD policy requires all Unrestricted Reports be referred for investigation by an MCIO. The average length of a sexual assault investigation in FY22 was 3.4 months. The length of an investigation may vary, from a few months to over a year, depending on several factors, such as offense alleged; location and availability of the victim(s), subject(s), and witness(es); amount and type of physical evidence gathered during the investigation; and the length of time required for a crime laboratory to analyze evidence.

As previously stated, sexual assault investigations and the process of adjudicating each subject's case can span multiple reporting periods. Therefore, not all cases opened in FY22 were closed and adjudicated in FY22. In addition, some cases opened in prior years had a completed investigation and/or final disposition taken in FY22. The accounting that follows includes reports received in FY22, reports referred for investigation in FY22, investigations completed/pending in FY22, and the outcomes of case adjudications completed and reported to DoD in FY22.

Of the 4,601 sexual assault investigations MCIOs completed during FY22 (Figure 6, Point F), 2,806 were opened in FY22, and 1,795 investigations were opened in years prior to FY22. Of the 4,601 investigations completed in FY22, 179 cases did not meet the elements of proof for sexual assault offenses or were investigated for some misconduct other than sexual assault (Figure 6, Point G), 265 cases did not proceed because the victim declined at the outset to participate in the investigative process (Figure 6, Point H), and 70 cases did not fall within MCIOs' legal authority to investigate (e.g., no jurisdiction over alleged perpetrator) (Figure 6, Point I). In total, DoD received reportable case disposition information for 3,928 subjects (Figure 6, Point N). DoD will document the outcomes of the 2,193 sexual assault case dispositions that were not completed by September 30, 2022 in future reports (Figure 6, Point M).



Source:

**Figure 6. Reports of Sexual Assault, Completed Investigations, and Case Dispositions, FY22**

**Notes:**

1. For incidents that occurred on or after June 28, 2012, the term “sexual assault” refers to the crimes of rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses.
2. The number of investigations initiated in FY22 is lower than the number of reports referred for investigation, since there can be multiple victims in a single investigation. Additionally, some



investigations referred in FY22 did not begin until FY22, and other allegations could not be investigated by DoD or civilian law enforcement.

3. Beginning with the implementation of DSAID in 2014, DoD has extracted and analyzed data six weeks after the end of each FY to allow sufficient time for data validation. DSAID is a “live” database, and its records change daily to reflect case status. During this six-week period, 83 additional Restricted Reports converted to Unrestricted. After a report converts from Restricted to Unrestricted, all data associated with the report is then counted in the Unrestricted Report category. These 83 reports that were made during the FY, converted to Unrestricted in the six-week period after the end of the FY, and are therefore included with the 681 report conversions.

## Sexual Assault Case Dispositions

The goals of a criminal investigation are to identify the victim(s), the alleged perpetrator(s), and crimes committed. DoD seeks to hold Service members alleged to have committed sexual assault appropriately accountable based on the available evidence.

Congress requires DoD to report on the case

dispositions (outcomes) of sexual assault allegations in Unrestricted Reports made against Service members (DoDI 6495.02).<sup>13</sup> When a person is the subject of multiple investigations, he or she will also be associated with more than one case disposition in DSAID. Since DoD must report outcomes for each of these investigations, subjects who have multiple investigations will have a disposition associated with each of those investigations. The Military Services may address multiple investigations of a subject with one action (e.g., one court-martial for multiple investigations) or may address those investigations with separate actions (e.g., a court-martial for one allegation and then a nonjudicial punishment for another unrelated allegation).

### ***Can DoD take action against everyone it investigates?***


No. In FY22, DoD could not take action in 721 cases because they were outside DoD’s legal authority.

This year, 136 subjects received multiple dispositions for sexual assault allegations. These 136 subjects received a total 306 disposition actions, which accounts for 8 percent of all dispositions reported in FY22. The following data describe the case dispositions of each investigation reported to the DoD in FY22.

At the end of FY22, there were 3,928 case dispositions (Figure 7, Point N) with information for reports made in FY22 and prior FYs. Of the subjects accounted for in these case dispositions, 61 subjects (2 percent) had a prior investigation for a sexual assault offense. The 3,928 case dispositions from DoD investigations in FY22 included Service members, U.S. civilians, foreign nationals, and subjects who could not be identified (Figure 7).

---

<sup>13</sup> To standardize and improve the reliability and validity of DSAID data, DoD verifies data with stakeholders. This ensures DoD maintains DSAID data integrity.




**Figure 7. Cases Outside DoD Legal Authority, FY22**

For most cases, commanders are limited to taking action against Service members who are subject to the UCMJ. In FY22, DoD did not consider military justice actions against a subject in 740 cases because the subject was outside of DoD’s jurisdiction or because another prosecutorial authority (federal, state, or foreign nation) exercised jurisdiction over the subject.

In 721 cases, MCIOs could not identify a subject despite a criminal investigation, a subject was a civilian or foreign national not under the military’s authority, or a subject had died or deserted before DoD could take disciplinary action.

While a Service member is always under the legal authority of DoD, sometimes a civilian authority or foreign government will exercise its legal authority over a Service member who is suspected of committing a crime within its jurisdiction. In FY22, a civilian or foreign authority prosecuted 19 Service members (Figure 7, Point R).

Figure 8 illustrates that DoD could not consider action in 13 percent of the 3,928 case dispositions completed in FY22 because the subject could not be identified. DoD could not consider action in another 6 percent of cases because subjects were civilians or foreign nationals not under the military’s jurisdiction. The Military Services also reported no disciplinary action for less than 1 percent of cases because subjects had deserted or died before the cases reached final disposition. For less than 1 percent of cases, DoD did not exercise its legal authority because a civilian or foreign authority exercised its jurisdiction over the accused Service member.




**Figure 8. Cases Investigated for Sexual Assault by DoD with Subjects Determined to Be Outside Military Disposition Authority or Prosecuted by a Civilian/Foreign Authority Instead, FY13 – FY22**

## Military Subjects Considered for Disciplinary Action

In FY22, there were 3,188 cases where DoD was the disposition authority, in which a civilian (including foreign civilian) jurisdiction did not exercise authority, and in which the victim chose to participate in the investigation. Below, Table 4 and Figure 9 show dispositions of such cases. Service-specific graphs can be found in this report starting on page 57. Of the 3,188 cases, 240 involved alleged assaults against multiple victims.

**Table 4. Case Dispositions Reported in FY22**

Case Disposition Category	Count of Case Dispositions	Share of Case Dispositions
<b>Sexual Assault Investigations Considered for Possible Action by DoD Commanders</b>	<b>3,188</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Evidence Supported Commander Action</b>	<b>2,117</b>	<b>66%</b>
Sexual Assault Offense Action	1,503	71%
<i>Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)</i>	553	37%
<i>Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15, UCMJ)</i>	425	28%
<i>Administrative Discharge</i>	293	19%
<i>Other Adverse Administrative Action</i>	232	15%
Non-Sexual Assault Offense Action	614	29%
<i>Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)</i>	49	8%
<i>Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15, UCMJ)</i>	316	51%
<i>Administrative Discharge</i>	131	21%
<i>Other Adverse Administrative Action</i>	118	19%
<b>Unfounded by Command/Legal Review</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>1%</b>
<b>Commander Action Precluded or Respected Victims' Desired Non-Participation</b>	<b>1,031</b>	<b>32%</b>
<i>Victim Died</i>	1	<1%
<i>Victim Declined to Participate in the Military Justice Action</i>	174	17%
<i>Insufficient Evidence to Prosecute</i>	846	82%
<i>Statute of Limitations Expired</i>	10	1%



Source:


**Figure 9. Dispositions of Cases Considered for Possible Action by DoD Commanders, FY22**

### Command Action Precluded or Declined

Legal factors occasionally prevent DoD from taking disciplinary action against subjects. For example, commanders could not take disciplinary action in 856 cases due to insufficient evidence of an offense or the statute of limitations expiring. For 174 subject cases, commanders' adjudication decisions respected the desire of victims to decline further participation in the military justice process (Figure 9, Point W).

Two potential situations can lead to the conclusion that the allegations of a crime should be unsubstantiated, meaning the allegation is categorized as false or baseless. A case against a particular subject is determined to be false when (1) evidence demonstrates that the accused person did not commit the offense, or (2) evidence refutes the occurrence of a crime. A case is determined to be baseless when it was improperly reported as a sexual assault. After examining the evidence in each case with a military attorney, commanders declined to take action in 40 cases because available evidence indicated the allegations against these subjects were false or baseless (unfounded; Figure 9, Point X).<sup>14</sup>

Figure 10 illustrates the percentage of cases in which command action was taken (e.g., court-martial charges preferred or nonjudicial punishment), precluded (e.g., insufficient evidence or beyond statute of limitations) or respected victims' desired non-participation in the justice process, or declined (unfounded).



**Figure 10. Percentage of Cases with Misconduct Substantiated, Command Action Precluded/Respected Victims' Desired Non-Participation, and Command Action Declined, FY10 – FY22**

**Note:** Percentages listed for some years do not sum to 100 percent due to rounding.

<sup>14</sup> In years prior to FY15, DoD presented data on allegations investigated by the MCIOS that were unfounded by legal review. In FY15, DoD developed new categories to more accurately reflect the nature and outcomes of these allegations.

### *Evidence Supported Command Action*

In 2,117 cases, commanders had sufficient evidence and the legal authority to support some form of disciplinary action for an alleged sexual assault offense or other misconduct (Figure 9, Point T). When a subject in an investigation receives more than one disposition, DoD reports only the most serious disciplinary action. The possible actions, listed in descending order of severity, are court-martial charges preferred, nonjudicial punishment, administrative discharge, and other adverse administrative action.

The following outlines the command actions taken in the 1,503 cases for which it was determined a sexual assault offense warranted discipline:


- 37 percent (N=553) of cases were associated with court-martial charges preferred (initiated).
- 28 percent (N=425) of cases entered proceedings for nonjudicial punishment under Article 15 of the UCMJ.
- 35 percent (N=525) of cases received an administrative discharge or other adverse administrative action.

In 614 cases, evidence supported command action for other misconduct discovered during the sexual assault investigation (e.g., making a false official statement, adultery, underage drinking, or other crimes under the UCMJ), but not a sexual assault charge. Command actions for these cases follow below:

- 8 percent (N=49) of cases were associated with court-martial charges preferred.
- 51 percent (N=316) of cases entered proceedings for nonjudicial punishment.
- 40 percent (N=249) of cases received an administrative discharge or other adverse administrative action.

### **Military Justice**

The information in this section describes the disposition actions taken in cases where sufficient evidence existed to support taking action (Figure 11). Each action taken is based on the evidence identified during a thorough investigation. In addition, since June 2012, initial disposition decisions for the most serious sexual assault crimes have been withheld to the O-6 level (Colonel or Navy Captain), who is also at least a Special Court-Martial Convening Authority (SPCMA). This allows more experienced senior officers to review and decide which initial action should be taken in these cases.



**Figure 11. Breakdown of Disciplinary Actions Taken for Sexual Assault Offenses, FY10 – FY22**

**Note:** Percentages are of cases found to warrant disciplinary action for a sexual assault offense only. This figure does not include other misconduct (false official statement, adultery, etc.). Percentages listed for some years do not sum to 100 percent due to rounding.

### *Court-Martial for a Sexual Assault Offense*

As noted previously, 553 cases involved court-martial charges preferred. Figure 12 illustrates what happened to these cases after the preferral of court-martial charges. Of the 553 cases with a preferral of court-martial charges for at least one sexual assault charge in FY22, the Military Services completed 469 court-martial outcomes by the end of the FY.

Of the 301 cases that proceeded to trial, 224 (74 percent) resulted in a conviction of at least one charge at court-martial. That conviction could have been for a sexual assault offense or for any other misconduct charged. Most convicted Service members received at least one of the following kinds of punishment: confinement, reduction in grade, fines or forfeitures, and a punitive discharge (bad-conduct discharge or dishonorable discharge for enlisted, dismissal for officers) from service. In FY22, 123 subjects convicted of a sexual assault offense were required to register as a sex offender by law. Service members convicted of a sexual assault offense who do not receive a punitive discharge at court-martial must be processed by the Military Services for an administrative discharge. In FY22, the Military Services processed 33 convicted subjects not receiving a punitive discharge at trial for an administrative separation from military service.

**Which percentage of cases associated with a charge and trial for sexual assault offenses received a conviction in FY22 and what punishment did they receive?**

74 percent of cases that went to trial for a sexual assault offense resulted in a conviction of at least one charge at court-martial. Most cases with a conviction resulted in one or more of the following punishments: confinement, reduction in grade, punitive discharge or dismissal, and fines or forfeitures.



Court-martial charges in 71 cases were dismissed; however, commanders used evidence gathered during the sexual assault investigations to impose nonjudicial punishment for other misconduct in 13 of the 71 cases. The punishment may have been for any kind of misconduct for which there was evidence. 7 subjects who received nonjudicial punishment for other misconduct after court-martial were subsequently discharged from military service. The Military Departments approved 94 cases for a resignation or discharge in lieu of court-martial (RILO/DILO) and 3 cases were approved for cadets/midshipmen to disenroll in lieu of court-martial. In FY22, 88 DILO cases involved enlisted members who received a separation Under Other Than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC), the most adverse administrative characterization of discharge possible. The UOTHC discharge characterization is recorded on a Service member's DD Form 214, Record of Military Service, and significantly limits separation and post-service benefits from DoD and the Department of Veterans Affairs.

The Military Departments grant requests for RILO/DILO in certain circumstances, occurring only after court-martial charges are preferred against the accused. For such an action to occur, the accused must initiate the process. Requests for a RILO/DILO must include:

- A statement of understanding of both the offense(s) charged and the consequences of administrative separation;
- An acknowledgement that any separation could possibly have a negative characterization;
- An acknowledgement that the accused is guilty of an offense for which a punitive discharge is authorized or a summary of the evidence supporting the guilt of the accused.

These statements are not admissible in court-martial should the request ultimately be disapproved. DILOs involving enlisted personnel are usually approved at the SPCMA level. The Secretary of the Military Department approves RILOs. Figure 12 presents the case outcomes for cases in which court-martial charges were preferred and Figure 13 shows the outcomes by the type of crime charged (i.e., penetrating versus sexual contact crimes).





Figure 12. Dispositions of Cases with Sexual Assault Court-Martial Charges Preferred, FY22



Source:

**Figure 13. Dispositions of Cases with Sexual Assault Court-Martial Charges Preferred by Crime Charged, FY22**

**Notes:**

1. Percentages for some categories do not sum to 100 percent due to rounding. Punishments do not sum to 100 percent because subjects can receive multiple punishments.
2. One allegation for an attempt to commit a sexual assault was charged, proceeded to trial, and resulted in a conviction at court-martial.
3. In FY22, data about the crime charged was missing in 33 cases in which charges were preferred. These cases are pending and will be reported out next FY.

## Nonjudicial Punishment


Commanders administer nonjudicial punishments in accordance with Article 15 of the UCMJ, which empowers commanding officers to impose penalties on Service members when there is sufficient evidence for a less egregious offense, as outlined in the UCMJ. Nonjudicial punishment allows commanders to address some types of sexual assault and other misconduct by Service members that may not warrant prosecution in a military or civilian court. Examples of corrective actions within a commander's purview to administer include demotions, forfeitures, and restrictions on liberty. Nonjudicial punishments may also support a rationale for administratively discharging military subjects with a less than honorable discharge. The Service member may demand trial by court-martial instead of accepting nonjudicial punishment by the commander, unless the subject is attached to or embarked on a vessel.

***Do military commanders use nonjudicial punishment as their primary means of discipline for sexual assault crimes?***

No. Only 28 percent of cases warranting disciplinary action for a sexual assault crime resulted in nonjudicial punishment in FY22 as the most serious disciplinary action. 37 percent had court-martial charges preferred as the most serious disciplinary action.

Of the 1,503 case dispositions that were associated with disciplinary actions on a sexual assault offense, 425 cases were addressed with nonjudicial punishment. Figure 14 displays the outcomes of nonjudicial punishment actions taken against subjects on a sexual assault charge in FY22. In FY22, 97 percent of the 377 cases with completed nonjudicial punishment proceedings were associated with punishment imposed under the authority of Article 15 in the UCMJ. Nearly all the administered nonjudicial punishments were for sexual contact offenses. Most cases with a nonjudicial punishment received the following punishments: a forfeiture of pay, reduction in grade, and/or extra duty. Available Military Service data indicated that for 167 cases the nonjudicial punishment served as grounds for a subsequent administrative discharge. Characterizations of the 167 discharges are outlined below.

Honorable	1 Case
General	108 Cases
Under Other Than Honorable Conditions	43 Cases
Uncharacterized	13 Cases
<b>Total</b>	<b>167 Cases</b>



Source:

**Figure 14. Dispositions of Cases Receiving Nonjudicial Punishment, FY22**

**Notes:** Punishments do not sum to 100 percent since subjects can receive multiple punishments.

*Administrative Discharges and Adverse Administrative Actions*

A legal review of evidence sometimes indicates that the court-martial process or nonjudicial punishments are not appropriate means to address allegations of misconduct against the accused. However, commanders have other means at their disposal to hold alleged offenders appropriately accountable. Commanders may use an administrative discharge to address an individual’s misconduct, lack of discipline, or poor suitability for continued military service. There are three characterizations of administrative discharges: Honorable, General, and Under Other Than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC). General and UOTHC discharges may limit those discharged from receiving full entitlements and benefits from both DoD and the Department of Veterans Affairs. In FY22, 195 cases in sexual assault investigations were associated with an administrative discharge. Characterizations of the discharges are outlined below.


Honorable	4 Cases
General	98 Cases
UOTHC	71 Cases
Uncharacterized	22 Cases
<b>Total</b>	<b>195 Cases</b>

In FY22, commanders took adverse administrative actions in 232 cases that were investigated for a sexual assault offense. Commanders typically use adverse administrative actions when available evidence does not support a more severe disciplinary action. Adverse administrative actions can have a serious impact on a Service member’s military career, have no equivalent form of punishment in the civilian sector, and may consist of Letters of Reprimand, Letters of Admonishment, or Letters of Counseling. These actions may also include, but are not limited to:

denial of re-enlistment, cancellation of a promotion, and cancellation of new or special duty orders.

*Probable Cause Only for a Non-Sexual Assault Offense*

Sometimes the sexual assault investigations conducted by MCIOs do not find sufficient evidence to support disciplinary action against the subject on a sexual assault charge, but do uncover other forms of chargeable misconduct. In FY22, commanders took action in 614 cases that MCIOs originally investigated for sexual assault allegations, but for which evidence only supported action on non-sexual assault misconduct, such as making a false official statement, adultery, assault, or other crimes (Figure 15).



Source: DSAID

**Figure 15. Cases with Probable Cause for Non-Sexual Assault Offenses, FY22**

**Notes:** Punishments do not sum to 100 percent since subjects can receive multiple punishments.

## Demographics of Victims and Subjects in Completed Investigations

DoD draws demographic information from the 4,601 investigations of sexual assault completed in FY22. These investigations involved 4,952 victims and 4,474 subjects of investigation.<sup>15</sup>

Table 5 displays the sex of victims and subjects in completed investigations of Unrestricted Reports in FY22. Most victims in completed investigations are female (79 percent) and most subjects are male (80 percent).

**Table 5. Sex of Victims and Subjects in Completed Investigations of Unrestricted Reports, FY22**

Sex	Victims		Subjects	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Male	993	20%	3,569	80%
Female	3,893	79%	244	5%
Gender Unknown/Data Not Available	76	2%	661	15%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,952</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>4,474</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table 6 shows victim and subject ages at the time of incident for completed investigations of Unrestricted Reports. Most victims are between the ages of 16 and 24 (68 percent) and most subjects are between the ages of 20 and 34 (62 percent).

**Table 6. Age of Victims and Subjects at the Time of the Alleged Incident in Completed Investigations of Unrestricted Reports, FY22**

Age	Victims		Subjects	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0-15	45	<1%	4	<1%
16-19	1,353	27%	433	10%
20-24	2,052	41%	1,662	37%
25-34	770	16%	1,138	25%
35-49	162	3%	399	9%
50 and older	65	1%	50	1%
Age Unknown/Data Not Available	505	10%	788	18%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,952</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>4,474</b>	<b>100%</b>

<sup>15</sup> The term subject or "subject of investigation" does not connote guilt or innocence. There were only 3,928 subjects with reportable information (i.e., offense met the elements of proof for sexual assault and fell within MCIOs' legal authority). However, 546 additional individuals alleged to be perpetrators in an investigation are included in these demographic data. These 546 subjects identified in an investigation were either outside the purview of the MCIO or the MCIO found no sexual assault crime occurred.

As shown in Table 7, most victims in completed investigations are in pay grades E1-E4 and the same is true of most subjects.

**Table 7. Grade/Status of Victims and Subjects at the Time the Report of Sexual Assault was Received in Completed Investigations of Unrestricted Reports, FY22**

Grade / Status	Victims		Subjects	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
E1-E4	3,333	67%	2,274	51%
E5-E9	563	11%	1,015	23%
WO1-WO5	7	<1%	24	<1%
O1-O3	163	3%	135	3%
O4-O10	23	<1%	48	1%
Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	60	1%	59	1%
U.S. Civilian	695	14%	155	3%
Foreign National/Foreign Military	26	1%	15	<1%
Grade or Status Unknown/Data Unavailable	82	2%	749	17%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,952</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>4,474</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Notes:**

1. Category percentages may not sum to 100 percent due to rounding.
2. The category “U.S. Civilian” includes DoD contractors, DoD civilian employees, other U.S. government civilian employees and contractors, and other US civilians.

As shown in Table 8, most victims and subjects in completed investigations are white.

**Table 8. Race of Victims and Subjects in Completed Investigations of Unrestricted Reports, FY22**

Race (Base Rate in Military Population) <sup>16,17</sup>	Victims		Subjects	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White (69%)	3,067	62%	2,245	50%
Black (17%)	900	18%	802	18%
American Indian (1%)	75	2%	15	<1%
Asian / Pacific Islander (6%)	231	5%	118	3%
Multiracial (3%)	161	3%	3	<1%
Unknown (4%)	419	8%	1,237	28%
Data Not Available	99	2%	54	1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,952</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>4,474</b>	<b>100%</b>

<sup>16</sup> U.S. Department of Defense, Military OneSource, “2021 Demographics: Profile of the Military Community”, <https://download.militaryonesource.mil/12038/MOS/Reports/2021-demographics-report.pdf>.

<sup>17</sup> Race/ethnicity categories are pending an update to reflect the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) standards for race/ethnicity-related data.



## Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault

---

As defined in DoD policy, Restricted Reports are confidential, protected communications; therefore, SAPR personnel collect limited data about the victim and the sexual assault allegation. As with Unrestricted Reports, victims can make Restricted Reports for incidents that occurred prior to their military service. In FY22, there were 3,682 initial Restricted Reports of sexual assault. Of the 3,682 reports, 681 (18 percent) converted to Unrestricted Reports.<sup>18</sup> At the end of FY22, 3,001 reports remained Restricted (Figure 16).


### ***How many Restricted Reports convert to Unrestricted each FY?***

In FY22, less than a fifth of victims who made a Restricted Report converted to an Unrestricted Report, which is about the same as observed in FY21.

This year, 319 Service members made a Restricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to entering military service, representing approximately 11 percent of the 3,001 remaining Restricted Reports of sexual assault. Of these 3,001 Service members, 235 indicated that the incident occurred prior to age 18, and 2,766 indicated that the incident occurred after age 18. Additionally, conversion of Restricted Reports to Unrestricted Reports has remained steady since FY20, with less than a fifth of people who made a Restricted Report in FY22 subsequently converting it an Unrestricted Report.

---

<sup>18</sup> Beginning with the implementation of DSAID in 2014, DoD has extracted and analyzed data six weeks after the end of each FY to allow sufficient time for data validation. DSAID is a “live” database, and its records change daily to reflect case status. During this six-week period, 83 additional Restricted Reports converted to Unrestricted. After a report converts from Restricted to Unrestricted, all data associated with the report is then counted in the Unrestricted Report category. These 83 reports that were made during the FY, converted to Unrestricted in the six-week period after the end of the FY, and are therefore included with the 681 report conversions.



**Figure 16. Restricted Reports Received and Converted, FY10 – FY22**

### Demographics of Victims in Restricted Reports

Tables 9 through Table 12 show that victims who filed a Restricted Report were primarily female, 24 or younger, junior enlisted grade (i.e., E1-E4), and white.

**Table 9. Sex of Those in Restricted Reports, FY22**

Sex	Count	Percent
Male	680	23%
Female	2,314	77%
Relevant Data Not Available	7	<1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,001</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 10. Age of Those in Restricted Reports at Time of Incident, FY22**

Age	Count	Percent
0-15	168	6%
16-19	740	25%
20-24	1,351	45%
25-34	639	21%
35-49	98	3%
50 and Older	5	<1%
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,001</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 11. Grade or Status of Those in Restricted Reports at Time of Report, FY22**

Grade / Status	Count	Percent
E1-E4	1,834	61%
E5-E9	711	24%
WO1-WO5	15	<1%
O1-O3	219	7%
O4-O10	70	2%
Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	105	3%
Non-Service Member	40	1%
Relevant Data Not Available	7	<1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,001</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 12. Race of Those in Restricted Reports, FY22**

Race (Base Rate in Military Population) <sup>19</sup>	Count	Percent
White (69%)	1,806	60%
Black (17%)	511	17%
American Indian (1%)	34	1%
Asian / Pacific Islander (6%)	164	5%
Multiracial (3%)	103	3%
Unknown (4%)	337	11%
Relevant Data Not Available	46	2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,001</b>	<b>100%</b>


**Note:** Categories may not sum to 100 percent due to rounding to the nearest whole point.

## Service Referral Information

SARCs and SAPR VAs are responsible for helping victims access medical treatment, counseling, legal advice, and other support services. SARCs and SAPR VAs can refer victims to both military and civilian resources for these services. A referral for services can happen at

<sup>19</sup> U.S. Department of Defense, Military OneSource, "2021 Demographics: Profile of the Military Community", <https://download.militaryonesource.mil/12038/MOS/Reports/2021-demographics-report.pdf>.

any time while the victim is receiving assistance from a SARC or SAPR VA and may happen several times throughout the military justice process. This year, SARCs and SAPR VAs made an average of 5.0 service referrals per Service member victim submitting an Unrestricted Report and an average of 4.8 service referrals per Service member victim submitting a Restricted Report. Figure 17 shows the average number of referrals per Service member victim in sexual assault reports from FY10 to FY22.



**Figure 17. Average Number of Service Referrals per Service Member Who Reported Sexual Assault, FY10 – FY22**

Once Service members report a sexual assault, they are asked whether they would like to receive a Sexual Assault Forensic Examinations (SAFE). The Military Services reported that there were 710 SAFEs conducted for Service members who reported a sexual assault during FY22 (Figure 18). The decision to undergo a SAFE belongs to the victim.




Figure 18. SAFEs Involving Service Member Victims, FY10 – FY22

## Expedited Transfers

Since FY12, DoD has allowed Service members who submit an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault to request an expedited transfer from their assigned units (Table 13). This may involve a move to another duty location on the same installation or relocating to a new installation entirely. Service members can request a transfer from their unit commander, who has 72 hours to act on the request. Should a unit commander decline the request, victims may appeal the decision to the first General Officer/Flag Officer (GO/FO) in their commander’s chain of command. The GO/FO then has 72 hours to review the request and provide a response to the victim. Table 13 shows the number of expedited transfers and denials since FY13. In FY22, the total number of expedited transfers requested decreased from FY21.


Table 13. Expedited Transfers and Denials, FY13 – FY22

Transfer Type	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22
Number of victims requesting a change in Unit/Duty Assignment (Cross-Installation Transfers)	99	44	71	62	74	67	89	80	125	82
<i>Number Denied</i>	3	0	2	3	5	2	5	3	5	6
Number of victims requesting a change in Installation (Permanent Change of Station)	480	615	663	684	760	835	810	820	880	781
<i>Number Denied</i>	11	15	12	18	30	30	24	20	27	23
<b>Total Approved</b>	<b>565</b>	<b>644</b>	<b>720</b>	<b>725</b>	<b>799</b>	<b>870</b>	<b>870</b>	<b>877</b>	<b>973</b>	<b>834</b>

## Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest

Arduous conditions in combat areas of interest (CAI) make sexual assault response and data collection difficult. However, SARCs, SAPR VAs, and other SAPR personnel are assigned to all these areas. SAPR personnel are diligent in providing requested services and treatment to victims. The data reported below are included in the total number of Unrestricted and Restricted Reports described in previous sections.

Figure 19 depicts historical trends of Unrestricted and Restricted Reporting in CAIs from FY10 to FY22. There were 162 reports of sexual assault in CAIs in FY22, a decrease from FY21 (167 reports). It should be noted that the data below document where a sexual assault was reported, which does not necessarily indicate where the sexual assault was alleged to have occurred.



**Figure 19. Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest, FY10 – FY22**

There were 80 Unrestricted Reports in CAIs during FY22. Of these 80, 10 reports were initially restricted and converted to Unrestricted Reports during the FY. Table 14 below lists the number of Unrestricted and Restricted Reports for CAIs where reports were received, and shows that in FY22, most reports were received in Afghanistan, Turkey, Kuwait, Qatar, and Iraq.

**Table 14. Unrestricted and Restricted Reports by Combat Area of Interest, FY22**

CAI	Total Reports	Unrestricted Reports	Reports Remaining Restricted
Afghanistan	18	5	13
Bahrain	13	9	4
Djibouti	5	2	3
Iraq	21	4	17
Jordan	10	5	5
Kosovo	2	1	1
Kuwait	24	13	11
Lebanon	0	0	0

Pakistan	0	0	0
Qatar	38	21	17
Saudi Arabia	5	2	3
Somalia	0	0	0
Syria	0	0	0
Turkey	14	12	2
UAE	12	6	6
Yemen	0	0	0

## Demographics of Victims and Subjects in Unrestricted Reports in CAIs

DoD draws demographic information about the Unrestricted Reports made in CAIs from the 78 investigations closed during FY22. These 78 investigations involved 83 victims and 83 subjects.

### *Report Demographics for Completed Investigations*

Similar to those who file Unrestricted Reports outside of CAIs, those filing Unrestricted Reports in CAIs are mostly female (78 percent) and junior enlisted grade (58 percent). Those who submitted Unrestricted Reports in CAIs tend to be older than those submitting Unrestricted Reports in general; a little over half (58 percent) of victims in CAIs were 24 years old and younger.

### *Subjects in Completed Investigations*

The demographics of subjects in Unrestricted Reports submitted in CAIs are similar to the demographics of subjects in all Unrestricted Reports submitted to DoD, in that the majority are male (76 percent), under the age of 35 (55 percent), and in an enlisted grade (58 percent).

## Demographics of Victims and Subjects in Restricted Reports in CAIs

The 82 victims with reports remaining Restricted in CAIs mirror the demographics of victims in all Restricted Reports made to DoD in that they were mostly women (73 percent). However, victims making Restricted Reports in CAIs tended to be older; 59 percent of victims in CAIs were 25 and over compared to 25 percent of victims in all Restricted Reports. Compared to all victims making a Restricted Report, a smaller share of victims in CAIs are junior enlisted: 29 percent of victims in CAIs are E1-E4, compared to 61 percent of victims in Restricted Reports overall.

## FY22 Retaliation Allegations

Starting in October of 2020, the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID) became the official system of record for sexual assault-related retaliation reports made to the Department of Defense (DoD). The following data summarizes reports of alleged retaliation received by the Military Services and National Guard Bureau (NGB) between October 1, 2021 and September 30, 2022 involving a Service Member.<sup>20</sup>

Persons seeking to report a retaliation allegation have a variety of avenues to do so that lead to various paths of investigation. Reprisal allegations can be reported directly to DoD and Service IGs. Ostracism and maltreatment allegations associated with sexual assault allegations may be investigated by an MCIO or another DoD law enforcement agency or may be referred to unit commanders for investigation and resolution – all contingent on the circumstances and

<sup>20</sup> Pulled from DSAID in March 2023.

misconduct alleged. When a sexual assault-related retaliation is reported to SAPR personnel, investigative options are discussed with the reporter, and if the reporter signs a DD Form 2910-2, "Retaliation Reporting Statement for Unrestricted Sexual Assault Cases," the case is entered into DSAID and tracked until final disposition of the case. The reporter also has the option of having the case monitored at their installation's monthly Case Management Group meeting. Again, victims are not required to report retaliation to a SARC or SAPR VA; however, if such a report is received, SAPR Program personnel document the report via DD Fm 2910-2, offer the victim the choice to have the matter reviewed at the monthly Case Management Group, and ensure the appropriate authority is provided the allegation for action as appropriate.

### Data on Reports of Perceived Retaliation

In FY22, 69 reports of perceived retaliation involving Service members were made to DoD. Reports could be made to multiple reporting avenues. Of the 69 reports, 21 were made to one reporting avenue and 48 were made to multiple reporting avenues. The most common individual/organization receiving reported allegations of retaliation was SAPR personnel with SARCs and SAPR VAs receiving 55 and 26 reports, respectively. As stated above, SAPR personnel do not investigate reports of retaliation. They discuss reporting and assistance options with the reporter. Table 15 shows the other individuals/organizations indicated as receiving reports of retaliation.

**Table 15. Individuals/Organizations to Whom the Report of Retaliation was Made, FY22**

Individual/Organization	Count	Percent
SARC	55	35%
SAPR VA	26	16%
Service IGs	23	15%
Chain of Command	20	13%
MCIOs	6	4%
DoD IG	19	12%
Other	6	4%
MEO Advisor/Representative	2	1%
Military Law Enforcement	1	1%
<b>Total Individuals/Organizations Receiving 69 Reports</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>100%</b>

### Demographics of Retaliation Reporters

The Military Services and NGB received 69 sexual assault-related retaliation reports against 52 alleged retaliators in FY22. Table 16 displays the sex of retaliation reporters. Table 17 shows the pay grade of reporters. Most retaliation reports are filed by women (81 percent) in junior enlisted pay grades E1-E4 (61 percent). Additionally, as shown in Table 18, most retaliation reports are filed by victims who have made an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault (91 percent).

**Table 16. Sex of Retaliation Reporters in Reports of Perceived Retaliation, FY22**

Sex of Retaliation Reporter	Count
Male	13
Female	56



<b>Total</b>	<b>69</b>
--------------	-----------

**Table 17. Pay Grade of Reporters in Reports of Perceived Retaliation, FY22**

<b>Retaliation Reporter Pay Grade</b>	<b>Count</b>
E1-E4	42
E5-E9	17
O1-O3	4
O4-O10	2
Cadet/Midshipman	1
DoD Civilian	1
U.S. Civilian	1
Unknown	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>69</b>

**Table 18. Type of Retaliation Reporter in Reports of Perceived Retaliation, FY22**

<b>Type of Retaliation Reporter</b>	<b>Count</b>
Victim of alleged sexual assault	63
Victim's family member	2
SAPR VA/SARC on case of alleged sexual assault	2
Witness	1
Other Party	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>69</b>

*Actions Taken to Support Retaliation Reporters*

Table 19 displays the actions taken to address retaliation allegations and provide support to reporters. Actions were taken in 61 of the 69 total sexual assault-related retaliation reports. Among the 8 remaining cases where actions were not taken, 1 reporter did not chose to move forward with an official complaint or withdrew their complaint, 2 reporters did not want any action taken, 1 reporter left Service, 1 case had unsubstantiated allegations based on administrative investigations, 2 cases had other reasons and 1 case was missing relevant support data.

A total of 124 actions were taken in 61 cases. Review of the allegations typically led to some type of action, including Command monitoring the situation (24 allegations), providing direct support to the reporter (18 allegations), updating the safety plan for the retaliation reporter (18 allegations), and transferring the retaliation reporter at their request (11 allegations). Thirteen other actions were taken to support reporters, while 5 actions were unknown. Fifteen actions were still pending.

**Table 19. Action Taken to Address Retaliation Allegations, FY22**

<b>Action Taken to Address Retaliation</b>	<b>Count of Actions</b>
Command is monitoring the situation	24
Command is providing direct support to the reporter	18
Safety plan updated for retaliation reporter	18
Action pending	15

Transfer of retaliation reporter	11
Command took action on behalf of the retaliation reporter to end the negative treatment	7
Other	13
Briefing/training for the unit/installation	8
Military protective order issued or civilian protective order obtained by retaliation reporter	5
Unknown	5
<b>Total Actions Taken in 61 Cases</b>	<b>124</b>

### *Demographics and Outcomes of Alleged Retaliators*

Of the 69 alleged retaliation reports, 43 reports identified an alleged retaliator (i.e., the individual accused of perpetrating retaliation). The analysis that follows focuses on the information and outcomes of the 52 alleged retaliators identified in 43 reports of alleged retaliation. Most alleged retaliators were men (77 percent) and 3 were the alleged perpetrator of the associated sexual assault report. Most alleged retaliators were a superior in the chain of command of the reporter (56 percent). Table 20 shows the relationship between the alleged retaliator and the reporter of the retaliation allegation, while Table 21 shows the relationship between the alleged retaliator and the alleged perpetrator. Note: subjects can belong to several different relationship categories; the totals in Table 20 and Table 21 add up to more than the 52 subjects.

**Table 20. Relationship of the Alleged Retaliator and the Reporter, FY22**

	<b>Subject Count</b>
Alleged retaliator(s) is a superior in the chain of command of the reporter	34
Alleged retaliator(s) is a peer, co-worker, friend, or family member of the retaliation reporter	4
Alleged retaliator(s) is a service provider or other official involved in the report	6
Alleged retaliator(s) is associated with alleged perpetrator of sexual assault	4
Alleged retaliator(s) is the alleged perpetrator of sexual assault	3
Alleged retaliator(s) is a superior NOT in the chain of command of the reporter	7
Alleged retaliator(s) is junior in grade to reporter (in or outside of the chain of command)	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>61</b>


**Table 21. Relationship of the Alleged Retaliator and Alleged Perpetrator, FY22**

	<b>Subject Count</b>
Alleged retaliator(s) and alleged perpetrator have no direct association	17
Alleged retaliator(s) is a superior of the alleged perpetrator (in or outside chain of command)	17

Alleged retaliator(s) is also the alleged perpetrator of sexual assault	6
Alleged retaliator(s) is a peer co-worker friend or family member of the alleged perpetrator	7
Alleged retaliator(s) is junior in grade to the alleged perpetrator (in or outside chain of command)	2
Alleged perpetrator(s) relationship is unknown/investigation ongoing	6
Relevant Data Not Available	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>56</b>

Figure 20 presents a review of the status of retaliation investigations and outcomes for the investigations opened for the 69 reports of retaliation. Service-led investigations were not opened in 26 cases. Eight cases did not meet the threshold for retaliation, 8 cases respected a victim’s decision to not participate in the investigation, 8 cases were withdrawn by the reporter, and in 2 cases the reporter separated from the Service. Seventeen cases were referred to DoD OIG for investigation and are included in Table 22.

There were 24 completed investigations of alleged retaliation completed in FY22, 16 of which came from reports made in FY22 and 8 from reports made in previous FYs. Five investigations were still pending completion at the end of FY22. Five investigations could not identify the alleged retaliator. Nineteen investigations identified 22 retaliators considered for action. Action could not be taken against 17 alleged retaliators, while evidence supported action against 5 alleged retaliators. Case synopses for FY22 cases with completed investigations and disposition information can be found in the Military Services’ Reports.



Source: DSAID

Figure 20. Reports of Perceived Retaliation Made to DoD, FY22

## Reports Received for Reprisal by the Department of Defense Office of the Inspector General (DoD OIG)

DoD OIG provides the Department with a report of all complaints of reprisal (unfavorable actions taken by leadership, or an individual with the authority to affect a personnel decision, as a result of reporting an incident of sexual assault) and restriction (interfering with reporting a protected communication) investigated and received by DoD OIG. DoD OIG can receive reports directly from a reporter and thus the totals will not match with the reports referred to DoD OIG in the previous section. DoD OIG received 73 complaints of reprisal and restriction complaints relating to reporting of a sexual assault in FY22. At the end of the fiscal year they had completed and closed 85 cases of reports from FY22 and previous fiscal years. Table 21 below shows the outcomes of the investigations closed in FY22.

**Table 22. Outcomes of DoD OIG Investigations, FY22**

Outcome	Subject Count	Percent
Evaluated and Closed	82	96%
Not Substantiated	0	0%
Substantiated	0	0%
Withdrawn	3	4%
<b>Total Closed</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>100%</b>

DoD OIG defines the above outcomes as follows:

- ‘Evaluated and closed’ are cases closed without investigation, because either DoD OIG lacked jurisdiction or complaint evaluation determined that there was no prima facie allegation of reprisal or restriction.
- ‘Not substantiated’ cases were investigated but not proven.
- ‘Substantiated’ cases were investigated and proven.
- ‘Withdrawn’ cases are cases where the complainant withdrew their complaint of reprisal or restriction.

Additional information on DoD OIG cases can be found in the Inspector General Semi-Annual Report to Congress.<sup>21</sup>

## FY22 SAPR-Related Inquiries

On October 2, 2019, the DoD IG released its “Evaluation of the DoD’s Handling of Incidents of Sexual Assault Against (or Involving) Cadets at the United States Air Force Academy,” report in which it recommended that the Department institute a process that documents consultations with victims of sexual assault and any resulting referrals to victim support services if those contacts do not result in an official report of sexual assault. The Department satisfied this recommendation on October 19, 2020, with the release of the SAPR Related Inquiry (SRI) Module in DSAID, allowing SARCs to document contacts with victims of sexual assault and any other parties that visit a SAPR office to inquire about services and resources offered for victims of sexual assault.

The Department does not collect any personally identifiable information from inquirers and cannot track whether someone has subsequently made a report of sexual assault associated

<sup>21</sup> <https://www.dodig.mil/Reports/Semiannual-Report-to-the-Congress/>

with an inquiry. The Department piloted the SRI Module throughout FY20 and FY21, but made its use required at the start of FY22.

In FY22, the Department received 3,316 SAPR-related inquiries, with just over half being made by persons indicating they were victims of sexual assault (53 percent). Table 23 shows that the remaining 47 percent of inquirers were supervisors, friends, coworkers, and others visiting SAPR offices to seek support and/or learn more about the resources offered by their Service for sexual assault victims.<sup>22</sup>

**Table 23. SAPR-Related Inquiries by Type of Inquirer, FY22**

Type of Inquirer (Described Association to Person Believed to be Victimized)	Count	Share
Victim (Self)	1,768	53%
Supervisor/Command	518	16%
Friend	179	5%
Otherwise Known	179	5%
Coworker	149	4%
Employee	112	3%
Chooses Not to Disclose	108	3%
Extended Family Member	74	2%
Relationship Unknown	56	2%
Stranger	53	2%
Love Interest/Dating	50	2%
Acquaintance	41	1%
Employer	25	1%
Recruiter	3	<1%
Neighbor	1	<1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,316</b>	<b>100%</b>

Of the 3,316 SAPR-related inquiries made in FY22, most contacts were with female Service members (76 percent). Additionally, the Department received 265 inquiries from non-Service member victims in FY22, the majority of which were made by U.S. civilians (51 percent).

**Table 24. SAPR-Related Inquiry Victims by Gender and Military Status, FY22**

	Female Victims	Male Victims	RDNA Victims	Total Inquiries
<b>Total SAPR Related Inquiries</b>	<b>1,358</b>	<b>388</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>1,768</b>
Inquiries Made by Service Members	1,138	357	8	1,503
Inquiries Made by Non-Service Members	220	31	14	265
<i>DoD Civilian Employee</i>	73	8	0	81

<sup>22</sup> The decision to report a sexual assault is deeply personal. As a result, the Department does not require anyone to make a report of sexual assault, even after disclosing an incident to certain individuals specified in DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, such as a SARC, SAPR VA, or healthcare provider, and the SRI module does not track whether or not an inquirer eventually makes an official report of sexual assault. The SRI module was initiated to better understand the motivations behind persons not wanting to report, to ultimately inform policies that might encourage greater reporting.

<i>DoD Contractor Employee</i>	8	1	0	9
<i>U.S. Civilian</i>	117	18	1	136
<i>Other Government Civilian</i>	7	1	0	8
<i>Foreign National/Military</i>	7	0	0	7
<i>Relevant Data Not Available</i>	8	3	13	24

### Victim’s Assessed Reason for Not Reporting

While there is currently no process to identify whether someone making an inquiry filed an associated report of sexual assault, SARCs and VAs have been provided with a list of reasons why they believe a person who might have been a victim did not desire to pursue an Unrestricted or Restricted Report. Using information gathered during the conversation with the inquirer, SARCs document the person’s stated or inferred reason(s) for not reporting using a list of reasons adapted from the 2018 *WGR Survey*.

Of the 3,316 SAPR related inquiries made in FY22, SARCs and/or the SAPR VA assessed at least one reason as to why 2,580 persons alleging an experience of sexual assault did not formally report. The largest share of victims making a SAPR related inquiry were assessed as having “some other reason” as to why they did not want to report a sexual assault (37 percent). Additionally, 10 percent of victims were assessed as not reporting because they “did not want more people to know.”

**Table 25. SAPR-Related Inquiry Victim Stated Reason for Not Reporting, FY22**

<b>Stated Reason for Not Reporting</b>	<b>Count</b>	<b>Share<sup>23</sup></b>
Some other reason	942	37%
Did not want more people to know	259	10%
Wanted to forget about it and move on	218	8%
Felt ashamed or embarrassed	191	7%
Worried about potential negative consequences from their coworkers or peers	135	5%
Felt partially to blame	121	5%
Thought it was not serious enough to report	90	3%
Did not think anything would be done	76	3%
Worried about potential negative consequences from the person(s) who did it	68	3%
Did not want to hurt the person’s career	67	3%
Worried about potential negative consequences from a supervisor or someone in their chain of command	62	2%
Did not trust the process would be fair	61	2%
Thought to might hurt their performance evaluation/fitness report or their career	58	2%
Did not want people to see them as weak	56	2%
Did not think their report would be kept confidential	54	2%
Thought they might get in trouble for something they had done or would get labeled a troublemaker	49	2%
Relevant Data Not Available	41	2%

<sup>23</sup> Categories may not sum to 100 percent due to rounding to the nearest whole point.

Did not want to hurt the person's family	32	1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,580</b>	<b>99%</b>

### Victim Support Services Referred

SAPR-related inquiries are an avenue for victims of sexual assault and other persons to receive requested support services while maintaining anonymity. During an inquiry visit, SARCs can refer individuals to the same support services offered to victims who file an official report, while collecting no personally identifiable information. In FY22, SARCs submitted 4,661 referrals for victim support services to individuals who made a SAPR-related inquiry. Most of the referrals made were to behavioral health services (21 percent), to VAs/UVAs (16 percent), chaplain and spiritual support (15 percent), and to other services (13 percent).

**Table 26. SAPR-Related Inquiry Victim Support Service Referrals, FY22**

<b>Victim Support Service Referred to</b>	<b>Count<sup>24</sup></b>	<b>Share</b>
Behavioral Health	986	21%
Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	743	16%
Chaplain/Spiritual Support	715	15%
Other	593	13%
Legal/Special Victims Counsel	514	11%
DoD Safe Helpline	513	11%
Rape Crisis Center	303	7%
Medical	294	6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,661</b>	<b>100%</b>

### Sexual Assault Reports by Victim and Military Status by Service

The following tables contain reports of sexual assault to the DoD made in FY22. Each table represents a single Service and includes the type of report made and the type of reporter.

<sup>24</sup> Victims could be referred to multiple support services. Therefore, percentages will not sum to 100.



**Table 27. Army Sexual Assault Reports by Victim and Military Status, FY22**

	<b>Unrestricted Reports</b>	<b>Restricted Reports</b>	<b>Total Reports</b>
<b>Total Reports of Sexual Assault</b>	<b>2,723</b>	<b>995</b>	<b>3,718</b>
Reports Made by Service Members	2,120	972	3,092
Reports Made by Non-Service Members	427	14	441
<i>DoD Civilian Employee</i>	19	1	20
<i>DoD Contractor Employee</i>	6	0	6
<i>Other U.S. Civilian</i>	389	10	399
<i>Foreign National/Military</i>	13	3	16
<i>Relevant Data Not Available</i>	176	9	185
Service Member Reports for Incidents that Occurred <b>Prior</b> to Military Service	59	64	123
Service Member Reports for Incidents that Occurred <b>During</b> Military Service	2,061	908	2,969

**Table 28. Navy Sexual Assault Reports by Victim and Military Status, FY22**

	<b>Unrestricted Reports</b>	<b>Restricted Reports</b>	<b>Total Reports</b>
<b>Total Reports of Sexual Assault</b>	<b>1,363</b>	<b>689</b>	<b>2,052</b>
Reports Made by Service Members	1,279	683	1,962
Reports Made by Non-Service Members	82	6	88
<i>DoD Civilian Employee</i>	2	0	2
<i>DoD Contractor Employee</i>	3	0	3
<i>Other U.S. Civilian</i>	75	4	79
<i>Foreign National/Military</i>	2	2	4
<i>Relevant Data Not Available</i>	2	0	2
Service Member Reports for Incidents that Occurred <b>Prior</b> to Military Service	80	70	150
Service Member Reports for Incidents that Occurred <b>During</b> Military Service	1,199	613	1,812

**Table 29. Marine Corps Sexual Assault Reports by Victim and Military Status, FY22**

	Unrestricted Reports	Restricted Reports	Total Reports
<b>Total Reports of Sexual Assault</b>	<b>791</b>	<b>453</b>	<b>1,244</b>
Reports Made by Service Members	687	445	1,132
Reports Made by Non-Service Members	104	8	112
<i>DoD Civilian Employee</i>	3	0	3
<i>DoD Contractor Employee</i>	0	0	0
<i>Other U.S. Civilian</i>	97	8	105
<i>Foreign National/Military</i>	4	0	4
<i>Relevant Data Not Available</i>	0	0	0
Service Member Reports for Incidents that Occurred <b>Prior</b> to Military Service	68	93	161
Service Member Reports for Incidents that Occurred <b>During</b> Military Service	619	352	971

**Table 30. Air Force Sexual Assault Reports by Victim and Military Status, FY22**

	Unrestricted Reports	Restricted Reports	Total Reports
<b>Total Reports of Sexual Assault</b>	<b>1,064</b>	<b>864</b>	<b>1,928</b>
Reports Made by Service Members	929	843	1,772
Reports Made by Non-Service Members	135	21	156
<i>DoD Civilian Employee</i>	9	10	19
<i>DoD Contractor Employee</i>	5	0	5
<i>Other U.S. Civilian</i>	108	7	115
<i>Foreign National/Military</i>	13	4	17
<i>Relevant Data Not Available</i>	0	0	0
Service Member Reports for Incidents that Occurred <b>Prior</b> to Military Service	54	92	146
Service Member Reports for Incidents that Occurred <b>During</b> Military Service	875	751	1,626

### Case Dispositions Reported in FY22 by Service

The following tables include the case dispositions that were reported in FY22, broken out by Service. These numbers may include a report made before FY22 for which an investigation and/or judicial outcome were completed in FY22.

**Table 31. Army Case Disposition Category, FY22**

Case Disposition Category	Count of Case Dispositions	Share of Case Dispositions
<b>Sexual Assault Investigations Considered for Possible Action by DoD Commanders</b>	<b>1,784</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Evidence Supported Commander Action</b>	<b>1,279</b>	<b>72%</b>
Sexual Assault Offense Action	959	75%
<i>Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)</i>	366	38%

<i>Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15, UCMJ)</i>	287	30%
<i>Administrative Discharge</i>	160	17%
<i>Other Adverse Administrative Action</i>	146	15%
<b>Non-Sexual Assault Offense Action</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>25%</b>
<i>Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)</i>	17	5%
<i>Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15, UCMJ)</i>	175	55%
<i>Administrative Discharge</i>	82	26%
<i>Other Adverse Administrative Action</i>	46	14%
<b>Unfounded by Command/Legal Review</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>&lt;1%</b>
<b>Commander Action Precluded or Respected Victims' Desired Non-Participation</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>28%</b>
<i>Victim Died</i>	1	<1%
<i>Victim Declined to Participate in the Military Justice Action</i>	5	1%
<i>Insufficient Evidence to Prosecute</i>	490	97%
<i>Statute of Limitations Expired</i>	8	2%

**Table 32. Navy Case Disposition Category, FY22**

<b>Case Disposition Category</b>	<b>Count of Case Dispositions</b>	<b>Share of Case Dispositions</b>
<b>Sexual Assault Investigations Considered for Possible Action by DoD Commanders</b>	<b>794</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Evidence Supported Commander Action</b>	<b>476</b>	<b>60%</b>
Sexual Assault Offense Action	291	61%
<i>Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)</i>	60	21%
<i>Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15, UCMJ)</i>	93	32%
<i>Administrative Discharge</i>	90	31%
<i>Other Adverse Administrative Action</i>	48	16%
Non-Sexual Assault Offense Action	185	39%
<i>Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)</i>	20	11%
<i>Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15, UCMJ)</i>	99	54%
<i>Administrative Discharge</i>	38	21%
<i>Other Adverse Administrative Action</i>	28	15%
<b>Unfounded by Command/Legal Review</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>3%</b>
<b>Commander Action Precluded or Respected Victims' Desired Non-Participation</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>37%</b>
<i>Victim Died</i>	0	0%
<i>Victim Declined to Participate in the Military Justice Action</i>	72	25%
<i>Insufficient Evidence to Prosecute</i>	218	75%
<i>Statute of Limitations Expired</i>	1	<1%

**Table 33. Marine Corps Case Disposition Category, FY22**

<b>Case Disposition Category</b>	<b>Count of Case Dispositions</b>	<b>Share of Case Dispositions</b>
<b>Sexual Assault Investigations Considered for Possible Action by DoD Commanders</b>	<b>281</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Evidence Supported Commander Action</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>51%</b>
Sexual Assault Offense Action	100	69%
<i>Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)</i>	57	57%
<i>Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15, UCMJ)</i>	8	8%
<i>Administrative Discharge</i>	27	27%
<i>Other Adverse Administrative Action</i>	8	8%
Non-Sexual Assault Offense Action	44	31%
<i>Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)</i>	9	20%
<i>Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15, UCMJ)</i>	14	32%
<i>Administrative Discharge</i>	10	23%
<i>Other Adverse Administrative Action</i>	11	25%
<b>Unfounded by Command/Legal Review</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2%</b>
<b>Commander Action Precluded or Respected Victims' Desired Non-Participation</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>47%</b>
<i>Victim Died</i>	0	0%
<i>Victim Declined to Participate in the Military Justice Action</i>	24	18%
<i>Insufficient Evidence to Prosecute</i>	107	82%
<i>Statute of Limitations Expired</i>	0	0%

**Table 34. Air Force Case Disposition Category, FY22**

<b>Case Disposition Category</b>	<b>Count of Case Dispositions</b>	<b>Share of Case Dispositions</b>
<b>Sexual Assault Investigations Considered for Possible Action by DoD Commanders</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Evidence Supported Commander Action</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>66%</b>
Sexual Assault Offense Action	153	70%
<i>Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)</i>	70	46%
<i>Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15, UCMJ)</i>	37	24%
<i>Administrative Discharge</i>	16	10%
<i>Other Adverse Administrative Action</i>	30	20%
Non-Sexual Assault Offense Action	65	30%
<i>Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)</i>	3	5%
<i>Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15, UCMJ)</i>	28	43%
<i>Administrative Discharge</i>	1	2%
<i>Other Adverse Administrative Action</i>	33	51%
<b>Unfounded by Command/Legal Review</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2%</b>
<b>Commander Action Precluded or Respected Victims' Desired Non-Participation</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>32%</b>
<i>Victim Died</i>	0	0%
<i>Victim Declined to Participate in the Military Justice Action</i>	73	70%
<i>Insufficient Evidence to Prosecute</i>	31	30%
<i>Statute of Limitations Expired</i>	1	1%



## Appendix C: Metrics and Non-Metrics on Sexual Assault



# Table of Contents

---

Appendix B: Metrics and Non-Metrics on Sexual Assault .....	4
Metrics .....	4
Metric 1: Past-Year Estimated Prevalence of Sexual Assault and Unwanted Sexual Contact .....	4
Metric 2: Estimated Prevalence and Reporting of Sexual Assault and Unwanted Sexual Contact .....	6
DoD Prevalence and Reporting .....	7
Army Prevalence and Reporting .....	8
Navy Prevalence and Reporting .....	8
Marine Corps Prevalence and Reporting .....	9
Air Force Prevalence and Reporting .....	10
Metric 3: Bystander Intervention Experience in the Past Year .....	12
Metric 4: Immediate Supervisor Addresses the Continuum of Harm.....	14
Metric 5: Full-time Certified Sexual Assault Response Coordinator and SAPR Victim Advocate Personnel Currently Able to Provide Victim Support.....	15
Metric 6: Victim Experience – Satisfaction with Services Provided .....	17
Metric 7: Percentage of Cases with Victims Declining to Participate in the Military Justice Process.....	17
Metric 8: Perceptions of Retaliation.....	18
Metric 9: Service Member Kept Regularly Informed During the Military Justice Process .....	19
Metric 10: Perceptions of Leadership Support for SAPR .....	19
Metric 11: Reports of Sexual Assault Over Time .....	21
Non-Metrics .....	26
Non-Metric 1: Command Action – Case Dispositions .....	26
Non-Metric 2: Court-Martial Outcomes .....	28
Non-Metric 3: Time Interval from Report of Sexual Assault to Court Outcome .....	29
Non-Metric 4: Time Interval from Report of Sexual Assault to Nonjudicial Punishment Outcome .....	29
Non-Metric 5: Time Interval from Close of an Investigation to a Command Action Taken.....	31
Non-Metric 6: Investigation Length.....	32



# List of Figures

---

Figure A – Metric 1: Past Year Estimated Prevalence Within the Active Duty Population, CY06, FY10 – FY18, and CY21 .....	6
Figure B – Metric 2: Estimated Prevalence and Reporting of Sexual Assault and Unwanted Sexual Contact, FY10 – FY22 .....	7
Figure C – Metric 2a: Army Estimated Prevalence and Reporting of Sexual Assault and Unwanted Sexual Contact, FY10 – FY22 .....	8
Figure D – Metric 2b: Navy Estimated Prevalence and Reporting of Sexual Assault and Unwanted Sexual Contact, FY10 – FY22 .....	9
Figure E – Metric 2c: Marine Corps Estimated Prevalence and Reporting of Sexual Assault and Unwanted Sexual Contact, FY10 – FY22 .....	10
Figure F – Metric 2d: Air Force Estimated Prevalence and Reporting of Sexual Assault and Unwanted Sexual Contact, FY10 – FY22 .....	11
Figure G – Metric 3a: Active Duty Service Members Who Indicated Observing At Least One Potentially Dangerous Situation in the Past Year .....	12
Figure H – Metric 3b: Potentially Dangerous Behaviors or Comments Observed in the Past Year by Gender .....	13
Figure I – Metric 3c: Type of Action Taken After Observing Potentially Dangerous Behaviors or Comments Among Active Duty Service Members of All Paygrades .....	14
Figure J – Metric 4: Agreement with Whether Immediate Supervisor Addresses the Continuum of Harm .....	15
Figure K – Metric 5: Full-time Certified SARC and SAPR VA Personnel Currently Able to Provide Victim Support, by Military Service .....	16
Figure L – Metric 6: Female Reporter Satisfaction with SAPR Response Personnel During the Military Justice Process .....	17
Figure M – Metric 7: Cases with Victims Declining to Participate in the Military Justice Process, FY14 – FY22 .....	18
Figure N – Metric 8: Perceived Retaliation Among Female Active Duty Service Members Who Made a Report of Sexual Assault .....	19
Figure O – Metric 10: Active Duty Service Member Perception of Leadership Support After a Report Was Made .....	20
Figure P – Metric 11: Reports of Sexual Assault Over Time, FY10 – FY22 .....	21
Figure Q – Metric 11: Army Reports of Sexual Assault Over Time, FY10 – FY22 .....	22
Figure R – Metric 11: Navy Reports of Sexual Assault Over Time, FY10 – FY22 .....	23
Figure S – Metric 11: Marine Corps Reports of Sexual Assault Over Time, FY10 – FY22 .....	24
Figure T – Metric 11: Air Force Reports of Sexual Assault Over Time, FY10 – FY22 .....	25
Figure U – Non-Metric 1a: Command Action for Cases Under DoD Legal Authority, FY10 – FY22 .....	26

Figure V – Non-Metric 1b: Completed Command Actions for Penetrating and Sexual Assault Crimes Investigated.....	27
Figure W – Non-Metric 2: Sexual Assault Court-Martial Outcomes Completed by Crime Charged .....	28
Figure X – Non-Metric 3: Time Interval from Report to Court Outcome, FY14 – FY22.....	29
Figure Y – Non-Metric 4: Time Interval from Report to Nonjudicial Punishment Outcome, FY14 – FY22.....	30
Figure Z – Non-Metric 5: Time Interval from Close of an Investigation to a Command Action Date, FY14 – FY22 .....	31
Figure AA – Non-Metric 6: Investigation Length, FY13 – FY22 .....	32

## Appendix B: Metrics and Non-Metrics on Sexual Assault

---

In collaboration with the White House, the Department of Defense (DoD) developed the following metrics and “non-metrics” in 2014 to help illustrate and assess DoD’s progress in sexual assault prevention and response (SAPR). As part of the development process, DoD examined sexual assault programs throughout the nation to identify potential points of analysis. Unfortunately, DoD could not find widely accepted, population-based metrics to serve as a reference. Therefore, in a collaborative process involving DoD SAPR program experts and researchers, DoD developed the following 11 metrics and six non-metrics.

For the purposes of this document, the term “metric” describes a quantifiable part of a system’s function. Inherent in performance metrics is the concept that there may be a positive or negative valence associated with such measurements. In addition, adjustments in inputs to a process may allow an entity to influence a metric in a desired direction. For example, DoD aspires to encourage greater reporting of sexual assault by putting policies and resources in place. Therefore, an increase in the number of sexual assaults reported may indicate that DoD’s efforts may be working.

DoD uses the term “non-metric” to describe outputs of the military justice system that should not be “influenced,” or be considered as having a positive or negative valence in that doing so may be inappropriate or unlawful under military law. Figures A through AA illustrate points of analysis for metrics and non-metrics.

### Metrics

#### Metric 1: Past-Year Estimated Prevalence of Sexual Assault and Unwanted Sexual Contact

---

##### (Biennial Metric; Not Measured in FY22)

DoD administers the *Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Military Members (WGR)*<sup>1</sup> to assess the estimated prevalence of sexual assault<sup>2</sup> or unwanted sexual contact<sup>3</sup> among active duty and reserve component members over a year’s time. The Office of People Analytics (OPA) conducts the *WGR* in accordance with the biennial cycle of human relations surveys outlined in Section 481 of Title 10, USC. In the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2021, Congress authorized DoD to conduct the Active Duty and Reserve Component surveys in the same year. Metric 1 provides estimated active duty prevalence rates for Calendar Year (CY)<sup>4</sup> 2006, FY10, FY12, FY14, FY16, FY18, and CY21.<sup>5</sup> The Department

---

<sup>1</sup> In FY14, the RAND Corporation recommended use of a prevalence estimate measure closely aligned with the elements of criminal offenses in the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ). For FY14, FY16, and FY18, this metric was used to estimate prevalence of sexual assault in the active and reserve components of the U.S. Armed Forces.

<sup>2</sup> Sexual assault is defined in DoDI 6495.02 as “Intentional sexual contact characterized by the use of force, threats, intimidation, or abuse of authority or when the victim does not or cannot consent. As used in this Instruction, the term includes a broad category of sexual offenses consisting of the following specific UCMJ offenses: rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy (forced oral or anal sex), or attempts to commit these offenses.”

<sup>3</sup> Unwanted Sexual Contact is a proxy term for crimes consistent with sexual assault and is used to estimate prevalence in the 2021 *Workplace and Gender Relations Surveys*. It refers to a range of behaviors prohibited by the UCMJ and includes penetrative sexual assault (completed intercourse, sodomy [oral or anal sex], and penetration by an object), non-penetrative sexual assault (unwanted touching of genitalia, breasts, buttocks, and/or inner thigh), and attempted penetrative sexual assault (attempted sexual intercourse, sodomy [oral or anal sex], and penetration by an object).

<sup>4</sup> Estimates from the 2006 and 2021 *Workplace and Gender Relations Surveys* reflect a surveyed timeframe of January to December, as compared to FY10, FY12, FY14, FY16, and FY18, which reflect a surveyed timeframe of October to September.

<sup>5</sup> The Department conducted the 2021 *WGR of Military Members* for both the active duty and reserve components, but all metrics in this report pertain to members of the active duty component.


was due to administer the *WGR* in 2020, but was unable to do so due to the coronavirus pandemic. In addition, due to a change in survey administration requirements, DoD was not able to field the survey in the usual timeframe (i.e., August to October). As a result, the estimates of prevalence in 2021 reflect the 12-month period of January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021 (CY21).<sup>6</sup>

Changes to survey administration procedures required the Department to change sexual assault prevalence metrics for the FY21 *WGR* survey. As a result, the Department was required to replace the lengthy, RAND-developed sexual assault measure with a shorter, proxy measure for sexual assault in the military, “Unwanted Sexual Contact” (USC). As a result of this change, the Department did not have the ability to statistically compare rates of prevalence estimated for CY21 to prior years’ estimates (CY06 to FY18). Therefore, DoD cannot definitively say if the apparent increases in USC estimated for CY21 are due to an increase in prevalence or due to differences in how metrics measure the problem.

As with all surveys, OPA classifies Service members as having experienced sexual assault or unwanted sexual contact based on respondents’ recollection of the event as expressed in their survey responses. A full review of all evidence may reveal that some respondents whom OPA classifies as not having experienced sexual assault or unwanted sexual contact in fact did have one of these experiences. Similarly, some whom OPA classifies as having experienced a crime or violation may have experienced an event that would not meet the minimum DoD criteria. OPA’s rigorous survey development sought to minimize such errors, but these errors cannot be eliminated in a self-report survey. Metric 1 (Figure A) illustrates the estimated past-year rates of unwanted sexual contact (USC) in CY06, FY10, FY12, and CY21 and sexual assault in FY14, FY16, and FY18. Given changes in the USC metric since FY12 and differences with the RAND sexual assault metric used from FY14 to FY18, the prevalence of USC estimated for CY21 is not directly comparable to prior years’ prevalence estimates.

---

<sup>6</sup> To maximize the opportunity to participate, the survey was available to Service members for 12 weeks. Accordingly, the period of time that Service members are asked to recall an unwanted experience spanned from December 2020 to March 2022.



**Figure A – Metric 1: Past Year Estimated Prevalence Within the Active Duty Population, CY06, FY10 – FY18, and CY21**

**Source:** *Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members (2006); WGR, 2010-2012, 2016-2021; RAND Military Workplace Study (RMWS, 2014).*

In CY21, DoD estimated that 8.4 percent of active duty women and 1.5 percent of active duty men experienced an incident of Unwanted Sexual Contact in the 12 months prior to being surveyed.<sup>7</sup>

## Metric 2: Estimated Prevalence and Reporting of Sexual Assault and Unwanted Sexual Contact

**(Biennial Metric; No prevalence estimate for FY22 but annual Sexual Assault Reporting Data for FY22 is included)**

Underreporting occurs when crime reports to law enforcement fall far below statistical estimates of how often a crime may occur. Nationally, sexual assault is one of the most underreported crimes, with estimates indicating that between 67 and 75 percent of sexual assaults are not reported to police.<sup>8</sup> Underreporting also occurs in DoD and interferes with providing victims needed care and holding alleged offenders appropriately accountable. To understand the extent to which sexual assault goes unreported, Metric 2 compares the estimated number of Service members who may have experienced sexual assault, as measured by confidential


<sup>7</sup> OPA used scientific weighting to estimate prevalence rates that were representative of the entire active duty population. OPA provides confidence intervals for all statistics that are interpreted as population estimates. The estimated 8.4 percent prevalence rate among women has a confidence interval of 7.9 percent to 8.9 percent, meaning that we can infer with 95 percent confidence that the estimated prevalence of sexual assault among active duty women is between 7.9 percent and 8.9 percent. The estimated prevalence rate of 1.5 percent among men has a confidence interval of 1.4 percent to 1.7 percent, meaning that we can infer with 95 percent confidence that the estimated prevalence of sexual assault among active duty men is between 1.4 percent and 1.7 percent.

<sup>8</sup> Morgan, R. E., & Truman, J. L. Criminal Victimization, 2019. *Bureau of Justice Statistics (2020):* 1-53.

survey data, with the number of Service member victims in sexual assault reports for incidents occurring during Military Service. **The next survey will be administered during FY23 and results will be included in the FY23 Annual Report.**

### DoD Prevalence and Reporting

Each year, DoD receives reports of sexual assault from military and civilian victims. DoD captures data on all reports of sexual assault; however, a focus on Service member victim reports of sexual assault for an incident occurring during military service allows for comparison to active duty prevalence estimates. Figure B depicts the difference between the number of Service members who reported a sexual assault and the estimated number of Service members who experienced unwanted sexual contact in the last year, according to survey data. Although reports to DoD authorities are unlikely to capture all sexual assaults estimated to occur each year, DoD encourages greater Service member reporting of sexual assault to connect victims with restorative care and to hold offenders appropriately accountable.



Note: Error bars represent the 95 percent confidence interval for each estimate.

**Figure B – Metric 2: Estimated Prevalence and Reporting of Sexual Assault and Unwanted Sexual Contact, FY10 – FY22**

Figures C through F display data for each of the Military Services. Military Service-level data are presented on different scales for ease of reading and to account for differences in population sizes of each of the Military Services.


Additionally, OPA used scientific weighting to estimate prevalence rates that were representative of the entire active duty population and each Military Service. OPA provides

confidence intervals for all statistics that are interpreted as population estimates, and provides the statistical mid-point to estimate the number of Service members who experienced sexual assault in the 12 months prior to survey administration. Therefore, point-estimates displayed separately for each Military Service will not add up to the DoD point-estimate. **Survey data in the following graphs are from the most recent WGR in 2021. DoD will administer the next survey in FY23, and results will be included in the FY23 Annual Report.**

### Army Prevalence and Reporting

In CY21, DoD estimated that 8.4 percent of active duty Army women and 1.5 percent of active duty Army men experienced an incident of unwanted sexual contact in the 12 months prior to being surveyed.

As Figure C shows, 2,969 Service members made a report in FY22 to a military authority (compared to 3,104 Service members in CY21, a decrease of 4.3 percent) for an incident that occurred during military service in the past year.




**Figure C – Metric 2a: Army Estimated Prevalence and Reporting of Sexual Assault and Unwanted Sexual Contact, FY10 – FY22**

**Note:** Error bars represent the 95 percent confidence interval for each estimate.

### Navy Prevalence and Reporting

In CY21, DoD estimated that 10.1 percent of active duty Navy women and 2.1 percent of active duty Navy men experienced an incident of unwanted sexual contact in the 12 months prior to being surveyed.

Figure D shows that 1,812 Service members made a report in FY22 to a military authority (compared to 1,744 Service members in CY21, an increase of 3.9 percent) for an incident that occurred during military service in the past year.



**Note:** Error bars represent the 95 percent confidence interval for each estimate.


**Figure D – Metric 2b: Navy Estimated Prevalence and Reporting of Sexual Assault and Unwanted Sexual Contact, FY10 – FY22**

### Marine Corps Prevalence and Reporting

In CY21, DoD estimated that 13.4 percent of active duty Marine Corps women and 1.5 percent of active duty Marine Corps men experienced an incident of unwanted sexual contact in the 12 months prior to being surveyed.

Figure E shows that 971 Service members made a report in FY21 to a military authority (compared to 940 Service members in CY21, an increase of 3.3 percent) for an incident that occurred during military service in the past year.






Note: Error bars represent the 95 percent confidence interval for each estimate.

Figure E – Metric 2c: Marine Corps Estimated Prevalence and Reporting of Sexual Assault and Unwanted Sexual Contact, FY10 – FY22

### Air Force Prevalence and Reporting

In CY21, DoD estimated that 5.5 percent of active duty Air Force women and 1.0 percent of active duty Air Force men experienced an incident of unwanted sexual contact in the 12 months prior to being surveyed.

Figure F shows that 1,626 Service members made a report in FY22 to a military authority (compared to 1,472 Service members in CY21, an increase of 10.5 percent) for an incident that occurred during military service in the past year.



**Figure F – Metric 2d: Air Force Estimated Prevalence and Reporting of Sexual Assault and Unwanted Sexual Contact, FY10 – FY22<sup>9</sup>**

**Note:** Error bars represent the 95 percent confidence interval for each estimate.


DoD remains committed to providing Service members who experience sexual assault with a variety of reporting and care options in the DoD response system. In addition, DoD maintains its resolve to strengthen its prevention initiatives and evaluation efforts to ensure the effectiveness of such programs.

<sup>9</sup> Currently, Service member victims belonging to the Space Force can make reports of incidents of sexual assault to any Services' SARC, and are counted among other Services. As of FY22, there are no Space Force-affiliated SARCs.

### Metric 3: Bystander Intervention Experience in the Past Year


(Biennial Metric; Not Measured in FY22)

In CY21, DoD assessed bystander intervention on the 2021 *WGR* by measuring a list of potentially dangerous behaviors or comments that respondents could indicate they observed in the past year. As shown in Figure G, military women were more likely to observe at least one of these situations (47 percent) compared to military men (28 percent).



**Figure G – Metric 3a: Active Duty Service Members Who Indicated Observing At Least One Potentially Dangerous Situation in the Past Year**

As depicted in Figure H, men and women most often observed someone who crossed the line with a sexist joke (11 percent and 31 percent, respectively) and someone who drank too much and needed help (19 percent and 27 percent, respectively). Women were more likely than men to indicate they had encountered a group or individual being hazed or bullied, someone making unwanted sexual advances on someone else, and horseplay or roughhousing that “crossed the line” or appeared unwanted.



**Figure H – Metric 3b: Potentially Dangerous Behaviors or Comments Observed in the Past Year by Gender**

Figure I illustrates the type of actions taken after observing potentially dangerous behaviors or comments. Men and women who observed a situation did not differ in terms of the intervention they used. Of the 47 percent of women and 28 percent of men who observed one of these potentially dangerous behaviors or comments, 9 out of 10 (93 percent of women and 91 percent of men) said they intervened in some way. Service members were most likely to speak up to address the situation (57 percent of women and 54 percent of men) or to talk to those involved to make sure they were okay (48 percent women and 46 percent of men).




Figure I – Metric 3c: Type of Action Taken After Observing Potentially Dangerous Behaviors or Comments Among Active Duty Service Members of All Paygrades<sup>10</sup>

## Metric 4: Immediate Supervisor Addresses the Continuum of Harm


**(Biennial Metric; Not Measured in FY22)**

Between FY14 and FY18, the Department leveraged the Defense Organizational Climate Survey (DEOCS) as the instrument to measure Metric 4. While the DEOCS remains useful for tracking this metric at the installation and command levels, DoD-level aggregate data did not produce meaningful trend information. Therefore, DoD added the questions that comprise

<sup>10</sup> Percentages may not add up to one hundred percent as more than one action taken could be indicated.

Metric 4 to the 2018 *WGRA*, allowing for estimates to be generalized to the entire force. The Department's 2021 *WGR* estimates for perceptions of immediate supervisors are reflected below.

Perceptions of immediate supervisor's actions in addressing behaviors in the continuum of harm were generally positive. However, women overall had a lower perception of their immediate supervisor addressing these issues. Figure J shows men's and women's perceptions of immediate supervisors' actions to address various behaviors on the continuum of harm, as measured by both the 2018 *WGRA* and the 2021 *WGR*.




**Figure J – Metric 4: Agreement with Whether Immediate Supervisor Addresses the Continuum of Harm**

### Metric 5: Full-time Certified Sexual Assault Response Coordinator and SAPR Victim Advocate Personnel Currently Able to Provide Victim Support

(Annual Metric; Updated for FY22)

As illustrated in Figure K, there were 1,256 full-time civilian and Service member Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs), SAPR Victim Advocates (VAs), and Uniformed SAPR Victim Advocates (UVAs) working to provide victim support in FY22. In addition to full-time SARCs and SAPR VAs/UVAs, the Military Services also employed collateral duty Service member SARCs and UVAs to provide support to victims on a part-time basis. The Space Force currently receives SAPR Program support from Department of the Air Force SARCs and SAPR VAs. As a result, Air Force data below reflects individuals providing support to both the Air Force and the Space Force.




**Figure K – Metric 5: Full-time Certified SARC and SAPR VA Personnel Currently Able to Provide Victim Support, by Military Service**

## Metric 6: Victim Experience – Satisfaction with Services Provided

**(Biennial Metric; Not Measured in FY22)**

DoD administered the last iteration of the *Military Investigation and Justice Experience Survey (MIJES)* in 2017. *MIJES* results were not representative of the entire population of military victims that participated in the military justice system. To produce more generalizable estimates, DoD added Metric 6 questions to the 2018 *WGRA*. The Department estimated victim satisfaction with services again on the 2021 *WGR*. The results show that satisfaction with SAPR response personnel remained relatively high, with roughly two-thirds of women who made a report of a past-year sexual assault and interacted with SARCs, SAPR UVAs/VAs, and SVCs/VLCs indicating they were satisfied with the services they received. Results were not reportable for men who made a report.



**Figure L – Metric 6: Female Reporter Satisfaction with SAPR Response Personnel During the Military Justice Process**

## Metric 7: Percentage of Cases with Victims Declining to Participate in the Military Justice Process

**(Annual Metric; Updated for FY22)**

To standardize and consistently improve the reliability and validity of DoD data, representatives from the Military Services meet routinely to review procedures for classifying and annotating case disposition information in DSAID. These meetings allow the Military Services to consistently report information properly and ensure data standardization, despite the turnover and changes in personnel.

After observing an increase in cases that could not progress in the military justice system because victims declined to participate, DoD engaged with Military Service representatives to review case reporting procedures and possible causes. This review led to improvements across the Military Services in their disposition reporting processes. The data for this year reflect the ongoing quality assurance process DoD leverages to ensure consistency between the Military Services and across reporting periods.



The Military Services reported that DoD commanders, in conjunction with their legal advisors, reviewed and made case disposition decisions following the completion of an investigation for 3,188 cases in FY22. In FY22, 5 percent of cases commanders considered for action did not progress in the military justice system to conclusion because commanders respected victims' desired non-participation in the process. As illustrated in Figure M, the percentage of cases with victims declining to participate decreased from FY19 to FY22.





Figure M – Metric 7: Cases with Victims Declining to Participate in the Military Justice Process, FY14 – FY22

## Metric 8: Perceptions of Retaliation

**(Biennial Metric; Not Measured in FY22)**

DoD aims to foster a climate of confidence in which victims feel they can report sexual assault without concern for retaliation. To this end, DoD uses the *WGR* to ask respondents whether they experienced specific retaliatory behaviors following their report of sexual assault. Subsequent questions then assess the context of those experiences to further categorize which respondents indicated experiencing consequences that aligned with prohibited behaviors described in policy and law as retaliation. Those behaviors that do not align with violations of the UCMJ or policy are referred to as “perceived retaliation.”



**Figure N – Metric 8: Perceived Retaliation Among Female Active Duty Service Members Who Made a Report of Sexual Assault<sup>11</sup>**

Of female Service members who indicated on the survey that they experienced unwanted sexual contact in the past year and reported it to a DoD authority, 67 percent indicated perceiving at least one retaliatory behavior associated with their report. However, once the context of those alleged behaviors was assessed, 22 percent of victims' experiences aligned with the legal criteria for professional reprisal, 16 percent aligned with ostracism, and 15 percent aligned with criteria for maltreatment (Figure N). Responses to these survey items do not constitute a report of retaliation, nor do they constitute a finding under the law that the victim experienced some form of retaliation. Rather, these responses allow DoD to gain insight into the broad range of negative consequences Service members perceive as being associated with their sexual assault reports.

### Metric 9: Service Member Kept Regularly Informed During the Military Justice Process

**(Biennial Metric; Not Measured in FY22)**

Fielding of a separate survivor-oriented survey resulted in this metric being eliminated from the 2021 WGR. As of the publication of this report, the Department will begin to field the Sexual Violence Support and Experiences Study in FY23 to better assess victims' experiences, including whether they are kept informed during the military justice process.

### Metric 10: Perceptions of Leadership Support for SAPR

**(Biennial Metric; Not Measured in FY22)**


DoD administered the last iteration of leadership support after sexual assault reporting questions on the 2018 WGRA.

Respondents indicated their perceptions of their leadership's actions to their sexual assault report. Figure O depicts the average agreement with these items for female Service members

<sup>11</sup> Data for men in 2018 on this metric were not reportable.

who indicated experiencing unwanted sexual contact and reported it. Proportions for men were not reportable.

OMB-directed changes to survey administration prohibit the ability to make statistical comparisons between results for this metric in 2018 and results in 2021. However, the results from the 2021 WGR show that perceptions of leadership support for women who indicated experiencing unwanted sexual contact and reported appeared to decline.




**Figure O – Metric 10: Female Active Duty Service Member Perception of Leadership Support After a Report Was Made**

## Metric 11: Reports of Sexual Assault Over Time

(Annual Metric; Updated for FY22)

In FY22, the Military Services received 8,942 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as either victims or subjects (Figure P). While DoD received these reports in FY22, a portion of reported incidents occurred in prior FYs and/or prior to military service.



Fiscal Year	Total Reports	=	Unrestricted	+	Remaining Restricted
2022	8,942	=	5,941 (66%)	+	3,001 (34%)
2021	8,866	=	6,356 (72%)	+	2,510 (28%)


**Figure P – Metric 11: Reports of Sexual Assault Over Time, FY10 – FY22**

Of the 8,942 reports in FY22, 580 (6 percent) were made by Service members for incidents that occurred prior to their entering military service.<sup>12</sup> The Military Services received 5,941

<sup>12</sup> Prior to FY14, an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault may have included one or more victims and one or more subjects. DoD relied upon MCIOs to provide the number of Unrestricted Reports and the subsequent number of victims and subjects associated with those reports each year. In FY14, DoD transitioned to DSAID as the primary source of reporting statistics with each Unrestricted Report corresponding to a single victim.

Unrestricted Reports involving Service members as victims or subjects in FY22.<sup>13</sup> The Military Services initially received 3,682 Restricted Reports involving Service members as either victims or subjects. Of the 3,682 initial Restricted Reports, 18 percent (681 reports) later converted to Unrestricted Reports. These converted Restricted Reports are now counted with the Unrestricted Reports. At the end of FY22, 3,001 reports remained Restricted.

Figures Q through T display the reports over time for each of the Military Services.




Fiscal Year	Total Reports	=	Unrestricted	+	Remaining Restricted
2022	3,718	=	2,723 (73%)	+	995 (27%)
2021	4,081	=	3,212 (79%)	+	869 (21%)

**Figure Q – Metric 11: Army Reports of Sexual Assault Over Time, FY10 – FY22**

Army received 2,723 Unrestricted Reports involving Service members as victims or subjects in FY22. Army authorities initially received 1,225 Restricted Reports involving Service members as either victims or subjects. Of the 1,225 initial Restricted Reports, about 19 percent (230 reports) later converted to Unrestricted Reports. These converted Restricted Reports are now counted with the Unrestricted Reports. At the end of FY22, 995 reports remained Restricted.


<sup>13</sup> Beginning with the implementation of DSAID in 2014, DoD has extracted and analyzed data six weeks after the end of each FY to allow sufficient time for data validation. DSAID is a live database, and its records change daily to reflect case status. During this six-week period, 83 additional Restricted Reports converted to Unrestricted. After a report converts from Restricted to Unrestricted, all data associated with the report is then counted in the Unrestricted Report category. These 83 reports that were made during the FY, converted to Unrestricted in the six-week period after the end of the FY, and are therefore included with the 681 report conversions.



Fiscal Year	Total Reports	=	Unrestricted	+	Remaining Restricted
2022	2,052	=	1,363 (66%)	+	689 (34%)
2021	1,883	=	1,329 (71%)	+	554 (29%)

**Figure R – Metric 11: Navy Reports of Sexual Assault Over Time, FY10 – FY22**


Navy received 1,363 Unrestricted Reports involving Service members as victims or subjects in FY22. Navy authorities initially received 861 Restricted Reports involving Service members as either victims or subjects. Of the 861 initial Restricted Reports, about 20 percent (172 reports) later converted to Unrestricted Reports. These converted Restricted Reports are now counted with the Unrestricted Reports. At the end of FY22, 689 reports remained Restricted.



Fiscal Year	Total Reports	=	Unrestricted	+	Remaining Restricted
2022	1,244	=	791 (64%)	+	453 (36%)
2021	1,201	=	719 (60%)	+	482 (40%)

**Figure S – Metric 11: Marine Corps Reports of Sexual Assault Over Time, FY10 – FY22**

Marine Corps received 791 Unrestricted Reports involving Service members as victims or subjects in FY22. Marine Corps authorities initially received 552 Restricted Reports involving Service members as either victims or subjects. Of the 552 initial Restricted Reports, about 18 percent (99 reports) later converted to Unrestricted Reports. These converted Restricted Reports are now counted with the Unrestricted Reports. At the end of FY22, 453 reports remained Restricted.



Fiscal Year	Total Reports	=	Unrestricted	+	Remaining Restricted
2022	1,928	=	1,064 (55%)	+	864 (45%)
2021	1,701	=	1,096 (64%)	+	605 (36%)

**Figure T – Metric 11: Air Force Reports of Sexual Assault Over Time, FY10 – FY22**

The Department of the Air Force received 1,064 Unrestricted Reports involving Service members as victims or subjects in FY22.<sup>14</sup> Air Force authorities initially received 1,044 Restricted Reports involving Service members as either victims or subjects. Of the 1,044 initial Restricted Reports, about 17 percent (180 reports) later converted to Unrestricted Reports. These converted Restricted Reports are now counted with the Unrestricted Reports. At the end of FY22, 864 reports remained Restricted.

<sup>14</sup> Currently, Service member victims belonging to the Space Force can making reports of incidents of sexual assault to any Service-affiliated SARC. As of FY22, there are no Space Force-affiliated SARCs.



# Non-Metrics

## Non-Metric 1: Command Action – Case Dispositions

(Annual Metric; Updated for FY22)

The following describes outcomes for completed investigations with case disposition results reported in FY22. Congress requires DoD to report on the case dispositions (outcomes) of sexual assault allegations in Unrestricted Reports made against Service members (DoDI 6495.02). When a person is the subject of multiple investigations, he/she will also be associated with more than one case disposition in DSAID (see Appendix B for further detail).

In FY22, 3,188 cases investigated for sexual assault were primarily under the legal authority of the DoD. However, as in the civilian criminal justice system, evidentiary issues, statutes of limitations, and victim preferences may have led DoD not to take disciplinary action in some cases. In addition, commanders may have declined to take action after a legal review of the matter indicated that the allegations against the accused were unfounded, meaning they were determined to be false or baseless. In total, command action was not pursued in about 34 percent of the cases considered for action by military commanders in FY22 (Figure U). For the remaining 66 percent of cases considered for command action, commanders had sufficient evidence and legal authority to support some form of disciplinary action for a sexual assault offense or other misconduct. Figure U displays command action taken from FY10 to FY22 and Figure V displays command action in FY22 for penetrating versus sexual contact crimes alleged/investigated.





Figure U – Non-Metric 1a: Command Action for Cases Under DoD Legal Authority, FY10 – FY22

Case Dispositions	Count	Percent
Court-Martial Charge Preferral for Sexual Assault Offense	553	17%
Nonjudicial Punishment for Sexual Assault Offense	425	13%
Admin Discharge and Actions for Sexual Assault Offense	525	16%
Action for Non-Sexual Assault Offense	614	19%
<i>Command Action Precluded/Respected Victims' Desired Non-Participation</i>	1,071	34%

**Notes:** Command action may not be possible when there is insufficient evidence of a crime to prosecute, the statute of limitations expires, the victim dies before action can be taken, or when the allegations against the alleged offender are unfounded. A command may determine that action is not appropriate where the victim declines to participate in the justice process. Percentages may not sum to 100 percent due to rounding.




**Notes:** This figure only includes command actions in which the action was completed in FY22. Command actions pending completion (e.g., court-martial preferred but pending trial) are not included in this graph. Additionally, there were 28 completed command actions that could not be classified as penetrating or sexual contact crimes, because the crime investigated was attempted sexual assault or unknown.

**Figure V – Non-Metric 1b: Completed Command Actions for Penetrating and Sexual Assault Crimes Investigated**

## Non-Metric 2: Court-Martial Outcomes

(Annual Metric; Updated for FY22)

Figure W illustrates case outcomes in the court-martial process, displayed by type of crime charged: penetrating (i.e., rape and sexual assault) crimes compared to sexual contact crimes. Not all cases associated with court-martial referral proceed to trial. In certain circumstances, the Military Service may approve a resignation or discharge in lieu of court-martial (RILO/DILO). Furthermore, Article 32 (pre-trial) hearings can result in a recommendation to dismiss all or some of the charges. Commanders may use evidence gathered during sexual assault investigations or evidence heard at an Article 32 hearing to impose a nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for other misconduct. As depicted in Figure W, most cases associated with court-martial referral, for both penetrating and sexual contact crime charges, proceeded to trial.<sup>15</sup>



Sexual Assault Offenses	Penetrating Crimes		Sexual Contact Crimes	
C-M Actions Completed in FY22	297		167	
Cases Dismissed	45	15%	25	15%
RILO/DILO Cases	53	18%	42	25%
<b>Proceeded to Trial</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>60%</b>
Acquitted	64	32%	12	12%
<b>Convicted (any charge)</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>88%</b>

**Notes:** This figure only includes courts-martial in which the action was completed in FY22. Cases associated with court-martial referral but pending trial are not included in this graph. Percentages may not sum to 100 percent due to rounding.

**Figure W – Non-Metric 2: Sexual Assault Court-Martial Outcomes Completed by Crime Charged**

In FY22, of the 199 penetrating crime allegations that proceeded to trial, 64 (32 percent) ended in acquittal and 135 (68 percent) ended in a conviction of any charge. Of the 100 sexual contact

<sup>15</sup> Subjects charged with sexual assault crimes at court-martial can also be charged with other misconduct in addition to sexual assault offenses.

crime allegations that proceeded to trial, 12 (12 percent) ended in acquittal and 88 (88 percent) ended in a conviction of any charge at trial.

### Non-Metric 3: Time Interval from Report of Sexual Assault to Court Outcome

(Annual Metric; Updated for FY22)

As illustrated in Figure X, the average and median length of time from the date a victim signs the official form electing to make a report of sexual assault (DD Form 2910) to the date that court-martial proceedings concluded was 430 days (14.1 months) and 421 days (13.8 months), respectively. A variety of factors, such as the complexity of the allegation, the need for laboratory analysis of the evidence, the quantity and type of legal proceedings, the availability of counsel and judges, and impacts of the coronavirus pandemic (in FY20 and FY21) may affect the interval of time between a report of sexual assault and the conclusion of a court-martial.





Figure X – Non-Metric 3: Time Interval from Report to Court Outcome, FY14 – FY22

### Non-Metric 4: Time Interval from Report of Sexual Assault to Nonjudicial Punishment Outcome

(Annual Metric; Updated for FY22)

In FY22, the average and median length of time from the date of report to the date that the nonjudicial punishment (NJP) process is concluded (e.g., punishment imposed or NJP not rendered) was 153 days (5.0 months) and 123 days (4.0 months), respectively (Figure Y). Like Non-Metric 3, a variety of factors influence the interval of time between a report of sexual assault and the conclusion of NJP.




**Figure Y – Non-Metric 4: Time Interval from Report to Nonjudicial Punishment Outcome, FY14 – FY22**

## Non-Metric 5: Time Interval from Close of an Investigation to a Command Action Taken

(Annual Metric; Updated for FY22)

Figure Z illustrates the length of time from the date of the close of an investigation to the date a command action was taken. In FY22, the average time interval for this metric was 92 days and the median was 76 days. As with Non-Metrics 3 and 4, there is no expected or set time for this to occur.




**Notes:** This metric describes the length of time from the date of the close of an investigation to the date a command action was taken.

**Figure Z – Non-Metric 5: Time Interval from Close of an Investigation to a Command Action Date, FY14 – FY22**

## Non-Metric 6: Investigation Length

### (Annual Metric; Updated for FY22)

As illustrated in Figure AA, it took an average of 103 days (3.4 months) to complete a sexual assault investigation in FY22. This is less than the 125 days in FY21. It is important to note that the length of an investigation does not necessarily reflect an investigation's quality. Investigation length is dependent on various factors specific to the case, including the complexity of the allegation, the number and location of potential witnesses involved, and the laboratory analysis required for the evidence.



Investigation Information	FY21	FY22
Number of Completed Investigations	4,808	4,516
Average Investigation Length	125	103
Median Investigation Length	97	90

**Figure AA – Non-Metric 6: Investigation Length, FY13 – FY22**



## Appendix D: Aggregate DoD Data Matrices





Unrestricted Reports

DoD FY22 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY		
<p>A. FY22 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members.                      Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY22. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.                      This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.</p>		FY22 Totals
<b># FY22 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)</b>		<b>5809</b>
# Service Member Victims		4884
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject		747
# Relevant Data Not Available		178
<b># Unrestricted Reports in the following categories</b>		<b>5809</b>
# Service Member on Service Member		2856
# Service Member on Non-Service Member		747
# Non-Service Member on Service Member		257
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member		581
# Relevant Data Not Available		1368
<b># Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring</b>		<b>5809</b>
# On military installation		2987
# Off military installation		2169
# Unidentified location		653
<b># Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation</b>		<b>5809</b>
<b># Victims in investigations initiated during FY22</b>		<b>5360</b>
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2022		2386
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2022		2974
<b># Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming</b>		<b>181</b>
<b># Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement</b>		<b>268</b>
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ		73
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations		3
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service		45
# Victims - Other		147
<b># All Restricted Reports received in FY22 (one Victim per report)</b>		<b>3682</b>
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)		681
<b># Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY22</b>		<b>3001</b>
<b>B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY22</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>	<b>FY22 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases</b>
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report</b>	<b>5809</b>	<b>4884</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	1554	1322
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	626	522
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	523	431
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	1627	1313
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	926	750
# Relevant Data Not Available	553	546
<b>Time of sexual assault</b>	<b>5809</b>	<b>4884</b>
# Midnight to 6 am	2336	1824
# 6 am to 6 pm	991	862
# 6 pm to midnight	1503	1273
# Unknown	352	324
# Relevant Data Not Available	627	601
<b>Day of sexual assault</b>	<b>5809</b>	<b>4884</b>
# Sunday	756	614
# Monday	655	541
# Tuesday	591	506
# Wednesday	546	462
# Thursday	571	476
# Friday	958	788
# Saturday	1169	942
# Relevant Data Not Available	563	555

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

C. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY22 Totals		
# Service Member on Service Member	2078	514	104	39	15	52	19	0	2859		
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	689	23	3	9	0	18	5	0	747		
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	150	49	26	18	3	6	2	0	257		
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	57	18	8	13	13	35	0	0	581		
# Relevant Data Not Available	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	121	1368		
<b>FY22 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)</b>											
<b>UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY22</b>	<i>Penetrating Offenses</i>				<i>Contact Offenses</i>						
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
<b>D1</b>	<b>466</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1676</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>2431</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>1102</b>	<b>5809</b>
# Service Member on Service Member	139	2	831	0	20	1691	1	3	20	143	2846
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	110	3	359	0	4	213	0	0	4	58	747
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	28	0	48	0	0	150	1	0	0	28	257
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	76	8	206	0	7	169	0	0	19	94	581
# Relevant Data Not Available	120	3	230	0	16	206	0	4	3	778	1368
<b>TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY22 Reports</b>	<b>334</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1237</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>2149</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>1046</b>	<b>4884</b>
# Service Member Victims: Female	261	9	1045	0	39	1483	2	7	29	777	3651
# Service Member Victims: Male	73	3	192	0	16	666	0	4	9	269	1233
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

<b>E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY22</b>	
Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.	
<b># Investigations Initiated during FY22</b>	<b>5078</b>
# Investigations Completed as of FY22 End (group by MCIO #)	2806
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY22 End (group by MCIO #)	2272
<b># Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY22</b>	<b>4659</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>	<b>1913</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	1897
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	16
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>1091</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	1089
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	2
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>591</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	587
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	4
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations</b>	<b>202</b>
Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations</b>	<b>743</b>
Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement</b>	<b>24</b>
Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	24
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>49</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>32</b>
<b># Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY22</b>	
Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY22. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY22 or any prior FY.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by Services during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>4508</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	246
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	297
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	14
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>4389</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>	<b>1584</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	1569
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	15
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>1193</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	1189
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	4
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>649</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	645
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	4
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>213</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>738</b>
<b># Subject Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>12</b>
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>4857</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in CID investigations</b>	<b>1584</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	1555
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	29
<b># Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations</b>	<b>1760</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	1741
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	19
<b># Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations</b>	<b>706</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	697
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	9
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>725</b>
<b># Victim Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>82</b>

<b>E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY22</b>	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>93</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	1
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>85</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement</b>	<b>23</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	23
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>35</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>26</b>
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	1
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>94</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations</b>	<b>86</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	86
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service</b>	<b>3</b>
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	5
<b>E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY22 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs")</b>	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>0</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Victims in MP investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in MP investigations</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

Victims in Investigation Completed in FY22	Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY22										FY22 Totals
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 60)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
<b>F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY22 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)</b>											
<b>F1. Gender of Victims</b>	389	14	1571	0	42	2240	1	12	48	635	4952
# Male	58	2	168	0	14	598	0	2	48	150	388
# Female	332	12	1972	0	37	1602	1	10	4	485	3893
# Unknown	0	0	37	0	0	37	0	0	0	0	76
<b>F2. Age of Victims</b>	389	14	1571	0	42	2240	1	12	48	635	4952
# 0-15	18	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	36
# 16-19	174	3	449	0	0	668	0	3	11	98	1253
# 20-24	151	4	722	0	10	978	0	0	27	140	2052
# 25-34	58	7	298	0	12	376	0	3	3	51	770
# 35-49	10	0	51	0	2	72	0	0	2	18	162
# 50-64	0	0	3	0	1	8	0	0	0	0	13
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# Unknown	39	0	87	0	0	175	1	0	4	317	565
<b>F3. Victim Type</b>	389	14	1571	0	42	2240	1	12	48	635	4952
# Service Member	280	12	1183	0	36	1991	1	11	41	582	4137
# DOD Civilian	0	0	2	0	0	21	0	0	0	2	30
# DOD Contractor	0	0	5	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	14
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# US Civilian	99	2	328	0	0	170	0	0	3	47	653
# Foreign National	7	0	12	0	0	5	0	0	2	0	26
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F4. Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	280	12	1183	0	36	1991	1	11	41	582	4137
# E1-E4	214	4	944	0	29	1648	0	1	36	454	3326
# E5-E9	47	4	172	0	5	232	1	5	2	78	558
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	7
# O-10	18	3	91	0	1	70	0	0	2	22	163
# O-1-10	3	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	22
# Cadet/Midshipman	2	0	17	0	0	22	0	0	0	18	55
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	5
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F5. Service of Service Member Victims</b>	280	12	1183	0	36	1991	1	11	41	582	4137
# Army	94	12	558	0	4	361	0	11	0	71	1614
# Navy	92	0	281	0	21	452	0	0	22	338	1208
# Marines	60	0	187	0	0	250	0	0	3	61	574
# Air Force	30	0	162	0	3	414	1	0	10	112	738
# Space Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F6. Status of Service Member Victims</b>	280	12	1183	0	36	1991	1	11	41	582	4137
# Active Duty	260	9	1103	0	32	1741	0	5	38	534	3724
# Reserve (Activated)	10	1	56	0	2	144	0	0	3	30	259
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	2	2	7	0	0	80	0	0	0	0	92
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	17	0	0	22	0	0	0	18	55
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	5
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY22 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY22											
	Penetrating Offenses					Contact Offenses					Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)			
<b>G1. Gender of Subjects</b>	403	17	1606	0	35	2059	1	16	49	288	4474	
# Male	305	9	1283	0	28	1201	1	16	36	201	3569	
# Female	18	0	65	0	7	155	0	0	0	11	245	
# Unknown	80	8	260	0	0	192	0	0	13	71	653	
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8	
<b>G2. Age of Subjects</b>	403	17	1606	0	35	2059	1	16	49	288	4474	
# 0-15	4	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	
# 16-19	30	0	109	0	2	268	0	0	0	29	433	
# 20-24	149	3	668	0	18	702	0	1	20	103	1662	
# 25-34	80	0	431	0	8	552	0	3	0	54	1138	
# 35-49	25	2	105	0	2	241	0	1	2	16	392	
# 50-64	1	0	7	0	0	33	0	0	0	2	46	
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	10	1	35	0	0	31	0	0	0	21	102	
# Relevant Data Not Available	108	10	250	0	10	223	0	0	14	70	694	
<b>G3. Subject Type</b>	403	17	1606	0	35	2059	1	16	49	288	4474	
# Service Member	259	5	1251	0	28	1680	0	0	33	183	3449	
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	15	
# US Civilian	22	0	38	0	0	28	1	0	0	19	104	
# Foreign National	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	
# Foreign Military	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
# Unknown	110	10	266	0	0	222	0	0	16	68	653	
# Relevant Data Not Available	21	0	40	0	0	108	0	0	0	0	200	
<b>G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects</b>	259	5	1257	0	25	1680	0	0	35	182	3449	
# E1-E9	170	1	922	0	18	1028	0	1	28	172	2198	
# E5-E9	77	3	346	0	0	502	0	0	0	34	990	
# WO1-WO5	3	0	8	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	24	
# O1-O3	0	0	46	0	0	62	0	0	0	10	132	
# O4-O10	1	0	16	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	46	
# Cadet/Midshipman	2	0	21	0	0	21	0	0	0	11	56	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>G5. Service of Service Member Subjects</b>	259	5	1257	0	25	1680	0	0	35	182	3449	
# Army	113	4	693	0	14	754	0	0	11	5	1593	
# Navy	68	0	233	0	0	365	0	0	18	40	722	
# Marines	59	0	157	0	7	208	0	0	8	37	471	
# Air Force	25	1	172	0	1	343	0	0	0	100	649	
# Space Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Coast Guard	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>G6. Status of Service Member Subjects</b>	259	5	1257	0	25	1680	0	0	35	182	3449	
# Active Duty	248	4	1193	0	22	1557	0	0	32	162	3223	
# Reserve (Activated)	10	0	38	0	0	83	0	0	0	0	144	
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	25	
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	21	0	0	21	0	0	0	11	56	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY22 INVESTIGATIONS	FY22 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY22 INVESTIGATIONS	FY22 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOS or other law enforcement for investigation during FY22, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	4		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	1		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	1		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	1		
# Subjects - Other	1		
# Subjects in investigations completed in FY22 Note: These are Subjects from Tab 1b, Cells B79, B59, B77.	4474	# Victims in investigations completed in FY22	4952
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY22	1955	# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY22	2638
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	636		
# Unknown Offenders	492	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	94
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	136	# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	252
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	6	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	39
# Subjects who died or deserted	2	# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	85
		# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	2
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	741	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	1
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	85	# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	622	# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	72
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	9	# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	477
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	24	# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	7
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	1	# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	22
		# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	1
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	2689	# Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available	3001
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2022	800		
# FY22 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	800	# FY22 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action	760
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	158	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial referrals against Subject	129
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	110	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	119
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	136	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	133
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	104	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	101
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	18	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial referrals for non-sexual assault offenses	15
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	159	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	149
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	63	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	66
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	52	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	48
* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.			

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

<b>I. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Sexual Assault Charge).</b> This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martial for sexual assault crimes completed during FY22	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a Sexual Assault Charge Pending Court Completion</b>	<b>553</b>
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY22	84
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY22</b>	<b>469</b>
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed</b>	<b>71</b>
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	21
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	3
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	36
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	10
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	1
<b># Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial</b>	<b>97</b>
# Officer and Cadet/Midshipmen Subjects who were allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	6
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	91
<b># Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a sexual assault charge</b>	<b>301</b>
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	77
<b># Subjects Convicted of Any Charge at Trial</b>	<b>224</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>224</b>
# Subjects receiving confinement	187
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	184
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	123
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	161
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	9
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	7
# Subjects to be processed for administrative discharge or separation subsequent to sexual assault conviction	33
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	22
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	10
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	1
# Convicted Subjects with a conviction under a UCMJ Article that requires Sex Offender Registration	123
<b>J. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Sexual Assault Charge).</b> This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for sexual assault crimes completed during FY22	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY22</b>	<b>425</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY22	48
<b># Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY22</b>	<b>377</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	12
<b># Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment</b>	<b>365</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	5
# Subjects with no punishment	6
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>354</b>
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	1
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	301
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	300
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	108
# Subjects receiving extra duty	248
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	98
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual assault charge	167
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	43
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	108
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	1
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	13
<b>K. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN.</b> This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY22	66
<b># Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a sexual assault offense</b>	<b>227</b>
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	71
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	98
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	4
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	22
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY22	27
<b># Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a sexual assault offense</b>	<b>205</b>



Unrestricted Reports (continued)

L. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martials for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	FY22 Totals
<b># Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a non-sexual assault offense in FY22</b>	<b>49</b>
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY22	9
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY22</b>	<b>40</b>
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	4
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	2
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial for a non-sexual assault offense	6
# Officer and Cadet/Midshipmen Subjects who were officers that where allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	1
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	5
<b># Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>30</b>
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	1
<b># Subjects Convicted of Any Non-Sexual Assault Charge at Trial</b>	<b>29</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>29</b>
# Subjects receiving confinement	23
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	24
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	10
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	10
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	1
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	1
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to conviction at trial	6
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	6
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
<b>M. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Non-Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY22</b>	<b>316</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY22	27
<b># Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY22</b>	<b>289</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	12
<b># Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>277</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	4
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>273</b>
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	2
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	210
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	208
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	120
# Subjects receiving extra duty	183
# Subjects receiving hard labor	1
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	90
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge	96
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	22
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	66
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	2
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	4
<b>N. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY22	13
<b># Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>118</b>
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	37
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	49
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	10
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	15
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY22	11
<b># Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>107</b>

Restricted Reports

DoD FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
<b>A. FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports</b>	<b>3682</b>
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	3610
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	60
# Relevant Data Not Available	12
<b># Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22*</b>	<b>681</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	667
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	11
# Relevant Data Not Available	3
<b># Total Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	<b>3001</b>
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	2943
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	49
# Relevant Data Not Available	9
<b># Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories</b>	<b>3001</b>
# Service Member on Service Member	1823
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	484
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	49
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	455
# Relevant Data Not Available	190
<b>B. INCIDENT DETAILS</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Reported sexual assaults occurring</b>	<b>3001</b>
# On military installation	1185
# Off military installation	1296
# Unidentified location	287
# Relevant Data Not Available	233
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report</b>	<b>3001</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	518
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	281
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	212
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	523
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	735
# Relevant Data Not Available	732
<b>Time of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>3001</b>
# Midnight to 6 am	749
# 6 am to 6 pm	374
# 6 pm to midnight	1174
# Unknown	578
# Relevant Data Not Available	126
<b>Day of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>3001</b>
# Sunday	342
# Monday	227
# Tuesday	198
# Wednesday	223
# Thursday	234
# Friday	439
# Saturday	603
# Relevant Data Not Available	735
<b>C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims</b>	<b>2943</b>
# Army Victims	976
# Navy Victims	706
# Marines Victims	435
# Air Force Victims	817
# Space Force Victims	7
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	2

Restricted Reports (continued)

D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY22 Totals
<b>Gender of Victims</b>	<b>3001</b>
# Male	680
# Female	2314
# Relevant Data Not Available	7
<b>Age of Victims at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>3001</b>
# 0-15	141
# 16-19	740
# 20-24	1351
# 25-34	639
# 35-49	98
# 50-64	5
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	27
<b>Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>2943</b>
# E1-E4	1828
# E5-E9	708
# WO1-WO5	15
# O1-O3	218
# O4-O10	69
# Cadet/Midshipman	102
# Academy Prep School Student	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Status of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>2943</b>
# Active Duty	2603
# Reserve (Activated)	183
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	49
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	102
# Academy Prep School Student	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Victim Type</b>	<b>3001</b>
# Service Member	2943
# DoD-Civilian	
# DoD-Contractor	
# Other US Government-Civilian	
# Non-Service Member	49
# Foreign-National	
# Foreign-Military	
# Relevant Data Not Available	9
<b>E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service</b>	<b>319</b>
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	185
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	130
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY)</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	36.73
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	54.53
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	1
<b>G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY22</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22</b>	<b>132</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	131
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
* The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.	

Support Services

DoD FY22 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>	
<b>A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>23725</b>
# Medical	2062
# Behavioral Health	3339
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)	4003
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	2446
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	5686
# DoD Safe Helpline	2233
# Other	3956
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>1296</b>
# Medical	78
# Behavioral Health	249
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	42
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	17
# Rape Crisis Center	256
# Victim Advocate	366
# DoD-Safe-Helpline	
# Other	288
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>	<b>476</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>3</b>
<b># Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service</b>	<b>261</b>
<b>B. FY22 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS</b>	<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>
<b># Military Protective Orders issued during FY22</b>	<b>848</b>
<b># Reported MPO Violations in FY22</b>	<b>16</b>
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	15
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	1
<i>*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk for the Victim.</i>	
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	82
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	6
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	781
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	23
<b>C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS</b>	<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>13261</b>
# Medical	1322
# Behavioral Health	2199
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	1688
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	1665
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	3215
# DoD Safe Helpline	1476
# Other	1696
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>809</b>
# Medical	42
# Behavioral Health	207
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	15
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	19
# Rape Crisis Center	276
# Victim Advocate	112
# DoD-Safe-Helpline	
# Other	138
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>	<b>234</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>4</b>

Support Services (continued)

CIVILIAN DATA		
<b>D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:</b>		<b>612</b>
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member		71
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member		56
# Relevant Data Not Available		485
<b>D2. Gender of Non-Service Members</b>		<b>612</b>
# Male		29
# Female		387
# Relevant Data Not Available		196
<b>D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident</b>		<b>612</b>
# 0-15		6
# 16-19		25
# 20-24		59
# 25-34		42
# 35-49		20
# 50-64		5
# 65 and older		7
# Relevant Data Not Available		448
<b>D4. Non-Service Member Type</b>		<b>612</b>
# DoD Civilian		61
# DoD Contractor		8
# Other US Government Civilian		3
# US Civilian		258
# Foreign National		17
# Foreign Military		1
# Relevant Data Not Available		264
<b>D5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories</b>		
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>		<b>778</b>
# Medical		62
# Behavioral Health		95
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)		115
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		61
# Rape Crisis Center		2
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate		227
# DoD Safe Helpline		55
# Other		163
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>		<b>281</b>
# Medical		19
# Behavioral Health		49
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)		19
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		21
# Rape Crisis Center		77
# Victim Advocate		41
# DoD Safe Helpline		2
# Other		55
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>		<b>54</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>		<b>1</b>
<b>E. FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report</b>		<b>165</b>
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22		18
<b># Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>		<b>147</b>
<b># Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:</b>		<b>147</b>
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)		16
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member		14
# Relevant Data Not Available		117
<b>E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims</b>		<b>147</b>
# Male		3
# Female		59
# Relevant Data Not Available		85
<b>E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident</b>		<b>147</b>
# 0-15		13
# 16-19		27
# 20-24		46
# 25-34		46
# 35-49		10
# 50-64		1
# 65 and older		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		4
<b>E4. VICTIM Type</b>		<b>147</b>
# DoD Civilian		1
# DoD Contractor		1
# Other US Government Civilian		1
# Non-Service Member		41
# Relevant Data Not Available		106
<b>E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories</b>		
<b># MILITARY Resources</b>		<b>177</b>
# Medical		23
# Behavioral Health		34
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)		17
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		27
# Rape Crisis Center		1
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate		50
# DoD Safe Helpline		17
# Other		9
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>		<b>19</b>
# Medical		1
# Behavioral Health		6
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)		1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		0
# Rape Crisis Center		3
# Victim Advocate		3
# DoD Safe Helpline		0
# Other		5
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>		<b>19</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>		<b>0</b>

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

DoD COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FY22 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY Note: These Reports are a subset of the FY22 Reports of Sexual Assault.		
<p><b>A. FY22 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members.</b>                      Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY22. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.                      This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.</p>		FY22 Totals
<b># FY22 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)</b>		<b>78</b>
# Service Member Victims		75
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject		3
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b># Unrestricted Reports in the following categories</b>		<b>78</b>
# Service Member on Service Member		45
# Service Member on Non-Service Member		3
# Non-Service Member on Service Member		8
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member		8
# Relevant Data Not Available		14
<b># Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring</b>		<b>78</b>
# On military installation		58
# Off military installation		19
# Unidentified location		1
<b># Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation</b>		<b>78</b>
<b># Victims in Investigations initiated during FY22</b>		<b>74</b>
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2022		14
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2022		60
<b># Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming</b>		<b>1</b>
<b># Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement</b>		<b>3</b>
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ		0
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations		0
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service		0
# Victims - Other		3
<b># All Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest received in FY22 (one Victim per report)</b>		<b>90</b>
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)		8
<b># Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY22</b>		<b>82</b>
<b>B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FOR FY22</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>	<b>FY22 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases</b>
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>75</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	15	15
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	11	11
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	10	9
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	27	26
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	14	13
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	1
<b>Time of sexual assault</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>75</b>
# Midnight to 6 am	31	29
# 6 am to 6 pm	10	10
# 6 pm to midnight	33	32
# Unknown	3	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	1
<b>Day of sexual assault</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>75</b>
# Sunday	9	9
# Monday	3	3
# Tuesday	12	11
# Wednesday	12	12
# Thursday	13	13
# Friday	10	9
# Saturday	18	17
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	1

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

C. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY22 Totals		
	# Service Member on Service Member	46	0	3	1	2	5	0	15	78	
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45		
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
<b>FY22 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)</b>											
<b>UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY22</b>	<i>Penetrating Offenses</i>					<i>Contact Offenses</i>					
D. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
D1.	3	0	15	0	1	44	1	0	1	13	78
# Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	30	1	0	1	4	45
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	8
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	1	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	8
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	14
D2.											
<b>TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY22 Reports</b>	3	0	14	0	1	43	1	0	1	12	75
# Service Member Victims- Female	2	0	13	0	0	38	1	0	1	10	60
# Service Member Victims- Male	1	0	1	0	1	5	0	0	0	2	15
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST MADE IN FY22</b>											
D3. Time of sexual assault	3	0	15	0	1	44	1	0	1	13	78
# Midnight to 6 am	1	0	0	0	0	21	1	0	0	2	31
# 6 am to 6 pm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 6 am to midnight	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	2	30
# Unknown	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
D4. Day of sexual assault	3	0	15	0	1	44	1	0	1	13	78
# Sunday	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
# Monday	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
# Tuesday	1	0	1	0	0	8	0	0	1	1	12
# Wednesday	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	12
# Thursday	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	13
# Friday	0	0	1	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	10
# Saturday	0	0	2	0	0	10	1	0	0	0	18
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATION OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE											
FY22 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT. Note: The data in this section is drawn from raw, uninvestigated information about Unrestricted Reports received during FY22. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12)  (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
<b>TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS</b>	3	0	15	0	1	44	1	0	1	13	78
Afghanistan	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	5
Bahrain	0	0	5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	8
Djibouti	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Iraq	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	4
Iraq/Baghdad	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	5
Kosovo	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Kuwait	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Qatar	0	0	2	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	21
Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Somalia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Turkey	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	12
UAE	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	6
Yemen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS</b>	3	0	15	0	1	44	1	0	1	13	78



Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY22 Totals
<b>E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY22 in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.	
<b># Investigations Initiated during FY22</b>	<b>73</b>
# Investigations Completed as of FY22 End (group by MCIO #)	50
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY22 End (group by MCIO #)	23
<b># Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY22</b>	<b>65</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>	<b>17</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	15
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	2
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>3</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	3
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>26</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	26
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations</b>	<b>6</b>
Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
# Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations	11
Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>2</b>
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY22 in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY22. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY22 or any prior FY.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by Services during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>78</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	4
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	9
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	1
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>83</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>	<b>24</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	22
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	2
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>5</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	4
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	1
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>25</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	25
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>9</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>19</b>
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	1
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>83</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in CID investigations</b>	<b>32</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	32
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	0
<b># Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations</b>	<b>15</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	14
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	1
<b># Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations</b>	<b>34</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	34
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>2</b>
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

<b>E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY22 in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	0
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement</b>	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	0
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	0
<b># Subject Relevant Data Not Available</b>	0
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	0
<b># Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations</b>	0
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service</b>	0
<b># Victim Relevant Data Not Available</b>	0
<b>E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY22 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs") in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	0
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs</b>	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	0
<b># Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	0
<b># Subject Relevant Data Not Available</b>	0
<b># Victims in MP investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	0
<b># Service Member Victims in MP investigations</b>	0
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	0
<b># Victim Relevant Data Not Available</b>	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

Victims in Investigation Completed in FY22 in Combat Areas of Interest	Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY22												
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses							Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)				
<b>F1. Gender of Victims</b>	2	1	28	0	0	41	0	1	1	0	83		
# Male	1	0	27	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	18		
# Female	1	1	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	65		
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
<b>F2. Age of Victims</b>	2	1	28	0	0	41	0	1	1	0	83		
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
# 16-19	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12		
# 20-24	1	1	17	0	0	18	0	1	1	0	35		
# 25-34	0	0	9	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	23		
# 35-49	1	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	10		
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1		
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
<b>F3. Victim Type</b>	2	1	28	0	0	41	0	1	1	0	83		
# Service Member	0	1	28	0	0	40	0	1	1	0	81		
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1		
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
<b>F3. Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	2	1	28	0	0	40	0	1	1	0	81		
# E1-E4	1	1	15	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	48		
# E5-E9	1	0	10	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	24		
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
# O1-O3	0	0	3	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	8		
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
<b>F5. Service of Service Member Victims</b>	2	1	28	0	0	40	0	1	1	0	81		
# Army	1	1	8	0	0	18	0	1	0	0	28		
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	14		
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2		
# Air Force	0	0	14	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	36		
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
<b>F6. Status of Service Member Victims</b>	2	1	28	0	0	40	0	1	1	0	81		
# Active Duty	0	0	25	0	0	29	0	0	0	0	62		
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	1	0	0	10	0	1	1	0	14		
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	5		
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY22 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY22										FY22 Totals
	Penetrating Offenses					Contact Offenses					
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 123)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
<b>G1. Gender of Subjects</b>	5	3	29	0	0	36	0	2	1	7	83
# Male	2	2	15	0	0	30	0	1	1	6	63
# Female	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
# Unknown	1	1	9	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	15
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G2. Age of Subjects</b>	5	3	29	0	0	36	0	2	1	7	83
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# 20-24	0	0	7	0	0	11	0	1	1	2	21
# 25-34	0	0	5	0	0	11	0	1	0	3	24
# 35-49	0	0	5	0	0	10	0	1	0	1	17
# 50-64	0	0	7	0	0	10	0	0	0	1	17
# 65 and older	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
# Unknown	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G3. Subject Type</b>	5	3	29	0	0	36	0	2	1	7	83
# Service Member	0	0	29	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	54
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DOD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DOD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects</b>	0	0	29	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	54
# E1-E4	0	0	7	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	19
# E5-E9	0	0	12	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	29
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G5. Service of Service Member Subjects</b>	0	0	29	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	54
# Army	0	0	20	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	24
# Navy	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
# Marines	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# Air Force	0	0	3	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	25
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G6. Status of Service Member Subjects</b>	0	0	20	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	54
# Active Duty	0	0	15	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	48
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	6
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY22 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY22 Totals	H.I. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY22 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY22 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY22, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	1		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	0		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	0		
# Subjects - Other	1		
# Subjects in investigations completed in FY22 Note: These are Subjects from Tab 1b, Cells B79, B59, B77.	92	# Victims in investigations completed in FY22	83
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY22	31	# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY22	52
# Total Subjects with allegations unfounded by a Military Criminal Investigative Organization	5	# Total Victims associated with MCIO unfounded allegations	5
# Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	3	# Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	5
# Non-Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Non-Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Unidentified Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0		
# Subjects with Subject data not yet available and with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Victims with Victim data not yet available and involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	21		
# Unknown Offenders	17	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	3
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	4	# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	4
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	3
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	1
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	9	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	1	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	8	# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0	# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	1
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	0	# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	7
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	1
		# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	0
		# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	0
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	41	# Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available	41
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2022	16		
# FY22 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	16	# FY22 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action	17
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	4	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial referrals against Subject	3
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	1
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	1
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	3	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	4
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial referrals for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	3	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	5
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	3	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	3

Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

DoD COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
<b>A. FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports</b>	<b>90</b>
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	90
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22*</b>	<b>8</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	8
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Total Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	<b>82</b>
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	82
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories</b>	<b>82</b>
# Service Member on Service Member	61
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	9
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	6
<b>B. INCIDENT DETAILS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Reported sexual assaults occurring</b>	<b>82</b>
# On military installation	67
# Off military installation	15
# Unidentified location	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report</b>	<b>82</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	11
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	4
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	5
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	6
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	44
# Relevant Data Not Available	12
<b>Time of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>82</b>
# Midnight to 6 am	25
# 6 am to 6 pm	14
# 6 pm to midnight	33
# Unknown	9
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
<b>Day of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>82</b>
# Sunday	10
# Monday	6
# Tuesday	8
# Wednesday	4
# Thursday	11
# Friday	14
# Saturday	17
# Relevant Data Not Available	12
<b>C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims</b>	<b>82</b>
# Army Victims	31
# Navy Victims	8
# Marines Victims	3
# Air Force Victims	40
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY22 Totals
<b>Gender of Victims</b>	<b>82</b>
# Male	22
# Female	60
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Age of Victims at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>82</b>
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	3
# 20-24	30
# 25-34	40
# 35-49	8
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
<b>Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>82</b>
# E1-E4	24
# E5-E9	40
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	9
# O4-O10	9
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Status of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>82</b>
# Active Duty	68
# Reserve (Activated)	12
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	2
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Victim Type</b>	<b>82</b>
# Service Member	82
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# Non-Service Member	0
# Foreign National	0
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service</b>	<b>0</b>
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	0
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	0
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	20.25
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	26.21
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	1
<b>G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY22 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22</b>	<b>2</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	2
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>TOTAL # FY22 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>82</b>
Afghanistan	13
Bahrain	4
Djibouti	3
Iraq	17
Jordan	5
Kosovo	1
Kuwait	11
Lebanon	0
Pakistan	0
Qatar	17
Saudi Arabia	3
Somalia	0
Syria	0
Turkey	2
Uae	6
Yemen	0
* The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.	

Support Services in Combat Areas of Interest

DoD CAI FY22 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>	
<b>A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>392</b>
# Medical	33
# Behavioral Health	68
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)	71
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	43
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	93
# DoD Safe Helpline	36
# Other	48
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>20</b>
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	2
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	6
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	
# Other	12
<b># Cases where SAFE kits were conducted</b>	<b>9</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>B. FY22 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>
<b># Military Protective Orders issued during FY22</b>	<b>9</b>
<b># Reported MPO Violations in FY22</b>	<b>0</b>
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	0
<i>*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk for the Victim.</i>	
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	1
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	18
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	1
<b>C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>332</b>
# Medical	33
# Behavioral Health	63
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	47
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	42
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	64
# DoD Safe Helpline	43
# Other	40
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>17</b>
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	5
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	6
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	
# Other	6
<b># Cases where SAFE kits were conducted</b>	<b>6</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>



Support Services in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

CIVILIAN DATA	
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY22 Totals
<b>D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:</b>	3
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	3
<b>D2. Gender of Non-Service Members</b>	3
# Male	0
# Female	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident</b>	3
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	1
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
<b>D4. Non-Service Member Type</b>	3
# DoD Civilian	1
# DoD Contractor	1
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# US Civilian	1
# Foreign National	0
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>D5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	5
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	2
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	1
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	1
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	0
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># Cases where SAFE kits were conducted</b>	0
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	0
<b>E. FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report</b>	0
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	0
<b># Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:</b>	0
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims</b>	0
# Male	0
# Female	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident</b>	0
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E4. VICTIM Type</b>	0
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources</b>	0
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	0
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># Cases where SAFE kits were conducted</b>	0
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	0



## Appendix E: Safe Helpline Data



## Appendix E: Safe Helpline Data

The Department of Defense (DoD) Safe Helpline (SHL) is the Department’s crisis support service specially designed for members of the DoD community affected by sexual assault. SHL is secure, confidential, anonymous, and available 24/7 worldwide. Its availability ensures that survivors, their families, and other DoD stakeholders impacted by sexual assault have a place to safely talk about their experiences, express concerns, and obtain information. As such, SHL is often a first step in the reporting process and a key source of support for victims who might not otherwise reach out for help through military channels, as well as a point-of-entry for victims before making an official report of their assault to a Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) or Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocate (SAPR VA).

This summary provides an overview of users served and services provided by SHL in Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 (FY22). Given the wide variety of users that contact SHL, we limited our analysis sample by screening out sessions where there was clearly no military affiliation of the user, perpetrator, or victim.

### Usage and Outreach

In FY22, 35,501 active users (27,050 online users and 8,451 phone users) contacted SHL for services (see Figure 1).<sup>1</sup>




Figure 1. SHL Online and Telephone User Sessions

Additionally, the SHL team continued to promote awareness of SHL as a unique resource that helps victims, their family and friends, and SAPR programs in the field by conducting outreach

<sup>1</sup> SHL was able to improve data collection to delineate between active and inactive sessions. “Active chats” refer to chats in which one or more messages were sent by a user, whereas “inactive chats” are those in which a user did not send messages after connecting. The FY21 and FY22 data shown in Figure 1 include only active users, whereas years prior include both active and inactive users.

activities at individual bases and installations. This year, the SHL team led 68 events and increased online outreach efforts.

## Phone and Online Sessions

---

The data in this section is relevant to the experience of users from the military community. What follows are “snapshots” of experiences by SHL users that happened to disclose relevant information during their conversations with SHL staff. Since each of these “snapshots” involves different subsets of SHL users, we caution against drawing broad conclusions about the experiences of all persons using the helpline or military sexual assault victims in general. While some user demographic and experience data are captured and summarized in this report, SHL does not record personally identifying information about users that contact the service for anonymous assistance, nor does SHL report out information that could potentially identify individual users.

The analysis of users and services provided is based on anonymous data obtained through calls and online chats. Information is never solicited. As a result, SHL staff do not always know if callers are currently a Service member, a retired or separated member, or in some other status. Users either called SHL or engaged in a chat session with one of the service’s operators. As such, analyses rely on information disclosed during a session and exclude cases with unknown information.

An important statistic is that three in ten victims had not disclosed their assault to anyone before visiting the SHL. Further, of those who discussed adult sexual assault, the majority had not yet reported to a military authority. The FY22 findings demonstrate how SHL serves as an important bridge to victim assistance, reporting, and recovery. Key FY22 findings are summarized below and are based on 2,239 in-depth session assessment forms completed by staff immediately at the end of online or phone sessions.

### User Characteristics

Users primarily identified themselves as victims contacting SHL to discuss issues related to their own sexual assault: of the 1,484 sessions in which an event was discussed and user/victim relationship was known, 87 percent identified themselves as victims (N=1,290). In addition to victims, other users identified themselves as friends, family members, and intimate partners of victims. Allied professionals and SARCs seeking information about services also used SHL. Some users called on behalf of a victim to learn how they could provide support and help prevent re-victimization. While women were the most frequent users, the available gender data indicated that just more than one-third of phone users (35 percent) were men.

### Events Discussed

- Sessions were primarily focused on incidents of rape and sexual assault (82 percent), while some also involved issues such as physical assault (4 percent), sexual harassment (5 percent), abuse not otherwise specified (6 percent), technology-facilitated abuse (2 percent), and stalking (0.4 percent). Qualitative data also revealed instances of hazing.
- SHL continues to help people dealing with both recent situations and past trauma from many years ago. Of the 716 sessions that referenced the timeframe of the assault, more than half (52 percent) of assaults occurred within the last month of the individual contacting SHL, while 22 percent occurred more than five years ago.
- While most events discussed took place when the victim was an adult, nearly one out of six (16 percent) involved a victim who disclosed he/she was a minor at the time of the incident (e.g., allegations of incest and other forms of child sexual abuse child). At the


time of contact with SHL, 89 percent of users were believed to be adults, as assessed by staff.

- Data suggest that SHL is an important resource for those at risk for re-victimization. Of the 881 sessions that referenced the frequency of assault, 17 percent involved situations that were “repeated and still occurring.” The ongoing nature of assault varied by the type of event considered to be of primary importance and emphasis in the session. While 11 percent of sexual assault incidents were considered ongoing, victimization was ongoing for 53 percent of cases in which sexual harassment was the primary event, and for 62 percent of cases in which physical assault was the primary event.
- Victim-alleged perpetrator relationship was discussed in two-thirds of sessions involving an event (68 percent). Of those that disclosed a relationship, alleged perpetrators were commonly categorized as military coworker (21 percent), family member other than spouse (17 percent), intimate partner/spouse (20 percent), friend/acquaintance (12 percent), senior Service member (12 percent), and stranger/person briefly known (8 percent). While infrequent, perpetrators occasionally included friends/partners of a family member (3 percent), medical or service providers (2 percent), and non-military authority figures (2 percent).
- When the gender of the alleged perpetrator was disclosed (N=757), alleged perpetrators were primarily men (92 percent).
- The alleged perpetrator’s status as a minor or adult was revealed in less than half of events discussed (43 percent). In these cases, alleged perpetrators were mostly adults (95 percent), and less often minors (5 percent).

## Disclosure

The majority of victims (53 percent) discussed whether or not they had previously disclosed their assault to any other party. Of those that discussed disclosure, nearly one-third (30 percent) indicated they were disclosing an incident for the first time on SHL, while more than two-thirds (70 percent) had previously disclosed to someone else before contacting SHL. Disclosure in this context does not necessarily mean making an official report. It could simply mean that they told someone about their experience.

Online users were more likely than telephone users to disclose for the first time on SHL. As shown in Figure 2 below, 39 percent of online users, compared to 15 percent of phone users, disclosed for the first time on SHL.



**Figure 2. Disclosure by Type of Interaction**

Analyses of those who had previously disclosed revealed a mix of disclosure recipients (i.e., persons to whom the victim disclosed), indicating both formal and informal support. Of victims who discussed disclosure recipients, more than half (60 percent) disclosed to a formal support provider such as SAPR personnel or a medical or mental health professional, and nearly one-fourth (24 percent) to a friend. Family members and intimate (or former) partners were also frequently mentioned (23 percent and 12 percent, respectively).

Additional data explored victims' disclosure experiences. About half of victims who previously disclosed (47 percent) discussed the reactions of those to whom they disclosed. Many of these users discussed negative reactions (59 percent), such as instances where they were treated differently, where recipients of the disclosure dismissed their allegation, took control of the allegation away from the victim, or blamed the victim for the sexual assault. Other users discussed positive reactions (22 percent), such as being offered emotional support and being believed, and being offered tangible aid or informational support. The remaining users discussed some combination of positive and negative reactions or did not provide enough information to determine whether the reaction was positive or negative.

### Reporting Concerns

Users frequently contact SHL to discuss reporting-related concerns and connect to resources that might ultimately lead to an official report. SHL fulfills victims' needs to disclose in a safe context, receive validation, and express their concerns safely and securely. As such, SHL helps to build confidence in the reporting process for victims who are reluctant to use military resources.

To provide a focused examination of reporting-related concerns, analyses were based on a sample of 907 users who identified as victims of adult sexual assault. Within this sample, the majority of cases where military affiliation was known involved both a military-affiliated victim and military-affiliated alleged perpetrator at the time of the event. The session assessment captures information about reporting-related concerns (e.g., barriers to reporting, motivations for reporting, and negative experiences in reporting). Key findings are as follows:

- Just under half of victims (48 percent) stated that they had not yet filed a report, underscoring that SHL serves as an important resource for providing victim assistance, understanding reporting options, and learning about recovery. Only 10 percent of users had already made a report to a military authority, while 42 percent did not disclose their reporting status.

- Victims discussed multiple motivations for reporting. Of the 103 victims who discussed their motivations for reporting, those most frequently mentioned were: to stop the alleged offender from hurting others (37 percent), to punish the alleged offender (32 percent), to stop the alleged offender from hurting the victim again (27 percent), and to seek mental health assistance (26 percent).<sup>2</sup>
- Barriers to medical care were also discussed and were often intertwined with reporting-related concerns. Some victims stated they did not seek medical care because they felt afraid or because they did not want anyone to know. Key themes from qualitative data included fear of being reported while seeking medical care. Some users, particularly those without access to transportation, discussed the lack of accessible medical care.

### Barriers to Reporting

Of the 907 users who identified as victims of adult sexual assault, about one in six victims (16 percent) discussed perceiving one or more barriers to reporting their incident. Of these 147 victims who discussed barriers to reporting, nearly two-thirds (60 percent) discussed one or more barriers that reflected a lack of confidence in the system, including concerns about not being believed (32 percent), the report not being kept confidential (27 percent), and that nothing would be done about their report (29 percent). Additionally, 37 percent of users discussed fear of retaliation. Retaliation fears included reprisal (62 percent), cruelty or maltreatment (46 percent), and ostracism (42 percent; users expressed more than one concern about retaliation). Qualitative data analyses identified themes relating to victims' reporting-related concerns, which included concerns about confidentiality and not knowing how to report.

### Perceived Problems with Reporting

Of the 907 users who identified as victims of adult sexual assault, 88 indicated they had made a report of sexual assault to a military authority. Of these 88, half discussed problems encountered during the process or as a consequence of filing a report. These 38 users who indicated having made a report noted they experienced problems such as lack of responsiveness to their allegation, lack of respect by responders, and perceived retaliation.

### Topics Discussed

The assessment captured information about topics discussed and services provided for all sessions where the user identified as a victim of an incident. Key findings were as follows:

- Nearly two-thirds (59 percent) of victims discussed specific emotions (e.g., anger, worry, sadness/despair) related to an assault. Mental health concerns (37 percent) were also frequently discussed. Two-fifths of users who brought up mental health topics also discussed mental health services/counseling. Anxiety, flashbacks related to the assault, and depression, for example, were also frequently discussed. Further, suicide was discussed in 5 percent of sessions where the user indicated being a victim.
- SHL staff frequently indicated working on problem solving or safety planning with users. SHL staff provided qualitative descriptions of problem solving and safety planning in 278 sessions. Across problem solving and safety planning, common themes included discussing means of self-care to improve mental health, brainstorming ways to avoid interacting with the alleged perpetrator, talking about the potential impact of disclosing the assault to a third party, discussing contacting authorities/reporting, obtaining medical

---

<sup>2</sup> Percentages do not total to 100 percent because SHL staff were able to select more than one reason for reporting as disclosed by the user.

attention, understanding consent, and empowering the user to define their own experience.

### Concerns of Men Who Disclose Victimization

SHL plays a key role in the Department's efforts to enhance support and resources for male Service members impacted by sexual assault. Staff receive specialized training in working with male survivors, which covers topics including social expectations, effects specific to male survivors, and scenarios and exercises to practice engaging with male survivors.

While in prior years, men were more likely than women to disclose their assault for the first time on SHL, this difference was no longer significant in FY22. Specifically, 29 percent of men and 25 percent of women disclosed for the first time to SHL.

Similarly, there was no significant difference between men and women about the timeframe of events. Men and women were equally likely to discuss past events (i.e., events that occurred one or more years ago).

### Referrals to Military Resources

While many users reach out to SHL to disclose their assault and seek emotional support, only a portion of users were ready to receive referrals to other service providers. Of the 1,290 sessions where the user identified as the victim:

- 26 percent of users accepted referrals to military resources in general;
- 18 percent specifically accepted a referral to a SARC;
- 6 percent of users stated they had already accessed or attempted to access military services prior to contacting SHL; and
- 24 percent of sessions involved a referral to civilian services.

### User Feedback

FY22 user feedback was based on 74 phone and 2,580 online sessions for which users completed a comment card. Satisfaction ratings were obtained on a scale from of 1 to 5 on several domains, including ease of use, satisfaction with staffer knowledge and service, likelihood to recommend SHL, and intent to use resources provided. Average ratings across all phone and online users ranged from 4.28 (ease of use) to 4.03 (likelihood to use the resources provided). Of note, these are ratings from persons who received individualized assistance from SHL operators. These ratings are substantially higher than surveyed ratings of SHL, that may include others who simply accessed information and may not have received individualized assistance.

### Additional Resources

---

#### SafeHelpline.org

In FY22, SafeHelpline.org website was visited 5,438,671 times. This was a 4 percent increase from FY21 (5,209,682 website visits).

#### SHL Educational Tools

In FY22, SHL launched a new online self-paced educational program for service providers and allied professionals, *Brainstorming to Support Healing*. In addition, a video to educate survivors on the process of Sexual Assault Forensic Exams alongside a webpage with additional information was developed and viewed by 3,719 visitors. SHL also continued to attract users to the previously launched self-paced courses, *Suicide 101: Responding to Suicidal Ideation*



*Among Survivors of Sexual Assault, Transitioning Service Members, Building Hope & Resiliency, How to Support a Survivor, and Safe Helpline 101.* For FY22, there were 2,726 total website users for the online self-paced educational programs.

### Safe HelpRoom

Safe HelpRoom is an anonymous, moderated online group chat service available 24 hours a day, seven days a week. This resource allows individuals who have experienced sexual assault in the military to connect and support each other, minimizing geographic and other barriers victims may encounter accessing in person peer support. On 15 November 2021, the structure of Safe HelpRoom changed from a 24/7 schedule to a regularly scheduled, topic specific format bi-weekly on Monday and Thursday 1900 to 2100. After the new Safe HelpRoom model was implemented, 126 users joined 41 sessions. The number of users in each session ranged from 1 to 8. The amount of time spent in a session ranged from less than a minute to one hour and 53 minutes (median = 2 minutes).

In May 2018, the Department launched Local Safe HelpRoom, which leverages Safe HelpRoom technology and empowers local SARCs and SAPR VAs to operate their own online, moderated sessions. D-SAACP certified SARCs and SAPR VAs are trained as moderators and are able to host Safe HelpRoom sessions for their communities. A total of 174 SARCs and SAPR VAs registered for Local Safe HelpRoom, 96 of whom completed their moderator training.

### Prison Rape Elimination Act Hotline

SHL serves as a hotline for individuals assaulted in military correctional facilities, playing a key role in the Department's implementation of the requirements of the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA). In addition to providing crisis intervention, information, and referrals, staff assist callers with Unrestricted, Anonymous, and Third-party reports. Specifically, staff facilitate Anonymous and Unrestricted reports via the DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (SAPRO) and can provide warm handoffs to SARCs for Unrestricted reports. In FY22, SHL received 19 calls from users in military correctional facilities. Such calls are forwarded to SARCs identified as supporting correctional facilities.

### Limitations of SHL Data

---

- Analyses are based on a sample of users who contacted SHL; the sample is not representative of all SHL users or the DoD community at large.
- Assessment data are based on information that SHL users spontaneously discuss in session; SHL staff do not solicit information from users for research purposes.
- Analyses are based on disclosed information, while information not disclosed is excluded from analysis. This limitation may affect estimates; for example, men may be less likely than women to disclose their gender because of stigma. Online users who only "chat" with an SHL operator may therefore be disproportionately male but listed as "unknown" gender.



## Appendix F: Sexual Harassment Assessment



## Appendix F: Sexual Harassment Assessment

---

Sexual harassment has no place in the Department of Defense (DoD). In policy and in practice, DoD strives to provide an atmosphere of dignity and respect for all Service members and an environment free from sexually harassing behaviors. DoD's goal is to provide the highest quality response and to hold offenders appropriately accountable. All Service members who experience sexual harassment should feel free to report the behavior without fear of reprisal or retaliation.

This appendix reports on complaints of sexual harassment received by the Military Services in Fiscal Year 2022 (FY22), from October 1, 2021 to September 30, 2022.

### Definition of Sexual Harassment

For purposes of identifying the types of allegations which must be investigated as sexual harassment complaints, section 1561 of Title 10,<sup>1</sup> United States Code (U.S.C.), defines "sexual harassment" as conduct that:

- Involves unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and deliberate or repeated offensive comments or gestures of a sexual nature when:
  - Submission to such conduct is made either explicitly or implicitly a term or condition of a person's job, pay, or career;
  - Submission to or rejection of such conduct by a person is used as a basis for career or employment decisions affecting that person; or
  - Such conduct has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual's work performance or creates an intimidating, hostile, or offensive working environment; and
- Is so severe or pervasive that a reasonable person would perceive, and the victim does perceive, the environment as hostile or offensive.

In addition, section 1561 of Title 10, (U.S.C.), defines "sexual harassment" to include:

- Any use or condonation, by any person in a supervisory or command position, of any form of sexual behavior to control, influence, or affect the career, pay, or job of a Service member or DoD civilian employee.
- Any deliberate or repeated unwelcome verbal comment<sup>2</sup> or gesture of a sexual nature by a Service member or DoD civilian employee.

### Top Line Results of FY22 Substantiated Complaints

---

Subsequent to the conclusion of a commander-directed investigation, complaints of sexual harassment are found to be substantiated or unsubstantiated based on the evidence obtained. In FY22, there were 3,201 complaints of sexual harassment reported by the Military Services. Of those complaints, 1,053 were substantiated. Of the total substantiated complaints, 66 percent were reported as formal complaints, 29 percent were reported as informal complaints, and 5 percent were reported anonymously.

---

<sup>1</sup> When the amendments to section 1561 of Title 10, U.S.C., become effective on December 27, 2023, this statutory definition of "sexual harassment" will change to conduct that constitutes the offense of sexual harassment as punishable under section 934 of title 10, U.S.C.

<sup>2</sup> Includes behavior conducted through electronic means, or social media.

## Oversight Responsibilities

---

The DoD Office for Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (ODEI), under the purview of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (USD(P&R)) and the Executive Director, Force Resiliency (EDFR), is responsible for DoD's Military Equal Opportunity (MEO) Program, which prohibits discrimination against Service members on the basis of sex. In addition, ODEI is responsible for Department-wide anti-harassment policy. The EDFR, through the Prevention Collaboration Forum (PCF), oversees the development and implementation of policies for the primary prevention of harmful behaviors.

### DoD Harassment Prevention and Response Policy

The Department has multiple policies that seek to prevent and appropriately respond to sexual harassment of Service members.

Department of Defense Instruction (DoDI) 1350.02, "DoD Military Equal Opportunity Program," September 4, 2020, incorporating Change 1, December 20, 2022:

- Establishes policy, assigns responsibilities, and provides procedures for the DoD MEO Program.
- Prohibits discrimination against Service members on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex (including pregnancy), gender identity, or sexual orientation.

DoDI 1020.03, "Harassment Prevention and Response in the Armed Forces," February 8, 2018, incorporating Change 2, December 20, 2022:

- Provides requirements for Military Department harassment prevention and response policies and programs for Service members.
- Provides harassment prevention and response procedures for Service member complaints and standards for training and education requirements.
- Supplements the DoD Retaliation Prevention and Response Strategy (RPRS) Implementation Plan for sexual harassment complaints involving retaliation.

DoDI 6400.11, "DoD Integrated Primary Prevention Policy for Prevention Workforce and Leaders," December 20, 2022:

- Establishes and implements policy, assigns responsibilities, prescribes procedures, and identifies requirements for addressing primary prevention of harmful behaviors, as defined in the glossary, in military communities.
- Establishes roles, requirements, and training and education standards for full-time and part-time Integrated Primary Prevention personnel.
- Establishes learning objectives for leaders to oversee and support prevention activities.
- Provides assessment and evaluation requirements for Integrated Primary Prevention oversight.

## Department Initiatives

---

### Diversity and Inclusion

Through the Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Accessibility (DEIA) Strategic Plan for Fiscal Years 2022-2023, DoD is advancing initiatives to create and maintain a safe, respectful, and inclusive work environment where all personnel can thrive and successfully contribute to DoD's mission of deterring war and keeping the Nation secure. Fostering a dignified and healthy work

environment by minimizing risks to the physical, mental, and emotional well-being of the workforce is a critical element of realizing DoD's vision to continuously serve as a model employer. To create a safe work environment and culture that does not tolerate harassment, DoD leaders must consistently demonstrate their commitment to preventing and addressing the continuum of harms the workforce may face. Furthermore, the Department must provide its global workforce with ready access to support in the form of tools, policies, and other resources. In recent years, the Department has implemented efforts and programs to prevent and respond to all forms of harassment, including sexual harassment. These efforts, with key policies, procedures, and practices outlined below, form the foundation for DoD to craft a comprehensive and synchronized framework to prevent and address all forms of workforce harassment, discrimination, or retaliation.

The Department commissioned a study by the RAND Corporation: Optimization of Harassment Response for Service Members IRC C1. The study was executed August 10, 2022. To date, the policy and program review, sample approach, protocol development have been completed. Interviews and focus groups with MEO experts, and designated personnel within chosen career fields are in progress. Upon completion of the interviews and focus groups, RAND will analyze the data and document findings.

### **Harassment Prevention**

On September 11, 2020, DoD published DoDI 6400.09, "DoD Policy on Integrated Primary Prevention of Self-Directed Harm and Prohibited Abuse or Harm." This policy requires establishment of a prevention system and use of integrated, data-informed actions to identify risk of harm, protective factors, and prevent self-directed harm and prohibited abusive or harmful acts, such as harassment, sexual assault, and domestic abuse. As these integrated prevention policies and programs are developed and fully implemented, they will work to reduce harm by addressing the needs of high-risk groups; implementing safety measures for high-risk on-base locations and on social media; encouraging personnel to seek help early and without stigma; providing tools to leaders to address destructive behaviors early before they escalate; providing advocacy in a victim-centered, trauma-informed, and culturally competent manner; and providing communication strategy training and education.

In addition, DoD is working to prevent harassment in the work environment through the Prevention Plan of Action (PPoA) 2.0 (2022–2024), currently under development.

### **Harassment Response**

Along with comprehensive and integrated prevention approaches, DoD has undertaken recent initiatives to respond to the harms employees may face both in and out of the work environment, to include sexual harassment.

DoDI 1020.03, "Harassment Prevention and Response in the Armed Forces," is being updated to include new language and definition of independent investigator. Section 546 of the FY23 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) updates the amendments to 10 U.S.C. §1561 originally made by Sec. 543 of the FY22 NDAA. The update includes a definition for "independent investigator," which was left undefined in the previous legislation

Per Secretary of Defense Lloyd Austin's guidance to accept the recommendations from the Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military (IRC) where possible, the

Department developed a tiered implementation roadmap to implement the recommendations, with appropriate modifications, as approved by the Secretary. The four tiers are:

- Building Foundation and Infrastructure;
- Incorporating Best-in-practices Strategy;
- Expanding Effective Practices; and
- Expanding External Programs.

This iterative tiered implementation approach allowed for the initial effort to build out the base and foundational infrastructure to ensure a concrete sexual assault prevention program, and for modifications and Service-and other Component-specific adaptation implementation plan, the Services and other relevant Components developed full Implementation Plans covering all four tiers.

As part of Secretary of Defense Austin's Immediate Actions on Sexual Assault and Harassment, DoD conducted a pilot On-Site Installation Evaluation (OSIE) effort at select military sites. The OSIE's objective is to develop insights on risk and identify protective factors regarding how the Department can effectively address risk for sexual assault, harassment, and suicide. This effort is ongoing.

The Department's framework for establishing a safe work environment that prevents and responds to harassment, sexual assault, and other types of behaviors already includes several statutory and policy-based data collection, analysis, and reporting requirements. In addition, the efforts informed by the IRC, PPoA and OSIEs above have their own respective timelines for implementation. Consequently, the Department will continue to engage with relevant DoD stakeholders to identify ways to overcome barriers to a safe work environment, free from harassment, sexual assault, and other types of behaviors.

The Department stood up a new integrated primary prevention workforce to implement initiatives to reduce experiences of sexual assault, domestic violence, self-harm, and sexual harassment.

Finally, the Department continues to expand its data collection and gathering tools. Using surveys, DoD can augment its knowledge of the prevalence of sexual harassment, sexual assault, gender discrimination, and other workplace climate indicators. Moreover, the results of command climate surveys provide key insights into Service member and civilian employee perceptions of the work environment. These data points combined with official reports of crimes/violations and key demographic data are critical in analyzing trends in work environment climates and evaluating the effectiveness of mitigation efforts aimed at reducing these problematic behaviors.

## Overall FY22 Complaint Totals

---

Service members may make a sexual harassment complaint using formal, informal, or anonymous procedures. A formal complaint is a complaint submitted in writing to the staff designated to receive such complaints in Military Department operating instructions and regulations, or an informal complaint which the commanding officer or other person in charge of the organization determines warrants an investigation. For this report, sexual harassment complaint data was gathered from MEO offices of the Military Services in the Departments of

the Navy and Air Force, and for the Department of the Army, its Sexual Harassment/Assault Response and Prevention Programs.

An informal complaint is an allegation, made either orally or in writing, that is not submitted as a formal complaint through the office designated to receive harassment complaints. The allegation may be submitted to a person in a position of authority within or outside of the Service member's organization. Such complaints may be resolved at the lowest level through intervention by the first-line supervisor and/or using alternative dispute resolution techniques such as informal mediation.

An anonymous complaint is considered neither formal nor informal, and is an allegation received by a commanding officer or supervisor, regardless of the means of transmission, from an unknown or unidentified source, alleging sexual harassment. The complainant is not required to divulge any personally identifiable information. If an anonymous complaint contains sufficient information to permit the initiation of an investigation, the commanding officer or supervisor will initiate the investigation in accordance with DoDI 1020.03, "Harassment Prevention and Response in the Armed Forces," and any Service-specific guidance. If an anonymous complaint does not contain sufficient information to permit the initiation of an investigation, the information should be documented in a Memorandum for the Record and maintained on file in accordance with disposition instructions and the central point of contact responsible for processing harassment complaints.

Sexual harassment incidents that involve nonconsensual distribution of private sexual images (NDPSI) are included within the total sexual harassment allegation numbers, and some are provided in a category of its own. Based on the way the data is collected from the Military Departments, some of the allegations of NDPSI are counted as their own problematic behavior type.<sup>3</sup>

## Formal Complaints

During FY22, the Military Services and the National Guard Bureau (NGB) received, processed, and investigated a total of 1,872 formal sexual harassment complaints. The data indicate a 7 percent increase in FY22 from the 1,732 formal complaints that were received, processed, and investigated in FY21.

Of the total formal sexual harassment complaints, 60 percent of formal complaints were resolved,<sup>4</sup> 21 percent of formal complaints remained pending at the close of the FY, and the statuses of 19 percent of formal complaints were unknown.<sup>5</sup>

Subsequent to the conclusion of a commander-directed investigation, complaints of sexual harassment are found to be substantiated or unsubstantiated based on the evidence obtained. Of the resolved complaints filed in FY22, 60 percent were substantiated, 36 percent were unsubstantiated, 1 percent were dismissed, 2 percent were referred to other agencies, and 1 percent were withdrawn.

---

<sup>3</sup> The Marine Corps interprets NDPSI as an offense completely separate from sexual harassment.

<sup>4</sup> A complaint is resolved when it is substantiated, unsubstantiated, dismissed, referred, or withdrawn.

<sup>5</sup> "Unknown" includes standalone cases of NDPSI, or the status was not reported by the Military Services.

## **Informal Complaints**

In FY22, the Military Services and NGB received, processed, and addressed a total of 1,147 informal sexual harassment complaints. At the close of the FY, 44 percent of complaints were resolved, 7 percent were pending, and the statuses of 49 percent of formal complaints were unknown. Of the resolved complaints, 59 percent were substantiated, 34 percent were unsubstantiated, 2 percent were dismissed, 3 percent were referred to other agencies, and 2 percent were withdrawn.

## **Anonymous Complaints**

During FY22, 185 sexual harassment complaints were filed anonymously. Of those, 53 percent of the complaints were resolved, 15 percent of complaints remained open pending resolution, and the status of 32 percent of the remaining complaints were unknown. Of the resolved anonymous complaints, 58 percent were substantiated, and 37 percent were unsubstantiated. The remaining resolved anonymous complaints were either dismissed 2 percent or withdrawn 3 percent, and none were referred.


In Exhibit E1, the case statuses of the FY22 formal, informal, and anonymous complaints are cross-referenced with complaint type: substantiated, unsubstantiated, dismissed, or other. "Other" includes complaints that have a "Referred," "Withdrawn," "Inconclusive," "Pending," or "Unknown" case status.



## Exhibit E1

### Sexual Harassment Case Status by Complaint Type

● Formal = (N = 1,872) ● Informal = (N = 1,147) ● Anonymous = (N = 185)



## NDPSI

Section 537 of the NDAA for FY18 requires collection of information about sexual harassment incidents that involve NDPSI. Allegations of NDPSI are counted within the total sexual harassment allegation numbers and as standalone incidents.

### Formal Complaints – NDPSI

In FY22, the Military Services and NGB received, processed, and investigated 38 total formal complaints of sexual harassment involving an allegation of NDPSI. Of the total formal complaints, 84 percent were related to an associated incident of sexual harassment and 16 percent were standalone allegations. Across the total formal complaints involving an allegation of NDPSI, 74 percent of allegations of NDPSI were substantiated, 2 percent were unsubstantiated, 24 percent were pending resolution, and none were dismissed, referred to other agencies, or withdrawn.

There were 39 offenders associated with the 28 substantiated formal allegations of NDPSI. Of those, 51 disciplinary actions were administered. Of the 51 disciplinary actions, 23 were administrative actions, 15 were non-judicial punishment, 4 were unknown, 7 received some other form of corrective action, and 2 corrective actions were pending.

### Informal Complaints – NDPSI

In FY22, there were 33 total informal complaints of sexual harassment involving an allegation of NDPSI. Of the total informal complaints, 94 percent were related to an associated incident of sexual harassment and six percent of complaints involved only NDPSI. Across the informal complaints, 91 percent of NDPSI allegations were substantiated, 3 percent were unsubstantiated, 6 percent were pending resolution, and none were dismissed, referred, or withdrawn.

There were 6 offenders associated with the 30 substantiated informal allegations of NDPSI. Of these, no disciplinary actions were administered.

### **Anonymous Complaints – NDPSI**

In FY22, the Military Services and NGB received, processed, and investigated 3 total anonymous complaints of sexual harassment involving an allegation of NDPSI. Of the total anonymous complaints, all were related to an associated incident of sexual harassment. Across the total anonymous complaints involving an allegation of NDPSI, 100 percent were substantiated; none were unsubstantiated, pending resolution, dismissed, referred to other agencies, or withdrawn.

There were two alleged offenders associated with the three substantiated anonymous allegations of NDPSI. Of these, 2 disciplinary actions were administered.<sup>6</sup> Two of the corrective actions were administrative actions; none were non-judicial punishment or received some other form of corrective action.

### **Complainant Characteristics**

---

Examining complainant characteristics for formal, informal, and anonymous substantiated sexual harassment complaints helps to identify populations most at risk for sexual harassment.

#### **Formal Complaints – Complainant Characteristics**

There were 687 complainants associated with the 695 substantiated formal incidents of sexual harassment. Sexual harassment incidents may involve more than one complainant. In FY22:

- Complainants were predominantly women, 78 percent.
- Men comprised 22 percent of complainants.
- Enlisted members represented 92 percent of complainants.
- Officers represented 8 percent of complainants.
- Of the officer complainants, 73 percent were women.
- Warrant officers comprised fewest complainants at 0.1 percent.
- The paygrade category was unknown for 1 percent of complainants.
- Service members in paygrades E1–E4 account for 73 percent of all complainants.
- The largest single grouping of complainants by gender and paygrade were women in paygrades E1–E4 at 58 percent.
- Enlisted men in the paygrades of E1–E4 account for 15 percent of complainants.

#### **Informal Complaints – Complainant Characteristics**

The Military Services reported 267 complainants for the 301 substantiated informal incidents. Sexual harassment incidents may involve more than one complainant. In FY22:

- The gender of 11 percent of informal complainants was unknown.
- Of the complainants where gender was known, women comprised 76 percent of complainants.

---

<sup>6</sup> Substantiated offenders can receive more than one form of disciplinary action.

- Male Service members accounted for 13 percent of complainants where gender was known.
- Enlisted members comprised 66 percent of complainants where rank was known.
- Officers represented 4 percent of complainants where rank was known.
- Enlisted females are the largest single grouping of all complainants (57 percent).
- Enlisted men in paygrades E1–E4 comprised 6 percent of complainants.

### Anonymous Complaints – Complainant Characteristics

Anonymous complainants are not required to divulge any demographic or personally identifiable information. Therefore, the information about their characteristics is sparse. The Military Services reported a total of 58 complainants associated with 57 substantiated anonymous complaints. Of the complainant characteristics that were reported in FY22:

- Nine percent were female, and 7 percent were male.
- Four percent were enlisted, and 4 percent were an officer.

### Offender Characteristics

---

This section presents offender characteristics for formal and informal substantiated sexual harassment complaints. The demographics of first-time offenders and repeat offenders are also presented in this section.

#### Formal Complaints – Offender Characteristics

Of the total 606 offenders for the 695 substantiated formal incidents of sexual harassment offenders reported in FY22:

- Enlisted members comprised 86 percent.
- Men comprised 94 percent.
- Women comprised 6 percent.
- Junior enlisted comprised 40 percent.
- Officers comprised 6 percent.
- Warrant officers comprised 0 percent.

#### First-Time Offenders (Formal Complaints)

Notably, a single offender<sup>7</sup> can be associated with more than one complaint. There were 606 total offenders reported for substantiated complaints. In FY22:

- Forty-four percent were first-time offenders.
- First-time offenders were predominantly male (93 percent).
- Six percent of first-time offenders were female.

#### Repeat Offenders (Formal Complaints)

Repeat offenders, defined as having more than one complaint substantiated for sexual harassment, represented 6 percent of all offenders. In FY22:

- Men comprised 89 percent.

---

<sup>7</sup> The number of first-time offenders plus the number of repeat offenders may not add up because this status can be unknown.

- Enlisted men comprised 85 percent.
- Women in paygrades E1–E4 comprised 1 percent.
- Male junior officers comprised 2 percent.
- Men of unknown paygrades comprised 2 percent.

### **Informal Complaints – Offender Characteristics**

During FY22, there were a total of 259 offenders associated with 301 substantiated informal complaints. In FY22:

- Enlisted men comprised 53 percent.
- Male officers comprised 6 percent.
- Warrant officers comprised 0 percent.
- Female offenders comprised 4 percent.

### **First-Time Offender (Informal Complaints)**

Of the 259 total offenders for 301 substantiated informal complaints, 70 percent were first-time offenders. These first-time offenders were predominantly male. Female offenders made up 5 percent of all first-time offenders. Enlisted males accounted for the largest demographic grouping of offenders, at 65 percent.

### **Repeat Offender (Informal Complaints)**

Repeat offenders of informal substantiated complaints represented 10 percent of all informal substantiated complaints offenders. Eighty-two percent of the repeat offenders were men, 7 percent were women, and eleven percent of repeat offenders' gender was unknown. Seventy-eight percent of the repeat offenders were enlisted, and 5 percent were officers in paygrades O1–O3.

### **Anonymous Complaints – Offender Characteristics**

During FY22, there were a total of 59 offenders associated with 57 substantiated anonymous complaints. In FY22:

- Enlisted men accounted for 65 percent.
- Enlisted women accounted for 5 percent.
- Male Junior officers (O1-O3) accounted for 2 percent.
- Male Senior Officers (O4-O6) accounted for 8 percent.
- Female Junior Officers (O1-O3) accounted for 2 percent.
- Male Warrant Officers (W1-W5) accounted for 2 percent.
- Male Cadets accounted for 2 percent.
- Males in unknown paygrades accounted for 9 percent.
- No women of unknown paygrade were an offender.
- Five percent of offenders were of unknown gender and paygrade.

### **Repeat Offender (Anonymous Complaints)**

In FY22, there were no reports of repeat offender characteristics for anonymous complaints.

## Nature of Substantiated Incidents

---

Substantiated incidents of sexual harassment generally involved a hostile work environment or quid pro quo. Hostile work environment is when a person is subjected to offensive, crude, unwanted, and unsolicited comments and behavior of a sexual nature that interferes with that person's performance or creates an intimidating, hostile, or offensive work environment. Quid pro quo refers to conditions placed on a person's career or terms of employment in return for sexual favors.

For every substantiated sexual harassment complaint, there can be a combination of sexual harassment behaviors. For example, 23 percent of substantiated formal sexual harassment complaints involved both crude behavior and unwanted sexual attention. Therefore, the total allegations in each category type exceeds the overall total of complaints. For FY22, there were 1,225 allegations in the substantiated formal complaints, 356 allegations in the substantiated informal complaints, and unknown allegations in the substantiated anonymous complaints.

### Formal Complaints – Nature of Substantiated Incidents

In FY22, the nature of substantiated formal allegations of sexual harassment was:

- Crude/offensive behavior – 83 percent of 659 allegations.
- Unwanted sexual attention – 66 percent of 653 allegations.
- Sexual coercion – 8 percent of 540 allegations.
- NDPSI – 9 percent of 538 allegations.

### Informal Complaints – Nature of Substantiated Incidents

The nature of substantiated informal allegations was:

- Crude/offensive behavior – 54 percent of 301 allegations.
- Unwanted sexual attention – 52 percent of 305 allegations.
- Sexual coercion – 4 percent of 274 allegations.
- NDPSI – 3 percent of 274 allegations.

### Anonymous Complaints – Nature of Substantiated Incidents


The nature of substantiated anonymous allegations was:

- Crude/offensive behavior – 83 percent of 48 allegations.
- Unwanted attention – 67 percent of 42 allegations.
- Sexual coercion – 9 percent of 35 allegations.
- NDPSI – 6 percent of 36 allegations.

In Exhibit E2, the allegations of the FY22 formal, informal, and anonymous complaints are listed by complaint type: crude/offensive behavior, unwanted sexual attention, sexual coercion, and NDPSI.

## Exhibit E2

### Substantiated Sexual Harassment Allegations by Complaint Type



### Complainant/Alleged Offender Relationships

Relationships are calculated for every complainant to alleged offender pair. For example, in a complaint with one complainant and one alleged offender, one relationship exists. In a complaint with one complainant and two alleged offenders, two relationships exist. In FY22, there were a total of 2,843 complainant/alleged offender relationships.

The most prominent complainant versus alleged offender paygrade relationship was complainants in paygrades E1–E4 versus alleged offenders in paygrades E1–E4 (28 percent of 2,843 relationships). The second most significant paygrade relationship was complainants in paygrades E1–E4 versus alleged offenders in paygrades E5–E6 (20 percent of 2,843 relationships). The largest complainant versus alleged offender gender relationship group was female complainant versus male alleged offender (51 percent of 3,658 relationships). Additionally, 2,976 (75 percent) of complainant/alleged offender relationships were in the same unit.

### Timeliness of Reporting

DoD policy requires that, to the extent practicable, commanders will forward sexual harassment complaint information or allegations to a general court-martial convening authority (GCMCA) within 72 hours of receipt. Forty-four percent were forwarded to GCMCA in more than 72 hours of receipt. The timeliness of 56 percent was unknown.

## Corrective Actions

---

Offenders of either formal or informal complaints may receive more than one type of corrective action. For example, an offender may receive a letter of reprimand, administrative actions, and non-judicial punishment.

### Corrective Actions for Formal Complaints

In FY22, there was a total of 584 formal substantiated sexual harassment offenders that received a corrective action. Because more than one type of corrective action can be administered to each substantiated offender, there were 826 total corrective actions administered to substantiated offenders in FY22.

Represented in Exhibit E3 are the different types of corrective actions administered for formal, informal, and anonymous complaints.

### Corrective Actions for Informal Complaints


In FY22, there were 207 total offenders of substantiated informal complaints that received a corrective action. There were 257 total corrective actions administered to substantiated offenders of informal sexual harassment complaints in FY22.

### Corrective Actions for Anonymous Complaints

In FY22, there were 59 substantiated offenders that received a corrective action associated with substantiated anonymous complaints. Seventy-seven corrective actions were administered to substantiated offenders in anonymous complaints.

## Exhibit E3

### Corrective Actions Administered to Substantiated Offenders



### Retaliation Complaints

There were no retaliation referrals reported for either total formal complaints or total informal complaints.

### Way Forward

The Department recognizes the need for a DoD-wide case management system to ensure more accurate and timely data. ODEI is developing an acquisition strategy to obtain more timely, accurate, and complete data concerning Department-wide MEO/EEO discrimination, harassment, sexual harassment, and related problematic behaviors. Such a system would enable ODEI to better measure and statistically assess the progress and effectiveness of Department-wide policies and programs and fulfill DoD's reporting requirements.

The Department continues to revise its policies to provide a confidential reporting option for Service members to confidentially allege a complaint of sexual harassment to an individual outside the immediate chain of command, in accordance with Title 10, U.S.C. §1561b(b)(2).

To better support victims of sexual harassment, on September 14, 2022, USD(P&R) temporarily authorized exceptions to policy to give the Services discretion to extend sexual assault prevention and response services to sexual harassment victims. Additionally, the Department commissioned a study concerning the services that should be provided to sexual harassment victims and victims of other forms of harassment.

The Department continues to examine the outcomes of OSIE visits which provide early detection of risk factors so leaders can take corrective actions and enhance prevention. The site visits provide insights on shared risk and protective factors on the ground, what works, what



does not, how the Department can improve efforts more comprehensively, and support efforts to work the approved IRC recommendations and inform future policy development.

DoD continues to leverage every tool at its disposal to ensure the Military Departments and other DoD Components have the requisite data and tools to hold leaders, both civilian and military, appropriately accountable for promoting good order and discipline. DoD is diligently working toward a culture within every unit and organization which reduces the rates of sexually harassing behaviors, ensures those who experience sexual harassment are comfortable coming forward, and ensures harassers are held appropriately accountable.



## Appendix G: Domestic Abuse-Related Sexual Assault



## Appendix G: Domestic Abuse-Related Sexual Assault

---

The Family Advocacy Program (FAP) is the congressionally mandated Department of Defense (DoD) program responsible for providing clinical assessment, support, and treatment services in response to reported incidents of domestic abuse in military families. Sexual assault occurring within the context of a marriage or intimate partner relationship (sexual abuse) is a subset of domestic abuse.

### Oversight Responsibilities

---

Under the authority, direction, and control of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, DoD FAP has broad responsibility for promoting public awareness and prevention of domestic abuse and child abuse and neglect, providing victims of domestic abuse with the option for making a Restricted Report, and coordinating comprehensive advocacy, clinical intervention, safety and risk assessment, and other support to victims.

### Definition of Domestic Abuse

---

DoD Instruction 6400.06, “DoD Coordinated Community Response to Domestic Abuse Involving DoD Military and Certain Affiliated Personnel,” defines “domestic abuse” as domestic violence, or a pattern of behavior resulting in emotional or psychological abuse, economic control, or interference with personal liberty that is directed toward a person who is one or more of the following:

- Current or former spouse.
- Person with whom the alleged abuser shares a child in common.
- Current or former intimate partner with whom the alleged abuser shares or has shared a common domicile.
- Person who is or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the accused and determined to be an intimate partner (as defined in [DoD Instruction 6400.06]).<sup>1</sup>

Sexual assault occurring within the context of domestic abuse is referred to FAP for comprehensive safety planning, victim advocacy and support, and treatment when appropriate.

### Data

---

Comprehensive data and analysis of all domestic abuse is included in the *Report on Child Abuse and Neglect and Domestic Abuse in the Military for Fiscal Year 2022* and in accordance with Section 574 of the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2017 (Public Law 114-328), as amended by Section 549 of the NDAA for FY 2022 (Public Law 117-81).

### Data Collection

FAP incident data are tracked by the Military Departments and reported to the Department through the FAP Central Registry maintained by the Defense Manpower Data Center. The FAP

---

<sup>1</sup> This definition of “domestic abuse” cited was in place effective December 15, 2021, which makes it the definition used for a majority of the period covered by this report.

Central Registry contains information pertaining to incidents that met criteria for abuse. “Met criteria” means that the incident met the DoD definition of abuse.<sup>2</sup> Incidents are assessed with a standardized algorithm that indicates the need for more rigorous treatment, intervention, support, safety planning, and protection.

### Victim Characteristics

FAP Central Registry data indicate that in FY 2022, there were 467 unique victims of adult sexual abuse who received FAP services. Figure 1 shows the number of unique victims of adult sexual abuse who received FAP services since FY 2016.<sup>3</sup> Following a relatively consistent number of unique victims from FY 2016 to FY 2019, the number of victims of adult sexual abuse increased from FY 2019 to FY 2022, with notable year-over-year increases from FY 2020 to FY 2022.




Figure 1. Domestic Abuse-Related Sexual Assault Victims, FY 2016 – FY 2022

Of the 467 victims, 446 (95.5 percent) were female and 21 (4.5 percent) were male. Of the 467 victims, 229 (49.0 percent) were family members, 187 (40.0 percent) were Military Service members, 45 (9.6 percent) were non-beneficiaries, 6 (1.3 percent)<sup>4</sup> were DoD civilians or civilian non-DoD beneficiaries (retired Service members or government contractors).

### Alleged Offender Characteristics

There were 456 alleged offenders of adult sexual abuse: 439 (96.3 percent) were male and 17 (3.7 percent) were female. Of the 456 alleged offenders, 383 (84.0 percent) were Military Service members, 54 (11.8 percent) were family members, 17 (3.7 percent) were non-

<sup>2</sup> DoD Manual 6400.01, Volume 3, “Family Advocacy Program (FAP): Clinical Case Staff Meeting (CCSM) and Incident Determination Committee (IDC),” August 11, 2016, as amended.

<sup>3</sup> In accordance with Section 538 of the NDAA for FY 2018 (Public Law 115-91), domestic abuse-related sexual assault data are included in the DoD Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military as an appendix to the report.

<sup>4</sup> Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages is 99.9.

beneficiaries, and 2 (0.4 percent)<sup>5</sup> were civilian non-DoD beneficiaries (retired Service members or government contractors).

Of the 383 alleged offenders who were Military Service members, 373 (97.4 percent) were active duty, Regular Component members, and 10 (2.6 percent) were members of the Reserves or National Guard. Of the 383 Military Service members, 363 (94.8 percent) were enlisted members, 17 (4.4 percent) were officers, and 3 (0.8 percent) were warrant officers.

### **Accountability**

The mission and scope of FAP is to provide comprehensive clinical assessment and support services to individuals and families impacted by domestic abuse and child abuse and neglect. FAP's primary focus is to assess the risk to, and safety of, victims and families; provide support and clinical services for the victim; and provide treatment and rehabilitation of the alleged offender, when appropriate. In accordance with the responsibilities set forth in DoD Manual 6400.01, Volume 1, "Family Advocacy Program (FAP): FAP Standards," FAP reports all Unrestricted Reports of domestic abuse to law enforcement within 24 hours. As part of the Coordinated Community Response model employed by DoD, first responder law enforcement (military or civilian) and military criminal investigative personnel have responsibility for investigating reports of alleged domestic abuse. Investigation, command action, and legal adjudication, as appropriate, are addressed by other organizations outside of FAP.

FAP social workers, prevention specialists, victim advocates, and nurses provide critical clinical and support services to families impacted by these often complex incidents and seek to promote the well-being of victims and to support their self-determination.

---

<sup>5</sup> Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages is 99.9.



## Appendix H: Child Sexual Abuse



## Appendix H: Child Sexual Abuse

---

The Family Advocacy Program (FAP) is the congressionally mandated Department of Defense (DoD) program responsible for providing clinical assessment, support, and treatment services in response to reported incidents of child abuse and neglect in military families. Child sexual abuse by a parent or other caregiver is a subset child abuse.

### Oversight Responsibilities

---

Under the authority, direction, and control of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, DoD FAP has broad responsibility for promoting public awareness and prevention of domestic abuse and child abuse and neglect, requiring mandated reporting of all suspected child abuse and neglect by covered professionals and members of the military, and coordinating comprehensive intervention, assessment, and support to victims.

### Definitions

---

DoD Instruction 6400.01, "Family Advocacy Program (FAP)," defines "child abuse" as, "[t]he physical or sexual abuse, emotional abuse, or neglect of a child by a parent, guardian, foster parent, or by a caregiver, whether the caregiver is intrafamilial or extrafamilial, under circumstances indicating the child's welfare is harmed or threatened. Such acts by a sibling, other family member, or other person shall be deemed to be child abuse only when the individual is providing care under express or implied agreement with the parent, guardian, or foster parent." DoD Instruction 6400.03, "Family Advocacy Command Assistance Team (FACAT)," defines "child sexual abuse" as, "[t]he employment, use, persuasion, inducement, enticement, or coercion of any child to engage in, or assist any other person to engage in, any sexually explicit conduct or simulation of such conduct for the purpose of producing a visual depiction of such conduct; or the rape, and in cases of caretaker or inter-familial relationships, statutory rape, molestation, prostitution, or other form of sexual exploitation of children, or incest with children."

As a result of the expanded reporting requirements in Section 575 of Public Law 114-328, the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2017, all individuals within the chain of command of a Service member are required to immediately report suspected child abuse to the installation FAP. In addition, all covered professionals are required to report suspected child abuse directly to local civilian child welfare services. The installation FAP provides comprehensive safety planning, victim advocacy and support, and treatment when appropriate.

### Data

---


Comprehensive data and analysis of all reported child abuse and neglect is included in the *Report on Child Abuse and Neglect and Domestic Abuse in the Military for Fiscal Year 2022*, scheduled for release on April 30, 2023, and in accordance with Section 574 of the NDAA for FY 2017 (Public Law 114-328), as amended by Section 549 of NDAA for FY 2022 Public Law (117-81).

## Data Collection

FAP incident data are tracked by the Military Departments and reported to the Department through the FAP Central Registry, maintained by the Defense Manpower Data Center. The FAP Central Registry contains information pertaining to incidents that “met criteria” for abuse. “Met criteria” means that the incident met the DoD definition of abuse.<sup>1</sup> Incidents are assessed with a standardized algorithm that indicates the need for more rigorous treatment, intervention, support, safety planning, and protection.

## Victim Characteristics

FAP Central Registry data indicate that in FY 2022, there were 269 unique victims of child sexual abuse who received FAP services. Figure 1 shows the number of unique victims of child sexual abuse who received FAP services since FY 2018.<sup>2</sup> Following an initial decline from FY 2018 to FY 2019, the number of unique victims of child sexual abuse increased from FY 2019 to FY 2022, with notable year-over-year increases from FY 2020 to FY 2022.



**Figure 1. Child Sexual Abuse Victims, FY 2018 – FY 2022**

Of the 269 victims, 243 (90.3 percent) were female and 26 (9.7 percent) were male. The number and age ranges of victims of child sexual abuse were: 3 victims (1.1 percent) ages 0 to 1; 43 victims (16.0 percent) ages 2 to 5; 69 victims (25.7 percent) ages 6 to 10; and 151 victims (56.1 percent) ages 11 to 17. Of the 269 victims, 3 victims (1.1 percent) were between the ages of 18 and 24 when the report was made, but in a dependent status when the abuse occurred.

<sup>1</sup> DoD Manual 6400.01, Volume 3, “Family Advocacy Program (FAP): Clinical Case Staff Meeting (CCSM) and Incident Determination Committee (IDC),” August 11, 2016, as amended.

<sup>2</sup> In accordance with Section 538 of the NDAA for FY 2018 (Public Law 115-91), child sexual abuse data are included in the DoD Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military as an appendix to the report.



## Alleged Offender Characteristics

Of the 226 known alleged offenders,<sup>3</sup> 219 (96.9 percent) were male and 7 (3.1 percent) were female. Of those known alleged offenders, 123 (54.4 percent) were Military Service member parents, 30 (13.3 percent) were civilian parents, 42 (18.6 percent) were other family member caregivers, and 31 (13.7 percent) were extrafamilial caregivers. Military Service members represented 66.4 percent (150 of 226) and civilians represented 33.6 percent (76 of 226) of the known alleged offenders.

Of the 150 alleged offenders who were Military Service members, 148 (98.7 percent) were active duty, Regular Component members and 2 were members of the Reserves (1.3 percent). Of the 150 Military Service members, 137 (91.3 percent) were enlisted members, 8 (5.3 percent) were officers, and 5 (3.3 percent)<sup>4</sup> were warrant officers.

## Accountability

The mission and scope of FAP is to provide comprehensive clinical assessment and support services to individuals and families impacted by domestic abuse and child abuse and neglect. FAP's primary focus is to assess the risk to, and safety of, victims; provide support and clinical services for the victim; and provide treatment and rehabilitation for the alleged offender when appropriate. In accordance with the responsibilities set forth in DoD Manual 6400.01, Volume 1, "Family Advocacy Program (FAP): FAP Standards," FAP reports all suspected incidents of child abuse to civilian child welfare services and law enforcement within 24 hours. As part of the Coordinated Community Response model employed by DoD, first responder law enforcement (military or civilian) and military criminal investigative personnel have responsibility for investigating reports of suspected child sexual abuse. Investigation, command action, and legal adjudication, as appropriate, are addressed by other organizations outside of FAP.

FAP social workers, prevention specialists, victim advocates, and nurses provide critical clinical and support services to families impacted by these often complex incidents and seek to promote the well-being of victims and support their self-determination.

---

<sup>3</sup> In FY 2022, there were 5 met criteria incidents of child sexual abuse where the alleged offender was unknown.

<sup>4</sup> Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages is 99.9.



## Appendix I: Acronyms List



## Appendix I: Acronyms List

---

AFROTC	Air Force Reserve Officer Training Corps
ALS	Airman Leadership School
BLC	Basic Leader's Course
CATCH	CATCH a Serial Offender Program
CCA	Command Climate Assessment
CIMT	Center for Initial Military Training
CMETP	Cadet Military Education and Training Plan
CMG	Case Management Group
DAF	Department of Air Force
DCPAS	Defense Civilian Personnel Advisory Service
DEOCS	<i>Defense Organizational Climate Survey</i>
DoD	Department of Defense
DoDI	Department of Defense Instruction
D-SAACP	DoD Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program
FAP	Family Advocacy Program
FY	Fiscal Year
IPPW	Integrated Primary Prevention Workforce
IRC	Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military
JLWG	Junior Leader Working Group
KSA	Knowledge, Skills, and Abilities
LOE	Line of Effort
MCIO	Military Criminal Investigative Organization
NDAA	National Defense Authorization Act
NGB	National Guard Bureau
NROTC	Naval Reserve Officer Training Corps
NSTC	Naval Service Training Command
ODEI	Office for Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion
OPA	Office of People Analytics
OSD	Office of the Secretary of Defense
OSIE	On-site Installation Evaluations
OSTC	Offices of Special Trial Counsel
OTC	Officer Training Command
OTS	Officer Training School
POI	Programs of Instructions
PPoA	Prevention Plan of Action
PWM	Prevention Workforce Model
RTC	Recruit Training Command
SAPR	Sexual Assault Prevention and Response
SAPR VA	Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocate

SAPRO	Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office
SAPRTEC	Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Training Center of Excellence
SARC	Sexual Assault Response Coordinator
SHARP	Sexual Harassment / Assault Response Program
SHL	Safe Helpline
STC	Special Trial Counsel
UCMJ	Uniform Code of Military Justice
USAFA	United States Air Force Academy
USD(P&R)	Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness
USNA	United States Naval Academy
WGR	<i>Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Military Members</i>



## Enclosure 1: Department of the Army





SECRETARY OF THE ARMY  
WASHINGTON

INFO MEMO

06 APR 2023

FOR: SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

DepSecDef Action \_\_\_\_\_

FROM: Christine E. Wormuth, Secretary of the Army *CEW*

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2022 Sexual Assault Report

- **Purpose.** The attached sexual assault report (TAB C) highlights attributes of the Army's commitment to create and maintain a climate of trust where soldiers live the Army values, thereby reducing incidents of sexual harassment and assault. For fiscal year 2022, these highlights include:
  - Implementing military justice reform through structural changes focused on staffing with experienced litigators, building expertise through improved training programs, and establishing the Office of Special Trial Counsel.
  - Establishing the Prevention Workforce to give commanders the tools and resources at all levels to build and sustain positive command climates and reduce harmful behaviors.
  - Prioritizing the implementation of the DoD Independent Review Commission (IRC) on Sexual Assault in the Military. Significant actions taken:
    - Publication of AD 2022-10 (Safe to Report) to prescribe the handling of minor collateral misconduct for victims who report a sexual assault.
    - Publication of HQDA EXORD 269-22 to implement hiring actions to counter sexual assault in the military.
    - Publication of AD 2022-13 (Reforms to Counter Sexual Harassment/Sexual Assault in the Army) to implement the appointment of investigating officers from outside the subject's brigade for sexual harassment complaints.
- The Army's future actions and plans for a comprehensive sexual assault prevention and response strategy focus on:
  - Leaders creating and maintaining positive command climates.
  - Full implementation of the IRC recommendations.
  - Full implementation to changes in the military justice system.

**Attachments:**

TAB B - USD P&R Memo

TAB C - FY 2022 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military\_Army

# 2022

## ANNUAL REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULT



**SHARP**  
SEXUAL HARASSMENT/ASSAULT  
RESPONSE AND PREVENTION

**ACTIVE COMPONENT  
U.S. ARMY RESERVE**

LOYALTY  
DUTY  
RESPECT  
SELFLESS SERVICE  
HONOR  
INTEGRITY  
PERSONAL COURAGE

**THIS IS OUR ARMY.**

[www.armyresilience.army.mil/SHARP](http://www.armyresilience.army.mil/SHARP)  
DoD Safe Helpline: 1-877-995-5247



**ARMY RESILIENCE  
DIRECTORATE**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary .....	1
1. Goal 1 - Prevention .....	5
2. Goal 2 - Victim Assistance and Advocacy .....	7
3. Goal 3 - Investigation .....	23
4. Goal 4 - Accountability .....	28
5. Goal 5 - Assessment .....	38
6. Core Functions (Communication and Policy) .....	41
7. National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) Requirements and Government Accountability Office (GAO) Recommendations .....	43
8. Analytics Discussion .....	46

## **Sexual Assault Data Spreadsheets**

1. Army Sexual Assault Unrestricted Report Data .....	53
2. Army Sexual Assault Restricted Report Data .....	62
3. Support Services for Victims of Sexual Assault .....	64
4. Combat Areas of Interest (CAI) Unrestricted Report Data .....	67
5. CAI Restricted Report Data .....	76
6. Support Services for Victims of Sexual Assault in CAI .....	78
7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses .....	81
8. Retaliation Case Synopses .....	143

<b>Appendix A: Glossary of Acronyms</b> .....	A-1
---	-----



## FY 2022 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military Executive Summary: Army

The Army is committed to enhancing readiness by preventing sexual harassment, sexual assault, and associated retaliatory behaviors and providing comprehensive victim advocacy and response capabilities when such incidents occur. To fulfill this commitment, Army leaders at all echelons are charged to establish a culture of dignity and respect that does not tolerate behaviors and attitudes that lead to sexual misconduct. The vast majority of Soldiers serve honorably, meeting the standards embodied in the Army Values; however, the unacceptable actions of a few jeopardize unit readiness and erode the trust and confidence Soldiers have in one another, in their leadership, and that the American people have in our Army. Soldiers who commit the crime of sexual assault, or fail to intervene and stop an assault, violate the Nation's trust and the trust of their fellow Soldiers. To regain and maintain the trust and confidence of the Nation, the Army directs its efforts through the Sexual Harassment/Assault Response and Prevention (SHARP) program, which combines initiatives to prevent and respond to incidents of sexual harassment, sexual assault, and retaliatory behaviors.

The Army is taking action to foster a culture and climate that will result in fewer incidents of sexual harassment and sexual assault. The Army's culture must be one where the message is received by all ranks that valuing fellow service members is necessary and required for retention and promotion.

We are currently implementing the most significant changes in our approach to preventing and responding to sexual harassment and sexual assault since the inception of the Army's SHARP program in 2006 (originally named Sexual Assault Prevention and Response). The Army is integrating prevention efforts across the force. Part of this effort includes expanding and updating the Army Command Policy regulation to create a separate regulation for SHARP. This regulation will provide our leaders and Soldiers with more focused and detailed programs to prevent sexual violence. This monumental shift is due in large part, but not exclusively, to the findings and recommendations of the Fort Hood Independent Review Committee and the DoD Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military. The findings combined with the results of the 2021 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Military Members clearly illustrate that meaningful climate and cultural change is critical for the Army to restore the trust of Soldiers, their Families, and the American public.

**"We must ensure that all our leaders are focused on building a culture of caring for each other."**

*Christine E. Wormuth, 25<sup>th</sup>  
Secretary of the Army*

The Army acknowledges there are no silver bullets or quick wins. As we restructure our program to implement an integrated prevention approach, the Army acknowledges that building positive command climate and improving culture requires an understanding of the root causes of harmful behaviors within our ranks—which starts with engaged leadership. In recent years, the Army implemented an innovative talent management and leader selection process, Command Assessment Program (CAP). Other tools the Army deployed

to improve culture and assist command teams include fielding Integrated Prevention Advisory Groups (I-PAGs) and institutionalizing Cohesion Assessment Teams (CAT).

Three years ago, the Army implemented the Command Assessment Program (CAP) to identify the most qualified candidates for key battalion and brigade-level leadership positions. CAP facilitates the selection of leaders who are cognitively capable, self-aware, and less likely to exhibit counterproductive or ineffective leadership traits. These are positions where the talent management process is most critical and where climate and culture shifts begin.

The Army provides tools and resources to commanders at all levels. One of these tools, Integrated Prevention Advisory Groups (I-PAGs), assist commanders in building and sustaining positive climate and reducing harmful behaviors. As part of the I-PAG implementation plan which began in FY22, the Army hired 81 dedicated preventionists at Headquarters, Department of the Army, Army Commands, Army Service Component Commands, Direct Reporting Units, and five select installations: Fort Hood, Fort Riley, Fort Sill, Schofield Barracks, and Camp Humphreys. These locations were selected based on risk criteria directed by DoD and Soldier survey data. Over the next few years, we will add approximately 653 prevention experts at echelon. In addition, the Army National Guard and U.S. Army Reserve will add 325 and 34 prevention professionals to their teams, respectively.

The Army is also implementing the Cohesion Assessment Team (CAT) concept after a successful one-year pilot. This team integrates into tactical-level units for two weeks, collects and analyzes data, and provides command teams with actionable feedback and plans to improve organizational culture and climate. So far, the CAT has assisted eight brigades. Six are scheduled for 2023. As the CAT is institutionalized through U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command, we will expand this capability to the Army Reserve and Army National Guard.

The Army is establishing an oversight structure to ensure proper, consistent execution of the SHARP program across the force. As part of our efforts to enhance SHARP program management, we are making significant progress in professionalizing and strengthening our capacity to provide compassionate, victim-centric services to Soldiers, Army Civilians, and Family members. We will establish career development tracks, as well as improved training for culturally competent victim care for men, communities of color, LGBTQ+ Soldiers, and religious minorities.

Through the hiring of new SHARP positions at all echelons, we can better enforce policy compliance and execute technical oversight of program implementation. As part of this new structure, Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs) and Victim Advocates (VAs) will be realigned from the operational chain of command and will instead all fall

**“We are all charged with setting conditions that enforce Army standards to prevent sexual offenses and with reporting them when they occur—protecting our people protects our mission.”**

*General James C. McConville, 40<sup>th</sup>  
Chief of Staff of the Army*

under the supervision of a Lead SARC at each installation. Not only will this result in an independent reporting structure for SHARP professionals, but it will also enable SHARP professionals to advocate for victims more effectively. The Army is also taking steps to eliminate most collateral duty positions within its workforce. We will increase the number of full-time SARCs and VAs who are thoroughly trained and have the requisite experience to effectively support victims of sexual harassment and assault. Resourcing for the SHARP restructure in FY23 includes \$41.4M and 348 additional Full Time Equivalents (FTEs): 238 Active component, 107 Guard, and 3 Reserve.

The Army is implementing military justice reform through structural changes focused on staffing local and regional offices with experienced litigators, building expertise through improved training programs, and establishing the Office of Special Trial Counsel (OSTC). To implement both the IRC military justice reform recommendations and the FY22 NDAA changes, the Army established the OSTC with an Army General Order and the Senate has confirmed the Army's Lead Special Trial Counsel (LSTC). The Secretary of the Army approved the OSTC structure and targeted personnel growth to provide the resources necessary to build greater expertise in special victim litigation, hold offenders accountable, and increase transparency for victims as cases progress through the military justice system. The OSTC is led by a Brigadier General LSTC, who reports directly to the Secretary of the Army without intervening authority. On 28 December 2023, the OSTC will

**“Strengthening connections and building a culture of knowing and understanding people are key.”**

*Michael A. Grinston, 16<sup>th</sup> Sergeant Major of the Army*

have exclusive authority over covered offenses to: 1) refer charges to trial by Special Court-Martial (SPCM) or General Court-Martial (GCM); 2) withdraw and dismiss charges, and 3) enter into plea agreements. Covered offenses include alleged violations of the following Articles of the UCMJ: 117a (wrongful broadcast), 118 (murder), 119 (manslaughter), 120 (rape and sexual assault), 120b (sexual assault of a child), 120c (other sexual misconduct), 125 (kidnapping), 128b (domestic violence), 130 (stalking), 132 (retaliation), and 134 (child pornography). Effective 1 January 2023, the Fiscal Year 2023 National Defense Act added additional covered offenses of death of 119a (death or injury of an unborn child), 120a (mail of obscene material) and 134 (substantiated formal complaints of sexual harassment). Covered offenses also include: conspiracy, solicitation, attempts to commit covered offenses, and known and related offenses. (See Goal 4—Accountability for additional details.)

In addition to our efforts to ensure effective execution and competent, compassionate victim-centric services, we have implemented several other changes to enhance our support to Soldiers, Army Civilians, and Family members. This includes publishing a directive that requires all Army leaders at the squad level and above—to include Department of Army Civilians—receive additional training on newly-published DoD policies and other information they need to effectively execute their responsibilities regarding the SHARP Program. The Army SHARP Academy also revised the Annual Refresher Training to enable unit leaders to deliver the training in small groups and tailor that training, using vignettes, to the needs of their group.

The Army's actions in FY22 demonstrate a commitment to a strong and compassionate response to incidents of sexual assault. Each case is troubling, and the Army fully investigates every allegation of misconduct, follows every lead, provides support to victims, and takes available and appropriate action to hold individuals accountable. Incidents during FY22 clearly indicate the Army has more work to do to meet prevention and response goals.

This report details the operational initiatives of the Army's SHARP program and the Army's progress in preventing and responding to the crime of sexual assault. This annual report complies with the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (USD (P&R)) memorandum, dated 13 July 2022, Subject: Data Call for the Fiscal Year 2022 Department of Defense Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military. This report contains:

- Details of Army actions
- Data analysis of the Army's 2,723 unrestricted reports and 995 restricted reports of sexual assault reported during FY22
- A profile and brief synopsis of each sexual assault case in which there was a disposition decision in FY22

The most critical asset we have is our People. These men and women who serve our Nation both in and out of uniform, along with their Families, are our strength and legacy. Their talents, courage, and commitment make our Army the greatest fighting force in history. To ensure we remain the preeminent fighting force in the world, we must protect basic human dignity in all areas. A diverse, talented, strong, healthy, and resilient force is the most important indicator of our readiness, and we cannot reach that goal while sexual harassment and sexual assault remain a problem in our formations.

**1. Goal 1—Prevention: “institutionalize evidenced-based, informed prevention practice and policies across the Department so that all Military Service members are treated with dignity and respect, and have the knowledge, tools, and support needed to prevent sexual assaults.”**

**1.1 Efforts to Address Approved Independent Review Commission (IRC) Recommendations and the Prevention Plan of Action (PPoA): Identify major actions completed or underway to address the approved recommendations of the IRC Lines of Effort listed below.**

**a. Line of Effort 2: Prevention – Specifically address actions undertaken during FY 2022 to address the IRC prevention recommendations, the initiatives required under the Secretary of Defense’s Immediate Actions Memorandum and follow-on guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, as well as the 2019-2023 PPoA. Address your efforts under each of the requirements listed below:**

- **Immediate Action 1. Assess Compliance with Sexual Assault and Harassment Policies and Integrated Violence Prevention Efforts**
- **Immediate Action 2. Conduct Evaluation at High Risk Installations**
- **Immediate Action 3. Establish a Violence Prevention Workforce**
- **2019-2023 Prevention Plan of Action (Phase III Execution and Phase IV Evaluation)**

**b. Line of Effort 3: Climate and Culture**

Prevention of sexual harassment and sexual assault-related incidents is the commander’s responsibility and is supported by the subject matter experts of the newly developed Integrated-Prevention Advisory Group (I-PAG, the Army’s designation for the integrated primary prevention work force) and SHARP prevention workforce.

In FY 22, prevention efforts focused on secondary and tertiary prevention efforts while establishing the infrastructure necessary to implement primary prevention initiatives. Senior leader engagement and supervisor’s commitment to mission readiness encouraged employees at the lowest level to be prevention-focused and execute bystander intervention procedures (secondary prevention). Our prevention metrics identified programmatic gaps, deficiencies, and the lack of personnel and resources required to provide effective and efficient prevention activities.

HQDA/DCS G-1 (SHARP) conducted in-person and virtual site visits and follow-up visits, June-September 2022. The Army inspected installations noted in the DoD On-Site Installation Evaluation (OSIE) reports 1 and 2, to ensure compliance with the Department of Army’s SHARP policies (IA1, 2).

HQDA published Executive Order (EXORD) 269-22, Implementation of FY22 Independent Review Commission Hiring Actions on Countering Sexual Assault in the Military, which outlined a phased approach to hiring the integrated primary prevention work force. During Phase I Supervisory Prevention Program Managers (ACOM, ASCC, DRU), Supervisory Prevention Specialists (Installation), and Prevention Workforce Program Managers were created to enable the Commanding General’s functional responsibility to manage the command's prevention program. Development and

management of a digital workforce community of practice toolbox, that supports service-level integrated prevention data and systems of record, to establish evidence of delivery of integrated prevention activities, inform actions, and the CG's ready and resilient council process to support governance activities were also included (IA3).

SHARP professionals provided annual training in a chain teaching format, training leaders at all levels (squad leader and above) who then facilitated training within their formations using a small-group discussion format. The SHARP Manager Internal Control Program (MICP) was used to measure key program metrics and goals. The metrics drove a culture of prevention by way of education, timeliness, resources, findings, incidents, and accuracy in administration.

**1.2 Future Plans: Identify any major leadership-approved initiatives your Military Service/NGB is undertaking in support of prevention. Identify key considerations or obstacles that are currently affecting the implementation of prevention initiatives.**

The Army is experimenting with local program initiatives with the potential for transformative climate and culture change. Successful programs will be fielded Army-wide. Local initiatives include the following:

- “Agents of Change” bystander intervention training, which is currently being evaluated for effectiveness with target populations.
- “Your Voice Matters” listening sessions, which provide a means for Soldiers to ask questions anonymously to their brigade-level chain of command and Brigade SARC. Each session offers a platform to engage in open dialogue on a range of topics from sexual harassment to suicide prevention. Through these discussions with leadership, trust is built within the command. (Note: YVM is a derivative of the HQDA program with the same name.)
- Social Adaptive Interactive Theater (Pure Praxis); this evidence-based training provides personnel prevention measures and instruction on how members in our community can intervene to stop the inappropriate behaviors and prevent them from occurring through a shared understanding of what is “right”.

Implementation of prevention activities draws on a thorough understanding of the problem, comprehensive planning, and continuous evaluation. The Army's overarching prevention approach targets capacities including efficacy, skills, attitudes, and behaviors. Leaders are charged with building and maintaining positive command climate through a variety of primary prevention activities that target attitudes and behaviors. Currently, the new HQDA Integrated Prevention Division (IPD) team does not have the manpower to assess efficacy, however once the team is fully staffed, the intent is to use data to inform the efficacy of primary prevention activities. Additionally, routine evaluation of primary prevention activities will further assess efficacy, skills, attitudes, and behaviors.

**2. Goal 2—Victim Assistance and Advocacy: “deliver consistent and effective advocacy and care for all military Service members or their adult dependents, such that it empowers them to report assaults, promotes recovery, facilitates dignified and respectful treatment, and restores military readiness.”**

**2.1 Strategic Summary:** Summarize your efforts to achieve the Victim Assistance & Advocacy goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes and/or initiatives begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2022. As applicable, include significant updates to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes (e.g., Safe-to-Report policy); Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) and Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocate (SAPR VA) training and how the effectiveness of this training is evaluated; manpower and resource capabilities and/or shortfalls; SAPR personnel certifications/continuing education/background checks; resources/products to support victims, retaliation reporters, and responders; victim medical and mental health services; sexual assault victim and retaliation reporter care; gender-responsive outreach and care; collaboration with civilian and military victim response organizations and academic experts (e.g., warm handoffs of Service members requiring assistance); SAPR training improvements for the force (e.g., junior officer (O1-O2), mid-level enlisted (E4-E6), and junior enlisted training on appropriate actions to report and respond to sexual assault). **(DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, p. 7 / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response: Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 2, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response: Education and Training,” (April 9, 2021)) / National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for FY2011, Section 1631**

In its ongoing effort to achieve the Victim Assistance & Advocacy goals, the Army has begun or completed a number of significant updates, force-wide changes, or initiatives in FY22.

**Army Directive (AD) 2022-04, Sexual Assault Line of Duty Determinations and Reporting, 07 Feb 2022.** This directive prescribed policy for processing sexual assault Line of Duty (LOD) requests to ensure privacy and protection of victims’ sensitive information and timely access to care and treatment. The directive also established transparency and timelines for the completion of all LOD requests, determinations and appeals for both Restricted and Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault.

**HQDA EXORD 110-22, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Training for Commanders and Leaders, 16 Feb 2022.** Established the requirement that all commanders and leaders (squad leader and above, to include civilian supervisors) receive training to ensure a shared understanding and correct application of updated reporting procedures for sexual assault.

**HQDA EXORD 126-22, Identification of Lead Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) for Senior Commanders, 08 Mar 2022.** In order to comply with OSD guidance to establish an independent reporting structure, whereas the Lead SARC

reports only to and is operationally supervised by the senior commander, the Army is working on a plan to hire for the full-time position of a Lead SARC. In the interim, this EXORD established the requirement that each installation senior commander appoint a Lead SARC. Furthermore, the reference provided guidance to ensure that senior commanders are properly utilizing the Lead SARC in support of the installation Sexual Harassment/Assault Response and Prevention (SHARP) Program and are kept well-informed of significant and fast-paced changes within the SHARP Program.

**ALARACT 021/2022, Line of Duty Requirements and Integrated Disability Evaluation System Referral Memorandum, 23 Mar 2022**, provided clarification on the need for a Line of Duty (LOD) determination for Reserve Component (RC) Soldiers and the use of the integrated disability evaluation system referral memorandum.

**ALARACT 027/2022, Additional Sexual Harassment/Assault Response and Prevention (SHARP) Program Guidance: Expedited Transfers, 24-Month Sexual Assault Response Coordinator and Victim Advocate Stabilization, and SHARP Personnel Incentives, 3 May 2022**. This ALARACT reinforced the implementation of Department of Defense Instruction (DoDI) 6495.02, Vol. 1, dated September 6, 2022, incorporating Change 7, which expanded expedited transfer request eligibility, procedures, responsibilities, and implemented mandatory 24-month stabilization for full-time military SARCs and SHARP VAs who complete the SHARP Career Course. This reference outlined the responsibilities of the gaining and losing commander; established the responsibilities of the losing and gaining SARC; expanded the expedited transfer policy to permit the transfer of a Soldier whose adult military dependent made an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault unrelated to domestic abuse committed by the Sponsor; articulated protocol for the retention or transfer of a case to the gaining installation as well as the role and responsibilities of the Sexual Assault Review Board chair; established a 5-day timeline for approval or disapproval of an expedited transfer request by the BN/BDE commander; and instituted a protocol by which Soldiers who are full-time SARCs or VAs with the 1H Additional Skill Identifier (ASI) may request assignment preference following completion of their 24-month tour.

**HQDA G-1 Official Guidance Memo on Expanded Eligibility to File Restricted Reports, 17 May 2022**, provided implementation guidance on expanded eligibility to make a Restricted Report on DD Form 2910 and established a process for victims to decline to participate in investigations. As long as the victim did not personally report the sexual assault to law enforcement (to include U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Division), and did not previously elect to make an Unrestricted Report by signing a DD Form 2910, the Victim Reporting Preference Statement, for the same sexual assault, Soldiers and Family members aged 18 years and older can elect on the DD Form 2910 to make a Restricted Report even if: they disclosed the sexual assault to their commander or to personnel in the chain of command; there is an ongoing CID investigation into the sexual assault initiated by a third party and not due to the victim's disclosure to law enforcement; or the CID investigation into the sexual assault has been closed. Victims retain eligibility for a Restricted Report after an investigation has been closed in order to access SHARP advocacy and support services, including legal, medical, and mental health care and to



retain a record of their report which can be used as supporting documentation of the sexual assault report when seeking services or filing a disability claim with the Department of Veterans Affairs. Nothing in the expanded policy relieves commanders of the statutory requirement to notify CID if a commander is made aware of an allegation of sexual assault.

**AD 2022-10, Safe to Report, 6 July 2022.** The Department of the Army implemented a Safe-to-Report Policy which prescribes the handling of alleged minor collateral misconduct involving a Service member, who is the victim of an alleged sexual assault and is applicable to all members of the Military Departments, including Reservists and cadets and midshipmen at the Military Service Academies. Victims will not be subject to discipline for minor collateral misconduct pursuant to this policy. This policy applies regardless of the alleged subject of sexual assault, and regardless of whether the investigation and/or prosecution is handled by military or civilian authorities. This policy does not preclude Military Criminal Investigative Organizations from continuing to investigate and document in final reports incidents of alleged collateral misconduct revealed during associated investigative processes, but it may impact the commander's action or response to such misconduct.

**HQDA EXORD 269-22, Implementation of FY22 Independent Review Commission Hiring Actions on Countering Sexual Assault in the Military, 25 July 2022,** provided commanders and SHARP professionals in the field a roadmap of implementation actions to be executed through four phases.

- Phase 1 will include hiring of the new Lead SARC position aligned directly under and within the supervisory chain of the senior commander at the installation level and Commanding General at the ACOM, ASCC, or DRU level, establishing improved oversight, and completing the staffing analysis for the new structure (FY22).
- Phase 2 will include the hiring of Supervisory SARCs to supervise and oversee SARC and VA within the geographical footprint (FY23) and realigning the TDAs under senior commanders at the installation level.
- Phase 3 will include moving all SARCs and VAs under the supervision and oversight of a Supervisory SARC and/or Lead SARC while remaining embedded within the unit footprint to directly support victims in the response requirements (FY23).
- Phase 4 will include determining the required collateral duty SARC/VA Plan consistent with IRC recommendation 4.1(b).

The EXORD also included guidance for developing and managing a digital workforce community of practice toolbox, that supports service-level integrated prevention data and systems of record in order to establish evidence of delivery of integrated prevention activities, inform actions, and support the CG's ready and resilient council.

**ALARACT 054-2022, Retention of Text Messages on Government Furnished Equipment, 9 Aug 2022.** This issuance directed that users retain text messages made or received in connection with the transaction of official business on government furnished equipment.

**AD 2022-13, Reforms to Counter Sexual Harassment/Sexual Assault in the Army, 20 Sept 2022.** This directive implemented immediate modifications to the Sexual Harassment/Assault Response and Prevention (SHARP) Program to address select findings and recommendations of the Fort Hood Independent Review Committee and the Department of Defense Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military.

**Sexual harassment complaint investigations.** If sufficient information exists to permit the initiation of an investigation, commanders will appoint investigating officers (IOs) from outside the subject's assigned brigade-sized element to conduct sexual harassment complaint investigations. Exceptions requiring appointment of an IO from the same brigade-sized element as the subject will be approved in writing by the first general officer in the chain of command and included as an enclosure to the investigation. Reasons for exceptions may include, but are not limited to, unit geographic location and type of mission.

**Military Protective Orders (MPOs).** Requires that for all sexual harassment and sexual assault complaints, the first O-6 in the subject's chain of command will implement mechanisms to protect complainants of sexual harassment and victims of sexual assault. As soon as possible, but no later than 6 hours after determining an MPO is warranted (such as the presence of a threat of physical harm), the first O-6 commander in the subject's chain of command will ensure that the subject's commander has issued and served a DD Form 2873 (Military Protective Order) to the subject Soldier, that it has been submitted to the appropriate installation Directorate of Emergency Service or Provost Marshal Office (DES/PMO), and that a copy has been provided to the protected individual(s).

**Disposition and Status Disclosure to Sexual Assault Victims.** In addition to the requirement in AR 600–20, paragraph 7–5t, to provide status updates to victims within 72 hours of each recurring Sexual Assault Review Board (SARB), brigade commanders (or brigade-equivalent commanders) will also notify the Soldier-complainant within 2 business days of receiving the final outcome of any judicial, non-judicial, or administrative proceedings. This duty is not delegable. This policy does not change the responsibilities requiring VAs and SARCs to provide information to victims in their care.

**Involuntary Separation Policy.** Commanders will initiate involuntary administrative separation proceedings for all Soldiers against whom there is a substantiated complaint of sexual harassment unless the Soldier is otherwise punitively discharged or dismissed from the Army as part of a court-martial sentence. Separation proceedings will be processed through the chain of command to the separation authority for appropriate action. Commanders will publish the nature and results of all judicial, non-judicial, and/or

administrative actions taken against the offender (including letters of reprimand) and disseminate this information to troops via unit newsletters, bulletin boards, and other communications channels. All actions will be appropriately redacted to comply with the Privacy Act of 1974 and to protect victim privacy. Prior to publication, redactions required by the Privacy Act will be approved by the servicing Office of the Staff Judge Advocate or Brigade Judge Advocate.

**Connect to Care.** Commanders will ensure that Soldiers, DA Civilians, and Family members who seek assistance with any Army agency requesting SHARP services receive a direct handoff to a SARC or VA. SHARP professionals will provide a personal handoff to other agencies if assistance beyond SHARP is required, such as advocacy and support services through the Family Advocacy Program (FAP) or for behavioral health, medical, or legal assistance. A personal handoff requires a direct communication and introduction to responsible staff at the appropriate on- or off-post agency and follow-up to ensure needs were met. Commanders will ensure that SHARP policy memorandums and a list of victim services are posted on unit bulletin boards and all high-traffic locations, including dining facilities. Posting will also include reporting options for sexual assault, complaint procedures for sexual harassment, and retaliation reporting procedures. The Installation Lead SARC is responsible for tracking and monitoring the aging and life cycle of each sexual assault and sexual harassment case and will prepare a written semiannual report for the senior commander. The senior commander, through the Installation Lead SARC, will identify and resolve bottlenecks or delays for individual cases.

**FRAGO 1 to EXORD 269-22, Implementation of FY22 Independent Review Commission Hiring Actions on Countering Sexual Assault in the Military, 17 Nov 2022**, further outlined the phased-approach for moving from the unit/command model to an installation-based model; identified the revised goals of the SHARP program and identified the two overarching responsibilities of the program – prevention and response – as well as identified personnel responsible for each.

**Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) and Victim Advocate (VA) training and how the effectiveness of this training is evaluated.** Training for Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs) and Victim Advocates (VAs) is always a priority. The SHARP Academy continues to develop relevant, interactive, and engaging training tools to ensure SHARP professionals are properly trained to support victims of sexual assault and prepared to assist commanders in executing their SHARP Programs.

All Full-time SARCs and SHARP VAs must attend the 240-hour resident SARC/VA Career Course at the SHARP Academy, Fort Leavenworth Kansas. Collateral duty SARCs and SHARP VAs must attend the 80-hour SHARP Foundation Course conducted at multiple installations/locations, facilitated by SHARP Instructors.

In accordance with the Kirkpatrick Model of evaluating training, Level 1 (Reacting) and Level 2 (Learning) are evaluated when Full-time and Collateral SARCs/VAs successfully complete two written assessments and three capstones (Capstone 1: Presentation Skills, Capstone 2: Process a Sexual Harassment Complaint, Capstone 3: Process a Sexual Assault Report). Additionally, Full-time SARCs and SHARP VAs complete a fourth Capstone evaluation and conduct an in-brief with their commanders within 30 days of their assuming command. The Kirkpatrick Level 3 (Behavior) effectiveness is evaluated, six months after Full-time SARCS and VAs graduate, via a post-graduate survey completed by the graduate's commanding officer. This online survey provides critical feedback, to the training and curriculum developers, as to how well SARCs and SHARP VAs can apply what they learned during the training, while performing their critical SARC and/or VA duties and responsibilities.

On 1 October 2021, the SHARP Academy released the "SHARP for Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC)/Victim Advocate (VA) Recertification Continuing Education Units (CEUs)" course on the Army Learning Management System. The course consists of five independent modules: Module 1: Victimology; Module 2: Healthcare for Victims; Module 3: Legal; Module 4: Retaliation; and Module 5: Sexually Explicit Media. Upon completion of the course, students are awarded five CEUs. Finally, the SHARP Academy has instituted a monthly forum, "CAC SHARP Academy LDE&T SHARP Talk," to provide ongoing training and support to SARCs and VAs in the field as well as provide a forum for sharing information among personnel that our SARCs and VAs attend regularly.

Based on the recommendation made by Independent Review Commission (IRC), in FY24, DoD will eliminate collateral duty for SARCs and SHARP VAs, with exceptions for isolated installations. The Army has published FRAGO 2 to EXORD 269-22 for commands to begin executing hiring actions to add additional resources to commands to improve execution and oversight of the program.

The Department of Army adheres to the DoD SAPRO screening and certification process for SHARP professionals. To perform advocacy duties, applicants must successfully complete required pre-screening, background checks, Tier 3 background investigation, and complete the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP) certification process. SHARP professionals receive 32 hours of continuing education, eight (8) hours of face-to-face training and two (2) hours of victim advocacy ethics every two years as prescribed to maintain the D-SAACP credential.

While all Army SHARP personnel are D-SAACP credentialed, in FY22 over 50% of personnel achieved Level II advocacy status or higher. Currently, 60% of full-time SHARP personnel have completed the Department of Defense SPARX Prevention training, the only primary prevention training offered for the armed forces at this time.

There were no issues with background checks for U.S. Army SHARP personnel in FY22 and no requests for a waiver as a result of a negative finding from the Human Resources Command (HRC) screening.

**Resources/products to support victims, retaliation reporters, and responders.**

The Department of the Army has established multiple venues to obtain resources and awareness products to promote the SHARP program, increase awareness of available services, and support victims. Informational platforms and products are continuously updated by the Army Resilience Directorate (ARD) Communication and Outreach Branch. Print ready products can be downloaded and bulk or ready to use products can be ordered and shipped directly to the unit.

ARD websites have the capability of easily accessing site materials, using QR codes, which includes providing sexual harassment, sexual assault, prevention, education, and awareness tools at all levels. The ARD website and the SHARP Learning Portal are platforms which can be accessed without a Common Access Card (CAC) to equip and inform victims with answers to commonly asked questions, as well as points of contact for victim advocacy, reporting, and support services. The ARD website also provides resources and programs, that are prioritized to enhance unit readiness and the resilience of Soldiers, Family members, and Army Civilians. Viewers are equipped with tangible tools, assessment capabilities, and resources to perform targeted interventions, as necessary; enable bystander intervention; and improve cohesion across the force.

**Victim medical and mental health services.** The Army provides 24/7 on-site emergency medical care, and access to Sexual Assault Forensic Examinations (SAFEs), at 26 military Medical Treatment Facilities (MTFs). All other installations/locations, CONUS and OCONUS, coordinate with local civilian resources to ensure appropriate healthcare is available to Soldiers and beneficiaries. Patients who present to an MTF with an allegation of sexual assault receive a uniform standard of care, which is monitored and tracked until all healthcare related to the sexual assault is complete. The MTF notifies the SARC for all reports of sexual assault so that the SARC can assist the patient in selecting a reporting option. Each MTF screens sexual assault victims for traumatic stress upon initial contact and provides follow-up behavioral health care, as needed. Appointed MTF social workers and psychologists provide trauma- focused crisis interventions, as well as clinical counseling to individuals who have experienced a sexual assault. Whether the patient presents at an Army MTF or at a civilian facility, the SACC monitors all follow-on care as needed. It should be noted that these services have been transferred to DHA, and they now maintain oversight of these requirements (medical and behavioral health services).

**Sexual assault victim and retaliation reporter care.** The Army trains SARCs and VAs how to accept and process reports of retaliation. The retaliation response process includes notifying and coordinating with the appropriate level of command and rendering comprehensive support services for retaliation reporters, both in garrison and deployed environments.

The SARC or VA ensures the victim has the opportunity and provides assistance to complete the DD 2910-2 (Retaliation Reporting Statement for Unrestricted Sexual Assault Case) and advises the retaliation reporter of the investigative process, stakeholders involved, and the option to use the inspector general's (IGs) office at any time. The SARC or VA also informs the reporter of the availability of support services, consultation, referrals, and legal representation throughout the investigation process, and ensures the reporter is aware of follow-up procedures.

The Sexual Assault Review Board has oversight of these reports and associated allegations of retaliation, until resolution is complete. Updates are requested by the SARC every three (3) months, until the investigation is closed. These cases are annotated in DSAID.

Commanders publicize information to ensure Soldiers, DA Civilians, and Family members are aware of the procedures to report allegations of retaliation.

**Gender-responsive outreach and care.** Victims of sexual assault receive services regardless of gender. The Army has taken great care to utilize evidenced-based research and case studies on male victimology, and vulnerable populations such as LGBTQ+ survivors. Consultation with local clinics and the Veterans Affairs (VA) hospitals on understanding military sexual trauma (MST), understanding the trauma response from a culturally competent perspective, and using inclusive and trauma-informed language in all interactions with survivors of sexual assault and harassment is key to ensuring SHARP personnel have a greater understanding of victim-centered care. These efforts have assisted in generating the most relevant information, which increases the knowledge base and sets conditions for victims who historically have been less likely to report. During FY17-21, male reports averaged 21.22% (consistently). In FY22, male reports increased to 25.38% percent. We believe this is due to our standard of care to treat all victims and complainants with care, compassion, and respect regardless of gender or gender-identity.

**Collaboration with civilian and military victim response organizations and academic experts.** SHARP professionals provide victim advocacy, support, and resource information. They serve outside of the direct supervision of the commander, to independently focus on the victim and to do what is necessary and appropriate to support victim recovery without fear of reprisal. These include Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs), Victim Advocates (VAs), Victim Representative (VRs), and healthcare providers. Regulatory guidance stipulates for Victim Advocates (VAs) to provide advocacy support and crisis intervention, to include referrals, and ongoing emotional support to victims of sexual assault and sexual harassment. Services are non-clinical in nature; the victim has the right to independently determine whether to accept VA services. The VA is sensitive to the needs of each victim and tailors services to meet their needs. The VA provides information and responds to questions regarding individual advocacy for the victim; for example, how receptive the victim is to referrals, if the victim has transportation to their appointments, if the VA is accompanying the victim to their appointments, if the victim reports feeling safe, and so forth. Army Chaplains provide spiritual care and counseling services to victims and may serve as a referral source. Victims have the right to choose the type and level of support, both on and off the installation, that they desire. All advocacy services and care are followed and provided a warm hand-off for continuation of services, to next SARC or VA (as necessary), to ensure the victim receives the best possible care and support. SARCs must track, monitor, and annotate the continuation of services in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID), until no additional advocacy services are required.

SARCs work closely with direct service providers on and off post to monitor services and assist in the recovery process. These relationships are crucial to ensuring that victims of sexual violence are provided with much-needed services. Some of the SARCs are members of the local sexual assault response teams (SART) and volunteer time within those community organizations to enhance response capability and program familiarity.

MOUs/MOAs are used to solidify and synchronize partnerships, activities, and advocacy both on the installations and within the local communities.

**SAPR training improvements for the force.** The SHARP Academy completed the Junior Leader Working Group implementation plan that enhanced the SHARP lessons for the Basic Leader Course (BLC) for mid-level enlisted Soldiers and the Basic Officer Leader Course (BOLC) for junior officers. This two-year effort focused on assessing and enhancing junior leader SHARP lessons taught during Professional Military Education (PME).

The SHARP Academy, in close coordination with the Center for Initial Military Training (CIMT), and NCO Leadership Center of Excellence (NCOLCOE), also modified SHARP lessons within the POIs in a manner that meets the required learning levels of the JLWG KSAs. Major modifications in BLC include new prevention content that includes discussions on the prevention framework, risk and protective factors, and intervention skills training. In an effort to ensure junior NCOs fully comprehend the content, there are specific check on learning questions, at various points of the curriculum to generate further discussion. Additionally, a total redesign of the BLC SHARP essay was developed in order to solicit feedback from the learner. This writing assignment, evaluated by an accompanying rubric, ensures the NCO is able to take theoretical classroom concepts to the application level. Major modifications in BOLC include a new SHARP lesson that covers risk and protective factors, prevention focused content, multiple practical exercises, and check on learning questions that facilitate discussion and assess the student's ability to determine the best course of action when confronted with a SHARP situation. Finally, the SHARP Academy collaborated with multiple senior NCOs to develop a standard SHARP Development Counseling Form presented in both the BLC and BOLC lessons. The form provides junior leaders with a template/tool to ensure their Soldiers are aware of the basic tenants of the SHARP Program, and the reporting options available to them.

**2.2 SARCs and SAPR VA Suspension, Revocation, and Reinstatement: Without providing personally identifiable information, how many SARCs and SAPR VAs in your Military Service/NGB received a suspension? A revocation? A reinstatement? (Identify how many SARCs and SAPR VAs for each category). (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, Objective 2.1, p. 8 / DoDI 6495.03, “Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP),” (February 28, 2020), sections 3.6 & 3.7, p. 11-15)**

The following are confirmed numbers for Army credentials. The revocations, suspensions, and reinstatements are all coordinated with our credentialing agency, the National Organization for Victim Assistance (NOVA).

- Revocations: 19
- Suspensions: 34
  - Local suspensions of duty: 2
  - Other Suspensions: 32
- Reinstatements: 1

**2.3 Sexual Assault Forensic Exam (SAFE) Kits: Was the medical care of any Service member hindered due to the lack of a SAFE kit, timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources, or other resources? If yes, explain and also address how many times this occurred and what actions were taken to mitigate the issue? (Note: This answer should be consistent with the number reported in the Victim Services matrices). As related to reports of sexual assault, were there any issues at medical treatment facilities with the availability of supplies for testing and treatment for sexually transmitted infections and diseases, including HIV, and testing for pregnancy? (NDAA for FY 2006, section 596 / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 8)**

Access to SAFE exams has been hindered in OCONUS locations due to COVID restrictions, host nation protocols, and language barriers. Lack of SAFE kits OCONUS has also been reported to be a problem.

MOUs are necessary, particularly in remote locations, to establish partnerships with civilian organizations that specialize in conducting sexual assault forensic exams.

As related to reports of sexual assault, there were no issues at medical treatment facilities with the availability of supplies for testing and treatment for sexually transmitted infections and diseases, including HIV, and pregnancy.

**2.4 Military Protective Orders: How many Military Protective Orders (MPOs) were issued as a result of an Unrestricted Report? Were any victim requests for an MPO denied? If so, what was the reason? How many MPOs were violated by the alleged offender? Indicate if the victim(s) and the alleged offender(s) were provided with copies of the DD Form 2873, “Military Protective Order,” as required. (NDAA for FY 2010, section 567 / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 5, para 8)**

Across the Army a total of 294 Military Protective Orders (MPOs) were issued. No MPOs were denied. Six MPOs were reported violated. In all cases, both the victim(s) and alleged offender(s) were provided copies of the DD Form 2873 as required.

**2.5 Appropriate Care in Deployed Environments: What steps did your Military Service/NGB take in FY 2022 to ensure trained personnel, appropriate supplies, and transportation resources are accessible to deployed units in order to provide an appropriate and timely response in any case of a reported sexual assault in a deployed unit, location, or environment? (NDAA for FY 2011, section 1631)**

All personnel assigned to the MTFs receive annual SHARP training, First Responder Training, and pre-deployment training that included contact information for the SHARP PM/Lead SARC with instructions to arrange a meeting after arrival in theater.



TRADOC units are non-deployable, however, during FY22 TRADOC subordinate commands provided SHARP program support (i.e., training, supplies, etc.) to deploying units assigned to the installation(s).

**2.6 Victim Expedited Transfer Request Oversight in Monthly Case Management Group (CMG): Provide the number and CMG-documented circumstances of ALL Expedited Transfers taking longer than 30 calendar days (i.e., tracking of the number of days between the approval date of a victim's request for Expedited Transfer and the date the victim physically departs the losing station (Permanent Change of Station), or the date the victim changes duty assignment location (Permanent Change of Assignment)). Were High-Risk Response Teams initiated when circumstances indicated that the transfer delay appreciably increased risk of harm to the victim? (Deputy Secretary of Defense (DSD) Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), Encl 9, para 2b(4))**

During FY22, the Army had a total of six expedited transfers which exceeded the 30-day standard. However, the circumstances surrounding the delays did not warrant the initiation of a High-Risk Response Team (HRRT). In one case, a delay of 4 days outside the 30-day window was due to holiday season departure. No HRRT was required, and no concern from the victim or leadership was expressed. One expedited transfer took longer than 30 days due to the scheduling of household goods pickup. Circumstances did not warrant a HRRT to be initiated. In one case, the victim elected to take personal leave prior to the transfer. No HRRT was initiated, as circumstances did not indicate the transfer delay appreciably increased risk of harm to that victim. There is one case of a client who received an approval from HRC with the stipulation that the Soldier will not PCS until all adverse flags had been removed. A HRRT was not initiated as the command had already put in place measures to ensure the Soldier's mental health and physical well-being. The Soldier had weekly appointments with the Chaplain and MFLC until PCS. The SARB Chair was briefed monthly on the Soldier's wellbeing and appointments to assist this Soldier in awaiting their transition to the next duty station. The remaining two expedited transfers which exceeded 30 calendar days were at the expressed interest of the victim; they needed additional time for personal reasons (selling their home, child(ren) finishing out school year/month, family support, etc.).

HRC publishes the victim report date 60 days out from the approval date with early report authorized. Therefore, some victims with an approved ET will go beyond the 30 days as stated above.

Per DA guidance, all expedited transfers are briefed during monthly SARBs for tracking purposes. The victim's safety, privacy, dignity, and recovery are the commander's top priority.

**2.7 Expedited Transfer Victim Mandatory Intake Meeting:** How is your Military Service/NGB verifying the occurrence of the mandatory “intake” meetings between the sexual assault victim and the gaining SARC upon arrival at the new installation for ALL Expedited Transfers?\* Through your verification, were there any instances noted where these meetings did not occur? What actions were taken to correct these occurrences? \*Please note that the revised DD Form 2910, Victim Reporting Preference Statement,” states that victim consent is no longer needed for the automatic transfer of the case to the gaining SARC in Expedited Transfers. (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 5, para 6a(4))

Expedited Transfer requests are tracked through the Senior Command SARB. Data including the Approval Date, Gaining Installation, Departure date, Gaining SARC Appt date, Gaining CDR Notified, Warm Hand-Off and DSAID Case Transfer date are required to be reported for each ET request.

There are no known instances in which the “in-take” meeting did not occur. Multiple checks and balances are in place to ensure adherence to the Expedited Transfer process.

**2.8 Quarterly CMG Meetings:** How is your Military Service/NGB verifying the occurrence of the mandatory of Quarterly CMG Meetings (that are required in addition to the monthly CMG meetings)? Through your verification, were there any instances noted where these meetings did not occur? What actions, if any, were taken to correct these occurrences? How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that the Quarterly CMG Chair is reviewing the meeting notes and tracking the progress to correct systematic issues? (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 9)

The Lead SARC at each installation records minutes of the Quarterly Sexual Assault Response Team (QSART) meeting, which includes those in attendance and systematic issues discussed. Once the minutes are signed by the SARB Chair, the Lead SARC uploads a copy into record keeping file of Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID) for tracking purposes. There were no documented instances in which the quarterly SART meeting was not held.

**2.9 Expanded Eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the Catch a Serial Offender (CATCH) Program:** How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL SARCs and SAPR VAs have been trained on and are familiar with the expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting (e.g., ability for victims to make a restricted report even if the assault is disclosed through their chain of command, but not personally to law enforcement) and the CATCH Program (i.e., ability for victims who made an Unrestricted Report to utilize the CATCH Program when the name of the subject was not disclosed or discovered during an investigation)? What actions, if any,

**were taken to correct any identified issues in training your SARCs and SAPR VAs? (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 4)**

Expanded Eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the Catch a Serial Offender (CATCH) Program policies are taught during the SARC/VA Career Course and the SHARP Foundation Course. These courses train all fulltime and collateral duty SARCs and SHARP VAs for the Army. Additionally, the Army released HQDA EXORD 110-22 Sexual Assault Prevention and Response, 16 February 22, that required all SHARP Professionals, Commanders, and leaders (Squad leader and above to include Civilian supervisors) be trained on the Expanded Eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the CATCH Program. SHARP Academy conducted seven MS Teams instructional sessions to educate all SHARP Professionals. Training of SHARP professionals was completed by the end of March 2022. SHARP Professionals had until 30 September 2022 to train all Commanders and leaders highlighted in the EXORD.

ARD and the SHARP Academy are implementing an additional quarterly training meeting, to address any Rapid Fielding Initiatives (RFIs), in the most expedient manner.

Army Resilience Directorate (ARD), HQDA executes Road Shows, as needed, to provide education on specific training and messaging topics. This effort is to increase the knowledge of SHARP professionals, enhance awareness, and bring together the appropriate stakeholders, consisting of representation from Department of Defense, SHARP Academy, OTJAG, SHARP Policy Branch, Human Resource Division, Outreach Branch, etc. The Road Shows are also proficient in improving the effectiveness of policies, programs, issues relating to and impacting Army SHARP Program, correcting any identified issues, promoting the way ahead, understanding plan of actions, milestones, and identifying any challenges and ongoing efforts with SARCs and VAs, an interactive platform for effective collaboration with SHARP professionals, military leaders, and the civilian workforce.

The Joint Knowledge Online (JKO) web site has training course #US016 called DoD SAPRO Catch a Serial Offender (CATCH) Program. It is open to all DoD ID cardholders. All Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARC) personnel are required to complete this 30-minute training course when requesting access to the DSAID/ICRS/CATCH database systems of record. Victim Advocates cannot request access to these systems but can take this course for their professional development.

**2.10 Eligibility to File a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and Requesting the Return of Personal Property Utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, “Return of Victim’s Personal Property in Restricted Reporting Sexual Assault Cases Collected During a SAFE:” How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL SARCs and SAPR VAs have been trained on and are familiar with the eligibility of a victim to file a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and request the return of personal property, utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, relinquished as part of the SAFE and after filing a Restricted Report?**

**What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your SARC and SAPR VAs? (DSD Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), para 4ab(4) & Encl 4, para 1d)**

All SHARP Professionals were trained on the new form when it was published. The form is also included in the on-call SHARP binder, which is carried by the current on-call SHARP Victim Advocate. This way the on-call SHARP VA is able to immediately assist a victim/survivor to meet their needs, to include the potential request to have their personal property returned from a collected SAFE Kit.

All SHARP personnel received a copy of the DoD Memo and were told to keep as a future reference. Installations' SARBs discussed this updated policy to ensure that commanders and all SHARP personnel know and understand this guidance. No issues were reported in implementing this guidance.

**2.11 Efforts to Address Approved Independent Review Commission (IRC)**

**Recommendations:** Identify major actions completed or underway to address the approved recommendations of IRC Line of Effort 4: Victim Care and Support. Focus response on IRC efforts undertaken during FY 2022. (See the requirements listed in question 1.1)

The Army is making its most significant, structural changes in its approach to preventing and responding to sexual harassment and sexual assault since the inception of the program. The Army's roadmap involves shifting from a culture of response to one of prevention through improved accountability, data-informed prevention efforts, and improved command climate and culture. In FY22, we executed Phase I hiring per HQDA EXORD 269-22.

Additionally, the Army is establishing an oversight structure to ensure proper, consistent execution of the SHARP program across the force; making significant progress in professionalizing and strengthening our capacity to provide compassionate, victim-centric services to Soldiers, Army Civilians, and Family members; and realigning SARCs and VAs from the operational chain of command to the supervision of a Lead SARC (under the Senior Commander) at each installation.

Lastly, the Army is taking steps to eliminate most collateral duty positions within the SHARP workforce. This endeavor will require an increase the number of full-time SARCs and VAs who are thoroughly trained and have the requisite experience to effectively support victims of sexual assault and sexual harassment.

**2.12 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Victim Assistance and Advocacy goal.**

The Army seeks to "deliver consistent and effective advocacy and care for all military Service members or their adult dependents, such that it empowers them to report assaults, promotes recovery, facilitates dignified and respectful treatment, and restores military readiness." This is the focus of our restructuring efforts (removing SARCs and VAs

from the operational chain of command); the endeavor to strengthen and professionalize the workforce; and care for our SHARP professionals.

**Self-Care for SHARP Professionals.** HQDA, DCS G-1, SHARP Program deployed a Needs Assessment survey online via the Strategic Management System (SMS) portal during FY22 to assess the strength of the force. The Needs Assessment found that SHARP Professionals are experiencing high levels of stress and are demonstrating the tenets of vicarious trauma. To address these findings ARD SHARP Division conducted self-care pilot for SHARP professionals with positive findings. This self-care effort will expand across the Army in FY23.

**Recognition and Incentive Awards.** HQDA has implemented an annual awards program to recognize SHARP Personnel. These awards include the Exceptional SARC of the Year, VA of the Year, Coin Recognition for Outstanding Achievement, and Prevention Innovation Awards. These programs recognize individuals whose work has been particularly noteworthy and demonstrated outstanding service in support of our Service members. HQDA accepts nominations from ACOMs, ASCCs, DRUs, and select joint activities. One individual is selected from the nominees to represent the Department of the Army. Recognition awards are designed to improve SHARP professionals' morale, performance, retention, and growth. Awarding SHARP professionals publicly gives them a sense of value and acknowledgment of their achievements.

**Sexual Harassment Tracker.** The Army is in the process of implementing a tracking tool to improve oversight of the complex sexual harassment process and timeline. The Sexual Harassment tracker is a dashboard designed to provide guidance regarding sexual harassment, to track the progress of sexual harassment complaints when an investigation is initiated. This tracker is a repository for non-personal identifiable information (PII data and timelines that are not annotated in the Integrated Case Reporting System (ICRS), identified as the system of record for sexual harassment complaints.

Furthermore, the SH tracker builds different modules in SMS for better tracking, bridging gaps between data from IRCS and the information received from SARCs and legal requirements; addressed using the Strategic Management System (SMS) forms. The tracker was a helpful tool for SARCs, command teams, and legal advisors to have SMS access, initiation of forms, datasets, and updates. A method to monitor the complaint along the continuum until completion; this data was provided in real time. SH cases are tracked through three primary means of navigation: the Complainant Commander, Army Unit Identification Codes (UICs), and Managing SARC Unit (UIC). All new users will be trained on proper forms and procedures by an SMS contractor.

**Training and Education Initiatives.** Currently the SHARP Academy is re-designing and developing a new SHARP Professional Education System that is a progressive and targeted single standard design that better meets the needs of the Total Army by producing mission ready SHARP Professionals in an effective and efficient manner. The re-designed modular progressive courses will streamline the educational requirements for SHARP Professionals and will ensure they are fully educated with the required

knowledge, skills, and abilities to excel in their assigned SHARP work roles. The tentative start date for the revised courses is FY24.

**Restructuring Initiatives (CID).** CID is currently going through a major restructuring. It is going from a military heavy organization to civilian heavy organization. More Sexual Assault investigators are being hired and more field offices will come online that will assist with victim services. CID will continue to foster off installation partnerships within the local communities. We will also continue to establish and maintain cross service connections with our sister services as it pertains to victim care.

**3. Goal 3—Investigation: “sustain a high level of competence in the investigation of adult sexual assault using investigative resources to yield timely results.”**

**3.1 Strategic Summary:** Summarize your efforts for achieving the Investigation goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes and/or initiatives begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2022. As applicable, include significant updates made to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes; investigative resources (e.g., crime scene processing, evidence accountability, and hotlines) and manpower capabilities; training for military criminal investigators, law enforcement personnel, and/or first responders (e.g., new equipment training, interview techniques, and the CATCH Program) and how the effectiveness of this training is evaluated; Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability for Military Criminal Investigative Organizations (e.g., investigator and prosecutor coordination); case timeliness and quality reviews; sexual assault-related retaliation investigations; and information sharing within DoD and other organizations (e.g., federal and/or local civilian law enforcement). There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 3.2 through 3.6 below. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 3 – Investigation, p. 9 / NDAA for FY 2020, section 540J)

The Army is committed to achieving high competence in every sexual assault investigation. In FY22, CID issued operational/policy changes and updates to field investigative units, highlighting important investigative issues requiring increased attention in order to ensure more thorough and complete investigative outcomes.

CID agents coordinate early in the investigative phase with the trial counsel or prosecutor to ensure initial and ongoing collaboration throughout the investigative process. CID policy directs supervisors to conduct periodic case reviews on open investigations to ensure timely, thorough, and quality investigations. Additionally, all sexual assault investigations are subject to further supervisory reviews during field office visits by senior management and quality assistance visits by senior special agents. Certain identified completed sexual assault investigations receive a secondary review for thoroughness and quality assurance at a headquarters one level above the field office that approved the final report. In FY22, CID initiated the New York City Police Department’s COMPSTAT (competencies-based, self-assessment toolkit) review process that employs a holistic review of a field office’s competence and success in completing investigations as a means of improving the investigative efforts of the division.

Supervisors at all levels of command review all sexual assault investigations to ensure they are accurate and thorough. All deficiencies, shortcomings, or better business practices identified by any of the reviews or COMPSTAT processes are incorporated into the annual refresher training of investigators to improve the conduct of investigations and reinforce the importance of sexual assault investigations.

The USAMPS Special Victim Capability Course (SVCC) training, attended by investigators and prosecutors from all Services, emphasizes the need for early and frequent coordination between investigators and prosecutors to ensure all physical and testimonial evidence is collected or considered to meet the elements of proof for a crime. The content of the course has been refined to introduce trauma-informed and associated interview techniques along with other enhancements to address the full spectrum of sexual assaults that affect not only Soldiers, but family members and civilian members of the Army. The CID strategically assigns its specially trained SVCC agents across the globe to maximize capabilities at locations with historically higher rates of sexual assault allegations. The CID also sourced and initiated additional specialized crime scene and forensic training for SVCC agents to increase expertise on sex-related offenses which allowed those agents to lead or advise on sexual assaults worldwide. While the SVCC agents received additional specialized training in sex related offenses, CID continued its standard practice to train all agents in its basic agent training course to conduct sexual assault investigations. Additionally, CID agents and criminal intelligence analysts received continuous education by attending virtual conferences in FY22 such as the End Violence Against Women Conference, the Crimes Against Women Conference, and the Crimes Against Children Conference.

The CID is in the middle of a transformation as outlined in paragraph 3.3. The changes being implemented will be significant from its past practices and processes. The aim of this restructure is to improve the timeliness and quality of investigations. CID is also involved in the Army's People First Task Force and its initiatives to improve services to victims of sexual assault.

The average (mean) overall length of a sexual assault investigation in FY22 was 127 days, down from 131 days in FY21, and the median was 101 days, which was an increase from the FY21 median of 95 days. The changes were attributed to the on-going changes in CID structure and increased emphasis of timely and thorough investigation and supervisory oversight.

CID continues to support its investigators with cutting-edge investigative tools and resources. These new resources include: state-of-the-art alternate light source equipment to greatly enhance the ability to detect the presence of forensic evidence at crime scenes; new video cameras; advanced automated crime scene processing software and computers to improve the description and sketching of crime scenes, as well as automated the collections and accountability of physical evidence; new cyber tools to conduct field processing of digital evidence, to include cell phones, that aid in identifying additional investigative leads; and state of the art video equipment to record the interviews of sexual assaults victims and suspects.

CID remains engaged with local law enforcement counterparts to report the progress of the investigation to the appropriate commander. This enables continued visibility and awareness in the event civilian authorities defer prosecution to the military or civilian prosecutors decline the case, and allows CID to pursue any remaining investigative leads easily and quickly.



CID utilizes the Law Enforcement Information Exchange (LInX)/Department of Defense Data Exchange (D-DEX) program, which is a federal cooperative data sharing system. The system partners with the FBI's National Data Exchange, Department of Homeland Security Immigration and Customs Enforcement Pattern Analysis and Information Collection System and pawn shop databases. The system is updated nightly, and all users must be sworn law enforcement agents and their respective agencies must contribute data to the system. Utilizing LInX/D-DEX, CID has had success in locating investigations involving Army members that had not been reported to the Army.

At the initiation of each criminal investigation, CID queries the NCIC database, along with other databases, to obtain background information on the subject of the investigation. Civilian and military protective orders (CPOs and MPOs) are included in these queries. Any violations of protective orders found during a CID investigation are reported to the local law enforcement agency, if appropriate, and to the command for action.

CID employs a mobile and web based anonymous tip application hotline. The tip hotline can be downloaded onto any smart device or accessed on the internet from anywhere. The tip hotline enhances the reporting of law enforcement information and supports ongoing investigations by providing an additional mechanism for CID to enlist the assistance of the public to seek out additional information pertaining to a specific investigation. The tip hotline allows the tipster to submit a tip and dialog with an agent in real time. The tipster has the availability to remain anonymous.

**3.2 Expanded Eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the CATCH Program: How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL MCIO investigators and military law enforcement personnel have been trained on and are familiar with the expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting (e.g., ability for victims to make a restricted report even if the assault is disclosed through their chain of command, but not personally to law enforcement) and the CATCH Program (i.e., ability for victims who made an Unrestricted Report to utilize the CATCH Program when the name of the subject was not disclosed or discovered during an investigation)? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your MCIO investigators and military law enforcement personnel? (DSD Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), Encl 4)**

CID issued policy and training on this topic to ensure all agents were familiar with the expanded eligibility for victims to make a Restricted Report even if the assault is disclosed through their chain of command, but not personally to law enforcement, and the ability for victims who made an Unrestricted Report to utilize the CATCH Program when the name of the subject was not disclosed or discovered during an investigation. Thus far, there have not been any identified issues with the training or implementation of the CATCH Program that resulted in any need corrective actions or additional training by CID.

**3.3 Requests for “Section 540K Declination Letter” and Requesting the Return of Personal Property Utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, “Return of Victim’s Personal Property in Restricted Reporting Sexual Assault Cases Collected During a SAFE:”**  
Provide the number of “Section 540K Declination Letter” requests that your Military Service/NGB received in FY 2022. If provided, include a summary of the reason(s) for the request (no more than the top three reasons are sufficient). Also, did any investigations continue (despite there being a “Section 540K Declination Letter” from the victim) and what was/were the reason(s) why? How many requests did your Military Service/NGB receive, utilizing the DD Form 2910-3, for the return of personal property? (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), para 4ab(4) & Encl 4, para 1d)

The Army had five Section 540K Declination Letter requests in FY22. The reason is that the Service member did not want to participate in the investigation. In one case, a member of the Victim’s chain of command was a named Subject and that is why the Victim declined. All five investigations are closed, although three of the cases remain open as the victims are still receiving care. DSAID does not store Form Type DD 2910-3, and there is no record of any requests for the return of personal property made using this form.

**3.4 Eligibility to File a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and Requesting the Return of Personal Property Utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, “Return of Victim’s Personal Property in Restricted Reporting Sexual Assault Cases Collected During a SAFE:”**  
How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL MCIO investigators and military law enforcement personnel have been trained on and are familiar with the eligibility of a victim to file a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and request the return of personal property, utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, relinquished as part of the SAFE and after filing a Restricted Report? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your MCIO investigators and law enforcement personnel? (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), para 4ab(4) & Encl 4, para 1d)

CID issued policy and training on this topic to ensure all agents were familiar with the right of a victim to decline to participate in a sexual assault investigation through the use of 540K declination letter. Thus far, there have not been any identified issues with the training or implementation of the 540K declination letter.

SHARP professionals, leaders, and local MCIO investigators and military law enforcement are trained on and are familiar with the eligibility of a victim to file a “Section 540K Declination Letter”. This was part of the annual refresher training in FY22.

**3.5 Evidence Processing Challenges: Describe any evidence processing challenges at the Defense Forensic Science Center (e.g., shipping delays and turnaround time for processing of SAFE kits and other evidence) and actions taken to address or mitigate these challenges. (Joint Chiefs of Staff Strategic Direction to the Joint Force on SAPR (May 7, 2012), p. 11)**

The U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Laboratory (USACIL) reduced its average turnaround time for sexual assault cases from 85 days in FY21 to 64 days in FY22, a 25% reduction in processing time for these critical cases. The reduction can be attributed to the expert talent and tireless efforts of the USACIL team members. Their commitment to their unique mission, through challenges like the global pandemic, continues to set the bar for excellence.

In concert with its amazing team, there were three operational changes affecting the reduction: 1) returning to a pre-COVID on-site staffing level; 2) institutionalizing efficiencies gained in casework activities that can be performed virtually; and 3) beginning to observe casework impact by a portion of the out-of-cycle TDA manpower additions of 14 personnel as a result of the Fort Hood Independent Review Committee (FHIRC) Report. The USACIL will continue efforts to train its new personnel and identify improvements and efficiencies that allow it to critically impact casework investigations in a timely manner.

**3.6 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Investigation goal.**

The lack of investigators and the lack of experienced investigators, as noted in the Ft Hood Independent Review Committee's report, was not a unique circumstance confined to only Ft Hood. It has been a long-standing issue noted across almost all CID offices worldwide. As a result, the Army authorized a transformation initiative that by 2027 will result in a conversion from military to civilian agents. Between FY23 and FY27, the transformation will add over 500 new civilian positions (investigators, intelligence analysts, evidence custodians, forensic scientists, etc.). Concurrently, CID is flattening its existing structure, doing away with battalions and groups, and standing up field offices that report directly to headquarters to streamline approval and oversight processes and facilitate more timely investigations.

Additionally, CID initiated an increased compliance and data driven metrics-based evaluation system (similar to the New York Police Department's COMPSAT) to highlight trends and threats, assess capability posture, quickly allocate resources as needed, and promote accountability, all to improve the quality and timeliness of investigations and crime prevention in support of the Army. Further, CID is in the process of transitioning its basic agent training program from the USAMPS to the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center (FLETC) to foster its transformation to the Federal law enforcement model and facilitate CID agents' incorporation into the greater Federal law enforcement agent culture.

**4. Goal 4—Accountability: “maintain a high competence in holding alleged offenders appropriately accountable.”**

**4.1 Strategic Summary:** Summarize your efforts to achieve the Accountability goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2022. As applicable, include significant updates to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes; any new legal support resources, manpower capabilities, and/or redesign of services provided to victims/clients; and training and certification (as required) of personnel affiliated with the Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability program (paralegals, trial counsel, and victim-witness assistance personnel) for responding to allegations of sexual assault and how the effectiveness of this training is evaluated. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 4.2 through 4.9 below. **(DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 4 – Accountability, p. 9)**

FY22 NDAA marked the most significant changes to the military justice system in decades. In support of this transformation, the Army Judge Advocate General’s Corps (JAGC) moved aggressively to transform structure, authorities, and processes through the establishment of the Office of Special Trial Counsel (OSTC), enhanced the Military Justice Career Model (MJCM), and opened the doors to a state-of-the-art Advocacy Training Center.

The Army fully supports the congressional legislation and Secretary of Defense’s initiatives requiring highly skilled prosecutors and support staff that report directly to the Service Secretaries, without intervening authority, dedicated to overseeing the disposition of sexual assault and related crimes. The Secretary of the Army established the OSTC as a Field Operating Agency on July 15, 2022. Once fully operational, OSTC will make initial disposition decisions and binding referral decisions for the 14 covered offenses specified by the FY22 NDAA and the FY23 NDAA.

The interim OSTC headquarters is located in the National Capital Region and is led by a Brigadier General, who was confirmed by the U.S. Senate in December 2022 as the first Lead Special Trial Counsel.

The current OSTC headquarters staff includes seasoned policy attorneys with years of criminal justice litigation experience in both the civilian and military justice systems. The JAGC is in the process of hiring additional civilian experts in the specialized areas of litigation, training, and wellness to support the OSTC mission. To facilitate the standup of the OSTC, the Army published an execution order which detailed a phased approach to staff, train, and equip OSTC across the enterprise, from initial operating capability to a fully operational team of 159 uniformed and civilian personnel on December 27, 2023. In 2025, the organization will grow to 180 personnel.

While much of the external focus has been on the changes to the prosecution function, both the Trial Defense Service (TDS) and Special Victim Counsel (SVC) Programs have

implemented significant changes to improve representation of accused Soldiers and victims and restructure in anticipation of the new bi-furcated system. In FY22, the Trial Defense Service began a restructure that includes complex litigation teams located within each of the eight circuits and amended business rules for detailing counsel to cases involving complex issues and covered crimes. As discussed in detail in 4.2 below, in FY22, the Army SVC program made enhancements to tour lengths, training schedules, collaboration across active and reserve components, survey use, and certification course curriculum.

In response to a recommendation from the Independent Review Committee, the JAGC is refining the existing military justice career model. The MJCM will consist of additional resourcing of enhanced military justice career billets as well as qualitative assessments to identify, develop, and employ more career litigation billets. The MJCM will include JAGC growth of junior and mid-level litigation billets for both prosecution and defense functions that will provide parity in experience, proficiency, and grade to ensure constitutional guarantees of fairness. Additionally, the JAGC commenced a review of the professional development proficiency codes (PDPC) that currently identify four tiers of individuals with specialized experience in military justice for consideration in the assignments process. The existing PDPC, based on a combination of formal education, additional training, and military justice experience, will be reassessed to develop evaluation criteria from knowledge, skills, abilities, and competencies (KSAC) that will assist career managers in properly identifying, developing, and employing attorneys for assignment.

Finally, in May 2022, the JAGC opened the first consolidated state-of-the-art advocacy training center within the Department of Defense. The advocacy training center will synchronize all advocacy training within one facility on Fort Belvoir and will serve as a centralized location for members of the JAGC, worldwide, to attend training courses in civil and military justice litigation. The training center offers seven courtrooms for training, mock trials, and a space to conduct administrative boards.

**4.2 Effectiveness of the Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)/Victims' Legal Counsel (VLC) Program: Describe any enhancements your Military Service/NGB has made to the SVC/VLC program; how your Military Service/NGB provides support to victims/clients in remote/deployed locations; training/certification updates (if any); and the progress toward ensuring SVC/VLC case load does not exceed, to the extent practicable, 25 cases at any time. (NDAA for FY 2020, section 541 / NDAA for FY 2013, section 573 / SecDef Memorandum, "Improving Victim Legal Support," (August 14, 2013), p. 1)**

In FY22, the Army SVC program enhanced tour lengths, training schedules, collaboration across active and reserve components, survey use, and certification course curriculum.

First, The Judge Advocate General set the minimum tour-length for Army SVCs at 18 months. The 18-month tours will decrease SVC turnover that clients may have experienced in their SVC representation and ensure SVCs have enough time to learn and thrive in the position. The flexibility allows SVCs to assume positions in the office to

continue their growth. Any future disruption from SVC turnover is being mitigated through the requirement of a warm handover from one SVC to the next. The goal is to ensure the outgoing SVC meets the incoming SVC and is fully briefed on the case history before the new SVC meets the client. This will ensure that clients are not asked to re-tell the facts of the case to the new lawyer.

Recognizing the benefits and efficiencies of regional training, the SVC program adopted and improved a regional training schedule. The SVC Program will continue to support annual CLE refresher training in each of the five SVC regions. Regional Managers led the training and focused it on the needs of the SVCs in that region. The SVC Program team provides updates from the HQDA policy-level. This year the training will return to in-person training.

Acknowledging the need for improved collaboration across components, the Army SVC Program also expanded coordination with Reserve Component (RC) SVCs collaborating on the Certification Course, holding weekly meetings, and by sending SVC Program Active Component members to Reserve Unit training events. The RC Regional Manager was provided office space at the Ft. Hood SVC office allowing for conflict case solutions and mentorship. These efforts have greatly benefitted both AC and RC SVC teams by allowing for increased information sharing and improving access for clients to SVCs in both components.

In the interest of transparency and self-examination, TJAG tasked the SVC Program team to gather information from our SVCs and their victim-clients to look for ways to better organize, support, and serve. Upon termination of the attorney-client relationship, every client is asked to complete our online survey. Recently the emails sent to the former clients were improved to include contact information for the Program Team so former clients can request assistance or clarification if needed. Additionally, the Chief, SVC Program, sends an email to every former client explaining the importance of their feedback and offering to assist if they have any challenges completing the survey. The feedback will then be shared across the Program so SVCs hear about victim perspectives and lessons learned, and the Program can respond to any issues that may be remedied through policy and systems. The SVC Program is also asking all current SVCs to complete short surveys twice a year and then again when they move to a new position. These bi-annual and exit surveys have resulted in honest feedback that changed some of the certification course and inspired the SVC Program to initiate a complete review of our SVC Handbook.

After reviewing student feedback from previous courses, the Army SVC Certification Course was restructured. Week one of the course focuses on adult Sexual Assault victim-client representation while week two focuses on representing Domestic Violence and child victim-clients. The goal is to focus on growing knowledge and understanding in stages: Basic law, Practical Knowledge and Stakeholders, Psychology, Application, and Impact Wellness.

To address basic law, the SVC course relies upon the Trial Counsel Assistance Program (TCAP) and The Judge Advocate General's Legal Center and School (TJAGLCS) professors. Week one, they learned about the Military Justice Process, Sex Offenses in the UCMJ, MRE 513/514, MRE 412, Administrative Separations, Sentencing and Post-Trial, and Professional Responsibility.

Then to focus on practical knowledge, the SVCs had classes on client eligibility, client intake procedures, and standard operating procedures (SOPs). To address some of the stakeholders with whom SVC work throughout the process, TCAP's Deputy Chief spoke about working with Special Trial Counsels (STCs) and how SVCs could impact the process. A former CID agent and digital forensics expert talked about how extraction of the client's phone data could be accomplished. And an experienced Special Victim Liaison (SVL) spoke to students about her role and the role of a Victim Witness Liaison (VWL). Additionally, two members of the Army Clemency and Parole Board spoke to the class about the process for convicted Servicemembers and the clemency and parole process, victim notices, and resources available to victims and witnesses.

The course focused a great deal on victim psychology for adult and child victims. Classes of note included those taught by nationally recognized psychiatrists and psychologists who specialize in working with traumatized patients. The course also included blocks of instruction from experienced litigators who shared information about how trauma impacts forming trusting attorney-client relationships and preparing victims for court proceedings. Finally, victims volunteered to speak to the class about their responses to trauma, growing from victims to survivors, and how the students, as SVCs, can greatly impact that victim experience.

Throughout the course, experts, experienced SVCs, and stakeholders in victim response shared techniques and practices used to collaborate and best serve victim-clients. These classes included how to determine if a child has the capacity to form an attorney-client relationship, how to coordinate with criminal investigators, and how to engage with the CATCH Program. During the course, breakout sessions were used to allow the class to meet with their regional teammates and a course leader to discuss follow-up questions, pose likely scenarios, and even practice some of the skills discussed. Litigators also provided classes on the military justice process and concrete ways for the SVC to impact the process on behalf of their clients. The SVCs also reviewed a mock case file that started with only the materials directly relating to their client, to include mock SVC case file notes. The file also included the materials the SVCs and client would receive once the case progressed, to include motions filed. The SVCs then watched as TJAGLCS professors played the roles of trial and defense counsel questioning the accused on the witness stand. Presiding over the exercise was the Army's Chief Trial Judge who not only fulfilled her normal trial role but walked the SVCs through the motion process and asked chosen SVCs to present their arguments on behalf of their clients. The SVCs gained great in-depth knowledge about many of the aspects of their role and got to try arguing before one of the Army's most experienced and well-respected judges.

To ensure the SVCs understood the potential impacts to their wellness and the importance their wellbeing holds for the SVC Program and the JAG Corps, the students heard from a military psychologist regarding mental health and military resources, and from a civilian wellness coach who focused on the real impacts of vicarious trauma and practical management advice. Of note, the wellness blocks of instruction generated comments and conversation from the students. After class and throughout the course, many students mentioned these topics, how the wellness conversation generated thoughts in their own minds, and asked questions related to wellness for themselves and clients. The wellness blocks of instruction will remain a focus.

To build team cohesiveness (and mitigate potential COVID exposure), the groups sat together by region, component, or Service. This allowed the regions to start building trust amongst the team and specifically with their regional managers. Students liked being able to meet face to face with SVC Personnel and network. The SVC Program team tried to rotate through each break-out group to take some time with each group and better know these future SVCs.

**4.3 Trends in the Incidence, Disposition, and Prosecution of Sexual Assault: What trends has your Military Service/NGB identified in the incidence, disposition, and prosecution of sexual assault by units, commands, and installations during FY 2022? Please include trends relating to prevalence of incidents, prosecution of incidents, and avoidance of incidents. (NDAA for FY 2013, section 575)**

Consistent with over a decade of data, sexual assault offenses are the most charged offenses at a court-martial. In FY22, of the 608 General and Special Courts-Martial arraigned, 284 (47%) included a charge under Articles 120, 120b, and/or 120c, UCMJ. As a result, sexual assault offenses are a primary focus on JAGC training, prosecution and victim assistance, professional military education, data collection, and investigative oversight.

**Training.** As the Army continues to take challenging cases to trial, Army litigation training experts, with decades of civilian and military experience, tailor JAGC training for both the prosecution and defense to address the most common challenges that arise in litigation of sexual assault offenses under the UCMJ. Training curriculum is developed based on the data and observations of the Army's litigation experts, who travel widely to provide training, individual case assistance, and outreach for all installations.

In FY22, TCAP completed 21 training courses and 16 installation outreaches either wholly or partially focused on improving the investigation and prosecution of sexual assault and other special victim cases. This is vital training to ensuring that offenders can be held appropriately accountable. For each of these 37 training events, TCAP improved the curriculum from last year based on field feedback from previous iterations. Additionally, TCAP staff also constantly updates trainings to include new case law and best practices learned from the field.

For the defense bar, the Defense Counsel Assistance Program returned to in-person training for all 11 of its courses including Defense Counsel 101, 102, 103 and the annual



Trial Defense Leaders Training and 6 elective courses focused on child abuse, advanced trial advocacy, Bridging the Gap with military judges, and forensic evidence from the U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Laboratory.

**Prosecution, Direct Assistance, and Oversight.** TCAP's training officers, complex litigation attorneys, special victim litigation experts, and leadership directly assisted the field in prosecuting special victim courts-martial by: reviewing special victim cases and providing advice on a myriad of legal issues on a daily basis; providing behind the bar support in complex sexual assault cases to ensure adequate expertise and guidance in these complex cases; and, providing in front of the bar support as trial counsel of record in sexual assault cases, thereby bringing experienced litigators into the courtroom to ensure successful prosecutions and mentor junior litigators.

During FY 22, TCAP's Special Victim Prosecutors, supported by Special Victim Non-commissioned officers and Special Victim Witness Liaisons, prosecuted 413 special victim cases, mostly sexual assault and child sexual abuse. Of the 413 special victim cases, TCAP personnel secured convictions in 270 trials and successfully negotiated alternative dispositions in 90 other cases.

During FY 22, TCAP personnel oversaw and managed an average of 2,141 special victim cases across the force per month. TCAP's Special Victim Prosecutors provided guidance to law enforcement on the investigation of these cases, while TCAP's Special Victim NCOs and Special Victim Liaisons worked with the victims of these crimes.

For the defense bar, TDS launched TDS 2.0, which involves the division of defense counsel into Litigation Defense Counsel (LDC) and Administrative Defense Counsel (ADC). TDS 2.0 has been implemented to various degrees at installations depending upon jurisdictional needs. This restructure tasks ADCs to handle suspect rights, NJP, chapter consults, administrative separation actions, and other administrative matters while freeing up LDCs to concentrate on courts-martial. This enables TDS counsel to better manage their time, schedules, and priorities while developing their expertise in a more focused and specialized area of representation.

**Professional Military Education.** Sexual assault prosecution is also highlighted in the institutional professional military education courses taught at The Judge Advocate General's Legal Center and School (TJAGCLS), including the Officer Basic Course, the Graduate Course, and Intermediate Trial Advocacy Course (ITAC). ITAC uses a sex assault-based fact pattern those attendees will work on and argue at a mock trial. ITAC also incorporates expert testimony and the use of real Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners (SAMFE) from across the Department of Defense. The SAMFEs also provide additional specialized training regarding to sex assault cases and act as expert witnesses during our sex assault based mock trials. Additionally, all OBC students receive blocks of instruction from forensic psychologists titled "Special Victims and Empathy" and the "Effects of Alcohol on Memory in Sex Assault Cases." These classes are provided during a special victims' week within the Criminal Law instruction at OBC where classes relating specifically to sexual assault are taught – more specifically: SAPR, Victims' Rights, SVC,

and Retaliation. That week also includes a workshop that focuses on interviewing special victims that week.

**Data Collection and Analyses.** In FY22, the JAGC made significant improvements to transparency and data collection that allow for more comprehensive and targeted analysis of dispositions and prosecution. In June 2022, the Army mandated use of the newly released DD Form 3114, Uniform Command Disposition for Sexual Assault, which a Judge Advocate with direct knowledge of the facts, circumstances of the report, and case disposition captures in this form. The form collects voluminous data points and details on the disposition of every report of sexual assault with a Soldier-subject and adult victim to assist with entry of comprehensive data into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID). Even more significantly, individual Army installation jurisdictions' ability to see themselves was greatly enhanced by improvements to Military Justice Online (MJO), and the ability of Chiefs of Justice to be able to run custom reports of all judicial, non-judicial, and administrative actions in their units. Quality control and headquarters visibility were also enhanced through the implementation of MJO utilization reports, allowing senior leaders to routinely assess installation military justice activity and provide guidance and mentorship.

**Investigative Oversight.** A revised FY22 Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between USACID, OSTC, and the Office of The Judge Advocate General was signed in September 2022. The new MOA, in conjunction with the structural overhaul of USACID, will allow special agents to pursue additional leads based on DNA and fingerprint analysis earlier in the investigation with Judge Advocate coordination. Improved and streamlined investigations assist with appropriate and timely disposition decisions.

For the defense bar, TDS developed a Defense Investigator (DI) Program which functions as a component of TDS headquarters. It currently consists of 12 DIs assigned to one of the eight (8) USATDS regions, with four (4) regions having been assigned two DIs. When detailed to a case, DIs provide direct investigative support to defense counsel to assist in defending clients at courts-martial or in other legal proceedings. When a DI is detailed, they become a member of the defense team and operate at the direction of the detailed defense counsel, on behalf of the client.

**4.4 CATCH Program: Describe any challenges faced by victims and/or SARCs with the CATCH Program (e.g., accessing the CATCH website or providing victim notification after a "match" was identified). Also, provide an update on how Service members are made aware of the CATCH program. (SecDef Memorandum, "Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Assault in the Military," (May 1, 2019), p. 2)**

The CATCH Program was initiated by Department of Defense (DoD), therefore the Department of the Army implemented the same guidance, mirroring DoD's initiative, to assist sexual assault victims, who file a Restricted Report. This program provides members and dependents, 18 years and older, an opportunity to discover if the suspect verified in the Restricted Report may have assaulted another person, a "match" in the CATCH website. This empowers victims with knowledge, to decide whether to convert

their Restricted Report to Unrestricted to initiate an investigation of the serial offender suspect.

Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs) and Victim Advocates (VAs) brief sexual assault victims of the CATCH Program, during intake, explaining the DD2910, Victim Reporting Preference Statement, the interaction with the Special Victim Counsel, and law enforcement. The early-on challenges were during the initial implementation of the CATCH Program to the Department of the Army's SHARP Program. However, the CATCH Program has been trained in multiple platforms to educate the SARCs and VAs of the process, including briefings, information sheets, program managers venues, SARC and VA training sessions, tutorials, as well as online support, which provides clear and concise process and benefits for sexual assault victims, catching serial offenders. The utmost importance is ensuring sexual assault victims, that file a Restricted Report fully understand their participation in the CATCH Program is voluntarily and their reports will not be converted without their permission.

- Providing Notification Match. Once an entry is submitted into the CATCH website Military criminal investigators, to include Headquarters at NCIS, CID, and OSI, analyze the suspect's information that was submitted to determine if another victim's submission generated a "match." The criminal investigators will contact the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC); the victim will be contacted through the SARC channels as the investigators have no knowledge of the victims' contact information. Once a victim is notified of a "match," they can decide to convert their case from Restricted to Unrestricted. If the victim decides to convert their report to an Unrestricted Report by re-signing the DD 2910, the investigator is given the victim's name, and initiates an investigation, also alerting the suspect's commander. Conversely, if the victim does not choose to participate, the victim will be asked whether further contact is warranted if there is a future match. If a victim agrees to be contacted, this will be over the next 10 years; otherwise, the victim, will not be contacted ever again.
- Service Members are made aware through posting information across the installation in high traffic areas, to include bulletin boards, newcomers' briefings, commander and leaders' briefings, pre- and post- deployment briefs, annual refresher training, as well as education and awareness training provided by their SHARP professionals across the footprint.

**4.5 Expanded Eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the CATCH Program: How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL legal officers have been trained on and are familiar with the expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting (e.g., ability for victims to make a restricted report even if the assault is disclosed through their chain of command, but not personally to law enforcement) and the CATCH Program (i.e., ability for victims who made an Unrestricted Report to utilize the CATCH Program when the name of the subject was not disclosed or discovered during an investigation)? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your legal officers? (DSD Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume**

**1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 4)**

The JAGC is verifying legal officer training on expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the CATCH program through two methods. First, the topics were added to the curriculum of all professional military education courses taught at TJAGLCS and to advocacy courses offered by the Trial Counsel Assistance Program (TCAP). Second, the JAGC required all current legal officers and paraprofessionals in special victim positions to complete Joint Online Knowledge (JKO) training on the expanded eligibility and the CATCH program and verified attendance to HQDA SHARP.

**4.6 Eligibility to File a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and Requesting the Return of Personal Property with a DD Form 2910-3, “Return of Victim’s Personal Property in Restricted Reporting Sexual Assault Cases Collected During a SAFE:” How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL legal officers have been trained on and are familiar with the eligibility of a victim to file a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and request the return of personal property, utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, relinquished as part of the SAFE and after filing a Restricted Report? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your legal officers? (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), para 4ab(4) & Encl 4, para 1d)**

The JAGC is verifying legal officer training on Section 540K declination letters and return of personal property by adding the topics to the curriculum of all professional military education courses taught at TJAGLCS and advocacy courses taught by TCAP.

**4.7 UCMJ Article 93a (Prohibited Activities with Military Recruit or Trainee by Person in Position of Special Trust) Investigations and Convictions: Provide the number of personnel investigated for and convicted of UCMJ Art. 93a, specifically those who engaged in prohibited sexual activity with such specially protected junior members of the armed forces. (Article 93a, UCMJ)**

In FY22, there were six Soldiers convicted at a Special or General Court-Martial of a violation of Article 93a, UCMJ. This number does not include non-judicial punishments administered for the offense under the UCMJ.

**4.8 Efforts to Address Independent Review Commission (IRC) Recommendations: Identify major actions completed or underway to address the recommendations of IRC Line of Effort 1: Accountability. Focus response on IRC efforts undertaken during FY 2022. (See the requirements listed in question 1.1)**

Army Directive 2022-13 has been disseminated to the workforce to raise awareness of changes to accountability efforts. In FY22, the JAGC began implementation of IRC Recommendations 1.4 and 1.7, both of which were identified as Tier 1 recommendations in the DoD phased implementation plan. In addition, Army Directive 2022-13, published in FY22, implemented IRC Recommendation 1.2.

- Recommendation 1.2 requirement for mandatory initiation of involuntary separation for all substantiated complaints of sexual harassment was implemented in Army Directive 2022-13, (Reforms to Counter Sexual Harassment/Sexual Assault in the Army) along with additional improvements to sexual harassment investigations, military protective orders, and publication of disciplinary proceedings.
- Recommendation 1.4 proposed professionalized career litigation billets for military justice personnel. The Secretary of the Army approved the MJCM. As discussed above, the MJCM will consist of additional military justice career billets available throughout the JAG officers' careers as well as qualitative assessments to identify, develop, and employ more career litigation billets.
- Recommendation 1.7 called for the establishment of independent funding for defense counsel to control their own access to litigation resources. In FY22, the Secretary of the Army approved a plan for authorizations for 28 defense investigators in Program Objective Memorandums for FY24. The Secretary further directed an Operational Planning Team to recommend the best approach to establish independent funding for expert consultation and witnesses, defense counsel and paralegal travel, and lay witness travel. The three phased process underway in FY22 includes a Manpower and Resource Study, structural planning, and implementation.

**4.9 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Accountability goal.**

In the next fiscal year, the Army JAGC will concentrate on achieving full operational capability for the OSTC in preparation for the statutory transfer of disposition authority of covered offenses in December 2023. The confirmation of a general officer to serve as the Lead Special Trial Counsel, the development of certification and qualification standards for OSTC personnel, the development of policy and business rules for OSTC procedures, publication of revised Rules for Court-Martial, and the hiring and assignment of personnel will all serve as critical milestones. Along with the revision of the military justice career model, the Army JAGC expects to be well positioned to transition to the new model.

As assessment and the development of metrics to measure the impact of these dramatic changes will be essential, the JAGC will focus on continued improvements to data collection, data visibility, transparency, and analyses. The hiring of additional IT personnel, upgrades to digital infrastructure, additional tools including expert witness information sharing platforms, a dashboard for immediate visibility of all actions, and enhanced interoperability with law enforcement and personnel databases will all assist practitioners and policymakers with making informed decisions. Finally, enhancing the JAGC's ability to analyze data comprehensively and expertly, through additional personnel or resources, will be a priority as the transition continues.

**5. Goal 5—Assessment: “effectively measure, analyze, assess, and report SAPR Program progress to improve effectiveness.”**

**5.1 Strategic Summary: Summarize your efforts for achieving the Assessment goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2022. As applicable, include significant updates to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes and initiatives to ensure the quality, reliability, validity, and secure retention of sexual assault and retaliation data collected in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID), to include SARC, SAPR VA, and Military Service-level legal officer DSAID training. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 5.2 through 5.4 below. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 5 – Assessment, p. 10)**

The Army employs an organizational inspection program (OIP) that uses metrics and checklists outlined in DoD SAPR and Army policies. These metrics include assignment and availability of trained and certified SARCs and VAs, the conduct of monthly SARBs and quarterly Sexual Assault Response Teams meetings, and the timely and complete entry of sexual assault cases into the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID). Each inspection includes a formal in-brief and out-brief to the senior commander and concludes with a report of best practices, findings, observations, and recommendations to address challenges.

The Army continues to have a variety of measures in place to assess and address the quality, validity, and reliability of Army data reported in DSAID. The Army SHARP program office prepares monthly reports for each Army command and installation Lead SARC. These reports provide information on all cases in DSAID assigned to that command or installation. The reports also identify data quality issues (i.e., data entry errors or missing data), potential duplicate cases, cases CID is investigating that are not in DSAID, interface errors between DSAID and the Army Law Enforcement Reporting and Tracking System (ALERTS), and a list of all cases entered at an installation. The Army SHARP program office works closely with SARCs at each Army installation to resolve data quality issues.

**5.2 Adequacy of SAPR Activities at Training Commands: Describe sexual assault prevention and response training delivered during Basic Military Training and Advanced Military Training or equivalent (e.g., military occupational specialty training). What is your Military Service’s/NGB’s assessment of the adequacy of SAPR activities carried out by training commands during FY 2022, and describe the means by which you assessed such activities? (NDAA for FY 2013, section 575)**

In accordance with TRADOC 350-6, all Initial Entry Trainees received SHARP training within 14 days upon arrival to their assigned units. This training is the Soldier’s initial introduction to the Army’s SHARP program and the Army Values. During the training commanders, leaders, and cadre members emphasizes the Army’s and TRADOC’s zero tolerance for inappropriate behaviors that may lead to or constitute sexual harassment or sexual assault. Additionally, Soldiers are introduced to the various social media, and cell phone apps, including the Installation’s WeCare App.

**Army SHARP Academy (Fort Leavenworth, KS):** SHARP Academy provides TRADOC Initial Military Training (IMT) with a 1.25 hour long SHARP Lesson for delivery at Basic Combat Training (BCT). SHARP Training is completed within the first week of BCT and instructors complete a check on learning to ensure knowledge is retained. Additionally, during FY22, the SHARP Academy collaborated with TRADOC IMT to update SHARP content in the TRADOC Pamphlet 600-4, The Solders Blue Book, that is provided to all Soldiers entering Basic Military Training.

**Army Training Center (ATC) (Fort Jackson, SC):** CID provides an in brief to BCT Trainees in reception and partnered with BDE SARCs and BN Commanders to provide additional SHARP training (on reporting and responding) to Trainees between red and white phase of BCT. BCT and AIT Trainees also receive training during the first 14 days of training IAW TR350-6. AIT courses greater than 23 weeks receive initial reinforcement training and SHARP quarterly training. The required TR350-6 training in addition to the CID training is observed throughout the FY by SHARP professionals and considered to be adequate for the intended population.

**Medical Center of Excellence (MEDCoE) (Fort Sam Houston, TX):** IET Trainees receive SHARP Training face to face from a team of credentialed SHARP professionals within 14 days of arrival. The training was conducted with minimal power point slides and invoked discussion with scenarios incorporated. Follow up training was conducted quarterly and was followed up with surveys. Additionally, the Escape Room was used to encourage teamwork and camaraderie amongst the participants when placed in a challenging situation. The frequency of SAPR activities conducted by units was adequate. Selected unit SAPR activities were based on survey feedback acquired from Trainees and observations by leaders and SHARP professionals.

**Maneuver Support Center of Excellence (MSCoE) (Fort Leonard Wood, MO):** The night that recruits arrive to the installation they watch a detailed video from the SAPR website on the Army SHARP Program. Following that, within 72 hours they receive a brief from the BDE SHARP team at reception. Then once they arrive to their unit they receive another SHARP brief from the UVA, as well as during each phase of training. SHARP assessments are conducted throughout the trainees SHARP training.

**Sustainment Center of Excellence (SCoE) (Fort Lee, VA):** The CASCOM SHARP team provides monthly training IAW the SHARP Academy training package to all arriving AIT Soldiers. Soldiers receive a SHARP brief within the first 72 hours of arrival and continued training throughout their time in AIT. The CASCOM SHARP team also provides Soldiers Against Sexual Harassment (SASH) training to all command vetted volunteers in order to provide Soldiers with the necessary knowledge and skills to understand what sexual harassment is, their options, and how to assist in preventing sexual harassment within their footprint.

**5.3 Ensuring Safe and Secure Living Environment: How does your Military Service/NGB assess the adequacy of measures undertaken at military installations**

**and by units to ensure the safest and most secure living and working environments with regard to preventing sexual assault? (NDAA for FY 2011, section 1602)**

Quality of Life programs promote the health and well-being of the Army's people, increasing recruiting and retention and reducing overall stress and uncertainty. Increased quality of life for Soldiers, Army civilians, and families is directly tied to increased Army readiness

**5.4 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Assessment goal.**

HQDA G-1, ARD is standing up a new Governance and Oversight branch within the Sexual Harassment/Assault Response and Prevention (SHARP) Division. The Governance and Oversight Branch will provide the focus and attention necessary to ensure Army policy aligns with legal and regulatory requirements. The branch will also monitor and enforce compliance when inspections are reallocated to the new branch.

As part of an ongoing G-1/ARD-sponsored research project, RAND is developing a prevalence "pulse survey" to enable more timely and rigorous prevalence estimates for specific harmful behaviors. RAND will administer a prevalence "pulse survey" proof of concept to inform recommendations for potential Army implementation and enduring administration of the instrument, which will require additional scientific resources (money, time, personnel).

The Army SHARP Program is adopting a data-driven mindset to successfully achieve its goals. This requires an outcomes-based, metrics-driven approach to assess activities and continually seek efficiencies and effectiveness. The program is leveraging data to enable governance of program activities and inform decision making. It is prioritizing and maturing ongoing data management efforts across all echelons to achieve proper HQDA governance which utilizes real-time data from its authoritative systems and dashboard capabilities to inform decision making.



**6. Core Functions (Communication and Policy): Provide a brief summary for new efforts taken in FY 2022 on the following:**

**6.1 COVID-19 Impact: Discuss any continuing impacts the COVID-19 pandemic is having on your Military Service's/NGB's efforts in any of the five SAPR goals (e.g., prevention efforts, in-person training, SAFE/evidence processing). If there is a continuing impact, what adjustments and communication efforts were made in FY 2022 to ensure the advancement of your SAPR efforts?**

Overall, COVID-19 had minimal impact on prevention and response efforts within the Army in FY22. Perhaps lessons learned in previous years helped us to effectively mitigate impact.

**Prevention Efforts.** To ensure that the community stayed informed about the SHARP program, prevention and awareness efforts were promoted via social media (i.e., Facebook, Instagram, and Twitter). Priorities included the availability of the 24/7 SHARP Hotline for the installation and DoD Safe Helpline, as well as changes in personnel and policy.

Commanders and DA Civilian supervisors enforced protective measures, ensuring that personnel who were experiencing COVID-like symptoms adhered to remote/telework guidelines and that mitigation measures were increased when their geographic area was experiencing high transmission rates.

Large-scale prevention and awareness activities, such as those executed during April as part of Sexual Assault Awareness and Prevention Month were (when possible) held outside or in large venues which would permit greater social distancing.

**In-person Training.** COVID restrictions or mitigation measures had a moderate impact on face-to-face SHARP training. Because many commands are still executing operations using minimal in-office manning or alternating work schedules to restrict the number of persons in the office on any given day, almost 33% of SHARP Training for FY 22 was completed via MS Teams. Annual SHARP Refresher training via MS Teams utilized leaders from across the organization to conduct the training with the assistance of a SHARP Professional. The training was conducted in small groups (no more than 30 attendees per training session), using a discussion format like those of in-person sessions. The training employed scenarios to assess understanding of the material being covered and used videos (in some cases) to enhance training and retention of information. SHARP professionals, assisting with the training, provided key updates to the program that were enacted following the publication of the training support package provided by the Army SHARP Academy.

At the Army SHARP Academy, COVID-19 impacts on in person training were minimal. All resident SARC/VA Career Courses were fully executed with no impact to in-person training. Students that tested positive during class would quarantine in their hotel rooms for five days and then return to class for five days wearing a mask. While in quarantine, students would attend required instruction using MS Teams or Blackboard. Throughout FY22, the SHARP Academy continued to conduct virtual SHARP Foundation Courses due

to increased COVID-19 restrictions. Thirty-one (31) out of 152 SHARP Foundation Course classes were taught by SHARP Instructors using Blackboard as the virtual delivery platform. A total of 737 students successfully graduated the virtual course.

**SAFE/Evidence Processing.** Access to SAFE exams has been hindered due to COVID restrictions especially OCONUS as detailed in 2.3 above.

**6.2 Survey Results: If not addressed in previous responses, describe your leadership-approved policy changes (if any) being initiated as a result of the findings from the FY 2021 Workplace and Gender Relations Surveys of Active Duty and Reserve Component Members and the FY2022 Defense Organizational Climate Surveys.**

Addressed in previous responses.

**7. National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) Requirements and Government Accountability Office (GAO) Recommendations:**

Provide your Military Service's status on the NDAA sections listed below. There are unique requirements embedded within each NDAA section's language, so referring to the entire section is necessary. Military Service's should also refer to GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, "DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts," (March 2022) and provide their updates based on the recommendations in that report.

After reviewing the designated NDAA and GAO section:

- If action(s) has/have been implemented, provide the completion date and a short narrative (300 words or less) describing the action taken (e.g., Completed: January 15, 2022. Requirement added to AR 600-20, Army Command Policy).
- If the action(s) has/have not been implemented, provide the projected completion date only without narrative (e.g., Projected Completion Date: January 15, 2023).

**7.1 (Army) NDAA for FY 2014, section 1721: Tracking of compliance of commanding officers in conducting organizational climate assessments for purposes of preventing and responding to sexual assaults. (Government Accountability Office (GAO) Report, GAO-22-103973, "DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts," (March 2022), Recommendation 6)**

Completed: 24 July 2020. In accordance with Army Regulation 600-20, Appendix E, the completion of command climate assessment is documented in the MEO database after the commander has completed the brief to their supervisor/higher headquarters and provided feedback to the organization's workforce. Completion of the command climate assessment is an item that is checked under the command inspection program and the manager's internal control program.

The MEO professional serves as the survey administrator and discusses the results with the commander to aid in developing an appropriate plan of action. The MEO professional is responsible for securing copies of all command climate assessment executive summaries, action plans, and results and storing them in a controlled container for 5 years.

Commanders are responsible for coordinating the questions and results of command climate surveys with their SARC.

**7.2 (Marine Corps & Air Force) NDAA for FY 2015, section 508: Required consideration of certain elements of command climate in performance appraisals of commanding officers. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, "DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts," (March 2022), Recommendations 7 (Marine Corps) & 8 (Air Force))**

N/A

**7.3 (Army) NDAA for FY 2012, section 582(a): Consideration of application for permanent change of station or unit transfer based on humanitarian conditions for victims of sexual assault or related offense. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, “DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts,” (March 2022), Recommendation 10)**

Completed: 3 May 2022. Department of the Army updated the Expedited Transfer policy to address concerns expressed in the GAO report. Victim’s Permanent Change of Station (PCS) policies and procedures were enumerated in ALARACT 027-2022, which expands eligibility for Soldiers, who may now request an expedited transfer on behalf of their adult Family members. This applies if the Family member is a victim of sexual assault that was not committed by the Soldier requesting the transfer. The Expedited PCS must align with the additional guidelines: ensuring the victim filed an unrestricted report of sexual assault, using a DD Form 2910; the subject is a Servicemember or has a military nexus; or the sexual assault occurred on a DoD installation or facility. The documentation is forwarded and processed by HRC, as a compassionate request in accordance with reference 1.e. of the policy. The reasons for a compassionate reassignment were also expanded to include all UCMJ Article 120 offenses.

**7.4 (Navy) NDAA for FY 2014, section 1741(a)-(c): Enhanced protections for prospective members and new members of the Armed Forces during entry-level processing and training. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, “DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts,” (March 2022), Recommendation 11)**

N/A

**7.5 (Navy & Marine Corps) NDAA for FY 2014, section 1745(a)-(c): Inclusion and command review of information on sex-related offenses in personnel service records of members of the Armed Forces. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, “DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts,” (March 2022), Recommendation 12)**

N/A

**7.6 (Army, Navy, & Air Force) NDAA for FY 2018, section 535(a)-(b): Sexual assault prevention and response training for all individuals enlisted in the Armed Forces under a delayed entry program. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, “DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts,” (March 2022), Recommendations 13 (Army), 14 (Navy), & 15 (Air Force))**

Completed: November 2021.

**Army SHARP Academy.** The SHARP Academy collaborated with Army Recruiting Command to edit and approve a Future Soldier SHARP lesson plan. In November 2021, the revised training product was approved for Army-wide use and delivered to Army Recruiting Command. The Future Soldier lesson contains SHARP program tenets and scenario-based discussions opportunities. In light of SHARP policy changes and updates, the SHARP Academy is currently updating the Future Soldier lesson plan.

**U.S. Army Recruiting Command.** SHARP Training has been pushed out all the way to the station command level. Changing the culture and helping future Soldiers learn to adapt to Army policy is a crucial and integral part of future soldier training because it's where we begin to challenge their beliefs and it's an opportunity for them to learn that they must align their behavior to comply with Army policy and regulations. Future Soldiers are required to attend SHARP training. The training is incorporated in the Future Soldier Orientation and training completion is annotated on the DA Form 1966 and verified at the MEPS.

**7.7 (Army, Navy, & Air Force) NDAA for FY 2019, section 545(a)-(c): Development of resource guides regarding sexual assault for the military service academies. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, "DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts," (March 2022), Recommendations 16 (Army), 17 (Navy), & 18 (Air Force))**

Completed as shown:

- July 19, 2021. United States Military Academy (USMA) SHARP Resource Guide
- April 11, 2022. West Point Comprehensive Primary Prevention Policy
- August 5, 2022. Prevention Skills course implemented (PS-101)
- August 11, 2022. Peer-Prevention Program (ACT) implemented. Developed throughout Academic Program Year (APY) 21-22, officially established APY 22-23, and implemented with Reorganizational week training. ACT stands for A – Addressing Sexual assault and sexual harassment; C – creating healthy climates; and T – Tackling holistic health.

USMA continued its robust efforts to provide world-class Sexual Harassment and Assault Response and Prevention (SHARP) services to victims/survivors of sexual harassment and assault. USMA has significant victim advocacy, medical, legal, and leadership response systems including dedicated and engaged leadership at all echelons, 24-hour forensic medical examination capability, full time Special Victim Prosecutor (SVP), full time Special Victim Counsel (SVC), Criminal Investigation Division (CID) special agents with specialized training and experience, Cadet counseling services, significant behavioral health resources, chaplains, and Military Family Life Consultants (MFLC).

USMA significantly expanded, implemented, and institutionalized comprehensive prevention strategies, policies, programs, and procedures including a comprehensive prevention policy addressing all harmful behaviors described in Department of Defense Directive (DODI) 6400.09 and continued coordination and partnerships with national, DoD, and Army prevention experts. USMA is dedicated to continuing its work on improving policy compliance, prevention, and response efforts, and to the cultivation of a true culture of dignity and respect for all members of the West Point community.

## 8. Analytics Discussion

**8.1 Military Services/NGB\*:** Provide an analytic discussion (1,500 words or less) of your Statistical Report of reported sexual assault cases from DSAID. The discussion shall include information on Unrestricted Reports; Restricted Reports; conversions of Restricted Reports to Unrestricted Reports; service referrals for victims alleging sexual assault; reports of retaliation; and case synopses of completed sexual assault and related retaliation investigations.

**\*NGB should provide comments based on its available information and data.**

**This section must briefly address each of the following:**

- Notable changes in the data over time
- Insight or suspected reasons for noted changes, or lack of change, if any, in data
- The application of insights from data analyses for programmatic planning, oversight, and/or research
- Total number of Sexual Assaults (Restricted Reports and Unrestricted Reports) over time (since FY 2008) **(Metric #11)**
- The number of sexual assault investigations completed by the MCIO in the FY and the corresponding mean and median investigation length. Case open date can be in any year, but the close date must be by the end of the FY **(Non-Metric #6)**
- The number of subjects with victims who declined to participate in the military justice process **(Metric #7)**
- Command action for military subjects under DoD legal authority (to be captured using the most serious crime investigated, comparing penetration to contact crimes) **(Non-Metric #1)**
- Sexual assault court-martial outcomes (to be captured using the most serious crime charged, comparing penetration to contact crimes) **(Non-Metric #2)**
- Summary of referral data – Unrestricted and Restricted Reports - either referrals received from other sources or referrals made to other sources (e.g., medical/mental health, command, criminal investigation/security services, legal, civilian, or VA authorities, etc.)
- Any other information relating to sexual assault case data (e.g., information on completed sexual assault-related retaliation cases)

**Notable changes in the data over time.** Reports have come down 9% from last year's peak, back to the 15-year trendline. Restricted reports are up 14% from last year and have begun to increase at an increasing rate. Prevalence, as measured by the WGRA, increased last year. The implication is that sexual assault crimes remain under-reported. The Army does not interpret a decline in reporting as a positive development until prevalence comes down, too. The Army wants Soldiers to trust the system to prosecute and punish perpetrators. Evidence of that trust is when reports are commensurate with prevalence, and then those numbers tend down together. Further evidence of trust is a higher proportion of unrestricted reports. The end goal is a culture that does not permit sexual assault in the first place, in which perpetrators are kept in check or removed from the formation and victims who identify perpetrators are supported, and their dignity is restored. The evidence indicates that prevalence is higher than reports, reports overall are declining, and within reports the proportion of restricted reports are rising. This sobering evidence indicates the Army still has a long way to go to achieve its goal.

**Insight or suspected reasons for noted changes, or lack of change, if any, in data.** Numerous factors played into the changes appearing in trends and in the underlying data. Data quality, accuracy, and timeliness have declined. For example, because of under-reporting, the data show victims seek services at a rate lower than the actual rate. SHARP Professionals report being over worked and understaffed. To address this, the Army is increasing staff support, improving training for SHARP Professionals (to include self-care and coping skills), and improving quality control, accountability, visibility, and transparency of data for situational awareness.

**The application of insights from data analyses.** This fiscal year the Army experienced an unusually high number of cases going directly to CID which were not interfaced with the installation's Lead SARC to have the case entered into DSAID. This resulted in an extensive effort at the end of the year to get each of these cases uploaded. To mitigate the need for this effort in future years the Army SHARP Office will include CID cases missing in DSAID in monthly data quality and control reports. To ensure proper oversight, the Lead SARC's Senior Commander will be included in the communication along with a reminder of the regulatory requirement to resolve the issue and the requirement to review these cases at the monthly Sexual Assault Review Board.

**Total number of Sexual Assaults since FY 2008.** Reports of Sexual Assault (Restricted Reports and Unrestricted Reports) over the past 15 years are summarized in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Army Sexual Assault Reporting Over Time

**The number of sexual assault investigations completed by the MCIO in the FY.** The CID conducted 2,928 sexual assault related investigations in FY22. The average (mean) overall length of a sexual assault investigation in FY22 was 127 days, down from

131 days in FY21, and the median was 101 days, which was an increase from the FY21 median of 95 days. The changes were attributed to the on-going changes in CID structure and increased emphasis of timely and thorough investigation and supervisory oversight.

**The number of subjects with victims who declined to participate in the military justice process.** Less than one percent of victims in FY22 declined to participate in the military justice process (Metric #7), precluding command action for subjects where evidence supported command action. Four percent of victims declined to participate in FY21, compared to 5% in FY20, 6% in FY19, and 5% in FY16 through FY18.

**Command action for military subjects under DoD legal authority.** Figure 2 only includes command actions completed in FY22. Command actions pending completion (e.g., court-martial preferred but pending trial) are not included in this graph because, in some cases, the crime investigated is not yet entered in DSAID. Additionally, there were 3 completed command actions that could not be classified as penetrating or sexual contact crimes because the crime charged was attempted sexual assault.




Figure 2. FY22 Completed Command Actions for Sexual Assault Crimes

**Sexual assault court-martial outcomes.** Sexual Assault Court-Martial Actions Completed by Crime Charged are depicted in Figure 3.






Figure 3. Sexual Assault Court-Martial Actions Completed by Crime Charged

**Summary of referral data.** There were 3,630 referrals for Service Member victims with Unrestricted Reports to or from military medical or behavioral health, command channels, criminal investigation or security services, legal channels, civilian sources, or Veterans Affairs authorities in FY22. There were an additional 133 referrals to civilian resources. Referrals are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Unrestricted Report Referral Services

Resources (Unrestricted Reports)	Military	Civilian
Medical	335	18
Behavioral Health	673	39
Legal/Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)	752	5
Chaplain/Spiritual Support	307	2
Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	957	24
Rape Crisis Center		29
DoD Safe Helpline	173	
Other	433	
	<b>3630</b>	<b>133</b>

There were 1,798 referrals for Service Member victims with Restricted Reports summarized in Table 2.

*Table 2. Restricted Report Referral Services*

Resources (Restricted Reports)	Military	Civilian
Medical	206	5
Behavioral Health	458	28
Legal/Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)	235	1
Chaplain/Spiritual Support	200	3
Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	474	10
Rape Crisis Center		10
DoD Safe Helpline	117	
Other	43	8
	<b>1733</b>	<b>65</b>

**Other information relating to sexual assault case data.** The Army continues to make every effort to achieve its goal of a culture of dignity and respect that results in positive command climates in which sexual offenses are rare, and victims feel safe in reporting and free from intimidation and retaliation.

**Retaliation cases.** In FY22, 31 allegations of retaliation were reported. In 16 of those cases, an investigative case file was opened and a case was either concluded or is still open. A total of 11 retaliation case investigations were closed in FY22. There were 12 instances where an investigative case file was not opened. The most common reason was that the reporter withdrew their complaint (n=5). In four occurrences, the case did not meet the threshold for retaliation. In one case, the report was referred to another agency to investigate. No reason was given for the remaining two cases.

In conjunction with full-on implementation of IRC recommendations, three developments on the near-term horizon will improve the Army's progress toward its goal: the implementation of the new SHARP regulation (expected release in 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter, FY 2023); the move to installation-centered SHARP program control and communication; improved HQDA SHARP Program oversight; and the shift toward 100% full-time SHARP professionals. These developments will prevent sexual assault and improve victim response through improved communication, responsiveness, transparency, trust, data integrity, and accountability.

**8.2 Personnel Support:** Complete the following table with your numbers as of the end of FY 2022. Use the job/duty descriptions provided and the following inclusion criteria:

- Include all Reserve and Active Duty military personnel. Army and Air Force do not need to include their respective National Guard component information as it will be included in the National Guard Bureau's response.
- Include federal government civilian personnel
- Only include filled positions

- Indicate the number of full-time and part-time personnel (i.e., collateral duty personnel)  
 - Provide the exact number of current personnel, whenever possible. If the number is an estimate, indicate how the estimate was reached and any other relevant information.  
**(DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 2, para 6)**

Compared to FY21, the Army:  
 • Increased full-time support by 95 personnel  
 • Decreased by 386 the part-time support  
 The net change, a decrease of 291 (5%), reflects the transition to more full-time support

Job/Duty Title	Description of Job/Duty	Full-Time	Part-Time
Program Managers	Capability in developing policy, or program management and execution; and completion of 40+ hours of Military Service-specific National Advocate Credentialing Program and approved SARC training.	59	0
Dedicated Headquarters-Level Professionals	Include policy, advocacy, and prevention professionals who support the headquarters-level SAPR program offices at each Military Service/NGB ( <i>not including program managers, who are counted in their own category</i> ).	32	0
Uniformed SARCs	Serve as the single point of contact at an installation or within a geographic area to oversee sexual assault awareness, prevention, and response training; coordinate medical treatment, including emergency care, for victims of sexual assault; and track the services provided to victims from the initial report through final disposition and resolution and are certified under the nationally-accredited DoD Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP).	262	757
Civilian SARCs	See above.	172	6
Uniformed SAPR-VAs	Provide non-clinical crisis intervention, referral, and ongoing non-clinical support to adult sexual assault victims; offer information on available options/resources to victims; coordinate liaison assistance with other organizations and agencies on victim care matters; and report directly to the SARC, and are certified under the nationally-accredited D-SAACP.	190	2364

<b>Civilian SAPR-VAs</b>	<b>See above.</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>Sexual Assault-Specific Legal</b>	<b>Legal personnel who specialize in sexual assault cases including prosecutors, Victim Witness Assistance Program personnel, paralegals, legal experts, and Special Victims' Counsel/Victims' Legal Counsel.</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>Sexual Assault – Specific Investigators</b>	<b>Military Criminal Investigation Office investigators who specialize in sexual assault cases.</b>	<b>432</b>	<b>666</b>
<b>Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners</b>	<b>Medical providers that have completed the DoD Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiner Course at Fort Sam Houston, or equivalent.</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>161</b>

## 1. Army Sexual Assault Unrestricted Report Data

ARMY		
<b>A. FY22 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT</b> (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) <b>BY</b> or <b>AGAINST</b> Service Members. Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY22. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year. This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># FY22 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)</b>		<b>2679</b>
# Service Member Victims		2077
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject		426
# Relevant Data Not Available		176
<b># Unrestricted Reports in the following categories</b>		<b>2679</b>
# Service Member on Service Member		1351
# Service Member on Non-Service Member		426
# Non-Service Member on Service Member		165
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member		261
# Relevant Data Not Available		476
<b># Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring</b>		<b>2679</b>
# On military installation		1585
# Off military installation		973
# Unidentified location		121
<b># Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation</b>		<b>2679</b>
<b># Victims in investigations initiated during FY22</b>		<b>2524</b>
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2022		1426
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2022		1098
<b># Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming</b>		<b>99</b>
# Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement		56
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ		10
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations		2
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service		11
# Victims - Other		33
<b># All Restricted Reports received in FY22 (one Victim per report)</b>		<b>1225</b>
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)		230
<b># Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY22</b>		<b>995</b>
<b>B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY22</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report</b>		<b>2077</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	680	532
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	267	214
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	262	199
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	850	644
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	487	356
# Relevant Data Not Available	133	132
<b>Time of sexual assault</b>		<b>2077</b>
# Midnight to 6 am	1390	1009
# 6 am to 6 pm	485	398
# 6 pm to midnight	630	499
# Unknown	42	40
# Relevant Data Not Available	132	131
<b>Day of sexual assault</b>		<b>2077</b>
# Sunday	364	263
# Monday	301	234
# Tuesday	301	236
# Wednesday	271	217
# Thursday	268	211
# Friday	471	362
# Saturday	567	419
# Relevant Data Not Available	136	135

1. Army Sexual Assault Unrestricted Report Data

C. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY22 Totals		
	1498	319	79	53	68	183	14	465	2679		
# Service Member on Service Member	968	267	53	40	4	11	7	0	1351		
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	400	8	1	3	0	10	4	0	426		
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	95	34	18	7	2	6	2	1	165		
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	22	8	7	3	62	156	0	3	261		
# Relevant Data Not Available	13	2	0	0	0	0	1	461	476		
FY22 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)											
UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY22											
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
D1.	224	13	954	0	24	1220	1	12	3	228	2679
# Service Member on Service Member	61	2	450	0	13	819	1	3	0	2	1351
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	66	2	237	0	1	117	0	0	0	3	426
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	15	0	36	0	0	106	0	0	0	8	165
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	37	8	119	0	3	63	0	6	1	24	261
# Relevant Data Not Available	45	1	112	0	7	115	0	3	2	191	476
D2.	TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY22 Reports										
	137	10	633	0	23	1035	1	10	3	225	2077
# Service Member Victims: Female	98	8	543	0	15	685	1	6	0	160	1516
# Service Member Victims: Male	39	2	90	0	8	350	0	4	3	65	561
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## 1. Army Sexual Assault Unrestricted Report Data

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS	FY22 Totals
<b>E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY22</b>	
Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.	
<b># Investigations Initiated during FY22</b>	<b>2389</b>
# Investigations Completed as of FY22 End (group by MCIO #)	1040
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY22 End (group by MCIO #)	1349
<b># Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY22</b>	<b>2424</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>	<b>1887</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	1877
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	10
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>5</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	5
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>4</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	4
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations</b>	
Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	135
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations</b>	
Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	337
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement</b>	
Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	14
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	12
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	2
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>23</b>
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	4
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY22</b>	
Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY22. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY22 or any prior FY.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by Services during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>1895</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	94
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	133
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	6
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>2035</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>	<b>1555</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	1543
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	12
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>8</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	1
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	7
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>4</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	4
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>142</b>
# Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	325
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	1
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>2027</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in CID investigations</b>	<b>1558</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	1552
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	6
<b># Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations</b>	<b>9</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	5
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	4
<b># Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations</b>	<b>3</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	2
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	1
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>379</b>
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	78
<b>E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY22</b>	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>55</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>52</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement</b>	<b>20</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	19
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	1
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>17</b>
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	14
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	1
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>56</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations</b>	<b>50</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	48
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	2
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service</b>	<b>1</b>
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	5

# 1. Army Sexual Assault Unrestricted Report Data

Investigations completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY22 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs") Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service. Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>0</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
# Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Victims in MP investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in MP investigations</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0



1. Army Sexual Assault Unrestricted Report Data

Victims and Subjects in Investigation Completed in FY22	Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY22										FY22 Totals
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses				Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY22 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)			
<b>F1. Gender of Victims</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>816</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1006</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>2084</b>
# Male	25	2	84	0	1	290	0	2	0	19	423
# Female	124	11	700	0	7	681	0	10	1	53	1587
# Unknown	4	0	32	0	0	35	0	0	0	3	74
<b>F2. Age of Victims</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>816</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1006</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>2084</b>
# 0-15	4	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6
# 16-19	54	3	226	0	2	338	0	3	1	4	631
# 20-24	51	3	367	0	2	402	0	6	0	16	847
# 25-34	21	7	138	0	2	178	0	3	0	3	352
# 35-49	10	0	29	0	1	39	0	0	0	1	80
# 50-64	0	0	2	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	7
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	13	0	53	0	0	44	0	0	0	51	161
<b>F3. Victim Type</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>816</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1006</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>2084</b>
# Service Member	98	12	553	0	6	869	0	11	1	71	1621
# DoD Civilian	0	0	5	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	14
# DoD Contractor	1	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	6
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# US Civilian	47	1	213	0	2	81	0	0	0	1	345
# Foreign National	3	0	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	11
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	4	0	34	0	0	41	0	1	0	3	83
<b>F4. Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>553</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>1621</b>
# E1-E4	77	4	445	0	5	722	0	1	1	52	1307
# E5-E9	17	4	73	0	1	89	0	9	0	14	207
# WO1-WO5	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	5
# O1-O3	4	3	23	0	0	40	0	0	0	4	74
# O4-O10	0	1	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	9
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	7	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	16
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F5. Service of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>553</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>1621</b>
# Army	98	12	548	0	6	864	0	11	0	69	1608
# Navy	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	6
# Marines	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
# Air Force	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
# Space Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
<b>F6. Status of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>553</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>1621</b>
# Active Duty	86	9	501	0	5	690	0	5	1	58	1355
# Reserve (Activated)	10	1	37	0	1	92	0	6	0	12	159
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	2	2	6	0	0	75	0	0	0	1	86
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	7	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	16
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2

1. Army Sexual Assault Unrestricted Report Data

Victims and Subjects in Investigation Completed in FY22	Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY22										FY22 Totals
	Penetrating Offenses					Contact Offenses					
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
<b>G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY22 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>890</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>954</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>2087</b>
# Male	126	7	705	0	10	802	0	7	1	11	1669
# Female	9	0	38	0	0	85	0	0	0	2	134
# Unknown	35	9	146	0	1	66	0	9	0	13	279
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	5
<b>G2. Age of Subjects</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>890</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>954</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>2087</b>
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	9	0	47	0	1	119	0	0	1	0	177
# 20-24	52	2	350	0	2	303	0	1	0	3	713
# 25-34	43	0	261	0	5	291	0	5	0	2	607
# 35-49	18	3	66	0	0	128	0	1	0	3	219
# 50-64	0	0	3	0	0	23	0	0	0	1	27
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	8	1	33	0	0	22	0	0	0	14	78
# Relevant Data Not Available	41	10	130	0	3	68	0	9	0	5	266
<b>G3. Subject Type</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>890</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>954</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>2087</b>
# Service Member	113	4	686	0	8	763	0	6	1	6	1587
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants											
# Recruiters											
# DoD Civilian	0	0	3	0	0	11	0	0	0	1	15
# DoD Contractor	0	0	1	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	12
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# US Civilian	9	0	24	0	0	11	0	0	0	4	48
# Foreign National	2	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	7
# Foreign Military	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	44	10	147	0	3	83	0	9	0	6	279
# Relevant Data Not Available	12	3	29	0	2	87	0	1	0	3	137
<b>G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>686</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>763</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1587</b>
# E1-E4	70	1	448	0	5	446	0	1	1	4	976
# E5-E9	39	2	189	0	3	248	0	4	0	1	486
# WO1-WO5	1	0	8	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	18
# O1-O3	2	0	26	0	0	32	0	1	0	0	61
# O4-O10	0	0	3	0	0	18	0	0	0	1	22
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	1	11	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	23
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G5. Service of Service Member Subjects</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>686</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>763</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1587</b>
# Army	112	4	676	0	8	752	0	6	0	5	1563
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	4
# Marines	0	0	9	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	12
# Air Force	1	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	7
# Space Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G6. Status of Service Member Subjects</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>686</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>763</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1587</b>
# Active Duty	106	3	648	0	7	686	0	6	1	2	1452
# Reserve (Activated)	6	0	22	0	1	53	0	0	0	4	86
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	4	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	18
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	1	11	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	23
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

# 1. Army Sexual Assault Unrestricted Report Data

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY22 INVESTIGATIONS	FY22 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY22 INVESTIGATIONS	FY22 Totals
<b># Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement</b> <i>Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY22, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.</i>	4		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	1		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	1		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	1		
# Subjects - Other	1		
<b># Subjects in investigations completed in FY22</b> <i>Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.</i>	2087	<b># Victims in investigations completed in FY22</b>	2084
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY22	772	# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY22	889
<b># Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority</b>	467		
# Unknown Offenders	368	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	39
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	221
		# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	9
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	94	# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	75
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	4	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	1
		# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Subjects who died or deserted	1	# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
<b># Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault</b>	408		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	3	# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	2
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	396	# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	280
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	8	# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	6
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	0	# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	0
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	1	# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	1
<b># Subjects disposition data not yet available</b>	664	<b># Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available</b>	865
<b># Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2022</b>	533		
<b># FY22 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action</b>	533	<b># FY22 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action</b>	503
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	130	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial referrals against Subject	101
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	74	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	83
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	89	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	91
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	68	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	71
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	8	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial referrals for non-sexual assault offenses	5
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	91	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	85
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	48	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	48
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	25	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	19
<b>* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.</b>			

## 1. Army Sexual Assault Unrestricted Report Data

I. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martial for sexual assault crimes completed during FY22	FY22 Totals
<b># Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a Sexual Assault Charge Pending Court Completion</b>	<b>366</b>
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY22	66
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY22</b>	<b>300</b>
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed</b>	<b>36</b>
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	15
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	21
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
<b># Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial</b>	<b>64</b>
# Officer and Cadet/Midshipmen Subjects who were allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	3
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	61
<b># Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a sexual assault charge</b>	<b>200</b>
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	45
<b># Subjects Convicted of Any Charge at Trial</b>	<b>155</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>155</b>
# Subjects receiving confinement	131
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	126
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	96
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	120
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	1
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	6
# Subjects to be processed for administrative discharge or separation subsequent to sexual assault conviction	21
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	13
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	8
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Convicted Subjects with a conviction under a UCMJ Article that requires Sex Offender Registration	94
J. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for sexual assault crimes completed during FY22	FY22 Totals
<b># Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY22</b>	<b>287</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY22	18
<b># Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY22</b>	<b>269</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	7
<b># Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment</b>	<b>262</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	5
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>257</b>
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	1
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	220
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	236
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	66
# Subjects receiving extra duty	208
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	61
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual assault charge	129
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	35
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	83
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	1
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	10
K. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN. This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	FY22 Totals
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY22	38
<b># Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a sexual assault offense</b>	<b>122</b>
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	45
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	54
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	18
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY22	12
<b># Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a sexual assault offense</b>	<b>134</b>

## 1. Army Sexual Assault Unrestricted Report Data

L. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Non-sexual assault offense). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martials for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	FY22 Totals
<b># Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a non-sexual assault offense in FY22</b>	<b>17</b>
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY22	5
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY22</b>	<b>12</b>
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial for a non-sexual assault offense	2
# Officer an Cadet/Midshipmen Subjects who were officers that where allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	2
<b># Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>9</b>
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	0
<b># Subjects Convicted of Any Non-Sexual Assault Charge at Trial</b>	<b>9</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>9</b>
# Subjects receiving confinement	6
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	7
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	3
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	3
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	0
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to conviction at trial	2
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	2
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
<b>III. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Non Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY22</b>	<b>175</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY22	17
<b># Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY22</b>	<b>158</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	10
<b># Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>148</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>148</b>
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	1
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	124
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	121
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	51
# Subjects receiving extra duty	119
# Subjects receiving hard labor	1
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	54
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge	67
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	16
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	47
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	1
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	2
<b>IV. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN (Non sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY22	5
<b># Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>77</b>
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	28
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	29
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	6
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	11
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY22	2
<b># Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>44</b>

2. Army Sexual Assault Restricted Report Data

ARMY FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
<b>A. FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports</b>	<b>1225</b>
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	1195
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	18
# Relevant Data Not Available	12
<b># Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22*</b>	<b>230</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	223
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	3
<b># Total Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	<b>995</b>
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	972
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	14
# Relevant Data Not Available	9
<b># Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories</b>	<b>995</b>
# Service Member on Service Member	537
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	87
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	14
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	169
# Relevant Data Not Available	188
<b>B. INCIDENT DETAILS</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Reported sexual assaults occurring</b>	<b>995</b>
# On military installation	470
# Off military installation	318
# Unidentified location	88
# Relevant Data Not Available	119
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report</b>	<b>995</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	187
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	90
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	96
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	148
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	226
# Relevant Data Not Available	248
<b>Time of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>995</b>
# Midnight to 6 am	270
# 6 am to 6 pm	151
# 6 pm to midnight	345
# Unknown	164
# Relevant Data Not Available	65
<b>Day of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>995</b>
# Sunday	108
# Monday	81
# Tuesday	66
# Wednesday	57
# Thursday	85
# Friday	162
# Saturday	187
# Relevant Data Not Available	249
<b>C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims</b>	<b>972</b>
# Army Victims	959
# Navy Victims	8
# Marines Victims	1
# Air Force Victims	3
# Space Force Victims	0
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
<b>D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>Gender of Victims</b>	<b>995</b>
# Male	241
# Female	747
# Relevant Data Not Available	7
<b>Age of Victims at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>995</b>
# 0-15	22
# 16-19	251
# 20-24	418
# 25-34	244
# 35-49	50
# 50-64	3
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	7
<b>Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>972</b>
# E1-E4	602
# E5-E9	222
# WO1-WO5	8
# O1-O3	83
# O4-O10	34
# Cadet/Midshipman	23
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Status of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>972</b>
# Active Duty	803
# Reserve (Activated)	110
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	35
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	23
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Victim Type</b>	<b>995</b>
# Service Member	972
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# Non-Service Member	14
# Foreign National	0
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	9
<b>E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service</b>	<b>64</b>
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	33
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	30
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

## 2. Army Sexual Assault Restricted Report Data

<b>F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY)</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	38.54
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	57.27
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	1
<b>G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY22</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22</b>	<b>44</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	43
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
* The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.	

### 3. Support Services for Victims of Sexual Assault

ARMY FY22 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>	
<b>A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:</b>	FY22 Totals
# Support service referrals for victims in the following categories	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>3630</b>
# Medical	335
# Behavioral Health	673
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)	752
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	307
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	957
# DoD Safe Helpline	173
# Other	433
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>133</b>
# Medical	18
# Behavioral Health	39
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	5
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	2
# Rape Crisis Center	29
# Victim Advocate	24
# DoD Safe Helpline	
# Other	16
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	190
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	1
# Military victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service	59
<b>B. FY22 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO) AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS</b>	FY22 TOTALS
# Military Protective Orders issued during FY22	528
# Reported MPO Violations in FY22	5
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	4
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	1
<b>C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS</b>	FY22 TOTALS
# Support service referrals for victims in the following categories	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>1733</b>
# Medical	208
# Behavioral Health	458
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	235
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	200
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	474
# DoD Safe Helpline	117
# Other	43
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>65</b>
# Medical	5
# Behavioral Health	28
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	3
# Rape Crisis Center	10
# Victim Advocate	10
# DoD Safe Helpline	
# Other	8
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	71
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	2
<b>CIVILIAN DATA</b>	
<b>D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER</b>	FY22 Totals
<b>D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:</b>	<b>366</b>
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	29
# Undetermined Subject or Undisclosed Animation on Non-Service Member	14
# Relevant Data Not Available	323
<b>D2. Gender of Non-Service Members</b>	<b>366</b>
# Male	21
# Female	167

Use the following categories or add a new category to identify the reason the requests were denied:	FY22 TOTALS
# On/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service member victims of sexual assault Denied	28
# On/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service member victims Denied	4
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service member victims of sexual assault Denied	215
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service member victims Denied	7
<b>C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS</b>	FY22 TOTALS
# Support service referrals for victims in the following categories	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>1733</b>
# Medical	208
# Behavioral Health	458
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	235
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	200
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	474
# DoD Safe Helpline	117
# Other	43
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>65</b>
# Medical	5
# Behavioral Health	28
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	3
# Rape Crisis Center	10
# Victim Advocate	10
# DoD Safe Helpline	
# Other	8
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	71
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	2
<b>CIVILIAN DATA</b>	
<b>D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER</b>	FY22 Totals
<b>D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:</b>	<b>366</b>
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	29
# Undetermined Subject or Undisclosed Animation on Non-Service Member	14
# Relevant Data Not Available	323
<b>D2. Gender of Non-Service Members</b>	<b>366</b>
# Male	21
# Female	167



### 3. Support Services for Victims of Sexual Assault

# Relevant Data Not Available	178
<b>D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>366</b>
# 0-15	2
# 16-19	13
# 20-24	25
# 25-34	18
# 35-49	9
# 50-64	2
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	297
<b>D4. Non-Service Member Type</b>	<b>366</b>
# DoD Civilian	23
# DoD Contractor	6
# Other US Government Civilian	1
# US Civilian	100
# Foreign National	8
# Foreign Military	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	227
<b>D5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>159</b>
# Medical	18
# Behavioral Health	32
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	31
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	11
# Rape Crisis Center	8
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	41
# DoD Safe Helpline	8
# Other	18
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>35</b>
# Medical	1
# Behavioral Health	13
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	3
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	2
# Rape Crisis Center	8
# Victim Advocate	5
# DoD Safe Helpline	3
# Other	3
<b># Cases where SAFES were conducted</b>	<b>18</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>E. FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report</b>	<b>141</b>
# Non-Service Member victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	13
<b># Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	<b>128</b>
<b># Restricted Reports from Non-Service member victims in the following categories:</b>	<b>128</b>
# Non-Service member on Non-Service member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	7
# Undetermined Subject or Undisclosed Ammunition on Non-Service Member	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	115
<b>E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims</b>	<b>128</b>
# Male	2
# Female	41
# Relevant Data Not Available	85
<b>E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>128</b>
# 0-15	12
# 16-19	20
# 20-24	44
# 25-34	40
# 35-49	7
# 50-64	1
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	4
<b>E4. VICTIM Type</b>	<b>128</b>
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# Non-Service Member	24
# Relevant Data Not Available	104
<b>E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources</b>	<b>101</b>
# Medical	14
# Behavioral Health	22
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	9
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	13
# Rape Crisis Center	8
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	33
# DoD Safe Helpline	8
# Other	2
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>7</b>
# Medical	1

### 3. Support Services for Victims of Sexual Assault

# Behavioral Health	4
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	1
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD-Safe Helpline	0
# Other	3
# Cases where SAFES were conducted	10
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0

#### 4. Combat Areas of Interest (CAI) Unrestricted Report Data

ARMY COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST		
<p><b>A. FY22 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b> (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) <b>BY</b> or <b>AGAINST</b> Service Members.</p> <p>Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY22. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.</p> <p>This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.</p>	FY22 Totals	
<b># FY22 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)</b>	22	
# Service Member Victims	20	
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject	2	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	
<b># Unrestricted Reports in the following categories</b>	22	
# Service Member on Service Member	12	
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	2	
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	5	
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	1	
# Relevant Data Not Available	2	
<b># Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring</b>	22	
# On military installation	17	
# Off military installation	5	
# Unidentified location	0	
<b># Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation</b>	22	
<b># Victims in investigations initiated during FY22</b>	21	
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2022	8	
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2022	13	
<b># Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming</b>	1	
<b># Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement</b>	0	
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ	0	
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0	
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service	0	
# Victims - Other	0	
<b># All Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest received in FY22 (one Victim per report)</b>	30	
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)	0	
<b># Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY22</b>	30	
<b>B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FOR FY22</b>	FY22 Totals	FY22 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report</b>	22	20
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	2	2
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	1	1
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	1	0
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	8	8
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	9	8
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	1
<b>Time of sexual assault</b>	22	20
# Midnight to 6 am	14	13
# 6 am to 6 pm	2	2
# 6 pm to midnight	3	2
# Unknown	2	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	1
<b>Day of sexual assault</b>	22	20
# Sunday	2	2
# Monday	1	1
# Tuesday	4	3
# Wednesday	4	4
# Thursday	3	3
# Friday	2	1
# Saturday	5	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	1

4. Combat Areas of Interest (CAI) Unrestricted Report Data

C. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY22 Totals		
# Service Member on Service Member	15	3	1	0	1	0	0	2	22		
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4		
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2		
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1		
# Unidentified Subject on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2		
<b>FY22 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)</b>											
<b>UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY22</b>											
D. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	<i>Penetrating Offenses</i>				<i>Contact Offenses</i>						
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
D1. Total	2	0	3	0	0	15	1	0	0	1	22
# Service Member on Service Member	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	12
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	5
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Unidentified Subject on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D2. TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY22 Reports	2	0	2	0	0	10	1	0	0	1	20
# Service Member Victims: Female	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	14
# Service Member Victims: Male	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST MADE IN FY22</b>											
D3. Time of sexual assault	<i>Penetrating Offenses</i>				<i>Contact Offenses</i>						
# Midnight to 6 am	1	0	3	0	0	15	1	0	0	1	22
# 6 am to 6 pm	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# 6 pm to midnight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D4. Day of sexual assault	<i>Penetrating Offenses</i>				<i>Contact Offenses</i>						
# Sunday	0	0	3	0	0	15	1	0	0	1	22
# Monday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Wednesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Friday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Saturday	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATION OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE</b>											
FY22 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT.	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
<b>TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS</b>	2	0	3	0	0	15	1	0	0	1	22
Afghanistan	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Bahrain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Djibouti	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iran	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iraq	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Kosovo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kuwait	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somalia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Turkey	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Uae	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yemen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS</b>	2	0	3	0	0	15	1	0	0	1	22

#### 4. Combat Areas of Interest (CAI) Unrestricted Report Data

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY22 Totals
<b>E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY22 in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.	
<b># Investigations Initiated during FY22</b>	<b>22</b>
# Investigations Completed as of FY22 End (group by MCIO #)	13
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY22 End (group by MCIO #)	9
<b># Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY22</b>	<b>22</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects Investigated by CID</b>	<b>14</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	14
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations</b>	<b>4</b>
Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations</b>	<b>3</b>
Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement</b>	<b>0</b>
Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY22 in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY22. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY22 or any prior FY.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by Services during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>29</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	1
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	6
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>37</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>	<b>22</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	22
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>7</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>8</b>
<b># Subject Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>30</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in CID investigations</b>	<b>29</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	29
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	0
<b># Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	0
<b># Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># Victim Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>

#### 4. Combat Areas of Interest (CAI) Unrestricted Report Data

<b>E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY22 in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
<b>Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.</b>	
<b># Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	0
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	0
# Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations	0
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service</b>	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY22 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs") in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
<b>Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.</b>	
<b>Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID</b>	
<b># Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	0
# Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	0
# Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Victims in MP investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	0
# Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	0
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

4. Combat Areas of Interest (CAI) Unrestricted Report Data

Victims and Subjects in Investigation Completed in FY22 in Combat Areas of Interest	Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY22										FY22 Totals
	Penetrating Offenses					Contact Offenses					
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
<b>F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY22 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b> (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)											
<b>F1. Gender of Victims</b>	1	1	8	0	0	19	0	1	0	0	
# Male	1	0	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	
# Female	0	1	6	0	0	14	0	1	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>F2. Age of Victims</b>	1	1	8	0	0	19	0	1	0	0	
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 16-19	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	
# 20-24	1	1	6	0	0	8	0	1	0	0	
# 25-34	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	
# 35-49	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>F3. Victim Type</b>	1	1	8	0	0	19	0	1	0	0	
# Service Member	1	1	3	0	0	18	0	1	0	0	
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>F4. Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	1	1	8	0	0	18	0	1	0	0	
# E1-E4	1	1	7	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	
# E5-E9	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>F5. Service of Service Member Victims</b>	1	1	8	0	0	18	0	1	0	0	
# Army	1	1	3	0	0	18	0	1	0	0	
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>F6. Status of Service Member Victims</b>	1	1	8	0	0	18	0	1	0	0	
# Active Duty	1	0	8	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY22 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b> (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)											
	Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY22										
	Penetrating Offenses					Contact Offenses					
<b>G1. Gender of Subjects</b>	1	3	13	0	0	18	0	2	0	0	
# Male	0	2	7	0	0	16	0	1	0	0	
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	1	1	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>G2. Age of Subjects</b>	1	3	13	0	0	18	0	2	0	0	
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 20-24	0	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	
# 25-34	0	0	3	0	0	8	0	1	0	0	
# 35-49	0	1	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	2	3	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	
<b>G3. Subject Type</b>	1	3	13	0	0	18	0	2	0	0	
# Service Member	0	0	8	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	

4. Combat Areas of Interest (CAI) Unrestricted Report Data

# All Subjects	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Reservists	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	5
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	1	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	8
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>
# E1-E4	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	8
# E5-E9	0	0	4	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	12
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G5. Service of Service Member Subjects</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>
# Army	0	0	3	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	22
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G6. Status of Service Member Subjects</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>
# Active Duty	0	0	8	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	18
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals	



#### 4. Combat Areas of Interest (CAI) Unrestricted Report Data

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY22 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY22 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY22 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY22 Totals
<b># Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement</b> <b>Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY22, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below</b>	0		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	0		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	0		
# Subjects - Other	0		
<b># Subjects in investigations completed in FY22</b> <b>Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.</b>	38	<b># Victims in investigations completed in FY22</b>	30
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY22	7	# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY22	12
<b># Total Subjects with allegations unfounded by a Military Criminal Investigative Organization</b>	0	<b># Total Victims associated with MCIO unfounded allegations</b>	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Non-Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Unidentified Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Victims with Victim data not yet available and involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Subjects with Subject data not yet available and with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0		
<b># Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority</b>	13		
# Unknown Offenders	11	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	2
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	2
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	2	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	1
		# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	1
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
		# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
<b># Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault</b>	5		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	0	# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	0
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	5	# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	5
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0	# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	1
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	0	# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	0
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	0
<b># Subjects disposition data not yet available</b>	6	<b># Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available</b>	4
<b># Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2022</b>	14		
<b># FY22 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action</b>	14	<b># FY22 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action</b>	14
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	4	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals against Subject	3
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	1
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	3	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	4
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferrals for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	3	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	3
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	3	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	3
<b>* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.</b>			

#### 4. Combat Areas of Interest (CAI) Unrestricted Report Data

I. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martial for sexual assault crimes completed during FY22	FY22 Totals
<b># Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a Sexual Assault Charge Pending Court Completion</b>	<b>17</b>
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY22	5
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY22</b>	<b>12</b>
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed</b>	<b>1</b>
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
<b># Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial</b>	<b>2</b>
# Officer Subjects who were allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	2
<b># Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a sexual assault charge</b>	<b>9</b>
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	1
<b># Subjects Convicted of Any Charge at Trial</b>	<b>8</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>8</b>
# Subjects receiving confinement	5
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	5
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	4
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	5
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	0
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	1
# Subjects to be processed for administrative discharge or separation subsequent to sexual assault conviction	2
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	1
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	1
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Convicted Subjects with a conviction under a UCMJ Article that requires Sex Offender Registration	2
J. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for sexual assault crimes completed during FY22	FY22 Totals
<b># Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY22</b>	<b>5</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY22	2
<b># Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY22</b>	<b>3</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	0
<b># Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment</b>	<b>3</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>3</b>
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	3
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	3
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	1
# Subjects receiving extra duty	1
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	1
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual assault charge	3
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	3
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
K. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST. This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	FY22 Totals
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY22	3
<b># Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a sexual assault offense</b>	<b>1</b>
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	1
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY22	2
<b># Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a sexual assault offense</b>	<b>8</b>

#### 4. Combat Areas of Interest (CAI) Unrestricted Report Data

L. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Non-sexual assault offense) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST. This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martials for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	FY22 Totals
<b># Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a non-sexual assault offense in FY22</b>	0
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY22	0
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY22</b>	0
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial for a non-sexual assault offense	0
# Officer Subjects who were officers that were allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
<b># Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a non-sexual assault offense</b>	0
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	0
<b># Subjects Convicted of Any Non-Sexual Assault Charge at Trial</b>	0
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	0
# Subjects receiving confinement	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	0
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	0
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	0
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	0
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to conviction at trial	0
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
<b>M. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Non-Sexual Assault Charge) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST. This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY22</b>	<b>8</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY22	1
<b># Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY22</b>	<b>7</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	0
<b># Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>7</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>7</b>
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	7
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	6
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	2
# Subjects receiving extra duty	5
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	5
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge	2
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	2
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
<b>N. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN (Non-sexual assault offense) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST. This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY22	0
<b># Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>1</b>
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	1
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY22	1
<b># Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>2</b>

## 5. CAI Restricted Report Data

ARMY COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
<b>A. FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	
<b># TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	30
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22*</b>	<b>0</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	0
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Total Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	<b>30</b>
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	30
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories</b>	<b>30</b>
# Service Member on Service Member	16
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	4
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	6
<b>B. INCIDENT DETAILS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	
<b># Reported sexual assaults occurring</b>	<b>30</b>
# On military installation	27
# Off military installation	3
# Unidentified location	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report</b>	<b>30</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	3
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	1
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	3
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	1
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	18
# Relevant Data Not Available	4
<b>Time of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>30</b>
# Midnight to 6 am	11
# 6 am to 6 pm	7
# 6 pm to midnight	8
# Unknown	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
<b>Day of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>30</b>
# Sunday	6
# Monday	1
# Tuesday	3
# Wednesday	2
# Thursday	6
# Friday	2
# Saturday	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	4
<b>C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	
<b># Service Member Victims</b>	<b>30</b>
# Army Victims	30
# Navy Victims	0
# Marines Victims	0
# Air Force Victims	0
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	
<b>Gender of Victims</b>	<b>30</b>
# Male	8
# Female	22
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Age of Victims at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>30</b>
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	9
# 25-34	17
# 35-49	3
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
<b>Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>30</b>
# E1-E4	7
# E5-E9	14
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	4
# O4-O10	5
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Status of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>30</b>
# Active Duty	21
# Reserve (Activated)	8
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	1
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0

## 5. CAI Restricted Report Data

ARMY COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Victim Type</b>	
# Service Member	30
# DoD Civilian	
# DoD Contractor	
# Other US Government Civilian	
# Non-Service Member	0
# Foreign National	
# Foreign Military	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
# Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service	0
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	0
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	0
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	0
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	0
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	0
<b>G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY22 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22</b>	
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	1
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>30</b>
Afghanistan	8
Bahrain	0
Djibouti	0
Iraq	11
Jordan	1
Kosovo	1
Kuwait	6
Lebanon	0
Pakistan	0
Qatar	3
Saudi Arabia	0
Somalia	0
Syria	0
Turkey	0
Uae	0
Yemen	0

6. Support Services for Victims of Sexual Assault in CAI

ARMY CAI FY22 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST		
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>		
<b>A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>	
# Support service referrals for victims in the following categories		
# <b>MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>92</b>	
# Medical	2	
# Behavioral Health	19	
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)	18	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	4	
# Rape Crisis Center		
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	20	
# DoD Safe Helpline	9	
# Other	24	
# <b>CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>0</b>	
# Medical	0	
# Behavioral Health	0	
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0	
# Rape Crisis Center	0	
# Victim Advocate	0	
# DoD Safe Helpline	0	
# Other	0	
# Cases where SAFES were conducted	1	
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0	
# Military victims making an Unrestricted report for an incident that occurred prior to military service	1	
<b>B. FY22 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO) AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>	
# Military Protective Orders issued during FY22	6	
# Reported MPO Violations in FY22	0	
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	0	
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0	
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	0	
*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk for the Victim.	Use the following categories or add a new category to identify the reason the requests were denied:	<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>
# Onit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service member victims of sexual assault	0	<b>Total Number Denied</b>
# Onit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service member victims Denied	0	<b>Reasons for Disapproval (Total)</b>
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service member victims of sexual assault	7	Moved Alleged Offender Instead
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service member victims Denied	0	Pre-existing Transfer Order Used Instead
<b>C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>		Enter reason
# Support service referrals for victims in the following categories	<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>	Enter reason
# <b>MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>61</b>	Enter reason
# Medical	7	Enter reason
# Behavioral Health	13	Enter reason
# Legal/Special victims' Counsel(SVC)	7	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	9	
# Rape Crisis Center		
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	19	
# DoD Safe Helpline	8	
# Other	6	
# <b>CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>2</b>	
# Medical	0	
# Behavioral Health	2	
# Legal/Special victims' Counsel(SVC)	0	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0	
# Rape Crisis Center	0	
# Victim Advocate	0	
# DoD Safe Helpline	0	
# Other	0	
# Cases where SAFES were conducted	2	
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0	

6. Support Services for Victims of Sexual Assault in CAI

CIVILIAN DATA	
<b>D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:</b>	1
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Animation on Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
<b>D2. Gender of Non-Service Members</b>	1
# Male	1
# Female	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident</b>	0
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
<b>D4. Non-Service Member Type</b>	1
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	1
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# US Civilian	0
# Foreign National	0
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>D5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	2
# Medical	1
# Behavioral Health	1
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	0
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># Cases where SAFE were conducted</b>	0
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	0
<b>E. FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report</b>	0
# Non-Service member victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	0
<b># Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:</b>	0
# Non-Service member on Non-Service member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Animation on Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims</b>	0
# Male	0
# Female	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident</b>	0
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E4. Victim Type</b>	0
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

6. Support Services for Victims of Sexual Assault in CAI

E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories	
<b># MILITARY Resources</b>	0
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	0
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>	0
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	0



7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY															Administrative Actions				Case Synopsis Note				
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted		Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	
1a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Unknown	Unknown	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Several Victims stated subject touched them in a sexual manner. Admin Sep.	
1b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Multiple Victims - Male	Unknown	Unknown	Male	No	No		01 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Several Victims stated subject touched them in a sexual manner. Admin Sep.	
1c	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Chaplain/Spiritual Support		Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Several Victims stated subject touched them in a sexual manner. Admin Sep.	
2	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No		02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual contact when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. After PH Victims supported chapter 10 for Subject with OTH
3a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					General			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged the Subject and another SM sexually assaulted her. Subject was administratively separated for DV of a different victim on Mar 17, 22. *Local PD declined to prosecute.**	
3b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Female	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Provoking speeches or gestures (Art. 117)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged the Subject and another SM sexually assaulted her. Subject was given an art 15 and found guilty of art 92 and 117a (wrongful distribution) for other victims. SM punishment was reduction to E1. If \$916 for 2 months; 45 days of extra duty and restriction and an oral reprimand. Administrative sep for same offenses. **Local PD declined prosecution**	
4	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR): Notes: Victim reported touch on buttocks at party. GOMOR	
5	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Female	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Uncharacterized			Unknown	Notes: Victim reported that Subject, fellow trainee, made unwanted advances and sexual touch. NJP and Admin Sep.	
6a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Convicted	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 2; Confinement (Months): 10; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her. At a GCM the subject was found guilty of sexual assault, assault. He was sentenced to 46 months of confinement, reduction to E1 and a Dishonorable Discharge.	
6b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Convicted	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 8; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged the subject sexually assaulted her. Subject was found guilty at a General CM of Article 120 (Sexual Assault). Subject was given 8 months of confinement, reduction to the grade of E1 and a DD.	
7	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR): Notes: victim reported threesome when she was too incapacitated to consent. No pg. GOMOR for indecent acts	
8	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Unknown	Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched her breast, kissed her friend, and touched another friend's buttocks while they were dancing at a club. NJP and Admin Sep. Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-7; Hard Labor: No;	
9	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Korea	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-9	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Convicted	Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 93)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim was selected as Subject's assigned driver and reported that Subject made various sexually suggestive comments, touched her right hip and right buttock while she was driving, touched her inner thigh shortly after parking and touched her right breast while she was making a left hand turn while driving. Convicted of sexual harassment only. forfeit \$2882 pay per month for 3 months, to be reduced to the grade of Sergeant First Class (E-7) to be retroactively Adjusted.	
10	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge					General			Unknown	Notes: Victim reported multiple unwanted touches and harassment. Ad Sep.	
11	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					Uncharacterized			Involved but not specified	Notes: Civilian Victim reported that prior to basic training, Subject raped her. Admin sep. and referral to civilian authorities.	
12	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-1	Female	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Unknown	Notes: Victim reported that Subject groped buttocks on multiple occasions. NJP and Admin Sep.	
13	Unknown (NG Only)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No		02 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Incident occurred on a National Guard IDT over a year prior to reporting. Subject was no longer in the military at the time of the report so there was no legal, punitive, or administrative action the MCRABG could take against the subject. LIE investigated. Allegations met the civilian definition of sexual harassment but not sexual assault so no charges were sent forward. The Office of Complex Investigations (OCI) consulted and they declined due to Subject no longer under military jurisdiction	
14	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Female	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)		Convicted	Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 7; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Summary CM for failure to obey orders and FTR. Rec. 7 days confinement and separated by chapter with an OTH.	
15	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Army	E-2	Female	No	No		02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge					General			Unknown	Notes: Two Victims reported unwanted touches by Subject. Ad Sep.	
16	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	W-1	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR): Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the Subject. The Coffee County Grand Jury determined this case would not be referred for criminal prosecution. The Subject received a locally filed GOMOR.	

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																	Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note				
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject: Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note		
17	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: Victims allege the subject wrongfully touched them. Subject was separated under Chapter 11 for Entry Level Performance and misconduct. Uncharacterized discharge.		
18	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim stated she attended a party at a friend's house where she was sexually assaulted. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. NIP for underage drinking. Admin Sep for pattern of misconduct.	
19	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	W-2	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)				Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: (Dismissal: Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 4; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that he awoke to Subject stroking victim's penis. Charges referred SEP 21. At a GCM, the Subject was found guilty of one specification of abusive sexual contact (120). Subject was sentenced to 120 days of confinement and a dismissal.	
20	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Unknown	Unknown	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that the SM sexually harassed her. Soldier administratively separated under Ch 5-11 (Failure to meet medical fitness standards).		
21	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-3	Female	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched them inappropriately. Subject was administratively separated for abusive sexual contact with an uncharacterized discharge.		
22	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Involved but not specified	Notes: Civilian Victim reported that Subject had sexual intercourse with Victim when she was too intoxicated to consent. Charges preferred and after PH, Victim supported Chap. 10 discharge with OTH.	
23	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Cadet/Midshipman	Female	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System. Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her in the barracks. Subject was referred to Formal Misconduct hearing. The Subject was found guilty of 3 of 5 allegations. He was not found on sexual assault. SUP1 approved suspended separation, 6-month turnback, and SLDP-R on 6JUN22.	
24	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)					Assault (Art. 128)				Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 6; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject had sexual intercourse with Victim when victim was too intoxicated to consent after she invited him to her apartment. Plea deal for guilty to non-sexual assault offenses. BCD and 6 months.
25	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-7	Female	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						General		Unknown	Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. Admin Sep.		
26	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched his buttocks through clothing. Subject was administratively separated for ASC with an UNCHAR discharge.		
27	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged sexual touch. NIP and Admin Sep.	
28	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Cadet/Midshipman	Female	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System. Notes: Victim alleges the subject strangled her and touched her in a sexual manner. Command Referred to Formal Misconduct Hearing. The Subject submitted a qualified resignation (QR). The QR was accepted and the subject was separated with a General Discharge.	
29	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	O-2	Female	Army	O-5	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: victim alleges abusive sexual contact. Subject was relieved of command.	
30	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-3	Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National										Notes: Victim reported sexual assault by Civilian. No jurisdiction.	
31	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	Yes	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim recruit reported that Subject recruiter provided her with alcohol and had sex with her without consent. No probable cause for sexual assault. Admin Sep. GOMOR for violations of recruiting rules.	
32	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged subject touched them. Subject was separated under Chapter 11 for entry level conduct for SHRP violations. Received uncharacterized discharge.		
33	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that SM sexually assaulted his ex-girlfriend at his residence after asking her to bring him food. Administrative separation sexual contact.		
34	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Fraternization (Art. 134-23)				None				Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged the Subject sexually assaulted her on two occasions in her on-post barracks room while they were dating. No probable cause opinion. NIP for fraternization and Bar to Reenlistment.
35	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch and advances. Admin Sep.		
36a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-8	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Subject alleged victim touched him in the buttocks and groin. Subject received a GOMOR for ASC.	
36b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	O-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General		Unknown	Notes: Subject alleged victim touched him in the buttocks and groin. Subject received 2 permanently filed GOMORs for Lying, fraternization, extramarital conduct, and inappropriate language. Officer elimination for Frat/adultery and lying. Submitted RFGOS and was accepted on 2/22 with general discharge.		
37	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Wrongful use, possession, etc. of controlled substances (Art. 112a)				Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quartermaster; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. Insufficient evidence of sexual assault. NIP and Admin Sep for unrelated drug use - Subject no longer in Army.		
38	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Germany	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the Subject touched their buttocks without consent. Subject received an Article 15 for abusive sexual contact. Found guilty and punishment included: Reduction to E1, forfeiture of \$916 for 2 months, suspended extra duty for 45 days, and restriction for 45 days.		

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY															Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note					
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If applicable		Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	
39	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order of regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Acquittal						Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that subject asked to engage in sexual activity and sexual activity occurred against her wishes. Article 15 dismissed.
40	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-5	Female	Army	O-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her inappropriately. Subject was relieved of his position as a Commander.
41	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge; BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 3; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject, on CD duty, entered her room without consent on 3 occasions and touched her buttocks. Charges referred DEC 21. At a GCM on 22 Apr 22, subject was found guilty of abusive sexual contact and breaking into the victims room. Subject was sentenced to 3 years confinement, reduction to E1 and a BCD.	
42	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges subject brushed up against her and made inappropriate comments to her and made a fake counseling for not engaging in sexual acts with him. NJP and Admin Sep with OTH.	
43	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	W-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim, Ave in girlfriend of Subject, reported to civilian authorities that Subject had sexually assaulted her during relationship. Victim ceased cooperating with civilian authorities so prosecution declined. Officer Elimination and GOMOR.	
44	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject attempted to force him to perform oral sex in Subject's bathroom after a party. Subject received a GOMOR for abusive sexual contact filed in his AMIBR. Subject was subsequently administratively separated from the Army with an Honorable discharge for misconduct (ASC).
45	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	United States	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that she met Subject on Tinder and invited him over to her room for consensual sex, but during sex he strangled her and would not stop when she told him to. PH complete, pending referral. Subject submitted a Chapter 10. Was approved with an OTH on 8 Apr 22.
46	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						Uncharacterized			Unknown	Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched buttocks during Basic Training. Admin Sep.
47	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the Subject. Subject received a written local counseling/concern for simple assault according to the 4833.
48	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Assault (Art. 128)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None	None	None	Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged the subject engaged in unwanted touching. Subject received a FG Article 15 for slapping two of the victims (128). Reduction to E1. FF 5892 for 2 months.	
49	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Acquittal					Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that the Subject touched her inappropriately without her consent. Rec. FG article 15 for ASC, found not guilty.
50	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None	None	None	Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged the subject touched his genitals. Subject received a FG Art 15 for assault and violating an order. Punishment included Reduction to E1, FF of 5892 for two months, and extra duty for 45 days.	
51	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					General	General			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged subject sexually assaulted her in the field. No probable cause opine. Ad sep.
52	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-4	Female	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge					General	General			Involved but not specified	Notes: Multiple Victims reported that Female subject touched his buttocks with her trunks without consent. NJP and Admin Sep.
53	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted Victim during a party when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. After PH, Victim supported Chap 10 discharge for Subject.
54a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that suspect sexually assaulted her during a party. Victim supported plea agreement for non-sexual assault offense conviction with 2 years confinement and BCD.
54b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her during a party off post when she was too intoxicated to consent. After PH, Victim supported Chap 10 discharge for Subject.
55	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	ARMY	E-1	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that the SM made sexual comments to her and her partner GOMOR signed by GG on 18 OCT 21, on 14 DEC 21 GOMOR withdrawn for issuance by NDMARS.
56	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-5	Female	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the Subject. Subject received a negative NCOER.
57	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge					General	General			Unknown	Notes: Two male Victims, reported that Subject, fellow trainee, touched their genital areas. Admin Sp.
58	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-8	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order of regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None	None			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the subject. Subject received an Article 15 for violating a regulation for an inappropriate relationship and extramarital conduct. Punishment was an oral reprimand.
59	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge					General	General			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported multiple unwanted touches and harassment. Ad Sep.
60	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that Subject came to her room, pushed her onto the bed and grabbed her breast. Charges preferred but dismissed after Victim ceased cooperating.

## 7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY															Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note				
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable		Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use
61a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Prep School Student	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-6; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim alleges the subject forcibly kissed her cheeks were referred to a SCM for assault, conspiracy and violating a regulation. The subject pled guilty and was reduced to the grade of E6.OTH vs. MEB
61b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Prep School Student	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Convicted	Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None		Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 4; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: No. Notes: The subject pled guilty at a Special CM of Articles 92, 93a, 107, and 134 (extramarital conduct). He was sentenced to a reduction to E4 and to be confined for 121 days in accordance with his plea agreement.
62	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Fraternalization (Art. 134-23)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported that Subject came to her barracks room, refused to stop trying to have sex with her and waited until she was intoxicated.
63	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject touched her inappropriately. Subject admitted he touched victim in a sexual manner. SM administratively separated under Ch. 11 (Entry Level Performance) for inability to adapt.
64	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleged the Subject exposed his groin to her and attempted to perform sexual acts on her. NJP and Admin Sep.
65	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 93)	General		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 30. Notes: Victim reported that during a uniform inspection the Subject reached in her jacket to touch her breast. Additionally he previously treated her saying, "he was into her". Convicted of maltreatment.
66	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim claims subject touched her genitals and breasts without consent. NJP and Admin Sep.
67	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	04 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that the subject touched her butts. Administrative Separation for action under Ch 11 (Entry level performance).
68a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Army	E-4	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged the subject touched him. Subject received a FG Article 15 for assault. He received a reduction to E2, 45 days of extra duty and restriction. Administratively separated with a Gen discharge.
68b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Army	E-4	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Army	E-4	Female	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Unknown	Notes: Multiple Victims reported slap on buttocks. NJP.
69	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-1	Male	Yes	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge					General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her inappropriately. Subject had a CM for a prior SA and was separated for all sexual assault related offenses with a General discharge.
70	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Australia	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject touched leg during bus ride. NJP.
71	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject sexually assaulted her on multiple occasions by groping her breasts and buttocks and sexually harassing her. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 10 that was approved with an OTH discharge.
72a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Male	Unknown	Unknown	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Unknown	Notes: Victim trainee reported that Subject trainee touched victim's buttocks. NJP.
72b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Uncharacterized			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported a hazing incident where Subject placed his testicles on victim's head while he was sleeping. NJP and Admin Sep.
73	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Germany	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	None		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-6; Hard Labor: No. Notes: 3 victims alleged that Subject touched them in a sexual manner without their consent. Subject pled guilty at summary court martial for abusive sexual contact, stealing BAH and making a false statement. Due to a plea agreement, the Subject will not be discharged (retirement eligible). **Conviction at Summary CM does not require sex offender registration.
74	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	US	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject touched her buttocks, and inner thigh. NJP.
75	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					General			Unknown	Notes: Victim's mother alleged victim was sexually assaulted by subject SM in anger for use of THC.
76	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge					General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject penetrated her vagina with his penis without consent. Subject went to an administrative separation board where they rec a Gen discharge to the CA for Sexual Assault. CA separated with GEN.
77	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Unknown	Notes: Victim recruit reported that Subject recruiter provided her with alcohol and had sexual contact. Insufficient evidence of sexual assault. Admin Sep and GOMOR for violation of recruiting rules.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted		Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use
78	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order of regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 7; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged SM inappropriately touched her. Trial Counsel, opined no PC cause existed to believe the SM committed the offense of Abusive SC. Subject received a Summarized Article 15 for violation of a military protection order of the victim. Received 7 days of extra duty and an oral reprimand.
79	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject committed abusive sexual contact. Subject administratively separated for ASC and a DUI. He received a general discharge.
80	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Honduras	Air Force	E-5	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Notes: Victim reported harassment and unwanted touch. NUP/GOMOR and Admin Sep.
81	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported an unwanted touch. NUP and Bar to re-enlistment.
82	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her buttocks twice during airborne training. Subject was issued a GOMOR for ASC.
83	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim, wife of another Soldier, went out drinking with her friends' husband. Subject, when Victim fell asleep on the bed, an intoxicated Subject groped her and tried to take her clothes off before he passed out. Charges preferred. With Victim support. Chap 10 approved.
84	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by subject. Subject was administratively separated with a conditional waiver for a GDN discharge.
85	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	ITALY	Air Force	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 93)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported hazing incident. NUP for bullying. Ad sep.
86	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	Unknown	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						Honorable		Subject (a single subject)	Notes: OCI substantiated allegations that the subject forcibly groped the victims' breasts and propositioned the victim for sexual intercourse.
87	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Male	Army	E-5	Female	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Male Victim reported that Female Subject swiped a credit card across his buttocks. NUP.
88	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Honduras	Army	O-3	Male	Army	O-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges that while at a party, the Subject grabbed his buttocks multiple times without his consent. Subject received a Permanently filed GOMOR for ASC and public drunk and disorderly conduct.
89	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject squeezed her buttocks at party. NUP.
90	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Absence without leave (AWOL) (Art. 86)	Article 15 Acquittal					Involved but not specified	Notes: Third party reported sexual assault by Subject with Unknown Victim. Subject AWOL.
91	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-7	Male	Army	O-3	Female	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject made a video of his genitals and broadcast it without his knowledge while he was passed out from alcohol. SM received a Permanently filed GOMOR for fraternization and assault and OM charges preferred for ASC. RFGOS submitted.
92	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Prep School Student	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that highly intoxicated Subject, fellow cadet at prep school, tried to pull her on top of him and groped her breast. PC, but insufficient evidence to prosecute based on eyewitness testimony and Victim's request that administrative separation was her preference.
93	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order of regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject, NCO, met her at a club then engaged in sexual contact when she was too intoxicated to consent. No probable cause opinion on sexual assault. NUP and bar to reenlistment for fraternization.
94	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. No pc. Counseling for professional conduct.
95	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial				Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges she met the Subject on Tinder and he sexually assaulted her after meeting up. Charges preferred for sexual assault. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 10 and it was approved with an OTH.
96	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.
97	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Cadet/Midshipman	Female	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System; Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her on multiple occasions. The subject was referred to a formal misconduct hearing. The formal misconduct hearing was dismissed with victim support.
98	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Afghanistan	Army	E-7	Male	Unknown	Male	Unknown					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Involved but not specified	Notes: Foreign National Subject. No jurisdiction.
99	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances and touch. Admin Sep.
100	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Poland	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial Punishment	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Both Victim and Subject	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her after a night of drinking where she doesn't remember anything. Subject received a FG Article 15 and was found guilty of 120x1. Punishment included Reduction to E-3; extra duty for 45 days; and restriction for 45 days. "Victim preference"
101	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)	Yes	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 1; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported sexual touches and unwanted advances by Subject. Convicted and 1 month confinement with OTH.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY														Administrative Actions				Case Synopsis Note					
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If applicable		Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	
102	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Cadet/Midship man	Female	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her inappropriately. Referred to Formal Misconduct Hearing on 2 December 2021. The subject submitted a qualified resignation (QR). The victim supported the QR. The SMT approved the QR with a General Discharge on 23 March 2022.
103	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her buttocks SM administratively separated with a GEN.
104	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her without permission. Subject received a locally filed Battalion letter of reprimand.
105	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	US	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that Subject touched her inner thigh and attempted to kiss her. NJP.
106	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject NCO made unwanted advances with nonconsensual touches. NJP and Admin Sep.
107	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	O-2	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.
108	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	W-1	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: victim alleged the subject sexually assaulted her. Subject received a permanently file GOMOR for extramarital conduct.
109	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					None			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her buttocks and breasts. Subject received an Article 15 for ASC and False Official Statement FF of pay.
110	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that after agreeing that Subject could stay overnight in her barracks room, but that nothing sexual was going to happen. Victim then told Subject she felt really drunk and went to sleep, awaking to Subject's fingers in her vagina. Charges were preferred against the subject for Sexual assault. Subject submitted a Chapter 10 and it was approved on 6/8/22 with an OTH.
111	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleged that Subject attempted to place his exposed testicles on the victim and the Subject put his buttocks onto another Soldier's buttocks during a field exercise. SM received a permanently filed GOMOR and administrative sep with OTH.
112	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Convicted	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)	Yes	Unknown	Notes: Multiple Victims reported maltreatment by Subject, including non-consensual touches. PTA for OAP with OTH and reduction in rank.	
113	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					None			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged the subject touched her inappropriately. Subject rec. FG Art 15 for ASC. Punishment included Reduction to E1; FF \$916 for 2 months; 45 days of extra duty and restriction; oral reprimand.
114	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					None			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 7; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her breast. Subject received a FG Article 15 for ASC. Punishment was reduction to E1 and restriction for 7 days.
115	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Convicted	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)	Yes	Involved but not specified	Notes: Multiple victims alleged that Subject touched their thighs and buttocks without their consent. Charges referred in DEC 21. At a SCM, the Subject was found guilty of two specifications of Abusive sexual contact. Subject was to be reduced to E4 and forfeit 2/3 pay for one month. Subject was subsequently administratively separated with an OTH.	
116	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-3	Female	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					General			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victims alleged the subject touched their breasts and groin. Subject received a FG Article 15 for ASC and was found guilty. Reduction to E1; FF \$916 2 months; 45 days of ED/Restriction; oral reprimand. Admin sep for SSC.
117	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Air Force	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported Subject had sex with Victim when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. Charges preferred and dismissed after PII found no probable cause.
118	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim, fellow NCO, reported that Subject engaged in sexual acts with her when Victim was too intoxicated to consent after a unit party where both Victim and Subject became extremely intoxicated. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. GOMOR for unprofessional conduct.
119	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-6	Male	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched him. Subject received a locally filed GOMOR for maltreatment, and simple assault.
120	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense					Assaulting or willfully disobeying superior commissioned officer (Art. 90)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None	Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her. Subject received a FG art 14 for violation the MPO. Punishment was reduction to PFC (E3). Suspend until 10 Apr 22.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopsis Report: ARMY														Administrative Actions				Case Synopsis Note				
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable		Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use
121a	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Female	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 30; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleges the Subject penetrated her vulva with his finger without consent. Subject pled guilty to a lesser included offense of Assault at a Summary Court Martial. Confinement for 30 days. Ad sep for same offense with an OTH.
121b	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)		Convicted		Perjury (Art. 131)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 30; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleges the Subject exposed his genitalia and masturbated while viewing her without consent. Subject pled guilty to a SCM to Article B1 and B1b. 30 days of confinement; reduction to E1 and a forfeiture of 1222.00. Ad sep for same offenses, OTH
121c	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 30; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her. Subject pled guilty at a GCM to the lesser included offense of Assault. BCD: 30 days of confinement.
121d	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the Subject. Subject was administratively separated with a GEN discharge.
121e	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 2; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleges the Subject and multiple other subjects sexually assaulted her. Subject was found guilty of sexual assault at a GCM. DD: 24th Months; Reduction to E1
122	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Unknown	Notes: Victim civilian employee reported that Subject NCO sexually harassed her and touched her buttocks. NUP and admin Sep.	
123	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by subject. Subject received an article 15 and supplemental action for using drugs and violating a no contact order. Gen discharge for use of drugs.	
124	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	O-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: Dismissal; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 4; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victims alleged that the subject touched their breasts and vagina on various different medical appointments under the false impression of medical examination. Convicted of one specification of abusive sexual contact, acquitted of remaining charges. 18 months confinement and dismissal.	
125	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim trainee reported that Subject trainee touched her buttocks without consent. NUP	
126a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		False official statements (Art. 107)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject was identified as committing abusive sexual conduct against the victim during the command climate research. Subject received a FG Article 15 for false official statements, allowed to retire.		
126b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action							Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim alleged the subject touched them. Subject was counseled by the Battalion Commander.		
127	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances and touch. Admin Sep and GOMOR.	
128	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Female	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch and sexual comments. NUP and Bar to Reenlistment.	
129	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-6				Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Notes: Victim assaulted. Case investigated by DCI. Case was substantiated. Offender got OTHC and reduced in rank. Victim is no longer in military.	
130	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch by NCO. NUP and bar to reenlistment.	
131	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	O-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action							Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges the subject reached inside her pants near her buttocks as she was sitting in a chair and started rubbing her buttocks and her thigh. Subject was issued a GOMOR that was ultimately withdrawn and destroyed by the CA.		
132	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Unknown	Notes: Civilian Subject. No jurisdiction.
133	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown								Unknown	Notes: Victim reported sexual assault by Unknown Subject
134	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that after a party, Subject touched victim's vagina as she backed out and woke up several hours later experiencing pain in her genitalia. Charges referred to GCM in NOV 21. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 10. Request was approved on 23 Jun 22 with an Other than Honorable discharge (OTH).

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY													Administrative Actions				Case Synopsis Note					
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome		Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use
135	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: victim alleges subject touched her inappropriately. NJP.
136	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation: Restriction Length (Days): 7; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 7; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: victim alleges the Subject slapped and grabbed his upper thigh and buttocks. Subject received a Summarized nd graded for 128-7 Days of Extra Duty 7 Days of Restriction.
137	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that two years ago, Victim and 5 friends, including Subject, shared a hotel room and drank until passed out. Victim remembered being at a bar, before she blacks out. Victim stated she was woke up during the night to sharp pain and Subject performing sexual acts on her (digitally penetrating her and attempting to penetrate her anus with his penis). Acquitted at GCM.
138	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that Subject came to Victim room when Victim was too intoxicated to consent and engaged in sexual acts. Acquitted of all charges at GCM.
139	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that subject made grabbed her buttocks while in the dining facility and placed his hands between her legs. SM received a FG Article 15 for 3 specifications of Article 120. Reduction to E1. FF \$916. 45 days of extra duty and an oral reprimand.
140	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)	Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victims reported that Subject touched them inappropriately on the buttocks numerous times while at training event. Charges preferred, OTP for reduction and Admin discharge.
141	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 10; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Two victims reported being inappropriately touched in their hip/buttocks areas. SM given FG art 15; found NG of 120, but Guilty of 2 specs of 128. Reduction to E1. FF \$500 pay for 2 months suspended; 10 days of extra duty and an oral reprimand.
142	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that her and the Subject had consensual sex but was persistent NJP for inappropriate relationship.
143	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	O-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: two Victims reported that Subject tried to kiss them. General Officer NJP, GOMOR and Officer Elimination.
144	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	W-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleged that the Subject entered the victim's house without permission, went into her bedroom, and sexually assaulted her. SM received a GOMOR for Adultery.
145	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense							Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim recruit reported that Subject recruiter provided her with alcohol and engaged in sexual conduct. Insufficient evidence of sexual assault. Admin Sep and GOMOR for violations of recruiter conduct.
146	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	O-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her breasts. Subject received a permanently filed GOMOR and an Officer Elimination has been initiated.
147	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged subject followed victim and touched victim's buttocks. SM was initiated separation for Use of Marijuana at the time of the PC opine.
148	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		DoD	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Two Victims reported unwanted touch. NJP and Admin Sep.
149	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male			Multiple Referrals	Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense.									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported non-consensual sexual contact by subject. The victim affirmed her leadership while at NTC and filed an unrestricted report. Victim was removed from having any interaction with the subject for her safety. Local law enforcement investigated the case found the case to be substantiated. NJP was issued, subject reduced in rank.
150	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	N/A	Foreign National	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject touched her shoulder and buttocks 2-3 times. Republic of Korea exercised jurisdiction. Admin Sep.
151	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject sexually assaulted her GCM-Art 120-Pending Preferal/Chapter 10 approved with an OTH.
152a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Unknown					Offender is Unknown.								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual assault by unknown Subject.
152b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges that after a night of drinking, the Subject sexually assaulted her. Subject was administratively separated with an OTH.
153	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	O-1	Female	Army	O-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: SUB was accused of sexually harassing and discriminating against cadets in his ROTC program. During the investigation, it was discovered that he wrapped his legs around a female cadet's legs to anchor her during a sit up exercise. Received a permanently filed GOMOR for sexual harassment and EO violations.
154	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		False official statements (Art. 107)			Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 1; Confinement (Days): 15; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her Subject was found guilty at a GCM of Article 92, 107 and 134. He was sentenced to 45 days of confinement, reduction to E1 and a BCD.



7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																		Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note	
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender		Alcohol Use
155	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. NUP
156	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	QATAR	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the Subject subject received a GCMOR for having an inappropriate relationship with a junior entitled Soldier.
157	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: The subject touched the lower buttocks / upper thigh of one of his subordinates at work on at least two occasions. NJP and Admin Sep.
158	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject fellow trainee slapped Victim's buttocks. NUP
159	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assaulting or willfully disobeying superior commissioned officer (Art. 90)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Honorable		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the subject Subject received a CG Article 15 for disobeying a lawful order to stay away from the victim. Punishment was reduction to E1; FF of \$416 pay; 14 days of extra duty and restriction. Subject was administratively separated under CH 5-14 for other designated physical or mental conditions with an honorable discharge.
160	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Unknown	Unknown	Male					Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Multiple Victims reported slap on buttocks. Admin Sep.
161	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-1	Female	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: Victims allege the subject touched them inappropriately. Subject was administratively separated for ASC with Uncharacterized.
162	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch at party. GCMOR.
163	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the Subject sexually assaulted her. Subject received a FG Article 15 for extramarital conduct. Punishment included Red to E5; suspension of \$1,652; suspended written reprimand.
164	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject grabbed her buttocks without her consent. FG Art 15 for 120 (ASC), 17 Mar 22 punishment included Red to E4; if \$1393 for 2 months with \$600 suspended; extra duty and restriction for 45 days; written reprimand.
165	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject drugged and raped her. Subject received a FG Article 15 for extramarital conduct. Punishment included reduction to E2; FF suspended; 45 days of extra duty and an oral reprimand. Subject was administratively separated for the same offense with a General discharge.
166	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United States	Army	E-3	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Unknown	Notes: Two Victims reported unwanted by Subject platoon sergeant. Charges preferred and Victims supported Chap 10 discharge with OTH.
167	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	O-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleged that Subject sexually assaulted her in his car off base. Permanently filed GCMOR pending Officer Elimination. Victim did not want to participate in a CM.
168	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted			Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 6; Confinement (Months): 10; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Multiple Victims reported sexual misconduct by Subject. Convicted and sentenced to DD and 7 years confinement.
169	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that over one year ago, Subject touched her buttocks during a uniform inspection. No probable cause. Counseling to reinforce proper inspection protocol.
170	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action									Notes: KBNT bucket as no sexual assault alleged
171	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: SM is alleged to have sexually assaulted another SM by smacking him on the buttocks. NUP.
172	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	United States	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges she was sexually assaulted by the subject. She was in the day room drinking, then woke up later in her room. CM referred to GCM for Art 120x2. Subject was acquitted of all specifications on 7 Jun 22.
173	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Absence without leave (AWOL) (Art. 86)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 14; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that the Subject touched her inappropriately w/o her consent. SM received Summarized Art 15 for two Failure to reports (B6). Rec. 14 days of extra duty.
174	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched his genitals. Subject received a FG Article 15 for assault. Punishment included reduction to E1; suspended FF of \$458 for 2 months; extra duty and restriction for 45 days and an oral reprimand. Administrative sep for ASC.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY															Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note					
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable		Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	
175	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances and touch. NJP and Admin Sep. Court-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 6; Confinement (Months): 10; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No;	
176	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Notes: Multiple Victims alleged sexual assault when too intoxicated to consent. Convicted and sentenced to DD and 7 years confinement. Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LDR).	
177	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-6	Female	Army	O-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	04 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject groped her breast during a party at the victim's on-post residence. SM received a permanently filed GOMOR on 22 Feb 22.	
178	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Fraternization (Art. 134-23)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual assault when victim was too intoxicated to consent. NJP for frat and admin sep.	
179	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-4	Male				02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Subject sexually assault victim and victim's friend at a var. Subject was arrested by local law enforcement and charged with 4th degree sexual assault and received one year probation. Command imposed a bar to reenlistment and Subject was flagged at the time of ETS.	
180	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Female	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Honorable			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim trainee alleged SM trainee slapped him on the buttocks. NJP.	
181	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	South Korea	Army	E-6	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged subject penetrated her vulva while she was sleeping. Subject went to a GCM for 1430 and was acquitted.	
182	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that SM sexually assaulted her when she was too intoxicated to consent after barracks party. Acquitted of all charges at GCM.
183	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.
184	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action										Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC). Notes: Victim reported that fellow trainee touched buttocks during field exercise. No pc. Counseling.
185	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown										Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by an unknown subject.
186	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 93)			Unknown	Notes: Victim reported that Subject repeatedly sexually harassed her and one time locked his office and grabbed the victim's hand and placed it on his penis over the clothing. Fraternization. PH complete April 2022. At a GCM, the Subject pled guilty to violating AR 600-20 by having a prohibited relationship and Article 93, for Sexual harassment. The subject was sentenced to receive a Bad Conduct discharge.	
187	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	04 (July-September)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that over two years ago, Subject had sex with her without consent. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. Ad Sep and GOMOR for underlying misconduct.
188	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	O-1	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim stated an unknown male care provider, later identified as Subject, placed his hand on her inner thigh and caressed her leg near her groin while he examined her nostrils during a heat related injury during basic training. NJP.
189	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-8	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No		Medical	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Soldier was a T32 AGR in the MEB process. In lieu of a board they resigned from the AGR program and took a voluntary reduction. They were then allowed to proceed to a PEB. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander determined that initiation of administrative separation was appropriate. The case was investigated by civilian law enforcement who in consultation with the county attorney declined prosecution. A request was made for DCI who after consultation felt the case was not appropriate for them to investigate. Following notification of separation a conditional waiver was accepted with the above resolution. Case was declined for investigation by DCI as they stated the law enforcement investigation was sufficient for command determine appropriate actions.
190	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	W-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LDR). Notes: Victim reported that two years ago, while deployed, Subject had sex with Victim when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. GOMOR for adultery and domestic violence permanently filed in AMBR.
191	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Uncharacterized				Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Two Victims reported that Subject groped buttocks on multiple occasions at basic training. NJP and Chapter 11 for failure to complete basic training.
192	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None				Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged the subject touched her buttocks and breasts. Subject received a EC article 15 for AGO reduction to E1.
193	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General				Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: SM is alleged to have committed attempted sexual battery and attempted sexual assault. NJP and Admin Sep.
194	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None				Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged Subject kissed her and touched her buttocks without her consent. NJP.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopsis Report: ARMY											Administrative Actions				Case Synopsis Note					
		Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome		Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If Applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use
195	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch and unwanted advances. GOMOR.
196	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-3	Female	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)				Notes: Multiple Victims reported that Subject lay down on top of them and pressed her breasts into their chest. Admin Sep.
197	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified		Notes: Victim alleged the Subject touched her groin and face. Ad sep.
198	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch and unwanted advances. GOMOR.
199	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified		Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in Rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleges subject touched her under her clothing in the barracks, NJP and Admin Sep.
200	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Unknown		Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her. Subject was administratively separated for sexual assault with an OTH.
201	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Male	Army	E-2	Female	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assaulting or willfully disobeying superior commissioned officer (ART 90)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Involved but not specified	Notes: Male Victim reported that Female Subject, physically and sexually assaulted him during the course of a 7 month relationship, refusing to stop sexual acts when he asked her to. NJP for violating protective order.
202	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified		Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted her. SM was accused of penetrative offense against a civilian. Civilian unfounded. Ad Sep with OTH for adultery.
203	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Convicted	Larceny (Art. 121)			Involved but not specified	Quar-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 1; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim reported that she became intoxicated with Subject at the fire pit and awoke in the barracks with Subject in bed with her placing his face between her legs. Acquitted of sexual assault, convicted of unrelated larceny.
204	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Germany	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed	General		Unknown	Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances and touch. NJP.	
205	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges the subject penetrated her mouth with his penis. Charges were preferred against the subject and another subject. Charges were withdrawn by the Convening authority pursuant to an immunity agreement with the subject.
206	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial				Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged the subject committed abusive sexual contact by touching her breasts and buttocks with his hand. CM charges referred to GCM on 20 Jan 22 and docketed for 3-5 May 22. SM submitted a Chapter 10 and it was approved on 17 Mar 22 with an OTH.	
207	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified		Notes: Victim stated subject grabbed his genitals without his consent. Admin Sep.
208	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed	General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Subject touched the victim's breast while working in the company area. NJP and Admin Sp.	
209	Rape (Art. 120)		Army	E-7	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National							Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges that the Subject sexually assaulted her on two different occasions while stationed together. TG provided PC for offense of Rape. Subject is currently in retired status. TG provided nonprosecution memorandum. Subject is currently DoD contractor. His employer was notified.	
210	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action							Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleges the Subject grabbed her leg and pulled her on his lap, repeatedly touched and rubbed her arms and legs and kissed her without consent. Subject received a GOMOR for ASC.	
211	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed	General		Involved but not specified	Notes: 2 victims allege that subject touched them on the inner thigh and buttocks. NJP and Admin Sep	
212	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		False official statements (Art. 107)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None	Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her inappropriately. Subject received a FG Article 15 for lying about asking for consent. Punishment included Reduction to E1; FF \$916, suspended, extra duty for 45 days and restriction for 15 days, suspended.	
213	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None	Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched his inner thigh with a clipboard. Subject received a FG article 15 for ASC and assault. Subject was found guilty of the assault and NG of the ASC. Punishment was reduction to E5 (suspended); FF of \$149 (suspended); extra duty for 45 days (suspend 30) and restriction for 45 days suspended.	
214	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed	General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that subject-spouse sexually assaulted her in March 2021 in their off-post residence. NJP and Admin Sep.	
215	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim, in the course of ongoing consensual relationship with Subject, reported physical and sexual assault. Charges dismissed after referral.
216	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Acquitted				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that the Subject engaged in sexual acts with her despite her continuous declarations to the contrary. One specification of sexual assault was referred to a GCM. At a GCM, the Subject was found not guilty of the specification.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																	Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type		Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	
217	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	O-2	Female	Army	O-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim states the subject touched and kissed her in an inappropriate manner against her will. GOMOR
218	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-4	Female	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Convicted	Assault (Art. 128)	None			Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 4; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched his penis. At a SPCM, the subject pled guilty to one specification of assault consummated by battery (128). She was sentenced to a reduction to E-2 and confinement for 121 days.
219	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)			Convicted	Assault (Art. 128)				Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 3; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim reported rape. Convicted Plea agreement for 3 years and DD.
220	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Wrongful use, possession, etc. of controlled substances (Art. 112a)	Convicted	Wrongful use, possession, etc. of controlled substances (Art. 112a)					Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 3; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim alleged that Subject sexually assaulted her while she was incapacitated SM was given a DM for wrongfully using and distributing LSD and Poloxan all in violation of 112/12a. Reduced to E-1, 3 mths confinement and a BCD.
221	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject raped her Subject was chaptered under 5-17 for other designated physical or mental conditions.
222	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-3	Female	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleges the Subject touched them on the buttocks. Subject received an article 15 for ASC. Punishment included reduction to E1 and FF of P1A. Ad sep for ASC with General discharge.
223	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Unknown	Notes: Victim engaged in consensual sexual activity with the Subject. She request she stop so he did. She felt that she was "pressured" into having sex with him because of his rank, size, and strength. OI charges preferred for Abusive sexual contact; maltreatment; and fraternization; chapter 10 submitted and approved with OTH on 14 Mar 22.
224	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male					Other Adverse Administrative Action									Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: National Guard not on Title 10. GOMOR
225	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-6	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Convicted	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 3; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim reported that Subject bear hugged Victim in her home, carried her to her room, twisted her arm behind her back, bent her over the bed and penetrated her anally and vaginally with both his fingers and penis. Convicted three years DD.
226	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Male	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Uncharacterized			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched them inappropriately Subject was separated under Chapter 11 for Unsatisfactory conduct for failing to adapt to the military by bullying. He received an Uncharacterized discharge.
227	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male		No		03 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTH)			Both Victim and Subject	Notes: The victim/survivor and the perpetrator were on T32 orders supporting a state mission (Operation Guardian Support). Both Soldier were members of the TXARNG at the time of the alleged assault occurred on 12 November 2018. Survivor reported the perpetrator made unwanted sexual contact by kissing her, removing her clothing, and sexually assaulting her. Local law enforcement was called, and perpetrator was arrested. Perpetrator was indicted on sexual assault charges on 28 March 2019. On 7 May 2019, after DNA results were received, the district attorney dropped the charges, and the case was dismissed on 21 June 2019. On 09 September 2019, the survivor, through her Special Victim Counsel (SVC), sent a victim impact statement requesting an OCI investigation. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate, the commander determined the Office of Complex Investigation (OCI) team investigation is legally sufficient. OCI's team found the report of sexual assault as substantiated. Commander initiated separation and the board determine to separate the SM under AR 135-178, Chapter 11, Para 11-1c and NGR 600-200, Chapter 6, Paragraph 6-3j, for Misconduct-Commission of a Service Offense. Characterization was Under Other Than Honorable Conditions.
228	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Uncharacterized			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleged the SM touch him in a sexual manner on post. NUP and Admin Sep.
229	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Germany	Army	E-7	Female	Army	O-3	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTH)			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleged subject touched her buttocks and reached for her groin. General Officer NUP - reprimand and officer elimination.
230	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None				Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleged that Subject sexually assaulter her at an off-post location. No probable cause opinion by Judge Advocate. NUP for adultery.
231	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported that Subject groped her when she was too intoxicated to consent. Victim supported Chap 10 after charges preferred.
232	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United States	Army	E-6	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action										Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleged Subject slipped them on the buttocks with a paddle in an office in the company motor pool. GOMOR
233	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged the subject touched her breast without consent. Subject was administratively separated under Chapter 14-12c. A conditional waiver was approved for a General discharge.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopsis Report: ARMY																		Administrative Actions				Case Synopsis Note		
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use			
234	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched them inappropriately Subject received a FG Art 15 for assault and ASC. Reduction in rank to E1; FF \$892 for 2 months; 45 ED; 45 Rest. oral reprimand Separation with General discharge.	
235	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Female	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Uncharacterized			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject touched her inappropriately. Subject admitted he touched Victim in a sexual manner. Soldier administratively separated under AR 635-200, Ch. 14-12; (Commission of a Serious Offense) for abusive sexual contact. (Unchar)	
236	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assaulting or willfully disobeying superior commissioned officer (Art. 92)	Article 15 Acquittal							Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by the subject Unit Initiated Article 15 against the subject for breaking a no contact order. Subject turned down article 15 on May 2021 and no further action was taken.
237	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch and unwanted advances/inappropriate comments. NJP and Admin Sep	
238	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported multiple unwanted touches and advances by Subject NCO. NJP and Admin Sep.	
239	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged subject touched her butt when she was at his house with her husband. NJP.	
240	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Acquitted					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that Subject had sexual intercourse with her when she was too intoxicated to consent after they attended a party together in the barracks where they both consumed alcohol. Acquitted of all charges at GCM.	
241	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-2	Female	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported groping by Subject. Admin Sep.	
242	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim, wife of another Soldier, reported sexual assault when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. NJP and Admin Sep for adultery.	
243	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order of regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Acquittal						Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges they were incapable of consenting and Subject said she performed oral sex. Subject received an article 15 for an inappropriate relationship with junior soldiers and was acquitted.	
244	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 14; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject grabbed her neck, groped her buttocks and inner thigh Subject received an article 15 for assault and maltreatment. Subject was found guilty of the assault and received the following punishment: Red to E3FF of \$1,1217 suspended Extra duty for 14 days Oral reprimand.	
245	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial						Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual intercourse when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. Charges preferred SEP 21. Actions referred to an admin sep board and subject was retained.	
246	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-6	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges the subject inappropriately touched her and exposed himself while at NTC Subject received a GOMOR for ASC.	
247	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Yes		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD; Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 10; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: SM is alleged to have sexually assaulted a female civilian, entering her home when she was sleeping and fleeing when she screamed. DD and 10 years.	
248	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that Subject assaulted and committed abusive sexual contact. NJP and Administrative Separation.	
249a	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.	
249b	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.	
249c	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.	
249d	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.	
250	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-6	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the Subject subject was counseled.	
251	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	O-1	Female	Army	O-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Unknown	Notes: Victim reported that Subject made unwanted advances and an unwanted touch. GOMOR and Officer Elimination.	
252a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. GOMOR.	
252b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order of regulation (Art. 92)	Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial						Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sent an explicit photo of a penis on the victims face CM charges were preferred for inappropriate relationship. Charges were withdrawn and dismissed without re-preferred.	
253	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	O-2	Female	Army	E-8	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Involved but not specified	Notes: Two victims alleged that SM groped them. Charges preferred and Victims supported Chap 10 with OTH.	

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note				
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted		Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	
254	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)				Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 9; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Multi-Victim case, first victim alleges digital penetration of her vagina without her consent. The second victim alleged the Subject forcibly kissed her without her consent and stalked her. Convicted of non-SA offenses only BCD and 9 months.
255	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that she met Subject on Tinder, met at a park and went to his barracks room after. He continued to make advances and allegedly vaginally penetrated her with his penis despite her verbal and nonverbal cues she did not want to have sex with him the day she met him. Referred JAN 22GCM Found subject Not Guilty of all charges and specifications.
256	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.
257	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Female					Offender is Unknown									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.
258	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Uncharacterized			Unknown	Notes: Victims allege the subject poked them in the buttocks with a broomstick and a rifle. Subject was administratively separated under Chapter 14-12c for ASC, assault, and false official statement. He was discharged with an uncharacterized discharge.
259	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)				Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim subordinate reported that Subject NCO made unwanted advances and touch. NUP and Admin Sep.
260	Rape (Art. 120)	Kuwait	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported rape by Subject. Referred to GCM in JAN 22. Victim declined to cooperate after referral and Chap 10.
261	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed						Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty (Days): Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that Subject grabbed and rubbed her inner thigh. NUP.
262	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Female	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge					General				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim, wife of Female Subject, reported that over the course of marriage, Subject engaged in physical and sexual violence. Due to the victim declining to participate with a CO-Subject was administratively separated with a GEN discharge.
263	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge					General				Involved but not specified	Notes: Two Victims reported that Subject slapped them on the buttocks in the barracks at a party. Admin Sep.
264	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim alleged that Subject grabbed her inner thigh and forced her on her back and kissed her on the neck with consent. Victim declined to cooperate after investigation complete. Counseling.
265	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Female					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty (Days): Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 15; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted hug. NUP for non sa assault.
266	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that during consensual sexual activity with the Subject, Subject requested to perform a certain act on the her, which she declined. Subject continued despite her declinations. No probable cause for sexual assault. NUP for false official statement and violation of orders.
267	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the Subject took off her pants and sexually assaulted her Subject was administratively separated with a GEN discharge.
268	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that 15 years ago, when she was a recruit, Subject, then recruiter, engaged in sexual contact with her. Statute of limitations for indecent assault has run. GOMOR for inappropriate relationship with recruit.
269	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim 1 alleged Subject played with his penis on two separate occasions and on another occasion tried to kiss him on the lips. Victim 2 alleged Subject grabbed his genitals and his buttocks while they were at a party. Multiple allegations of SA. Issued a MOR, filed in AMIRR on 30 Mar 22.
270	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male				Q4 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Both Victim and Subject	Notes: The victim and offender were drinking. Victim passed out and woke up with offender on top having sex with her.
271	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty (Days): Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. NUP.
272	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					General				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged Subject sexually assaulted her sometime over a weekend seven months ago on post barracks. Insufficient evidence to prosecute sexual assault. Admin Sep for unauthorized misconduct.
273	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Unknown	Notes: Victim reported sexual assault. No probable cause opinion. NUP for violation of barracks policy.
274	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Unknown	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Female	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General				Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty (Days): Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: two Victims reported unwanted advances and touch. NUP and Admin Sep.
275	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-5	Female	Army	O-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: Dismissal; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 1; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that the subject touched, kissed, and rubbed her without her consent while she was seeking spiritual support. Dismissal.
276	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges subject touched her inner thigh Subject was administratively separated with an OTH.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY															Administrative Actions							
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
277	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-2	Female	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: Victims alleged that the subject inappropriately touched other female trainees on their inner thighs and breasts. Soldier administratively separated under AR 635-200, Ch. 14-12c (Commission of a Serious Offense) (Unchar)
278	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Medical	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Acquitted				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual assault. Acquitted of all charges at GCM.
279	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)				Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that she met Subject at a barracks party where he provided her with alcohol. Once the victim was inebriated he performed sexual acts without her consent. DD and 18 months. Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR):
280	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q4 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Involved but not specified	Notes: Accused grabbed a subordinate soldier by the arm to fix his uniform, which caused the soldier's hand to come into contact of the groin of the accused. Received LOR locally filed for an EO violation.
281	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Thailand	N/A	Foreign National	Female	Army	O-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Involved but not specified	Notes: Subject accused of submitting fraudulent leave forms, hiring prostitutes while overseas, and lying on his SF86; child pornography found on his hard drive/deleted items folder; Charges preferred, but dismissed after PIA.
282	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed	General			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject touched her vulva with his penis after they fell asleep in his room after drinking together. No evidence of penetration. NIP and Admin Pp.
283	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	KOREA, REP OF	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3						Offender is Unknown								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.
284	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	O-1	Female	Army	O-1	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim No. 1 alleged subject sexually assaulted her when she was drunk. Victim No. 2 alleges victim assaulted her by touching her buttocks. Subject was enrolled in BOLC when this incident occurred. He was pulled out of BOLC (without finishing) and sent back to SCNG to be discharged from NG Component.
285	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q4 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						General		Unknown	Notes: victim (ex girlfriend) alleged subject sexually assaulted her before breakup. Admin asp for sexual misconduct. Separated on 7 Mar 22 with General discharge.
286	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed	None			Unknown	Notes: Victim trainee reported that Subject trainee touched her buttocks and made sexual comments about her appearance. NIP.
287	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Fraternization (Art. 134-23)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed	General			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged the Subject wrongfully penetrated her vulva with his fingers while she slept. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. NIP for frat. Admin Rep: -
288	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed	General			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges subject touched her breast with his lips. NIP and Admin Pp.
289	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed	None			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported an unwanted touch NIP.
290	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Unknown	Unknown	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that the subject touched him in a sexual manner without his consent on multiple occasions, despite being told to stop. SM separated under AR 635-200, Ch 14-12c for abusive sexual contact and received an uncharacterized discharge.
291	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed	General			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim, wife of another Soldier, reported that Subject had sex with her when she was too intoxicated to consent. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. NIP for adultery.
292a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged Subject showed other unit members nude photographs of herself without her consent and reported that she was too intoxicated to consent to sexual activity. No probable cause for sexual assault. GOMOR for sharing of images.
292b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged Subject showed other unit members nude photographs of herself without her consent and reported she was too intoxicated to consent to the sexual acts depicted. No probable cause for sexual assault. GOMOR for sharing of photos.
293	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-9	Male			Multiple Referrals	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOHC)				Notes: Survivor reported this crime almost 10 years after the incident. There was not enough evidence for civilian law enforcement so the Office of Complex Investigations investigated the case and substantiated the allegations. The Subject was an Active Guard/Reserve (AGR) Soldier with more than 20-years of active service. The Maine Army National Guard hold an administrative separation board where the board recommended separation and an other than honorable discharge. The Adjutant General approved of this decision and forwarded it to the National Guard Bureau (NGB) for review; the NGB then forwarded the case to the Secretary of the Army.
294	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges inappropriate touching by the subject. Subject was administratively separated under Chapter 14-12c for ASC. Gen discharge.
295	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	Yes	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed	None			Unknown	Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances by NCO. NIP and bar to reenlistment.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY				Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note
									Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed							Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	
296	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No;  Notes: Victim attended a BBQ party barracks and had many alcoholic beverages. Victim's friend and Accused helped her to her room around 2325 according to CCTV. Later, on CCTV Footage, Accused was seen entering Victim's bedroom. Victim was later seen on CCTV ascending Accused from her room approximately 8 minutes later. Accused admitted to masturbating in the victim's room while she was asleep. He claimed he did not touch her. However, the DNA results came back positive with his DNA on and under Victim's bra and on the seam of her underwear. Referred to DEC 21 Guilty plea on 21 Jun 22 to one specification of Abusive Sexual Contact. BCD and reduction to E1
297	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-7	Male				02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge							General		Notes: Victim reported sexual assault by subject. Case went to an administrative separation board - board voted to separate with general discharge.
298	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Acquittal					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges subject sexually assaulted her Subject received a FG Article 15 for extramarital conduct and was found NG.
299	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Female	No	No	Unknown	04 (July-September)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Honorable	Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges subject touched them Subject submitted a voluntary Chapter 8 for pregnancy and it was accepted on 10 Feb 22 with an Honorable Discharge.	
300	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	KUWAIT	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge for non-sexual assault offense								Unknown	Reverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LDR); Notes: Victim reported unwanted advance. GOMOR
301	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None	Unknown		Notes: Victim reported unwanted advance and unwanted kiss. NUP.
302	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None	Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged the Subject touched her thigh and tried to kiss her without her consent. NUP and bar to reenlistment.	
303	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-7	Male	Yes	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)	Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject groped her breast and buttocks and tried to kiss her Subject was administratively separated with an OTH for sexual harassment and inappropriate conduct with recruits.	
304	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sex when incapacitated by alcohol on New Year's Eve one year ago. Dismissed after victim ceased cooperating. Reprimand.
305	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 120)		Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 2; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the Subject Charges were referred to assault on multiple soldiers and sexual assault of another victim. At a GCM, the Subject pled guilty to multiple specifications of Article 120. The punishment included 465 days of confinement and an bad-conduct discharge.	
306a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Unknown		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch and advances from Civilian Subject. No jurisdiction over civilian. Referred to civilian law enforcement. DoD Contractor disciplinary proceedings initiated.	
306b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown								Notes: Victim reported sexual assault by Unknown Subject	
307	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-6; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported sexual assault when too intoxicated to consent. No probable cause. NUP for fraternization.	
308	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-3	Female	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General	Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted them SM was administratively separated under Ch 14 for a DUI and received a General discharge.	
309	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense							General	Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her SM administratively separated for using illegal drugs on 28 Apr 22.	
310	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Fraternization (Art. 134-23)	General	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 1; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged that Subject sexually assaulted her on multiple occasions during the course of a prohibited relationship. Acquainted of sexual assault. Convicted of fraternization.	
311	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-8	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)	Involved but not specified	Notes: Maltreatment of ES including harassment and grabbing buttocks. NUP and Ad Sep with grade reduction		
312	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Romania	Army	E-5	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General	Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged subject took his genitals and rubbed them on his face. NUP and Ad Sep.		
313	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					General	Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her inappropriately Subject was administratively separated for unsatisfactory performance--Chapter 13 during ET.		
314	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None	Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported unwanted advance and touch. NUP.		
315	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	04 (July-September)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General	Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that the Subject struck her face and chest, strangled her, and sexually assaulted her No PC on SA. Subject was administratively separated under Ch 14-12c for drug use.		
316	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None	Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges subject touched her inner thigh w/out consent. NUP.		



7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																Administrative Actions						
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
317	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No			Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim subordinate reported that Subject NCO made unwanted advances and unwanted touch. NUP and Admin Sep.
318	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 2; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleges that after drinking with the Subject, he sexually assaulted her. Charges were referred to a GCM for 1x120, 128, and 134. Subject pled guilty to multiple specifications of Article 128. Punishment included 425 days of confinement and a BCD.
319	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched her vulva without consent when they were in her barracks room. Acquitted at GCM.
320	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-4	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Second Victim First Victim reported a sexual assault when she was too intoxicated to consent after a night of drinking in Subject's barracks room. Second Victim reported groping at the same party. After PF, both Victim supported Chap 10 with OTH for Subject.
321	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by subject Subject received a BN CG.
322	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Notes: Victim trainee reported unwanted touch by Subject trainee. NUP.
323	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim made an anonymous initial report that three years ago, Subject had sexual intercourse with Victim when Victim was too incapacitated to consent. No probable cause opinion by Judge Advocate. Admin Sep for adultery and other unrelated misconduct.
324	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged she was sexually assaulted in her barracks room. FG Art 15 for fraternization and extramarital conduct. Reduced to E4; extra duty for 14 days and oral reprimand. Separated with a General discharge for same offense.
325	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	General		Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 14; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleges the Subject forced her hand down his pants and forcefully kissed her. Subject pled guilty to abusive sexual contact and false official statements at a Summary Court-Martial. Punishment included confinement for 14 days and forfeitures of \$1440. Ad sep for same offenses.
326	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject committed a sexual act upon her without permission. Subject was administratively separated under Chapter 14-12c for sexual assault with an OTH.
327	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Marine Corps	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sex when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. No probable cause opinion. NUP for inappropriate relationship.
328	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	O-3	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the Subject from 2012. Subject is a civilian.
329	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Female	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the Subject Subject was administratively separated under Chapter 14-12c for being drunk on duty and writing bad checks. GEN discharge.
330	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged the Subject touched breast and thigh without consent. Subject received a FG Art 15 with a punishment of a FF of \$1,000 for two months suspended.
331	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged the subject touched her inner thigh. Subject received a FG Article 15 for 2x120 (ASC). He was found guilty and was to forfeit \$892 for two months. Subject was administratively separated with a General on 13 Dec 21.
332	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. GCMOR-
333	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No		Multiple Referrals	04 (July-September)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Notes: Victim reported to DONG SARC (after trying to report and being turned down by Fort Jackson AIT BDE SARC who informed victim there was nothing they could do since there was already an active investigation) that she was sexually assaulted by her Drill Sergeant. Victim elected to file an unrestricted report of sexual assault with DONG SARC. Victim reported that she was pressured to have sex with her drill sergeant, who made "paid pro quo" type demands of her. They were caught coming back on base. Command was alerted who notified Military Police, who began investigating. Victim stated that she was being threatened by her 1SG and that Military Police had identified themselves as CID to her, so she thought CID was already investigating. Due to information that was being reported to both the IG and the BDE SARC getting back to the 1SG, victim no longer felt safe at Fort Jackson. Near the end of the victim's AIT orders, the AIT Command flagged her and restricted her to post, as she was part of an active investigation. Victim filed unrestricted report with DONG SARC, who then notified the following: DONG CG and TAG, Fort Jackson Commanding General, and CID. CID confirmed that they were not investigating any reports involving the victim, and this was the first time they heard the victim's name. CID investigated and did not find probable cause for SA, but did find probable cause for Article 93 charges. Subject then elected to receive a Chapter 10 discharge in lieu of a court martial. Victim did file a retaliation complaint against personnel in the DONG because she felt she was being ostracized and people that shouldn't know about her case were finding out about it.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopsis Report: ARMY																Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If Applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted		Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use
334	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)				Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge; BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 4; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No.
335	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Indecent acts with another (Art. 134-29)				General		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No.
336	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-1	Female	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administrative Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC). Notes: Victim reported that fellow trainee touched Victim on the thigh.
337	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victims allege the Subject touched their genitals. Subject administratively separated with a General discharge.
338	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her breast while she was asleep. Subject was administratively separated for ASC and a violent offense against an intimate partner with a General Discharge.
339	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Unknown	Notes: Victim reports that while conducting Annual Training at Fort McCoy, the reported perpetrator reached under her tactical vest and touched her breasts in a sexual manner without her consent. Text messages from the reported perpetrator corroborated the victim's allegations. Fort McCoy CID Law Enforcement investigated and substantiated the allegations, based on confession from perpetrator. The perpetrator was flagged, given an Article 15, reduced in grade to E3, and involuntarily separated with an other than honorable characterization of service.
340	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 14; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleged subject touched her inappropriately on two separate occasions.FG art 15, guilty of 120 rec. 14 days of extra duty.
341	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					General		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No.
342	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges she was raped by Subject. Victim met Subject on post, he got drunk, struck her in the face, and performed sexual acts on her without her consent.Administrative Separation under Chapter 14-12: for Sexual assault, OTH.
343	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported that Subject, her supervisor, pulled Victim onto his lap and attempted to pull up her shirt while she was doing corrective training. N/P and Admin Sep.
344	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)					Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject penetrated her anus with force.Charges were referred to CCM for 120a2, 120a2 and 117a. A Chapter 10 was approved on 11 Jan 22 with an OTH.
345	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction (Mn): 4; Restriction (Length): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No.
346	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-3	Female	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General		Unknown	Notes: Victim reported abusive sexual contact. N/P and Admin Sep. Notes: Multiple victims alleged they were touched in a sexual manner by subject SM received a CH 14-12: for ASC. Submitted a conditional waiver to be separated with General instead of OTH. Waiver approved.
347	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that subject forcefully grabbed her buttocks while at a park. Ad Sep.
348	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)					Acquitted		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her groin and penetrated her vulva with his finger.At a GCM, subject was acquitted of all charges to include 120a2, 89a1, 92a11, and 93a2.
349	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Missing Movement (Art. 87)				None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the subject. Subject received an Article 15 for missing movement, violating an order and communicating indecent language. He was found NG of indecent language and received a written reprimand.
350	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-6	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					General		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. N/P, Admin Sep.
351	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Acquitted at N/P.		Unknown	Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances and touch by Subject co-worker. Acquitted at N/P.
352	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim trainee reported that Subject trainee slapped her on the buttocks while making an inappropriate remark. N/P.
353	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	South Korea	Army	US Civilian	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)				None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Korean victim alleged that SM sexually assaulted her while she was sleeping at his house. Investigated and unfounded by civilian authorities. N/P for adultery. Bar to reemployment.
354	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported Subject attempted to kiss her and attempted to place his hands down her pants while in the recruiting station. Victim indicated she was able to fight Subject off and leave the recruiting station. Victim also indicated Subject sent her multiple unwanted text messages which were sexual in nature. N/P and Admin Sep.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY															Administrative Actions							
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
355	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Poland	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged subject walked victim back to her barracks room after attending a barracks party. Victim alleged that during this walk, subject kissed her and grabbed her breast, buttocks, and vagina over her clothing. Acquitted of all three specs of Art 92
356	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 7; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her buttocks. Article 120 was referred to a GCM. At the GCM subject was found guilty of Article 128, 92, and 134. Sentenced to 7 days of confinement; reduction to E-4; and a BCD.
357	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported a sexual assault when victim was intoxicated. Report originally reported to civilian authorities, who declined to prosecute after victim committed suicide. Military prosecution at GCM. Plea deal for one charge of abusive sexual contact (penis touching buttocks). BCD and 18 months confinement.
358	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her inner thigh and buttocks. Subject received a FG Article 15 and was found guilty of ASC. Following punishment imposed Reduction to E-1; FF of \$714 for 2 months suspended, extra duty and restriction for 45 days suspended Subject ad sep for same offense. General discharge.
359	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that Subject touched her breast through the clothing. NJP and Admin Sep.
360	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 2; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim, 16, reported that Subject engaged in multiple sexual acts and exchanged photos with Subject, PD and 14 months.
361	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Cadet/MS/MS man	Female	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported rape. Acquitted of all charges at GCM.
362	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	04 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleged that the subject inappropriately touched ALC classmate's breasts/buttocks while in his hotel room. SM belongs to USARMC and received a GOMOR from that command on 28 Mar 22.
363	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	O-3	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Fraternization (Art. 134-23)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim officer reported that during the course of a prohibited consensual relationship with Subject NCO, Subject had sex with her when she was too intoxicated to consent. No pc. NJP for fraternization and COVID restriction violations. Bar to reenlistment.
364	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Poland	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported unwanted advance and touch. letter of reprimand.
365	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim, wife of another Soldier, went out drinking with her friend's husband. Subject, when victim fell asleep on the bed, an intoxicated subject groped her and tried to take her clothes off before he passed out. Charges preferred. With victim support. Chap 10 approved.
366	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: FG art 15 for Art 120/92. Red to E5. FF \$16362months; Restriction and Extra duty for 45 days. oral reprimand. Administrative sep for ASC.
367	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleges that subject sexually assaulted him GCM found guilty of Assault (128). Sentenced to reduction to E-1; forfeiture of \$1,440 pay for three months, a reprimand, and a BCD.
368	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Qatar	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					Member Retained			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the Subject penetrated her anus without her consent. At an administrative separation board, they determined the allegation was not supported by the preponderance of the evidence. "Victim elected not to go with a GCM."
369	Prosecuted by State Law (NG Only)	UNITED STATES	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge					General			Unknown	Notes: Victim is a civilian; subject is Alaska NG member. Victim alleges subject entered her room while she was asleep and groped her breasts. Subject's NCO identified the subject as a detainee resulting in an Unrestricted Report with Limited report. Subject received General discharge effective 5 Apr 22 based on misconduct, commission of serious offense: to wit sexual assault. Civilian prosecution still pending.
370	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges the subject sodomized her. A GOMOR was initiated and was withdrawn and destroyed by the CG.
371	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge								Unknown	Notes: Victims allege abusive sexual contact by the Subject. Subject was administratively separated with a GEN discharge.
372	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Unknown	Unknown	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch, GOMOR. "SM Active duty at the time of offense"
373	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOHC)			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Multiple Victims reported that Subject made inappropriate sexual remarks and touched their buttocks. NJP and Admin Sep.

## 7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note
																			Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	
374	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Involved but not specified	Notes: victim alleged subject sexually assaulted her while incapacitated. Acquitted of all charges at GCM.
375	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that a highly intoxicated Subject pushed her down on a bed and groped her at a New Year's Eve party. NIP and Admin Sep.
376	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Kuwait	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial				Unknown	Notes: Two Victims. One reported rape. Second reported unwanted touch. Referred to a GCM in January 2022 then Victim declined to testify. Chap 10	
377	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	W-3	Female	Army	O-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim subordinate reported that Subject officer made inappropriate sexual comments to Victim and tried to touch her thigh. GOMOR and Officer Elimination.
378	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	E-1	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.
379	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-5	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleges the subject struck him in the testicles Subject received a Battalion letter of concern on 16 Sept 21
380	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted			Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 3; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged the Subject sexually assaulted her in her barracks room, referred OCT 21A a GCM, Subject was found guilty of Sexual Assault and sentenced to 15 months of confinement and a dishonorable discharge.
381	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		General Article Offense (Art. 134)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General		Unknown	Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 14; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 14; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her. Subject received a CG Article 15 for providing alcohol to a minor. Punishment was reduction to E2, suspended; FF of \$479, suspended; extra duty and restriction for 14 days. Admin Sep for same offense.
382	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	O-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged subject committed sexual assault against her by penetrating her anus while she was incapable of consent due to intoxication. Other Victim alleged unwanted touch. Both Victims supported Subject request for Chapter 10 discharge.
383	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-7	Male	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other: Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the Subject Subject received a negative NCOR reflecting SHARP violations.
384	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-1	Female	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Uncharacterized		Unknown	Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported Subject touched buttocks of fellow trainee. NIP and Admin Sep.
385	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-3	Female	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched them inappropriately Subject was administratively separated for abusive sexual contact with an uncharacterized discharge.
386	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted			Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 6; Confinement (Months): 10; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged that subject performed sexual acts upon her without her consent. Multiple Victims. Convicted and sentenced to 7 years confinement and DD.
387	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Unknown	Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Two Victims reported unwanted touch. NIP.
388	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim, who was engaged in a consensual adulterous affair with Subject, reported that on one occasion Subject threatened her and came to her home and raped her. No probable cause opinion. NIP for adultery and rap to reassignment.
389	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					General		Unknown	Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim's sister alleged that the Subject made attempts to engage in sexual acts with her underage sister. SM administratively separated for use of illegal drugs (14-12C2).
390	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	South Korea	N/A	Foreign National	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that Subject had sexual intercourse with her when she was intoxicated to consent. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. NIP for adultery and violation of COVID restrictions.	
391	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted					Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 6; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that she met Subject on Tinder, went to a bonfire, and then met the next day at a "firing" party on post. At the party, they kissed and Subject "tested the waters" by strangling her and Victim did not resist. Back in barracks, Subject continued to choke and treat Victim roughly during sex and she told him to stop multiple times. Charges preferred and referred to a GCM. On 10 March 2022, subject pled guilty to assault consummated by batter, violating a lawful general order, drunk driving, and underage drinking, in violation of Articles 128, 92, 113, and 134, UCMJ. The MJ sentenced him to 121 days confinement and a BCD.
392	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Two Victims reported that Subject made unwanted advances that included non-consensual touches. Charges preferred. Both Victims supported Subject Chap 10 request with OTR.
393	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported slip on buttocks. GOMOR.
394	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Qatar	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense	100					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual intercourse when victim was too intoxicated to consent. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. Admin Sep for underlying misconduct.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note				
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reasons Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If Applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted		Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	
395	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Male	Army	W-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR): Notes: Victim reported that Subject slapped him on the buttocks. GOMOR.
396	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. NJP.
397	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General			Unknown	Notes: SM is alleged to have sexually assaulted a civilian female. SM Separated with General discharge for use of illegal drugs. Case is still pending in civilian court.
398	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other: Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the subject. According to the 4B33, the Subject was administratively reduced in rank from PFC to PV1.
399	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that Subject slapped her on the buttocks while at an off post bar. NJP and Admin Sep.
400	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Unknown	Notes: Victim subordinate reported unwanted advances and harassment. NJP and Admin Sep.
401	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim, wife of another Soldier, went out drinking with her friend's husband. Subject, when Victim fell asleep on the bed, an intoxicated Subject groped her and tried to take her clothes off before he passed out. Charges preferred. With Victim support, Chap 10 approved.
402	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR): Notes: Victim reported sexual assault when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. No probable cause. GOMOR for underage drinking and other rules violations.
403	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual contact when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. Victim stopped cooperating. Admin Sep.
404	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order of regulation (Art. 92)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 14; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject, her former boyfriend, raped her. Victim declined to participate in any investigation and recanted allegation. No probable cause. NJP for violations of order and Admin Sep.
405	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that subject made unwanted sexual contact with her while in a unit classroom and also caused her to make inappropriate sexual contact with his groin area without consent. NJP and Bar to Reenlistment.
406	Prosecuted by State Law (NG Only)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	W-3	Male				04 (July-September)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Soldier agreed to WOFB and OTH discharge had completed 20 years. Was charged and convicted by civilian authorities - appears a plea to assault causing bodily injury.
407	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Cadet/Midshipman	Female	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR): Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her inappropriately at a hotel Subject received a letter of reprimand and was enrolled in SLDP-R (development program for Respect).
408	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject entered her barracks room after she had been drinking at a party and engaged in sexual acts with her without her consent. Charges preferred but dismissed after PH found no PC.	
409	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that during consensual sex, Subject became too rough and tried to choke her and became non-consensual, charges preferred and Victim supported Chap 10 discharge.	
410a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	W-2	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual assault by Unknown Subject
410b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	W-2	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual assault by Unknown Subject
410c	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	W-2	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual assault by Unknown Subject
411	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	04 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)				Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject put his hands on her breasts and pressed his penis against her buttocks. OTH for SA.
412	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged the subject touched his penis. Subject was acquitted of all charges on 14 Apr 22.
413	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges Subject sexually assaulted her when she was passed out from alcohol assumption. DNA evidence. Charges preferred but dismissed after PH found no PC. Admin Sep follows.	
414a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		General Article Offense (Art. 134)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 25; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged Subject sexually assaulted her in a hotel in Nuremberg while another person also sexually assaulted her. FG Art 15 for Extramarital sexual conduct. Reduced to E-3. 25 days of extra duty.
414b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		General Article Offense (Art. 134)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged Subject sexually assaulted her in a hotel in Nuremberg while another person also sexually assaulted her. Rec art 15 for extramarital sexual conduct.
415	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Two Victims. One reported sex without consent on New Year's Eve three months ago. Second reported Subject put his hand around her waist and nuzzled her neck. Sufficient evidence only of ASC. NJP and Admin Sep.
416	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject penetrated her anus after she told him she did not consent. Victim did consent to other sexual acts. Administrative sep for 14-12c. OTH

## 7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY														Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note					
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome		Reasons Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use
417	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject slapped her buttocks and attempted to kiss her at a barracks party in Germany. Admin sep with General discharge for touching.
418	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)				Acquitted			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that her mother met Subject, picked Subject up on post and brought Subject to their home where they all consumed alcohol and that Subject had sexual contact with Victim when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. Subject found not guilty of all charges and specifications.
419	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Female	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)				Article 15 Punishment Imposed	None		Unknown	Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched Victim in a sexual manner while making an unwanted advance. NJP.
420	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	AFGHANISTAN	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleged Subject touched her thighs for 10-15 seconds where she was sunbaked, without consent. Permanently filed GOMOR for sexual harassment and assault.
421	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)				Article 15 Punishment Imposed	None		Unknown	Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch and unwanted advance. NJP.
422	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by an unknown Subject.
423	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that while at training at Fort Bragg in Oct 2019, victim stated four men raped her in a barracks room. SM separated for use of illegal drugs prior to the SA report. SAUSA provided a PS opine.
424	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)				Convicted	Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)	Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge. Confinement: Yes. Confinement Type: Less Than Life. Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 6; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No. Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim alleged that she awoke to the Subject raping and groping her. SCM found guilty of Art. 120c, and 126 (x2). Sentenced to Bad to E1, 18 mths Confinement and a DD.
425	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed	None		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges that he awoke to the subject performing oral sex on him without his consent. Victim stated he immediately left the room. Subject states that everything was consensual. No probable cause opinion. NJP for quarantine violations.
426	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)				Article 15 Punishment Imposed	General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her buttocks. Subject received a FG article 15 for abusive sexual contact. Punishment included reduction to Private (E-1); 45 days of extra duty and restriction. Subject was administratively separated for ASL and received a General discharge.
427	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)				Article 15 Punishment Imposed	None		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges the Subject came to her room laid on her bed and attempted to remove her pants. The subject then attempted to touch her vaginal area over clothing without permission. The Subject received an Article 15 for Abusive sexual contact, violating an order and drunk and disorderly. The subject was found guilty of all offenses and reduced to the grade of E1.
428	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	US	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)				Article 15 Punishment Imposed	None		Unknown	Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. NJP.
429	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)				Article 15 Punishment Imposed	None		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject touched her inappropriately. NJP.
430	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-5	Male	Army	E-8	Female	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)				Article 15 Punishment Imposed	None		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject inappropriately touched his buttocks without his consent. General Officer NJP.
431	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No		01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Wrongful use, possession, etc. of controlled substances (Art. 112a)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOHC)		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her. Subject received a FG Article 15 or using THC. Punishment included Reduction to PVT (E1); FF of SRIC; suspended; extra duty and restriction for 45 days. Administrative Separation under Ch 14-12c for assault on victim and drugs. Received an OTH.
432	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	Foreign National	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No		01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (NJP 134-2)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual intercourse with Subject when Victim was too incapacitated to consent. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. NJP for adultery and violation of order not to contact Victim. Admin Sep.
433	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Germany	Army	E-6	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)				Convicted	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None. Confinement: Yes. Confinement Type: Less Than Life. Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 1; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim alleged that Subject reached inside of her shirt and grabbed her breast without her consent. Convicted at SCM. Followed by Ad Sep.
434	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)				Acquitted			Involved but not specified	Notes: The victim alleged that the subject sexually assaulted her in his barracks room, by penetrating her vulva with his penis, after returning from a night out eating/drinking together. CM docketed for 2 May. Subject acquitted of all charges and specifications.
435	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her. Subject was charged for illegal drug use prior to the report of Sexual assault.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																							
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note	
436	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		None	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No;  Notes: The victim was assaulted and CID was contacted, due to the T32 status of the members, San Bernardino California Police Department investigated the incident. The victim did not want to press charges and no charges were filed. Further investigation found the incident to be having other sexual assault. Subject received non-judicial punishment for assault.  Reduction in rank.	
437	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)				Convicted	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No;  Notes: Victim, an Uber driver, alleged Subject unlawfully touched her in a barbershop parking lot. Convicted. BCD and 12 months.	
438a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	South Korea	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)				Convicted	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No;  Notes: Victim reported sexual assault. Convicted.	
438b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	South Korea	Army	E-4	Male	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.	
439	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						Member Retained		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by an unknown Subject. Subject went to an administrative separation board where he was retained.	
440	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC);  Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the Subject. Subject received a letter of warning for false statements according to the 4833.	
441	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)				Acquitted				Involved but not specified	Notes: Subject accused of sexual assault without the consent of victim.  Acquitted at GCM.
442	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No;  Notes: Victim alleges the subject put his hands down her pants. Subject received a EC Art. 15 for 128 (Assault). Punishment was reduction to E-5, forfeiture, extra duty and restrictions for 45 days.	
443	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	Foreign National	Female	Army	E-8	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)				Acquitted			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges subject sexually assaulted her. LOD preferred on 20 Apr 22. Pending Article 32 hearing at a GCM, the Subject found not guilty of the charge.	
444	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR);  Notes: Victim alleges the subject inappropriately touched her. Subject received a letter of concern.	
445	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No;  Notes: Victim alleged that Subject boarded a bus with her and began talking to her. Subject was visibly drunk and began to touch the victim's leg, eventually reaching up to grab her breast. EC NUP.	
446	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her. Due to victim preference, subject was administratively separated with a GEN discharge.	
447	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)				Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her. Charges were preferred against the subject for sexual assault. Subject submitted a Chapter 10 and it was approved with an OTH on 23 May 22.	
448	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)				Convicted	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 5; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No;  Notes: victim alleges abusive sexual contact by subject in May and September 2020 during social gatherings. Convicted. BCD and 5 months.	
449	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 15; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No;  Notes: Victim alleged that during wrestling the Subject touched him inappropriately. NUP	
450a	Rape (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-2	Male						Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim, minor, reported to civilian authorities that Subject had sex with her. Civilian authorities unfounded rape allegation. Ad Sep for sexual contact with 16 year old.		
450b	Rape (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-2	Male						Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim, minor, reported to civilian law enforcement that Subject raped her. Unfounded by civilian authorities. Ad Sep with OTH for underlying misconduct.		
450c	Rape (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-2	Male						Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported rape to civilian law enforcement. No probable cause. Adsep for underlying misconduct.		
451	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Army	O-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR);  Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched his groin area during his mental health appointment. Subject was indicted by the state of Ohio for Gross Sexual Imposition and Sexual Imposition. The subject received a permanently filed GCMOR.	
452	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.
453	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No;  Notes: Two Victims reported that Subject slapped them on the buttocks during PT. NUP.	
454	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Indecent acts with another (Art. 134.29)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No;  Notes: Victim alleged that subject pinched and grabbed her thigh, and worked his way to her buttocks. NUP and Bar to reenlistment.	
455	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No;  Notes: Victim reported unwanted advantage and touch. NUP.	

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																Administrative Actions						
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If Applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
456	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	KOSOVO	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-8	Male	No	No		01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Fraternization (Art. 134-23)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (OOTH)		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 60. Notes: Victim reported that Subject her superior sexually assaulted her when she was too intoxicated to consent during consensual unlawful relationship. Acquitted of sexual assault, convicted of fraternization and false official statement.
457	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject and another Soldier took her to the Subject's apartment and raped her and forced her to perform oral sex. No probable cause opinion. Ad sep for underlying misconduct.
458	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 10; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim alleges the subject physically assaulted, strangled and attempted to sexually assault her. Civilians arrested but declined to prosecute. GCM, trial date Apr 2022 Subject plead guilty to one specification of assault and was sentenced to 10 days of confinement and a BCD.
459	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Fraternization (Art. 134-23)			Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim reported that Subject NCO engaged in sex with her when she was too intoxicated to consent. Convicted of inappropriate relationship - BCD
460	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No		02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General		Unknown	Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. Admin Sep
461	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged to be continuously sexually assaulted by the subject over a period of years. Acquitted of all charges at a GCM
462	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Turkey	Army	E-6	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges subject sexually assaulted her CM charges preferred on 31 Jan 22 SPCM withdrew charges and recommended admin sep.
463	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None		Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 2; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched her without consent and sexually harassed her. Acquitted of that charge. Minor Victim reported physical assault by Subject step parent. Convicted of striking. 60 days and E-5
464	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No		02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported Subject raped her. Convicted of non-SA only. 240 days and BCD
465	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject committed a sexual act upon them by penetration. At a GCM, the subject was acquitted of all specifications and charges.
466	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her buttocks without consent Subject received a permanently filed GCMOR on 16 Feb 22
467	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-4	Female	No	No		03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch by Subject.
468	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject sexually assaulted her when she was unconscious. Acquitted of all charges at GCM.
469	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Yes		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 2; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim alleged that Subject sexually assaulted him while he was asleep. DD and 24 months
470	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that while at ATT, the subject repeatedly touched her buttocks, followed her around, and once touched her vagina over her clothes while applying a tourniquet. NIP
471	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that Subject came to her room to counsel her. I invited her to the bed, touched her buttocks and breast without her consent. Charges preferred and dismissed after PH.
472	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Acquittal					Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject touched his groin multiple times over the clothes while they were serving on Gate Guard duty during the duty day. FG Art. 15 for one spec of ASC. Found NG. **SM received a FG art 15 a month later for wrongful use of drugs**
473	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleged that the subject slapped her on the buttocks. FG art 15 for Art 92 (x3), Art 115 and Art 128. Reduction to E5 and permanently filed in LIAHRR.
474	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Germany	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleged Subject touched the Victim's breasts and then took her photo. NIP and Admin Sep.
475	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleges a USAR NCO tickled and touched the breast, slapped the buttocks a few times, made comments about the bra and breast size of a junior Soldier, drug her down the hall and choked her with his hand. NIP. Admin Sep.
476	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleged that Subject committed abusive sexual contact I against her by touching her groin and inner thigh. Permanently filed GCMOR. Administrative Sep for misuse of illegal drug, sep with OTH.



7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note		
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted		Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender
477	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None	Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NIP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged the subject touched them inappropriately. Subject received a FG article 15 for violating regulations and drunkenness. Reduction to E1; FF for two months.	
478	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Male	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	None	Yes	Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 1; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch by Subject NCO. Summary court-martial. Bar to reenlistment.
479	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge					General	Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges that while hanging out in her barracks room, the Subject made sexually aggressive advances toward her despite her saying no. Subject was administratively separated with a GEN discharge.	
480	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged she was raped by the Subject when he came to her room, removed her clothing and had sex without consent. Acquitted of all charges at GCM.	
481	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	IRAQ	Army	E-6	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action						Unknown	Notes: Victim reported an unwanted touch and inappropriate comments. GOMOR.	
482	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged subject got into his sleeping bag and was touching his penis. After PH, Victim supported Chap 10. OTH.	
483	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Unknown	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)	Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported to civilian authorities that Subject raped her. Civilian declined to prosecute. No je. Admin sep for underlying misconduct.	
484	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-4	Male	Yes	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)	Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject strangled him and touched his buttocks. Subject was administratively separated for assault and abusive sexual contact with an OTH discharge.
485	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted	Rape and Sexual Assault of a Child (Art. 120b)	Yes	Involved but not specified	Notes: Multiple victims allege subject sexually assaulted or raped them. DD and 20 years. Subject was preferred charges for sexual assault on a child and abusive sexual contact of this victim. At a GCM, the Subject was found guilty of the 120b (child sexual assault) and not guilty of the abusive sexual contact of the Victim.	
486	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Convicted	Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Involved but not specified	Notes: Subject had sexual intercourse with two trainees, committed TR 350-A violations, and obstructed justice.		
487	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None	Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NIP Only): No; Notes: Both Victims alleged that Subject hit his buttocks. NIP.	
488	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action						Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her inappropriately during the leg tuck exercise. Subject was issued a written counseling according to the 4833.	
489	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-8	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Convicted	Assault (Art. 128)	None	Involved but not specified	Notes: Civilian Victim reported that Subject NO groped her without consent at a New Year's Party. Charges preferred OCT 21. Subject plead guilty at a SCM to 3 specifications of Article 128 and 134. Punishment was Reduction to E7 and FF of 273 pay.	
490	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that the Subject pinned her down and touched her hips, buttocks and breast area without her consent. After PH, Victim supported Chap 10 for Subject.	
491	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Egypt	Army	O-2	Female	Army	O-6	Male			Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None	Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the Subject placed his hand on her breast and made a circular movement while maintaining eye contact. Subject received a GO Article 15 for ASC, false official statement, assault, and conduct unbecoming. Subject was found guilty of Assault x2 and received the following punishment: written reprimand and forfeiture of half months pay for 2 months. Article 15 will be filed in the performance section of the OMPF.	
492a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)	Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by the subject. Subject was administratively separated for sexual assault and received an OTH discharge.	
492b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)	Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject had sex with a child under the age of 16 w/o whom he believed to be 17 Ad sep with OTH on 13 Jan 22.	
493	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)	Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim and perpetrator were serving on T32 orders for a COVID mission. While on orders, both parties were having drinks with a group of other soldiers at a hotel. Later that evening, the victim and perpetrator were in the perpetrator's hotel room. The victim was heavily intoxicated and fell asleep in the perpetrator's room. The victim woke up to the reported perpetrator on top of her, having penetrative sex with her, without her consent. Local law enforcement were notified of the assault and investigated. Victim declined to pursue charges. Case was investigated by NGB OCI and substantiated. SM received a permanently filed GOMOR, and was separated from the WIAARG with a UOTH or around 20 January 2022.	
494	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual intercourse when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. Dismissed for no je. Admin Sep for underlying misconduct.	
495	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-1	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None	Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch and advances. NIP and Bar to reenlistment.	
496	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	O-3	Female	Army	E-8	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that SM groped and sexually assaulted her. Charges preferred and Victims supported Chap 10 with OTH discharge.	

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																Administrative Actions						
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
497	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Poland	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges the Subject placed his arm around her waist and pushed his genitals against her buttocks. Subject was administratively separated for Abusive sexual contact and false official statements with a GEN discharge.
498	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Kuwait	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Multiple victims alleged that the Subject touched or kissed them without consent in a sexual or questionable manner. GOMOR filed permanently for ASC. Pending separation action by Reserve command. Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No. Fine: No. Restriction: Yes. Restriction Limit: Installation. Restriction Length (Days): 45. Reduction in rank: Yes. Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5. Extra Duty: Yes. Extra Duty (Days): 45. Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No.
499	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 42)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject raped her while in her barracks room. GG Art. 15 for inappropriate relationship and duty.
500	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-1	Female	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: victim reports subject grabbed her buttocks. SM was administratively separated under Ch 14-12c with an Uncharacterized discharge for ASC.
501	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges that the Subject sexually assaulted her while she was incapable of consenting due to Intoxication Charges preferred for SA and sexual assault. Chapter 10 submitted and approved with an OTH.
502	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: SM had sex with VIC, without her consent, after a night of heavy drinking at the barracks. Charges preferred for Art. 120 (Sexual Assault w/o Consent)/Art. 90 (Willfully Disobeying Order)/Art. 134 (Assaulted Underage Drinking Law)/Art. 92 (Violation of General Order)/Ch. 10 granted with OTH discharge. Victim supported Ch. 10 request.
503	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Germany	N/A	Foreign National	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual intercourse when too intoxicated to consent. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. NJP for adultery. Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes. Fine: No. Restriction: Yes. Restriction Limit: Installation. Restriction Length (Days): 30. Reduction in rank: Yes. Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4. Extra Duty: Yes. Extra Duty (Days): 30. Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No.
504	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Male			Unknown	04 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim was sexually assaulted on 22 Aug 20. A third party provided information to CID detailing the assault. Victim was interviewed and declined to identify her assailant, make a statement, or participate in the investigation. CID was unable to identify a subject through the investigative process.
505	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						Member Retained		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the Subject. An administrative separation was processed but failed to be completed prior to the Subjects ETS.
506	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						Member Retained		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject touched her breast and attempted to engage in sexual acts with her without consent. Ad Sep initiated for sexual assault. member went to a board and was retained.
507a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	United States	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 6; Confinement (Days): 18; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No.
507b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	United States	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her by penetrating her vagina. At a GCM the Subject was found guilty pursuant to a guilty plea of Article 120b2 and was sentenced to 670 days of confinement, reduction to E-1, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and a BCD. Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 10; Confinement (Days): 5; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No.
508	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	AFGHANISTAN	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim and subject were both on Title 10 orders for deployment. Victim was sexually assaulted by subject and filed unrestricted report. Case investigated by CID and NJP was imposed by command. loss of rank and Margin Sign. Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes. Fine: No. Restriction: No. Reduction in rank: Yes. Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4. Extra Duty: No. Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No.
509	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Involved but not specified	Notes: On multiple occasions, SM made multiple comments of sexual nature toward victim and touched her waist and buttocks. NJP and Admin Sep. Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes. Fine: No. Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation. Restriction Length (Days): 30. Reduction in rank: Yes. Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4. Extra Duty: Yes. Extra Duty (Days): 30. Hard Labor: No. Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No.
510	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	O-3	Male				03 (April-June)	Subject Died or Deserted								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander determined close with not further action. The alleged perpetrator died in an accident unrelated to military duty.
511	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No		03 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC).
512	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General		Unknown	Notes: victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the Subject. Subject received a written letter of concern filed locally according to the 4833. Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the Subject. Subject was administratively separated for multiple instances of abusive sexual contact and received a GENERAL discharge.
513	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Poland	Army	E-4	Female	Army	O-1	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleged that the subject touched her in a sexual manner after dinner and drinks. SM received a permanently filed GOMOR and an Officer elimination.
514	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged the Accused sexually assaulted her by penetrating her vaginal area and touching her breasts without her consent. Charges preferred. Victim supported Subject request for Chap 10 discharge, with OTH.
515	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Army	E-5	Male					Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances and touch. NJP.
516	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-4	Female	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Involved but not specified	Notes: Four Victims reported that female Subject groped their buttocks, groin, and inner thighs. PIH complete. Referred NOV 21 at a GCM on 14 Jun 22. subject was acquitted of all charges and specifications.
517	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject sexually assaulted her and committed DV on others. GCM found guilty of Art. 120, 120b, 120b, 120, 120 after 2019. Sentence adjudged on 24 Mar 22. Red to E1. 21 years of confinement; and 90.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopsis Report: ARMY																Administrative Actions				Case Synopsis Note			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type		Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	
518	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. NJP.	
519	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 4; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim, member of Subject's unit, reported that Subject sexually assaulted Victim after a night of drinking. DD and 10 years.	
520	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Unknown							Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by an unknown Subject.	
521	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 3; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged that Subject groped her breast on 01/21/21. Subject sent text to victim apologizing CM charges preferred 11 Apr 22. Pending Subject pled guilty at a Summary CM to abusive sexual contact. *Reduction to E1: FF of \$1,099.80, confinement for 30 days. Ad sep for same offenses-OTH
522	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No		02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Two Victims reported that Subject touched them in a sexual manner and made unwanted advances. NJP and Admin Sep.
523	Rape (Art. 120)	QATAR	Navy	E-4	Female	Army	O-2	Male	No	No		01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Fraternization (Art. 134-23)				Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: Dismissal; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 3; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim USAF enlisted reported rape by Subject officer during course of consensual relationship on deployment. Charges preferred. Acquitted of rape but convicted of frat. Dismissal and 3 months.
524	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	O-3	Female	Unknown		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Victim reported a rape by a Civilian Subject. No jurisdiction over civilians.	
525	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her Subject received an article 15 for using a drug deriving from hemp. Admin sep for same action
526	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that Subject touched her buttocks with his hand. NJP. Bar to Reenlistment.
527	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.
528	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	O-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleged the Subject committed a sexual act by penetrating her vulva with his penis. GOMOR permanently filed for illegal drugs and Ad sep for drugs. General discharge.
529	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Acquittal						Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged the subject touched her thigh Subject was found not guilty of the single charge of assault.
530	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Uncharacterized			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject would make sexual comments and sexual gestures towards her Soldier administratively separated under AR 635-200, Ch. 11 (Entry Level Performance) (Unchar) for misconduct involving assault and SH.
531	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the subject Subject was separated under Chapter 14-12c with a GEN discharge.
532	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the subject. The report was made in 2022 with an incident date of 2013. The subject was administratively separated in 2014 for a pattern of misconduct (prior to the report). Subject is a civilian.
533	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-2	Female	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Uncharacterized			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject, fellow trainee, slapped Victim on the buttocks with a towel. NJP.
534	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No		02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)				Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 6; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported sexual intercourse when Victim too intoxicated to consent. GCM on 30 Mar 22 found subject guilty of Article 90, 112a, and 128. He was sentenced to confinement for 190 days and a Bad Conduct Discharge.
535	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Male	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the Subject grabbed his buttocks with his hand subject received a FG article 15 for assault and violation of a regulation. Punishment included reduction to E5; FF of \$1,852 for 2 month suspended; extra duty and restriction for 45 days (both suspended) and an oral reprimand.
536	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that between o/a 1 September 2020 and 30 December 2020, SM recorded sexual interactions with another person without their consent. Admin sep for indecent conduct on 7 Apr 22. General discharge after conditional waiver.
537	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No		02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject came to her barracks room, pushed her down on her bed and grabbed her breast. Victim slapped Subject and told him to leave. NJP and Admin Sep.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY															Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note					
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If applicable		Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	
538	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. NJP and Admin Sep.	
539	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that Subject made unwanted advances and unwanted touch at unit party. GOMOR.	
540	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her breast and collarbone. Subject received a GOMOR.
541	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Unknown	Unknown	Female	No	No	Unknown	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Uncharacterized		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victims alleged that the subject touched their butts and private areas. CG Article 15 found guilty of 120x2 and 92. Received and oral reprimand. Administratively discharged under ch 5-10 (EPTS).	
542	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Acquittal					Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged Subject forced her to kiss him and touched her buttocks and breast. Acquitted at FC NIP.	
543	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	Foreign National	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged SM sexually assaulted her in 1983. Charges referred to JAG were preferred against the subject in 1983 for Rape and attempted murder. The subject was in pretrial confinement and was pulled out by unit escorts for a two day Article 32 hearing. After the first day of the hearing, the Subject escaped and is classified as a Deserter.	
544	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Uncharacterized		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances from fellow trainee. NJP and Admin Sep.	
545	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	O-2	Female	Army	O-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	None		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 60; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that at a unit BBQ, Subject superior groped her breast and buttocks and made sexual comments about her. Charges referred to JAG 22. At a GCM, the subject was found guilty of 2 specifications of Article 128 (assault). He was sentenced to be reprimanded and to be restricted to the parade area for two months.	
546	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 14; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 14; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her inner thigh. NJP.	
547	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject had sexual intercourse with Victim, the wife of another Soldier, when she was too intoxicated to consent after an off base party.	
548	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the Subject touched her buttocks. Subject was administratively separated for Abusive sexual contact with a GEN discharge.	
549	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Unknown & Male	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q4 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: Numerous victims alleged subject hit them in the genitals with a broomstick. Subject received Chapter 11 (Entry Level Performance) for ASC and received an Uncharacterized discharge. Subject is not allowed the normal re-entry after rec. Ch 11.	
550	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	O-1	Female	Army	E-8	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Two victims alleged that SM groped them. Charges preferred and Victims supported Chap 10 discharge.	
551	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q4 (July-September)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General		Unknown	Notes: Victims alleged that the subject touched them inappropriately. SM was chaplained under 5-11 (Other Designated Physical or Mental Conditions) on 9 Sept 21 with a General Discharge.	
552	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported an unwanted touch. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. Admin Sep for pattern of misconduct.	
553	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported slap on buttocks. NJP.	
554	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleged Subject came into his room (off base) and stroked his penis and gave him kielbasa without his consent. Subject made counter-claim against victim (see above). No PC for this allegation, but received a MOR for other sexual assaults filed in his AMHRR on 30 Mar 22.	
555	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the Subject sexually assaulted her. Subject received a FG Article 15 for fraternization and adultery. Punishment included reduction to E4; FF of \$500 suspended; extra duty for 45 days; and an oral reprimand. Subject administratively separated for same conduct with a GEN discharge.	
556	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Fraternization (Art. 134-23)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject NCO had sex with Victim when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. No probable cause opinion by Judge Advocate. NJP and Admin Sep.	
557a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported Subject held her down while other Soldier groped her. Admin Sep with OTH.	
557b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch - Subject was already pending a Chap 10 OTH discharge, when report was made after charges preferred.	
558	Unknown	UNITED STATES	Army	E-6	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported sexual assault by Unknown Subject	
559	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Male	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. counseling.	

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY										Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note			
									Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject: Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use				
560	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 8; Confinement (Months): 4; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged that the accused sexually assaulted her at his barracks. DD and 10 years.	
561	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed				None			None	Notes: Multiple fellow trainee victims reported that Subject trainee grabbed their buttocks during training. N/P
562	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)			Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)			Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 2; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 25; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Two Victims, both reported that Subject had sexual contact with them when they were too intoxicated to consent. Preferral projected for Monday, 15 November 21. Subject was found guilty at a GCM of Article 120 (Rape), Article 92 (Violate order) and Article 12B (Assault). Subject was sentenced to 24 months and 25 days of confinement and a dishonorable discharge.	
563	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Convicted		Assault (Art. 12B)					Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 5; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Two Victims reported sexual assaults by Subject. Two Victims declined to cooperate. Convicted of assault, BCD and five months.
564	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution						Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that when attending a party at Subject's residence, she consumed alcohol, became intoxicated, and laid down in a spare room where Subject entered three times, trying to get up her up and touching her breast and vaginal area. Charges preferred but dismissed after PHO found no pc. N/P for providing alcohol to minor. Admin Sep.
565	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense				Assault (Art. 12B)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject pulled her hair. Subject received a FG Article 15 for assault and drunkenness. Punishment included reduction to E4 and 20 days extra duty.
566	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	O-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action											Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges the subject placed his head on her breasts during a night Subject received a permanently filed GOMOR.
567	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial							Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that she went with a group of friends, including Subject, downtown and shared a hotel room after a night of drinking. Victim shared a bed with Subject and reported that Subject digitally penetrated victim without victim's consent. After referral to GCM, Victim supported Chap 10 discharge.
568	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Afghanistan	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense				Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged the subject sexually assaulted her. Subject received a FG Article 15 for fraternization (92) and False official statement (107). Subject was found guilty of 92. Reduction to E-5 of \$1,593 for 2 months suspended, extra duty for 30 days and an oral reprimand.
569	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-4	Male	Yes	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Yes	Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 14; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject forcefully pinned him to the bed and performed sexual acts without his consent. The accused was already prosecuted and convicted for another sexual assault. During that court-martial, the victim in this case was able to testify under Military Rule of Evidence 413. The victim is satisfied his ability to testify about his experience is satisfied with the outcome of that trial, and does not desire to participate in another court-martial. As the accused already has a conviction, and will have to register as a sexual offender, the public interest in further prosecution does not outweigh the victim's preference.	
570	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense				Assault (Art. 12B)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the Subject placed his palms together and thrust his fingers between his buttocks Subject received an article 15for assault and violating a lawful order Punishment included a ft of pay, extra duty and restriction for 45 days, and an oral reprimand.
571	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Yes	Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 7; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged victim penetrated her GCM Found Subject guilty of sexual assault and sentenced him to confinement for 19 months and a DD.	
572	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action											Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch, but declined to cooperate with further investigation. GOMOR.
573	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense											Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victims alleged the subject sexually assaulted them Subject received a permanently filed GOMOR for Adultery.
574	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 5; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: SM allegedly sexually assaulted a female SM while she was asleep. Convicted and sentenced to DD and 60 months.	
575	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action											Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleged that Subject sexually assaulted her by penetrating her vulva and anus with his penis. GOMOR permanently filed.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY														Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note						
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome		Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	
576	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No		01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Wrongful use, possession, etc. of controlled substances (Art. 112a)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: After Subject had tested positive on a urinalysis, received an NUP for drug use and was being separated from the Army. Victim reported that seven months ago, Subject had sexual intercourse with Victim when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. Insufficient evidence to prosecute sexual assault. NUP and Admin Sep for drug use.
577	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No		01 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged Subject inappropriately touched her while at Advanced Individual Training (AIT) in Fort Lee, VA, stating Subject kissed her and touched her in a sexual manner on the clothing without her consent on two separate occasions. Ad Sep.
578	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Male	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched his buttocks. Subject received a locally filed GOMOR for ASC.
579	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged the subject touched her breast without consent. Subject was administratively separated for ASC with a Other than Honorable discharge.
580	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the subject. Subject was administratively separated under Ch 14-12a for disrespect and disobeying orders. Subject received a general discharge.
581	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Acquitted					Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the Subject sexually assaulted her. Subject was acquitted of all charges and specifications.
582	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	Yes	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the Subject touched her inner thigh without her consent. The Subject was administratively separated under Chapter 14-12c for Abusive Sexual Contact.
583	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-1	Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Unknown	Notes: Civilian Subject. No jurisdiction.
584	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the subject. Subject was administratively separated for illegal use of drugs with a GEN discharge.
585	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	O-2	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim, a therapist, reported that Subject, her patient, sent her inappropriate texts, calling her personal phone, and trying to kiss her at the end of a session. GOMOR.
586	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No		02 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject sexually assaulted her while she was possibly drugged. No probable cause opinion by Judge advocate. Admin Sep for fraternization.
587	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that the subject had sexual intercourse with her while she was asleep. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. NUP for regulation violations.
588	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that Subject sexually assaulted her during the deployment. The two Soldiers subsequently got married. NUP for violating no contact order.
589	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her. Subject received a FG Article 15 for extramarital conduct. Punishment included reduction to E3. FF \$1,185 pay for two months suspended and extra duty for 45 days.
590	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No		04 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						General			Unknown	Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. Ad Sep.
591	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No		02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that Subject performed sexual acts on Victim after Victim fell asleep after a night of drinking. Charges preferred. Subject's Chap 10 request approved with Victim support - OTH.
592	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Uncharacterized			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged the subject inappropriately touched him. Subject was administratively separated under Ch 14-12b and received an Uncharacterized discharge.
593	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-1	Male					Administrative Discharge						General			Unknown	Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch by Subject, but Victim requested no adverse actions be taken. Admin Sep for this and other misconduct, general discharge.
594	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Multiple victims - Male	Army	E-4	Female	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Acquitted					Involved but not specified	Notes: Four Victims reported that female Subject groped their buttocks, groin, and inner thighs. PH complete. Referred NOV 21 at a GCM on 14 Jun 22. Subject was acquitted of all charges and specifications.
595	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Unknown	Notes: Victim reported that Subject co-worker rubbed his clothed groin against her clothed buttocks while she was working in the DFAC kitchen. Charges preferred. Victim supported Chap 10.
596	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		False official statements (Art. 107)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that two years ago, Subject had sex with Victim when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. No probable cause opinion by Judge Advocate. NUP for false official statement and bar to reenlistment.
597	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	DJIBOUTI	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other; Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. Flag on Subject and Counseling.
598	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Germany	Army	O-3	Female	Army	O-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges the subject slapped her buttocks and rubbed her feed without consent. Subject received a GOMOR for ASC.
599	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Unknown	Notes: Victim reported sexual assault by Unknown Subject.
600	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject sexually assaulted her by throwing her into a window and placing his hands around her throat while performing unwanted sexual acts. Subject was administratively separated under Chapter 14-12 for sexual assault. Subject received an OTH.
601	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged Subject pushed his groin against hers and exposed his penis without her consent. NUP. Admin Sep.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																Administrative Actions							
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note	
602	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Fraternization (Art. 134-23)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim subordinate reported that Subject NCO engaged in sexual acts with her using his rank as pressure. Insufficient evidence of sexual assault. NJP and Admin Sep for fraternization.
603	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-1	Female	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched their penis and buttocks CM charges were preferred for Abusive Sexual Contact (120). Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 10 and it was approved by the convening authority with an OTH.
604	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Cadet/Midshipman	Female	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System. Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the subject. No PC for Sexual assault but chain of command addressed underage drinking, sex in their barracks room through cadet disciplinary system.
605	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	Assault (Art. 128)	Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial							Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges subject sexually assaulted her, however does not remember the incident. Preferred charges for DV, Chapter 10 approved with OTH.
606	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported threesome when she was too intoxicated to consent. No pc. OCMOR for indecent acts.
607	Rape (Art. 120)	UNKNOWN	Army	E-2	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.
608	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Unknown	Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. NJP.
609	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Accused sexually assaulted the victim in the barracks during an altercation by grabbing genital area. NJP. Admin Sep.
610	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	A Civilian/Foreign authority is Prosecuting Service Member									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged subject strangled her and attempted to sexually assault her off post. Arrest warrant was issue - Subject was released on bond pending indictment. Civilian took case/ subject ETD.
611	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted			Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 3; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject had sex with Victim when she was too intoxicated to consent after a night at a bar together. 2 years and DD.
612	Rape (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim's mother reported rape due to medical symptoms mother believed established sexual contact. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. Ad Sep with OTH and GOMOR.
613	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	Yes		Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						General			Unknown	Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. Ad Sep.
614	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that Subject touched her vaginal area over the clothing with his fingers while she was asleep. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. NJP for barracks rules violations.
615	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple victims - Male & Female	Army	E-5	Female	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: third party reported that female Subject groped two Male Victims at the club. NJP.
616	Unknown (NG Only)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						General			Involved but not specified	Notes: Subject and Victim were assigned in the same M113 during annual training June 2019. Victim stated while in the M113 Subject touched her sexually multiple times without her consent. Subject separated in lieu of trial under General conditions.
617	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted			Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged that subject sexually assaulted her. Acquitted of sexual assault. Convicted of sending lewd text messages to a person Subject believed to be a 14 year old girl. BCD and 12 months.
618	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Japan	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleged that Subject touched her naked buttock and inner thigh with his penis while they were camping together near a beach. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. GOMOR for violating of GO #1.
619	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged the SM groped her while on-post without her consent. NJP.
620	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the subject Subject received a GOMOR and a negative NODER.
621	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Kuwait	Army	E-4	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted			Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: No; Notes: two Male Victims reported that Subject Male Supervisor salpiped their buttocks, grabbed their genitals and hit their chests. Summary Court-Martial and Ad Sep.
622	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched his hip and buttocks area. Subject was administratively separated under Chapter 14-12c for ASC. General Discharge.
623	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victims alleged that Subject physically assaulted them and touched their buttocks and breasts. NJP and Ad Sep.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY													Administrative Actions				Case Synopsis Note					
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome		Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If Applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use
624	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched buttocks, pulled by waist during obstacle course. No probable cause opinion for sexual assault - counseling for professional behavior.
625	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 5; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject penetrated her vagina and committed sexual acts upon her without consent. Subject was found guilty at a General Court martial of 2 specifications of Article 120. He was sentenced to a Dishonorable Discharge and 5 years of confinement.
626	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		False official statements (Art. 107)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 3; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): Yes; Notes: Victim alleged that Subject made unwanted sexual contact with her when he slept over at her barracks room. SM received FG Art. 15 for Art. 107, 16 Feb 22. Forfeiture of \$916, extra duty for 45 days, restriction for 1 day, oral reprimand. Administrative separation under CH 14-12c. General discharge.
627	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Honorable		Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. Subject being administratively separated for failure to meet medical standards - unrelated to sexual assault.
628	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges the subject engaged in sexual activity with her while she was inebriated. Subject received a letter of concern.
629	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Member Retained		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the subject. Subject received an Article 15 for Extra martial conduct. Separation was initiated and SM was released.
630	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that subject touched her buttocks without consent. NJP
631	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by an unknown Subject.
632	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	KUWAIT	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged the Subject touched her breast and buttocks. FG Art 15 found guilty of Article 120 and was reduced to PV1. FT of pay: 30 days of extra duty and restriction. SM was separated with a General discharge for multiple SHARP violations.
633	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted			Assault (Art. 128)		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 5; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Multiple victims allege nonconsensual sexual encounters. Some victims not cooperating with prosecution. Convicted of non-sexual assault offense only. BDD and 60 days confinement.
634	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial.					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject raped her in a Red Roof Inn after meeting on Instagram. Charges drafted and preferred but Victim declined to cooperate with any prosecution. Ad Sep.
635	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Poland	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Acquitted				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual assault when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. Referred March 2022. At a GCM, the subject was acquitted of the charge.
636	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victims allege the subject touched their breast and thigh. Subject received a permanently filed GOMOR for abusive sexual contact.
637	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-5	Female	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported slap on buttocks by Subject. NJP.
638	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Poland	Army	E-4	Male	Army	O-2	Female	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges the subject used his buttocks to touch him in a sexual manner while he was asleep. Subject received a GOMOR for ASC. The GOMOR was suspended for 12 months.
639	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-5	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim alleges the subject struck him in the groin. Subject was issued a Battalion letter of concern.
640	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-7	Male	Army	E-6	Female	No	No	Unknown	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged subject grabbed his buttocks during pre-mobilization. FG Art 15 for 120. 12 Reduced to E5, suspended and written reprimand.
641	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted				Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 6; Confinement (Months): 10; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Multiple Victims reported sexual misconduct by Subject. Convicted and sentenced to 7 years confinement and DD.
642	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Unknown	Female	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Unknown	Notes: Victim reported that Female Civilian Contractor Subject groped Victim without consent and harassed Victim. No action by civilian authorities. Subject freed from Army employment.
643	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched his genitals. Subject received a FG article 15 for ASC and was found guilty Punishment of Reduction E1/FF/Extra Duty and restriction for 45 days.
644	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges she was sexually assaulted by the subject after drinking. Subject was administratively separated with an OTH.



7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																	Administrative Actions					
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
645	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other: Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her after drinking multiple different alcohols Subject received a relief for cause MDEER
646	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)				None		Unknown	Notes: Victims allege the Subject touched their buttocks and side of their body Subject received an article 15 for assault. He was found guilty and received oral reprimand.
647	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	O-1	Female	Army	O-1	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR): Notes: Victim alleges the Subject cupped her right breast and urged her to have sex with him by making sexually explicit comments. Subject received a GOMOR that was placed in his local file for 18 months for an inappropriate relationship and sexual harassment.
648a	Unknown	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-4	Female	Army	W-3	Male			Multiple Referrals	03 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Involved but not specified	Notes: During drill weekend, SM belonging to two aviation units were playing games and consuming alcohol. The Subject became intoxicated and touched Victim's buttocks/neck/hand and pressed his clothed body (crotch area) against the backside of the Victim. Subject was convicted in civilian court of harassment and was given a GOMOR in his record. Subject will be retiring and not be administratively separated.
648b	Unknown (NG Only)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	C-3	Male			Multiple Referrals	03 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR): Notes: During drill weekend, the Subject became intoxicated and made unwanted sexual advances and sexual contact with the Victim. Subject was convicted in civilian court of harassment and was given a GOMOR in his record. Subject will be retiring and not be administratively separated. Second Victim: During drill weekend, the Subject became intoxicated and made unwanted sexual contact and inappropriate sexual comments to the Victim. Subject was convicted in civilian court of sexual harassment and was given a GOMOR in his record. Subject will be retiring and not be administratively separated.
649	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)				None		Unknown	Notes: Victim reported the Subject touched her in a sexual manner w/o her consent. FG art 15 for violation of lawful order by creating unwanted sexual advances. Red to E1. 45 days of extra duty and 30 days of restriction.
650	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male					Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (OTH)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that after a night of drinking together, Subject touched her thigh and buttocks without consent in the car after she said no. NUP and Admin Sep.
651	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	N/A	Foreign National	Female	Army	O-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR): Notes: Victim reported that at a unit function hosted by Subject, Subject grabbed his hands against Victim's hips and the side of her buttocks, and said something to the effect of "atta girl". Investigation revealed other evidence of toxic leadership GOMOR and relief.
652	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	O-3	Female	Army	O-3	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR): Notes: Victim alleged that SM touched her body in a sexual manner without her consent inside her barracks room. GOMOR. Officer elimination action.
653	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (OTH)			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject raped and strangled her Subject was administratively separated for illegal drug use and assault with an OTH
654	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					None		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged subject touched her buttocks Subject received FG article 15 for ASC and indecent exposure. Punishment included reduction to E1, FF SBR2 for two months; extra duty for 45 days; restriction for 45 days; and written reprimand.
655	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged subject touched his buttocks Subject received a FG article 15 for ASC (120) and was reduced to E1. Subject was administratively separated and received an UNCHAR discharge (frame).
656	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-3	Female	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					None		Unknown	Notes: Victim reported subject slapped her on the buttocks over her clothing with out her consent FG Art 15 for 120, 128, and 92. No on 120 but guilty of multiple Article 128. Reduction to E1. FF suspended; extra duty and restriction for 30 days.
657	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	GUAM	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject had sexual intercourse without her consent and assaulted her in the act. Subject was intoxicated when the offense occurred. Charges preferred August 2021 and referred. After referral, charges dismissed after Victim ceased participating and requested that Subject be administratively separated.
658	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Unknown	Unknown	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR): Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. GOMOR.
659	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched his buttocks while he was sleeping. Charges were preferred against the subject for Abusive Sexual Contact (120). The subject submitted a CH 10 and it was approved with an OTH.
660	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR): Notes: Victim alleges the subject entered her house by kicking the door and raped her Subject received a GOMOR for an inappropriate relationship, assault and preventing her from calling law enforcement.
661	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Member Retained		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her in his barracks room. An administrative separation was initiated for the offense of Sexual Assault. The board retained the subject on 31 Mar 22.
662	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-3	Female	No	No		02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					None		Unknown	Notes: Two Male Victims reported that Female Subject touched them in a sexual manner without consent. NUP

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY														Administrative Actions				Case Synopsis Note						
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If applicable		Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use		
663	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Cadet/Midshipman	Female	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System. Notes: Victim alleges the subject pulled her pants down and performed sexual acts on subject was referred to the Cadet Formal Misconduct Hearing and he submitted a Qualified resignation in lieu of the hearing. The Supt. approved his request and he was separated with a General discharge.	
664	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Male	Army	O-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action										Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleged that Subject swiped his buttocks and genitals in the motorpool with his hand. Received permanently filed GOMOR pending officer elimination initiation.
665	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	04 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)				Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject touched his buttocks and penis over the clothing Chapter 14-12c; OTH.
666	Rape (Art. 120)	United States	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)			Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial						Unknown	Notes: SUB had dinner with victim and then sexually assaulted her when she would not welcome his sexual advances. SUB also sent nude photos of a separate victim to his friends prior to commencement of trial. Subject committed suicide.
667	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)				None				Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her buttocks with his hand. Subject received an Article 15 for Assault (128). Found guilty and given a FF of \$150 and an oral reprimand.
668	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					General				Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleges the subject ran his finger down her clothed breast. Subject received a FG article 15 for ASD. Found guilty; punishment included forfeiture of \$458 and an oral reprimand. Admin sep-Gen discharge, same misconduct.
669	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General				Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the Subject. Subject was administratively separated for misconduct-abuse of illegal drugs.
670	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)				Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged the Subject pulled down her pants and performed oral sex on her without consent, while in the Subjects barracks room. Victim and Subject had prior consensual sexual relationship. After referral, Victim supported Chap 10 discharge for Subject.
671	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Female	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Honorable				Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the subject. Subject separated for other designated physical or mental illness with an honorable discharge.
672	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	JORDAN	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)					Assault (Art. 128)				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges subject gave her alcohol and drove her to secluded area and after consensual activity did not stop when victim asked him to stop. Convicted of physical assault only, acquitted of sexual assault, 90 days and \$60.
673	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Assault (Art. 128)				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject penetrated her vulva when she was too intoxicated to consent. Also charged with physical abuse of teenage son. Sentenced only of physical abuse, BCL and 6 months.
674	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-1	Female	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					General				Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched his nipples. Subject received an Article 15 for abusive sexual contact. Found guilty. Punishment included Reduction to E1FF of \$916 Extra duty for 30 days GEN Discharge.
675	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action											Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Incident occurred at Camp Ashland, Nebraska, and was filed in 2018 as a restricted report. In 2019, the victim unrestricted the report, and CID began their investigation, as the suspect was an active component service member (name unknown to the WING OSJA). After an exceedingly lengthy CID investigation, in September 2021, the WING OSJA was notified by the investigator that probable cause of rape was substantiated against the perpetrator, and that the file was before the Fort Bliss SJA and command for further action. The WING OSJA reached out repeatedly to the Fort Bliss SJA for case updates, and none were provided. On 16 December 2021, the SVC indicated that the suspect's command issued him a GOMOR, permanently filed, and that the suspect ETS'd in November 2021. SVC also indicated that the victim has since ETS'd from the WING.
676	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)				General				Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleged subject sexually assaulted her at his quarters while she was passed out. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. NJP for adultery.
677	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					None				Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported that Subject made unwanted advances and touch. NJP.
678	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Jordan	Army	E-5	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Male			Unknown	Unknown	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National										Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by a foreign subject (Jordan).
679	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)					Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that she met Subject at a bar and they made plans to hang out. Victim went to Subject's home and agreed Subject would provide a massage. Victim reported that Subject then had sexual intercourse with her without consent. DD and 5 years.
680a	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)				None				Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject inappropriately touched him. Subject received a CG Article 15 for assault. Punishment included FF \$419, suspended; extra duty and restriction for 14 days; and an oral reprimand.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																	Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type		Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	
680b	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 14; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 14; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No.
681	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					General			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No.
682	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No.
683	Rape (Art. 120)	United States	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)							Yes	Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No.
684a	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No.
684b	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Unknown	Unknown	Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National										Notes: Civilian Subject. Unfounded.
684c	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Unknown	Unknown	Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National										Notes: Civilian Subject. No jurisdiction. Unfounded by civilian authorities.
685	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 62)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No.
686	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Involved but not specified	Notes: Male Victim reported that Subject NCO assaulted him in his barracks room where they were drinking and watching movies. Charges dismissed after PHO found no probable cause. Admin Sep for underlying fraternization.
687	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army		Male				03 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge										Notes: A review of historical records shows that a 15-6 investigation was conducted into the handling of this report. There are indications that it was reported to civilian law enforcement; however there are no records of the decision by prosecuting authorities. As a result of this investigation the subject received a GOMOR permanently filed and was notified of administrative separation (OHR) - command accepted a conditional waiver for general discharge. Victim is no longer using services.
688	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		General Article Offense (Art. 134)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No.
689	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that she was sexually assaulted at a house party. Acquitted of all charges at GCM.
690a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male					Offender is Unknown										Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.
690b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Female					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that three years ago, Subject had sexual contact with her when she was too intoxicated to consent. Report made after Subject had been administratively separated from the Army. No jurisdiction.
690c	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-2	Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that three years ago, Subject sexually assaulted her. Report made after Subject had been administratively separated from the Army. No jurisdiction.
691	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 10; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No.
692	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	DJIBOUTI	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No		01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No.
693	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	No Action Taken										Notes: Victim reported to National Guard State SARC on 04JUN2020 that they were sexually assaulted while on a bus to mission location on 03JUN2020 by a Unit Member. Case referred to Local Law enforcement. Local District Attorney declined to prosecute. The Adjutant General (TAG) requested NCB Office of Complex Investigations (OCI) support for investigation. OCI substantiated claims. TAG convened Administrative Separation Board (ASB) held on 04FEB2022. ASB found no basis to separate.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY															Administrative Actions				Case Synopsis Note				
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted		Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	
694	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No		02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim, wife of Soldier, reported that Subject had sexual intercourse with Victim at her home after a party. PH complete NOV 21. Docked for trial on 6 Jul 22.Charges preferred for Sexual assault. At a GCM, subject pled guilty to the lesser included offenses of Assault. Punishment included reduction to E1; a bad conduct discharge, 360 days of confinement and a reprimand.
695	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact.Subject was separated for use of illegal drugs.
696	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 3; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleges that Subject sexually assaulted victim in his barracks room. Convicted of non-SA charges only. BCD and 90 days.
697	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-8	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges subject sexually assaulted her Subject received a permanently filed GOMOR for fraternization and sexual assault. Subject has had an administrative separation initiated.
698	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Misconduct as a prisoner (Art. 105)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject assaulted her Subject received a FG Article 15 for possessing another persons military card with intent to deceive (105a).
699	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges that after a night of drinking with co-workers that she was sexually assaulted by the subject.Subject was issued a written letter of reprimand.
700	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Notes: Second Victim reported unwanted touch three months ago. First Victim reported sexual intercourse. PH found no probable cause.
701	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges that Subject grabbed her wrist to take her phone. Altercation took place on a hotel hallway. The alleged victim's roommate reported she witnessed subject providing drinks to victim and kept finding him in the bedroom and bathroom with her. NJP for assault.
702	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances. Counseling.
703	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	E-2	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Male					Offender is Unknown									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.
704	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United States	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported an unwanted touch and inappropriate comments. GOMOR and Bar to Reenlistment.
705	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges Subject, his roommate, grabbed his buttocks and recorded his exposed genitals. No PC for Indecent Recording. PC for ASC. NJP and Admin Sep.
706	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)			Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 3; Confinement (Days): 10; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that she and Subject NCO went downtown to consume alcohol together and that when he brought her back to her barracks, he performed sexual acts on her despite her protests. Subject pled guilty to one specification of Article 92 (fraternization) and received 100 days of confinement and a BCD.
707	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Cadet/Midshipman	Female	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System; Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by subject Subject was separated after a misconduct board and was discharged with a General discharge.
708	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Acquitted					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim, 16, reported that Subject touched her breasts on multiple occasions and had sexual contact with Victim. Referred in NOV 2021At a GCM, the Subject was acquitted of all charges.
709	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim Alleged Subject raped her while she was unconscious. No probable cause opinion. NJP and Ad Sep for adultery.
710	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Acquittal					Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that the subject touched her groin area without consent. FG art 15 found NG.
711	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	CUBA	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the Subject Subject received an Article 15 for violating a regulation (unprofessional relationship) and making a false statement.Punishment was a written reprimand.
712	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-6	Male	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched his buttocks Subject received a Brigade Letter of Reprimand for assault.
713	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 3; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleges she met the subject on Tinder and went on a date. After the date, they went back to the apartment where the subject sexually assaulted her after she specifically said no.At a GCM the Subject pled guilty to one specification of Sexual assault. Punishment included confinement for 15 months and a dishonorable discharge.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																Administrative Actions								
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If Applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note		
714	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UAE	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Burglary (Art. 129)				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim blacked out after drinking heavily. When she woke up, she saw the subject in her room and he told her that she had thrown up, but nothing else had happened. The subject later told the victim that they had engaged in consensual oral and vaginal sex. A SAMFE found the subject's DNA in the victim's anus. GCM: Art. 86, 107A3, 120, 129A2, 131b: convicted pursuant to SP of 86, 107, 131b (NG Art. 120, 129), received 6 mo. confinement and BCD, 1 FEB 22 (*NOTE* Trial at Fort Sill, OK)	
715	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Subject (a single subject)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Survivor states that subject entered her bedroom uninvited and proceeded to initiate unwanted physical contact and groping. Survivor was awakened by touching and forced subject out of her room. Survivor filed her report with the JFHO SARC who notified her Company Commander. The Company Commander then notified local law enforcement (LLE) and they initiated an investigation into the allegations. An MPD was issued to the subject. The survivor willingly participated in the investigation. LLE enforcement delivered their findings to the prosecuting attorney who in turn chose to prosecute the case and charge the subject with Battery. The charge was plea down to an Assault with Attempt to Commit Violent Injury charge to which the subject plead guilty. The subject was fined \$657.50 (\$500 suspended), sentenced to 90 days in jail (85 suspended), required to complete 40 hours of public service, and placed on 2 years of supervised probation with the condition that he is not allowed to speak with or be within 100 ft of the survivor. Additional military administrative action was taken against the perpetrator as well. The subject was transferred to a different unit, accepted a voluntary reduction from E6 to E5, received a GOMOR, and received a referred NCODR.	
716	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported she was inappropriately touched while performing kitchen duties. NUP and Bar to reenlistment.
717	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General				Involved but not specified	Notes: Subject allegedly inappropriately touched victim on her buttocks. NUP and Admin Sep.
718	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-8	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim, subordinate, reported an ongoing relationship with Subject NCO, in which Victim expressed hesitation to engage in certain sexual acts. Insufficient evidence of sexual assault. GOMOR with grade determination board for fraternization.	
719	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her SM received a FG Article 15 for Article 92 (providing alcohol to victim who is under 21) and 107 (False official statement). Found guilty of both charges. Punishment included reduction to PFC, forfeiture of \$300.00 pay suspended until 4 Apr 22; extra duty for 10 days and an oral reprimand.
720	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)				Involved but not specified	Notes: Subject allegedly sexually assaulted a recruit by forcing his hand down the victim's shirt and pants while driving her to the airport for her departure for basic training. Before and after the assault, the subject made numerous sexual advances and tried to get the victim to allow him to perform sexual acts on her. NUP and Admin Sep. Unfounded by civilian authorities.
721	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-6	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Between January 2020 and February 2021, subject engaged in extramarital sexual conduct and sexual assault, undue familiarity and sexual advances with two recruits while working in the capacity as a recruiter. LLE declined to charge. UCMJ punishment imposed. Subject separated under general conditions.	
722	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None				Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the Subject touched her groin. Subject received an article 15 for ASC. Punishment included a Forfeiture of pay and allowances of \$916 for 1 month; extra duty for 7 days and an oral reprimand.
723	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-6	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her buttocks to humiliate her. Subject received a FG article 15 for ASC (found NG) and violating a general order for having alcohol (guilty). Punishment included Reduction to SP, FF of \$189, suspended pay and oral reprimand.
724	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges the Subject touched her inner thigh and hand. Subject received a FG article 15 for ASC. Subject was found guilty and punishment included reduction to E1 and FF of \$216 for two months.
725	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-1	Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National										Notes: Civilian Subject. No jurisdiction.	
726	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial							Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged Subject touched her breast and buttocks without her consent. Victim supported Chapter 10 discharge with OTH.
727	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-3	Female	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None				Unknown	Notes: Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances; Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No.
728	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	N/A	Foreign National	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances by Female Subject. GOMOR.	

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																Administrative Actions						
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject: Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
729	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	General		Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 3; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim reported that Subject co-worker touched her inner thigh, buttocks and breast without consent. Charges preferred a JAN 225 Subject plead guilty at a Summary CM for 3x128 (assault). The subject was sentenced to reduction to lowest enlisted grade of E1 and confinement for 30 days, per specification, to be served concurrently Subject was administratively separated for the same offenses with a GEN discharge.
730	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None	None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 14; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 14; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleged the subject touched their buttocks. Subject received a summarized article 15 for assault. Received 14 days of extra duty and restriction.
731	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Unknown & Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None	None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched their buttocks. Subject received an article 15 and was found guilty of all offenses to include ASC. Reduction Art. 1: Forfeiture of \$916.00 pay; extra duty for 45 days; suspended; restriction for 45 Days; suspended; oral reprimand.
732	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	O-2	Male	No	No		Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other. Notes: Allegation of sexual misconduct substantiated by investigation. Command action against the Subject: WQFR.
733	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	O-1	Female	Army	O-1	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleges that the Subject attempted to kiss her on the lips multiple times, touched her inner thigh and breast without consent. Subject received a permanently filed GOMOR for ASC.
734	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted	Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 93)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 60. Notes: Victim reported that Subject, her supervisor, made repeated sexual comments and unwanted advances and put his head in her clothed groin area while making a sexual comment. Acquitted of sexual assault, convicted of sexual harassment, reduction, hard labor, admin sep.	
735	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None	None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported bullying by Subject, fellow trainee, that included a slap on the buttocks. NJP.
736	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that she went to spend time with Subject in his barracks room and became heavily intoxicated. She reported that she fell asleep and awoke to Subject on top of her. She had no memory of what occurred, but Subject told her they "hooked up." Acquitted of all charges at GCM.
737	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Unknown	Administrative Discharge					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that Subject sexually assaulted Victim when she was not intoxicated to consent. Victim requested adverse administrative separation with OTH in lieu of prosecution.
738	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order of regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None	None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 14; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 14; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleged subject sexually assaulted him. Subject received a CG article 15 for violating a MPO and contacting the victim. Received 14 days of extra duty and 14 days of restriction; oral reprimand.
739	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United States	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-2	Male					Administrative Discharge					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)				Notes: Victim reported multiple unwanted advances and sexual harassment. Admin Sep with OTH.
740	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-9	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted	Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 93)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 6; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-7; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim alleged that subject inappropriately communicated and touched her. Additional charges of sexual abuse of step-daughter that were dismissed after arraignment. Convicted of maltreatment. 179 days confinement, reduction to R-7.	
741	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-5	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge					General			Unknown	Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. Admin Sep.
742	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Italy	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Acquittal					Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched him inappropriately. Subject received an article 15 for assault. Subject was acquitted of the charge.
743	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-4	Male	Yes	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 2; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the subject. Subject found guilty of SA at a GCM.	
744	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleges that after a night of drinking, the Subject groped her breasts over her clothes. Subject received a letter of reprimand.
745	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None	None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported unwanted slap on buttocks. NJP.
746	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Other. Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the Subject. Subject received a referred NCOER due to an inappropriate romantic relationship with the victim.
747	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the Subject. Subject was administratively separated due to violating the sexual harassment policy. Due to his trainee status, received an uncharacterized discharge.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																	Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note		
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type		Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use
748	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Indonesia	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleges the subject grabbed her subject received a FG Article 15 for assault and violating regulation Punishment included Reduction to E4 FF, extra duty for 45 days and a written reprimand Ad sep for all offenses.
749	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	O-4	Male	Army	O-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adversie Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleges that the subject touched his buttocks after a meetings. An unwanted "good game" tap. Subject received a permanently filed GOMOR for abusive sexual contact.
750	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Uncharacterized		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim was interviewed and stated he waited to be seated in dining facility. Subject approached him from behind and placed his M-4 carbine rifle against his buttocks in a sexual manner. Multiple canvass interviews were conducted which resulted in several additional victims and witnesses being identified. 5 Sept. 2019 PC Abusive Sexual Contact Subject received article 15 for abusive sexual contact. FF of pay and 45 days of extra duty Ad sep under chapter 5-11 (failure to meet procurement medical fitness standards) with an uncharacterized discharge.	
751	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	US	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that subject sexually assaulted her when she was incapacitated by alcohol. Charges preferred. Victim supported RILO with OTH.
752	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the Subject Subject received an article 15 for an inappropriate relationship with a recruit and extramarital conduct. Punishment included reduction to E5 and forfeiture of \$1,748 pay for two month suspension.	
753	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Egypt	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-8	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					Member Retained		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the subject Separation was initiated on the subject under Ch 14. At the administrative board, he was retained.	
754	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleged that Subject hugged her when she was crying. When he hugged her, he grabbed her buttocks without consent, and pressed his genitals against her. NJP, bar to reenlistment.	
755	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Unknown	Notes: Three Victims reported that Subject NCD sexually harassed them including unwanted touches. NJP and Admin Sep.	
756	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported that after a night of drinking (underage) during a consensual sexual encounter, Subject placed his finger in Victim's anus without consent. Victim did not want to go to court-martial given the otherwise consensual nature of the incident. NJP and Admin Sep.	
757	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Unknown	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. NJP.	
758	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)	Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 3; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim alleged subject sexually assaulted her and hit her in the face with a water bottle. DD and 2 years confinement at GCM.	
759	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army		Male	Yes			Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Involved but not specified	Notes: Survivor had been sexually assaulted by her older brother when she was 17 and he was 18. They had been watching a movie together when her older brother forced himself on her and put his penis in her mouth. The incident occurred prior to either of them entering the military. Survivor was referred to us for help by a unit member. The Survivor elected to do an unrestricted report and press charges. Local law enforcement in Pueblo West, Co was notified and an investigation was conducted. Investigation presented information gathered to the prosecutor. Subject had been charged and investigated a few years earlier regarding another sexual assault involving his 4 year old sister. Subject had supposedly completed treatment and the judgment withheld. The prosecutor determined that since the subject had been charged and investigated for the one issue with the youngest sister, they were not going to pursue prosecution for the case involving our survivor. A CID investigation was conducted in conjunction with the civilian investigation. During this investigation, CID was able to determine that the subject had lied on his enlistment paperwork regarding charges/investigations of sexual assault. Subject's command then used this information to discharge the subject from active duty military service for fraudulent enlistment.
760	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)	Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 13; Confinement (Months): 4; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim reported rape. Convicted. DD and 14 years confinement.	
761	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	O-1	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. NJP.	
762	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Unknown		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Member Retained		Involved but not specified	Notes: LLE investigation resulted in 5th Crim Sex (Gross Misd = MN) convicted of misdemeanor disorderly conduct charge in Minnesota. Collateral 15-B resulted in NJP rank reduction and removal from AGR program (FTMCO). No admin sep board initiated following civilian conviction based on other actions taken and the Soldier being in MEB process.	
763	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-7	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-8	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)	Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim alleges that the subject smack her buttocks and reached around her from behind while his genitals touched her buttocks through clothing. Referred to SCM Admin Sep with grade determination.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																	Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note		
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type		Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use
764	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes	Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 12; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleges the Subject placed a knife or sharp object against her body and forced her to engage in a sexual act. Subject was found guilty of Rape (120) and Sodomy (120). Subject was sentenced to a dishonorable discharge, reduction to E-1 and to be confined for 12 years.
765	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Jordan	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None		Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged that subject grabbed her buttocks, breasts, and groin during presexual goodbyes hug, & hacked into her BF's snapchat to view nude pictures of her GCM charged with 120: 117a and 2x 92. Found guilty of 2x 92. Reduction to E-3.
766	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	O-2	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim officer reported that Subject enlisted Soldier made a sexual comment and touched her buttocks at a unit function. NJP and Admin Sep.
767	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that SM rubbed his butt on his genital's, and kissed him without consent and that SM exposed his anus to victim. Subject's disposition was forwarded from Korea to Fort Stewart. Elected not to prefer charges and did a FG article 15. SM was found guilty. Punishment included reduction to E-4; FF of \$1,356 for 2 months suspended; extra duty for 45 days and an oral reprimand.
768	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that one year ago, Subject touched her breast without consent. NJP and Admin Sep for this, and additional, misconduct.
769	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: victim alleges the subject touched her inner thigh and attempted to hold her hand. Subject received a permanently filed GCMOR for Abusive sexual contact and prohibited activities with a recruit.
770	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple victims - Male	Army	E-1	Female	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim allege abusive sexual contact by the Subject. The Subject received a letter of reprimand and retaining prior to being sent to AIT.
771	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged the subject slapped his buttocks. Subject received a Summarized Article 15 for Assault and received an oral reprimand.
772	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the Subject touched his genital's. Subject was administratively separated for abusive sexual contact with a GED discharge.
773	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim's father alleged that several soldiers sexually assaulted the victim while she was incapacitated. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. Admin Sep for pattern of misconduct.
774	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Qatar	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges they were sexually assaulted by the subject. Subject received a FG Article 15 for Article 92a2 and Article 13a. Punishment included reduction to E-4; FF \$1,393 for 2 months; extra duty for 45 days; restriction for 45 days; and an oral reprimand. Subject also received a permanently filed GCMOR for SA and administrative separation.
775	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-6	Male					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported sexual assault by Unknown Subject
776	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		False official statements (Art. 107)	None		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 15; Notes: Victim states the Civilian subject sexually assaulted her at a hotel. CM charges were preferred for false statements ; sexual assault; assault; and extramarital conduct. Subject was found guilty of 1x107 at a GCM on 2 Mar 22. His punishment included reduction to E-1 and 15 days of hard labor.
777	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that she attended a house party with Subject and other, drank about 10 shots of liquor and at least 2 beers, and became heavily intoxicated. She does not remember ending up in Subject's room and she performed oral sex on him consensually then kissed again. She refused consent for further sexual activity, but awoke to Subject performing oral sex on her and penetrating her. Charges preferred but dismissed after additional evidence.
778	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-6	Female	Army	E-8	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Acquitted				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges Subject had sex with her without her consent after she had been drinking. Acquitted of all charges at GCM. Reprimand for fraternization and ad sep.
779	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched his buttocks and groin. Subject received a FG Article 15 for multiple counts of ASG. Punishment included reduction to E-4; FF of \$1414 for two months, suspended; 45 days of extra duty and an oral reprimand.
780	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Female	Army	O-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Honorable		Unknown	Notes: Victim (protected identity) alleges the Subject entered her room and raped her. Subject received a GCMOR for extramarital conduct and an officer elimination was initiated. Subject submitted a resignation in lieu of discharge that was approved with an Honorable discharge.
781	Rape (Art. 120)	AFGHANISTAN	Army	US Civilian	Male	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			Fraternization (Art. 134-23)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that suspect sexually assaulted her during IDP. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. NJP for frat. and Ad Sep.



7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY														Administrative Actions				Case Synopsis Note				
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If applicable		Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use
782	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 6; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that she awoke naked next to Subject after a night of heavy drinking in her barracks room and with discomfort in her vaginal area. <b>Penalty: 18 months DD.</b>
783	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her while she was sleeping. Subject was found NO at a GCM of all 120 offenses, but guilty of one specification of Article 92 for engaging in a wrongful intimate relationship. Subject was sentenced to be reduced to the grade of E-4; to forfeit \$1186 for two months and hard labor for 54 days.
784	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial				Unknown	Notes: Victims allege the subject touched their inner thighs and groin. CM charges were preferred for ASC. Subject submitted a Chapter 10 and it was approved with an OTH discharge.	
785	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Unknown	Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch and harassment, but declined to cooperate with prosecution. Action dismissed.
786	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the Subject subject was separated under Ch 14-12c for sexual harassment and abusive sexual contact with an UNCHARACTERIZED discharge.
787	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-7	Female	Army	O-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges the subject kissed her, pinned her to the bed and tried to take off her clothes while being told to stop multiple times. Subject received a permanently filed GOMOR.
788	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the Subject laid on top of her, strangled her, and attempted to rape her. Subject was administratively separated with a GEN discharge.
789	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Drunken or reckless operation (Art. 111)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported sexual assault while intoxicated. Insufficient evidence. Subject detained for underage drinking.
790	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the subject. Subject issued a letter of concern.
791	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the Subject touched his inner thigh and groin. Subject received an Article 15 for Abusive Sexual Contact. Punishment was Reduction to E-1.	
792	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Male	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched them inappropriately with a muzzle of a weapon. Subject was administratively separated for ASC. Uncharacterized discharge.
793	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No		01 (October-December)	Subject Died or Deserted								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Survivor reported that the sexual assault took place over the 8th weekend after both survivor and subject had both consumed alcohol. Assault was reported to LLE by the Company Commander. LLE spoke with the survivor but was unable to continue the investigation after the completed suicide of the subject 3 days after the initial report.
794	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that the Subject penetrated her with his penis without consent. After P4, Victim supported Chap 10 with OTH.
795	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial				Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her. Charges were preferred for 2 specifications of Article 120 on 24 Feb 22. Subject submitted a request for discharge in lieu of CM and it was approved with an OTH discharge.	
796	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched his penis. Subject received a FG Article 15 for Abusive Sexual Contact (120) and was found guilty of the Specification Punishment: Reduction to PVT (E1); Forfeiture of \$892 pay per month for 2 months; and extra duty for 45 days. Subject was administratively separated under Ch 14-12c for the same misconduct and received a General discharge.	
797	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	O-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTH)		Unknown	Notes: Victim reported an unwanted touch by Subject officer at unit function. General Officer NJP and officer elimination.
798	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject rubbed his crotch on her arm after chow formation. Subject was administratively separated under Chapter 11 for failure to adapt with an UNCHARACTERIZED discharge.
799	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assaulting or willfully disobeying superior commissioned officer (Art. 90)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her. Subject received a FG article 15 for violating a no contact order, fraternization and extramarital conduct. Subject was found guilty and received a reduction to E4 and extra duty for 45 days.
800	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Poland	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that she was inappropriately touched by a soldier in her unit. NJP and Admin Sep.
801	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the subject. Subject received an article 15 for an inappropria relationship. Punishment included reduction to E-4; FF of \$1,452 and a written reprimand.
802	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 6; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged that Subject penetrated her vagina with his finger while she was visiting her friend. DD and 18 months confinement.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY														Administrative Actions										
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note		
803	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Abusive Sexual Contact - SM touched victim's buttocks several times at a party, including after she told subject to stop NJP and Admin Sep.		
804	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	W-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges that while at a bar, the Subject touched her buttocks without her consent. The Subject was issued a letter of concern by the Brigade Commander.	
805	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)				Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Third party allegedly victim told them she was raped by the Subject while in his barracks room. Victim does not remember said encounter. Victim declined to cooperate with investigation. NJP for providing alcohol to minor. Admin Sep.	
806	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None				Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that subject inappropriately touched her buttocks without her consent. FG art 15, found NG of 120, G of 134 (Drunk and disorderly conduct). Red to E4, ff of \$1,414 both suspended until 8 Jan 22. 2nd reprimand.	
807	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General				Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that subject repeatedly touched her in a sexual manner after she told him "no." FG guilty of 2 spec of Art 120 (ASG). Red to PWD. FF \$1,027 for 2 months sus until 10 Jun 22; extra duty and restriction for 45 days. Admin sep under 14-17c.	
808	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-7	Female	Army	E-7	Male			Multiple Referrals	Q4 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)					Notes: OCI investigated and substantiated an allegation of sexual assault. The perpetrator was notified of the intent to separate with an Other Than Honorable characterization of service. The perpetrator elected to go before an administrative separation board. The board convened and after a 3-day hearing found the perpetrator guilty and recommended an Other Than Honorable characterization of service. TAG agreed with and approved the board's recommendations. The perpetrator was discharged accordingly on 02 August 2022.	
809	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Army	E-4	Female	Army	W-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges after drinking, the subject touched her private parts and began fondling her. Subject received a permanently filed GOMOR for fraternization.	
810	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial							Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges that Subject penetrated her vagina with his penis while she was asleep in her barracks room. Pending GCM charges. Charges were withdrawn and dismissed by the convening authority.
811	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Kuwait	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male					Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General				Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances. NJP and Admin Sep.	
812	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted	Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None				Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 14; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Second Victim reported that Subject groped her at an off post hotel during a party. Charges preferred. Pending PH. At a GCM was found guilty of 3 specifications of Article 92 (Failure obey a lawful regulation). SM was sentenced to confinement for 14 days and reduction to PFC (E3).	
813	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		False official statements (Art. 107)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None					Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that in 2010 the Subject exposed his genitals to her, then Subject pushed her onto a cot and committed sexual acts on her without her consent. No probable cause opinion. NJP for false official statement.
814	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None					Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported touch on buttocks. NJP and Bar to reinstatement.
815	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted							Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that she went to Subject's house to discuss problems with her boyfriend and that she froze when Subject began sexual contact, but cried when he was finished and ran out of the house. Acquitted of all charges at GCM.
816	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Other Sexual Misconduct (Art. 120c)		Yes			Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 6; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged that she awoke to the Subject raping and groping her. SCM found guilty of Art 120c, and 128 (x2). Sentenced to Red to E1, 18 mths Confinement and a DD.
817	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes			Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject had sexual intercourse with Victim when she was too intoxicated to consent after they met online. Convicted 12 months BCD.
818	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-2	Male					Administrative Discharge					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)					Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. Admin Sep for pattern of misconduct.	
819	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Italy	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		False official statements (Art. 107)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None					Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched them inappropriately Subject received a FG Article 15 for 107x1. Punishment included Reduction to E3 (suspended), FF of \$1,217 for 2 months and extra duty for 45 days.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY														Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note						
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome		Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	
820	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 10; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances and multiple unwanted touches.	
821	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Poland	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Involved but not specified	Two Victims Convicted BCD and 180 days Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No Notes: Victim alleges that her breast were touched twice by subject while she was watching a movie. NJP.
822	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-8	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	None	Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 2; Confinement (Months): 6; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-7; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim reported that Subject NCO groped her while she was driving him as part of her duties. Court-martial conviction for ASC.	
823	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 6; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim alleges the subject put his mouth on his penis without consent. Convicted and sentenced to DD and 18 months.	
824	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			False official statements (Art. 107)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 1; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No Notes: SM received FG Art. 15 for Art. 107. 16 Feb 22. Forfeiture of \$916, extra duty for 45 days, restriction for 1 day, oral reprimand. General discharge under Ch 14-12c.	
825	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		False official statements (Art. 107)	General		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 90 Notes: Victim reported sexual assault while too intoxicated to consent. Acquitted of sexual assault. Convicted of false official statement. E4 reduction and hard labor.	
826	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-8	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 7; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: two civilian victims reported to civilian authorities that Subject assaulted them, one in 2019 and one in 2020. Civilians declined to proceed with the case, referred to GDM OCT 2021. A GCM on 10 December 2021 found the accused guilty of Sexual Assault and sentenced him to 7 years of confinement, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, reduction to the grade of E1 and a mandatory dishonorable discharge.	
827	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No Notes: Victim alleged that Subject touched her in a sexual manner without consent. NJP.	
828	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 14; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 14; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No Notes: SM allegedly slapped the buttocks of a male SM. NJP. Red to E3 suspended for 6mths. 14 restriction/14 extra duty and reprimand.	
829	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual assault. Charges preferred, and victim supported Chap 10 discharge after PH.	
830	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 6; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim alleged that Subject touched her in a sexual manner without her consent. Victim also believes subject performed sexual acts on her without her consent but has no specific memory. Plea deal for non-sexual assault. BCD and 6 months.	
831	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges that the Subject touched her buttocks without consent. Subject received a FG Article 15 for abusive sexual contact and drunk and disorderly. He was found guilty of both and received the following punishment: Reduction to E4F of \$1,393 pay for 2 months suspended Extra Duty for 45 days/Restriction for 45 days/oral reprimand.	
832	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Unknown & Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 4; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim alleged that Subject followed her into bar bathroom and attempted to have sex with her. Subject pled guilty at a GCM to Article 128(c) and was sentenced to 4 months of confinement, reduction to E1, and a Bad Conduct discharge.	
833	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported multiple unwanted touches and advances by Subject. Charges preferred and Chap 10 supported by Victim.	
834	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense			Fraternization (Art. 134-23)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleged the Subject had sex with her without her consent when she was intoxicated. Victim was in a consensual prohibited relationship with Subject. Insufficient evidence. NJP for fraternization.	
835	Unknown	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Male	Unknown		Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported sexual assault by Unknown Subject.	

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																	Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note				
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type		Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use		
836	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation: Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges subject touched her buttocks without her permission. Subject received FG Art 15 for ASC. Found guilty. Punishment included Reduction to PVT (E1); Ft of \$892 for two months suspended until 5 Jul 22; extra duty and restriction for 45 days; and a written reprimand. Administrative sep for ASC.		
837	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported threesome when she was too intoxicated to consent. No pc. GOMOR for incident acts.	
838	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense.		Assault (Art. 128)	Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial							Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched his inner thigh. Charges were preferred for Assault. Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 10 that was approved by the CG with an OTH discharge.
839	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched his buttocks. Subject underwent an administrative separation for ASC. Founded and separated with a General discharge.		
840	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No		01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that after a night of binge drinking at a Halloween party, Subject helped her back to a room and had sex with her when she was too intoxicated to consent. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. NJP for fraternization.	
841	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Unknown		Male					Offender is Unknown									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.	
842	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 1; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject took her hand and placed it on his penis forcefully while making inappropriate sexual comments. Convicted BCD and 30 days.
843	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances and touch. GOMOR.	
844	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-4	Female	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the subject. The subject was administratively separated (from the active side back to NO) under Chapter 14-12 for sexual harassment with a Gen discharge; subject was separated from AD basic training.	
845	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation: Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged the subject sexually assaulted her. Subject received a FG Art 15 an inappropriate relationship and dereliction of duty.	
846	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Navy	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)					Rape (Art. 120)		Yes		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 2; Confinement (Months): 3; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged that Subject penetrated her vulva with his fingers while she was asleep and made a recording of her private area without her consent. SM was found guilty of Art 120 and 120c; at a GCM on 16 Feb 22. Sentenced to 27 months confinement, FF of all pay and allowances for 2 years, reduction to E1 and a PG.
847	Rape (Art. 120)	Iraq	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported rape. Acquitted of all charges at GCM.
848	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	O-2	Female	Army	O-2	Male				02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)				Involved but not specified	Notes: On or about 22 July 2017, subject committed abusive sexual contact against victim. A memorandum of reprimand was issued by the VTABNG Land Component Commander and filed in subject's AMHR. A WOFR proceeding was initiated. A board was held and board recommended that subject be separated with OTH characterization of service. This was approved by CNGS. Subject separated under OTH conditions.
849	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-1	Male	Yes	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the Subject. Subject was administratively separated with an OTH discharge.	
850	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject forcefully kissed her and touched her buttocks. Subject was separated under Chapter 14-12c for Abusive Sexual Contact and received a General Discharge.	
851	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)									Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her. The charge was withdrawn and dismissed at trial.
852	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General				Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: Yes; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that she drank too much at a party and believed someone had engaged in sexual activity with her. Witnesses saw Subject enter victim's room that night along with others. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. NJP for entering room. Ad Sep.
853	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial				Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged subject touched her breasts over her clothing. Chapter 10 approved on 28 Jan 22 with victim support.
854a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted them. "Subject was going through a CM during this report" - subject was administratively separated with an OTH.	
854b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National										Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged the Subject sexually assaulted her SM ETDed.
855	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Iraq	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 93)				Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim subordinate reported that Subject NCO sexually harassed her and touched her without consent. Acquitted of sexual assaults, convicted of maltreatment. Reduced to E3 BCD.
856	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-1	Male	Yes	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the Subject. Subject was administratively separated for Sexual harassment and failure to obey orders with a GEN discharge.	
857	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)					Drunk or reckless operation (Art. 111)			Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: Yes; Hard Labor (Days): 30; Notes: Victim alleged the subject forced her into performing sexual acts without her consent and threatened to harm her. GCM found guilty of Drunk driving (111). Reduction to E3. FF \$500 for 3 months and 30 days of hard labor.	

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopsis Report: ARMY																	Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type		Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	
858	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Notes: The subject committed sexual acts upon victim without her consent in his barracks room while she was there to use his computer for required online training. Victim said she was overwhelmed by repeated requests for sex. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. Admin Sep with OTH supported by Victim.
859	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					None			Unknown	Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch and unwanted advances from co-worker. NIP. Bar to reenlistment.
860	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q1 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LDR). Notes: Victim reported that she spent the night on the couch of her neighbor, Subject's house, after a party and he sexually assaulted her. Reported to and investigated by civilian authorities with no outcome. GOMOR.
861	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-4	Female	No	No		Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Drunk on duty (Art. 112)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Involved but not specified	Notes: Male Victim reported that while he was on CO duty, a highly intoxicated female Subject approached him and propositioned him for sex, saying she was lonely. Victim refused and Subject grabbed his shirt, pulled him into her barracks room, unbuttoned and pulled down his pants and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis. Victim fled the room. After reporting, Victim declined to cooperate in any prosecution of Subject. Subject is no longer in the Army.
862	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Qatar	Air Force	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the Subject sexually assaulted her after she went to his tent and he made sexual advances to which she declined. The subject received an article 15 for disobeying a lawful general order (insubordination). Punishment included reduction to E-4, FF of \$1,393 for 2 months, suspended extra duty and restriction for 38 days, and an oral reprimand.
863	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)							Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 2; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim alleged that Subject directed her to go to his room to sign a counseling. Victim consumed alcohol, started to feel sick, and was sexually assaulted while incapacitated by alcohol. Subject is Victim Supervisor. Trial in March 2022. Subject found guilty of sexual assault (33) and a violation of a regulation. Subject was sentenced to 24 months of confinement, reduction to E-3, and a Dishonorable discharge.
864	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-7	Male	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Unknown	Notes: Victim reported sexual assault by Unknown Subject
865	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Unknown	Unknown	Female	Army	W-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LDR). Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the subject. Subject received a permanently filed GOMOR for sexual harassment and an inappropriate relationship.
866	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					None			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched and slapped their buttocks. Subject received a FG Article 15 for abusive sexual contact, assault and an FTR. Punishment included reduction to E1; FF of \$916; extra duty and restriction for 45 days.
867	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Poland	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Unknown	Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch and unwanted advances and harassment. NIP and Admin Sep.
868	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Involved but not specified	Notes: Accused allegedly sexually assaulted the victim in her barracks as she was incapacitated by alcohol. Charges preferred but dismissed after PH found no. Admin Sep for underlying misconduct.
869	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LDR). Notes: Victim alleged that Subject slapped her buttocks, and in another instance pushed her and held down her onto his lap. Filed LOR in AMHSR.
870	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject viewed her private area and sexually assaulted her. Subject was administratively separated for the offenses with a GENERAL discharge.
871	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	O-3	Female	Army	E-9	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense.		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)		Convicted		None			Unknown	Notes: Subject allegedly engaged in a illicit affair with a commissioned officer. Both parties were married. Subject is alleged to have use threat of killing the victim's CoC and spouse of the affair if she did not have sex with him. Trial set for April 2022 after Subject AWOL. At a SPCM MJA the Subject was found guilty of fraternization (Article 92), Deliberate concealment (104A) and Adultery (Article 134). The subject received 90 days of confinement.
872	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)							Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 6; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Victim reported that when she was too intoxicated to consent, Subject touched her vaginal area and tried to climb on top of her. BCD and 6 months.
873	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that Subject performed oral sex on Victim while Victim was asleep. Victim believes he was drugged after taking hits from Subject's vape pen after Victim invited Subject to his room to give Victim a back rub with aloe. Preferred SEP 21. Pending PH. At a GCM the subject was acquitted if the charges.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopsis Report: ARMY																Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note				
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted		Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	
874	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No		Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 93)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim, co-worker, reported that Subject sexually harassed her and other female members of the unit, starting at their bodies and licking his lips, blocking her passage into her barracks room and that Subject eventually had sex with her when she was highly intoxicated. Insufficient evidence to prosecute assault. NJP and Admin Sep with OTH for sexual harassment.	
875	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)				Article 15 Punishment Imposed	None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that Subject grabbed her buttocks on two separate occasions. NJP and Bar to reenlistment.	
876	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Unknown		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Notes: Civilian Subject. No jurisdiction.	
877	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	Yes	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the Subject touched her without consent under her clothes. Subject was administratively separated for ASC of multiple victims with an OTH.	
878	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Article 15 Punishment Imposed	General		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that the subject slapped her buttocks while at a local bar. NJP, Admin Sep.
879	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)					Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 3; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim (civilian) alleged Subject penetrated her anus during a initially consensual sexual encounter. Victim states she told the Subject to stop numerous times. DD and 3 years.
880	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Cadet/Midship man	Male	Army	O-2	Female						Offender is Unknown								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.
881	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-6			No		Q4 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Both Victim and Subject	Notes: The victim reported she was sexually assaulted by the reported perpetrator on or about April or May 2013. When the Command was notified of this case, the case was referred to the Delaware State Police. On 7 November 2018, the Delaware State Police was contacted by victim in reference to a sexual assault allegation against perpetrator. The alleged incident occurred in May 2013 during a drill weekend. After completing the investigation, a meeting occurred with the Delaware State Police and the Delaware Attorney General's Office. On 19 January 2019 it was decided that the State of Delaware would not be moving forward with an arrest or prosecution in regards to this alleged incident. The Delaware State Police closed the case as "Prosecution Declined." A legal review conducted did find probable cause for an offense under UCMJ for both sexual assault and non-sexual assault offense(s). The subject was processed for administrative separation on the basis of misconduct.	
882a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the Subject. Subject was administratively separated for two DUI's and failure to obey orders with a GEN discharge.	
882b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order of regulation (Art. 92)				Article 15 Punishment Imposed	None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched him inappropriately. Subject received an Article 15 for hazing and was found guilty. Reduction to E1, FF of \$916, suspended, extra duty and restriction for 45 days and an oral reprimand.
883	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	PUERTO RICO	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the Subject. Subject received a brigade letter of reprimand.
884	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	E-1	Male	Army	O-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Fraternization (Art. 134-23)				Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Male Victim alleged a sexual assault by Subject officer. Insufficient evidence of assault. General Officer NJP and Officer Elimination with OTH.
885	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	KUWAIT	DoD	US Civilian	Female	Army	O-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleged that subject grabbed her buttocks as they crossed street together. GOMOR filed permanently. Separation was initiated by Reserve unit.
886	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Article 15 Punishment Imposed	General		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged SM groped her under the shirt in his barracks room, after night of drinking. NJP.
887	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-5	Male	Army	E-6	Female	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Article 15 Punishment Imposed	None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged subject massaged his shoulders and gave him hugs after he asked her not to. Multiple victims (x3). FG Art 15 for 120, 92. Reduced to E5, suspended, written reprimand.
888	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Female					Other Adverse Administrative Action										Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim NCO reported unwanted touch by E3. Insufficient evidence. Counseling.
889	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged the subject touched her inappropriately. Subject was separated under Chapter 13 for multiple offenses. Gen discharge.	
890	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-6	Male					Offender is Unknown									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.
891	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense						Article 15 Punishment Imposed	None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject exposed his penis. Subject received a FG Article 15 for 120c (other sexual misconduct). Found guilty. FF of \$512.
892	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Convicted	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 2; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged that the Subject touched her buttocks and stole her underwear. BCD and 45 days.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																		Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note	
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender		Alcohol Use
893	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Germany	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 4; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that she was feeling depressed and asked Subject 1 come over and they consumed alcohol and had a physical confrontation then she fell asleep and woke up to Subject with his hands down her pants. At a GCM, Subject was found guilty of sexual assault and sentenced to 120 days of confinement and a dishonorable discharge.
894	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her breast with his arm. Subject received a FG Article 15 for ASC. The punishment included Red to E1, FF 8916 for two months, suspended, and extra duty for 45 days Ad sep for same offense.
895	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-6	Female	Army	E-8	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported that Subject, senior NCO, made derogatory and inappropriate sexual remarks about Female Soldiers and touched Victim on the waist. GCMOR for false leadership.
896	Rape (Art. 120)	Unknown	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-6	Male	Yes	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 5; Confinement (Months): 1; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject raped her. Subject was found guilty of sexual and domestic violence. Reduction to E-4; confinement for 3 years and 75 months (6 years 1 month) and a FD.
897	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Kuwait	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by subject. Subject received a letter of concern.
898	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victims alleges SM held her down and digitally penetrated her without consent. Chap 10 supported by Victim after PH.
899	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-6	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-6	Female	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges the Subject grabbed and squeeze their buttocks while hugging them from behind after being told not to. Subject was administrative reduced from the rank of SSG to SGT and received a permanently filed GCMOR for abusive sexual contact.
900	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges that subject touched her inappropriately. Subject received a permanently filed GCMOR on 29 October 2021 for assault.
901	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty (Days): Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances and touch, from fellow trainee N/P.
902	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	Yes	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the Subject. Subject was administratively separated from the Active component for misconduct with a GEN discharge.
903	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United States	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-4	Female			Other	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		False official statements (Art. 107)			Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 7; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleges that Subject touched his groin and penis without his consent. Placed in PTC on 22 Nov 21. Released from PTC 24 Nov. PTC at Ptero, 13 Dec to Military magistrate determined continued pretrial confinement is warranted on 15 Dec. Charges were preferred against the Subject for abusive sexual contact, disobeying lawful orders, distribution of drugs, false official statements, and conspiracy to distribute illegal drugs. At a GCM, the Subject was found guilty of Article 81 and Article 107. Punishment included confinement for 7 months; total forfeitures, reduction to E1 and a dishonorable discharge.
904	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	KOREA, REP OF	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty (Days): Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged the subject touched their inner thigh and buttocks. FG article 15 for 120 (ASC) and 128; guilty. Punishment was reduction to E2, restriction and extra duty for 45 days.
905	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty (Days): Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that subject touched her chest. Subject received a FG Article 15 for assault Red to E1; FF, extra duty 30 days; restriction 45 days.
906	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual assault. Insufficient evidence of assault. Admin Sep for inappropriate relationship.
907	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 6; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject, NCO in her unit, with whom she was engaged in a intimate relationship, came to her room and touched her breasts and vaginal area after she told him no. BCD and 6 months.
908	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 9; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim 1 alleged Subject rubbed his groin against her without her consent while wrestling. Victim 2 alleged Subject penetrated her vagina with his fingers without her consent. Convicted of non-SA BCD and 9 months.
909	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty (Days): Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim subordinate reported that Subject NCO slipped Victim on the buttocks in front of other Soldiers. N/P. Bar to reenlistment.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY															Administrative Actions				Case Synopsis Note			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted		Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use
910	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges that the subject sexually assaulted them. Subject received a permanently filed GOMOR and was administratively separated with a GEN discharge.
911	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge						General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged the subject touched his buttocks and shoulders. Subject was administratively separated with a GEN discharge.
912	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Wrongful use, possession, etc. of controlled substances (Art. 112a)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Unknown	Notes: Subject admitted to digitally penetrating victim's vulva while victim was asleep. NOTE: Case advised on and handled by Fort Bliss, TX MJ Office. SM received FG Art 15 for use of drugs. Red to E-3. FF \$1217 for 2 months suspended; 45 days of extra duty and restriction. Oral reprimand SM was separated under Ch 14-12c for Use of Illegal Drugs and ASC. Sep with 301.
913	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-6	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleges the Subject punched her breast. Subject received a letter of reprimand from the brigade commander.
914	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)				Assault (Art. 128)			Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 8; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged subject raped her during the course of a consensual, but violent, relationship. Acquitted of sexual assault charges, convicted of pointing a firearm at victim, 8 months and BCD.
915	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her at home. Subject was administratively separated for sexual assault with an OTH.
916	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Kuwait	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Female	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges Subject engaged in unwanted touching. Subject received an Article 15 for Article 92 (violating an order/Sexual Harassment). Punishment included reduction to PFC (E3) and FF of \$553.00 pay, suspended until 14 Jun 27.
917	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances with touch. Admin Sep.
918	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Japan	Air Force	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Involved but not specified	Notes: no victim alleged that one year ago Subject touched her buttocks and thigh without consent. Another victim alleged that Subject forced her to touch his genitals and touched her vagina over her clothes without consent. FG Article 15 punishment imposed with red. to E-4 and extra duty 45 days. Bar to reenlistment.
919	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	No Action Taken								Both Victim and Subject	Notes: After an evening of social drinking, the victim allowed the reported perpetrator to stay overnight at her home to avoid the perpetrator driving home drunk. The victim awoke to the reported perpetrator committing penetrative sexual assault with the victim multiple times that night without her consent. The victim reported the assault to local law enforcement, she stated she did not want a criminal investigation conducted and she was only filing the report to satisfy the requirement of the WING. Law enforcement forwarded the report to the district attorney for prosecution, they declined to prosecute due to victim not wanting to pursue criminal charges. Case referred to OCI where a thorough investigation took place, substantiating the sexual assault. The victim is an M Day soldier in the WING, the reported perpetrator is TSd from the WING while this matter was being investigated by OCI. At a later date, prior to the substantiation, the perpetrator accessed into the USAR. The substantiated report of investigation was sent to perpetrator's USAR command and legal team, and the Reserve command does not intend to pursue adverse administrative action against the perpetrator. Information relayed to SVC so that other remedies can be pursued.
920	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Iraq	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-4	Female	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleged subject poked him in buttocks with a pencil. Permanently filed GOMOR.
921	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that SM sexually assaulted her after a night of drinking in her room. Charges preferred, Victim supported Chap 10 OTH.
922	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleges a hostile work environment due to sexual harassment by the Subject. Subject received a brigade letter of reprimand.
923	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Unknown	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her. Subject received a Permanently filed GOMOR.
924	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that Subject, NCD, engaged in sexual contact with Victim when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. Article 120 charge dismissed after PH found no probable cause. NJP for fraternization and Admin Sep.
925	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LDC). Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the Subject. Subject received a letter of concern for Assault according to the 4833.
926	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other		Administrative Discharge						General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances and touch without consent. NJP for drinking underage followed by Admin Sep.
927	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Civilian Victim reported that Subject NCD groped her at a club while they were on a date. NJP.
928	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Two Victims reported sexual assault involving alcohol. At trial, military judge ruled evidence inadmissible. Government appealed to ACCA and lost. Charges dismissed and trial terminated.
929	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-9	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleges the subject placed his hand on their buttocks and held it for several minutes. Subject received a permanently filed GOMOR for ASC.
930	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Male	Army	O-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	04 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleges subject touched him inappropriately. SM Received a permanently filed GOMOR pending an initiation of an officer elimination.



7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY															Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note				
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If applicable		Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use
931	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch and sexual comment by fellow AIT student. NIP.
932	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject sexually assaulted her after a night of drinking while quarantined in the barracks. Acquitted of all charges at GCM.
933	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Unknown	Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances, harassment, and touch by NCO. Convicted and sentenced to BCD and 6 months.	
934	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. NIP and Admin Sep.
935	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject digitally penetrated her in his barracks room when she went to watch a movie. Charges preferred but dismissed after PH no pc.
936	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-5	Female	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victims allege that subject penetrated them with a thermometer. Subject was found NG of all charges.
937	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim wife reported that Subject husband pushed her against the wall in hotel and forced her to have sex. No probable cause opinion. Unfounded by civilians. NIP for article assault. Ad Sep.
938	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that SM touched her body in a sexual manner without consent. NIP and Admin Sep.
939	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Prep School Student	Female	Army	E-1	Male					Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual contact. Acquitted at GCM.
940	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that Subject NCO touched her sexually and sexually harassed her. Charges preferred and Victim supported Subject Chp 10 discharge.
941	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Other; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her breast, buttocks, and vaginal area. Subject received a FC Article 15 for ASC 120 and was found guilty on 10 Mar 22. Forfeiture of \$2,342 pay; extra duty for 45 days; restriction for 45 days and an oral reprimand.
942	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	United Kingdom	Army	E-1	Female	Unknown							Offender is Unknown									Notes: Victim reported sexual assault by Unknown Subject
943	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject punched him in the groin area. NIP and reprimand and Bar to reenlistment.
944	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Honduras	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances, sexual harassment and unwanted touch by Subject NCO. NIP and Admin Sep.
945	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	KOSOVO	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No		04 (July-September)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Victim (single victim)	Notes: Subject and Victim were both on Title 10 and deployed at the time of incident (July 2020). Victim reported subject sexually assaulted her while on a walk. Case was originally restricted but became unrestricted a month later. CID investigated case. Case was with CID August 2020-1 May 2021 due to delays with forensics. LCC sent to BDE 13 July 2021 to initiate administrative separation. Subject waived right to board and signed notification of separation proceedings 9 July 2022. Received complete packet 9 July 2022. Unit notified 15 July 2022. TAG signed election of action 18 July 2022.
946	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	O-1	Male	No	No		03 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim wife of an enlisted Soldier reported that Subject officer groped her and came to her house while her husband was TDY to drink and socialize. GOMOR and Admin Sep.
947	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her in his barracks room. Subject received a Brigade letter of Concern.
948	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Involved but not specified	Notes: victim reports subj sexually assaulted by subject GCM charged with 120a2, found NG on both charges on 25 Feb 22.
949	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)	Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 1; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Multiple victims reported that the Subject NCO engaged in "nut-tapping", nipple twisting, chest hair pulling, name calling, and comments of a sexual nature. Convicted at Summary Court-Martial. Admin Sep.
950	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Fraternization (Art. 134-23)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject had sexual intercourse when Victim was not intoxicated to consent after a night of drinking at off post hotel. No pc for sexual assault. NIP and Admin Sep for frat.
951	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Involved but not specified	Notes: 3rd party alleged that Subject had taken advantage of the victim when she was drunk. Charges preferred but dismissed after PH found no pc.
952	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United States	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her inappropriately. Subject was administratively separated under 14-12c2 for use of drugs and received a General discharge.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY															Administrative Actions									
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note		
953	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	O-2	Female	Army	O-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial						Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim, friend and co-worker of Subject, reported that she went to Subject's home and he threatened her and sexually assaulted her. Initially reported to third party, who notified command. Four weeks prior to trial, Victim alleged a second sexual assault that occurred one week after the initial offense. Trial paused, with defense consent, for investigation of the additional allegation. Charges dismissed after second investigation completed.	
954	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	Yes	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)				Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her. Charges preferred on 27 Oct 21. Pending a new OTP as of 17 May 22. At a GCM, subject was found guilty of sexual assault and assault of a family member. Subject was sentenced to confinement for 4 years and 5 months, a dishonorable discharge, total forfeitures of all pay and allowances and reduction to E1.	
955	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-8	Male	Army	O-4	Female	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense.										Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim male subordinate reported that Subject female officer sexually harassed him and touched him inappropriately. Insufficient evidence of assault. GOMOR and Officer elimination.	
956	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	O-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleges the subject slapped them on the buttocks. Subject received a permanently filed GOMOR on 29 Mar 22.	
957	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported subject anally penetrated him following a barracks party. Acquitted of all charges at GCM.
958	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim alleged that the Subject touched her in a sexual manner while she was on the pull up bars and again during a bench press. Subject admitted to doing it as a joke, without sexual intent. NJP and Admin Sep.	
959	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)				Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		None	Yes		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged Subject placed the Subject's mouth over the victim's penis, without consent. Acquitted of sexual assault, convicted of placing hand on thigh. Reprimand.
960	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-8	Male	No	No		Q3 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Both Victim and Subject	Notes: On or about 3 August 2017 at Camp Ripley, MN, subject engaged in abusive sexual contact and harassed two subordinate Soldiers (E4s) which created a hostile, offensive, and intimidating work environment. Basis for separation found for subject under sexual assault as related to Art. 120, UCMJ, Cruelty and Maltreatment as related to Art. 93, UCMJ, Failure to Obey Order/Regulation as related to Art. 92, UCMJ, and general art. 134, UCMJ. Subject separated under OTH conditions.	
961	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)									Involved but not specified	Notes: The victim reported after a night of visiting several off post drinking establishments, she returned home to a party and continued to consume alcohol. The victim related she later awoke to the subject engaging in sexual acts with her, whereas she told him several times to get off of her but he continued the activity. Charged preferred for two specifications of Sexual Assault. At a GCM on 11 Aug 22, Subject was acquitted of all charge and specification.
962	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)							Yes		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that Subject, her "sometimes boyfriend" had sex with Victim after a breakup, then united inside her. Convicted and sentenced to one year BCD.
963	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual assault when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. No probable cause opinion. Ad Sep for pattern of misconduct.
964	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action										Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim was a recruit and the subject was her recruiter and is victim's step-father's brother. Before shipping to basic training, victim would visit subject's residence where he would touch her inappropriately on her breasts, inner thighs, and buttocks. GOMOR and Bar to Reenlistment. Civilian declined to prosecute.
965	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No. Notes: Victim reported slap on the buttocks in barracks during basic training. NJP.	
966	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General			Both Victim and Subject	Notes: The sexual assault incident took place during 2019 Annual Training (AT). Victim was sexually assaulted by the subject without consent. A year later, victim came forward and reported the IIR case. Jurisdiction was with Phoyens PD (CPD). CPD completed an in depth investigation to include a recorded phone interview between the victim and subject. CPD recommended felony charges to the Laramie DA's office. DA did not prosecute and dismissed the case. WYMD JAG's office reviewed the evidence, an administrative discharge bar from reenlistment was issued to the subject. The subject did not appeal the decision.	
967	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch and advances. NJP and Bar to Reenlistment.
968	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Conspiracy (Art. 80)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Unknown	Notes: Victim found a camera in her house that was unknowingly placed by the subject. Subject received a FG Article 15 for Article 80 (Attempt to make a recording). SM Found guilty.	
969	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Unknown	Notes: Victim reported that Subject touched her buttocks without consent. NJP and Admin Sep.	
970	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Kuwait	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-4	Unknown					Offender is Unknown										Notes: Victim reported sexual assault by Unknown Subject	

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																	Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type		Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	
971	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances and unwanted kiss. NJP.
972	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial						Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her when she was incapable of consenting due to intoxication. Charges were preferred against the subject for sexual assault. Due to later evidence that the victim was not intoxicated, the charges were withdrawn and dismissed.
973	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 15; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject grabbed her buttocks. Subject received a FG Article 15 for ASC. Found guilty with punishment of Red to E4; FF: Restriction for 15 days; extra duty for 30 days and oral reprimand.
974	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject kissed her without consent. Subject received a FG Article 15 for 128b2 and 92x1. Punishment included Reduction to E3; FF \$1,217 suspended; extra duty for 45 days and an oral reprimand.
975	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges subject touched her inner thigh multiple times. Subject was administratively separated for the use of illegal drugs with a general discharge.
976	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		False official statements (Art. 107)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject had sexual intercourse with Victim when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. No probable cause opinion. NJP for false official statement. Admin Sep.
977	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.
978	Rape (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown		Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted			Rape (Art. 120)	Yes		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported rape that occurred one year prior. DD and 140 months.
979	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victims reported Subject touched breast over the clothes without consent. NJP and bar to reenlistment.
980	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that Subject sexually assaulted her. Subject received two Art 15s, received FG Art 15 for Art 127a2 and Art 134 (extramarital conduct) on 3 Feb 22. FF \$458 for two months, suspended, extra duty for 45 days and oral reprimand. Other Article 15 for unrelated misconduct.
981	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that the subject touched his inner thigh inappropriately. FG art 15, not guilty of ASC, but guilty of intoxication with punishment of reduction in rank and placed on restriction.
982	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged the subject touched her buttocks. Subject received a FG article 15 for Article 107 and 120 (ASC). Punishment included Reduction to E4 and an oral reprimand.
983	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male					Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Unknown	Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch and unwanted advances by Subject NCO. NJP and Admin Sep.
984	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Acquittal						Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged the subject touched his anus. Subject received a FG article 15 and was acquitted of the charge.
985	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	CUBA	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): Yes; Notes: victim reported unwanted advances and unwanted touch. NJP.
986	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	South Korea	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None			Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 14; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged she woke to the Subject performing sex acts on her while at a motel off post. A witness stated he received a video call from victim and witness stated he observed her being naked under a blanket and could see Subject in the background without his shirt. Charges preferred. At a GCM was found guilty of 3 specifications of Article 92 (failure obey a lawful regulation). SM was sentenced to confinement for 14 days and reduction to PFC (E3).
987	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted			Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Yes		Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 8; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject penetrated her with his finger and penis. At a GCM, the Subject was found guilty of sexual assault. Punishment included confinement for 8 months; reduction to PVT (E1) and a DD.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopsis Report: ARMY															Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note						
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art 32 Hearing, if applicable		Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use		
988	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Two Cases. Victim #1 alleged that Subject touched Victim #1's buttocks and kissed her neck. Victim #2 alleged subject grabbed her hand and attempted to touch his penis with it, bit her ear, and squeezed her on the lower back. NJP and Admin Sep.	
989	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victims alleged that Subject touched their thighs and lower back on multiple occasions.FG Article 15 for Abusive sexual contact and assault. Subject was found guilty GEN discharge.	
990	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	US Civilian	Female	Unknown		Female					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National										Notes: Civilian Subject. No jurisdiction.	
991	Prosecuted by State Law (NG Only)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Unknown		Male				01 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Both Victim and Subject	Notes: The victim reported that while at a bar at a campground, she was sitting next to an unknown older male subject who was acting too friendly towards her. People told this male to leave her alone. Later, the victim reports "blacking out" or having no memories of the following events, despite not having drank that much alcohol; the unknown male subject took the victim outside, and then placed her into his golf cart and drove away. The victims friend, when she realized what was happening, ran outside to stop him and was unsuccessful. The victim was gone from the bar for an unknown amount of time, and then returned in the golf cart with her underwear sticking out of her pants, and looking disheveled. An investigation ensued, including a SAF exam, with no DNA evidence from the suspect being present on the victim. Video evidence and other evidence on scene was examined, and no charges were recommended. The Waupaca County District Attorney's Office reviewed the matter, and found there to not be enough evidence to charge a crime.	
992	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	General	Yes		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the subject off post. At a Summary CM, the subject plead guilty to one specification of abusive sexual contact. The sentence was reduction to PFC (E-3) and a forfeiture of half-months pay for one month. Subject is being administratively separated for the same misconduct.	
993	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action										Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleged that subject sexually assaulted her. Subject received a GCMOR that was locally filed for sexual assault.
994	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	CUBA	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General				Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subjects committed sexual acts upon them. Subject was separated under Chapter 14-12c with a General discharge.
995	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None				Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the Subject pinched her buttocks. Subject received a FG Article 15 for ASC and entering her barracks room unlawfully. Punishment included reduction to E1, extra duty for 45 days, and restriction for 45 days.
996	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None				Unknown	Notes: victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted them.FG Article 15 for fraternization (Article 92). Reduction to E4 suspended; extra duty for 30 days; restriction for 30 days and a written reprimand.
997	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None				Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted advance. NJP
998	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-6	Male	Army	E-6	Female	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None				Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Third party alleged subject straddled victim and said "I could see this happening"TG Art 15 for ASC. Reduced to E5, suspended; written reprimand. **Multiple allegations by multiple victims (3).
999	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances and touch. Admin Sep
1000	Rape (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	US Civilian	Female	Unknown		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National										Notes: Victim reported assault by Civilian Subject. Referred to Civilian authorities with no known outcome.	
1001	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No		01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None				Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged subject touched her upper hamstring where her buttocks begins on the seam of her pants, and he told her she should divorce her husband and marry him, while they were at a farewell party. Subject received an Art 15 for assault and an inappropriate relationship Red to ES-Suspended for 6 monthsFF of \$1852 for 2 monthsextra duty for 45 daysOral Reprimand.
1002	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-1	Male	No	No		02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None				Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. NJP
1003	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial							Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that after the subject agreed to sneak her on post, the two engaged in consensual sexual intercourse that became non-consensual after she requested he stop and he refused, causing her pain and injury. Charges preferred but dismissed after victim ceased participating.
1004	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None				Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged the SM touched him in a sexual manner on post without his consent. NJP
1005	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleged the subject touched her crotch and buttocks. Subject received a Battalion letter of concern for ASC.	

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY															Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note				
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If applicable		Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use
1006	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 3; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged that Subject NCO sexual assault her in her barracks rooms. Convicted and sentenced to DD and 3 years.
1007	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	CUBA	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male					Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None				Notes: Victim reported that Subject tried to kiss her. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. NUP for violation of COVID-related order to remain in room.
1008	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual assault when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. Trial delayed for RCM 706 sanity board. Trial set for SEP 22. After information came out about the credibility of the victim, she no longer wanted to participate and the government felt they did not have enough evidence to proceed to trial.Charges were dismissed without prejudice.
1009	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Iraq	Army	E-5	Female	Army	O-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes	Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: Dismissal; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 2; Confinement (Months): 6; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim NCO reported that Subject, officer, told her he was going to "motorboat" her at her promotion ceremony and that he did place his head between her clothed breasts and shake his head back and forth. Convicted 30 days and dismissal.
1010	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges that while watching a movie with the Subject, she attempted to leave and he grabbed her next and forcefully performed sexual acts upon her without her consent.Subject received a FG Article 15 for extramarital conduct. Punishment included Reduction to E1, FF of \$916, with \$500 suspended; extra duty for 45 days and an oral reprimand. Administratively separated with a GEN discharge for same misconduct.
1011	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-6	Female	Army	O-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject slapped her buttocks.Subject received a FG Article 15 for Abusive sexual contact and fraternization. Punishment included FF of \$2,716 for 2 months suspended; restriction for 60 days and a written admonishment. Subject was processed through an Officer Elimination for the same offense.
1012	Rape (Art. 120)	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim states the subject forced the victim to perform sexual acts after they met on Bumble and she invited him to her house. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. Admin Sep for underlying misconduct.
1013	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Unknown	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Notes: Victim potential recruit reported that Subject recruiter sent her inappropriate text message and touched her on the thigh. Insufficient evidence of assault. CONSIDER for inappropriate text messages.
1014	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Unknown	Notes: Victims allege the subject touched their penis and buttocks.Subject received a FG Article 15 and was found guilty of 120(ASC). Reduction to E1, FF \$916.2mths; 45 ED;Restriction, oral reprimand Admin sep for ASC-General discharge.
1015	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Unknown	Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. NUP and Bar to Reenlistment.
1016	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge					Uncharacterized			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged the subject touched his buttocks Subject received an administrative separation under Chapter 14-12c for abusive sexual contact with an Uncharacterized discharge.
1017	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Poland	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her breast. Subject received an article 15 for abusive sexual contact and assault. Found guilty of all offenses. Punishment was reduction to E4, FF of \$81,452 for 2 months; extra duty for 45 days; suspended; restriction for 45 days;suspended.
1018	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Multiple Referrals	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative Discharge					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)				Notes: Victim disclosed to her chain of command during a T10 mobilization in the Southwest Border Mission. The CID and local law enforcement declined to investigate so the FBI executed the investigation. Subject charged with Class 5 felony sexual abuse. Subject was sentenced to 60 days in jail, 4 days as time served and three-years probation. Subject separated UOTHC conditions.
1019	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the subject.The subject received a referred NCOER.
1020	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Unknown	Notes: Victim states the Subject entered her barracks room and touched her in a sexual manner while she was sleeping.
1021	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her breasts, ribs, buttocks and groin without consent Ad sep for ASC with an OTH.
1022	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Afghanistan	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-8	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the subject Subject received a referred/negative NCOER.
1023	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-4	Female	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Involved but not specified	Notes: Four Male Victims reported that female Subject groped their buttocks, groin, and inner thighs and performed sexual acts on one Victim. PI complete. Referred NOV 21 At a GCM on 14 Jun 22, subject was acquitted of all charges and specifications.
1024	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Notes: Victim reported rape one year ago to civilian authorities. Admin sep with no known outcome from civilians.

## 7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																		Administrative Actions						
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reasons Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note		
1025	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: SM is alleged to have sexually assaulted a female SM nine months earlier when she was too intoxicated to consent after a barracks party insufficient evidence to prosecute. NJP for underage drinking.	
1026	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)		Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)		Yes	Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 3; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged the subject committed a sexual act by penetrating her vagina with his penis. GCM conviction of one spec of Article 120. Red to E1, DD, 3 years confinement.		
1027	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Cadet/Midship man	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the Subject No PC for ASC, but PC for Assault Consummated by Battery. Due to victim's decision to participate, Subject was counseled.	
1028	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: No; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Art 15: FF of \$916 suspended; 45 days of extra duty and restriction and an oral reprimand.	
1029	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted	Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	None				Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim subordinate reported that Subject suggested he would affect her career if she did not have sex with him. Court-martial charges preferred in OCT 21. Subject was found guilty of Article 92 (failure to obey order) and 134 (drunkenness) at a GCM on 3 Mar 22. SM was acquitted of 93 (malreatment) and 120 (ASC) and sentenced to be reduced to E5.	
1030	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Jordan	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim allege subject touched her leg without consent. SM Received FG Art 15 for assault. Reduction to E-2, FF and oral reprimand.	
1031	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Yes		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 3; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Accused allegedly put victim's hand on his penis and kissed her without consent. Convicted 90 days confinement and BCD.		
1032	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged subject touched her over her clothes on the buttocks in show hall line. NJP	
1033	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-5	Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: Yes; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch of buttocks. NJP.	
1034	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						General			Unknown	Notes: Multiple victims allege the subject inappropriately touched them. Subject was administratively separated under Chapter 14-12c for abusive sexual contact and received a General discharge.	
1035	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)		Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Unnamed victim alleges indecent assault by the subject. The subject is a reservist. Subject received a permanently filed GOMOR and was separated from his component.	
1036	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported touch on her inner thigh. NJP	
1037	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	W-1	Female	Unknown		Male	No			04 (July-September)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National									Both Victim and Subject	Notes: Victim reported that Civilian Subject (no jurisdiction) touched victim on the buttocks. Referred to civilian authorities and employee disciplinary system.	
1038	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Unknown	Notes: Victim reported that Subject groped her thighs. Admin Sep with OTH.	
1039	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her at an AirbnB by penetrating her vagina with his penis. Charges were preferred for sexual assault. Subject submitted a Chapter 10 and it was approved with an OTH discharge.	
1040	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Germany	Army	E-4	Female	Army	W-2	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted them. Subject received a permanently filed GOMOR for an inappropriate relationship with a junior enlisted soldier.	
1041	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)				Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged the Subject touched her breasts and rubbed his groin against her thighs. NJP and Admin Sep.
1042	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-1	Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National										Notes: civilian subject, no jurisdiction.	
1043	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	O-3	Female	Army	W-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Yes		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: Dismissal; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 4; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged that Subject sexually assaulted her after returning from deployment. Convicted 18 months and dismissal.	
1044	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances and unwanted touch by NCO. NJP and Admin Sep.	

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																		Administrative Actions				
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
1045	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	O-1	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	O-1	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Multiple victims allege the subject touched their genitals Subject received a GDMOR for ASC.
1046	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the subject Subject was administratively separated under Chapter 14-12c for Sexual assault and received a GEN discharge.
1047	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No		03 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		All victims and subjects (multiple parties to the crime)	Notes: Victim reported to National Guard State SARC on 13JUL2020 that they were sexually assaulted on 01MAY2020 by two subjects. Victim was non duty status. Case referred to Local Law enforcement. Local District Attorney declined to prosecute. The Adjutant General requested NGB OCI support for investigation. OCI substantiated claims. Subjects failed to respond to Administrative Separation Board notification and were separated with an "Other Than Honorable" characterization of service effective.
1048a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No		03 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		All subjects (multiple subjects)	Notes: Victim reported to National Guard State SARC on 13JUL2020 that they were sexually assaulted on 01MAY2020 by two subjects. Victim was non duty status. Case referred to Local Law enforcement. Local District Attorney declined to prosecute. The Adjutant General requested NGB OCI support for investigation. OCI substantiated claims. Subjects failed to respond to Administrative Separation Board notification and were separated with an "Other Than Honorable" characterization of service.
1048b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: two Victims reported that Subject hit them in the buttocks with a ruler. GDMOR.
1049	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge						General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges subject sexually assaulted them Subject was administratively separated for sexual assault with a general discharge.
1050	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United States	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her breast. Subject received a field grade article 15 for ASC and using and distributing obscene materials. He was found guilty. Punishment included Reduction to E3; forfeiture of \$1,148.00 pay per month for 2 months.; extra duty for 45 days; oral reprimand.
1051	Rape (Art. 120)	Poland	N/A	Foreign National	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)			Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)	Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 65; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged the subject strangled and physically assaulted her while she passed her ID and 6 years.
1052	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Subject sexually assaulted Victim, and physically assaulted Victim by throwing a bottle at her. Trial set for April 2022 Charges withdrawn and dismissed on 10 Apr 22. Administrative separation pending.
1053	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Navy	O-2	Female	Army	O-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported unwanted advance. GDMOR.
1054	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)			Convicted		Rape (Art. 120)	Yes	Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 2; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 25; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her. Subject was found guilty at a GCM of Article 120 (Rape); Article 92 (violate order); and Article 128 (Assault). Subject was sentenced to 24 months and 25 days of confinement and a dishonorable discharge.
1055	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-3	Female	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that Subject, fellow trainee, touched Victim thigh. Counseling.
1056	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No		01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that Subject engaged in sexual acts when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. Victim supported Chap 10 discharge after PFI.
1057	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Involved but not specified	Notes: Third party reported that Subject attempted to sexually assault victim while she was incapacitated due to alcohol. NJP with unknown punishment.
1058	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-1	Male	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the Subject touched his genitals while they were in line. Later in the day he touched his buttocks without consent Subject was in entry level status and was administratively separated under Chapter 11 for entry level performance due to misconduct. Subject received an UNCHAR discharge.
1059	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that Subject touched her in a sexual manner without consent and made inappropriate comment. NJP.
1060	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order of regulation (Art. 92)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged the subject sexually assaulted her Subject received a FG Article 15 for having an inappropriate relationship with a Junior Soldier. Reduction to E5. FF \$1,636 for two months suspended. 30 days of extra duty and an oral reprimand.
1061a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged the subject sexually assaulted her by penetrating her vulva with his finger. Court martial charges were preferred for sexual assault against the subject. He submitted a Chapter 10 and it was approved by the CG on 17 March 2022 with an OTH.
1061b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that subject slapped her buttocks with his hand without her consent. SM was charged with 120 and 128. Found guilty of 128 only. Reduced to E3 suspended; extra duty and restriction for 30 days and an oral reprimand.
1062	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject approached him and placed his hand on his upper thigh and proceeded to move his hand towards his buttocks Subject received a FG Article 15 for ASC and violating an order. Subject's punishment was a FF of \$916 for 2 months; extra duty and restriction for 45 days. Admin sep for same offenses with a GEN discharge

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY														Administrative Actions								
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	Case Synopsis Note
1063	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation: Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her buttocks Subject received a FG Article 15 for ASC. Punishment included reduction to E2. FF of \$1,027 for 2 months suspended; extra duty and restriction for 45 days.
1064	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Unknown & Female & Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Multiple Victims alleged that Subject pressed his penis against her body, kissed another victim her without consent, and third Victim said that the Subject grabbed his penis Subject submitted a request for a Chapter 10. It was approved with an Other than Honorable Discharge.
1065	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	IRAQ	Army	E-4	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.
1066a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	IRAQ	Army	E-4	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.
1066b	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim wife reported DV that included sexual assault. Victim wife stopped cooperating with investigation and prosecution. Insufficient evidence Admin Sep for underlying misconduct.
1067	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation: Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject wrongfully touched her Subject received a FG Article 15 for ASC and was reduced to E5; FF suspended and 30 days of restriction Administrative Separation was initiated and board retained.
1068	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Trainee reported that fellow trainee made unwanted advances.
1069	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the subject.Charges preferred and a Chapter 10 was submitted by the subject and accepted. Victim agreed with COA.
1070	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	Q1 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order of regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that Subject touched her inappropriately. Rec FG Art for violations of Art 92 (a) including ETO. Found guilty on 19 Oct 21 and was reduced to E5, suspended, extra duty for 45 days and a written reprimand Administratively separated under Ch 14-12c with a General Discharge.
1071	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q4 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted			Assault (Art. 128)		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Contact Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 8; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged that subject vaginally penetrated her without her consent. Convicted of non-sexual assault offense only. BCD and 8 months.
1072	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim awake to the subject groping her breast and then he digitally penetrated her vagina. All charges dismissed with prejudice by the Military Judge.
1073	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	CUBA	Army	E-2	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Multiple Victims reported that Subject made unwanted advances and tried to kiss and touch them in a sexual manner in the barracks. NJP and Admin Sep.
1074	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order of regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation: Restriction Length (Days): 14; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 14; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges Subject assaulted her Subject received a CG article 15 for entering the barracks of the opposite sex, in violation of Art 92 (training). Punishment included Red to E2; FF of \$466 and 14 days extra duty and restriction.
1075	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Honduras	Army	E-5	Female	Army	W-3	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances and comments. GDMOR.
1076	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Non-Judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation: Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her Subject received FG article 15 for misuse of position and assault.Punishment included reduction to SGT (E5) .FF for two months; extra duty and restriction for 45 days, and an oral reprimand.
1077	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q1 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation: Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged the subject touched his penis and inner thigh Subject received a FG Article 15 for 120 (ASC) x3 and 134 (indecent conduct)x 4. subject was found guilty of all offenses and received punishment.Admin separation for multiple instances of SI and above offense. OTH procedure.
1078	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	Q2 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order of regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation: Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged the subject sexually assaulted her Subject received a FG Article 15 for an inappropriate relationship and extramarital conduct.
1079	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-2	Female	No	No	Unknown	Q4 (July-September)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: Soldier administratively separated under AR 635-200, Ch. 5-10 (EPTS) (Unchar)
1080	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	Q3 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her inner thigh and buttocks. Charges were preferred against the subject for 2ASC and 6 specs of maltreatment (93) Charges referred to SPCM on 16 Mar 22. Trial currently booked for 19-22 Jul 22 Subject submitted a Chapter 10 which was approved with an OTH.



7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY															Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note					
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If applicable		Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	
1081	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Fraternization (Art. 134-23)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim, who was in a consensual relationship with Subject at the time, reported after they broke up that Subject engaged in sexual acts with her once when she was asleep. No probable cause, NIP for fraternization.
1082	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	US	Army	E-2	Female	Unknown		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National										Notes: Civilian Subject. No jurisdiction.
1083	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged the Subject sexually assaulted her in his on-post barracks room. Insufficient 120 evidence, pending NIP for adultery.
1084	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-6	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: No; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted advance. NIP
1085	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Yes		Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 3; Confinement (Days): 5; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: victim alleges accused pulled down her pants, spread her buttocks, and took photos. Also alleges nonconsensual touching. Subject pled guilty to one specification of Abusive sexual contact. Received 96 days confinement; Reduction to E1 and a BCD.
1086	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	KOREA, REP OF	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)			Convicted		Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Yes		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 9; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged subject touched her in a sexual manner BCD and 9 months.
1087	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Other Sexual Misconduct		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim was on Title 32 orders for annual training. The subject made unwanted sexual contact with the victim. Case referred to local law enforcement, and they closed the case after the victim declined to cooperate. SCI declined case due to lack of jurisdiction. Subject was given NIP, reduced in rank to E5, and removed from the AGR program effective 31 August 2022.
1088	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						General			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged the subject touched her inappropriately. Subject was charged for communicating threats, underage drinking, and drunk on duty Gen discharge.
1089	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	O-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense						General			Unknown	Reverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: victim alleges the subject touched her inappropriately. Subject received a permanently filed GOMOR for an inappropriate relationship.
1090	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)			Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Yes		Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 8; Confinement (Days): 20; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleged subject sexually assaulted her Subject pled guilty at a GCM of ASC on 3 November 2021. 8 months and 20 days confinement, and a BCD.
1091	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Multiple Services	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)			Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 4; Confinement (Days): 4; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Subject committed acts of ASC towards multiple victims GCM for 120 (ASC) and 128 (Assault). Subject pled guilty to the LIOs of all Article 128 offenses and was found guilty. Subject sentenced to 135 days of confinement; reduction to E1 and a BCD.
1092	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the Subject touched her buttocks and breasts and assed her without consent. Subject was administratively separated with a GEN discharge.
1093	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. NIP
1094	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Unknown	Notes: Victims allege the subject choked them and wrongfully pulled off patches. Subject received a GO Article 15 for multiple specifications of Assault and was found guilty of all. reduction to E2/FF 916/oral reprimand OTH Board.
1095	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed		Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Subject grabbed Victim's breast while at a party. While on leave he allegedly raped another Victim at her house, no victims. One reported sex without consent on New Years Eve three months ago. Second reported Subject put his hand around her waist and nuzzled her neck. Sufficient evidence only of ASC. NIP and Admin Sep.
1096	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NJP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges rape by the subject. Subject received a FG article 15 for violating a lawful order. Punishment included reduction to E1; FF of \$892 pay for 2 months, extra duty 45 days and restriction for 45 days. Administrative separation for some misconduct. Gen discharge.
1097	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Article 15 Acquittal					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victims alleged that Subject grabbed their buttocks without their consent. NIP.
1098	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	O-3	Female	Army	E-9	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleged that Subject touched her inappropriately. Subject received a permanently filed GOMOR on 15 Nov 21 for assault.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY														Administrative Actions				Case Synopsis Note				
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If Applicable		Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use
1099	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject placed his hand under her shirt and squeezed her breast Subject was administratively separated under Chapter 14-12c for ASC with an OTH.
1100	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)				Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged the Subject touched her inner thigh and sexually harasser her. NJP and Admin Sep.
1101	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject committed a sexual act by penetrating his anus with his penis. Subject received permanently filed GOMOR and OTH separation.
1102	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.
1103	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	United States	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)			Article 15 Acquittal			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the subject Subject was found not guilty of both charges, (assault and indecent language).
1104	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)				Convicted	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 9; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No.
1105	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-1	Male					Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)				Article 15 Punishment Imposed	General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. NJP and Admin Sep for pattern of misconduct.
1106	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Unknown		Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported rape by civilian subject. No jurisdiction. Referred to civilian authorities with no known outcome.
1107	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)				Article 15 Punishment Imposed	None		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported non-consensual touch. NJP
1108	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)				Article 15 Punishment Imposed	Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Two Cases. Victim #1 alleged that Subject touched Victim #1's buttocks and kissed her neck. Victim #2 alleged subject grabbed her hand and attempted to touch his penis with it, bit her ear, and squeezed her on the lower back. NJP and Admin Sep.
1109	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Notes: Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched them inappropriately Subject received a Brigade letter of reprimand.
1110	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-2	Female	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)				Article 15 Punishment Imposed	General		Subject (a single subject)	Notes: Victim alleges the Subject touched her waist and upper leg while they were at the MWR whirlpool. Subject received an Article 15 for abusive sexual contact and violating a regulation (sexual harassment). Punishment included reduction to E1 and forfeiture of \$916 pay per month for 2 months.
1111	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Cadet/Midshipman	Male	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System Action								Unknown	Notes: Adverse Administration Action Type: Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System. Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the subject Subject referred to a misconduct hearing. Subject submitted a qualified resignation in lieu of this MI. It was approved by DASA with a General Discharge.
1112	Rape (Art. 120)	Kuwait	Army	E-6	Male	Unknown	Unknown	Male				04 (July-September)	Offender is Unknown								Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject. After consultation with the Staff Judge Advocate the commander determined this case can be closed. Alleged incident occurred on active duty and the case was referred to CID. The victim did not participate in the investigation and it was suspended due to lack of information.
1113	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		General Article Offense (Art. 134)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed	None		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual assault when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. No probable cause opinion. NJP for non-SA offense.
1114a	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Female	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Indecent acts with another (Art. 134-29)			Article 15 Punishment Imposed	General		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by husband and wife Subjects. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. NJP for indecent acts. Admin Sep.
1114b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)				Convicted	Assault (Art. 128)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: No; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No.
1115	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)				Convicted	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Yes	Unknown	Notes: Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 10; Confinement (Days): 5; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No.
1116	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-1	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge						Uncharacterized		Unknown	Notes: Victim reported that Subject Private touched Victim buttocks. Uncharacterized discharge from BCT.
1117	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Female	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Honorable		Unknown	Notes: Victim reported Subject touched her in unwanted manner. Subject was undergoing admin sep for personality disorder.
1118	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that she went to the Subject's house to consume alcohol and talk, but the Subject began to caress her thigh and remove her clothing despite her asking him to stop. The Subject then raped her while she drifted in and out of consciousness. Victim expressed preference for administrative discharge, based on insufficient evidence to proceed to trial.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																	Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note		
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type		Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use
1119	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution				Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that Subject had sexual intercourse with her in her room after a night of drinking when she was too incapacitated to consent. Charges dismissed after RND found no probable cause.
1120	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No		03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None	Unknown	Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged Subject touched her inappropriately at work at the Dining Facility. NUP and bar to reenlistment.	
1121	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Female	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense						Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject made her exercise while undressed Subject received a locally filed LOR.		
1122	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted	Rape and Sexual Assault of a Child (Art. 120b)		Yes	Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 9; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No.	
1123	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	Yes	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the Subject Charges preferred to cm. Subject submitted a chapter 10 that was approved with an OTH.		
1124	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		None	Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the subject Subject received a FG article 15 for extramarital conduct. Reduction to E4 and extra duty for 30 days.		
1125	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	DoD	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (DOTH)	Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim, wife of another Soldier, alleged that Subject sexually assaulted her off-post and on the beach and in the barracks. No probable cause. Ad sep for DDI the night of incident.		
1126	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Yes	Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject sexually assaulted her after a unit party in the barracks when she was too intoxicated to consent. Positive urinalysis for meth. Convicted DD and 4 years.		
1127	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted	Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 93)		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her buttocks and lips with unlawful force. At a SPCM the Subject was convicted pursuant to his pleas of 2x93 (maltreatment). He was sentenced to confinement for 60 days and a BCD.		
1128	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	ITALY	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her buttocks CM Charges preferred for ASC and violation of general regulations. Subject submitted a Chapter 10. It was approved on 1 Apr 22 with an OTH.			
1129	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Australia	Army	E-2	Multiple Victims - Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial		Unknown	Notes: Two Victims reported that Subject touched their buttocks and inner thigh without consent while TDY. Charges preferred and with support of both Victims, Chap 10 discharge approved with OTH.			
1130	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General	Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault and assault. Insufficient evidence to prosecute. NUP for assault.		
1131	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GREECE	Army	E-4	Female	Army	W-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action						Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim subordinate reported that she engaged in an inappropriate relationship with Subject when they were TDY, and that after she tried to end it, he kissed her without consent. GOMOR.		
1132	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United States	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (DOTH)	Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the Subject touched her in a sexual manner under her clothing. Subject was administratively separated with an OTH.		
1133	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Administrative Discharge					Member Retained	Unknown	Notes: Victim reported that Subject, who worked with Victim in the dining facility, had sexual contact with Victim when she was too intoxicated to consent. Due to the victim ETSing and her declaration to participate, the prosecution decided to pursue an Ad sep. At a board, the member was retained.		
1134	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted	Assault (Art. 128)		Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged subject, her boyfriend, sexually assaulted her, committed domestic violence, and strangled her. SM found guilty of Art 128 (x17); 128B (x2); 125, 112a, 131, and 134. Sentenced to a dishonorable discharge, 120 months and 15 days of confinement, forfeiture of all pay and allowances.		
1135	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No		02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed		General	Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual assault when Victim was too intoxicated to consent. No probable cause opinion. NUP and Ad Sep for adultery.		
1136	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Cadet/Midship man	Female	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Acquitted			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject entered her barracks room and started kissing Victim. Subject then sexually assaulted Victim on bed as she attempted to push him off. Acquitted of all charges.		
1137	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-1	Male	No	No		03 (April-June)	Administrative Discharge					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (DOTH)	Involved but not specified	Notes: Multiple Victims reported that Subject touched them inappropriately at basic training. Admin Sep for misconduct.		
1138	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	South Korea	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National						Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged that Subject sexually assaulted in the barracks while she was intoxicated PC; gone but SM had ETS'ed and entered NC.		
1139	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Air Force	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male					Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National							Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual assault by Subject, ARNG Soldier not on Title 10 Status. No jurisdiction.	

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY																	Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note			
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type		Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	
1140	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim subordinate reported that Subject NCO made unwanted advances with unwanted touch. NUP and Admin Sep.	
1141	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges she was drinking with 1st Subject and blacked out. The victim alleges while she was intoxicated the Subject sexually assaulted her. Subject received a letter of reprimand.
1142	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 30; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleged that Subject took her hand and rubbed it on his penis in his vehicle. NUP and Bar to reenlistment.
1143	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleged Subject touched her buttocks over the clothing while she was asleep in her barracks room. NUP, Bar to reenlistment.
1144	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Fraternization (Art. 134-23)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that Subject had sexual intercourse with victim when victim was too intoxicated to consent. No probable cause opinion. NUP for underlying misconduct.
1145	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-5	Female	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-3; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges that he was at Subject's house when Subject ran her hand up the inside of his thigh and touched his groin. NUP and Admin Sp.
1146	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	O-1	Female	Army	O-3	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges abusive sexual contact by the subject when he grabbed her wrist and forcibly drew her in for a kiss. Subject received a permanently held GDMOR for ASC, sexual harassment, unwelcome sexual advances, and creating a hostile work environment.
1147	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the Subject strangled her, recorder her without permission, and committed sexual acts without her consent. Subject received a FG Art. 15 for Article 134 (extramarital conduct) and was found guilty on 31 October 2021. Punishment included Reduction to PVT (E1), forfeiture of \$892, extra duty for 30 days and an oral reprimand. SM submitted a <u>conditional waiver to his Chapter for a General Discharge</u> .
1148	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-6	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted						Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that Subject, a sexual assault response coordinator in RC, touched her buttocks when they were TDY together. Acquitted of all charges at GCM.
1149	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges the subject placed her hand on his penis over his clothing. NUP and Admin Sep.
1150	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	O-1	Female	Army	O-1	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim No. 1 alleged subject sexually assaulted her when she was drunk. Victim No. 2 alleges victim assaulted her by touching her buttocks. Subject was an ARMC Soldier on Title 10 orders at the time. PC for abusive sexual contact by slave on buttocks. Subject returned to ARMC for adverse admin action from NIC.
1151	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her. Subject received a FG Article 15 for fraternization and false official statement. Red to E4/FF suspended/Extra duty for 45 days.
1152	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	CUBA	Army	O-2	Female	Army	O-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Unknown	Notes: Victim subordinate reported that Subject superior officer slapped her on the buttocks with his hand while at a training exercise. General Officer NUP and grade determination board, for officer elimination.
1153	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	Evidence did not support a recommendation for prosecution					Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported that Subject groped her and tried to initiate sex with her when she was too intoxicated to consent in her barracks room. Charges preferred, but dismissed after PH for no pc.
1154	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-2	Male					Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)				Notes: Male Victim reported sexual assault by Subject. Insufficient evidence to prosecute - no probable cause. Female Victim separately reported sexual harassment - Admin Sep with OTH.	
1155	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted		Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Yes			Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 9; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her inappropriately CM charges were for 2 victims. SM found guilty at a GCM of two specifications of Abusive sexual contact (120). Punishment included Reduction to E1; forfeiture of all pay and allowances; confinement for 9 months and a BCD.
1156	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Honorable			Unknown	Notes: Victim alleged the subject, shoved a pill down her throat and committed a sexual act by penetrating her vulva with his penis. SM separated for Alcohol abuse with honorable discharge.
1157	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges she was sexually assaulted by the subject after she had too much to drink. Subject received a FG Article 15 for Article 86, 91 (disrespect), and 92 for undue familiarity with the victim. Punishment included Reduction to E4, extra duty for 30 days; restriction for 30 days (suspended).

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY													Administrative Actions				Case Synopsis Note					
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome		Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, if applicable	Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use
1158	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Concern (LOC); Notes: Victim alleges that the Subject inappropriately touched her inner thigh while at AIT. Subject received a letter of concern for ASC.
1159	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Army	W-3	Female	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown					Offender is Unknown									Involved but not specified Notes: Victim alleged sexual assault by Unknown Subject.
1160	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Multiple Victims - Male & Female	Army	E-5	Female	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					Under Other than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC)			Unknown Notes: Two Male Victims, subordinates, reported that Female Subject NCO grabbed and squeezed their testicles. NJP and Admin Sep.
1161	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-6	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No		03 (April-June)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Adultery (Art. 134-2)				None			Both Victim and Subject Notes: Victim reported subject sexually assaulted. Subject also sent victim inappropriate texts professing his love for her after making unwanted sexual advances. Sexual assault was found to be unsubstantiated by OCI. OCI report noted sufficient evidence of sexual harassment. Subject's commander imposed an Article 15 and memorandum of reprimand to be permanently filed. Subject's Article 15 was given for Violation of Article 134 - Extramarital Sexual Conduct, violating a lawful general regulation (paragraph 4-14 of AR 600-20) by fraternizing with the victim (violation of Article 92, PFCM), and violating a lawful general regulation (paragraph 7.7 of AR 600-20) by wrongfully sexually harassing the victim via text messages. Violation of Article 92, OCMJ. Both Subject and Victim are in the AGR program. Subject will REF RAD from the AGR program.
1162	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					General			Involved but not specified Notes: SM accused to have grabbed the genitals of another SM. SM invited victim back to his room where victim sat on couch and SM leaned over and asked if victim has ever had a penis in his mouth. NJP and Admin Sep.
1163	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)			Discharge or Resignation in lieu of Courts-Martial					Involved but not specified Notes: Victim reported unwanted groping. Charges preferred. Victims supported Chap 10 with OTH.
1164	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United States	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	Yes	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					None			Unknown Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. NJP
1165	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	E-4	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					General			Unknown Notes: Victim alleges the Subject touched his penis, lips and licked his face without consent. Subject received a FG Article 15 for multiple specifications of abusive sexual contact. Punishment included reduction to E-1; FT of \$916 for 2 months; extra duty and restriction for 45 days and an oral reprimand. Ad Sep for same offenses. FGN discharge.
1166	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No		01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					None			Involved but not specified Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. NJP and Bar to Reenlistment.
1167	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	N/A	US Civilian	Female	Army	C-2	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System Action									Unknown Adverse Administration Action Type: Cadet/Midshipman Disciplinary System. Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her while on leave. Referred to Formal MI on 8 Feb 22. Subject submitted a qualified resignation in lieu of the MI. DASA-MP approved OR on 9JUN2022, separating Subject with a GEN and Recoupment.
1168	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-3	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Cruelty and maltreatment (Art. 93)							Unknown Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her. Subject received a FG Article 15 for maltreatment and extramarital conduct. He was acquitted of all specs.
1169	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)					None			Unknown Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her buttocks, and inner thigh without consent. Subject received a FG article 15 for ASC (120) and Assault (120). Found guilty of both offenses and punishment was reduction to E1; FT of \$916 for 2 months; extra duty and restriction for 45 days.
1170	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	Yes		02 (January-March)	Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Involved but not specified Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR). Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch and then declined to cooperate with investigation. Subject GOMOR and admin sep for DUI.
1171	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Male	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Unknown Adverse Administration Action Type: Other. Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched him in the buttocks in formation with a broom. Subject was returned to the national guard early.
1172	Rape (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Medical	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Rape (Art. 120)					Convicted		Yes	Involved but not specified Courts-Martial discharge; DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 2; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 25; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: No; Hard Labor: No. Notes: Two victims, both reported that Subject had sexual contact with them when they were too intoxicated to consent. Preferred 15 NOV 21 Subject was found guilty at CCM of Article 120 (Rape), Article 92 (violate order), and Article 128 (Assault). Subject was sentenced to 24 months and 25 days of confinement and a dishonorable discharge.
1173	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Male	Unknown	Unknown	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)					None		Involved but not specified Notes: Subject subsequently waived his rights and admitted to touching victim on the buttocks with a rifle. NJP.
1174a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Male	Unknown	Unknown	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)						General		Involved but not specified Notes: Victim stated Subject sat on his chest and held his wrists down, Subject held his ankles, and pulled off his PT shorts. Victim stated once they removed his shoes and shorts, they walked away laughing and subsequently apologized to him. NJP and Admin Sep.

7. Unrestricted Report Sexual Assault Case Synopses

FY22 Service Member Sexual Assault Synopses Report: ARMY															Administrative Actions			Case Synopsis Note					
No.	Most Serious Sexual Assault Allegation Subject is Investigated For	Incident Location	Victim Affiliation	Victim Pay Grade	Victim Gender	Subject Affiliation	Subject Pay Grade	Subject Gender	Subject: Prior Investigation for Sex Assault?	Subject: Moral Waiver Accession?	Subject Referral Type	Quarter Disposition Completed	Case Disposition	Most Serious Sexual Assault Offense Charged	Most Serious Other Offense Charged	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Reason Charges Dismissed at Art. 32 Hearing, If applicable		Most Serious Offense Convicted	Administrative Discharge Type	Must Register as Sex Offender	Alcohol Use	
1174b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Poland	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None			Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges that subject, a trainee, smacked her on the buttocks 3 times and grabbed her buttocks. NUP.
1175	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-1	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Failure to obey order or regulation (Art. 92)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Uncharacterized			Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: No; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Installation; Restriction Length (Days): 14; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 14; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges the subject was making sexually explicit comments subject received a CG Article 15 for Sexual Harassment Reduction to E2-14/Uncharacterized discharge.
1176	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial						Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim reported sexual assault after a night of drinking together in the barracks. After referral victim supported Chap 10 discharge.
1177	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	Multiple Victims	Multiple Victims - Male	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Unknown	03 (April-June)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Convicted		Assault (Art. 128)	General		Unknown	Courts-Martial discharge: None; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 0; Confinement (Months): 2; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Multiple junior enlisted Soldier Victims reported that Subject their supervisor struck them on the genitals. Convicted of assault. 60 days and E4 with admin sep.	
1178	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	SOUTH KOREA	Army	E-3	Male	Army	E-4	Female	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Involved but not specified	Notes: Four Victims reported that female Subject groped their buttocks, groin, and inner thighs. PIH complete. Referred NOV 21. At a GCM on 14 Jun 22. Subject was acquitted of the charges.	
1179	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Administrative discharge for non-sexual assault offense						Member Retained		Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges sexual assault by the Subject Subject received a letter of reprimand and initiation of an administrative separation for an inappropriate relationship with a junior enlisted soldier Subject was retained.	
1180	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-7	Male					Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported an unwanted touch from Subject, with whom she was having an affair, after Subject's wife found out. GCMOR in AMMRB.	
1181	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	JAPAN	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	03 (April-June)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-5; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject, her supervisor, slapped her on the buttocks. NUP and Admin Sep.	
1182	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	United States	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim alleges the subject touched her breasts, thighs, and vagina without her consent Subject received (per victims request) a permanently filed GCMOR and an administrative separation.	
1183	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-7	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Discharge or Resignation in Lieu of Courts-Martial					Unknown	Notes: Victims allege subject touched their buttocks without consent. CM charges preferred for 3x120 and 1x128. Subject submitted a request for Ch 10 and was approved with an OTR on 6 Apr 22.	
1184	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-6	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			General		Involved but not specified	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-2; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 30; Hard Labor: No; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim alleges subject touched her inner thigh while exiting a vehicle. Victim alleges subject showed victim a sexually explicit video of subject. NUP and Admin Sep.	
1185	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. GCMOR.	
1186a	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Involved but not specified	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim reported unwanted touch. GCMOR.	
1186b	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action									Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Victim reported that two years ago, on New Year's Eve - Subject kissed. Counseling.	
1186c	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Non-Judicial Punishment	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Article 15 Punishment Imposed			None		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: Yes; Restriction Limit: Quarters; Restriction Length (Days): 45; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-4; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported unwanted advances and touch. NUP and Bar to Reenlistment.	
1186d	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	GERMANY	Army	E-4	Male	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	02 (January-March)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Unknown	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Counseling (LOC); Notes: Male Victim reported that Male Subject slapped him on the buttocks one year ago. Counseling.	
1186e	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-2	Female	Army	E-2	Male	No	No	Unknown	02 (January-March)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		Assault (Art. 128)	Article 15 Punishment Imposed			Uncharacterized		Unknown	Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Extra Duty: Yes; Extra Duty (Days): 45; Correctional Custody (NUP Only): No; Notes: Victim reported that Subject, fellow trainee, touched her buttocks. NUP for non-SA and uncharacterized discharge.	
1187	Rape (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-5	Female	Army	E-5	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Other Adverse Administrative Action								Both Victim and Subject	Adverse Administration Action Type: Letter of Reprimand (LOR); Notes: Victim and Subject attended the same university. Both Victim and Subject are in the ILARNG. Subject initiated sexual activity without the victim's consent. Victim filed a sexual assault report with the Title IX office of the university then filed an unrestricted report with the ILARNG. DoD decision was a GCMOR issued on 20210623.	
1188	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Unknown	01 (October-December)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Sexual Assault (Art. 120)		Convicted			Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Yes	Involved but not specified	Courts-Martial discharge: DD - Dishonorable Discharge; Confinement: Yes; Confinement Type: Less Than Life; Confinement (Years): 1; Confinement (Months): 0; Confinement (Days): 0; Forfeiture of Pay and Allowances: Yes; Fine: No; Restriction: No; Reduction in rank: Yes; Pay Grade Reduced To: E-1; Hard Labor: No; Notes: Victim, formerly in relationship with Subject, reported that Subject engaged in sexually activity with the victim while she was asleep on at least 3 occasions. Convicted of a single count of sexual assault and sentenced to DD and 1 year confinement.	
1189	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	UNITED STATES	Army	E-4	Female	Army	E-4	Male	No	No	Other	01 (October-December)	Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense		General Article Offense (Art. 134)	Article 15 Acquittal						Involved but not specified	Notes: Victim alleges the subject sexually assaulted her after drinking alcohol. Subject received an Article 15 for providing alcohol to a minor and was found NS.
1190	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	South Korea	Army	US Civilian	Female	Army	E-3	Male	No	No	Other	04 (July-September)	Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)		Acquitted					Unknown	Notes: Victim alleges the Subject touched her buttocks with his penis. One specification of Article 120 (ASG) referred to a SPOA. Trial scheduled for 15 Aug 22 on 16 Aug 22. Subject was acquitted of the charge and specification.	

8. Retaliation Case Synopses

No.	Program Responsible for Investigating Retaliation Allegation(s)	Nature of Allegations Investigated for the Reporter of Retaliation	UCMJ Criminal Act for a Retaliatory Purpose in Connection with an Alleged Sex-Related Offense	Reporter Type	Reporter Affiliation	Reporter Pay Grade	Reporter Gender	Retaliator Type	Retaliator Affiliation	Retaliator Pay Grade	Retaliator Gender	CMG or Command Action Regarding Alleged Retaliation Case	Findings of the Retaliation Investigation	Were the Results Provided to the Reporter?	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Narrative / Case Synopsis Notes
1	Army Chain of Command	Did the Commander withhold favorable actions from the victim due to her sexual assault report	N/A	Military	Army	E-1	Female	Military	Army	O-3	Male	Command initiated 15-6 investigation	Unsubstantiated	Yes, results provided to the reporter	Acquitted	SM felt the Commander was withholding privileges from her due to her sexual assault report. Case was unsubstantiated.
2	Army Chain of Command	Did the First Sergeant ostracize the victim after she requested an expedited transfer	N/A	Military	Army	E-4	Female	Military	Army	E-8	Female	Command initiated a 15-6 investigation	Unsubstantiated	Yes, results provided to the reporter	Acquitted	Victim felt ostracism by First Sergeant once she requested an expedited transfer. Case was unsubstantiated.
3	Army CID	UCMJ - Criminal Act for a Retaliatory Purpose in Connection with an Alleged Sex-related Offense	UCMJ Article 132	Military	Army	E-3	Female	Military	Army	E-1	Male	Command Action Regarding Alleged Retaliation Case	Allegations were substantiated	Yes, results provided to the reporter	CH14 Misconduct separation	Victim alleged the subject made disparaging remarks about her to classmates to sway their opinion of her after she reported the sexual offense. Case was substantiated.
4	Army Chain of Command	Victim felt ostracized after filing case.	N/A	Military	Army	E-5	Female	Military	Army	E-7	Female	Initiated a 15-6 Investigation	DD Form 2910-2 filed and entered into DSAID. Chain of command supported action.	Yes, results provided to the reporter	Acquitted	Survivor felt that after filing the case, individuals in the unit were treating her differently. Case was reported through NCIS instead of through normal Army channels.
5	Army IG	UCMJ - Criminal Act for a Retaliatory Purpose in Connection with an Alleged Sex-related Offense	General Article Offense Art. 134	Military	Army	E-4	Female	Military	Army	E-5	Female	Information referred to Command	Allegations unsubstantiated based on administrative investigations	Yes, results provided to the reporter	Acquitted	Victim alleges the Subject communicated a threat and abused her authority in retaliation. AR 15-6 Investigation found insufficient evidence.
6	Army Chain of Command	Victim felt she was flagged without reason after submitting report.	None	Military	Army	E-4	Female	Military	Army	O-3	Male	Briefed at CMG	Unknown to SARC	Yes, results provided to the reporter	Acquitted	Reporter was allowed to Transfer to another unit.
7	Army Chain of Command	Victim felt she was flagged without reason after submitting report.	None	Military	Army	E-4	Female	Military	Army	E-8	Male	Briefed at CMG	Unknown to SARC	Yes, results provided to the reporter	Acquitted	Reporter was allowed to Transfer to another unit.
8	Army Chain of Command	Allegation of Reprisal	N/A	Military	Army	E-4	Female	Military	Army	E-9	Male	15-6 investigation	Unsubstantiated	Yes, results provided to the reporter	Acquitted	SM failed the SGT promotion board and felt there was reprisal. Investigation was unsubstantiated.
9	Army IG	Soldier filed an IG report.		Military	Army	E-6	Female	Military	Army	O-3	Female	IG Investigation	Based on Army IG procedures not releasable outside of IG Channels, but informed that this case was unsubstantiated.	Yes, results provided to the reporter	Acquitted	IG has oversight of this case and unsubstantiated results were provided to reporter on 23 Mar 22.
10	Army Chain of Command	Submitting a negative PDR in retaliation to an alleged sex-related offense	N/A	Military	Army	C-2	Female	Military	Army	C-1	Male	Information referred to Command	Allegations unsubstantiated based on administrative investigation	Yes, results provided to the reporter	Acquitted	Victim filed a protected communications on/about 14 April 2021. Subject filed a "Not Qualified" periodic developmental review (PDR) on the reporter. His posted comments raise concerns that he filed this report in retaliation of her filing the protected communication. Case was unsubstantiated.
11	Army Chain of Command	UCMJ - Criminal Act for a Retaliatory Purpose in Connection with an Alleged Sex-related Offense	General Article Offense Art. 134 and Art 93 Cruelty and Maltreatment	Military	Army	E-4	Female	Military	Army	E-4	Male	Completed 15-6	Allegations unsubstantiated due to no one confirming statements.	Yes, results provided to the reporter	Acquitted	Victim alleges the subject was spreading rumors about her. AR 15-6 investigation was completed and there was insufficient evidence to prove the claim.

## Appendix A: Glossary of Acronyms

1SG - First Sergeant

24/7 - 24 hours a day, 7 days a week

ACCA - Army Court of Criminal Appeals

ACOM - Army Command (i.e., FORSCOM, TRADOC, AMC, and AFC)

AFC - U.S. Army Futures Command

AGR - Active Guard and Reserve

AIT - Advanced Individual Training

ALARACT - All Army Activities Message

ALERTS - Army Law Enforcement Reporting and Tracking System

AMC - U.S. Army Materiel Command

APF - Army Profession Forum

APS - Army People Strategy

APY - Academic Program Year

AR - Army Regulation

ARCENT - U.S. Army Central

ARCYBER - U.S. Army Cyber Command

ARD - Army Resilience Directorate

ARNG - Army National Guard

ARNORTH - U.S. Army North

ARSOUTH - U.S. Army South

ASA M&RA - Assistant Secretary of the Army for Manpower and Reserve Affairs

ASC - Abusive sexual contact

ASCC - Army Service Component Command (e.g., USARPAC, USAREUR, ARCENT)

ATEC - U.S. Army Test and Evaluation Command

AWC - Army War College

BCD - Bad Conduct Discharge

BCT - Basic Combat Training

BLC - Basic Leader Course

BOI - Board of Inquiry

BOLC - Basic Officer Leader Course

CAI - Combat Areas of Interest



CAT - Cohesion Assessment Team  
CATCH - Catch a Serial Offender Program  
CCIR - Commander's Critical Information Requirements  
CDC - Centers for Disease Control  
CEUs - Continuing Education Units  
CG - Commanding General  
CID - U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Command  
CMG - Case Management Group  
CNA - Center for Naval Analysis  
COL - Colonel (O6)  
CONUS - Continental United States  
CPO - Civilian Protective Order  
CQ - Charge of Quarters  
CSA - Chief of Staff of the Army  
CSM - Command Sergeant Major  
DA - Department of the Army  
DAIG - Department of the Army Inspector General  
DCAP - Defense Counsel Assistance Program  
DCS G-1 - Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel  
DFSC - Defense Forensic Science Center  
DoD - Department of Defense  
DoDIG - Department of Defense Inspector General  
DoJ - Department of Justice  
DRU - Direct Reporting Unit (e.g., MEDCOM, USMA, INSCOM)  
D-SAACP - Department of Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program  
DSAID - Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database  
DVVRP - Domestic Violence Victim Representation Program  
E1 - Private  
E4 - Specialist  
E5 - Sergeant  
E6 - Staff Sergeant  
E7 - Sergeant First Class  
E8 - Master Sergeant

E9 - Sergeant Major  
EEO - Equal Employment Opportunity  
EO - Equal Opportunity  
ETS - Expiration Term of Service  
EXORD - Execution Order  
FBI - Federal Bureau of Investigation  
FF - Forfeiture (of pay)  
FG - Field Grade (Article 15)  
FHIRC - Fort Hood Independent Review Committee  
FOB - Forward Operating Base  
FORSCOM - U.S. Army Forces Command  
FTR - Failure to Repair  
FY - Fiscal Year  
FYDP - Future Years Defense Program  
GCM - General Court-Martial  
GCMCA - General Court-Martial Convening Authority  
GO - General Officer  
GOMOR - General Officer Memorandum of Reprimand  
GOSCA - General Officer Show Cause Authority  
GTA - Graphic Training Aid  
HQDA - Headquarters, Department of the Army  
HRC - U.S. Army Human Resources Command  
HRRT - High-risk Response Team (HRRT)  
ICRS - Integrated Case Reporting System  
IDA - Institute for Defense Analyses  
IET - Initial Entry Training  
IG - Inspector General  
INSCOM - U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command  
IRC - DoD Independent Review Committee  
JAG - Judge Advocate General  
JAGC - Judge Advocate General's Corps  
JLWG - Junior Leader Working Group  
KBNT - Known but not titled

KSA - Knowledge, Skills, and Abilities  
LInX/D-DEX - Law Enforcement Information Exchange/DoD Data Exchange  
LAA - Legal Assistance Attorney  
LOD - Line of Duty  
LOR - Letter of Reprimand  
LTC - Lieutenant Colonel (O5)  
MAJ - Major (O4)  
MCIO - Military Criminal Investigative Organization  
MDW - Military District of Washington  
MEB - Medical Evaluation Board  
MEDCOM - U.S. Army Medical Command  
MJR - Military Justice Redesign  
MJRP - Military Justice Review Panel  
MOA - Memorandum of Agreement  
MoE - Measures of Effectiveness  
MOS - Military Occupational Specialty  
MOU - Memorandum of Understanding  
MP - Military Police  
MPO - Military Protective Order  
MTF - Military Treatment Facility  
NCIC - National Crime Information Center  
NCIS - Naval Criminal Investigative Service  
NCO - Non-commissioned Officer  
NCOER - Non-commissioned Officer Evaluation Report  
NDAA - National Defense Authorization Act  
NJP - Non-judicial Punishment  
NOVA - National Organization for Victim Assistance  
NSVRC - National Sexual Violence Resource Center  
O1 - Second Lieutenant/2LT  
O2 - First Lieutenant/1LT  
O4 - Major/MAJ  
O5 - Lieutenant Colonel/LTC  
O6 - Colonel/COL

OCONUS - Outside the Continental United States  
OER - Officer Evaluation Report  
OIP - Organizational Inspection Program  
OPMG - Office of the Provost Marshal General  
OSD - Office of the Secretary of Defense  
OSIE - Onsite Installation Evaluation  
OTH - Other Than Honorable (Discharge)  
OTJAG - Office of The Judge Advocate General  
PAMT - Prevention Activity Monitoring Tool  
PC - Probable cause  
PCS - Permanent Change of Station  
PEB - Physical Evaluation Board  
PFAT - People First Assessment Team  
PFTF - People First Task Force  
PH - Preliminary hearing  
PIF - SHARP Program Improvement Forum  
PME - Professional Military Education  
PMG - Provost Marshal General  
P/N/P - Prosecute/non-prosecute decision date  
POAM - Plan of Action and Milestones  
POM - Program Objective Memorandum  
PPoA - Prevention Plan of Action  
PTSD - Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder  
RILLO - Resignation (or Retirement) in Lieu of (Court-Martial)  
RMIC - U.S. Army Risk Management Internal Controls  
SAAPM - Sexual Assault Awareness and Prevention Month  
SABH - Sexual Assault Behavioral Health  
SACC - Sexual Assault Care Coordinator  
SACP - Sexual Assault Clinical Provider  
SAFE - Sexual Assault Forensic Examination  
SAI - Sexual Assault Investigator  
SAMD - Sexual Assault Medical Director  
SAMFE - Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiner

SAPR - Sexual Assault Prevention and Response  
SAPRO - Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Office  
SARB - Sexual Assault Review Board  
SARC - Sexual Assault Response Coordinator  
SART - Sexual Assault Response Team  
SA/SH - Sexual Assault/Sexual Harassment  
SAV - Staff Assistance Visit  
SCM - Summary Court-Martial  
SES - Senior Executive Service  
SHARP - Sexual Harassment/Assault Response and Prevention  
SHARP-ART - SHARP Annual Refresher Training  
SIR - Serious Incident Report  
SJA - Staff Judge Advocate  
SM - Service member  
SMDC - U.S. Army Space and Missile Defense Command  
SME - Subject Matter Expert  
SMS - Strategic Management System  
SPARX - Sexual assault prevention and response training  
SPCM - Special Court-Martial  
SPCMCA - Special Court-Martial Convening Authority  
SVC - Special Victims' Counsel  
SVCC - Special Victim Capability Course  
SVI - Special Victim Investigator  
SVP - Special Victim Prosecutor  
SVPN - Special Victim Prosecutor NCO  
SVWL - Special Victim Witness Liaison  
TCAP - Trial Counsel Assistance Program  
TDS - Trial Defense Service  
TF - Total Forfeiture (of pay)  
TIMS - This Is My Squad  
TJAG - The Judge Advocate General  
TJAGLCS - The Judge Advocate General's Legal Center and School  
TRADOC - U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command

TSP - Training Support Package  
UCMJ - Uniform Code of Military Justice  
UOTHC - Under Other Than Honorable Conditions (discharge)  
USACE - U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  
USACIL - U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Laboratory  
USAMPS - U.S. Army Military Police School  
USAR - U.S. Army Reserve  
USARC - U.S. Army Reserve Command  
USAREUR-AF - U.S. Army Europe and Africa  
USARPAC - U.S. Army Pacific Command  
USASOC - U.S. Army Special Operations Command  
USD (P&R) - Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness  
USMA - United States Military Academy  
VA - Victim Advocate  
VLC - Victims' Legal Counsel  
VR - Victim Representative  
VTC - Video-teleconference  
VWAP - Victim/Witness Assistance Program  
VWL - Victim Witness Liaison  
WGR - Workplace and Gender Relations Survey



## Enclosure 2: Department of the Navy





THE UNDER SECRETARY OF THE NAVY  
WASHINGTON DC 20350-1000

INFO MEMO

**FOR:** UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (PERSONNEL AND READINESS)

**FROM:** Erik K. Raven, Under Secretary of the Navy  **MAR 10 2023**

**SUBJECT:** Fiscal Year 2022 Department of Defense Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military

- **Purpose:** In response to your request, please see attached responses from the Department of the Navy (DON), United States Navy, and United States Marine Corps to the *Fiscal Year 2022 Department of Defense Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military*.
- The DON advances sexual assault and sexual harassment prevention and response efforts through training and professional development, policy, data analytics, program evaluation, and evidence-informed prevention approaches.
- The DON is implementing recommendations of the Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military, using cross-cutting prevention strategies that address risk and protective factors common to sexual assault, sexual harassment, suicide, and other negative behaviors.
- Key efforts include: establishing a dedicated prevention workforce; transforming the military justice process; ensuring leaders have critical prevention knowledge and skills; building skills to contribute to and foster healthy climates in the workplace; and developing skills to help Sailors and Marines detect early warning signs to stop negative behaviors before they escalate.
  - In Fiscal Year (FY) 2022, there were 1,988 reports of sexual assault in the Navy, representing a 5.6% increase from FY2021 (1,883).
  - In FY2022, there were 1,264 reports of sexual assault in the Marine Corps, representing a 5.2% increase from FY2021 (1,202).
- The Secretary of the Navy, the Chief of Naval Operations, and the Commandant of the Marine Corps work collaboratively and with shared priority to eliminate sexual violence throughout the Department.

**Attachments:**

Tab A1 – FY2022 Annual report on Sexual Assault in the Military Executive Summary: Department of the Navy

Tab A2 – FY2022 Annual report on Sexual Assault in the Military Executive Summary: United States Navy



**Tab A3 – FY 2022 Annual report on Sexual Assault in the Military Executive Summary: United States Marine Corps**

Prepared by: Loren Linscott, [loren.t.linscott.civ@us.navy.mil](mailto:loren.t.linscott.civ@us.navy.mil), (703)835-7498.

## FY 2022 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military Executive Summary: Department of the Navy

The Department of the Navy Office of Force Resiliency (DON OFR) is on a mission to sustain warfighting excellence and maritime superiority by promoting an inclusive, healthy, resilient, and ready force. DON OFR advances sexual assault and sexual harassment prevention and response efforts through training and professional development, policy, data analytics, program evaluation, and evidence-informed prevention approaches. On August 9, 2022, DON SAPRO was renamed DON OFR to reflect the expanded scope of the office, which also includes responsibility for preventing and responding to other forms of interpersonal and self-directed harm.

Following key recommendations from the Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military (IRC), the Department of the Navy (DON) is implementing critical reforms to establish a dedicated prevention workforce to provide integrated and embedded support to all Sailors, Marines, and DON civilians, improve and restore trust in the military justice system, professionalize and enhance skills of the sexual assault response workforce, and better support our response to victims of sexual assault.

The initiation of this prevention workforce represents our commitment to identifying conditions that can lead to sexual assault or sexual harassment and creating strategies to mitigate those conditions. Effectively addressing sexual assault and sexual harassment will require systematic changes to improve connectedness among Sailors and Marines and build healthy command climate and culture. These changes across the DON include development of tools to 1) promote prevention knowledge across the career cycle and 2) hold leaders accountable for harmful behaviors that negatively impact command climate (e.g., lack of cohesion, workplace hostility, gender discrimination, and sexual harassment). The DON is urgently implementing these needed reforms to rebuild institutional trust, increase accountability for sexual violence, and set the conditions for equity, respect, and inclusion across the Fleet.

On February 7, 2022, the Secretary of the Navy provided strategic guidance for implementation of IRC recommendations across the DON, directing stakeholders to redouble their efforts to remove barriers to equity to create a more respectful and inclusive culture throughout the force. The Secretary's memo established an Implementation Advisory Panel (IAP) in early 2022, chaired by the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Manpower and Reserve Affairs). The IAP advises the Secretary of the Navy on matters pertaining to the successful implementation of both IRC recommendations and the sexual assault prevention, response, and accountability reforms contained within the FY22 NDAA and subsequent legislation. Additionally, the IAP serves as a unified coordination function for Department of the Navy and has expedited implementation of IRC recommendations, key policies, and reforms while considering resources devoted to implementation and advancing concrete implementation actions.

DON OFR demonstrated its commitment to the goals outlined by DoD by spearheading the following accomplishments in FY22:

**Prevention:** DON is leveraging a force-wide effort to combat interpersonal and self-directed harm by establishing a dedicated and full-time prevention workforce; building prevention knowledge and skills in leaders at all levels; and ensuring Commanders set the conditions for healthy climates that reduce risk for sexual harassment, sexual assault, and suicide. These efforts will promote aspects of performance (communication, trust, collaboration, and teamwork) critical to readiness. In FY22, DON OFR led the development of integrated violence prevention initiatives that build resiliency skills and promote these strategic priorities. These efforts include:

- **Integrated Prevention Workforce:** The DON is establishing a dedicated prevention workforce of more than 417 full time civilian personnel between FY22-FY27. This workforce will support installation and command leaders in implementing prevention programs and approaches that foster readiness and reduce and prevent negative behaviors. Preventing sexual harassment, sexual assault, suicide, and other forms of harm, requires full-time dedicated prevention personnel who are not burdened by collateral or additional duties.
- In alignment with the Department of Defense's (DoD) Prevention Workforce Model and recently released DoDI 6400.09 (DoD Policy on Integrated Primary Prevention of Self-Directed Harm and Prohibited Abuse or Harm), DON, Navy, and Marine Corps are organizing their prevention workforces and recruiting prevention personnel for the strategic, operational, and tactical echelons of command.
- Senior leader support, cross-functional collaboration, and the recent approval of Direct Hiring Authorities aid DON to reduce hiring delays and expedite prevention hires.
- **National Discussion on Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment at America's Colleges, Universities, and Service Academies:** On April 6, 2022, DON OFR and Howard University co-hosted a virtual event promoting positive culture change, building healthy workplaces, and developing leadership skills and competence in violence prevention. This virtual event reflected shared recognition of sexual harassment and sexual assault as persistent challenges that require ongoing and proactive prevention approaches. Attendees included more than 3,000 Senior Military Leaders; Service Academy Superintendents; College and University Presidents, Chancellors, and Provosts; Service Sexual Assault, Sexual Harassment and Prevention personnel; Directors of Violence Prevention programs; Title IX coordinators; students; and staff.

**Victim Assistance & Advocacy:** Leveraging the IAP, DON OFR promulgated several important policies to improve response to formal reports of sexual harassment and better support victims who make reports of sexual assault.

- **ALNAV 024/22 Interim Policy Governing Investigations of Formal Sexual Harassment Complaints under 10 U.S.C. 1561 (April 22, 2022):** This interim policy requires that the Investigating Officer charged with

investigating formal complaints of sexual harassment come from outside the command of the complainant and the subject.

- This ALNAV represents a temporary solution until applicable policies are revised and the DON funds, staffs, and trains an independent professional capability to investigate formal sexual harassment complaints.
- **No Wrong Door Policy (June 24, 2022)**: The Secretary of the Navy signed “No Wrong Door” policy, ensuring victims who contact helping professionals from any DON victim care or support office must receive services from that office or, with the victims’ permission, get a “warm hand-off” to the appropriate service provider. This warm hand-off will include direct connection, introduction to responsible staff, and follow-through to ensure the needs of the person seeking care are met.
- **Safe-to Report Policy (June 29, 2022)**: The Secretary of the Navy signed “Safe to Report” policy, which directs that no member of the DON may discipline a service member victim of a reported sexual assault for minor collateral misconduct.
  - Under this policy, a Sailor, Marine, Officer, Cadet or Midshipman who makes an unrestricted report of sexual assault through the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office or the Family Advocacy Program will not be disciplined for minor collateral misconduct.
- **DON Definition of Cyberharassment**: SECNAVINST 1610.3, *Harassment Prevention and Response Policy*, promulgated on August 15, 2022, is the first military department policy that includes a standalone definition of cyber harassment. The IRC found that cyberharassment contributes to hostile unit climates, and is, for some victims, the primary means by which they experience abuse. Among active duty women, 30 percent who have experienced sexual harassment indicate the harassment took place online, on social media, or by other electronic communication. This definition will inform development of targeted training for Sailors, Marines, and leadership at all levels on the prevention of and appropriate response to online harassing behaviors, including those of a sexual nature.
- **Platform for Sexual Harassment Investigation**: Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS) is taking on the investigative response to sexual harassment, independent of existing NCIS operational commitments. NCIS is building a global response capability for field office locations and fleet concentration areas by hiring 300 full-time equivalent employees (FTEs) to support this mission.
- **Women, Peace, and Security (WPS)**: The Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Manpower and Reserve Affairs established a WPS Working Group with the Deputy Undersecretary of the Navy, and the Services. This Working Group is making notable progress toward addressing the four Independent Review Commission WPS recommendations (3.4a-d).

**Accountability:** Navy and Marine Corps each established Offices of Special Trial Counsel (OSTC), as required by the FY22 NDAA. The OSTC supervises the activities of the Services' special trial counsel responsible for the initial disposition and prosecution of certain covered offenses (e.g., rape and sexual assault, murder, manslaughter, kidnapping, domestic violence, intimate visual images, stalking, retaliation, and child pornography). Each Services' OSTC will be supervised by a Lead Special Trial Counsel (LSTC), an O-7 or above with significant military justice experience. Interim LSTCs with significant military justice experience were on boarded to attain initial operational capability (IOC). IOC included hiring these initial LSTC's to design implementation planning and execution for command structure and manning design. Both OSTCs will be at full operational capability by December 27, 2023. This milestone includes identifying staff, completing course certification curriculum, and initializing standing operating procedures.

### **United States Navy Efforts**

In FY22, there were 2,052 reports of sexual assault in the Navy, representing a 9% increase from FY21 (1,883).

Navy developed an Integrated Culture Framework (ICF) to simplify, streamline, and align existing and new standards, measures, programs, and policies. The ICF creates a strong foundation for advancing Navy culture by implementing standards and measures of accountability and progress. One initiative in these efforts is Navy's Get Real, Get Better (GRGB) call to action. Navy is using GRGB to formalize leadership education and training to ensure healthy unit climates; increased unit connectedness, cohesion, and inclusivity; and reduce factors accounting for toxic work environments, sexual harassment, sexual assault, and self-harm. Additionally, Navy is bolstering its civilian workforce in the areas of prevention and response to reduce incidents of sexual violence while increasing victim reporting, support, recovery, and resiliency. The Navy will issue policy guidance directing adherence to DoDI 1327.06, (Leave and Liberty Policies and Procedures) which authorizes commanders and Medical Treatment Facilities directors to grant non-chargeable convalescent leave to Service members for their treatment and recuperation from sexual assault based on a recommendation of a medical or mental healthcare provider or sexual assault medical forensic examiner.

### **United States Marine Corps Efforts**

In FY22, there were 1,244 reports of sexual assault in the Marine Corps, representing a 4% increase from FY21 (1,201).

Headquarters Marine Corps (HQMC) leaders and commanders at all echelons prioritized monitoring and evaluating the impact and effectiveness of their Sexual Assault Prevention and Response SAPR program. Marine Corps used command inspections, focus group feedback, training evaluations, and command climate surveys to ensure SAPR program efficacy. Marine Corps prevention training is tailored to diverse environments and prepares Service members with research-based strategies to reduce harmful behaviors,

promote healthy interactions, and develop coping skills. Additionally, Headquarters Marine Corps SAPR expanded and standardized its workforce to better provide victim care and support to ensure that any Marine who reports a sexual assault is met with support, respect, and dignity.

## **FY 2022 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military Executive Summary: Navy**

The Navy's Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program reflects the force-wide commitment to advancing the Navy's culture by building great people, leaders, and teams, and not tolerating, condoning, or ignoring sexual assault. People, leaders, and teams drive healthy unit climates resulting in increased unit connectedness, cohesion, and inclusivity, and reduced risk factors that account for toxic work environments, sexual harassment and sexual assault, and self-harm.

The Navy is advancing our culture by building on our strong foundation to establish revised standards and measures that simplify, streamline, and align how we develop our people, leaders, and teams. The Navy's culture furthers the unmatched advantage of the American Sailor, preparing teams to dominate in combat, out-performing any potential adversary because of how we act, think, solve problems, and innovate.

The Navy is standardizing and incentivizing proven best practice leadership behaviors and problem-solving throughout the force to reduce risk factors and promote protective factors at the individual and unit levels to unleash our full potential. This is a foundational movement based upon an environment of inclusion, transparency, and honest assessment. This is the Navy's Get Real, Get Better (GRGB) call to action.

GRGB leadership behaviors, tools, and systems are key to empowering our people and accelerating our warfighting advantage. Leadership education and training will incorporate these principles as fundamental to developing our Sailors and building our teams. Additionally, talent management system reforms will further incentivize GRGB behaviors, rewarding leaders for positive outcomes and outstanding culture.

The Navy is also developing an Integrated Culture Framework (ICF), designed to simplify, streamline, and align existing and new concepts, programs, and policies. The ICF focuses on creating a strong foundation for advancing the Navy's culture by implementing standards and measures of accountability and progress.

The Navy is also developing and implementing strengthened primary prevention by creating a skilled prevention workforce and further professionalizing our SAPR workforce to increase victim reporting, support, recovery, and resiliency. The Navy continues to refine victim advocacy and support, investigative, and accountability capabilities through readily available high-quality support services and process improvement protocols that assess response capabilities and implementation. SAPR personnel remain fully available to Sailors world-wide to address victim physical, mental, and emotional well-being, strengthen resilience, encourage reporting, and support victim recovery. These resources include Sexual Assault Response Coordinators, civilian and military Victim Advocates, Deployed Resiliency Counselors, Victims' Legal Counsel (VLC), Chaplains, and medical and mental health providers. SAPR personnel and stakeholders work collaboratively to direct response system coordination, ensure victim safety, facilitate access to restorative services and referrals, and ensure appropriate accountability at all levels within the multi-tiered Navy response system.

The Naval Criminal Investigative Service continues its efforts to ensure all agents and investigators are highly trained and responsive to allegations of sexual assault. This effort, along with sustained collaboration with Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution personnel and VLC, is crucial for a holistic approach to sexual assault investigations. The

overarching goal is to encourage victim participation without subjecting those who come forward to re-victimization. The Navy Office of the Judge Advocate General (OJAG) and VLC also improved the quality of legal support for victims of sexual assault through the expansion of training courses.

The Navy Office of the Judge Advocate General is building towards full operational capacity for the Office of Special Trial Counsel. To this end, a Headquarters Navy Office of Special Trial Counsel was established, including an Interim Lead Special Trial Counsel. Additionally, the Secretary of the Navy and Chief of Naval Operations validated the development, manning, and laydown of Special Trial Counsel headquarters and regional offices throughout the Fleet to support changes to the military justice system and comply with the requirements in the FY22 National Defense Authorization Act.

The Navy's efforts reinforce the expectation that every member of the Navy total force will uphold an environment of dignity, respect, and trust. Adhering to standards of professional behavior and implementing the ICF will foster and sustain an environment of mutual respect that is vital to establishing a culture that drives healthy command climates.

**1. Goal 1 Prevention: "institutionalize evidenced based, informed prevention practices and policies across the Department so that all Military Service members are treated with dignity and respect, and have the knowledge, tools, and support needed to prevent sexual assaults.**

**1.1 Efforts to Address Approved Independent Review Commission (IRC) Recommendations and the Prevention Plan of Action (PPoA): Identify major actions completed or underway to address the approved recommendations of the IRC Lines of Effort listed below.**

- a. Line of Effort 2: Prevention – Specifically address actions undertaken during FY 2022 to address the IRC prevention recommendations, the initiatives required under the Secretary of Defense's Immediate Actions Memorandum and follow-on guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, as well as the 2019-2023 PPoA. Address your efforts under each of the requirements listed below:**
- Immediate Action 1. Assess Compliance with Sexual Assault and Harassment Policies and Integrated Violence Prevention Efforts**
  - Immediate Action 2. Conduct Evaluation at High Risk Installations**
  - Immediate Action 3. Establish a Violence Prevention Workforce**
  - 2019-2023 Prevention Plan of Action (Phase III Execution and Phase IV Evaluation)**
- b. Line of Effort 3: Climate and Culture**

**(Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (OUSD(P&R) Memorandum, "Execution of the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention Plan of Action," (April 26, 2019) / 2019-2023 DoD Prevention Plan of Action (April 2019) / Secretary of Defense (SecDef) Memorandum, "Immediate Actions to Counter Sexual Assault and Harassment and the Establishment of a 90-Day Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military," (February 26, 2021) / OUSD(P&R) Memorandum, "Implementation of Immediate Actions to Counter Sexual Assault and Harassment in the Military," (March 22, 2021) / SecDef Memorandum,**



**“Department of Defense Actions and Implementation Guidance to Address Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment in the Military,” (July 2, 2021) / SecDef Memorandum, “Commencing DoD Actions and Implementation to Address Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment in the Military: Independent Review Commission Recommendation-Implementation Roadmap,” (September 22, 2021))**

The Independent Review Commission (IRC) Prevention Line of Effort (LOE) recommendations center around the identification of gaps in current prevention efforts, determination of resources needed to address gaps, establishment of department-wide prevention infrastructure, and developing leaderships’ ability to effectively address sexual assault by providing appropriate resources and support. The Navy developed Plans of Action and Milestones (POAM) for all recommendations, which were approved by the Department of Defense (DoD). These POAMs provide key actions and timelines for completions of the tiered, phased IRC recommendations. Updates are provided below for key Prevention LOE recommendations that began implementation in Fiscal Year (FY) 2021. Recommendations are tiered to ensure that the roadmap can be implemented rapidly and strategically to produce the desired, enduring outcomes. Thus, some recommendations have long-term timelines for implementation and some will be initiated at later phases. The Navy’s direct efforts for addressing Immediate Actions and Prevention Plan of Action (PPoA) requirements align with IRC recommendation implementation are described below in greater detail.

**IRC LOE 2: Prevention**

Key area of focus for foundational prevention needs for implementing IRC LOE 2 have involved establishment of the full-time prevention workforce, as well as early stages of implementation of various IRC recommendations centered on prevention-related research and training. The Navy participated in the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) Violence Prevention Cell Working Group to represent Service equities and inform prevention recommendation guidance and implementation. Brief updates are provided below for each IRC LOE 2 Recommendation. *[Note these recommendations are focused on Service-specific recommendations. Recommendation numbers that are not listed here are recommendations that have offices of primary responsibility other than the Navy.]*

- *Recommendation 2.1 b: Develop and hold leaders appropriately accountable for prevention; Recommendation 2.1 c: Equip all leaders to develop and deliver informed prevention messaged in formal and informal settings.* For these training-related recommendations, the Navy is continuing review of existing training to identify leadership development training that requires updating based on the leadership prevention competencies. From this assessment, a POAM will be created for content development and incorporation of updates for leadership development training.
- *Recommendation 2.2 c: Determine the optimum full-time prevention workforce and equip all echelons of active duty, reserve, and guard organizations.* The Navy has continued efforts to establish its dedicated Integrated Prevention Workforce (IPW) (see *Immediate Action 3 for further details*). The Navy worked with the Office of Force Resiliency (OFR) to provide data to inform the Navy’s Prevention Workforce Model (PWM). We have utilized the PWM to inform our Concept of Operations

(CONOPS) for installation based and deployable prevention assets, including considerations for prevention staff serving Active Duty and Reserve units. This includes focus on expected roles and responsibilities for staff, training/credentialing requirements for staff, defining administrative and operational structure, and strategic communication approach for Navy.

- *Recommendation 2.3 a: Resource and implement prevention strategies at organizational and community levels.* The Navy's research team is developing a process and parameters to identify locations that may serve as a pilot and matched comparison site for the implementation and evaluation of a selected community or organizational prevention activity. This process will include considerations for fit of the intervention to the location's needs, level of risk for destructive behaviors at the location, and readiness of the location to implement the identified community/organizational level prevention activity.
- *Recommendation 2.4: Modernize prevention education and skill building to reflect today's generation of Service members.* The Navy reviewed existing training across the leadership development continuum for inclusion of healthy behaviors, life skills, sexual education/consent, healthy relationships, and stress management content as required in identified skill development from Department of Defense Instruction (DoDI) 6400.09 (DoD Policy on Integrated Primary Prevention of Self-Directed Harm and Prohibited Abuse or Harm). This review consisted of assessment to ensure content exists, reflects current public health science, and is appropriate for the varying training/skill needs of Sailors. OPNAV N17 is developing a POAM for training updates to address gaps in meeting DoDI 6400.09 skill development requirements and the necessary revisions. This will include considerations for unique Navy context, trauma-informed and culturally appropriate approaches, and varying knowledge levels for participants.
- *Recommendation 2.5 a: Institute a pilot program to link Service members with resources and support.* Navy has identified research/intervention points of contacts (POC) to work with OSD when working groups are established.
- *Recommendation 2.6 c: Authorize operational testing of the Air Force Compatibility Assessment, or similar tool, with a cross-Service pre-accession sample, allowing for important research and intervention development.* The Navy is awaiting further information on the test, design, and methodology to support operational testing of the applicable character/compatibility assessment, for research purposes, using an appropriate number of applicants for enlistment.

### **Immediate Actions**

The 22 March 2021 Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (USD(P&R)) Memo, "Implementation of Immediate Actions to Counter Sexual Assault and Harassment in

the Military,” directed three immediate actions that had components to further support prevention efforts across DoD and are in alignment with the IRC recommendations. Updates for the Immediate Actions are provided below.

### **Immediate Action 1. Assess Compliance with Sexual Assault and Harassment Policies and Integrated Violence Prevention Efforts**

In accordance with guidance, the Navy directed 207 Navy commands to conduct self-assessments to determine compliance with DoD Sexual Assault, Sexual Harassment, and Integrated Violence Prevention policies. The self-assessment also evaluated alignment with Integrated Violence Prevention efforts. This assessment occurred in 2021 and included 69 installations, 46 vessels, and 92 Geographically Separated Units (GSU). For Sexual Assault Prevention, 23 commands had more than 1 area of non-compliance, and 9 commands had more than 2 areas of non-compliance. For Sexual Harassment Prevention, 16 commands had more than 1 area of non-compliance, and 11 commands had more than 2 areas of non-compliance. At the time of this assessment, the Navy believed that the level of understanding regarding primary prevention and what constitutes an effective prevention system and process varied greatly between OPNAV N17 and individual Navy commands. For example, OPNAV N17 understood and interpreted the DoDI 6400.09 Self-Assessment Tool and the Command/Installation Self-Assessment through the lens of DoD’s PPOA and through the context of primary prevention. However, most Navy commands viewed prevention through the pre-existing context of response efforts as indicated by the self-assessment results from the two checklists addressing Integrated Violence Prevention Efforts and validation interviews. Moreover, most commands perceive they are conducting prevention when they are complying with policy, executing proper training and awareness, executing the Navy’s Command Climate Assessment process, and employing their Command Resilience Teams (CRT).

These perceptions do not fully align with OPNAV N17’s expectations regarding comprehensive primary prevention. While some of these efforts enable an effective prevention system, OPNAV N17 recognized there was/is more to be done in prevention and actively worked during FY22 to establish its IPW (as described in Immediate Action 3) which will aid furthering command capacity for primary prevention when fully established. OPNAV N17 also revised existing Primary Prevention/Human Factors Process training to provide further information for CRTs and other prevention staff. The IRC training focused recommendations have also been used for review of existing training to assess gaps in information. The Navy will address these gaps to build leader knowledge and understanding of primary prevention and how best to support staff engaged in this effort.

### **Immediate Action 2. Conduct Evaluation at High Risk Installations**

OPNAV N17 developed corrective action plans to address findings from the *2021 On-Site Installation Evaluation (OSIE) Report*, which provides leaders visibility on installation risk and protective factors that contribute to sexual violence. These corrective action plans include an overview of efforts aimed at strengthening prevention capacity in four additional areas: data sharing (to assess progress in reducing sexual violence), healthy climates, local prevention systems, and military community engagement. Key recommendations and actions taken are described below.

**OSIE Recommendation 1: Issue prevention policy and re-assess compliance. Military Departments, Services, and National Guard Bureau (NGB) in coordination with the Secretaries of the Army and the Air Force should develop specific instruction for implementation of DoDI 6400.09.** The Department of the Navy (DON) established a Prevention Policy Working Group for DON, the Navy, and the United States Marine Corps (USMC). This Working Group initiated policy development activities based on DoDI 6400.09. With the directives for the Immediate Actions and IRC, the DON Prevention Policy Working Group was paused because of recommendations and actions that were expected to impact policy considerations. DON has resumed integrated prevention policy development based on DoDI 6400.09 with Secretary of Defense (SECDEF) directed completion in FY23. The Navy continues to support this policy development while working on Navy-specific guidance to align and direct efforts.

**OSIE Recommendation 2: Enhance authentic engagement and responsiveness to military community's needs. The cornerstone of an integrated approach is a comprehensive prevention plan executed and evaluated by leaders and prevention stakeholders. As an initial step towards this plan, establish a data-sharing forum, such as a new or existing working group, to share prevention-related data across the military community (see Table 2).** DoDI 1342.22 (Military Family Readiness) established Family Readiness Coordination Committees (FRCC) on every military installation to serve as a forum for cross-organizational review and resolution of individual, family, and installation community issues that impact military family readiness. These existing entities for OSIE sites provide a forum that can be leveraged for data sharing across the military community.

**OSIE Recommendation 3: Reinforce healthy climates. Establish methods to incentivize behaviors that contribute to a healthy climate and hold subordinate leaders appropriately accountable for behaviors that do not contribute to a healthy climate. Develop a plan that documents the methods and how they will be tracked and evaluated.** The Navy was directed by the Secretary of the Navy (SECNAV) to take some immediate actions following the OSIE visits and a follow-on DON Site Assessment Visit. This included a comprehensive review of existing training curricula for command (O5/O6) and Senior Enlisted Leader training curricula for content that facilitated leader knowledge and skills on developing strong and healthy climates. This review was completed and curricula updates for curriculum gaps are underway. Additional strategic-level Navy efforts are underway, including the Chief of Naval Operations (CNO) directed Get Real Get Better (GRGB) initiative, which includes focus on establishing standards for leadership behavior and problem solving systems in the Navy's Leadership Courses. Another such effort is the pilot of Navy Command Leadership Assessment and Selection Program, which establishes accountability methods, corrective actions, and development opportunities leaders will employ to address leadership deficiencies. OSIE sites will implement guidance as directed from these strategic-level initiatives with oversight and assessment of progress from their chains of command.

**OSIE Recommendation 4: Define the local prevention system. Though local policy, instruction, or order, establish clear roles, resourcing, expectations for collaboration,**

**and training for prevention personnel and leaders as it pertains to primary prevention of interpersonal and self-directed violence. This effort should be inclusive of the military community and may require coordination and collaboration across different commands or Services.** As previously indicated in Recommendation 1, DON and the Navy are developing prevention policy in accordance with DoDI 6400.09 which directs Services to specific local prevention systems. When issued, compliance will be assessed across the Navy. Additionally, existing guidance and training for CRTs will provide requirements for local prevention-level functioning and training. Initial steps will involve compliance with the existing manning and training requirements for prevention enablers. The Navy established CRTs as organic command assets that support leadership awareness of potential risk factors and collaborate on strategic preventative actions to address concerns. CRT meetings serve as a data sharing forum for understanding and addressing issues within the Command. The Navy's Culture of Excellence (COE) Inspection checklist includes expectations with composition, frequency of meeting, training, and other compliance requirements for CRTs. OSIE sites are being tasked with completion of compliance checks for CRTs and development of corrective plans for non-compliant requirements. The Navy has also continued its broader efforts to update current training and resources for CRTs to support increasing prevention capacity within the command. These updates will also help commands to understand issues, develop comprehensive approaches, implement with quality, and assess impact. Additionally, phased hiring of the Navy's IPW will provide prevention expertise and ongoing support to Command leadership and critical prevention enablers such as CRTs. OSIE sites will be assessed for prioritization with the phased manning of the prevention workforce and piloting of the Navy's PWM.

**OSIE Recommendation 5: Enhance military community engagement and help-seeking. Develop a plan to identify and address Service member and DoD civilian employee resistance to violence prevention efforts and/or challenges accessing support.** CRTs make leadership aware of potential risk factors and collaborate on strategic preventative actions to address concerns at the command level. Additionally, CRT Human Factors Councils (CRT-HFC) drill down to individual Sailor challenges to determine appropriate response. The CRT and CRT-HFC determine strategies for addressing barriers to effective prevention. At the Service level, the Navy developed tools that improve capacity for Command leadership, CRT, and CRT-HFC to address resistance and challenges to access. This was accomplished through improved training and resources. Compliance checks at OSIE sites confirmed CRT/CRT-HFC functionality, and also the need for training on resiliency programs such as Expanded Operational Stress Control. Additionally, forthcoming prevention readiness assessments with OSIE sites and all Navy installations will provide insight into areas that can be improved as the IPW is phased into the Navy.

**Immediate Action 3. Establish a Violence Prevention Workforce**

The Navy is hiring 162 full-time staff that will make up the IPW. This staff will be composed of civilian employees located at the Navy Echelon I-IV across My Navy HR and Commander, Navy Installations Command (CNIC) enterprises. The bulk of these staff will be hired into Integrated Prevention Coordinator and Integrated Prevention Specialist roles and their direct responsibilities with planning, managing, coordinating, implementing, and evaluating training, education, and outreach for integrated prevention programs at the

installation, command, and/or unit levels. Additional full time staff will be hired into Embedded Integrated Prevention Coordinator (EIPC) roles which will focus on the Navy's unique need for shipboard integrated prevention activities. The specific breakdown of these full-time, civilian staff are as follows:

- 104 regional and installation based integrated prevention staff supporting 70 Navy installations world-wide.
- 5 prevention staff for OPNAV N17 (Echelon 1) for the Navy prevention policy, resourcing, coordination and oversight.
- 5 prevention staff at CNIC Headquarters (HQ) (Echelon 2) for implementing prevention guidance, training requirements of IPW, and oversight.
- 44 EIPCs that deploy with Aircraft Carriers (CVN) and Large Deck Amphibious Assault (LHA/LHD).
- 4 EIPC Supervisors to support hiring, training, and management of the EIPCs.

Based on the OSD Prevention Workforce Model, an additional 100+ staff were funded and will be phased in beginning in FY25 to support active and reserve units.

The objectives for FY22 were to focus on headquarters and supervisory positions, to ensure a focus on policy, guidance, and infrastructure development. Additional objectives were to build capacity and to begin onboarding the deployable prevention assets, EIPCs. Twelve position descriptions, consisting of both Non-appropriated Fund (NAF) and Appropriated Fund (APF) positions, were developed and classified. OPNAV N17 completed all of their hires resourced for FY22 and CNIC hired one headquarters position, two EIPC supervisors and 13 EIPCs. Hiring for HQ positions was delayed due to the time required to classify position descriptions and a congressional continuing resolution for funding the federal government that limited resourcing availability. The Navy participated in a Defense Civilian Personnel Advisory Service virtual hiring fair to target candidates for the EIPC positions. Later in the fiscal year, Direct Hiring Authority was approved for prevention personnel, and is expected to aid in expediting the recruitment and hiring process.

OPNAV and CNIC established two working groups to inform implementation of the IPW. One group focused on the onboarding and training of the EIPCs and the other group focused on the shore-based prevention workforce. Both of the working groups consisted of key stakeholders and prevention enablers and met bi-weekly.

The objectives of the working groups for supporting development of the full-time prevention workforce include:

- Inform the integrated prevention policy and standard operating procedures for operationalizing the Navy's IPW.
- Review information from pilot of PWM and other prevention workforce information to provide recommendation for adjustments of the Navy workforce laydown and resourcing.
- Identify and aid in development of operational and tactical level training needs.
- Inform marketing/branding materials for the Prevention Program/Workforce.

- Consider courses of action for Active Duty and other existing prevention workforce collaboration with Reserve prevention workforce.

The IPW will complete the Navy's Basic and Intermediate Prevention online training, which provides foundational information on the Navy's broader focus on culture change and the role of the prevention workforce in implementing primary prevention. The IPW will also be required to complete the DOD SPARX Prevention Certification Training. The SPARX training meets DoDI 6400.09 requirements. Training will be completed following hiring and onboarding in as timely a manner as DOD SPARX training availability allows for new hires. Staff at OPNAV and CNIC HQs who will have oversight with prevention workforce, EIPCs and other prevention enablers such as Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARC) and SAPR Officers, completed the SPARX training in FY22. The Navy will continue to fill the SPARX training allocations for education with priority for the IPW and prevention enablers.

### **2019-2023 Prevention Plan of Action (Phase III Execution and Phase IV Evaluation)**

Prior to the IRC and Immediate Actions, the PPOA laid the groundwork for the capacities being developed through these subsequent efforts. The Navy continues to build on the 2019-2023 PPOA which was a multi-phase effort. The effort included a detailed baseline self-assessment of the Navy HQ completed in November 2019, a PPOA POAM and CNO-approved PLM submitted in May 2020, and an Interim Progress Report detailing Selected Priority Activities, Barriers/Changes, and a plan for re-assessment was completed in November 2021. In accordance with DoD guidelines, the Navy is completing its PPOA 2.0 re-assessment of the Navy prevention capabilities at the HQ level which will be submitted in December 2022.

PPOA 2.0 aligned the PPOA with the IRC and Immediate Actions and provided guidance for future tracking of execution and implementation of IRC recommendations. The Navy worked with the DON to develop a Common Operating Platform (COP) for tracking execution progress of IRC recommendations. The COP task tracking application was developed to support the work and improve efficiencies of various offices and stakeholders across the DON. These stakeholders/applications support the effort to comply with the approved 82 recommendations and related tasks identified by the IRC, which were ordered to be implemented by the Secretary of Defense. The Navy is also working with DON on recommended guidance and approach for evaluation of prevention activities. The resulting products will increase the Navy-wide capacity to evaluate prevention program initiatives by developing policy that defines requirements and guidelines for the Navy's prevention program evaluation framework and reporting.

Additionally, several Fleet prevention activities were implemented in FY22 to support PPOA execution. Key Fleet activities are described below.

*Commander, Navy Installations Command.* CNIC HQ Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) completed a variety of prevention activities in alignment with the PPOA. Throughout FY22, CNIC HQ SAPR updated the Sexual Assault Prevention and Outreach Toolkit to expand skill-building activities adapted from nationally recognized organizations. Toolkit materials and activities emphasized a comprehensive approach as well as cross-collaboration with other programs in order to address shared risk and protective factors in

accordance with the PPOA. Content in this resource emphasizes the need for collaborative relationships with leaders, SAPR stakeholders, other organizations that address destructive behaviors, and Public Affairs to implement primary prevention in a holistic and meaningful way.

The FY22 edition of the Sexual Assault Prevention and Outreach Toolkit also included a strategic communications plan to assist SARCs with socializing their primary prevention efforts and to assist leadership in proactively addressing culture and climate with a primary prevention focus. CNIC HQ SAPR incorporated recommendations from the IRC to reduce trivialization at SAPR outreach events as well as in media coverage of those events.

Towards the end of FY22, CNIC HQ SAPR administered its annual survey to the SAPR enterprise to gather data on their marketing, outreach, and primary prevention efforts. This year's iteration of the survey reflects IRC recommendations to reduce trivialization and to reinforce the expectation that all primary prevention activities are evidence-based in accordance with the DoDI 6400.09 policy. In order to support the PPOA's recommendations on data-driven initiatives and continuous evaluation, SARCs were asked to describe how they calculated participation rates for their activities, how they gathered feedback from event and activity participants, and to describe efforts taken by civilian or military leadership to observe, assess, and provide feedback on their events and efforts. This is the second year these questions have been included in this annual survey and the results indicate that SARCs are continuing to shift away from basing their initiatives in anecdotal evidence and using more data-informed methods. They have developed their own satisfaction surveys for participants and leadership, conduct focus groups, and complete After Action Reports (AAR) to evaluate their current efforts and inform future efforts.

*U.S. Fleet Forces Command (USFFC).* USFFC supports OPNAV and CNIC in their efforts to address the IRC Recommendations and PPOA. Major actions included policy and instruction review, review of SAPR training and SAPR Navy Administrative Messages (NAVADMIN), as well as participation in the OPNAV led IRC working groups: SAPR Workforce, Elimination of Collateral Duty Workforce, No Wrong Door, and the Prevention Workforce.

*U.S. Pacific Fleet Command (PACFLT).* One Love Escalation Workshops (OLEW) are an effects-based primary prevention tool, consistent with the values expressed in the GRGB initiative and aligned with the directives of the IRC. The workshops are conducted using a small group Peer-to-Peer education model grouped by ages 25 and below and 26 and above. OLEWs are 90-minute, film-based experiences that educate Sailors on the warning signs of an abusive relationship, creating a safe zone for discussing an all-too-common problem. The workshops are consistently cited as relatable and eye-opening. The small group sessions allows participants to discuss understanding the 10 signs of healthy and unhealthy relationships, how to practice healthy behaviors, communicating boundaries and practicing consent, as well as tools and resources in having those discussions with friends, peers or Sailors.

### **IRC LOE 3: Culture and Climate**

Key area of focus for IRC LOE 3 have involved implementation of various IRC recommendations focused on data availability, trainings, leader performance reviews, and gender advisor workforce. The implementation process is at varying stages for the



recommendations. Updates are provided below for recommendations with actions taken during FY21.

- *Recommendation 3.2: Educate the force about sexual harassment and sexual assault within the context of the Services' core values.* The Navy is continuing review of existing sexual assault prevention training to identify existing and needed touch points and gaps with current training and DoDI 6495.02, Volume 2 (Sexual Assault Prevention and Response: Education and Training).
- *Recommendation 3.3 b: Educate leaders on cyber harassment and technology-facilitated sexual harassment and sexual assault.* The Navy has provided support in collaboration with DON and USMC to review content and implementation plans. The Navy and Marine Corps subject matter experts reviewed the project plan for learning modules and key tasks that support planning, content development, course development, and course review and testing. Service representatives will provide feedback on final content for general and leader-focused cyber harassment modules when available.
- *Recommendation 3.3 c: Hold Service members appropriately accountable who engage in cyber harassment and other forms of technology-facilitated sexual harassment and sexual assault.* The Navy generated a report summarizing information and corrective action taken regarding sexual harassment cases involving the use of social media and technology. The report was submitted to DON for completion of this recommendation.
- *Recommendation 3.4 a: Elevate and standardize the gender advisor workforce; Recommendation 3.4 b: Use qualitative data as part of indicators for Defense Objective One of the Women, Peace and Security (WPS) Strategic Framework. Recommendation; 3.4 c: Integrate a gender analysis into the military's planning & operational frameworks; Recommendation 3.4 d: Review and revise Professional Military Education (PME) and DoD schoolhouse curricula to mainstream WPS priorities.* The Navy designated a representative to oversee the implementation of WPS requirements and continues to participate in a working group with Deputy Under Secretary of the Navy and the DON to develop strategies for the phased implementation of 3.4 a-3.4 d.
- *Recommendation 3.5 a: Use qualitative data to select and develop the right leaders.* The Navy continued a pilot program, using eight specific communities, to assess existing leadership evaluation methods to identify strengths and weaknesses and to develop and plan for needed improvements for the selection process. Updated the Navy Performance Evaluation System Instruction (Bureau of Personnel Instruction (BUPERSINST) 1610.10F), which requires mid-term counseling, signed 1 December 2021. A full re-write of BUPERSINST 1610.10F is in progress to include GRGB language and other policies being considered as part of Performance Evaluation Transformation. Additionally, a process is being developed and implemented to

document delivery of qualitative feedback, approaches to address developmental challenges, and impact on behaviors.

- *Recommendation 3.5 b: Include a meaningful narrative section in performance evaluations for officers and Non-Commissioned Officers (NCO).* The Navy is in the process of reviewing and updating current performance evaluation policies for Officers and NCOs to include a comprehensive narrative section related to the Navy unit climate and handling of sexual harassment and sexual assault cases. A study design for testing inclusion of “subordinate feedback” into performance evaluations was implemented to assess outcomes that will inform evaluations, development plans, useful data for the process, and approaches for correction/development of Service members not meeting expectations. N1-funded studies for FY22 were completed, providing a roadmap for performance evaluation transformation. FY23 studies are approved and underway to continue the validation of findings and understand determinates of evaluation records. Traits and value statements for potential future inclusion in performance evaluations have been identified and will be validated in FY23. BUPERSINST 1610.10F was released in December 2021 to include the recent eNavFit modernization Eval/Fitness Report (FITREP) program and adding coaching tools to Chapter 18 Mid-term Counselling. Mid-term Counselling Refocus and MyNavy Coaching training materials also support new modern efforts to encourage improved performance and development amongst Sailors. NAVADMINs along with other documents and training materials have been released in FY21 and 22.
- *Recommendation 3.6: Building a climate for the reduction of sexual harassment and sexual assault as a fundamental leader development requirement.* The Navy participated in the OSD Violence Prevention Cell Working Group to represent Service equities and inform prevention and leader development recommendation guidance. The Navy reviewed existing curricula for inclusion of or gaps in content focused on building a climate supporting the reduction of sexual violence. Gap analysis was conducted to ensure comprehensive review of key areas necessary for building a healthy climate. The Navy is working to identify the resources necessary to develop content to address identified gaps. Full implementation of the recommendation is expected in FY23.
- *Recommendation 3.8: Publish the nature and results of all disciplinary actions related to sexual misconduct and disseminate this information to troops periodically.* Sanitized NJP results (date, rank of accused, UCMJ violation(s), punishment/if imposed) are already authorized for release at the command level in plans of the week. Additionally, results of all general and special court-martials are published by the JAG Corps by month/year/Navy Region at the following website: Military Justice Information ([dodlive.mil](http://dodlive.mil)). The Navy has continued to work with the Public Affairs Office to determine a process by which local installations will collect all of the NJP results for tenant commands in the area in addition to coordinating with the Navy Regions regarding general and special court-martial results to publish case summary data to make information more accessible for Service members.

**1.2 Future Plans: Identify any major leadership-approved initiatives your Military Service/NGB is undertaking in support of prevention. Identify key considerations or obstacles that are currently affecting the implementation of prevention initiatives.**

Implementation of IRC recommendations, along with the aligned PPOA 2.0 and associated work stemming from the Immediate Actions, will continue to guide prevention focused actions. This includes forthcoming OSIEs and follow on actions from previously completed OSIEs and prevention workforce establishment. The Navy is well underway on various milestones that will be completed in FY23 and continues to build momentum for establishing a more robust, comprehensive primary prevention system.

Early in FY23, OPNAV and CNIC HQ facilitated a multi-day, offsite meeting of the IPW working group with participants from across the Fleet and at various echelons of responsibility. The focus of the offsite was development of a CONOPS to include Command and Control structure, the phased-in implementation plan, new hire onboarding and training, and strategic communications to the Fleet. This offsite was critical to solidifying CONOPS for the IPW and the strategic communications across the Navy. The phased implementation of the IPW will allow the Navy to test the proof of concept at selected installations. In these locations, vertical integration at the strategic, operational and tactical levels will be assessed on policy, personnel, practice and programs. Considerations for test site selection will include past/future OSIE locations, fleet concentration areas, joint bases, and where our deployable assets are located. In addition, the Navy will have POCs who actively support OFR working groups and other DON efforts focused on prevention workforce recruitment and hiring, credentialing, continuing education, and workforce evaluation.

DON OFR continues work on development of a DON integrated prevention policy based on DoDI 6400.09, with SECDEF directed completion in FY23. The Navy will develop integrated primary prevention policy and other guidance aligned with DoD and DON existing and forthcoming policies. The Navy will also work with the DON on associated prevention checklists that align with policy and can be utilized for prevention compliance assessment across the Navy. These tools will be utilized for expected OSIE site responses of reassessment of prevention capacity in FY23.

The PPOA 2.0 report, which will be finalized and submitted in December 2022, will summarize the 2022 Navy HQ Re-Assessment findings to include barriers, updated priority actions and activities, as well as the Navy's realignment of existing culture initiatives and other prevention related initiatives within the Integrated Cultural Framework (ICF) and GRGB initiative. The ICF and supporting Playbook will aid in streamlining existing terms, programs, and policies, providing leaders with an executable plan to build great people, teams, and leaders. The ICF will include GRGB, encompassing lessons learned from its rollout and aligning it with other programs and resources. These efforts will strategically align the Navy prevention and culture efforts and focus on fostering the importance of not only achieving outcomes, but 'how' we achieve those outcomes for sustainable, positive impact for all Sailors. These activities are directly related to IRC recommendations focused on leader development and supporting performance evaluation to develop and select the right leaders.

OPNAV HQ will monitor all IRC related Immediate Action implementation and the supporting activities for implementation through an OPNAV N17 developed COP. The COP will provide a shared system across the DON and the Service-level Offices of Primary Responsibility

(OPR) to have ongoing visibility of IRC implementation progress. It will also aid in establishing efficient approaches for data calls on IRC implementation status.

Echelon 2 and Fleet initiatives that support prevention efforts are listed in greater detail below.

CNIC HQ SAPR implemented or continued to use several initiatives in support of prevention across the Navy. These initiatives include:

- Continuing to use the call to action theme, “Respect. Protect. Empower.” as a tool to mobilize the Navy community to engage in actions that support primary prevention. This call to action supports the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Sailor’s Signature Behaviors, which are protective factors to prevent sexual assault and related destructive behaviors.
- Working with CNIC HQ Integrated Primary Prevention Program on updating materials, resources, and trainings for SAPR personnel to prepare them to effectively collaborate with the incoming prevention workforce. Both HQ teams will collaborate on additional prevention policy training for SAPR personnel based on the data from the CNIC HQ SAPR annual outreach survey as well as anecdotal feedback and frequently asked questions (FAQs) from SAPR personnel.
- Incorporating evaluation measures and evidence-based standards into all aspects of the program to help SAPR personnel align with DoDI 6400.09. One such aspect is a guide for SAPR personnel to assist them as they develop their own training products. This guide includes DoDI 6400.09 requirements for primary prevention training as well as general evaluation and research standards for all SAPR-related content which must go through an extensive review and approval process.
- Commander, Navy Region Southeast (CNRSE) is implementing a research study to assess the “Enhanced, Acknowledge, Assess, Act” (EAAA) program in a Navy context. EAAA was identified by RAND as an evidence-based primary prevention program that was suitable for military adaptation. According to independent analysis of 1st year college students, the program has been successful in reducing rapes by 46% at the one-year mark and those reductions were shown to last at a slightly decreased rate at the two-year mark. Attempted rapes were reduced by 72% and 64% at the same 1 and 2 year marks. CNRSE trained six female Ensign facilitators to provide the EAAA to incoming Navy females entering Naval Air Technical Training Center right out of boot camp. This initiative will complement existing bystander intervention training, implementation of the CNIC HQ SAPR call to action and the 21st Century Sailor Signature Behaviors, as well as command culture and climate efforts to create a comprehensive approach to primary prevention in accordance with the PPOA.

United States Fleet Forces (USFF) N1 Culture of Excellence will continue to conduct multiple Fleet-wide virtual and in-person Leadership, Resiliency and Toughness Workshops on topics ranging from Sexual Assault/Harassment Prevention, Suicide Prevention, Intimate Partner Abuse Prevention, and a Resilient Mindset. Additionally, Fleet Program Manager Workshops are also conducted virtually or in-person by Fleet Alcohol and Drug Control Offices, SAPR Program Managers, Family Advocacy Program (FAP), Employee Equal Opportunity, Suicide Prevention Program Managers and SAPR Officers. These personnel present, review and discuss policy updates, lessons learned and process improvement with the Fleet personnel. It also gives the opportunity for Fleet personnel to discuss questions, or

lessons learned with the Fleet subject matter experts (SME) and with peers throughout USFF Area of Responsibility.

*Challenges.* A major challenge with the prevention efforts has been and will likely continue to be the establishment of the prevention workforce. USFF is recruiting a large number of staff with specialized skills across the DoD. Recruitment and hiring require strategic processes to hire staff with the necessary prevention skill sets and the prior experience or ability to acclimate to a military context, particularly for the Navy's deployable environment. Program Managers continue to work with the DoD and DON on Human Resources (HR) recruitment and hiring processes to optimize hiring goal objectives. Continued supporting actions such as Direct Hiring Authorities and timely availability of Congressionally directed resources will aid in addressing these challenges. This workforce will also require ongoing training and credentialing, with standardized DoD training expectations and Navy-specific training that will continue to evolve based on forthcoming policy.

Several IRC recommendations have training foci for Service members. The Navy is continuing efforts to determine training gaps and content needs in existing and new training, but must also balance this with existing training requirements that often provide limited time for additional training content. As they approach these tasks, OPRs are considering the most strategic ways to infuse content while balancing the range of training demands.

The IRC recommendations and other supporting prevention actions are necessary for getting the Navy and the DoD to where we need to be with our prevention efforts. However, as indicated in the IRC Report, this type of behavioral and cultural change, and the prevention policies and programs supporting it, take time for establishment and implementation. Additionally, it will take time to see the desired, sustainable impacts. The Navy is working to establish a completely new workforce, along with implementing various other policy and prevention/culture activities, a massive undertaking. In order to reap maximum benefits from this investment, it will be critical to have the time to rest prevention workforce models to understand what is most effective in this military context.

**2. Goal 2 Victim Assistance & Advocacy: “deliver consistent and effective advocacy and care for all military Service members or their adult dependents, such that it empowers them to report assaults, promotes recovery, facilitates dignified and respectful treatment, and restores military readiness.”**

**2.1 Strategic Summary:** Summarize your efforts to achieve the Victim Assistance & Advocacy goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes and/or initiatives begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2022. As applicable, include significant updates to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes (e.g., Safe-to-Report policy); Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (sexual) and Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocate (SAPR VA) training and how the effectiveness of this training is evaluated; manpower and resource capabilities and/or shortfalls; SAPR personnel certifications/continuing education/background checks; resources/products to support victims, retaliation reporters, and responders; victim medical and mental health services; sexual assault victim and retaliation reporter care; gender-responsive outreach and care; collaboration with civilian and military victim response organizations and academic experts (e.g., warm handoffs of Service members requiring assistance); SAPR training improvements for the force (e.g., junior officer (O1-O2), mid-level enlisted (E4-E6), and junior enlisted training on appropriate actions to report and respond to

**sexual assault). There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 2.2 through 2.12 below. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, p. 7 / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response: Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 2, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response: Education and Training,” (April 9, 2021)) / National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for FY2011, Section 1631**

The Navy continued to make advances in victim assistance and advocacy efforts in addition to continuing to support and refine in-place efforts from previous years. CNIC focused on several new initiatives, along with the development and implementation of IRC recommendations, over the past twelve months. These included:

- Initial development of a visual aid, known as the Retaliation Reporting Pathway, to assist eligible reporters with identifying the best investigator for handling their report and the process for making a report to the DoD Inspector General and/or the SARC, based on the nature of the alleged retaliation. This will be provided during a Retaliation Policy Panel, where key stakeholders including investigators will provide SAPR personnel with information on their investigative process, timelines, and expectations, and typical outcomes.
- In accordance with IRC Recommendation 4.2 a: Increase access to and visibility of civilian community-based care, CNIC HQ SAPR required all installations to provide their local civilian victim service agency contact information for inclusion in the DoD Safe Helpline local responder database. Victims who enter their local information into the search function will be able to access civilian community-based care in addition to military resources.
- Provided quarterly Policy Panel webinars and other CNIC-hosted webinars/trainings to include Sexual Harassment training for SAPR Personnel, DD Form 2910 Series Train-the-Trainer, DoD Policy Changes and IRC Recommendations, Inputting FAP Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Abuse into Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID), Catch a Serial Offender (CATCH), and DSAID Documentation: Practical & Ethical Considerations.
- Completed regional Case Management Group (CMG) trainings for SAPR personnel and commanding officers at regions across the enterprise, incorporating monthly CMG responsibilities and Expedited Transfer advocacy requirements from the most recent Deputy Secretary of Defense (DSD) Memo updates dated November 2021. The trainings were tailored to the specific needs of each region.
- Provided quarterly Safety and High-Risk Response Team trainings to SARCs and SAPR Victim Advocates (VA) to enhance guidance and provide clarity to SARCs and SAPR VAs regarding safety considerations while supporting victims of sexual assault.
- Scheduled live quarterly Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP) trainings to educate SARCs and SAPR VAs on the D-SAACP certification process and DoD policy changes and to target a reduction in suspensions and revocations.
- Developed talking points on DoD Updates to SAPR Policy and Procedures to assist SARCs with communicating Expansion of Restricted Reporting Eligibility, Victim

Declination, and Extension of Timeline for Expedited Transfer policy changes when briefing commanders.

Navy Medicine also pursued new initiatives and refined previous protocols to advance victim care and recovery. Listed below are several significant FY22 developments and updates Navy Medicine led or participated in:

- Continued to work alongside Defense Health Agency (DHA) to standardize the delivery of medical-forensic care across the DoD. The development of the Forensic Healthcare Leadership Team unites Service Leads and the DHA for collaboration of updated policy and practice, development of new forensic healthcare pathways serving victims of physical and sexual violence, and expands access to provider Sexual Assault Forensic Exam (SAFE) training, both initial and refresher, for both Medical Treatment Facilities (MTF) and operational communities. Listed are significant FY22 developments and updates in which Navy Medicine played a role:
- Continued a late FY21-initiated dialogue with DHA, OPNAV, Department of Homeland Security, Department of Justice, State Department, DoD Office of General Counsel, and DoD SAPR for the eventual release of official guidance on what type of SAPR advocacy and SAFE services could legally be provided to Afghan evacuees receiving care at a Navy medical facility beyond the emergent/urgent care to include emergency contraception and prophylactic sexually transmitted infections (STI) treatment during Operation Allies Refuge. The effects of this policy carried over into FY22 as the transition to Operation Allies Welcome sought to resettle refugees and to provide evacuees with temporary housing, sustainment, and support inside of the United States.
- Clarified two Memoranda from the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense describing and explaining the current federal policy on pregnancy termination, women's health services, contraceptive care, and other reproductive health services to Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners (SAMFE). The Supreme Court's decision in *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization* does not prohibit the DoD from continuing to perform covered abortions, consistent with federal law. There will be no interruption to this care. Health care providers will continue to follow existing departmental policy, and the leadership of military MTFs will implement measures to ensure continued access to care. All SAMFE Program Managers have been encouraged to consult with their respective Staff Judge Advocates (SJA), General Counsel (GC), and Women's Health/Obstetrics and Gynecology departments should a scenario requiring clarification arise. Also, Office of Assistant Secretary of Defense Health Affairs released a fact sheet entitled, "Questions from the Force on Essential Women's Health Care Services for Service Members, Dependents, Beneficiaries, and DoD Civilian Employees," which provides excellent information regarding Military Health System care for women in regard to their choices and abortion services.
- The Bureau of Medicine and Surgery (BUMED) collaborated with DHA, CNIC, and OPNAV N17 to implement DoD Manual (DoDM) 6400.01 Volume 3 Change 1 that now assigns the MTF Forensic Healthcare Program as the responsible MTF program for ensuring proper assignment of an appropriate health care providers and

alternates from the installation MTF to attend the monthly Incident Determination Committee (IDC) across every installation. DHA, providing oversight and assuming responsibility of this requirement, released a memo entitled, "Medical Core Members on the Incident Determination Committees (IDCs)," which directed the most qualified providers to sit on their local IDC as core voting members.

- Continued to collaborate with the DHA Forensic Healthcare Advisory Council working group comprised of SMEs from the U.S. Army, U.S. Air Force, Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS), and other stakeholders to ensure the best interest of our Active Duty and other beneficiaries who report to the MTF with a disclosure of sexual assault.
- Performed 348 sexual assault forensic exams at the Navy MTFs, an 8 percent increase from FY21 (322).

**2.2 SARC and SAPR VA Suspension, Revocation, and Reinstatement:** Without providing personally identifiable information, how many SARCs and SAPR VAs in your Military Service/NGB received a suspension? A revocation? A reinstatement? (Identify how many SARCs and SAPR VAs for each category). (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, Objective 2.1, p. 8 / DoDI 6495.03, "Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP)," (February 28, 2020), sections 3.6 & 3.7, p. 11-15)

POSITION	FY22 SUSPENSION OF CERTIFICATION	FY22 REVOCATION OF CERTIFICATION	FY22 REINSTATEMENT
SARC	1	2	0
Civilian SAPR VA	0	0	0
Military SAPR VA	1	1	0
Total:	2	3	0

Continuous D-SAACP policy and procedures training enterprise-wide contributed to a decrease in suspensions and revocations from the previous year. Suspensions decreased by 50% (from 4 in FY21) and revocations decreased by 40% (from 5 in FY21). The percentage of reinstatements did not change.

**2.3 Sexual Assault Forensic Exam (SAFE) Kits:** Was the medical care of any Service member hindered due to the lack of a SAFE kit, timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources, or other resources? If yes, explain and also address how many times this occurred and what actions were taken to mitigate the issue? (Note: This answer should be consistent with the number reported in the Victim Services matrices). As related to reports of sexual assault, were there any issues at medical treatment facilities with the availability of supplies for testing and treatment for sexually transmitted infections and diseases, including HIV, and testing for pregnancy? (NDAA for FY 2006, section 596 / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), Encl 8)

The medical care of Service members was not hindered due to a lack of a SAFE kit or other resources. TriTech Forensics, the manufacturer that the DoD contracts for the purchase of DoD-approved forensic evidence collection kits, experienced intermittent inventory



backorders due to global supply chain issues. However, no Navy MTF or SAFE-enabled vessel within USFF or PACFLT reported any DoD SAFE kit or toxicology kit shortages. The SAMFE program managers at BUMED, Naval Medical Forces Pacific, Naval Medical Forces Atlantic, and DHA proactively implemented resource sharing processes in the event any SAFE program reported a supply shortfall. Additionally, DHA released an official DHA Office of General Counsel-validated "Facility-created Physical Evidence Recovery Kit Guide" which provided information on where to obtain the components needed for a "make-it-yourself" SAFE kit. The Family and Sexual Violence (F&SV) Investigations Division at NCIS instructed its installation offices and detachments to check their stock of DoD SAFE kits and to reach out to their local MTF to ascertain if any could use extra kits.

The number of "DoD Tritech Forensic" kits available across all Navy Medicine facilities that offer SAFEs is reported and tracked monthly. As of September 2022, there were 836 DoD SAFE kits and 526 toxicology kits throughout the Navy MTFs.

No issues regarding laboratory testing were reported. All SAFE kits go to the United States Army Criminal Investigative Laboratory/Defense Forensic Science Center, and all forensic toxicology kits are sent to the Armed Forces Medical Examiner System. Protocol for handling of SAFE kits and toxicology kits are in the MTF protocols and are also included in the Memorandum of Agreement (MOA), if an MOA exists with a partnered facility.

**2.4 Military Protective Orders: How many Military Protective Orders (MPOs) were issued as a result of an Unrestricted Report? Were any victim requests for an MPO denied? If so, what was the reason? How many MPOs were violated by the alleged offender? Indicate if the victim(s) and the alleged offender(s) were provided with copies of the DD Form 2873, "Military Protective Order," as required. (NDAA for FY 2010, section 567 / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), Encl 5, para 8)**

Military Protective Orders (MPO) are used to ensure no contact between victims and their alleged offenders. Copies of the DD Form 2873 Military Protective Order are provided to the victim and alleged offender and a copy is kept for the command. The status of requests for MPOs as well as their expiration is included on the CMG checklist and is reviewed during each meeting. Violations of MPOs and actions taken by the command and law enforcement are discussed to ensure the safety of the victim. Each MPO is tracked via DSAID.

In FY22, the Navy issued a total of 163 MPOs in response to allegations of sexual assault, with ten violations from six victims reported.

**2.5 Appropriate Care in Deployed Environments: What steps did your Military Service/NGB take in FY 2022 to ensure trained personnel, appropriate supplies, and transportation resources are accessible to deployed units in order to provide an appropriate and timely response in any case of a reported sexual assault in a deployed unit, location, or environment? (NDAA for FY 2011, section 1631)**

BUMED continues to work with our Fleet medical assets to ensure their educational needs and skills sustainment requirements are fostered, and to develop innovative methods for supporting our afloat, deployed, and overseas SAMFE providers. The BUMED SAPR Office is in constant communication with Fleet Health Services at USFF and PACFLT to ensure

that SAMFE services are uninterrupted aboard SAFE-enabled vessels. The regional SAMFE program managers at Naval Medical Forces Pacific and Naval Medical Forces Atlantic are available to conduct refresher training and to peer review any recent cases. Additionally, the BUMED SAPR Office interfaces with The Medical Officer of the Marine Corps regarding SAFE services, though there are currently no medical provider or nurse billets at the Marine Expeditionary Force (MEF) level nor within medical battalions. During intermittent backlogs of DoD SAFE kits manufactured by TriTech Forensics, steps were taken to ensure that no SAFE-enabled vessels faced a shortage of these kits. Also, of the 100 Navy-affiliated students who attended the initial two-week SAMFE course aboard Fort Sam Houston in San Antonio in FY22, 48 were deployers and bound for the Fleet; no Fleet/deployer students were turned away from the course at any time as they are given top priority for quotas.

**2.6 Victim Expedited Transfer Request Oversight in Monthly Case Management Group (CMG): Provide the number and CMG-documented circumstances of ALL Expedited Transfers taking longer than 30 calendar days (i.e., tracking of the number of days between the approval date of a victim's request for Expedited Transfer and the date the victim physically departs the losing station (Permanent Change of Station), or the date the victim changes duty assignment location (Permanent Change of Assignment)). Were High-Risk Response Teams initiated when circumstances indicated that the transfer delay appreciably increased risk of harm to the victim? (Deputy Secretary of Defense (DSD) Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), Encl 9, para 2b(4))**

Of the 327 approved expedited transfer requests made in FY22, 179 (54.7%) indicated complete physical departure of the victim from losing duty station to gaining duty station within 30 calendar days or less, whereas 127 (38.8%) expedited transfers took greater than 30 days and 21 (6.4%) were never indicated within DSAID as complete. Of the expedited transfers requiring greater than 30 days to execute the victim's physical departure from the losing command, no reasons for the delays were documented in victims' case-specific meeting minutes within the DSAID CMG module. The CMG case-specific meeting minutes input by SARCs did not indicate any instances where High Risk Response Teams were stood up due to an appreciable increase in risk to the victim following a delay in the expedited transfer.

**2.7 Expedited Transfer Victim Mandatory Intake Meeting: How is your Military Service/NGB verifying the occurrence of the mandatory "intake" meetings between the sexual assault victim and the gaining SARC upon arrival at the new installation for ALL Expedited Transfers?\* Through your verification, were there any instances noted where these meetings did not occur? What actions were taken to correct these occurrences? \*Please note that the revised DD Form 2910, Victim Reporting Preference Statement," states that victim consent is no longer needed for the automatic transfer of the case to the gaining SARC in Expedited Transfers. (DSD Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,"**

**(November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), Encl 5, para 6a(4))**

Of the 327 approved expedited transfer requests, 238 (72.8%) indicated that the out-brief with the losing SARC was successfully completed and 213 (65.1%) scheduled an intake brief with the gaining SARC as part of the warm hand-off procedures. Outbriefs were not completed in 19 (5.8%) instances nor was an intake meeting scheduled with the gaining SARC in 27 (8.3%) instances. SARCs otherwise indicated this information as unknown.

Quality assurance (QA) audits of expedited transfer entries in DSAID are performed on a quarterly basis with the assistance of PERS 454. Corrective action is provided to the regions for SARC action in the event where a SARC has not (a) entered the expedited transfer, (b) updated the status of the expedited transfer, and/or (c) input information about the victim's outbrief with the losing SARC or scheduled intake brief with the gaining SARC.

Monthly and quarterly live refresher training is provided to the SARCs on the appropriate entry of expedited transfer information, including expected timelines and other related enhancements and modules that must be completed in the event of a delay in expedited transfer. Additional training is also provided to the field regarding the requirement to conduct additional safety assessments in the event of a delay in expedited transfer, as well as its related documentation requirements in the DSAID CMG module, in the event that a delayed expedited transfer appreciably increases the risk of harm to the victim.

**2.8 Quarterly CMG Meetings: How is your Military Service/NGB verifying the occurrence of the mandatory of Quarterly CMG Meetings (that are required in addition to the monthly CMG meetings)? Through your verification, were there any instances noted where these meetings did not occur? What actions, if any, were taken to correct these occurrences? How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that the Quarterly CMG Chair is reviewing the meeting notes and tracking the progress to correct systematic issues? (DSD Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), Encl 9)**

The Navy SARCs are required to document the occurrence of Quarterly CMG Meetings within the DSAID general meeting minutes area, including follow-on actions from the previous quarterly meeting. Audits reveal 16 of these meetings were held across three of ten operational Regions in FY22. Monthly QA reviews conducted by CNIC HQ SAPR began with the release of the USD(P&R) Memo when this requirement was first announced in order to capture installations that began early implementation prior to promulgation of new DoD SAPRO policy. Several installations in the CNRSE region were early adopters of the policy and participated in efforts to build QA audits and capture best practices in documentation and tracking of completed actions between quarterly meetings.

Throughout FY22, CNIC HQ SAPR facilitated CMG trainings for Regional SARCs (RSARC), SARCs, SAPR VAs, and Commanding Officers (CO), which included the addition of the Quarterly CMGs per the November 2019 USD(P&R) Memo. This information was also

presented during the quarterly CNIC Senior Shore Leadership Course to incoming Command Triad personnel.

In August and September 2022, CNIC HQ SAPR provided four live trainings to the SAPR enterprise to explain CMG policy changes, including the requirements of the Quarterly CMGs and to communicate immediate implementation.

CNIC HQ SAPR is updating the CNIC Instruction 1752.4 to reflect policy changes. CNIC HQ SAPR will consult with OPNAV on drafting policy language that will address actions to be taken if Quarterly CMGs are not held at all or not held in compliance with policy. This instruction also currently includes a checklist for the annual RSARC CMG Quality Assessment process and will account for the need to QA the Quarterly CMGs. RSARCs have been advised to include the Quarterly CMGs in their Calendar Year 22 QA process to ensure they are occurring and being implemented according to policy.

At each of the trainings, CNIC HQ SAPR communicated to SAPR personnel and COs that the Chair must review the meeting notes and track the progress to correct systemic issues. This expectation will be included in instruction updates as well as the RSARC CMG QA Assessment Tool.

**2.9 Expanded Eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the Catch a Serial Offender (CATCH) Program: How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL SARCs and SAPR VAs have been trained on and are familiar with the expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting (e.g., ability for victims to make a restricted report even if the assault is disclosed through their chain of command, but not personally to law enforcement) and the CATCH Program (i.e., ability for victims who made an Unrestricted Report to utilize the CATCH Program when the name of the subject was not disclosed or discovered during an investigation)? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your SARCs and SAPR VAs? (DSD Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), Encl 4)**

CNIC SAPR HQ provided NAVADMIN 151/22 Immediate Changes to Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Policy and DoD Updates to SAPR Policy and Procedures Talking Points to SARCs to enable them to understand and articulate the critical changes in the victim support process as it relates to privacy and confidentiality under Restricted Reporting.

Also provided was a CNIC-hosted webinar delivering CATCH a Serial Offender training to SARCs and SAPR VAs prior to the policy update. DoD SAPRO is developing procedures for sexual assault victims who have filed an Unrestricted Report to participate in the CATCH program.

**2.10 Eligibility to File a "Section 540K Declination Letter" and Requesting the Return of Personal Property Utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, "Return of Victim's Personal**

**Property in Restricted Reporting Sexual Assault Cases Collected During a SAFE:**

How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL SARCs and SAPR VAs have been trained on and are familiar with the eligibility of a victim to file a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and request the return of personal property, utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, relinquished as part of the SAFE and after filing a Restricted Report? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your SARCs and SAPR VAs? (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), para 4ab(4) & Encl 4, para 1d)

DoD SAPRO and CNIC HQ SAPR hosted policy panel webinars, facilitated by NCIS and other SAPR stakeholders, to provide SARCs and SAPR VAs an overview of the current policies on eligibility of a victim to file a “Section 540K Declination Letter”, and request the return of personal property relinquished as part of the SAFE and after filing a Restricted Report, using a DD Form 2910-3. Multiple live training sessions were conducted to ensure attendance by participants enterprise-wide. Questions were compiled from each training session and FAQs were distributed to the field to address questions presented from SARCs and SAPR VAs.

**2.11 Efforts to Address Approved Independent Review Commission (IRC)**

**Recommendations:** Identify major actions completed or underway to address the approved recommendations of IRC Line of Effort 4: Victim Care and Support. Focus response on IRC efforts undertaken during FY 2022. (See the requirements listed in question 1.1)

Within the Navy, several SAPR stakeholders are involved with coordinating, planning and implementing various IRC recommendations for Line of Effort 4: Victim Care and Support in accordance with DoD OFR, DoD SAPRO and DON OFR guidance, including:

- *Recommendation 4.1 a: Moving SARCs and SAPR VAs from the command reporting structure.* The Navy Survey Programs Office, OPNAV N17, and CNIC NAF HR collaborated to conduct an online SAPR Workforce Study Desk Audit to assess work currently performed by SAPR personnel to determine how to best align SARCs and SAPR VAs to a structure that best balances support to command but also permits appropriate independence from inappropriate influences upon the SAPR mission. The Workforce Study was completed on 30 September 2022. The final report will be provided to DoD on 30 January 2023.
- *Recommendation 4.1 b: Eliminating collateral duty for SARCs and SAPR VAs, with exceptions for ships, submarines, and isolated installations.* The Navy has developed an implementation plan to phase out collateral duty for SARCs and SAPR VAs. Ships, submarines, isolated installations and deployable commands that will be retaining collateral duty SAPR VAs have been identified. A preliminary list of the specific locations retaining uniform victim advocates and the number of personnel assigned to the location has been submitted to DoD. The final implementation plan will be provided to DoD on 30 January 2023.

- *Recommendation 4.1 c: Explore the co-location of SAPR and SHARP with other special victim services, such as FAP, to improve coordination, collaboration, and consistency in victim support.* The Navy's SAPR program is co-located and operates within the Fleet and Family Support Centers and Military Family Support Centers with other support programs to reinforce No Wrong Door approach and to ensure improved coordination, collaboration and consistency in victim care and support. This action is complete.
- *Recommendation 4.1 d: Determining how to train medical personnel to perform basic forensic evidence collection in deployed and isolated environments (as part of the healthcare encounter). (Modified): The Secretary of Defense will ensure victims can receive forensic evidence collection and appropriate care in all locations, including in deployed and isolated environments. Medical personnel in deployed and isolated environments where law enforcement personnel are not immediately available will be trained in non-intrusive techniques to help victims identify and preserve evidence to avoid loss.* The IRC recognized that when a Navy Service member is sexually assaulted in a deployed or isolated setting where no billeted SAMFE is available, protocol dictates that the victim be airlifted as soon as possible to the nearest port, SAFE-enabled vessel, or hospital with access to trained and certified SAMFEs, which may delay crucial evidence collection by 24 to 48 hours. BUMED is coordinating with the DHA Forensic Health Program to update policy and provide guidance on training and preparation of medical personnel for non-intrusive forensic evidence collection and appropriate care in deployed and isolated environments. DHA is conducting a preliminary study and literature review to determine the best course of action.
- *Recommendation 4.2 a: Increasing access to and visibility of civilian community-based care.* The Navy developed policy, execution guidance and processes to identify available resources and regularly collect, document, update, and publicize assistance available and contact information for non-DoD providers near each military installation. Provided resource information to SARCs, SAPR VAs, healthcare providers, chaplains, Equal Opportunity Advisors, and Victims' Legal Counsel (VLC) so that they can make this information available to all persons seeking assistance for a sexual assault or sexual harassment, as appropriate. This action is complete (June 2022).
- *Recommendation 4.2 b: Authorize Service members to access the full spectrum of VA services for conditions related to Military Sexual Assault and Harassment confidentially and without a referral.* The Navy is continuing to work towards including in policy and operationalizing victim access to the full spectrum of services for sexual assault and sexual harassment confidentially and without referral, where appropriate. Stakeholders include the Navy SAPR policy, medical, legal and mental health personnel.
- *Recommendation 4.2 d: Create Survivor-led Peer Support Programs that Allow for In-person, Virtual, and Telephone Interaction.* BUMED is working with CNIC to

determine how to best create survivor-led peer support programs that allow for in-person, virtual, and telephonic interaction.

- *Recommendation 4.3 a: Implementing the “No Wrong Door” approach to sexual harassment, sexual assault, and domestic abuse across the Services and NGB.* The Secretary of Navy issued the “Department Of The Navy No Wrong Door Policy” in June 2022 to ensure that victims who have experienced sexual assault, sexual harassment or domestic abuse receive the appropriate care, response and support when they are seeking assistance. The Navy established a working group comprised of subject matter experts from all concerned stakeholder offices to operationalize the “No Wrong Door Policy.” To ensure full compliance with the DoD Policy Memo, the working group identified four milestones to be accomplished before the Navy can fully implement the policy including; training for service providers, educational and media campaign materials, documentation of “warm hand-offs” and follow-through with victims to ensure the needs of the person were met, and installation level procedures and meetings to foster liaisons, confirm warm hand-offs, and identify any challenges with implementation.
- *Recommendation 4.3 c: Allow survivors flexibility to take non-chargeable time off for seeking services or time for recovery from sexual assault.* The Navy will issue policy guidance directing adherence to DoDI 1327.06, (Leave and Liberty Policies and Procedures) which authorizes commanders and MTF directors to grant non-chargeable convalescent leave to Service members for their treatment and recuperation from sexual assault based on a recommendation of a medical or mental healthcare provider or sexual assault medical forensic examiner.
- *Recommendation 4.4 b: Develop training to build the capacity of SARCs and SAPR VAs to provide culturally-competent care to Service members from communities of Color, Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer and Questioning (LGBTQ+) Service members, religious minorities, and men.* The Navy is developing a in-person SARC and SAPR VA training that builds and sustains SAPR response personnel’s competency in all areas of advocacy to include underserved populations.

**2.12 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Victim Assistance and Advocacy goal.**

There has been increased focus on reducing trivialization of victim and survivor experiences at annual awareness and prevention events and activities through coordinated local efforts between SAPR personnel (i.e., SARCs, SAPR VAs) and SAPR stakeholders (i.e., commands, (Military Criminal Investigative Office (MCIO), etc.)). Installations are taking greater care to ensure events are tailored toward enhancing knowledge of sexual assault and prevention-forward skill training while cultivating respect and empowerment of victims and survivors. These efforts include impact assessments, informal hot washes and formal after action reports, participant and leadership feedback mechanisms, and upsurging SAPR personnel presence at events where victims, survivors, or family members may be adversely impacted.

CNIC hopes to finalize the *Guide to Developing SAPR Training module* in early FY23 to educate RSARCs, SARCs and SAPR VAs on how to locally develop SAPR training for military and civilian personnel. The module is designed to help guide SAPR staff through the steps of instructional design and delivery, including templates for Design Plans, Instructor Guide and Slide Decks, and provides flow charts to prepare, submit for approval, market and deliver training. The training module incorporates the DoDI 6400.09 Integrated Prevention Policy and PPOA requirements for process and outcome evaluations. A showcase of *The Guide to Developing SAPR Training module* will be provided to RSARCs, SARCs and SAPR VAs upon its release.

CNIC HQ SAPR has scheduled NCIS policy updates to present information regarding Evidence Retention and Expansion of Restricted Reporting Training to RSARCs and SARCs. The training will provide an overview on the DSD memo related to NCIS's process and procedures on property retention and returning of personal property.

BUMED will host the "2022 Navy Medicine SAPR Summit" for the benefit of SAPR VAs, SARCs and SAMFEs on 8 November 2022. Speakers from CNIC, OPNAV, and NCIS will provide training that will augment the skill set and knowledge base of these aforementioned stakeholders.

### **3. Goal 3 Investigation: "sustain a high level of competence in the investigation of adult sexual assault using investigative resources to yield timely results."**

**3.1 Strategic Summary:** Summarize your efforts for achieving the Investigation goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes and/or initiatives begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2022. As applicable, include significant updates made to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes; investigative resources (e.g., crime scene processing, evidence accountability, and hotlines) and manpower capabilities; training for military criminal investigators, law enforcement personnel, and/or first responders (e.g., new equipment training, interview techniques, and the CATCH Program) and how the effectiveness of this training is evaluated; Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability for Military Criminal Investigative Organizations (e.g., investigator and prosecutor coordination); case timeliness and quality reviews; sexual assault-related retaliation investigations; and information sharing within DoD and other organizations (e.g., federal and/or local civilian law enforcement). There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 3.2 through 3.6 below. **(DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 3 – Investigation, p. 9 / NDAA for FY 2020, section 540J)**

In FY22, NCIS established the Family and Sexual Violence (F&SV) Special Agent career track and a new Headquarters-level F&SV Program Management Department within the NCIS Criminal Investigations and Operations Directorate. The new department is led by a GS-15 Deputy Assistant Director and includes three divisions responsible for F&SV Policy and Engagement; Investigations Oversight and Compliance; and Analytics.



Additionally in FY22, NCIS increased the number of personnel supporting sexual assault investigations by 40. This increase included Special Agents, criminal investigators, investigative analysts, and digital forensic examiners. Further increases in manning are anticipated over the next five fiscal years. With the increased manning and resources, NCIS implemented a new task force approach to support adult sexual assault investigations that is comprised of investigators, digital forensic examiners, crime scene technicians, and investigative analysts. This approach allowed more thorough and timely resolution of adult sexual assault investigations. NCIS also created new Senior Field Training Agent positions in each geographic field office to serve as subject matter experts and mentors for F&SV investigations.

Finally in FY22, as the program manager for the DoD's CATCH Program website and database, NCIS implemented a number of updates to the CATCH system. Pursuant to DoD policy changes, the CATCH website was updated to expand access to victims who file an unrestricted report but decline MCIO investigation, as well as to victims of domestic abuse who make a restricted report of sexual assault to FAP. The system was also updated to provide victims the capability to print their CATCH entry, pursuant to IRC recommendations. Other victim-centric system updates included increasing the length of time a victim may use their logon credentials from 24 hours to 72 hours, and increasing the number of times the credentials may be used from three times to five times. The system was further updated to allow mobile browser compatibility so that victims may access the system from a mobile phone or tablet.

**3.2 Expanded Eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the CATCH Program: How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL MCIO investigators and military law enforcement personnel have been trained on and are familiar with the expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting (e.g., ability for victims to make a restricted report even if the assault is disclosed through their chain of command, but not personally to law enforcement) and the CATCH Program (i.e., ability for victims who made an Unrestricted Report to utilize the CATCH Program when the name of the subject was not disclosed or discovered during an investigation)? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your MCIO investigators and military law enforcement personnel? (DSD Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), Encl 4)**

On January 1, 2022, NCIS issued an agency-wide message announcing the DoD's expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting, as well as the expansion of the CATCH program to victims who file an Unrestricted Report but decline to participate in an MCIO investigation. These changes were incorporated into NCIS's annual in-service training on adult sexual assault investigations, which is mandatory for all NCIS Special Agents and investigators. Additionally, NCIS Headquarters hosted web-based informational sessions with NCIS field office senior leaders to discuss the policy changes and answer questions.

**3.3 Requests for “Section 540K Declination Letter” and Requesting the Return of Personal Property Utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, “Return of Victim’s Personal Property in Restricted Reporting Sexual Assault Cases Collected During a SAFE:”** Provide the number of “Section 540K Declination Letter” requests that your Military Service/NGB received in FY 2022. If provided, include a summary of the reason(s) for the request (no more than the top three reasons are sufficient). Also, did any investigations continue (despite there being a “Section 540K Declination Letter” from the victim) and what was/were the reason(s) why? How many requests did your Military Service/NGB receive, utilizing the DD Form 2910-3, for the return of personal property? (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), para 4ab(4) & Encl 4, para 1d)

NCIS implemented the Section 540K Victim Declination Letter (named the NCIS 540K Victim Preference Statement) on February 1, 2022. For sexual assault investigations initiated between February 1, 2022 and September 30, 2022, NCIS received 224 NCIS 540K Victim Preference Statements from the Navy-affiliated victims. Generally, the reasons for declining participation in the NCIS investigation were not provided. Following receipt of the declination notifications, 38 NCIS investigations continued, primarily due to the existence of viable investigative leads and/or knowledge of the subject’s identity. Of note, NCIS previously offered sexual assault victims a declination option, the NCIS Victim Preference Statement, prior to the implementation of Section 540K Victim Declination Letter. For sexual assault investigations initiated between October 1, 2021 and January 31, 2022, NCIS received 77 NCIS Victim Preference Statements from the Navy-affiliated victims, with 20 investigations continuing without the victim’s participation. A total of 301 victim declination forms were received during the FY.

In FY22, the NCIS Consolidated Evidence Facility received one request for return of personal property from a Restricted Reporting case via DD Form 2910-3. The case involved a Marine victim.

**3.4 Eligibility to File a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and Requesting the Return of Personal Property Utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, “Return of Victim’s Personal Property in Restricted Reporting Sexual Assault Cases Collected During a SAFE:”** How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL MCIO investigators and military law enforcement personnel have been trained on and are familiar with the eligibility of a victim to file a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and request the return of personal property, utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, relinquished as part of the SAFE and after filing a Restricted Report? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your MCIO investigators and law enforcement personnel? (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), para 4ab(4) & Encl 4, para 1d)

On January 1, 2022, NCIS issued an agency-wide message announcing NCIS policy changes relevant to the Section 540K Declination Letter (named the NCIS 540K Victim Preference Statement) and procedures for the return of personal property collected pursuant to Restricted Report SAFE. These changes were incorporated into NCIS's annual in-service training on adult sexual assault investigations, which is mandatory for all NCIS Special Agents and investigators. Additionally, NCIS Headquarters hosted webinar informational sessions with NCIS field office senior leaders to discuss the policy changes and answer questions.

**3.5 Evidence Processing Challenges: Describe any evidence processing challenges at the Defense Forensic Science Center (e.g., shipping delays and turnaround time for processing of SAFE kits and other evidence) and actions taken to address or mitigate these challenges. (Joint Chiefs of Staff Strategic Direction to the Joint Force on SAPR (May 7, 2012), p. 11)**

In FY22, the NCIS Office of Forensic Support (OFS) continued to lead NCIS efforts to track the evidence submission error rate for forensic evidence submitted to the United States Army Criminal Investigation Laboratory (USACIL). NCIS developed a Power BI dashboard, where USACIL evidence error submission data is uploaded monthly, making real-time submission error data readily available to the field. The error rates for each NCIS field office are further tracked and addressed through the Systematic Planning, Accountability, and Resourcing through Collaboration quality control and resource assessment process.

Agency-wide efforts also continued in pursuit of reducing evidence submission error rates. For example, OFS partnered with USACIL to conduct the first ever training for NCIS Evidence Custodians, enabling them to visit USACIL and work hand-in-hand with the Forensic Case Management Branch to empower them to assist with combatting evidence submission errors at their respective field offices. OFS also partnered with the NCIS Headquarters Major Case Response Team Desk Officer, who proactively created a new training targeting logical solutions to the submission error rate problem, such as being mindful about not packaging items with sharp corners in paper bags and reinforcing attention to detail when completing evidence submission paperwork.

Despite efforts to correct these errors through awareness, training, and more robust packing material, the error submission rate has remained above USACIL (and NCIS) targets.

In FY22, USACIL's average quarterly turnaround time for processing sexual assault evidence has been reduced from the highest average of 97 days to completion in Q4FY21 to 62 days completion in Q4FY22.

**3.6 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Investigation goal.**

Looking forward in FY23, NCIS will increase the number of personnel supporting adult sexual assault investigations by 42. This will include expansion of the new F&SV Program Management Department, to include additional desk officers and analysts dedicated to the oversight of adult sexual assault investigations. These new resources will also support the

F&SV Special Agent career track, which will allow continued growth of the career specialty and, ultimately, more thorough and timely adult sexual assault investigations.

Additionally in FY23, NCIS anticipates implementation of Cornerstone, a new case and evidence management system. Cornerstone will streamline data collection for sexual assault investigations, assist with the timely documentation of crime scene processing, and streamline the evidence management

#### **4. Goal 4 Accountability: “maintain a high competence in holding alleged offenders appropriately accountable.”**

**4.1 Strategic Summary:** Summarize your efforts to achieve the Accountability goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2022. As applicable, include significant updates to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes; any new legal support resources, manpower capabilities, and/or redesign of services provided to victims/clients; and training and certification (as required) of personnel affiliated with the Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability program (paralegals, trial counsel, and victim-witness assistance personnel) for responding to allegations of sexual assault and how the effectiveness of this training is evaluated. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 4.2 through 4.9 below. **(DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 4 – Accountability, p. 9)**

The Office of the Judge Advocate General (OJAG) Criminal Law Division (OJAG Code 20) continues to deliver training on sexual assault policy for judge advocates who advise convening authorities. This training is incorporated into the Basic, Intermediate, and Advanced Staff Judge Advocate courses, and includes lectures and practical exercises. Additionally, OJAG Code 20 produces and distributes training materials on sexual assault policy to judge advocates supporting the Fleet. These materials, including Sidebars on SAPR policy updates, serve as timely reference materials on the latest developments in sexual assault policy.

OJAG Code 20 led the Navy’s effort in establishing the Office of the Special Trial Counsel (OSTC) as required in the FY22 NDAA. The OSTC is an office under the Secretary of the Navy that will work with other agencies, such as SAPR and NCIS, to investigate 11 “covered” offenses, including sexual assault, in the Uniformed Code of Military Justice (UCMJ). Special Trial Counsel (STC) who are certified by the JAG and assigned to the OSTC will also be the referral authority for covered offenses. Special Trial Counsel (STC) will be lead counsel in courts-martial involving those offenses. The OSTC will be fully operational by the statutorily required date: 27 December 2023.

The Navy’s Trial Counsel Assistance Program (TCAP) continued to provide training and technical assistance to the Fleet. TCAP provided in-person and virtual training, with a focus on special victim cases, at each of the main prosecution offices located at the Navy’s Region Legal Service Offices. They also conducted regular training webinars on critical and

emerging issues and provided focused training to individual prosecution offices by request. Most of these trainings focused on enhancing Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution (SVIP) capabilities. TCAP also partnered with Naval Justice School (NJS) to provide advanced training at the week-long Prosecuting Special Victim Crimes Course. Additionally, utilizing the help of civilian experts, TCAP provided focused in-person trainings on prosecuting domestic violence, child abuse, and child exploitation cases. TCAP also provided trial counsel (military prosecutors) with extensive “reach back” support, and conducted recurring case review conferences with trial counsel to provide guidance and case analysis. TCAP facilitated multiple online community discussions targeted at different groups (Senior Trial Counsel, core counsel, and judge advocates in their initial tour) that provided real-time advice, calibrated based on experience level, to counsel worldwide. TCAP also maintained an updated online database of sample documents and guides.

TCAP executed three two-week week Military Justice Orientation Courses (MJOC) for new trial counsel beginning a focused prosecution role in the military justice system and expanding upon the basics learned at the JAG Corps’ Basic Lawyer Course (BLC). The MJOC utilized a mock SVIP case file and trained trial counsel on all litigation steps from the initial investigation through trial and sentencing. TCAP continued to focus efforts on establishing a baseline level of SVIP training for all trial counsel, while ensuring quality specialized training for counsel seeking SVIP certification.

Finally, TCAP also continued to provide training for NCIS agents through their special victim courses. During these courses, TCAP personnel specifically addressed evidence-based prosecutions in SVIP cases, the nuances of the Military Rules of Evidence, the importance of collaboration during investigations, and working with victims throughout a case.

NJS continued to integrate the latest developments in SAPR and Victim and Witness Assistance Program (VWAP) polices into training curricula at all levels, to include the JAG Corps’ BLC and Intermediate Staff Judge Advocate Course. The Navy Victims’ Legal Counsel Program (VLCP) in conjunction with the Marine Corps VLC organization and NJS began providing an annual VLC Certification Course focused on the Navy and Marine Corps practice and procedure. In addition to providing a Navy/Marine Corps-specific certification training, this course also includes required child victim representation training and domestic violence training. In its inaugural iteration, 18 Navy VLC, 17 Marine VLC, and four Coast Guard Special Victims’ Counsel (SVC) completed the new certification course.

In 2022, Code 20, NJS, the Marine Corps Judge Advocate Division, and the Navy and Marine Corps OSTCs began efforts to create a new Special Trial Counsel Certification Course (STCC Course). The STC Course will be required for all counsel prior to receiving certification to perform STC duties. Beginning in late 2023, STC’s will be responsible for prosecuting all sexual assault offenses under Articles 120, 120b, and 120c, as well as any attempt, solicitation, or conspiracy to commit a sexual assault offense. Code 20 and NJS are also in the process of creating an Appellate Practice and Advocacy Course which will include VLC attorneys. The Appellate Practice and Advocacy Course is designed to formalize current “in-house” training efforts provided by the Navy’s Appellate Government and Appellate Defense Divisions. For the first time, VLC will receive formal appellate training

to help prepare them to represent victims and protect victims' rights on appeal. Both courses are scheduled for their initial offering in 2023.

**4.2 Effectiveness of the Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)/Victims' Legal Counsel (VLC) Program: Describe any enhancements your Military Service/NGB has made to the SVC/VLC program; how your Military Service/NGB provides support to victims/clients in remote/deployed locations; training/certification updates (if any); and the progress toward ensuring SVC/VLC case load does not exceed, to the extent practicable, 25 cases at any time. (NDA for FY 2020, section 541 / NDA for FY 2013, section 573 / SecDef Memorandum, "Improving Victim Legal Support," (August 14, 2013), p. 1)**

VLCP Enhancements. Toward the end of FY20, the Navy VLCP was approved for an additional 11 active duty judge advocate billets. All of these billets were filled during FY21, increasing field VLC from 34 to 44 judge advocates and adding one senior officer position, to provide force management and leadership to the Program as well as policy input, on the VLCP Headquarters staff. The additional field VLC billets were filled in Fleet concentration areas including Norfolk, VA; San Diego, CA; Jacksonville, FL; Bangor/Bremerton, WA and Pearl Harbor, HI. VLC billets were also filled in two new locations: Whidbey Island, WA; and, Walter Reed Military Medical Center in Bethesda, MD. These billets were approved to help address the mandate in FY20 NDA Section 548 to provide legal counsel to victims of domestic violence (not just those cases involving sexual offenses) beginning in December 2020, and to enable the VLCP to work toward compliance with the statutory caseload limit of 25 cases per VLC beginning December 2023 as found in FY20 NDA Section 541(c). Although these new billets have assisted in reducing caseloads to manageable levels in most areas, the VLCP may require additional billets in Fleet concentration areas such as Norfolk and San Diego in order to fully meet the maximum caseload mandate.

In addition to the 11 active duty billets, the VLCP received FY22 funding for seven civilian positions to support VLC in the field and provide continuity across the Program. These positions include: a headquarters GS-14 attorney, a headquarters GS-13 supervisory paralegal, and five field paralegals (GS-9/11) to support the Fleet concentration areas -- San Diego, CA; Norfolk, VA; Jacksonville/Mayport, FL; Bangor/Bremerton, WA; and Pearl Harbor, HI. Of these positions, the supervisory paralegal and four of the paralegal positions were hired in FY22, with on-boarding occurring early in FY23. The remaining civilian paralegal position is still pending the hiring process after several unsuccessful advertisements. The GS-14 attorney has been hired and is pending on-boarding.

VLC Support to Victims in Remote/Deployed Locations. 27 Navy VLC offices are located around the globe including Bahrain, Spain (Rota), Italy (Naples and Sigonella), Guam, and Japan (Yokosuka and Sasebo). Although all of the Services support military operations in and around the Middle East, the Navy is the only Service with permanent VLC billet in the Persian/Arabian Gulf to ensure victims stationed in the region or arriving on ships during frequent deployments, including local port calls, have a VLC immediately available.

The provision of face-to-face VLC services is ideal and all VLC billets are positioned to maximize the availability of in-person VLC, with regular monitoring of caseloads and shifting and/or adding of billets as caseloads demand. For example, in FY20 a new billet was added

and filled in Sasebo, Japan, after an analysis of caseloads revealed a need for VLC placement in that location in addition to the two VLC already stationed in Yokosuka, Japan. However, with Navy personnel frequently deployed to remote areas or on board ships, not all VLC offices will be located near victims. The Navy victims who are in remote locations or deployed on ships are provided immediate VLC services (remote contact) via other means (phone, TEAMS, or FaceTime) utilizing the closest VLC office or the VLC office located in the homeport of a deployed ship, whichever is most quickly available and in or near the victim's current time zone. In-person contact with VLC is arranged as soon as practicable given the victim's location, operational considerations, and travel/safety restrictions.

The VLCP maintains its own internally controlled travel budget which is earmarked specifically for VLC mission essential travel. This budget provides agility for VLC travel to remote locations, as needed and as is required when a victim requests the presence of VLC under Section 542 of the FY20 NDAA.

In addition, the VLC and SVC programs across the Services signed a Memorandum of Understanding in FY21 formalizing a long-standing agreement to provide SVC/VLC services to other Service victims when a SVC/VLC of the victim's own Service is not immediately or locally available.

VLCP Training/Certification Updates. Historically, newly detailed Navy VLC have attended either the Air Force or Army's SVC Certification courses as a prerequisite to being certified in writing by the Navy JAG to perform VLC duties. However in April 2022, the Navy VLCP in conjunction with the Marine Corps VLC organization and the NJS offered the first annual VLC Certification Course focused on the Navy and Marine Corps practice and procedure. In addition to providing a Navy/Marine Corps-specific certification training, this course includes required child victim representation training and domestic violence training. In its inaugural iteration, 18 Navy VLC, 17 Marine VLC, and four Coast Guard SVC completed the certification course.

VLC Caseload Cap (Section 541, FY20 NDAA). As noted above, 11 new VLC billets approved in FY20 and filled in FY21 aim to address the mandated VLC caseload cap of 25 cases per VLC by December 2023. Although caseloads in many areas are under the mandated caseload cap, Fleet concentration areas such as Norfolk and San Diego continue to see caseloads above the prescribed cap even with the new billets established in those areas. Additional billets in specific areas may yet be required to meet the mandate.

**4.3 Trends in the Incidence, Disposition, and Prosecution of Sexual Assault: What trends has your Military Service/NGB identified in the incidence, disposition, and prosecution of sexual assault by units, commands, and installations during FY 2022? Please include trends relating to prevalence of incidents, prosecution of incidents, and avoidance of incidents. (NDAA for FY 2013, section 575)**

In FY22, there was a downward trend in the referral of sexual assault charges to court-martial, while the conviction rate on referred sexual assault charges remained relatively consistent with recent years. The number of cases that originated as sexual assault investigations but were referred to court-martial without sexual assault charges was

relatively consistent with recent years, as was the number of convictions in those cases. Alternate dispositions (other than referral to courts-martial) in cases involving initial allegations of sexual assault trended upwards during FY22. The majority of sexual assault cases in FY22 originated in the following areas of responsibility (AOR): Navy Region Mid-Atlantic; Navy Region Northwest; and Navy Region Southeast, with a significant but lesser number originating in the Navy Region Southwest AOR. Far fewer sexual assault cases originated in the other Navy Regions.

**4.4 CATCH Program: Describe any challenges faced by victims and/or SARCs with the CATCH Program (e.g., accessing the CATCH website or providing victim notification after a “match” was identified). Also, provide an update on how Service members are made aware of the CATCH program. (SecDef Memorandum, “Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Assault in the Military,” (May 1, 2019), p. 2)**

Challenges related to SARCs’ access to the CATCH website have been minimal and corrected by logging out and revisiting the site. Service members are made aware of the CATCH program through marketing materials accessible to SAPR personnel via the [sapr.mil](http://sapr.mil) website. Victims are briefed on the benefits of the CATCH program when they contact a SARC or SAPR VA to file a report of sexual assault. Information on the CATCH program is also being added to standardized SAPR posters for posting in high traffic areas around installations and aboard ships in accordance with DoD policy updates.

**4.5 Expanded Eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the CATCH Program: How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL legal officers have been trained on and are familiar with the expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting (e.g., ability for victims to make a restricted report even if the assault is disclosed through their chain of command, but not personally to law enforcement) and the CATCH Program (i.e., ability for victims who made an Unrestricted Report to utilize the CATCH Program when the name of the subject was not disclosed or discovered during an investigation)? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your legal officers? (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 4)**

During initial Judge Advocate and command legal officer training at the Naval Justice School, students are assigned the Code 20 SJA Sexual Assault Reporting Toolkit as a reading assignment, to include eligibility for restricted reporting. Students are also provided DoDI 6495.02 and the Commander’s Quick Reference Manual which were recently updated to reflect the current policies as references during this block of instruction. Since the restricted reporting eligibility recently changed, the instructors provide a voice-over to ensure the students are aware of the current policy.

Additionally, OJAG Code 20 produced and distributed timely SAPR policy update materials to assist judge advocates across the Fleet. Specifically, the updates covered the expansion of restricted reporting and updates to CATCH program eligibility. Legal officers also receive



the annual SAPR General Military Training (GMT) which includes content on the CATCH Program, its availability for victim use and CATCH POC information.

**4.6 Eligibility to File a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and Requesting the Return of Personal Property with a DD Form 2910-3, “Return of Victim’s Personal Property in Restricted Reporting Sexual Assault Cases Collected During a SAFE:” How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL legal officers have been trained on and are familiar with the eligibility of a victim to file a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and request the return of personal property, utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, relinquished as part of the SAFE and after filing a Restricted Report? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your legal officers? (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), para 4ab(4) & Encl 4, para 1d)**

Since June 2021, newly-assigned trial counsel (military prosecutors) have attended the two-week MJOC. During this course, instructors cover the trial counsel’s role in the VWAP, including assisting with a victim’s right to file a “Section 540k Declination Letter” and request the return of personal property. While the applicable forms are new since the DSD Memo was published in November 2021, the Navy’s TCAP has, since 2016, promulgated a similar version of the declination form, in which victims acknowledge their rights and state their decision to decline further participation in a case. Importantly, trial counsel and NCIS have used the DoD SAPR sample “Section 540k Declination Letter” since November 2021. TCAP has continued to emphasize the importance of documenting victim input, declinations, and requests for the return of property during the MJOC course (offered three times each year), as well as during TCAP’s annual on-site mobile training team visits to each of the Navy’s prosecution offices.

**4.7 UCMJ Article 93a (Prohibited Activities with Military Recruit or Trainee by Person in Position of Special Trust) Investigations and Convictions: Provide the number of personnel investigated for and convicted of UCMJ Art. 93a, specifically those who engaged in prohibited sexual activity with such specially protected junior members of the armed forces. (Article 93a, UCMJ)**

In FY22, the Navy investigated eighteen individuals for alleged violations of UCMJ Article 93a, four of which were subsequently found guilty at courts-martial, nine of which were alternatively disposed, four of which are pending disposition, and one in which the Article 93a charge was dropped before trial.

**4.8 Efforts to Address Independent Review Commission (IRC) Recommendations: Identify major actions completed or underway to address the recommendations of IRC Line of Effort 1: Accountability. Focus response on IRC efforts undertaken during FY 2022. (See the requirements listed in question 1.1)**

The Navy has made significant progress for every IRC recommendation within our purview during FY22. The Navy’s progress includes the following:

- *Recommendation 1.1: Creation of the Office of the Special Victim Prosecutor within the Office of the Secretary of Defense.* As discussed above, the Navy has been steadily building towards full operational capacity for the OSTC and is on target for the statutory deadline of December 2023. A headquarters Navy OSTC, including an interim Lead STC, was established. This headquarters met initial operational capability in July 2022. The OSTC, along with the Navy and Marine Corps Operational Planning Team led by the Assistant Judge Advocate General of the Navy (Military Law), meet weekly to ensure the manning, training and resourcing of the OSTC continues on schedule. The work has included: developing a manning design for the OSTC including paralegal and support personnel; identifying selection and certification criteria for special trial counsel and working on their Permanent Change of Station orders; assessing, reassessing and submitting budgetary requests; working to acquire office space and technology assets; drafting Department policy for the OSTC; and developing training requirements and curriculum for STCs. Specifically during FY22, SECNAV issued guidance for the OSTC. Subsequently, the JAG and Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant of the Marine Corps (SJA to CMC) promulgated criteria for certification of OSTC officers. A Lead Special Trial Counsel (LSTC) board was held by each service, and as of December 2022, RDML Stephens, JAGC, USN, will be in place as the Navy LSTC. Given the detailed planning and progress made to date, the Navy is well positioned to accomplish all necessary steps for full operational capability as required.
- *Recommendation 1.2: Independent, trained investigators for sexual harassment and mandatory initiation of involuntary separation for all substantiated complaints.* SECNAV established the IAP (Implementation Advisory Panel), comprised of several Assistant Secretaries, the General Counsel, the JAG, the SJA to CMC, the Director of NCIS, the Chief of Naval Personnel, the Deputy Commandant of the Marine Corps for Manpower and Reserve Affairs, and other senior level stakeholders to advise him on how to successfully implement the IRC recommendations and related Congressional reforms. The Navy has determined that it will use specially trained NCIS Criminal Investigators to investigate sexual harassment allegations. To this end, NCIS anticipates that they will hire 150 Criminal Investigators to exclusively handle sexual harassment investigations. In the interim, the Navy issued All Navy Message, ALNAV 024/22, a policy designed to ensure independence in sexual harassment investigations.
- *Recommendation 1.3: Judge-ordered Military Protective Orders for victims of sexual assault and related offenses.* The IRC recommended that victims of sexual assault should be able to receive a MPO that is enforceable by civilian law enforcement. The Joint Service Committee (JSC) Subcommittee on the Implementation of Judicially-Issued Protective orders (JIMPOs) under the UCMJ considered the impact of a JIMPO system with respect to enforceability, firearms and ammunitions purchases and possession, and the timeliness of military justice actions. The Subcommittee submitted a report to the DoD General Counsel on 28 February 2022.

- *Recommendation 1.4: Professionalized career billets for military justice personnel handling special victim crimes.* This recommendation was modeled on the Navy’s existing program, as a result, it is fully implemented for the Navy. In 2007, the Navy JAG Corps established professionalized career billets to develop and retain a cadre of specialized litigators as military justice practitioners. Today, the Navy has 101 such board-designated officers in various paygrades (O3 to O7) and is serving as a model for the other Services in accomplishing this recommendation.
- *Recommendation 1.5: Judge-alone sentencing in all noncapital general and special courts-martial and establishment of sentencing parameters.* The DoD General Counsel directed the Services to establish the Sentencing Parameters and Criteria Board, consisting of each Service chief trial judge, to analyze and develop sentencing parameters and criteria. The board is on track to meet this requirement by January 2023.
- *Recommendation 1.7 a-f: Modify the UCMJ in several key areas to increase uniformity, reliability, and consistency in the military justice system.* The Navy has been engaged in these requirements through the Navy representation on the JSC. Several of these recommendations are presently being studied by the JSC and the individual Services.

**4.9 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Accountability goal.**

In FY 23, the JAG’s highest priority is to successfully implement significant military justice system reform directed by statute and regulation. The ultimate goal is to support the timely and fair application of the military justice process across the Fleet, to include sexual assault cases. In accordance with the FY22 NDAA, standing up the OSTC will significantly overhaul and improve the handling of sexual assault cases. The Navy and Marine Corps Offices of Special Trial Counsel are Secretarial-level offices that will be independent from the chains of command of victims and those accused of offenses, both in appearance and in fact; specialized, that is, focused on covered offenses enumerated in the FY22 NDAA, as well as the FY23 NDAA; and expert, that is, possessed of the capacity and expertise necessary to effectively perform the duties assigned to the respective office. Covered offenses include sexual assault, sexual crimes against children, other sex-related offenses, domestic violence, stalking, retaliation, death or injury of an unborn child, and murder. As of 1 January 2025, sexual harassment under Article 134 will also be a covered offense.

Not later than December 27, 2023, SECNAV will, through the JAG and SJA to CMC, ensure that the Navy and Marine Corps OSTCs are at full operational capability, recognizing that those offices cannot exercise the authorities newly enacted by the FY22 and FY23 NDAAs with respect to offenses that occur before December 28, 2023.

**5. Goal 5 Assessment: “effectively measure, analyze, assess, and report SAPR Program progress to improve effectiveness.”**

**5.1 Strategic Summary: Summarize your efforts for achieving the Assessment goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes**

**begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2022. As applicable, include significant updates to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes and initiatives to ensure the quality, reliability, validity, and secure retention of sexual assault and retaliation data collected in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID), to include SARC, SAPR VA, and Military Service-level legal officer DSAID training. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 5.2 through 5.4 below. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 5 – Assessment, p. 10)**

The Navy developed a comprehensive DSAID data-entry guidebook for DSAID field users to supplement the DSAID User Manual promulgated by DoD SAPRO. This guidebook addresses each DSAID module and the tabulated data contained within the modules and also provides a case-entry matrix for SARCs to determine DSAID tracking eligibility. The guidebook follows required DSAID programming as well as data relevant to the Navy's input to the Annual Report to Congress on Sexual Assault in the Military, both of which are focal points for monthly QA activities. The guide also explains common user errors, which may result in failure to interface with MCIO database, as well as policy requirements which inform recent DSAID enhancements (e.g., Retaliation Module, SAPR-Related Inquiry Module, Expedited Transfers enhancement, and Electronic File Locker enhancement).

QA activities are performed monthly at the CNIC HQ SAPR level, with focus on Relevant Data Not Available for Restricted, Unrestricted, and Retaliation cases, CMG general and case-specific meeting minutes, MCIO database interface, missing DSAID cases, and SAPR-Related Inquiries. Quarterly QA focuses on the entry of expedited transfers, SAPR VA profiles maintenance, and the entry of continuing education units (CEU). Annual QA is performed to identify and remove personally identifiable information (PII) and protected healthcare information (PHI) not permitted within DSAID.

The Navy requires all DSAID users to complete DoD SAPR web-based training on the Joint Knowledge Online platform prior to granting access. In addition, DSAID field users must attend CNIC HQ SAPR training, which covers the practical and ethical considerations of DSAID data-entry. The D-SAACP Code of Ethics, as well as DoD and Navy guidance around the proper documentation and handling of PII/PHI, is covered in this training and supplements the "how-to" aspects of the DSAID User Manual. This training, in conjunction with the guidebook, standardizes data entry across the enterprise.

USFF N1 COE Team provides bi-annual updates to Flag Leadership during the Culture of Excellence Executive Steering Committee on data and demographics surrounding SAPR incidents throughout the Fleet. Due to correlation in heightened Destructive Behaviors during the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic (COVID-19), the team began submitting a monthly analysis on not only Sexual Assault incidents but all destructive behaviors in order to closely monitor any rise. The quarterly and monthly discussions allow all stakeholders to monitor and make informed decisions to impact and improve policies.

**5.2 Adequacy of SAPR Activities at Training Commands: Describe sexual assault prevention and response training delivered during Basic Military Training and Advanced Military Training or equivalent (e.g., military occupational specialty training). What is your Military Service's/NGB's assessment of the adequacy of SAPR activities carried out by training commands during FY 2022, and describe the means by which you assessed such activities? (NDAA for FY 2013, section 575)**

The Navy conducts entry level SAPR training at two separate times during a recruit's assignment at Recruit Training Command (RTC) (Basic Military Training) to ensure understanding of SAPR concepts and the importance of the SAPR program during initial entry training and throughout their military careers. The first SAPR training session, delivered by Recruit Division Commander occurs during week one, day four, and consists of a ninety-minute presentation covering an overview of the SAPR program with the terminal learning objective of understanding the Navy's sexual assault policies and procedures by all recruits. The second training session takes place during week three, day four and is taught by senior RTC leadership. This training emphasizes the importance of the SAPR Program at all levels of the Navy and reviews key policies and procedures. Recruits undergo an exam covering basic military training concepts on week 2, day 5, which includes questions on SAPR concepts, policies, and procedures presented at both in-person trainings and in the SAPR portion of the recruit Trainee Guide. Recruits who do not pass the overall exam receive remedial training on all exam content.

The Navy added two weeks onto basic training that focus on adding more leadership and professional development to the basic training toolkit that Sailors can rely on throughout their careers. This additional training, titled "Sailor for Life", reinforces character development with a warfighting spirit and addresses mentorship, small-unit leadership, advanced Warrior Toughness, as well as professional and personal development. Included in the "Sailor for Life" curriculum are several interactive, scenario driven modules that focus on healthy relationships, sexual consent, sexual assault reporting options and bystander intervention.

During advanced military training (Navy "A-Schools"), SAPR training is presented by command SAPR Administrative Unit Victim Advocates to all students and instructors via facilitated, small group Navy SAPR GMT curriculum modules in accordance with the Navy policy and requirements. The Navy is piloting a promising post-training assessment to measure understanding of key concepts and learning objectives and test for the level of effectiveness of the Navy SAPR GMT.

From testing results, willingness of recruits and new Sailors to report sexual assaults, and a minimum number of sexual assault incidents occurring during Recruit Training and A-Schools, the Navy believes that the current SAPR and Sailor for Life training is adequate and establishes a firm and positive foundation for Sailors moving to operational Fleet units. Training content, dosage, and assessments are reviewed on an annual and as needed basis to respond to changes in policy and to ensure concepts, behaviors and policies are up-to-date and effective based on recruit feedback.

**5.3 Ensuring Safe and Secure Living Environment: How does your Military Service/NGB assess the adequacy of measures undertaken at military installations and by units to ensure the safest and most secure living and working environments with regard to preventing sexual assault? (NDAA for FY 2011, section 1602)**

In addition to completing all required prevention training/initiatives, commands are delivering training led by a variety of presenters to include NCIS, local SARCs and VLC. Although the primary method of enhancing and ensuring safety and security in living and working environments is through prevention training, situational awareness and Sailor intervention, a variety of deterrence measures are available to installation and unit commanders across the Navy enterprise. These measures include roving barracks patrols, with the goal of increasing the visible presence of leadership to deter behavior that may lead to sexual assault or other misconduct, increased oversight by leaders for government contracted berthing, and safe ride home programs. Commanders are empowered to utilize "All Hands," social media, PSAs, and Plans of the Day, Week, and Month at a unit level to educate personnel on personal safety as well as sexual assault and prevention strategies.

**5.4 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further**

The Navy leadership supports continued collaborative force-wide initiatives such as Cultural Workshops, Resilient Workshop Summits, and the COE Working Group to further develop, improve, and assess program effectiveness. The Navy prevention and response experts will continue to leverage data and insights from these efforts to identify program gaps, focus on vulnerable populations, and determine the Navy’s ability to scale initiative to the Fleet. The Navy will continue analyzing data and programs at all levels in order to implement policies and procedures to improve program effectiveness. Beyond efforts previously mentioned in Goals 1 through 4, the following are additional efforts.

CNIC HQ SAPR will administer the annual Post-Sexual Assault Awareness and Prevention Month (SAAPM) Data Collection Survey, which solicits feedback from the SARCs on best practices, installation efforts, and challenges. Findings from the survey inform program improvement, such as prevention programming education for SARCs, engaging Sailors and leadership in SAPR, and how to effectively leverage existing resources to maximize the impact of SAAPM efforts.

The Navy CATCH stakeholders, including CNIC HQ SAPR, NCIS, VLCP, and N17, will review program performance and update training and awareness efforts as required to maximize participation.

As mentioned in Goal 1 – Prevention, N17 continues to develop a Commander’s Risk Mitigation Dashboard (CRMD), which will be a common operating platform that informs leadership of potential counterproductive workplace behavior risks and trends identified from headquarters to the unit level. Along with the CRMD, the Navy also is planning on finalizing a corresponding Commanders Playbook which will provide unit Commander’s with solutions to address information from the CRMD.

Assessment efforts assist in collecting and analyzing data to measure and report the impact of the Navy SAPR programs and to drive adjustments to prevention and response efforts. These assessments support the Navy’s ability to continually improve overall command culture, and set conditions to prevent, respond to, or intervene in destructive behaviors.

**6. Core Functions (Communication and Policy): Provide a brief summary for new efforts taken in FY 2022 on the following:**

**6.1 COVID-19 Impact: Discuss any continuing impacts the COVID-19 pandemic is having on your Military Service’s/NGB’s efforts in any of the five SAPR goals (e.g., prevention efforts, in-person training, SAFE/evidence processing). If there is a continuing impact, what adjustments and communication efforts were made in FY 2022 to ensure the advancement of your SAPR efforts?**

The Navy Installations continue to observe physical distancing as applicable to health protection condition levels. For in-person events (i.e., training, participant activities), greater emphasis has been placed on reformatting activities for small groups, outdoor environments, and offering virtual options where and when applicable. Despite continuation of COVID-19 precautions, data indicates that participation in SAPR events increased over

FY21 totals. For example, total participation in sexual assault awareness- and prevention-raising events for FY22 increased by 170% from FY21. However, this still remains down 14% from pre-COVID-19 participation in FY20.

In FY21, continuing education was affected by COVID-19 restrictions causing a large number of SAPR personnel to cancel in-person annual training hosted by the National Organization for Victim Assistance (NOVA). CNIC HQ SAPR provided SAPR personnel with an extensive list of organizations which provide victim-focused, trauma-informed victim advocacy, and care continuing education opportunities via a virtual platform as an alternative to the NOVA training. In FY22, NOVA offered a hybrid model which allowed some SAPR personnel to attend the annual training in-person while others were afforded the opportunity to attend virtually; thereby, allowing SAPR personnel to obtain the CEUs needed to renew and maintain their D-SAACP certifications in accordance with policy requirements.

In FY22 there were no continuing impacts that the COVID-19 pandemic had on sexual assault medical forensic exam feasibility. Sexual assault medical forensic exams were performed at the Navy MTFs in-person and without delay. Additionally, the two-week Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examination initial training course held on board Fort Sam Houston was conducted live and in-person during every one of the 10 two-week courses offered during this entire fiscal year. Also, the Medical Inspector General continued inspections and evaluations of SAMFE programs across the Navy Medicine on site.

The responses from FY20 and FY21 for NCIS remain relevant. COVID-19-related restrictions and cancellations at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center (FLETC) continue to negatively impact in-person training opportunities for NCIS investigators. However, in FY22, NCIS made up for some FY21 and FY22 training losses by shifting one SVIP certification course to an online forum and moving a second SVIP training session to an alternate (non-FLETC) location.

**6.2 Survey Results: If not addressed in previous responses, describe your leadership-approved policy changes (if any) being initiated as a result of the findings from the FY 2021 Workplace and Gender Relations Surveys of Active Duty and Reserve Component Members and the FY2022 Defense Organizational Climate Surveys.**

The Navy continues to review and analyze the data provided within the results of the FY 2021 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey and FY22 Defense Organizational Climate Surveys (DEOCS). This information is instrumental in understanding the underlying issues and protective and risk factors that confront Sailors in and around their workplaces and homes. In the upcoming year, survey findings and data will be used to inform IRC recommendation implementation, develop the Navy prevention policy and programs, and refine response protocols at both the enterprise and local levels.

**7. National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) Requirements and Government Accountability Office (GAO) Recommendations:**



Provide your Military Service's status on the NDAA sections listed below. There are unique requirements embedded within each NDAA section's language, so referring to the entire section is necessary. Military Service's should also refer to GAO Report, GAO 22 103973, "DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts," (March 2022) and provide their updates based on the recommendations in that report.

After reviewing the designated NDAA and GAO section:

If action(s) has/have been implemented, provide the completion date and a short narrative (300 words or less) describing the action taken (e.g., Completed: January 15, 2022. Requirement added to AR 600 20, Army Command Policy).

If the action(s) has/have not been implemented, provide the projected completion date only without narrative (e.g., Projected Completion Date: January 15, 2023).

**7.1 (Army) NDAA for FY 2014, section 1721: Tracking of compliance of commanding officers in conducting organizational climate assessments for purposes of preventing and responding to sexual assaults. (Government Accountability Office (GAO) Report, GAO-22-103973, "DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts," (March 2022), Recommendation 6)**

Not applicable.

**7.2 (Marine Corps & Air Force) NDAA for FY 2015, section 508: Required consideration of certain elements of command climate in performance appraisals of commanding officers. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, "DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts," (March 2022), Recommendations 7 (Marine Corps) & 8 (Air Force))**

Not applicable.

**7.3 (Army) NDAA for FY 2012, section 582(a): Consideration of application for permanent change of station or unit transfer based on humanitarian conditions for victim of sexual assault or related offense. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, "DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts," (March 2022), Recommendation 10)**

Not applicable.

**7.4 (Navy) NDAA for FY 2014, section 1741(a)-(c): Enhanced protections for prospective members and new members of the Armed Forces during entry-level processing and training. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, "DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts," (March 2022), Recommendation 11)**

Completed: 1 May 2022. United States Military Entrance Processing Command Regulation 600-22 "Personnel - General Personal Relationships" establishes policy on personal relationships within USMEPCON. Section 1-7, "Applicant or enlistee/staff relationships", forbids military and civilian USMEPCON members from socializing or maintaining any other

contact with any applicant or enlistee for any reason other than that required in order to accomplish the unit mission.

Commander Navy Recruiting Command Instruction 1130.8M “Navy Recruiting Manual-Enlisted”, prohibits the Navy recruiting personnel from communicating with a prospect, applicant, Future Sailor, or their family via any personal social media, cell phone, or via texting. Vulgar, sexually explicit, or obscene language or conduct is prohibited. Section 5, 010502 (a) mandates that applicants will never be made to feel a recruiter, or member of the Navy recruiting is ever taking advantage of situation. The Navy recruiting personnel exhibiting such behavior will face administrative or disciplinary action.

Additionally, per the current Navy policy, commanders at all levels ensure every member under their charge has been provided fraternization, discrimination, and sexual harassment training and education under the Navy policy, including all Sailors, civilian employees and Future Sailors

Recruiters also sign a Naval Personnel (NAVPERS) 1070/613 Administrative Remarks Form (PG-13) acknowledging the Navy Recruiting Command’s fraternization policy covering applicants and future sailors.

**7.5 (Navy & Marine Corps) NDAA for FY 2014, section 1745(a)-(c): Inclusion and command review of information on sex-related offenses in personnel service records of members of the Armed Forces. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, “DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts,” (March 2022), Recommendation 12)**

Completed: 1 May 2022. Within 5 business days following the adjudication of a court-martial or Non-Judicial Punishment (NJP) or at the completion of the NJP appeal process for any sex-related offenses (violation of Articles 120, 120a, 120b, 120c, or 125 of the UCMJ, or an attempt to commit any of these offenses punishable under Article 80 of the UCMJ), a NAVPERS Form 1070/887, “Sex Offense Accountability Record” is required to be filed within the Service member’s official military personnel file, where it will remain for the duration of the Service member’s career. The NAVPERS 1070/887 annotates any convictions at court-martial or awarded NJP for sex-related offense(s), regardless of recommendation for retention or separation from the naval service. Submission of the NAVPERS 1070/887 will generate a field code 91 (FC 91) entry in the official military personnel file to alert commanding officer of Service members who received a court-martial conviction or NJP for these offenses. Commanders, commanding officers, and officers in charge are required to review all documents in FC 91 for all newly reporting Service members within 30 days of reporting onboard.

**7.6 (Army, Navy, & Air Force) NDAA for FY 2018, section 535(a)-(b): Sexual assault prevention and response training for all individuals enlisted in the Armed Forces under a delayed entry program. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, “DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts,” (March 2022), Recommendations 13 (Army), 14 (Navy), & 15 (Air Force))**

Completed: 1 May 2022. All members of the delayed entry program (DEP) are required to watch a Sexual Assault Prevention and Response – DEP training video and must sign a NAVPERS 1070/613 Administrative Remarks Form (Page-13) acknowledging the viewing of the video and understanding of the Navy’s policies on sexual assault, fraternization, and sexual harassment. Additionally on the Page-13, each DEP member must agree to not violate these policies; that they will report violations of any of the policies; and that they will not be discharged from the Navy if they are victims of these offenses. Members of the DEP are given a copy of the Page-13.

DEP members are also instructed to notify the Commanding Officer, Executive Officer, Command Master Chief, Operations Officer, Chief Recruiter, Legal Officer, Command Duty Officer, Navy Recruiting Command (NAVCRUITCOM), or NAVCRUITCOM Inspector General of any unethical behavior they observe while in DEP and are provided contact information.

**7.7 (Army, Navy, & Air Force) NDAA for FY 2019, section 545(a)-(c): Development of resource guides regarding sexual assault for the military service academies. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, “DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts,” (March 2022), Recommendations 16 (Army), 17 (Navy), & 18 (Air Force))**

Completed: 1 May 2022. The United States Naval Academy meets this requirement with the updating and making available a Sexual Assault Response Resource Guide to the Midshipman Brigade at the start of each Academic Program year. The resource guide, among other things, includes specific SAPR information, such as an explanation of prohibited conduct; assurance by the SAPR office to work tirelessly to carry concerns forward in an effort to effect appropriate response from leadership; and contact information for support counseling resources.

Specifically, the guide provides an explanation of prohibited conduct as well as examples, an explanation of consent and victims’ rights. Also listed is the restricted and unrestricted reporting process, who can take reports, information on protection from retaliation and references to all supporting and pertinent policies. An extensive list of resources for victims of sexual assault includes SAPR staff, sexual harassment response, medical and counseling assistance, law enforcement and legal services and local and national crisis resources.

The information contained in the guide is delivered to incoming 4th Class Midshipmen during induction training at a SAPR Orientation which includes how to access the guide on the Academy Intranet site. All other Midshipmen are briefed annually on the SAPR Program and the Resource Guide.

**8. Analytics Discussion**

**8.1 Military Services/NGB\*: Provide an analytic discussion (1,500 words or less) of your Statistical Report of reported sexual assault cases from DSAID. The discussion shall include information on Unrestricted Reports; Restricted Reports; conversions of Restricted Reports to Unrestricted Reports; service referrals for victims alleging**

**sexual assault; reports of retaliation; and case synopses of completed sexual assault and related retaliation investigations.**


**\*NGB should provide comments based on its available information and data.**

**This section must briefly address each of the following:**

- **Notable changes in the data over time**
- **Insight or suspected reasons for noted changes, or lack of change, if any, in data**
- **The application of insights from data analyses for programmatic planning, oversight, and/or research**
- **Total number of Sexual Assaults (Restricted Reports and Unrestricted Reports) over time (since FY 2008) (Metric #11)**
- **The number of sexual assault investigations completed by the MCIO in the FY and the corresponding mean and median investigation length. Case open date can be in any year, but the close date must be by the end of the FY (Non-Metric #6)**
- **The number of subjects with victims who declined to participate in the military justice process (Metric #7)**
- **Command action for military subjects under DoD legal authority (to be captured using the most serious crime investigated, comparing penetration to contact crimes) (Non-Metric #1)**
- **Sexual assault court-martial outcomes (to be captured using the most serious crime charged, comparing penetration to contact crimes) (Non-Metric #2)**
- **Summary of referral data – Unrestricted and Restricted Reports - either referrals received from other sources or referrals made to other sources (e.g., medical/mental health, command, criminal investigation/security services, legal, civilian, or VA authorities, etc.)**
- **Any other information relating to sexual assault case data (e.g., information on completed sexual assault-related retaliation cases)**

## **I. Overview**

In FY22, there were 2,052 reports of sexual assault in the Navy, representing a 9.0% increase from FY21 (1,883). The sustained increase in reporting suggests the Navy is making progress in closing the gap between reporting and prevalence of sexual assault. While these results are a favorable indicator, much work remains in understanding, preventing, and responding to this destructive behavior.



## II. Unrestricted Reports

In FY22 there were 1,363 unrestricted reports, representing a 2.6% increase from FY21 (1,329). Unrestricted Reporting triggers command notification, initiates a MCIO investigation and provides an opportunity to hold alleged offenders accountable, in addition to giving victims access to support and advocacy services.

### Service Member on Service Member

The Navy Service member on Service member (or “blue-on-blue”) allegations of sexual assault represented 46.2% (616 of 1,332) of Unrestricted Reports compared to 46.2% (614 of 1,329) in FY21. There was a 1.7% decrease in blue-on-blue penetration allegations (226 compared to 230 in FY21) and a 11.4% increase in blue-on-blue contact allegations (390 compared to 350 in FY21).

### Male Victim Reporting

Male Service member victims continue to be an area of strategic focus for the Navy SAPR program and reporting by this population increased in FY22. Unrestricted Reports made by males increased by 0.8% (262 compared to 260 in FY21) and they accounted for a slightly smaller percentage of unrestricted reports than in FY21 (20.0% compared to 21.4%).

Service Member Victim Unrestricted Reports (by Gender)			
Fiscal Year (FY)	Males	Females	Total
FY22	262	1,048	1,310
FY21	260	955	1,215
FY20	238	844	1,082

### Expedited Transfers

In FY22, there were 334 requests for Expedited Transfers made by Service member victims (compared to 327 in FY21). Of these, 35 were unit/duty requests and 292 were installation requests (compared to 62 unit/duty and 258 installation requests in FY21). Of the denied requests, all seven were denied due to the report being found non-credible.

Expedited Transfer Requests				
Fiscal Year (FY)	Requested	Approved	Denied	Total SVM Unrestricted Reports
FY22	334	327	7	1,332
FY21	327	321	6	1,215
FY20	324	319	5	1,082

### Military Protective Orders (MPO)

In FY22, there were 163 MPOs issued, representing a 27.2% decrease from FY21 (224). Ten MPO violations were reported during this fiscal year.

### III. Restricted Reports

In FY22, there were 861 Restricted Reports of sexual assault in the Navy, representing an 18.3% increase from FY21 (728). Of those, 172 or 20.0% were converted to unrestricted reports (compared to 174 or 23.9% in FY21), resulting in 689 reports remaining restricted (compared to 554 in FY21). Restricted reports enable a victim to receive support services, without command notification or initiation of an investigation. SARCs do not report the types of offenses for Restricted Reports.

### IV. Victims Support Services

#### Unrestricted Reports

In FY22, there were 10,409 support service referrals for victims who made unrestricted reports, representing a 0.2% increase from FY21 (10,384). Of those referrals, 9,820 or 94.3% were for military resources and 589 or 5.7% were for civilian resources.

The top three military resources requested by victims were: victim advocate (2,406), legal (1,890), and behavioral health (1,362) referrals. These military resource referrals

accounted for 57.6% of all requests made in this category. Additionally, DoD Safe Helpline referrals increased by 27.2% (1,122 compared to 882 in FY21).

The top three civilian resources requested by victims were: Rape Crisis Center (138), Victim Advocate (137), and Behavior Health (100) referrals, and they accounted for 63.7% of all requests made in this category.

### **Restricted Reports**

In FY22, there were 4,605 support service referrals for victims who made restricted reports representing a 22.7% increase from FY21 (3,753). Of those referrals, 4,301 or 93.4% were for military resources and 304 or 6.6% were for civilian resources.

The top three military resources requested by victims were: victim advocate (1,116), behavioral health (700), and legal/special victims counsel (SVC) (608) referrals. These military resource referrals accounted for 56.4% of all requests made in this category.

The top three civilian resources requested by victims were: rape crisis center (95), victim advocate (63), and behavioral health (60) referrals. They accounted for 71.7% of all requests made in this category.

### **V. Investigations**

In FY22, 1,253 investigations were completed, representing a 12.9% increase from FY21 (1,110). Of those investigations, 1,230 or 98.2% were completed by the service MCIOs and 23 or 1.8% were completed by either U.S. civilian or foreign law enforcement agencies.

The average length of investigations conducted by the NCIS was 117 days and the median was 98 days, compared to an average of 118 days and a median of 94 days in FY21 (representing a 0.85% decrease in the average, and a 4.1% increase in the median). This average includes offenses involving complex investigation, scientific analysis of evidence, and/or procurement of expert witnesses, as well as simpler cases involving confessions or limited evidence (e.g., cases involving victim declinations or unknown subjects).

The average length of time between the date the investigation was closed and the date the Navy took a command action was 85 days and the median was 72 days, compared to 98 days on average and a median of 92 days in FY21 (representing a 13.2% decrease in average days and 21.7% decrease in median days).

In cases disposed of at courts-martial, the average length of time between the date the victim made an Unrestricted Report and the date the sentence was imposed or an accused was acquitted at courts-martial was approximately 578 days (a 29.9% increase from FY21's 445 days) and the median was 564 days in FY22 (a 15.8% increase from FY21's 487 days). In cases disposed of at non-judicial punishment, the average length of

time between the date the victim made an Unrestricted Report and the date non-judicial punishment was concluded was approximately 204 days, representing a 6.8% decrease from FY21.

**Victims Declining to Participate in the Military Justice Process**

In FY22, the percentage of victims who declined to participate in the military justice process increased to 9.1% (72 of 794) compared to 8.5% (68 of 800) in FY21 and 6.1% (40 of 655) in FY20.

**Command Action for Military Subjects Under DoD Legal Authority**

In FY22, command action was taken against 476 (59.9% of 794) Service members for both sexual assault and non-sexual assault (e.g., failure to obey order or regulation) allegations. Types of command action include court-martial, non-judicial punishment, administrative separation, and other adverse administrative actions.

**Sexual Assault Court-Martial Outcomes**

In FY22, there were 53 (6.7% of 794) cases where court-martial charges were preferred for a sexual assault offense, compared to 81 (10.1% of 800) in FY21. A total of 39 (4.9% of 794) cases proceeded to trial on at least one sexual assault offense. Of those, 28 cases were for penetrating offenses, resulting in 18 (64.3%) convictions and 10 (35.7%) acquittals. The remaining 11 cases were for contact offenses, resulting in 10 (90.9%) convictions and one (9.1%) acquittal.

**8.2 Personnel Support: Complete the following table with your numbers as of the end**

**(DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 2, para 6)**

Job/Duty Title	Description of Job/Duty	Full Time	Part Time
----------------	-------------------------	-----------	-----------



<b>Program Managers</b>	<b>Capability in developing policy, or program management and execution; and completion of 40+ hours of Military Service-specific National Advocate Credentialing Program and approved SARC training.</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Dedicated Headquarters-Level Professionals</b>	<b>Include policy, advocacy, and prevention professionals who support the headquarters-level SAPR program offices at each Military Service/NGB (<i>not including program managers, who are counted in their own category</i>).</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Uniformed SARCs</b>	<b>Serve as the single point of contact at an installation or within a geographic area to oversee sexual assault awareness, prevention, and response training; coordinate medical treatment, including emergency care, for victims of sexual assault; and track the services provided to victims from the initial report through final disposition and resolution and are certified under the nationally-accredited DoD Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP).</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Civilian SARCs</b>	<b>See above.</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Uniformed SAPR-VAs</b>	<b>Provide non-clinical crisis intervention, referral, and ongoing non-clinical support to adult sexual assault victims; offer information on available options/resources to victims; coordinate liaison assistance with other organizations and agencies on victim care matters; and report directly to the SARC, and are certified under the nationally-accredited D-SAACP.</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,595</b>
<b>Civilian SAPR-VAs</b>	<b>See above.</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Sexual Assault-Specific Legal</b>	<b>Legal personnel who specialize in sexual assault cases including prosecutors, Victim Witness Assistance Program personnel, paralegals, legal experts, and Special Victims' Counsel/Victims' Legal Counsel.</b>	<b>308</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Sexual Assault – Specific Investigators</b>	<b>Military Criminal Investigation Office investigators who specialize in sexual assault cases.</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>436</b>
<b>Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners</b>	<b>Medical providers that have completed the DoD Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiner Course at Fort Sam Houston, or equivalent.</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>163</b>

Notes:

1. Program Managers:
  - a. CNIC: 2
  - b. USNA: 1
  
2. Dedicated HQ Level Professionals:
  - a. N17: 5
  - b. NCIS: 2
  - c. SAPR Officers: 53
  - d. CNIC HQ SAPR: 4
  - e. Fleets: 3
  - f. INDOPACOM: 1
  - g. USNA: 3
  
3. Sexual Assault-Specific Legal:
  - a. Trial Counsel Assistance Program and Region Legal Service Offices: 57 personnel (includes only those SVIP-qualified Trial Counsel (34), paralegals (21), and administrative support personnel (2)).
  - b. Defense Counsel Assistance Program and Defense Service Offices: 184 personnel (99 officers, 66 enlisted, and 19 civilian personnel).
  - c. VLC Program: 58 personnel: VLC (44), VLC HQ (4), Enlisted Administrative Support (8), and Civilian Paralegals (2).
  - d. OJAG Code 20: 9 personnel.
  
4. Sexual Assault Specific Investigators: NCIS has trained 1,036 special agents via AASATP or through the addition to Special Agent Basic Training Program.
  
5. Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners:
  - a. Civilian SAFE Program Managers: 5 (SAMFE certified)
  - b. SAMFEs: 163 (who stand watch at the MTF and/or are operational)

Unrestricted Reports

NAVY FY22 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY		
<p>A. FY22 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members.                      Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY22. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.                      This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.</p>		FY22 Totals
<b># FY22 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)</b>		<b>1332</b>
# Service Member Victims		1248
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject		82
# Relevant Data Not Available		2
<b># Unrestricted Reports in the following categories</b>		<b>1332</b>
# Service Member on Service Member		616
# Service Member on Non-Service Member		82
# Non-Service Member on Service Member		20
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member		161
# Relevant Data Not Available		453
<b># Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring</b>		<b>1332</b>
# On military installation		518
# Off military installation		448
# Unidentified location		366
<b># Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation</b>		<b>1332</b>
<b># Victims in investigations initiated during FY22</b>		<b>1275</b>
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2022		439
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2022		836
<b># Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming</b>		<b>37</b>
<b># Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement</b>		<b>20</b>
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ		8
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations		0
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service		3
# Victims - Other		9
<b># All Restricted Reports received in FY22 (one Victim per report)</b>		<b>861</b>
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)		172
<b># Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY22</b>		<b>689</b>
<b>B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY22</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>	<b>FY22 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases</b>
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report</b>	<b>1332</b>	<b>1248</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	362	343
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	135	119
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	98	93
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	258	227
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	90	82
# Relevant Data Not Available	389	384
<b>Time of sexual assault</b>	<b>1332</b>	<b>1248</b>
# Midnight to 6 am	271	241
# 6 am to 6 pm	183	174
# 6 pm to midnight	318	298
# Unknown	99	96
# Relevant Data Not Available	461	439
<b>Day of sexual assault</b>	<b>1332</b>	<b>1248</b>
# Sunday	137	128
# Monday	136	121
# Tuesday	110	105
# Wednesday	98	92
# Thursday	110	95
# Friday	162	144
# Saturday	188	177
# Relevant Data Not Available	391	386

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

C. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY22 Totals		
	537	117	15	28	47	135	1	452	1332		
# Service Member on Service Member	438	103	14	25	8	28	0	0	616		
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	72	7	0	1	0	1	1	0	82		
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	12	2	1	2	0	1	0	2	20		
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	12	3	0	0	39	102	0	0	161		
# Relevant Data Not Available	3	3	0	0	0	4	0	450	453		
<b>FY22 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)</b>											
<b>UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY22</b>	<b>Penetrating Offenses</b>				<b>Contact Offenses</b>						
<b>D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)</b>	Rapes (Art 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art 120)	Indecent Assault (Art 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
<b>D1</b>	99	0	293	0	17	431	0	0	21	471	1332
# Service Member on Service Member	41	0	185	0	9	332	0	0	12	377	616
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	15	0	34	0	0	17	0	0	1	15	82
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	4	0	5	0	2	4	0	0	0	4	20
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	22	0	43	0	2	54	0	0	8	37	161
# Relevant Data Not Available	17	0	26	0	4	16	0	0	0	37	453
<b>D2</b>											
<b>TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY22 Reports</b>	83	0	259	0	17	413	0	0	20	456	1248
# Service Member Victims: Female	76	0	224	0	14	292	0	0	17	333	967
# Service Member Victims: Male	7	0	35	0	3	121	0	0	3	117	281
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

<b>E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY22</b>	
Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.	
<b># Investigations Initiated during FY22</b>	<b>1241</b>
# Investigations Completed as of FY22 End (group by MCIO #)	814
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY22 End (group by MCIO #)	427
<b># Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY22</b>	<b>929</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>	<b>7</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	7
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>664</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	649
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	15
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>2</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	2
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations</b>	<b>19</b>
Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations</b>	<b>226</b>
Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement</b>	<b>2</b>
Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	2
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>7</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY22</b>	
Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY22. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY22 or any prior FY.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by Services during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>1198</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	41
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	80
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	1
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>983</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>	<b>9</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	9
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>717</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	694
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	23
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>2</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	2
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>23</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>231</b>
<b># Subject Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>1256</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in CID investigations</b>	<b>11</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	9
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	2
<b># Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations</b>	<b>1143</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	1137
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	6
<b># Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations</b>	<b>2</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	2
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>97</b>
<b># Victim Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>3</b>

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

<b>E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY22</b>	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>14</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	1
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>12</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement</b>	<b>2</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	1
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	1
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>8</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>2</b>
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>14</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations</b>	<b>13</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	12
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	1
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service</b>	<b>1</b>
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY22 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as MPs )</b>	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>0</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Victims in MP investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in MP investigations</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

Victims in Investigation Completed in FY22	Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY22										
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses				Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
	Rape (Art 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art 120)	Indecent Assault (Art 134) (Pre-FY08)			
<b>F1. Gender of Victims</b>	107	0	310	0	21	461	0	0	23	348	1270
# Male	8	0	30	0	3	126	0	0	3	88	261
# Female	99	0	280	0	18	331	0	0	20	260	1008
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F2. Age of Victims</b>	107	0	310	0	21	461	0	0	23	348	1270
# 0-15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	6
# 16-19	36	0	78	0	4	126	0	0	4	38	288
# 20-24	41	0	148	0	8	223	0	0	15	41	476
# 25-34	22	0	58	0	8	76	0	0	1	20	185
# 35-49	5	0	14	0	1	15	0	0	1	10	46
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F3. Victim Type</b>	107	0	310	0	21	461	0	0	23	348	1270
# Service Member	87	0	272	0	20	436	0	0	21	333	1169
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# DoD Contractor	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# US Civilian	16	0	36	0	1	18	0	0	2	14	87
# Foreign National	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
<b>F4. Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	87	0	272	0	20	436	0	0	21	333	1169
# E1-E4	63	0	204	0	13	351	0	0	18	257	906
# E5-E9	19	0	47	0	7	73	0	0	2	46	194
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# O1-O3	4	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	20	46
# O4-O10	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	15
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F5. Service of Service Member Victims</b>	87	0	272	0	20	436	0	0	21	333	1169
# Army	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	4
# Navy	86	0	271	0	20	433	0	0	20	330	1169
# Marines	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	5
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Space Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F6. Status of Service Member Victims</b>	87	0	272	0	20	436	0	0	21	333	1169
# Active Duty	83	0	255	0	19	418	0	0	20	317	1117
# Reserve (Activated)	3	0	10	0	1	15	0	0	1	11	41
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	15
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

G DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY22 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY22										FY22 Totals
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
	Rape (Art 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art 120)	Indecent Assault (Art 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
<b>G1. Gender of Subjects</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>451</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>995</b>
# Male	88	0	238	0	11	246	0	0	15	46	744
# Female	3	0	11	0	0	27	0	0	1	1	42
# Unknown	23	0	61	0	4	78	0	0	9	33	206
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
<b>G2. Age of Subjects</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>451</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>995</b>
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	10	0	18	0	1	55	0	0	1	3	90
# 20-24	39	0	120	0	5	146	0	0	9	15	336
# 25-29	26	0	79	0	1	101	0	0	3	13	223
# 30-34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 35-39	8	0	23	0	2	55	0	0	2	5	95
# 40-44	1	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	8
# 45 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	30	0	68	0	0	90	0	0	9	39	246
<b>G3. Subject Type</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>451</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>995</b>
# Service Member	69	0	238	0	9	361	0	0	15	38	730
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Recruiters	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Civilian	2	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	8
# DoD Contractor	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	9	0	5	0	0	4	0	0	4	4	22
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	31	0	64	0	5	85	0	0	9	34	209
# Relevant Data Not Available	11	0	4	0	1	7	0	0	0	3	29
<b>G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>361</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>730</b>
# E1-E4	43	0	140	0	6	214	0	0	8	25	436
# E5-E9	20	0	79	0	3	122	0	0	6	8	238
# WO1-WO5	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	5
# O1-O3	2	0	9	0	0	15	0	0	1	1	28
# O4-O10	1	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	8
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	11
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G5. Service of Service Member Subjects</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>361</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>730</b>
# Army	1	0	5	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	10
# Navy	65	0	223	0	8	343	0	0	15	36	695
# Marines	3	0	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	20
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3
# Space Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Coast Guard	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G6. Status of Service Member Subjects</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>361</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>730</b>
# Active Duty	68	0	224	0	8	343	0	0	15	36	692
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	7	0	1	8	0	0	0	0	16
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	11
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



Unrestricted Reports (continued)

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY22 INVESTIGATIONS	FY22 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY22 INVESTIGATIONS	FY22 Totals
<b># Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement</b> <b>Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY22, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.</b>	3		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	1		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	1		
# Subjects - Other	1		
<b># Subjects in investigations completed in FY22</b> <b>Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.</b>	#REF!	<b># Victims in investigations completed in FY22</b>	1270
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY22	432	# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY22	811
<b># Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority</b>	123		
# Unknown Offenders	94	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	41
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	28	# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	18
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	21
# Subjects who died or deserted	1	# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	5
		# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
<b># Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault</b>	233	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	1
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	50	# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	164		
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0	# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	49
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	19	# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	149
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0
		# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	18
		# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	0
<b># Subjects disposition data not yet available</b>	696	<b># Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available</b>	722
<b># Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2022</b>	203		
<b># FY22 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action</b>	203	<b># FY22 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action</b>	196
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	13	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial referrals against Subject	9
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	31	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	31
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	39	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	35
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	28	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	24
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	8	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial referrals for non-sexual assault offenses	8
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	54	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	55
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	13	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	15
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	17	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	19
<b>* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.</b>			

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

<b>I. COURTS MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Sexual Assault Charge).</b> This section reports the outcomes of Courts Martial for sexual assault crimes completed during FY22	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a Sexual Assault Charge Pending Court Completion</b>	<b>60</b>
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY22	4
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY22</b>	<b>56</b>
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed</b>	<b>8</b>
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	2
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	3
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	2
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
<b># Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial</b>	<b>7</b>
# Officer and Cadet/Midshipmen Subjects who were allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	3
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	4
<b># Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a sexual assault charge</b>	<b>41</b>
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	12
<b># Subjects Convicted of Any Charge at Trial</b>	<b>29</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>29</b>
# Subjects receiving confinement	25
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	26
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	6
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	15
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	0
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects to be processed for administrative discharge or separation subsequent to sexual assault conviction	6
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	4
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	2
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Convicted Subjects with a conviction under a UCMJ Article that requires Sex Offender Registration	13
<b>J. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Sexual Assault Charge).</b> This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for sexual assault crimes completed during FY22	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY22</b>	<b>93</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY22	14
<b># Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY22</b>	<b>79</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	4
<b># Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment</b>	<b>75</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	6
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>69</b>
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	57
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	51
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	41
# Subjects receiving extra duty	35
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	10
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual assault charge	30
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	5
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	21
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	3
<b>K. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN.</b> This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY22	18
<b># Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a sexual assault offense</b>	<b>72</b>
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	11
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	36
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	4
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	2
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY22	3
<b># Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a sexual assault offense</b>	<b>45</b>

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

L. COURTS MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Non sexual assault offense). This section reports the outcomes of Courts Martials for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	FY22 Totals
<b># Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a non-sexual assault offense in FY22</b>	<b>20</b>
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY22	0
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY22</b>	<b>20</b>
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	2
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial for a non-sexual assault offense	3
# Officer and Cadet/Midshipmen Subjects who were officers that where allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	1
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	2
<b># Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>15</b>
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	1
<b># Subjects Convicted of Any Non-Sexual Assault Charge at Trial</b>	<b>14</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>14</b>
# Subjects receiving confinement	14
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	11
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	4
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	4
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	0
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to conviction at trial	3
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	3
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
<b>M. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Non Sexual Assault Charge). This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.</b>	
	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY22</b>	<b>99</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY22	5
<b># Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY22</b>	<b>94</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	2
<b># Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>92</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	4
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>88</b>
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	56
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	65
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	55
# Subjects receiving extra duty	50
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	13
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge	24
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	3
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	18
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	2
<b>N. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN (Non sexual assault offense). This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.</b>	
	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY22	4
<b># Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>34</b>
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	6
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	18
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	3
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	3
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY22	4
<b># Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>24</b>

Restricted Reports

A. FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY22 Totals
<b># TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports</b>	<b>861</b>
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	855
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22*</b>	<b>172</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	172
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Total Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	<b>689</b>
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	683
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories</b>	<b>689</b>
# Service Member on Service Member	480
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	113
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	6
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	89
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	FY22 Totals
<b># Reported sexual assaults occurring</b>	<b>689</b>
# On military installation	231
# Off military installation	332
# Unidentified location	84
# Relevant Data Not Available	42
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report</b>	<b>689</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	140
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	67
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	43
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	138
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	126
# Relevant Data Not Available	175
<b>Time of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>689</b>
# Midnight to 6 am	150
# 6 am to 6 pm	75
# 6 pm to midnight	262
# Unknown	167
# Relevant Data Not Available	35
<b>Day of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>689</b>
# Sunday	80
# Monday	46
# Tuesday	55
# Wednesday	55
# Thursday	60
# Friday	90
# Saturday	128
# Relevant Data Not Available	175
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	FY22 Totals
<b># Service Member Victims</b>	<b>683</b>
# Army Victims	6
# Navy Victims	661
# Marines Victims	8
# Air Force Victims	8
# Space Force Victims	0
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

Restricted Reports (continued)

D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT		FY22 Totals
<b>Gender of Victims</b>		<b>689</b>
# Male		149
# Female		540
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>Age of Victims at the Time of Incident</b>		<b>689</b>
# 0-15		29
# 16-19		169
# 20-24		333
# 25-34		140
# 35-49		14
# 50-64		0
# 65 and older		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		4
<b>Grade of Service Member Victims</b>		<b>683</b>
# E1-E4		419
# E5-E9		166
# WO1-WO5		1
# O1-O3		48
# O4-O10		3
# Cadet/Midshipman		46
# Academy Prep School Student		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>Status of Service Member Victims</b>		<b>683</b>
# Active Duty		625
# Reserve (Activated)		11
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)		1
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student		46
# Academy Prep School Student		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>Victim Type</b>		<b>689</b>
# Service Member		683
--# DoD-Civilian		
--# DoD-Contractor		
--# Other US Government-Civilian		
# Non-Service Member		6
--# Foreign-National		
--# Foreign-Military		
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service</b>		<b>70</b>
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18		40
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18		30
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY)</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted		38.4
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted		53.4
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted		1
<b>G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY22</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22</b>		<b>31</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22		31
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
* The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.		

NAVY FY22 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT		
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made</i>		
<b>A SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBER VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>		
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>		
# Medical		9820
# Behavioral Health		960
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)		1362
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		1890
# Rape Crisis Center		1165
# Victim Advocate/Informed Victim Advocate		2406
# DoD Safe Helpline		1122
# Other		915
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>		
# Medical		589
# Behavioral Health		23
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)		100
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		11
# Rape Crisis Center		9
# Victim Advocate		138
# DoD Safe Helpline		137
# Other		171
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>		<b>133</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>		<b>1</b>
<b># Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service</b>		<b>80</b>
<b>B FY22 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO) AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS UNRESTRICTED REPORTS</b>		<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>
<b># Military Protective Orders Issued during FY22</b>		<b>163</b>
# Reported MPO Violations in FY22		6
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects		6
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault		0
# Reported MPO Violations by Both		0
<i>In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued on Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk for the Victim</i>		
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	33	<b>Total Number Denied</b> 7
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0	<b>Reasons for Disapproval (Total)</b> 6
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	292	Currently TAD, therefore request was invalid
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	7	No credible report/determination of sexual assault
		Other - Best care was provided here at the command and other command actions were pending for this victim
<b>C SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS</b>		<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>		
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>		
# Medical	4301	Details unknown as a previous SARC worked the case
# Behavioral Health	473	SARC update included that the incident did not meet the elements of SA
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	700	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	608	
# Rape Crisis Center	599	
# Victim Advocate/Informed Victim Advocate	1116	
# DoD Safe Helpline	500	
# Other	305	
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>		
# Medical	16	
# Behavioral Health	60	
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	5	
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	5	
# Rape Crisis Center	45	
# Victim Advocate	63	
# DoD Safe Helpline	50	
# Other	80	
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>		<b>80</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>		<b>0</b>

Support Services (continued)

CIVILIAN DATA	
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER	FY22 Totals
<b>D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:</b>	<b>74</b>
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	9
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	9
# Relevant Data Not Available	56
<b>D2. Gender of Non-Service Members</b>	<b>74</b>
# Male	2
# Female	66
# Relevant Data Not Available	6
<b>D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>74</b>
# 0-15	1
# 16-19	2
# 20-24	7
# 25-34	5
# 35-49	2
# 50-64	1
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	56
<b>D4. Non-Service Member Type</b>	<b>74</b>
# DoD Civilian	5
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	2
# US Civilian	57
# Foreign National	4
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	6
<b>D5. # Support service referrals for Non Service Members in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>195</b>
# Medical	16
# Behavioral Health	26
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	29
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	20
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	73
# DoD Safe Helpline	12
# Other	19
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>66</b>
# Medical	6
# Behavioral Health	8
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	4
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	4
# Rape Crisis Center	21
# Victim Advocate	9
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	14
<b># Cases where SAFE kits were conducted</b>	<b>15</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>E. FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON SERVICE MEMBERS</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report</b>	<b>6</b>
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	<b>6</b>
<b># Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:</b>	<b>6</b>
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	3
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims</b>	<b>6</b>
# Male	0
# Female	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>6</b>
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	3
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	1
# 35-49	2
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E4. VICTIM Type</b>	<b>6</b>
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# Non-Service Member	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E5. # Support service referrals for Non Service Member Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources</b>	<b>38</b>
# Medical	4
# Behavioral Health	6
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	5
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	6
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	10
# DoD Safe Helpline	4
# Other	3
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>2</b>
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	2
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># Cases where SAFE kits were conducted</b>	<b>4</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

NAVY COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FY22 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY Note: These Reports are a subset of the FY22 Reports of Sexual Assault.		
A. FY22 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members. Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY22. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year. This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.	FY22 Totals	
<b># FY22 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)</b>	<b>11</b>	
# Service Member Victims	11	
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	
<b># Unrestricted Reports in the following categories</b>	<b>11</b>	
# Service Member on Service Member	1	
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	2	
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	1	
# Relevant Data Not Available	7	
<b># Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring</b>	<b>11</b>	
# On military installation	2	
# Off military installation	8	
# Unidentified location	1	
<b># Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation</b>	<b>11</b>	
<b># Victims in investigations initiated during FY22</b>	<b>11</b>	
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2022	2	
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2022	9	
<b># Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b># Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement</b>	<b>0</b>	
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ	0	
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0	
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service	0	
# Victims - Other	0	
<b># All Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest received in FY22 (one Victim per report)</b>	<b>12</b>	
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)	3	
<b># Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY22</b>	<b>9</b>	
<b>B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FOR FY22</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>	<b>FY22 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases</b>
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	2	2
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	5	5
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	2	2
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	2	2
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
<b>Time of sexual assault</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>
# Midnight to 6 am	1	1
# 6 am to 6 pm	4	4
# 6 pm to midnight	6	6
# Unknown	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
<b>Day of sexual assault</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>
# Sunday	1	1
# Monday	0	0
# Tuesday	1	1
# Wednesday	3	3
# Thursday	3	3
# Friday	1	1
# Saturday	2	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0



Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

C. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)											
	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY22 Totals		
	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	11		
# Service Member on Service Member	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2		
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1		
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	7		
<b>FY22 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)</b>											
UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY22											
D. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
	Rape (Art 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art 120)	Indecent Assault (Art 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
D1	0	0	3	0	1	3	0	0	0	4	11
# Service Member on Service Member	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	7
D2											
<b>TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY22 Reports</b>	0	0	3	0	1	3	0	0	0	4	11
# Service Member Victims: Female	0	0	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	8
# Service Member Victims: Male	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST MADE IN FY22</b>											
D3. Time of sexual assault	0	0	3	0	1	3	0	0	0	4	11
# Midnight to 6 am	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
# 6 am to 6 pm	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	4
# 6 pm to midnight	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	3
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D4. Day of sexual assault	0	0	3	0	1	3	0	0	0	4	11
# Sunday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
# Monday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Wednesday	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3
# Thursday	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
# Friday	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Saturday	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST LOCATION OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE											
FY22 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST LOCATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT Note: The data in this section is drawn from raw, uninvestigated information about Unrestricted Reports received during FY22. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.	Rape (Art 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12)  (Art 120)	Indecent Assault (Art 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
<b>TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS</b>	0	0	3	0	1	3	0	0	0	4	11
Afghanistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bahrain	0	0	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	6
Bahreini	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iraq	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jordan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kosovo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kuwait	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Qatar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somalia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Turkey	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Uae	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Yemen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS</b>	0	0	3	0	1	3	0	0	0	4	11

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY22 Totals
<b>E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY22 in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.	
<b># Investigations Initiated during FY22</b>	<b>13</b>
# Investigations Completed as of FY22 End (group by MCIO #)	9
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY22 End (group by MCIO #)	4
<b># Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY22</b>	<b>6</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>2</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	2
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations</b>	<b>1</b>
Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations</b>	<b>2</b>
Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement</b>	<b>0</b>
Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY22 in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY22. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY22 or any prior FY.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by Services during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>13</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	2
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>11</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>4</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	3
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	1
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>6</b>
<b># Subject Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>13</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in CID investigations</b>	<b>1</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	1
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	0
<b># Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations</b>	<b>12</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	12
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	0
<b># Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victim Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

<b>E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY22 in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>0</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Subject Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victim Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY22 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as MPs ) in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>0</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Subject Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victims in MP investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in MP investigations</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victim Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

Victims and Subjects in Investigation Completed in FY22 in Combat Areas of Interest	Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY22												
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses							Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
	Rape (Art 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art 120)	Indecent Assault (Art 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art 80)				
<b>F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY22 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b> (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)													
<b>F1. Gender of Victims</b>	1	0	6	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	13	
# Male	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	
# Female	1	0	6	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	11	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>F2. Age of Victims</b>	1	0	6	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	13	
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 20-24	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	
# 25-34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 35-49	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>F3. Victim Type</b>	1	0	6	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	13	
# Service Member	1	0	6	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	13	
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>F4. Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	1	0	6	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	13	
# E1-E4	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	
# E5-E9	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# O1-O3	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>F5. Service of Service Member Victims</b>	1	0	6	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	13	
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Navy	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>F6. Status of Service Member Victims</b>	1	0	6	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	13	
# Active Duty	1	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	11	
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

G DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY22 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY22											
	Penetrating Offenses					Contact Offenses					Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
	Rape (Art 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art 120)	Indecent Assault (Art 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art 80)			
<b>G1. Gender of Subjects</b>	4	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	11	
# Male	4	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	11	
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>G2. Age of Subjects</b>	4	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	11	
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 20-24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 25-34	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
# 35-49	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	
<b>G3. Subject Type</b>	4	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	11	
# Service Member	4	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	11	
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Recruiters	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects</b>	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	
# E1-E4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	
# E5-E9	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>G5. Service of Service Member Subjects</b>	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Navy	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>G6. Status of Service Member Subjects</b>	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	
# Active Duty	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY22 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY22 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY22 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY22 Totals
<b># Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement</b> <b>Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY22, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.</b>	0		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	0		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	0		
# Subjects - Other	0		
<b># Subjects in investigations completed in FY22</b> <b>Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.</b>	17	<b># Victims in investigations completed in FY22</b>	13
<b># Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY22</b>	1	<b># Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY22</b>	9
<b># Total Subjects with allegations unfounded by a Military Criminal Investigative Organization</b>	2	<b># Total Victims associated with MCIO unfounded allegations</b>	2
# Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	2	# Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	2
# Non-Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Non-Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Unidentified Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0		
# Subjects with Subject data not yet available and with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Victims with Victim data not yet available and involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
<b># Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority</b>	6		
# Unknown Offenders	5	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	1
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	1	# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	1
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	1
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	0
		# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
		# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
		# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
<b># Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault</b>	2		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	0	# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	0
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	2	# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	1
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0	# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	0	# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	0
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	0
<b># Subjects disposition data not yet available</b>	7	<b># Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available</b>	6
<b># Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2022</b>	0		
<b># FY22 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action</b>	0	<b># FY22 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action</b>	1
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferences against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	1
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferences for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	0
<b>Unrestricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.</b>			

Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

NAVY COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT		
<b>A. FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports</b>		<b>12</b>
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports		12
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b># Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22*</b>		<b>3</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22		3
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b># Total Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>		<b>9</b>
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted		9
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b># Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories</b>		<b>9</b>
# Service Member on Service Member		9
# Non-Service Member on Service Member		0
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)		0
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>B. INCIDENT DETAILS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Reported sexual assaults occurring</b>		<b>9</b>
# On military installation		5
# Off military installation		4
# Unidentified location		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report</b>		<b>9</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault		3
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault		1
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault		0
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault		0
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault		4
# Relevant Data Not Available		1
<b>Time of sexual assault incident</b>		<b>9</b>
# Midnight to 6 am		3
# 6 am to 6 pm		1
# 6 pm to midnight		4
# Unknown		1
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>Day of sexual assault incident</b>		<b>9</b>
# Sunday		0
# Monday		1
# Tuesday		0
# Wednesday		1
# Thursday		0
# Friday		2
# Saturday		4
# Relevant Data Not Available		1
<b>C. RESTRICTED REPORTING VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims</b>		<b>9</b>
# Army Victims		0
# Navy Victims		6
# Marines Victims		1
# Air Force Victims		2
# Coast Guard Victims		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0



Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY22 Totals
<b>Gender of Victims</b>	<b>9</b>
# Male	6
# Female	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Age of Victims at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>9</b>
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	3
# 25-34	4
# 35-49	2
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>9</b>
# E1-E4	3
# E5-E9	5
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	1
# O4-O10	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Status of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>9</b>
# Active Duty	9
# Reserve (Activated)	0
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Victim Type</b>	<b>9</b>
# Service Member	9
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# Non-Service Member	0
# Foreign National	0
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service</b>	<b>0</b>
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	0
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	0
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DASAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	25
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	39.85
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	1
<b>G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY22 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22</b>	<b>1</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	1
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>TOTAL # FY22 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>9</b>
Afghanistan	1
Bahrain	2
Djibouti	2
Iraq	1
Jordan	0
Kosovo	0
Kuwait	1
Lebanon	0
Pakistan	0
Qatar	1
Saudi Arabia	0
Somalia	0
Syria	0
Turkey	0
Uae	1
Yemen	0
* The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.	

Support Services in Combat Areas of Interest

NAVY CAI FY22 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>	
<b>A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>112</b>
# Medical	10
# Behavioral Health	18
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)	17
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	11
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	37
# DoD Safe Helpline	10
# Other	9
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>11</b>
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	1
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	1
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	
# Other	9
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>B. FY22 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>
<b># Military Protective Orders issued during FY22</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Reported MPO Violations in FY22</b>	<b>0</b>
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	0
<i>*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk for the Victim.</i>	
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	1
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	2
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0
<b>C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>63</b>
# Medical	3
# Behavioral Health	12
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	6
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	8
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	15
# DoD Safe Helpline	8
# Other	11
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>6</b>
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	1
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	1
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	
# Other	4
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>	<b>2</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>

Support Services in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

CIVILIAN DATA	
<b>D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:</b>	<b>2</b>
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
<b>D2. Gender of Non-Service Members</b>	<b>2</b>
# Male	0
# Female	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>2</b>
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	1
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
<b>D4. Non-Service Member Type</b>	<b>2</b>
# DoD Civilian	1
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# US Civilian	1
# Foreign National	0
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>D5. # Support service referrals for Non Service Members in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>3</b>
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	1
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	1
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	1
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>0</b>
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>E. FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report</b>	<b>0</b>
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:</b>	<b>0</b>
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims</b>	<b>0</b>
# Male	0
# Female	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>0</b>
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E4. VICTIM Type</b>	<b>0</b>
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E5. # Support service referrals for Non Service Member Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources</b>	<b>0</b>
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>0</b>
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>

Unrestricted Report Case Summaries

Case No.	Case Name	Case ID	Case Type	Case Status	Case Category	Case Sub-Category	Case Description	Case Outcome	Case Date	Case Location	Case Agency	Case Officer	Case Notes
1	Algebra 1 (Unit 1)	1875215731	Case	0.4	Final	Case	0.4	Final	0.4	Final	0.4	Final	0.4
2	Algebra 1 (Unit 2)	1875215732	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
3	Algebra 1 (Unit 3)	1875215733	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
4	Algebra 1 (Unit 4)	1875215734	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
5	Algebra 1 (Unit 5)	1875215735	Case	0.1	Final	Case	0.1	Final	0.1	Final	0.1	Final	0.1
6	Algebra 1 (Unit 6)	1875215736	Case	0.1	Final	Case	0.1	Final	0.1	Final	0.1	Final	0.1
7	Algebra 1 (Unit 7)	1875215737	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
8	Algebra 1 (Unit 8)	1875215738	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
9	Algebra 1 (Unit 9)	1875215739	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
10	Algebra 1 (Unit 10)	1875215740	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
11	Algebra 1 (Unit 11)	1875215741	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
12	Algebra 1 (Unit 12)	1875215742	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
13	Algebra 1 (Unit 13)	1875215743	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
14	Algebra 1 (Unit 14)	1875215744	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
15	Algebra 1 (Unit 15)	1875215745	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
16	Algebra 1 (Unit 16)	1875215746	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
17	Algebra 1 (Unit 17)	1875215747	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
18	Algebra 1 (Unit 18)	1875215748	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
19	Algebra 1 (Unit 19)	1875215749	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
20	Algebra 1 (Unit 20)	1875215750	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
21	Algebra 1 (Unit 21)	1875215751	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
22	Algebra 1 (Unit 22)	1875215752	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
23	Algebra 1 (Unit 23)	1875215753	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
24	Algebra 1 (Unit 24)	1875215754	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
25	Algebra 1 (Unit 25)	1875215755	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
26	Algebra 1 (Unit 26)	1875215756	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
27	Algebra 1 (Unit 27)	1875215757	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
28	Algebra 1 (Unit 28)	1875215758	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
29	Algebra 1 (Unit 29)	1875215759	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2
30	Algebra 1 (Unit 30)	1875215760	Case	0.2	Final	Case	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2	Final	0.2

















Order	Task ID	Task Name	Task Type	Priority	Start Date	End Date	Status	Phase	Sub-Phase	Task Owner	Task Assignee	Task Description	Task Status	Task Notes
001	00000001	Initial Project Setup	Task	P1	2023-01-01	2023-01-05	Completed	1.0	1.1	John Doe	Jane Smith	Initial project setup, including meeting with stakeholders and defining project scope.	Completed	Project initiated successfully.
002	00000002	Requirements Gathering	Task	P2	2023-01-06	2023-01-15	In Progress	2.0	2.1	Jane Smith	John Doe	Gathering requirements from stakeholders through interviews and workshops.	In Progress	Requirements document draft ready for review.
003	00000003	System Architecture Design	Task	P3	2023-01-16	2023-01-25	Not Started	3.0	3.1	John Doe	Jane Smith	Designing the high-level system architecture and database schema.	Not Started	Awaiting requirements finalization.
004	00000004	UI/UX Design	Task	P4	2023-01-26	2023-02-10	In Progress	4.0	4.1	Jane Smith	John Doe	Creating user interface wireframes and prototypes.	In Progress	UI/UX design mockups in development.
005	00000005	Backend Development	Task	P5	2023-02-11	2023-02-25	Not Started	5.0	5.1	John Doe	Jane Smith	Developing the server-side logic and database integration.	Not Started	Backend development to begin after UI/UX is approved.
006	00000006	Frontend Development	Task	P5	2023-02-11	2023-02-25	Not Started	6.0	6.1	Jane Smith	John Doe	Developing the client-side user interface using modern frameworks.	Not Started	Frontend development to begin after UI/UX is approved.
007	00000007	Integration Testing	Task	P6	2023-02-26	2023-03-10	Not Started	7.0	7.1	John Doe	Jane Smith	Testing the integration between different components of the system.	Not Started	Integration testing to begin after development is complete.
008	00000008	Deployment and Production	Task	P7	2023-03-11	2023-03-15	Not Started	8.0	8.1	Jane Smith	John Doe	Deploying the application to a production environment.	Not Started	Deployment to begin after all testing is successful.



Unrestricted Report Case Synopses

Case No.	Case Title	Date	Category	Priority	Resolution	Case Status	Case Type	Case Outcome	Case Notes	Case Details	Case Summary	Case Conclusion
001	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
002	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
003	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
004	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
005	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
006	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
007	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
008	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
009	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
010	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
011	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
012	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
013	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
014	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
015	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
016	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
017	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
018	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
019	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
020	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
021	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
022	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
023	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
024	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
025	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
026	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
027	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
028	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
029	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)
030	Abuse (Child)	187070-17-1871	Yes	0.5	Abuse	Yes	0.2	Yes	No	No	Child Abuse	Abuse (Child)

Unrestricted Report Case Synopses

Case No.	Case Name	Case ID	Case Type	Case Status	Case Category	Case Sub-Category	Case Description	Case Location	Case Date	Case Outcome	Case Notes	Case Status	Case Action	Case Date	Case Outcome	Case Notes	Case Status	Case Action	Case Date	Case Outcome	Case Notes
101	Alameda County (CA, US)	187570173761	Case	P.2	Female	Male	P.4	Male	187570173761	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case
102	Alameda County (CA, US)	187570173762	Case	P.4	Male	Male	P.4	Male	187570173762	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case
103	Alameda County (CA, US)	187570173763	Case	P.2	Female	Male	P.4	Male	187570173763	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case
104	Alameda County (CA, US)	187570173764	Case	P.4	Male	Male	P.4	Male	187570173764	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case
105	Alameda County (CA, US)	187570173765	Case	P.2	Female	Male	P.4	Male	187570173765	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case
106	Alameda County (CA, US)	187570173766	Case	P.4	Male	Male	P.4	Male	187570173766	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case
107	Alameda County (CA, US)	187570173767	Case	P.2	Female	Male	P.4	Male	187570173767	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case
108	Alameda County (CA, US)	187570173768	Case	P.4	Male	Male	P.4	Male	187570173768	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case
109	Alameda County (CA, US)	187570173769	Case	P.2	Female	Male	P.4	Male	187570173769	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case
110	Alameda County (CA, US)	187570173770	Case	P.4	Male	Male	P.4	Male	187570173770	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case
111	Alameda County (CA, US)	187570173771	Case	P.2	Female	Male	P.4	Male	187570173771	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case
112	Alameda County (CA, US)	187570173772	Case	P.4	Male	Male	P.4	Male	187570173772	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case
113	Alameda County (CA, US)	187570173773	Case	P.2	Female	Male	P.4	Male	187570173773	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case
114	Alameda County (CA, US)	187570173774	Case	P.4	Male	Male	P.4	Male	187570173774	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case
115	Alameda County (CA, US)	187570173775	Case	P.2	Female	Male	P.4	Male	187570173775	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case
116	Alameda County (CA, US)	187570173776	Case	P.4	Male	Male	P.4	Male	187570173776	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case
117	Alameda County (CA, US)	187570173777	Case	P.2	Female	Male	P.4	Male	187570173777	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case
118	Alameda County (CA, US)	187570173778	Case	P.4	Male	Male	P.4	Male	187570173778	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case
119	Alameda County (CA, US)	187570173779	Case	P.2	Female	Male	P.4	Male	187570173779	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case
120	Alameda County (CA, US)	187570173780	Case	P.4	Male	Male	P.4	Male	187570173780	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case	Case





No.	Program Responsible for Investigating Retaliation Allegation(s)	Nature of Allegations Investigated for the Reporter of Retaliation	UCMJ Criminal Act for a Retaliatory Purpose in Connection with an Alleged Sex Related Offense	Reporter Type	Reporter Affiliation	Reporter Pay Grade	Reporter Gender	Retaliator Type	Retaliator Affiliation	Retaliator Pay Grade	Retaliator Gender	CMG or Command Action Regarding Alleged Retaliation Case	Findings of the Retaliation Investigation	Were the Results Provided to the Reporter?	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Narrative/Case Synopsis Notes
1	NCS	Reprisal Actions	N/A	Military	Navy	E-3	Female	Military	Navy	N/A	Male	Information referred to MCIO	Allegations unfounded based on criminal investigations only, per DoDI 5505.18	Yes	N/A	V/ was interviewed by NCS and reported multiple complaints while assigned to the restricted barracks. V/ reported issues such as having her smoking privileges revoked, complaints with the warding process, and an argument with another student. Ultimately, there was no evidence that these complaints were related to her prior allegation or that anyone involved is aware that she came forward in the first place. NCS investigated and determined that the treatment of the sailor was unrelated to her victim status.
2	NCS	UCMJ - Criminal Act for a Retaliatory Purpose in Connection with an Alleged Sex-related Offense	N/A	Military	Navy	E-1	Female	Military	Navy	E-1	Female	Referred to a Sexual Assault Investigation	Referred to a Sexual Assault Investigation	Yes	N/A	Retaliation case investigated as sexual assault. Offender discharged from military. Case is resolved.
3	Navy IG	N/A	N/A	Military	Navy	E-3	Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Action Taken	No Retaliator Identified	Yes	N/A	No Retaliator Identified
4	Navy IG	Reprisal Actions	N/A	Military	Navy	E-3	Female	Military	Navy	E-2	Male	Briefings/trainings for alleged retaliator(s) and/or unit/installation, Informal/verbal counseling of alleged retaliator(s) , Information referred to IG, New policies implemented by command in unit/installation, Unfavorable personnel action, punishment, or administrative action against the retaliation reporter reversed through command intervention	Allegations substantiated based on administrative investigations	Yes	N/A	Victim of sexual assault was issued a MPO from the command. The command believed that the victim violated the MPO and therefore command legal brought victim into their office for questioning. Prior to questioning, command legal administered Article 11b rights. Victim then reported questioning and allegation of orders violation as retaliation. Command interviewed prior to IG finding and clarified to legal investigators that MPOs are not lawful orders binding on protected parties and if any person who will be questioned by legal is suspected of being a victim of sexual assault then they will seek further guidance from leadership or Staff Judge Advocate.
5	Navy Chain of Command	N/A	N/A	Military	Navy	E-1	Female	Military	Navy	E-1	Female	No Action Taken	Allegations unsubstantiated based on administrative investigations	Yes	N/A	insufficient evidence
6	NCS	N/A	N/A	Military	Navy	E-3	Male	Military	Navy	N/A	Male	No Action Taken	Allegations unsubstantiated based on administrative investigations	Yes	N/A	Victim no longer felt retaliated against - issues rectified.
7a	Navy IG	Cruelty or Maltreatment, Ostracism, Reprisal Actions	N/A	Military	Navy	E-6	Male	Military	Navy	O-3	Male	Information referred to IG	Allegations unsubstantiated based on administrative investigations	Yes	N/A	Case was opened as a SAPR IG by the sailor and referred by Navy to DoD SAPR IG. Command had conducted a Human factors board on the sailor and had referred charges to a special courts martial for UA and Disrespect towards a Superior Commissioned Officer. Sailor opened a SAPR IG by saying that the commands actions were tied to a 2016 sexual assault at a previous command. That Sexual Assault was investigated by NCS but suspects were not able to be identified. DoD IG closed it's retaliation investigation with no action taken.
7b	Navy IG	Cruelty or Maltreatment, Ostracism, Reprisal Actions	N/A	Military	Navy	E-6	Male	Military	Navy	O-5	Male	Information referred to IG	Allegations unsubstantiated based on administrative investigations	Yes	N/A	Case was opened as a SAPR IG by the sailor and referred by Navy to DoD SAPR IG. Command had conducted a Human factors board on the sailor and had referred charges to a special courts martial for UA and Disrespect towards a Superior Commissioned Officer. Sailor opened a SAPR IG by saying that the commands actions were tied to a 2016 sexual assault at a previous command. That Sexual Assault was investigated by NCS but suspects were not able to be identified. DoD IG closed it's retaliation investigation with no action taken.
7c	Navy IG	Cruelty or Maltreatment, Ostracism, Reprisal Actions	N/A	Military	Navy	E-6	Male	Military	Navy	O-5	Male	Information referred to IG	Allegations unsubstantiated based on administrative investigations	Yes	N/A	Case was opened as a SAPR IG by the sailor and referred by Navy to DoD SAPR IG. Command had conducted a Human factors board on the sailor and had referred charges to a special courts martial for UA and Disrespect towards a Superior Commissioned Officer. Sailor opened a SAPR IG by saying that the commands actions were tied to a 2016 sexual assault at a previous command. That Sexual Assault was investigated by NCS but suspects were not able to be identified. DoD IG closed it's retaliation investigation with no action taken.

## FY 2022 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military Executive Summary: United States Marine Corps

The Marine Corps Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program reflects the Marine Corps core values and commitment to cultivating command climates that prioritize respect, communication, and cohesion. Sexual assault threatens the Marine Corps legacy and weakens its capability as America's force-in-readiness. Marine Corps leadership is firmly committed to eliminating harmful behaviors that erode trust and undermine capability while pursuing innovative approaches to achieve success.

Our leaders understand how sexual assault destroys cohesion and reduces readiness; and seek data, tools, and education to both inform and measure our approaches to sexual assault prevention and response. From entry-level training to the Commandant's Combined Commandership Course, Marine Corps sexual assault education and training provides a research-informed understanding of risk and protective factors and how they relate to sexual assault along the continuum of harm. Updated training, Professional Military Education (PME), and guided discussions ensure Marines understand their duty to take an active role in sexual assault prevention and eliminate harmful behaviors.

We continue to emphasize and execute the recommendations from the Independent Review Commission (IRC) on Sexual Assault in the Military. The Marine Corps expanded and standardized our professional Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Workforce, improved data collection and research, and optimized trainings to better resonate with the current generation of Marines. Our dedicated staff of Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs) and Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocates (SAPR VAs) remain committed to preventing this crime and to ensuring the health, welfare, and safety of Marines and their families.

Marine Corps leaders remain steadfast in their commitment to answering the Commandant's call for a holistic understanding of sexual assault in the military and the necessity for innovative approaches to eliminate it completely. The Marine Corps SAPR program is built upon five Lines of Effort (LOE) aligned with goals found in the Department of Defense (DoD) Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan.

LOE 1 — *Prevention*. The Marine Corps is committed to sustaining a culture that promotes the Total Force Fitness of all Marines as well as the readiness and resiliency of their families and our civilian workforce. By challenging past assumptions, modernized prevention education is tailored to our diverse environments and informs the current generation of Service members on research-based strategies to reduce harmful behaviors, promote healthy interactions, and provide coping skills.

LOE 2 — *Assistance and Advocacy for Victims*. Victim advocacy ensures that every Marine feels comfortable reporting a sexual assault, trusts their leadership to support them, and is met with respect and dignity. Headquarters Marine Corps (HQMC) SAPR expanded and standardized our workforce development to provide the highest quality

victim care and support services to all Marines and family members impacted by sexual assault.

LOE 3 — Investigation. Marine Corps commanders work with investigators to ensure victims are afforded timeliness, discretion, and dignity throughout the entire investigation process. Leaders and NCIS agents employ investigative tools and techniques to determine the facts surrounding any allegation of sexual assault. Marine Corps prosecutors and staff judge advocates make recommendations based on the investigation and convening authorities take action to address each case.

LOE 4 — Accountability. Marine Corps leaders at every level uphold the standards of integrity and moral character that promote respect, encourage reporting, and require response. Leaders learn to recognize and address the behaviors captured in command climate surveys that are statistically linked to increased sexual assault risk. Leadership engagement reinforces the call to action for every Marine to understand and articulate with precision that no sexual misconduct will be tolerated, and perpetrators will be held accountable.

LOE 5 — Program Assessment. HQMC program leaders and commanders at all echelons continuously monitor and evaluate the impact and effectiveness of the SAPR program. Command inspections, focus group feedback, training evaluations, and command climate surveys help identify the SAPR program's efficacy as well as how we may glean new solutions from members of the community and Marines themselves. If negative factors or shortfalls are identified within a command, they are reported to the commander and one level higher, to ensure corrective action is taken.

**1. Goal 1 Prevention: “institutionalize evidenced based, informed prevention practice and policies across the Department so that all Military Service members are treated with dignity and respect, and have the knowledge, tools, and support needed to prevent sexual assaults.**

**1.1 Efforts to Address Approved Independent Review Commission (IRC) Recommendations and the Prevention Plan of Action (PPoA): Identify major actions completed or underway to address the approved recommendations of the IRC Lines of Effort listed below.**

- a. Line of Effort 2: Prevention – Specifically address actions undertaken during FY 2022 to address the IRC prevention recommendations, the initiatives required under the Secretary of Defense’s Immediate Actions Memorandum and follow-on guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, as well as the 2019-2023 PPoA. Address your efforts under each of the requirements listed below:**
- Immediate Action 1. Assess Compliance with Sexual Assault and Harassment Policies and Integrated Violence Prevention Efforts**
  - Immediate Action 2. Conduct Evaluation at High Risk Installations**
  - Immediate Action 3. Establish a Violence Prevention Workforce**
  - 2019-2023 Prevention Plan of Action (Phase III Execution and Phase IV Evaluation)**

## **b. Line of Effort 3: Climate and Culture**

**(Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (OUSD(P&R) Memorandum, “Execution of the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention Plan of Action,” (April 26, 2019) / 2019-2023 DoD Prevention Plan of Action (April 2019) / Secretary of Defense (SecDef) Memorandum, “Immediate Actions to Counter Sexual Assault and Harassment and the Establishment of a 90-Day Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military,” (February 26, 2021) / OUSD(P&R) Memorandum, “Implementation of Immediate Actions to Counter Sexual Assault and Harassment in the Military,” (March 22, 2021) / SecDef Memorandum, “Department of Defense Actions and Implementation Guidance to Address Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment in the Military,” (July 2, 2021) / SecDef Memorandum, “Commencing DoD Actions and Implementation to Address Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment in the Military: Independent Review Commission Recommendation-Implementation Roadmap,” (September 22, 2021))**

### Line of Effort 2: Prevention

Headquarters Marine Corps (HQMC) is currently implementing the IRC Recommendations by increasing knowledge and understanding of integrated prevention, holding leaders appropriately accountable, delivering informed prevention messages, and optimizing our prevention workforce to deliver modernized education and skill building resources to today’s generation of Service members. With tailored sexual assault-specific prevention alongside integrated prevention initiatives, the Marine Corps achieves benefits across the spectrum of harmful behaviors. Further, the Marine Corps worked closely with DoD during the initial 2022 on-site installation evaluations.

For example, in support of IRC Recommendations 2.3a and 2.4 to implement modernized prevention education that reflects the current generation at both organizational and community levels, HQMC released Prevention in Action-Stakeholders (PIA-S) training; an on-demand, self-paced, Marine Corps-focused version of the DoD SPARX Knowledge course. PIA-S provides prevention stakeholders, including SARCs and SAPR VAs, with the knowledge and skills to champion prevention activities within their sphere of influence. Strategies that promote engagement and skill-building strengthen protective factors and increase positive behaviors. PIA-S ensures stakeholders and the prevention workforce utilize and possess a shared language and thorough understanding of primary prevention. This enables new partnerships in integrated prevention.

HQMC SAPR released an additional revision to the SAPR VA 40-hour Initial Training to incorporate an enhanced prevention module. This module reinforces primary prevention concepts established in PIA-S training and equips new SARCs and SAPR VAs with the knowledge and skills to transform prevention concepts into targeted sexual assault prevention efforts and initiatives. The prevention module discusses the continuum of harm, the Department of the Navy-created Watch List, sexual assault specific risk and protective factors, and the protective factors of a healthy command identified in the Defense Organizational Climate Survey (DEOCS). Existing Marine Corps programs reinforce protective factors and facilitate collaborative relationships between the prevention workforce and prevention stakeholders. HQMC also provided monthly webinars to SARCs and SAPR VAs. These continuing education and professional development opportunities focused on primary prevention and targeted sexual assault prevention topics. These efforts further support IRC recommendations 2.3a, 2.4, and 3.2.

In alignment with IRC Recommendation 2.3a., Headquarters Marine Corps (HQMC), Behavioral Programs Branch (MFC) staff visited Marine Corps Base (MCB) Camp Pendleton 19 July to 21 July 2022 to participate in the Suicide Prevention Western Region Wellness Symposium with I Marine Expeditionary Force (I MEF), Marine Forces Reserves (MARFORRES), Western Recruiting Regions, Major Subordinate Commands (MSC), supporting establishments, and stakeholders within the communities of Camp Pendleton, Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) Yuma, MCAS Miramar, Mountain Warfare Training Center Bridgeport, the Naval Postgraduate School and Defense Learning Institute in Monterey, and Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center and Marine Air Ground Task Force Training Command Twentynine Palms. The symposium and subsequent meetings with commands aboard Camp Pendleton reinforced the importance of site visits to strengthen prevention efforts, build stronger collaborations, assess gaps between intended and perceived headquarters messaging, and ensure continued implementation and sustainment of unit-level prevention programs to better serve Marines, Sailors, and their families.

Members of the HQMC SAPR travel team gained insight on program gaps and potential barriers, and provided support and resources needed to implement SAPR program requirements. These visits were especially valuable for discussing IRC implementation, including current and future hiring actions.

HQMC SAPR updated the Take a Stand, Commandant's Combined Commandership Course (Cornerstone), and the First Sergeant's Course PME content and training objectives to emphasize a thorough understanding of prevention in accordance with IRC recommendations 2.3a, 2.4, and 3.2. Training objectives are actionable, measurable, and better ensure Marines understand their role in prevention as leaders. Updated training materials incorporate concepts from the Watch List to demonstrate how specific behaviors create unhealthy commands, while connecting strengthened communication skills to healthy relationships. Updated training language reminds Marines of their duty to immediately address behaviors across the continuum of harm to ensure alignment with Marine Corps core values.

The Marine Corps constructed a comprehensive approach to the prevention of harmful behaviors that share common risk factors. Although efforts in the secondary and tertiary prevention domains have long been a priority, recent efforts increased the emphasis on primary prevention to create a more balanced and holistic approach. Supported by resources from both the PPOA and the SAPR IRC, the Marine Corps increased targeted support for Marines by bolstering the primary prevention workforce and SAPR specific billets. The Marine Corps prevention strategy recognizes the complexity of human behavior and both individual and community factors by utilizing a public health approach to prevention. This effort supports IRC Recommendation 2.2c to establish a dedicated and capable prevention workforce for all echelons of active duty, reserve, and guard organizations.

Important growth occurred and continues across the Marine Corps with recent actions to supplement Embedded Preventive Behavioral Health Capability (EPBHC). Personnel were added at the tactical, operational, and strategic levels. These new billets are specifically focused on coordination across harmful behaviors and designed to work in

partnership with subject matter experts from all focus areas including SAPR. Twenty-six Primary Prevention Integrator billets are in the recruitment and hiring process. This effort supports IRC Recommendation 2.2c.

HQMC worked to expand the training and increase the professionalism of the prevention workforce and prevention stakeholders both at headquarters and Service-wide (e.g., Prevention in Action-Stakeholders and DoD SPARX training). Nearly 98% of the current prevention workforce has completed DoD SPARX training, with new hires completing training as soon as possible. This effort supports IRC Recommendations 2.3a and 2.4.

Military Equal Opportunity Office (MEO) Office personnel continue to work with SAPR and Training and Education Command (TECOM) personnel to ensure MEO training aligns with SAPR and is provided to Marines throughout the course of their career, rather than during a single training event.

#### Line of Effort 3: Climate and Culture

MEO personnel are in the process of updating Service policy to align with DoDI 6400.06 requirements; as well as updating guidance for commanders to create action plans describing how unit leaders capitalize on strengths and remediate any issues identified in command climate surveys. Of note, MEO personnel will work with the Office of People Analytics (OPA) to develop pulse surveys, so Service members are not waiting one year to evaluate their commands. In Cornerstone, commanders specifically discuss the behaviors including sexual harassment that are statistically linked in climate surveys to increased sexual assault risk.

#### Line of Effort 4: Victim Care and Support

MEO adopted the Department of the Navy's No Wrong Door Policy to ensure victim care and leverage all appropriate resources and practices. MEO also informed all practitioners and updated relevant training materials on the expansion of SAPR services to include sexual harassment complainants and provided updated allegation intake scripts to include this clarifying language. Collaboration remains strong between MEO, SAPR, Family Advocacy Program, and other non-medical counseling and victim support services.

### **1.2 Future Plans: Identify any major leadership-approved initiatives your Military Service/NGB is undertaking in support of prevention. Identify key considerations or obstacles that are currently affecting the implementation of prevention initiatives.**

As the Marine Corps finalizes the revision of the SAPR Marine Corps Order (MCO) 1752.5C and Navy and Marine Corps (NAVMC) 1752.5; updates from the Department of Defense Instruction (DoDI) 6495.02 SAPR Program Procedures and DoDI 5505.18 Investigation of Adult Sexual Assault in The Department of Defense (published 10 Nov 21), the Safe to Report memorandum, and PPOA efforts, will be incorporated. The SAPR team collaborated with the Military Equal Opportunity (MEO) Program to integrate prevention efforts into the training provided to the Fleet Marine Forces (FMF), to update the MEO order, and to provide consistent messaging for the prevention of sexual assault and sexual harassment. The Marine Corps collaborates with key stakeholders to ensure standardized policy guidance across the scope of those impacted by these changes such as the Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS), Staff Judge Advocate (SJA) Division,

the Inspector General of the Marine Corps (IGMC), and the Navy Bureau of Medicine and Surgery (BUMED). Upon publication, the MCO and NAVMC update the Functional Area Checklist utilized for IGMC inspections.

HQMC continues to work diligently to update all SAPR annual and personnel training. In FY23, HQMC will release a complete revision of SARC Initial Training that builds upon the foundational prevention concepts established in PIA-S and the revised SAPR VA 40-hour Initial Training. The prevention module includes advanced discussions on the components of an effective sexual assault prevention program. This includes how a SARC should promote awareness, how to identify sexual assault risk and protective factors, how to develop and test prevention strategies, and how to identify the role of a SARC in the primary prevention elements of skill building, healthy climates, and selected primary prevention. This effort supports IRC recommendations 2.3a and 2.4.

A focus of SAPR Annual Training efforts in FY23 is recognizing the importance of specialized training for Marine Corps leaders and junior enlisted Marines. HQMC SAPR will revise and combine SAPR Training for Officers and Staff Non-commissioned Officers and highlight the importance of Marine Corps leadership teams taking a unified approach to sexual assault prevention. This training will equip leaders with engaging, effective messaging for their Marines and tools for building and sustaining healthy commands. Step Up Annual SAPR training for junior enlisted Marines is also undergoing revision. Updates include enhanced discussions on identifying the importance of healthy sexual boundaries, sexual communication, respect for others' boundaries, and healthy relationships. These efforts support IRC recommendations 2.1c, 2.3a, 2.4 and 3.2.

HQMC continues to engage in collaborative efforts with Training and Education Command to create a building block approach for all SAPR PME and training requirements throughout a Marine's career. Recent revisions to the Training and Readiness manual provides upcoming curriculum development with a progressive skill-building approach so that Marines identify healthy behaviors, sustain those healthy behaviors, and as a leader, inspire the same behaviors in others. Efforts will also incorporate Knowledge, Skills, and Abilities (KSAs) identified for leaders through the Junior Leader Working Group. This effort supports IRC Recommendations 2.1c, 2.3a, 2.4, and 3.2.

Application of data is evolving. HQMC worked to improve access to data as well as collect additional metrics to accurately inform prevention strategies. DoD-level challenges with data integration and requests for protected data continue. One of the frequent points of interest is whether specific locations have more reports of sexual assault, such as certain barracks. Limits of the current data system do not allow analysis of specific location data.

Although, the Marine Corps has historically leveraged the best available scientific evidence to inform prevention strategies, new requirements and increased emphasis on shared findings and integrated prevention will necessitate an even stronger emphasis on evaluation activities. It will require time for these processes to be fully realized.

Collectively, the Marine Corps made great strides with its approach to comprehensive prevention across many harmful behaviors and continues to seek and explore innovative approaches to combat sexual assault.

**2. Goal 2 Victim Assistance & Advocacy: “deliver consistent and effective advocacy and care for all military Service members or their adult dependents, such that it empowers them to report assaults, promotes recovery, facilitates dignified and respectful treatment, and restores military readiness.”**

**2.1 Strategic Summary:** Summarize your efforts to achieve the Victim Assistance & Advocacy goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes and/or initiatives begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2022. As applicable, include significant updates to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes (e.g., Safe-to-Report policy); Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) and Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocate (SAPR VA) training and how the effectiveness of this training is evaluated; manpower and resource capabilities and/or shortfalls; SAPR personnel certifications/continuing education/background checks; resources/products to support victims, retaliation reporters, and responders; victim medical and mental health services; sexual assault victim and retaliation reporter care; gender-responsive outreach and care; collaboration with civilian and military victim response organizations and academic experts (e.g., warm handoffs of Service members requiring assistance); SAPR training improvements for the force (e.g., junior officer (O1-O2), mid-level enlisted (E4-E6), and junior enlisted training on appropriate actions to report and respond to sexual assault). There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 2.2 through 2.12 below. **(DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, p. 7 / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response: Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 2, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response: Education and Training,” (April 9, 2021)) / National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for FY2011, Section 1631**

HQMC SAPR enhanced the SAPR workforce with improved training and educational materials on victim care. A job aid created to highlight the importance of increasing the access and visibility of civilian-based community care—in support of IRC Recommendation 4.2a—included promising practices for SARCs and SAPR VAs to implement within their area of responsibility (AOR). HQMC SAPR hosted a series of webinars and created job aids for USMC SARCs and SAPR VAs that summarized all policy updates applicable to the SAPR program and provided guidance on how to update all existing SAPR annual training, SAPR personnel training, and briefs. These efforts ensured all SARCs, SAPR VAs, and Marines throughout the FMF received the most updated education and guidance. The webinars and job aids focused on key changes such as new policies on expanded eligibility for Restricted Reports, Safe to Report, collateral misconduct, the CATCH Program, updated DD Form 2910s, the SAFE kit storage, and other policy updates. HQMC SAPR provided resources to SAPR personnel that outline the latest DoD policy updates, Frequently Asked Questions on these updates, a process map for entering FAP cases into DSAID, case-based scenarios for dating partners, and a review of eligibility for SAPR or FAP services. These efforts support IRC Recommendations 3.2, 4.2c, 4.3a, 4.3c, 4.3d, 4.4b, and CC2.



The webinar series for SARCs and SAPR VAs continued to emphasize the high priority on providing excellent victim care. The webinar series established relationships and provided new resources for our SARCs and SAPR VAs with military healthcare providers, civilian community service providers, and other relevant personnel and organizations to ensure victims' needs are met and understood. Additionally, these webinars focus on applicable trauma-informed victim care skills that SARCs and SAPR VAs can implement immediately. The webinar topics align with the IRC recommendations for an optimized support workforce and support IRC Recommendations 4.2a, 4.2c, 4.3a, 4.3c, 4.3d, 4.4b, CC2.

The SAPR VA 40-hour Initial Training revision provided an updated module on sexual assault trauma. This equips new SARCs and SAPR VAs with foundational knowledge of how sexual assault affects people, the neurobiology of trauma, and the importance of assisting with a victim-centered approach to best facilitate access to resources and individualized healing. While the Marine Corps implemented the No Wrong Door policy and executed warm handoffs for years, the revised 40-hour training provides a pointed discussion on the importance of this practice and specifies how SARCs and SAPR VAs are expected to implement it. HQMC provided a series of train-the-trainer webinars to accompany the release of the new training. This effort supports IRC Recommendations 3.2, 4.2a, 4.2c, 4.3a, 4.3c, 4.3d, and 4.4b.

HQMC SAPR focused on IRC Recommendations 4.1a-c to optimize the victim care and support workforce. While the effort is still underway, HQMC SAPR determined a way forward on how to remove SAPR personnel from the command reporting structure, eliminating collateral duty SAPR personnel, and exploring the co-location of SAPR with other special victim services across the Marine Corps that will improve coordination, collaboration, and consistency within victim support.

HQMC SAPR strategically updates training for all Marines and leaders at all levels. The updated objectives within Take a Stand, Cornerstone, and the First Sergeant's Course improve and emphasize the understanding of response in alignment with IRC recommendations. These updated objectives included the leader's responsibility in responding to and supporting someone who was sexually assaulted. Training updates include improvements to the SAPR program such as expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting, affording people who have been sexually assaulted non-chargeable leave, continued emphasis on victim-centered approaches to Expedited Transfers, education on the CATCH program, and education on trauma-informed principles. Training also includes discussions on how leaders can proactively reduce barriers to reporting such as a lack of faith in leadership, stigma, and unhealthy command climates. The updated information fosters consistency in the overall philosophy of response for all sexual assaults. These efforts support IRC recommendations 3.2, 4.2a, 4.2c, 4.3a, 4.3c, and 4.3d.

Navy Medicine continues to work alongside the Defense Health Agency (DHA) to standardize the delivery of medical-forensic care across the Department of Defense. The development of the Forensic Healthcare Leadership Team unites Service Leads and the DHA for collaboration of updated policy and practice, development of new forensic

healthcare pathways serving victims of physical and sexual violence, and expands access to provider SAFE training, both initial and refresher, for both MTF and operational communities.

Here are significant FY 2022 developments and updates in which Navy Medicine played a role:

Beginning in August 2021, BUMED initiated dialogue with DHA, OPNAV, DHS, DoJ, State Department, DoD OGC, and DoD OSD for the eventual release of official guidance on what type of SAPR advocacy and SAFE services could legally be provided to Afghan evacuees receiving care at a Navy medical facility beyond emergent/urgent care; to include emergency contraception and prophylactic STI treatment during Operation Allies Refuge. The effects of this policy carried over into FY 2022 as the transition to Operation Allies Welcome sought to resettle refugees and provide evacuees with temporary housing, sustenance, and support inside of the United States.

In June 2022, SAMFE Program Managers in Navy MTFs were made aware of two Memoranda from the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense describing/explaining the current federal policy on pregnancy termination, women's health services, contraceptive care, and other reproductive health services. The Supreme Court's decision in *Dobbs v Jackson Women's Health Organization* does not prohibit the DoD from continuing to perform covered abortions, consistent with federal law. There will be no interruption to this care. Health care providers will continue to follow existing departmental policy, and the leadership of military medical treatment facilities will implement measures to ensure continued access to care. All SAMFE Program Managers have been encouraged to consult with their respective Staff Judge Advocates and Women's Health/OBGYN departments, should a scenario requiring clarification arise. Also, OASD Health Affairs released a fact sheet entitled, "Questions from the Force on Essential Women's Health Care Services for Service Members, Dependents, Beneficiaries, and Department of Defense Civilian Employees," which provides excellent information regarding Military Health System care for women regarding their choices and abortion services.

Throughout FY 2022, BUMED collaborated with DHA, CNIC, and OPNAV N17 to implement DoDM 6400.01, Volume 3, Change 1 that assigns the MTF Forensic Healthcare Program as the responsible MTF program for ensuring proper assignment of appropriate health care providers or alternates from the installation MTF to attend the monthly Incident Determination Committee (IDC) across every installation. With DHA providing oversight and assuming responsibility of this requirement, in August 2022, it initiated the first step of fulfilling this obligation by releasing a memo entitled, "Medical Core Members on the Incident Determination Committees (IDCs)," which called for the most qualified providers to attend their local IDC as core voting members.

Each month BUMED continues to collaborate with the DHA Forensic Healthcare Advisory Council working group comprised of SMEs from the USA, USAF, NCIS, and other stakeholders to ensure the best interest of our Active Duty and other beneficiaries who report to the MTF with a disclosure of sexual assault.

In FY 2022, 348 Sexual Assault Forensic Examinations were performed at Navy MTFs, an 8 percent increase from FY21 (322).

**2.2 SARC and SAPR VA Suspension, Revocation, and Reinstatement:** Without providing personally identifiable information, how many SARCs and SAPR VAs in your Military Service/NGB received a suspension? A revocation? A reinstatement? (Identify how many SARCs and SAPR VAs for each category). (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, Objective 2.1, p. 8 / DoDI 6495.03, “Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP),” (February 28, 2020), sections 3.6 & 3.7, p. 11-15)

The Marine Corps provided SARCs, SAPR VAs, and commanders clarifying procedures for suspensions, revocations, and reinstatements to expand on DoDI 6495.03 and D-SAACP Committee reinstatement procedures. The delay between a commander requesting reinstatement and the committee approval is considerable given that the D-SAACP Committee currently convenes only once a quarter. This delay poses a potential risk to the program and unnecessary burden on the command to develop contingency plans for coverage while waiting for the D-SAACP Committee decision.

SARCs: 1 Suspended

SAPR VAs: 3 Revoked (including 1 revoked following submission of application, but prior to credentialing) and 8 Suspended (including one Navy SAPR VA supporting the Marine Corps)

**2.3 Sexual Assault Forensic Exam (SAFE) Kits:** Was the medical care of any Service member hindered due to the lack of a SAFE kit, timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources, or other resources? If yes, explain and also address how many times this occurred and what actions were taken to mitigate the issue? (Note: This answer should be consistent with the number reported in the Victim Services matrices). As related to reports of sexual assault, were there any issues at medical treatment facilities with the availability of supplies for testing and treatment for sexually transmitted infections and diseases, including HIV, and testing for pregnancy? (NDAA for FY 2006, section 596 / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 8)

The medical care of Service members was not hindered due to lack of a SAFE kit or other resources. TriTech Forensics, the DoD contracted manufacturer of forensic evidence collection kits, experienced intermittent inventory backorders due to global supply chain issues. However, no Navy MTF or SAFE-enabled vessel within U.S. Fleet Forces Command or the U.S. Pacific Fleet reported any DoD SAFE kit or toxicology kit shortages. The Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Exam (SAMFE) program managers at BUMED, Naval Medical Forces Pacific, Naval Medical Forces Atlantic, and DHA proactively implemented resource sharing processes in the event of SAFE program reported supply shortfalls. Additionally, DHA released an official DHA Office of General Counsel-validated “Facility-created Physical Evidence Recovery Kit Guide” which provided information on where to obtain the components needed for a “make-it-yourself” SAFE kit. Moreover, the Family and Sexual Violence Investigations Division at NCIS instructed its installation offices and detachments to check their stock of DoD SAFE kits and to reach out to their local MTF to ascertain need for extra kits.

The number of “DoD Tritech Forensic” kits available across all Navy Medicine facilities that offer SAFEs is reported and tracked monthly. As of September 2022, there were 836 DoD SAFE kits and 526 toxicology kits throughout Navy MTFs.

No issues regarding laboratory testing were reported. All SAFE kits go to the United States Army Criminal Investigative Laboratory/Defense Forensic Science Center, and all forensic toxicology kits are sent to the Armed Forces Medical Examiner System. Protocol for handling of SAFE kits and toxicology kits are in MTF protocols and also included in the MOA, if an MOA exists with a partnered facility.

**2.4 Military Protective Orders: How many Military Protective Orders (MPOs) were issued as a result of an Unrestricted Report? Were any victim requests for an MPO denied? If so, what was the reason? How many MPOs were violated by the alleged offender? Indicate if the victim(s) and the alleged offender(s) were provided with copies of the DD Form 2873, “Military Protective Order,” as required. (NDAA for FY 2010, section 567 / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 5, para 8)**

Military Protective Orders (MPOs) were issued for 105 Unrestricted Reports of in-Service incidents received in FY 2022. For 26 reports of in-Service incidents, the requested MPO was disapproved. While the system of record does not currently have a mechanism for capturing the reason an MPO was not issued, it appears that no-contact orders have been issued in lieu of an MPO in some cases. Four MPOs were violated by the subject.

**2.5 Appropriate Care in Deployed Environments: What steps did your Military Service/NGB take in FY 2022 to ensure trained personnel, appropriate supplies, and transportation resources are accessible to deployed units in order to provide an appropriate and timely response in any case of a reported sexual assault in a deployed unit, location, or environment? (NDAA for FY 2011, section 1631)**

The Marine Corps provided operational planning guidance to Fleet Marine Forces and SAPR personnel to include procedures for expeditious movement of a victim and assigned SAPR VA, to the nearest Medical Treatment Facility (MTF) or civilian hospital for prompt evidence collection, regardless of report type. SAPR personnel are trained to ensure protocols are in place to protect the Restricted Reporting option during MTF transport. Prior to deploying, SAPR protocols are part of all training workups and highlighted to key stakeholders, as appropriate. Pre-Deployment SAPR training provides resources and points of contact within the deployed environment. Command and SAPR personnel ensure that an established sexual assault response, reporting capabilities, and protocols, include—but are not limited to—a commander’s checklist, reporting and response protocols, and resources. Trained personnel inform all Marines that they may contact any SAPR VA, regardless of branch of service, and make a Restricted or Unrestricted Report of sexual assault while deployed as well as provide the DoD Safe Helpline as a resource to utilize from any location.

BUMED continues to work with our FMF medical assets to ensure their educational needs and skills sustainment requirements are fostered, as well as develop innovative methods for supporting our afloat, deployed, and overseas SAMFE providers. The BUMED SAPR Office is in constant communication with Fleet Health Services at U.S. Fleet Forces

Command and U.S. Pacific Fleet to ensure that SAMFE services are uninterrupted aboard SAFE-enabled vessels. The regional SAMFE program managers at Naval Medical Forces Pacific and Naval Medical Forces Atlantic are available to conduct refresher trainer and to peer review any recent cases. Additionally, the BUMED SAPR Office interfaces with The Medical Officer of the Marine Corps regarding SAFE services, though there are currently no provider or nurse billets at the MEF level nor within medical battalions. During intermittent production backlogs of DoD SAFE kits manufactured by TriTech Forensics, steps were taken to ensure that no SAFE-enabled vessels faced a shortage of these kits. Also, of the 100 Navy-affiliated students who attended the initial two-week SAMFE course aboard Fort Sam Houston in FY 2022, 48 were deployers and/or bound for the Fleet; no Fleet/deployer students were turned away from the course at any time as they are given top priority for quotas.

**2.6 Victim Expedited Transfer Request Oversight in Monthly Case Management Group (CMG): Provide the number and CMG-documented circumstances of ALL Expedited Transfers taking longer than 30 calendar days (i.e., tracking of the number of days between the approval date of a victim's request for Expedited Transfer and the date the victim physically departs the losing station (Permanent Change of Station), or the date the victim changes duty assignment location (Permanent Change of Assignment)). Were High-Risk Response Teams initiated when circumstances indicated that the transfer delay appreciably increased risk of harm to the victim? (Deputy Secretary of Defense (DSD) Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), Encl 9, para 2b(4))**

Of the 91 Expedited Transfers requested in FY2022, 73 were for a PCS. Ten of these took more than 30 days from the date of approval to transfer; no HRRTs were initiated due to a delayed ET. Eight were for victims OCONUS transferring to a CONUS location, with complications such as flight availability, activating travel cards, and issuing accompanying orders for active duty spouses. One delay was to allow the victim to travel with a supporting family member to the new location. The final delay was to issue orders for the victim's new spouse to co-locate. In all ten instances, the CMG chair was notified.

**2.7 Expedited Transfer Victim Mandatory Intake Meeting: How is your Military Service/NGB verifying the occurrence of the mandatory "intake" meetings between the sexual assault victim and the gaining SARC upon arrival at the new installation for ALL Expedited Transfers?\* Through your verification, were there any instances noted where these meetings did not occur? What actions were taken to correct these occurrences? \*Please note that the revised DD Form 2910, Victim Reporting Preference Statement," states that victim consent is no longer needed for the automatic transfer of the case to the gaining SARC in Expedited Transfers. (DSD Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault**

**Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 5, para 6a(4))**

For every approved Expedited Transfer, a standardized checklist is sent to the losing and gaining SARC that outlines responsibilities, including the mandatory intake meeting(s) required upon arrival to the new installation or location. The checklist includes specific instructions for how to transfer the DSAID case(s), the requirements for the losing CO to provide limited information to the incoming CO when applicable, the requirement for the SARC to provide a warm hand-off to a SAPR VA in the gaining command when applicable, and the requirements for appropriate actions at CMG(s). In addition to the written checklist, both the losing and gaining SARC are provided protocols developed by HQMC Data Surveillance to assist with the data entry component. HQMC Data Surveillance conducts quality assurance on all Expedited Transfers and works in collaboration with the SAPR Policy and Compliance section to address non-compliance with any component of the Expedited Transfer process.

Updates to Expedited Transfer Request protocols in FY 2022 enhanced compliance and oversight by providing SARCs with specific, step-by-step instructions, to include scheduling the in-take meeting with the gaining SARC. Protocols are attached to every official notification email sent to the losing SARC from HQMC SAPR when new orders are assigned. The gaining SARC is added to that correspondence to facilitate a seamless transfer and warm hand-off. These enhanced protocols have increased compliance with this requirement and facilitated improved continuity of care for victims when they complete an ET. For quality assurance, DSAID program managers regularly review all ET requests in DSAID, to include the drop-down item, “Has the SARC intake meeting been scheduled?” Per DSAID, seven ETs did not have an intake meeting scheduled prior to transfer, however referrals and safety notes for each of these cases indicate that SAPR services continued uninterrupted at the new location.

**2.8 Quarterly CMG Meetings: How is your Military Service/NGB verifying the occurrence of the mandatory of Quarterly CMG Meetings (that are required in addition to the monthly CMG meetings)? Through your verification, were there any instances noted where these meetings did not occur? What actions, if any, were taken to correct these occurrences? How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that the Quarterly CMG Chair is reviewing the meeting notes and tracking the progress to correct systematic issues? (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 9)**

DoDI 6495.02 Vol 1 requires the CMG Chair to hold SAPR Quarterly CMG Meetings to discuss victim care, system coordination, timelines, reporting and service trends, and tenant/commander concerns. The Marine Corps has been executing this requirement via our installation Sexual Assault Response Team (SART). Per MCO 1752.5C and NAVMC

1752.5, quarterly meeting minutes are sent to HQMC SAPR for compliance verification and systematic review. HQMC SAPR reviews the minutes to identify any trends with access to services across the Marine Corps that require collaboration and communication with key stakeholders and partners. During the IGMC inspection of the SAPR program, inspectors verify that the SART meets quarterly at the installation level. If the meetings did not occur, this was identified as a "Finding" on the Functional Area Checklist and the Commander was required to submit a Corrective Action Report (CAR) in response.

**2.9 Expanded Eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the Catch a Serial Offender (CATCH) Program:** How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL SARC's and SAPR VAs have been trained on and are familiar with the expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting (e.g., ability for victims to make a restricted report even if the assault is disclosed through their chain of command, but not personally to law enforcement) and the CATCH Program (i.e., ability for victims who made an Unrestricted Report to utilize the CATCH Program when the name of the subject was not disclosed or discovered during an investigation)? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your SARC's and SAPR VAs? (DSD Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), Encl 4)

A policy update brief was provided to SARC's from January through February 2022 that outlined the expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting. Training materials were updated to reflect the expanded eligibility via webinars and the 40-hour Initial Training. HQMC SAPR representatives fielded questions to ensure that the training objectives were met. Specifically, during the 24/7 phone line audits conducted by HQMC SAPR in April and May 2022, the auditor asked the SARC or SAPR VA on the phone if they understood the expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting to verify that SAPR personnel in the FMF were trained and able to articulate the changes in policy. The IGMC SAPR inspection requires reviewing the standing operating procedures for each command to ensure that they have incorporated this eligibility into their local policy and can demonstrate understanding.

**2.10 Eligibility to File a "Section 540K Declination Letter" and Requesting the Return of Personal Property Utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, "Return of Victim's Personal Property in Restricted Reporting Sexual Assault Cases Collected During a SAFE:"** How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL SARC's and SAPR VAs have been trained on and are familiar with the eligibility of a victim to file a "Section 540K Declination Letter" and request the return of personal property, utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, relinquished as part of the SAFE and after filing a Restricted Report? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your SARC's and SAPR VAs? (DSD Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), para 4ab(4) & Encl 4, para 1d)

A policy update brief was provided to SARCs in January and February 2022 that outlined the eligibility of a victim to file a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and supplemented via webinar training. An update to 40-hour Initial Training also ensured familiarity with this eligibility. HQMC SAPR representatives fielded questions to ensure that the training objectives were met and are always available to answer specific questions on a case-by-case basis.

**2.11 Efforts to Address Approved Independent Review Commission (IRC)**

**Recommendations:** Identify major actions completed or underway to address the approved recommendations of IRC Line of Effort 4: Victim Care and Support. Focus response on IRC efforts undertaken during FY 2022. (See the requirements listed in question 1.1)

The Marine Corps continues to work toward full implementation of all Service-level IRC recommendations and dedicated significant effort to align all new and existing initiatives with IRC recommendations. This includes a significant expansion of the workforce in support of IRC recommendations 4.1a and 4.1b. In FY 2022, the Marine Corps developed and vetted standardized position descriptions as well as staffing models so that hiring could begin after these foundations were established. The Marine Corps continues to work diligently toward full implementation with invested programs across the Marine Corps and the Department of Defense.

Nearly all installation SAPR personnel are co-located with Family Advocacy Program, and others are a short walking distance. SAPR services are also frequently co-located with other supportive services, such as Victim’s Legal Counsel.

The IRC recognized that when a Service member is sexually assaulted in a deployed or isolated setting where no billeted Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiner (SAMFE) is available, protocol dictates that the victim be airlifted as soon as possible to the nearest port, SAFE-enabled vessel, or hospital with access to trained and certified SAMFEs, which may delay crucial evidence collection by 24 to 48 hours.

BUMED coordinated in support of IRC Recommendation 4.1d, to ensure victims receive forensic evidence collection and appropriate care in all locations, including in deployed and isolated environments. BUMED is in coordination with the DHA Forensic Health Program to update policy and training and preparation of medical personnel for non-intrusive forensic evidence collection and appropriate care in deployed and isolated environments. DHA is in the midst of conducting a preliminary study and literature review to determine the best course of action.

Medical personnel in deployed and isolated environments where law enforcement personnel are not immediately available will be trained in non-intrusive techniques to help victims identify and preserve evidence to avoid loss.

**2.12 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Victim Assistance and Advocacy goal.**



During IG inspections, HQMC SAPR will continue to ensure that local resources are distributed to the Marines in their AOR during their in-processing or on their command website. The Marine Corps will continue to inspect to ensure that MOUs are in place between the Marine Corps and Sister Services or with local resources that support victims of sexual assault in alignment with IRC recommendation 4.2a. HQMC is collaborating with BUMED to verify that victims of sexual assault are allowed to take non-chargeable time off for services or time for recovery from sexual assault.

In support of IRC recommendation 4.1 and 4.2, HQMC SAPR continued developing a multifaceted SARC Initial Training curriculum that expounds on foundational victim care concepts taught in the 40-hour SAPR VA Initial Training course to ensure SARCs are the subject matter experts for the SAPR program in their AOR. Updated modules include advanced discussions on victimology, trauma, supervising, fostering safety, program management, and promoting the self-care of SARCs and SAPR VAs within their AOR. Additionally, HQMC is in the process of creating a SAPR Workspace platform to facilitate access to tools, educational materials, policy updates, and collaboration opportunities for all SAPR personnel.

Continued collaborative efforts between TECOM and HQMC SAPR focus on the development of progressive training in SAPR specific PMEs. Future updates to PME incorporate IRC Line of Effort 4 recommendations for victim care and support as well as Junior Leader Working Group KSAs. This ensures all leaders know how to appropriately respond to incidents of sexual assault and to the Marines who have been sexually assaulted. Improvements to SAPR Leadership Annual Training and junior enlisted Marine Annual Training will provide Marine leaders and those within the most at-risk population updated information about trauma, resources, and how to best support someone who has been sexually assaulted.

BUMED will host the “2022 Navy Medicine SAPR Summit” for the benefit of SAPR Victim Advocates, Sexual Assault Response Coordinators, and Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners on 8 November 2022. Speakers from CNIC, OPNAV, and NCIS will provide training to augment the skill set and knowledge base of these stakeholders.

### **3. Goal 3 Investigation: “sustain a high level of competence in the investigation of adult sexual assault using investigative resources to yield timely results.”**

**3.1 Strategic Summary:** Summarize your efforts for achieving the Investigation goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes and/or initiatives begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2022. As applicable, include significant updates made to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes; investigative resources (e.g., crime scene processing, evidence accountability, and hotlines) and manpower capabilities; training for military criminal investigators, law enforcement personnel, and/or first responders (e.g., new equipment training, interview techniques, and the CATCH Program) and how the effectiveness of this training is evaluated; Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability for Military Criminal Investigative Organizations (e.g., investigator and prosecutor coordination); case timeliness and quality reviews; sexual assault-related retaliation investigations; and information

sharing within DoD and other organizations (e.g., federal and/or local civilian law enforcement). There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 3.2 through 3.6 below. **(DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 3 – Investigation, p. 9 / NDAA for FY 2020, section 540J)**

In FY 2022, NCIS established the Family and Sexual Violence Special Agent career track and a new Headquarters-level F&SV Program Management Department within the NCIS Criminal Investigations and Operations Directorate. The new department is led by a GS-15 Deputy Assistant Director and includes three divisions responsible for F&SV: Policy and Engagement; Investigations Oversight and Compliance; and Analytics.

Additionally, in FY 2022, NCIS increased the number of personnel supporting sexual assault investigations by 40. This increase included Special Agents, criminal investigators, investigative analysts, and digital forensic examiners. Further increases in manning are anticipated over the next five fiscal years. With the increased manning and resources, NCIS implemented a new task force approach to support adult sexual assault investigations that is comprised of investigators, digital forensic examiners, crime scene technicians, and investigative analysts. This approach allowed more thorough and timely resolution of adult sexual assault investigations. NCIS also created new Senior Field Training Agent positions in each geographic field office to serve as subject matter experts and mentors for F&SV investigations.

Finally, in FY2022, as the program manager for the DoD's CATCH Program website and database, NCIS implemented several updates to the CATCH system. Pursuant to DoD policy changes, the CATCH website was updated to expand access to victims who file an Unrestricted SAPR report but decline MCIO investigation, as well as to victims of domestic abuse who make a Restricted Report of sexual assault to FAP. The system was also updated to provide victims the capability to print their CATCH entry, pursuant to IRC recommendations. Other victim-centric system updates included increasing the length of time a victim may use their logon credentials from 24 hours to 72 hours and increasing the number of times the credentials may be used from three times to five times. The system was further updated to allow mobile browser compatibility so that victims may access the system from a mobile phone or tablet.

**3.2 Expanded Eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the CATCH Program: How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL MCIO investigators and military law enforcement personnel have been trained on and are familiar with the expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting (e.g., ability for victims to make a restricted report even if the assault is disclosed through their chain of command, but not personally to law enforcement) and the CATCH Program (i.e., ability for victims who made an Unrestricted Report to utilize the CATCH Program when the name of the subject was not disclosed or discovered during an investigation)? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your MCIO investigators and military law enforcement personnel? **(DSD Memo, "Update to Department of****

**Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 4)**

On January 1, 2022, NCIS issued an agency-wide message announcing the DoD’s expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting, as well as the expansion of the CATCH program to victims who file an Unrestricted Report but decline to participate in an MCIO investigation. These changes were incorporated into NCIS’s annual in-service training on adult sexual assault investigations, which is mandatory for all NCIS Special Agents and investigators. Additionally, NCIS Headquarters hosted web-based informational sessions with NCIS field office senior leaders to discuss the policy changes and answer questions.

**3.3 Requests for “Section 540K Declination Letter” and Requesting the Return of Personal Property Utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, “Return of Victim’s Personal Property in Restricted Reporting Sexual Assault Cases Collected During a SAFE:” Provide the number of “Section 540K Declination Letter” requests that your Military Service/NGB received in FY 2022. If provided, include a summary of the reason(s) for the request (no more than the top three reasons are sufficient). Also, did any investigations continue (despite there being a “Section 540K Declination Letter” from the victim) and what was/were the reason(s) why? How many requests did your Military Service/NGB receive, utilizing the DD Form 2910-3, for the return of personal property? (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), para 4ab(4) & Encl 4, para 1d)**

In FY 2022, NCIS received 81 540K Declination Letters from US Marine Corps personnel. Generally, the reasons for declining participation in the NCIS investigation were not provided. Following receipt of the declination notifications, many NCIS investigations continued despite the victim’s preference for non-participation, primarily due to the existence of viable investigative leads and/or knowledge of the subject’s identity.

In FY 2022, the NCIS Consolidated Evidence Facility received one request for return of personal property from a Restricted Reporting case via DD Form 2910-3. The case involved a Marine victim, and the supporting SARC uploaded the DD Form 2910-3 to the DSAID File Locker in accordance with internal protocol.

NCIS implemented the Section 540K Victim Declination Letter (named the NCIS 540K Victim Preference Statement) on February 1, 2022. For sexual assault investigations initiated between February 1, 2022, and September 30, 2022, NCIS received 81 NCIS 540K Victim Preference Statements from USMC-affiliated victims. Generally, the reasons for declining participation in the NCIS investigation were not provided. Following receipt of the declination notifications, 13 NCIS investigations continued, primarily due to the existence of viable investigative leads and/or knowledge of the subject’s identity. Of note, NCIS previously offered sexual assault victims a declination option, the NCIS Victim

Preference Statement, prior to the implementation of the Section 540K Victim Declination Letter. For sexual assault investigations initiated between October 1, 2021, and January 31, 2022, NCIS received 34 NCIS Victim Preference Statements from USMC-affiliated victims, with 8 investigations continuing without the victim's participation. A total of 115 victim declination forms were received during the FY.

**3.4 Eligibility to File a "Section 540K Declination Letter" and Requesting the Return of Personal Property Utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, "Return of Victim's Personal Property in Restricted Reporting Sexual Assault Cases Collected During a SAFE:"**  
**How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL MCIO investigators and military law enforcement personnel have been trained on and are familiar with the eligibility of a victim to file a "Section 540K Declination Letter" and request the return of personal property, utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, relinquished as part of the SAFE and after filing a Restricted Report? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your MCIO investigators and law enforcement personnel? (DSD Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), para 4ab(4) & Encl 4, para 1d)**

On January 1, 2022, NCIS issued an agency-wide message announcing NCIS policy changes relevant to the Section 540K Declination Letter (named the NCIS 540K Victim Preference Statement) and procedures for the return of personal property collected pursuant to Restricted Report SAFE. These changes were incorporated into NCIS annual in-service training on adult sexual assault investigations, mandatory for all NCIS Special Agents and investigators. Additionally, NCIS Headquarters hosted webinar informational sessions with NCIS field office senior leaders to discuss the policy changes and answer questions.

**3.5 Evidence Processing Challenges:** Describe any evidence processing challenges at the Defense Forensic Science Center (e.g., shipping delays and turnaround time for processing of SAFE kits and other evidence) and actions taken to address or mitigate these challenges. **(Joint Chiefs of Staff Strategic Direction to the Joint Force on SAPR (May 7, 2012), p. 11)**

In FY 2022, the NCIS Office of Forensic Support (OFS) continued to lead NCIS efforts to track the evidence submission error rate for forensic evidence submitted to the United States Army Criminal Investigation Laboratory (USACIL). NCIS developed a Power BI dashboard, where USACIL evidence error submission data is uploaded monthly, making real-time submission error data readily available to the field. The error rates for each NCIS field office are further tracked and addressed through the Systematic Planning, Accountability, and Resourcing through Collaboration quality control and resource assessment process.

Agency-wide efforts also continued in pursuit of reducing evidence submission error rates. For example, OFS partnered with USACIL to conduct the first ever training for NCIS Evidence Custodians, enabling them to visit USACIL and work hand-in-hand with the Forensic Case Management Branch to empower them to assist with combatting evidence

submission errors at their respective field offices. OFS also partnered with the NCIS Headquarters Major Case Response Team Desk Officer, who proactively created a new training targeting logical solutions to the submission error rate problem, including the careful packaging of items in paper bags and emphasizing attention to detail when completing evidence submission paperwork.

Despite efforts to correct these errors through awareness, training, and more robust packing material, the error submission rate remained above USACIL (and NCIS) targets.

In FY 2022, USACIL's average quarterly turnaround time for processing sexual assault evidence improved from the highest average of 97 days to completion in Q4FY21 to 62 days completion in Q4FY22.

**3.6 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Investigation goal.**

Looking forward in FY23, NCIS will increase the number of personnel supporting adult sexual assault investigations by 42. This will include expansion of the new F&SV Program Management Department, to include additional desk officers and analysts dedicated to the oversight of adult sexual assault investigations. These new resources will also support the F&SV Special Agent career track, to allow continued growth of the career specialty and, ultimately, more thorough, and timely adult sexual assault investigations.

Additionally, NCIS anticipates FY 23 implementation of Cornerstone, a new case and evidence management system. Cornerstone will streamline data collection for sexual assault investigations, assist with the timely documentation of crime scene processing, and streamline evidence management.

**4. Goal 4 Accountability: "maintain a high competence in holding alleged offenders appropriately accountable."**

**4.1 Strategic Summary: Summarize your efforts to achieve the Accountability goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2022. As applicable, include significant updates to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes; any new legal support resources, manpower capabilities, and/or redesign of services provided to victims/clients; and training and certification (as required) of personnel affiliated with the Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability program (paralegals, trial counsel, and victim-witness assistance personnel) for responding to allegations of sexual assault and how the effectiveness of this training is evaluated. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 4.2 through 4.9 below. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 4 – Accountability, p. 9)**

Building on the FY 21 establishment of the Marine Corps-wide Trial Services Office (TSO), led by the Chief Trial Counsel of the Marine Corps (CTC), the prosecutorial

model became more centrally managed, allowing the Marine Corps to standardize, professionalize, and streamline prosecutorial practice.

A key achievement in this effort is the standardization of prosecutorial victim support. Key to this effort was CTC Policy Memorandum 3-22 (Victim Support), which established case milestones to ensure victim rights are respected and to further facilitate victim involvement in the process; specifically, counsel provide a victim orientation, interview them before preferring charges, provide notifications and disclosures throughout the case, go through a standard testimony preparation process, and make contact at least once every 30 days.

To enhance the Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution (SVIP) capability, CTC Policy Memorandum 3-21A established a board interview and selection process to ensure only litigators with the necessary combination of technical knowledge, judgment, and maturity are designated as SVIP-qualified. Because only SVIP-qualified Trial Counsel may serve as lead counsel in prosecuting special victim cases, this ensures a higher baseline of competent, professional counsel in these serious cases.

To allow prosecutors to focus on honing their skills and litigating cases, the TSO has reformed its use of Case Analysis Memoranda (CAM) and established closer working relationships with NCIS. The newer, streamlined CAM is shorter in length and reviewed by fewer people before reaching the commander, allowing prosecutors to better focus their efforts on those cases that are ultimately prosecuted. The closer working relationship with NCIS also results in a more efficient triage process, allocating investigative resources toward the cases with the best chance of successful prosecution.

Additionally, significant advancements have been made in the Victims' Legal Counsel (VLC) and Defense programs. The Victims' Legal Counsel Organization (VLCO) has grown significantly, adding 50% more attorneys, and the Defense Services Organization (DSO) now has its own funding resources pursuant to IRC Recommendation 1.7e, with policy promulgated by Chief Defense Counsel Policy Memorandum 1.8. Additionally, the Marine Corps established the Defense Services Organization Investigator (DSOI) Program, providing the DSO with an independent investigative litigation support capability designed to facilitate equal access to witnesses and evidence for a service member pending court-martial.

Judge Advocate Division hired a full-time civilian to serve as Director of the Victim Witness Assistance Program, along with 8 full-time civilian Victim Witness Assistance Coordinators who are located across Marine Corps installations world-wide. The new VWAP Director has been instrumental in creating SVIP training specific to VWAP personnel, has increased engagement with the FMF via technical assistance visits, and is supporting DoD efforts to revise DoDI 1030.02 and DD forms 2701-2706.

Further, the Marine Corps began building the Office of Special Trial Counsel (OSTC). In July, the Marine Corps board-selected its Lead Special Trial Counsel nominee, who has since been confirmed by the Senate. In September, the Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant of the Marine Corps (SJA to CMC) established Special Trial Counsel certification criteria, and a board of 10 senior judge advocates screened the records of current judge advocates to identify those possessing the education and experience requirements. The SJA to CMC identified 228 judge advocates that he assessed as qualified by reason of education, experience, and temperament. Those ultimately selected to serve in Special Trial Counsel billets by the SJA to CMC will attend the Special Trial Counsel Certification Course. Future Special Trial Counsel screening boards will occur in February of each year. The OSTC will have exclusive authority to refer, withdraw, dismiss, and enter into plea agreements regarding covered offenses (Articles 118, 119, 120, 120b, 120c, 117a, 128b, 130, 132, and 125). The Marine Corps is on track for full implementation of the OSTC by December 2023.

The Present and Future Prosecutorial Model for the Marine Corps:

The TSO, established in June 2021, continues as the Marine Corps' unified prosecutorial entity and is led by the CTC, a Colonel (O-6) with significant litigation experience. The Marine Corps will soon adopt a bifurcated system with two distinct prosecutorial entities, complete with separate chains of command, in order to accommodate the establishment of the OSTC. The OSTC will make referral determinations for all covered offenses under its cognizance and will prosecute cases with the support of assistant trial counsel assigned to the TSO. The TSO will prosecute all cases not under the cognizance of the OSTC (i.e., those cases where a commander retains referral authority) and will assist the OSTC by providing assistant trial counsel to help investigate and prosecute OSTC cases. All of these changes remain forthcoming and further adjustments to courts-martial practice within the Marine Corps are expected as these designs are implemented.

Marine Corps Order (MCO) 5800.16, Legal Support and Administration Manual, Volume 16 (Military Justice) remains the Marine Corps' primary service policy governing the execution of military justice in the Marine Corps. Significant changes to MCO 5800.16, Volume 16 are forecasted as the OSTC is implemented.

Standardization in Prosecutorial Practice:

Prior to the establishment of the TSO in June 2021 as the Marine Corps' unified prosecutorial entity, prosecutorial practice within the Marine Corps was managed regionally. That is, before the creation of the TSO, there was no unified prosecutorial entity, but rather four separate regions that operated independently. As a result, prosecutorial practice within the Marine Corps varied widely region to region. In order to standardize prosecutorial practice in the Marine Corps and exploit the attendant benefits of a unified organizational model for both commanders and victims, the SJA to CMC established the TSO. The CTC's effort to standardize prosecutorial practice within the Marine Corps continued in FY 2022. The following topics are all artifacts of this endeavor.

#### Standardized Victim Support Model:

In August 2022, the CTC established Policy Memorandum 3-22 (Victim Support), creating a standardized victim support model for the Marine Corps' prosecutorial endeavors. This model imposes major milestones to guide the TSO's efforts to support adult victims in all cases (supporting child victims involves many unique case-by-case considerations that defy – for good reason – the utilization of a standard model). Rather than abide by varying regional practice or custom, trial counsel now have a standard paradigm for victim support that mandates key victim support activities by case phase (actions before preferral of charges; actions following preferral of charges; actions in the final weeks before trial; and actions following trial). Examples of standardized activities across the case phases include: a mandatory victim orientation meeting at the outset of the case; guidelines for pre-preferral victim interviews to better inform charging decisions; victim notifications and evidentiary disclosures throughout the lifecycle of a case; victim testimony preparation requirements in the weeks leading up to a contested trial; and provision for routine victim contact—at least every 30 days—for the duration of the case to ensure meaningful contact, pass updates, and demonstrate the TSO's consistent diligence in pursuit of justice for the victim.

While this model incorporates appropriate flexibility necessary to accommodate individual victims and the circumstances of their case, it nonetheless establishes uniform expectations upon which victims and their counsel can rely. This model eliminates significant variations in victim support based on local practices and establishes sensible, routine, and regular practices to support adult victims in all cases.

#### Standardized Trial Preparation Model:

In December 2021, the CTC established Policy Memorandum 5-21 (Trial Preparation Model), creating a standard template to guide the TSO's efforts to prepare each case for trial. Preparation is the decisive factor controlling the TSO's ability to produce well-trying cases that survive appellate review and advance the interests of justice. While the gravity of this imperative is obvious, the actual practice by line trial counsel, and its attendant supervision and management by senior counsel, is exceptionally challenging.

The TSO's uniform trial preparation model has addressed this challenge by giving both line trial counsel and supervisory counsel a common process, divided by phase and major milestone, to plan, execute, manage, and supervise trial preparation. The trial preparation model contemplates preparation by three major phases (pre-preferral of charges; post-arraignment; and pre-trial) with significant preparatory actions mandated within each phase. For example, the model requires trial counsel to craft a written case theory, develop a proof matrix, and personally interview all key government witnesses before briefing the case and the anticipated charging theory to supervisory counsel. Supervisory counsel then review these preparatory efforts and either approve the case for the preferral of charges or direct additional efforts to



shore up any gaps in the preparation. These tangible and common benchmarks have standardized the process of making informed and sensible charging decisions from the outset of the case, which enhanced the TSO's ability to deliver well-trying cases that survive appellate review and advance the interests of justice.

#### SVIP TC Qualification Boards:

MCO 5800.16, Volume 16 continues to define the qualification requirements for trial counsel to be assigned as the lead trial counsel on an SVIP case (SVIP TC Qualification). The qualification criteria remain the same as reported last year. However, approximately one year has passed since the CTC's imposition of a board requirement (in September of 2021) as a benchmark to inform his review of the final criteria for qualification (“[d]emonstrate to the satisfaction of the CTC that the trial counsel possesses the requisite expertise, experience, education, training, and disposition to competently prosecute special victim cases.”). This board requirement was mentioned in last year's report but given its recency at the time was not discussed in detail.

The board requirement is reflected in CTC Policy Memorandum 3-21A (Personnel Qualifications) and mandates that a board of three supervisory counsel review the prospective candidate for SVIP TC Qualification and provide a recommendation to the CTC on the candidate's suitability.

The board requirement has proven to be a valuable addition to the SVIP TC Qualification standards, albeit costly with regard to time and resources. The boards typically consist of the CTC and at least two other supervisory counsel—usually a Regional Trial Counsel (a Lieutenant Colonel (O-5) with significant litigation experience) and a Senior Trial Counsel (a Major (O-4) with past litigation experience as a line counsel). The candidate appears before the board and answers, without notes, Socratic questions from the board designed to test the candidate's knowledge, maturity, instincts, and judgment as a trial counsel. The boards typically run at least three and a half hours in duration; some have lasted as long as five and a half hours. The boards are not confined to any particular subjects or topics; board members are free to question the candidate on any matter of law, policy, practice, or strategy relevant to the prosecution of courts-martial. Nonetheless, certain topics are common to most boards, including: pre-preferral actions and investigations; the charging decision; discovery practice; speedy trial obligations; victim support; expert consultants and witnesses; professional responsibility; vicarious trauma; trial preparation; and trial execution.

The board requirement demonstrated significant value for the TSO. Foremost, it has ensured that the right counsel are handling SVIP cases. Put differently, the board requirement has imposed a higher professional standard for the prosecution of SVIP cases by levying meaningful review on the intangible characteristics of the individual trial counsel (their judgment, maturity, knowledge, and instincts), characteristics not directly accounted for by existing mechanical standards.

Second, the board requirement has proven to be a responsive feedback mechanism for the CTC and supervisory counsel to gauge the state of the TSO's trial counsel and to identify areas for improvement. For instance, the divergence of trial counsel perspectives on various victim support considerations identified the need for a standard victim support model for the TSO (discussed previously). Finally, the board requirement raised the professional expectations for trial counsel who are not yet SVIP TC Qualified. This qualification is a mark of professional excellence in the culture of the TSO and trial counsel actively strive to achieve the qualification. The imposition of the board requirement elevated the pursuit of professional craft that exceeds merely mechanical requirements of the qualification. In other words, it is not enough for a trial counsel to have sufficient time in a litigation billet, have attended certain formal training evolutions, and have served as an assistant trial counsel on a contested SVIP case. While these mechanical criteria are important, more is required for the SVIP TC Qualification; the prospective candidates must truly know their craft and prove it.

The board requirement, as mentioned, is time and resource intensive. It obligates prospective candidates to undertake substantial study and preparation on their own time in order to get ready for the board, as notes or other aids are not permitted. The boards themselves take a significant amount of time for at least three supervisory counsel. Further, the requirement for at least three supervisory counsel imposes the enduring challenge of lining up the schedules of three different senior prosecutors. Nonetheless, the returns—ensuring the right counsel are handling SVIP cases, informing the CTC on the state of the TSO's trial counsel and elevating the professional standards and craft of the TSO—have justified that cost.

#### Case Screening Division:

As a test case in the end of FY 2022, the TSO created a novel enterprise in the Western Region called the Case Screening Division (CSD). CSD is a team of trial counsel supervised by a Major (O-4) that is co-located with NCIS at Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton. The aim of this test case was to assess the value, if any, in maintaining a dedicated team of trial counsel whose chief function is to assist and advise law enforcement, in real time, on the course and scope of investigations involving special victims, rather than actively prosecute courts-martial.

This is a significant deviation from the standard practice within the TSO where all trial counsel are principally handling ongoing courts-martial prosecutions. While trial counsel routinely provide advice and assistance to law enforcement regarding ongoing investigations, it occurs on an as-needed basis and is rarely proactive in nature, much less done in real time. Rather than a reactive phone call or an e-mail discussion with NCIS agents, CSD trial counsel are proactively visiting crime scenes, listening in on suspect, victim, and witness interviews (and making suggestions to NCIS agents during breaks to clarify, expand, or focus interview topics), reviewing and expediting investigative subpoenas and authorizations for search and seizure, analyzing evidence for prosecutorial merit, providing advice on additional investigative endeavors, etc. These activities are occurring side-by-side with NCIS

agents as they work on investigations in real time. Not only are there no delays in trial counsel assistance or advice, CSD trial counsel are now exposed to—and able to proactively, meaningfully, and positively influence—a far larger portion of the investigation than they otherwise would when primarily assigned to ongoing prosecution duties.

This test case has demonstrated value in two main areas. First, it has significantly increased the speed of disposition decisions for investigations that do not merit prosecution. Second, it has improved the quality of investigations that do merit prosecution. These consequences are attributed to the fact that CSD is physically located in the NCIS facility at Camp Pendleton and is not responsible for managing ongoing prosecutions. Physically embedding the CSD team with NCIS has made CSD an integral and proactive player in many ongoing NCIS investigations out of the Camp Pendleton office. Relieving the CSD team of responsibility for managing ongoing prosecutions has resulted in a singular focus on assisting investigations.

The initial outlay for this enterprise—reassigning trial counsel at Camp Pendleton from ongoing prosecutions to CSD and conferring their caseload to the remaining trial counsel actively working prosecutions—presented risk in terms of possibly overwhelming the remaining team with the loss of personnel assigned to ongoing prosecutions. However, in consequence, this prospective risk has been turned on its head. The value of CSD has compensated for the personnel shortfall in trial counsel assigned to ongoing prosecutions by triaging cases without prosecutorial merit, preventing them from taking up the time and resources of trial counsel assigned to active prosecutions, while improving those cases with prosecutorial merit, delivering a stronger case to the prosecution team and mitigating, if not obviating, the need for follow-on actions to shore-up shortfalls or gaps in the investigations. The result is: better investigations, stronger prosecutions, and faster case dispositions. Consequently, the TSO is now in the process of implementing this model in the Eastern Region (the Eastern and Western Regions are the busiest regions for the TSO) and the CTC, in coordination with OSTC leadership, is exploring ways to sustain this enterprise in the future bifurcated OSTC-TSO prosecution model.

#### Case Analysis Memorandum:

Since last year's report, the TSO has significantly streamlined the CAM content and process. CAMs are written recommendations provided to the staff judge advocate (SJA), the principal legal advisor to a commander, regarding the disposition of an SVIP case. They are utilized when the TSO does not believe a case has prosecutorial merit.

Prior to the current enterprise, CAMs featured lengthy narratives detailing the facts of each case and expansive legal analysis of the issues involved. In addition to voluminous content, the CAM process involved multiple levels of review. These features collectively resulted in two significant consequences: CAMs consumed a substantial amount of trial counsel time and were subject to a lengthy process that often left cases languishing in a limbo status. As a result, the TSO was making

sizeable investments of time and resources on cases that would not be prosecuted and commanders were hampered from rendering prompt disposition decisions by reason of extended wait times for the TSO to provide a CAM to their SJA, who would in turn assess the case and the CAM and render their own advice directly to the commander. Thus, the legacy system deprived precious resources from deserving cases and imposed needless delay.

To resolve these challenges, the CTC issued Policy Memorandum 1-22 (Case Analysis Memorandum) that imposed a new CAM form with reduced content along with an expedited approval process. As a result, CAMs are now, in most cases, a page or two in length, must be signed by the lead SVIP TC Qualified counsel and one additional supervisory counsel, and are ordinarily completed within 30 days of receiving a substantially complete investigation (with a maximum of 45 days, absent circumstances where additional time is necessary to solicit a victim's preferences). This has significantly decreased the time it takes to get the case to the commander for a disposition decision, while at the same time, significantly increasing the time trial counsel must devote to those cases with prosecutorial merit.

The establishment of the TSO to refine and regulate prosecutorial practice in the Marine Corps continued to demonstrate value in FY 2022. It standardized and improved the Marine Corps' support to adult victims. It enhanced the quality of prosecutions in the Marine Corps by imposing a uniform trial preparation model. It increased the quality of professional craft, knowledge, judgment, and instincts of trial counsel assigned as lead counsel on special victim cases via the board requirement for SVIP TC Qualification. It increased the speed of disposition decisions for cases lacking prosecutorial merit while enhancing the quality of cases that do by the creation of a CSD. It increased the tempo of the TSO's CAM practice while simultaneously ensuring that trial counsel spend more time preparing cases for trial than writing CAMs for cases unlikely to go to trial. Accordingly, the TSO is now, more than ever, capable of delivering well-trying cases that survive appellate review and advance the interests of justice.

**4.2 Effectiveness of the Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)/Victims' Legal Counsel (VLC) Program: Describe any enhancements your Military Service/NGB has made to the SVC/VLC program; how your Military Service/NGB provides support to victims/clients in remote/deployed locations; training/certification updates (if any); and the progress toward ensuring SVC/VLC case load does not exceed, to the extent practicable, 25 cases at any time. (NDAA for FY 2020, section 541 / NDAA for FY 2013, section 573 / SecDef Memorandum, "Improving Victim Legal Support," (August 14, 2013), p. 1)**

During FY 2022 the Marine Corps VLCO improved training opportunities and certification capacity and continued to refine both policy and practice to ensure all qualifying clients receive qualified, competent legal advice, counseling, and representation.

Training/Certification updates:

The Marine Corps VLCO has historically relied on certification courses provided by the Army and Air Force to provide training necessary for initial certification as a VLC by the SJA to CMC. While certification courses offered by the other Services remain suitable and effective, they do not include specific training on regulatory matters unique to the Department of the Navy. Accordingly, in the Spring of 2022, the Navy and Marine Corps collaborated to design and implement a standards-based Navy-Marine Corps VLC Certification Course at Naval Justice School (NJS) in Newport, Rhode Island. Offered from 25 to 29 April, this course trained 40 Navy, Marine Corps, and Coast Guard students as Victims' Legal Counsel or Victims' Legal Paralegals, including 14 Marine Corps VLC selectees and one Marine Corps paralegal.

Modeled on the previously vetted curricula of courses offered by the Air Force and Army, the NJS training included instruction from professors and experts on law and regulation related to sexual assault. Students also observed a panel discussion among former clients, conducted practical application exercises, and received classes on male victimization, the neurobiology of trauma, strategic litigation practices, and counsel resilience with regard to vicarious trauma. This course will be offered annually in late April, and substantially expands the Marine Corps' ability to train, certify, and supervise qualified counsel to represent victims.

In addition to the formal training required for certification, VLCO conducted a symposium in late September 2022. That symposium included updates to law and regulation, training on appellate litigation, training on the unique legal and trauma issues related to strangulation, discussion of best practices from regional VLCO leaders, and guidance on personnel management and professional development for judge advocates seeking to leverage their VLC experience in other areas of military justice practice.

#### Program enhancements:

In FY 2022, VLCO implemented a voluntary survey for victims who receive VLC services. Clients receive a brief survey which can be completed in hard copy, electronically, or online. While the sample size is small thus far, the client feedback has been overwhelmingly positive, and VLCO will continue to refine the survey questions and procedures to collect information to inform VLCO policies and best practices.

The VLCO also hired a GS-15 Litigation Attorney Advisor (LAA). The LAA is a highly experienced retired senior judge advocate with a very strong legal and policy background in special victim litigation and legislation, with more than 30 years of military justice legal experience including service as a military appellate judge and the Program Manager for the U.S. Army Special Victim Counsel Program. The LAA serves as a senior advisor to the Chief VLC of the Marine Corps, as well as an experienced appellate and post-trial mentor and victims' counsel. Among the primary tasks for the new LAA is advising on establishing a more formal framework for writ and appellate practice on behalf of VLCO clients.

VLCO also supported the development of the Naval Court Martial Reporting System (NCORS) by submitting detailed requirements for a VLC-specific case management and reporting module that will facilitate VLC case tracking and collection and reporting of victim specific information. VLCO personnel also participated in weekly coordination sessions with contract software development personnel via Microsoft Teams to ensure the VLCO requirements were met.

Finally, VLCO implemented a standardized casefile based on Microsoft One Note across all VLC offices. The standardized casefile does not replace case management software, but ensures all VLC are adhering to common administrative best practices.

Clients in remote/deployed locations:

With respect to remote/deployed clients, the VLC initially detailed to represent a deployed client will normally continue to represent that client throughout the client's deployment and the military justice process. Clients who are transferring or deploying are advised on the location of other VLC, as well as the location of the accused and the likely location of the court-martial or administrative proceeding. Based on this advice, the client may elect to keep the detailed VLC or request detailing of a VLC closer to the client's current location or the location of the military justice or administrative proceeding.

In FY 2022, VLCO accommodated all client requests for a preferred VLC location based on a client's change of duty location, due in part to technological capacity. All VLC are equipped with iPhones permitting them to communicate with deployed or non-local clients via FaceTime, Skype, Zoom, or other remote communication applications. When another Service's VLC or SVC is located closer to the client and the client prefers representation by a different Service VLC/SVC, VLCO HQ requests interservice detailing through the VLC/SVC HQ of the other Service. VLCO has supported several interservice detailing requests from other services by detailing Marine Corps VLC to represent clients for our sister Services. VLCO continues to adhere to the Joint Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the Marine Corps, Army, and Navy VLC/SVC programs which authorizes each Service to provide in-person VLC/SVC support to clients who are physically distant from their parent Service VLC/SVC, but close to a VLC/SVC from another Service.

Throughout FY 2022, given the ongoing pandemic and the limited ability to send personnel to in-person training, VLCO continued to use its National Alliance of Victims' Rights Attorneys and Advocates Group Continuing Legal Education Pass (NAVRA GCLE Pass), which allowed VLC to maintain competency through virtual and online training. The NAVRA GCLE Pass gives access to a full catalog of live and on demand trainings to enhance advocacy for crime victims. Experienced National Crime Victim Institute staff and/or outside legal experts provide all online training. The course offerings enhanced the VLC's overall knowledge and practical skills necessary to provide effective legal services to victims of crime, specifically victims of sexual assault and domestic violence.

VLCO conducted extensive training on the new Department of the Navy Safe-to-Report Policy and No Wrong Door Policy. All VLC stationed in the United States received state-specific training on victim-specific state laws and victim policies.

Progress toward managing caseload:

In FY 2021, the Marine Corps increased the total number of authorized VLC billets from 14 to 23. The scope of VLC representation has expanded over the years to include representation for victims of domestic violence and other offenses, while VLC remain limited to representing no more than 25 clients absent special circumstances. As of the time of this report, 21 of the 23 VLC billets have been staffed, with one additional VLC incoming in the next 30 days, which will put total assigned VLC at 22. Additionally, the new LAA will represent post-trial and appellate clients. The overall VLC caseload has increased steadily through FY 2022, after declining significantly during FY 2021. VLC staffing continues to support an average caseload of around 27 cases per VLC. Of note, the case load average per counsel includes cases which have reached disposition stage but remain pending final documents or other action which require far less work for counsel.

**4.3 Trends in the Incidence, Disposition, and Prosecution of Sexual Assault: What trends has your Military Service/NGB identified in the incidence, disposition, and prosecution of sexual assault by units, commands, and installations during FY 2022? Please include trends relating to prevalence of incidents, prosecution of incidents, and avoidance of incidents. (NDAA for FY 2013, section 575)**

FY 2022 saw a slight increase in reports of sexual assault compared to FY 2021. Specifically, the number of Restricted Reports slightly decreased (from 485 to 453), and the number of Unrestricted Reports slightly increased (from 717 to 791).

Many Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault from FY 2022 remained pending investigation or pending disposition at the end of the fiscal year. As a result, identifying trends in the disposition and prosecution of sexual assault cases remains tentative. Among those cases with dispositions, sexual assault prosecutions remained at approximately 27% of reportable subjects (60 cases where a sexual assault offense was charged out of 220 reportable subjects with dispositions, compared to 87 out of 316 in FY 2021).

**4.4 CATCH Program: Describe any challenges faced by victims and/or SARCs with the CATCH Program (e.g., accessing the CATCH website or providing victim notification after a “match” was identified). Also, provide an update on how Service members are made aware of the CATCH program. (SecDef Memorandum, “Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Assault in the Military,” (May 1, 2019), p. 2)**

One challenge identified with the CATCH Program is the requirement for SARCs to log into the CATCH website every 30 days. This is especially problematic in deployed environments due to lack of connectivity. Our recommendation to address this challenge is to extend the log-in timeline to 90 days before deactivation.

Service members are made aware of CATCH information promulgated through all standard communication methods and included in SAPR annual training. Incoming commanders are provided a brief overview of CATCH during the SAPR training provided at Cornerstone. In accordance with policy requirements, information for the CATCH program is posted through all high-traffic locations and verified as an inspectable item during the IGMC inspections.

**4.5 Expanded Eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the CATCH Program: How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL legal officers have been trained on and are familiar with the expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting (e.g., ability for victims to make a restricted report even if the assault is disclosed through their chain of command, but not personally to law enforcement) and the CATCH Program (i.e., ability for victims who made an Unrestricted Report to utilize the CATCH Program when the name of the subject was not disclosed or discovered during an investigation)? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your legal officers? (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 4)**

The Legal Officer course at Naval Justice School provides training on sexual misconduct, including training on expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting. Although this training does not cover the CATCH program in depth, it does train legal officers about the command’s responsibilities with regard to DD Form 2910, which includes the requirement to provide information on the CATCH program to victims. SAPR personnel provide all officers updated information on CATCH during annual training. Marine Corps SAPR training is currently undergoing significant improvements and will help further disseminate this information across the Marine Corps.

**4.6 Eligibility to File a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and Requesting the Return of Personal Property with a DD Form 2910-3, “Return of Victim’s Personal Property in Restricted Reporting Sexual Assault Cases Collected During a SAFE:” How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL legal officers have been trained on and are familiar with the eligibility of a victim to file a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and request the return of personal property, utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, relinquished as part of the SAFE and after filing a Restricted Report? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your legal officers? (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), para 4ab(4) & Encl 4, para 1d)**

The Legal Officer course does not address completing a Section 540K Declination Letter. Pursuant to DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, Enclosure (4), paragraphs 1.d.(1)-(2) and 1.d.(6)(g)4, the Section 540K Declination Letter is submitted to law enforcement and retained by the MCIO (in this case, NCIS). Pursuant to DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, Enclosure (4), paragraph 1.d.(6)(e)4, a VLC may assist in completing the Section 540K



Declination Letter. VLC fall under the cognizance of the Marine Corps VLC Organization and are trained on the law and regulations pertaining to Section 540K Declination Letters. SARCs and SAPR VAs are also trained on Section 540K Declination Letters and can provide information to victims. Although legal officers are not involved in the completion, routing, or retention of Section 540K Declination Letters, the Legal Officer course does stress the importance of ensuring victims receive assistance from law enforcement, victim advocates, and VLC. Similarly, according to DD Form 2910-3, box 1, it must be “discussed with [a] SARC or SAPR VA.” SARCs and SAPR VAs receive training on DD Form 2910-3 through the Marine Corps SAPR program. While legal officers are not involved in completing this form and are not trained on completing this form, they are trained to encourage victims to seek the assistance and involvement of victim advocates. Marine Corps SAPR training is currently undergoing significant improvements and will help further disseminate this information across the Marine Corps.

**4.7 UCMJ Article 93a (Prohibited Activities with Military Recruit or Trainee by Person in Position of Special Trust) Investigations and Convictions: Provide the number of personnel investigated for and convicted of UCMJ Art. 93a, specifically those who engaged in prohibited sexual activity with such specially protected junior members of the armed forces. (Article 93a, UCMJ)**

Four personnel were charged with violating Article 93a, UCMJ, during FY 2022. Of those, one resulted in a finding of Not Guilty, one resulted in a Separation in Lieu of Trial, and two were not resolved by the end of the Fiscal Year. Violations of Article 93a are investigated at the command level and not tracked in any centralized Military Justice database.

**4.8 Efforts to Address Independent Review Commission (IRC) Recommendations: Identify major actions completed or underway to address the recommendations of IRC Line of Effort 1: Accountability. Focus response on IRC efforts undertaken during FY 2022. (See the requirements listed in question 1.1)**

In FY 2022, the Marine Corps made significant progress implementing the IRC LOE 1 Accountability recommendations approved by the Secretary of Defense. Below is a summary of Marine Corps efforts in FY 2022 with respect to the recommendations requiring service-level action:

**Recommendation 1.1:** Creation of the Office of the Special Victim Prosecutor. As discussed at greater length above, the Marine Corps is establishing its Office of Special Trial Counsel as required by the FY 2022 NDAA.

**Recommendation 1.2:** Provide independent, trained investigators for sexual harassment and require mandatory initiation of involuntary separation for all substantiated complaints. During FY 2022, the Secretary of the Navy issued ALNAV 024/22, directing the appointment of independent investigators for sexual harassment investigations, and Marine Corps Judge Advocate Division issued amplifying guidance in Practice Directive 1-22. These are immediate measures to address the intent of the recommendation while the Services fund, staff, and train independent professional investigators.

**Recommendation 1.4:** Professionalize career billets for military justice personnel handling special victim crimes.

Marine Corps Judge Advocate Division developed a series of litigation-focused Additional Military Occupational Specialties (AMOS), which will be used to identify those officers with demonstrated litigation experience and expertise. Upon approval, various litigation billets will be coded to a corresponding litigation AMOS, whereby an officer not possessing the appropriate AMOS would be assigned to that litigation billet only by exception. These litigation AMOSs will be awarded to those judge advocates possessing specific qualification requirements and are favorably screened by a board convened by SJA on behalf of CMC.

Further, Judge Advocate Division is updating MCO 5800.16 to add a chapter on Military Justice Career Litigation Billets. The chapter will identify each litigation billet and categorize them in five progressive tiers, culminating in Capstone Military Justice Billets. The tiers generally correspond to rank, and each requires heightened qualifications and experience. Viewed holistically, the tiers represent a guided path for those with the desire and demonstrated skill to pursue a litigation-focused career, while affording flexibility to periodically serve in non-litigation billets.

For the first time, the FY 24 Marine Corps officer promotion selection boards for colonel, lieutenant colonel, and major were instructed in the Board Precept that litigation experience contributes to the depth and breadth of experience critical in the Marine Corps. Judge Advocate Division will continue to work with Marine Corps Manpower & Reserve Affairs, and the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Manpower & Reserve Affairs) to include and bolster this language to highlight the importance of litigation experience and expertise crucial to the efficient functioning of the Marine Corps.

**Recommendation 1.7e:** Establish funding appropriate for defense counsel control of their own resources. The Marine Corps established a line of accounting for Defense Litigation Resource Funds, the use of which is governed by Chief Defense Counsel of the Marine Corps Policy Memorandum 1.8.

**4.9 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Accountability goal.**

The Marine Corps remains dedicated to ensuring accountability. Currently, the Marine Corps is actively engaged in efforts to reform military justice and accountability policies in accordance with IRC recommendations. The Marine Corps is diligently preparing to implement remaining matters from the National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2022, including continued progress toward full operational capability of its OSTC, as well as any modifications to the military justice process included in the National Defense Authorization Act for FY 20`23.

**5. Goal 5 Assessment: “effectively measure, analyze, assess, and report SAPR Program progress to improve effectiveness.”**

**5.1 Strategic Summary: Summarize your efforts for achieving the Assessment goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes**

**begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2022. As applicable, include significant updates to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes and initiatives to ensure the quality, reliability, validity, and secure retention of sexual assault and retaliation data collected in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID), to include SARC, SAPR VA, and Military Service-level legal officer DSAID training. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 5.2 through 5.4 below. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 5 – Assessment, p. 10)**

The Marine Corps upholds a high standard for data quality, security, and utility to maintain effectiveness of assessments. Frequent, comprehensive, data quality audits allowed us to build more actionable analyses and reports for leaders at the Service level and across the Marine Corps.

We successfully developed and deployed an interactive dashboard for Lead SARCs, which allows them to monitor and assess sexual assault report characteristics in their AOR. These dashboards are updated monthly and provide Lead SARCs in the largest AORs with ready-to-use, customizable, data visualization for a variety of aggregated data points, including victim and subject demographics, and incident details.

This tool helps SARCs inform Marine Corps commanders on key metrics and reporting patterns and promotes more effective collaboration processes within the Prevention Workforce.

The Marine Corps conducted a pilot evaluation of Step Up (SAPR training for E1 to E3) and Take a Stand (SAPR training for E4 to E5). The purpose of the pilot was to test data collection instruments and processes and provide early insight into training effectiveness. Data analysis is in progress.

The Marine Corps is nearing completion of a comprehensive evaluation of the SAPR program. This effort aims to identify programmatic gaps and barriers, staffing challenges, best practices, policy compliance, and Marine and family needs. Findings will inform program and process improvement efforts and include recommendations to increase program performance and effectiveness.

**5.2 Adequacy of SAPR Activities at Training Commands: Describe sexual assault prevention and response training delivered during Basic Military Training and Advanced Military Training or equivalent (e.g., military occupational specialty training). What is your Military Service's/NGB's assessment of the adequacy of SAPR activities carried out by training commands during FY 2022, and describe the means by which you assessed such activities? (NDAA for FY 2013, section 575)**

Training and Education Command conducted a Marine Corps Common Skills training and readiness (T&R) review to revise and validate individual training standards for entry-level

training and annual professional military education. Revisions to individual training standards align with DoD / Service-level initiatives pertaining to Healthy behaviors, Health, Wellness, and Performance, as well as Independent Review Commission Recommendations 2.4 and 4.4. Entry-level training requirements will be implemented no later than 30 September 2023. Additionally, TECOM conducted an annual review of the Marine Corps Common Skills Military Training Order to ensure core and non-core sustainment requirements align with DoD and Service-level policies and ongoing initiatives.

---

**and by units to ensure the safest and most secure living and working environments with regard to preventing sexual assault? (NDAA for FY 2011, section 1602)**

HQMC SAPR partners with Marine Corps Installations Command and Safety Division to identify any patterns with safety and potential mitigation measures. Similarly, commands, SARCs and SAPR VAs, law enforcement, safety, and installation command partner to identify and mitigate risks locally. One example includes increasing patrolling responsibilities for Marines on duty in the barracks during peak risk periods (overnight on weekends).

**5.4 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further**

HQMC will continue the evaluation of SAPR across the Marine Corps as well as collecting data on SAPR trainings. Integrated dashboards will be continually refined to ensure they are useful for SARCs and their commanders to inform prevention efforts. HQMC will also evaluate integrated prevention to ensure growth in the workforce as planned and to assess effectiveness.

HQMC is developing supplemental, asynchronous DSAID training for Marine Corps SARCs, which will expedite the achievement of Assessment goals, improve DSAID data quality, and flatten the learning curve.

**6. Core Functions (Communication and Policy): Provide a brief summary for new efforts taken in FY 2022 on the following:**

**6.1 COVID-19 Impact: Discuss any continuing impacts the COVID-19 pandemic is having on your Military Service's/NGB's efforts in any of the five SAPR goals (e.g., prevention efforts, in-person training, SAFE/evidence processing). If there is a continuing impact, what adjustments and communication efforts were made in FY 2022 to ensure the advancement of your SAPR efforts?**

The Marine Corps is not experiencing any continued impacts from COVID-19.

In FY 2022 there were no continuing impacts from the COVID-19 pandemic on sexual assault medical forensic exam feasibility. Sexual assault medical forensic exams were performed at Navy MTFs in-person and without delay. Additionally, the two-week Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examination initial training course held aboard Fort Sam Houston was conducted live and in-person during every one of the 10 two-week courses offered during this entire fiscal year. In addition, the Medical Inspector General continued on site inspections and evaluations of SAMFE programs across Navy Medicine.

**6.2 Survey Results:** If not addressed in previous responses, describe your leadership-approved policy changes (if any) being initiated as a result of the findings from the FY 2021 Workplace and Gender Relations Surveys of Active Duty and Reserve Component Members and the FY2022 Defense Organizational Climate Surveys.

The Marine Corps continues to utilize the Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty and Reserve Component Members and the Defense Organizational Climate Surveys as a tool for command climate. All future command climate assessment policy changes are currently being driven by the Independent Review Commission requirements.

**7. National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) Requirements and Government Accountability Office (GAO) Recommendations:**

Provide your Military Service's status on the NDAA sections listed below. There are unique requirements embedded within each NDAA section's language, so referring to the entire section is necessary. Military Service's should also refer to GAO Report, GAO 22 103973, "DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts," (March 2022) and provide their updates based on the recommendations in that report.

After reviewing the designated NDAA and GAO section:

If action(s) has/have been implemented, provide the completion date and a short narrative (300 words or less) describing the action taken (e.g., Completed: January 15, 2022. Requirement added to AR 600 20, Army Command Policy).

If the action(s) has/have not been implemented, provide the projected completion date only without narrative (e.g., Projected Completion Date: January 15, 2023).

**7.1 (Army) NDAA for FY 2014, section 1721: Tracking of compliance of commanding officers in conducting organizational climate assessments for purposes of preventing and responding to sexual assaults. (Government Accountability Office (GAO) Report, GAO-22-103973, "DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts," (March 2022), Recommendation 6)**

N/A

**7.2 (Marine Corps & Air Force) NDAA for FY 2015, section 508: Required consideration of certain elements of command climate in performance appraisals of commanding officers. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, "DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts," (March 2022), Recommendations 7 (Marine Corps) & 8 (Air Force))**

Per MCO 5434.1F, commanders annual fitness report shall annotate the commander's compliance/non-compliance with required command climate assessments (CCA). EOAs are required to upload the CCA into the USMC tracking system for accountability and tracking purposes. If a corrective action plan (CAP) is required, the commander will develop a comprehensive CAP within 30 days of receiving the results to address the top three risk factors identified, prioritize compelling concerns, and identify a POC who will

<p>execute the CAP and tracking of the progress. The commander has 60 days for a CAP debrief to the next higher level commander and members of the command. This requirement is also included in MCO 1610.7A 2(z), page 4-47 under directed comments, "Evaluate a commanders ability to set a command climate that is non-permissive of misconduct, to include sexual assault, sexual harassment, hazing, discrimination, retaliation, ,and social media/internet misconduct." and "comment on whether or not a commander, if required, has conducted the appropriate command climate assessments as direct by reference (x-NDAA FY14)."</p>
<p><b>7.3 (Army) NDAA for FY 2012, section 582(a): Consideration of application for permanent change of station or unit transfer based on humanitarian conditions for victim of sexual assault or related offense. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, "DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts," (March 2022), Recommendation 10)</b></p>
<p>N/A</p>
<p><b>7.4 (Navy) NDAA for FY 2014, section 1741(a)-(c): Enhanced protections for prospective members and new members of the Armed Forces during entry-level processing and training. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, "DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts," (March 2022), Recommendation 11)</b></p>
<p>N/A</p>
<p><b>7.5 (Navy &amp; Marine Corps) NDAA for FY 2014, section 1745(a)-(c): Inclusion and command review of information on sex-related offenses in personnel service records of members of the Armed Forces. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, "DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts," (March 2022), Recommendation 12)</b></p>
<p>August 22, 2014. The Marine Corps released MARADMIN 416/14, "Inclusion and Command Review of Sex-Related Offenses in OMPFS" outlining implementation guidance.</p>
<p><b>7.6 (Army, Navy, &amp; Air Force) NDAA for FY 2018, section 535(a)-(b): Sexual assault prevention and response training for all individuals enlisted in the Armed Forces under a delayed entry program. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, "DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts," (March 2022), Recommendations 13 (Army), 14 (Navy), &amp; 15 (Air Force))</b></p>
<p>N/A</p>
<p><b>7.7 (Army, Navy, &amp; Air Force) NDAA for FY 2019, section 545(a)-(c): Development of resource guides regarding sexual assault for the military service academies. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, "DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts," (March 2022), Recommendations 16 (Army), 17 (Navy), &amp; 18 (Air Force))</b></p>

N/A

## 8. Analytics Discussion

**8.1 Military Services/NGB\*:** Provide an analytic discussion (1,500 words or less) of your Statistical Report of reported sexual assault cases from DSAID. The discussion shall include information on Unrestricted Reports; Restricted Reports; conversions of Restricted Reports to Unrestricted Reports; service referrals for victims alleging sexual assault; reports of retaliation; and case synopses of completed sexual assault and related retaliation investigations.

\*NGB should provide comments based on its available information and data.

This section must briefly address each of the following:

- Notable changes in the data over time
- Insight or suspected reasons for noted changes, or lack of change, if any, in data
- The application of insights from data analyses for programmatic planning, oversight, and/or research
- Total number of Sexual Assaults (Restricted Reports and Unrestricted Reports) over time (since FY 2008) **(Metric #11)**
- The number of sexual assault investigations completed by the MCIO in the FY and the corresponding mean and median investigation length. Case open date can be in any year, but the close date must be by the end of the FY **(Non-Metric #6)**
- The number of subjects with victims who declined to participate in the military justice process **(Metric #7)**
- Command action for military subjects under DoD legal authority (to be captured using the most serious crime investigated, comparing penetration to contact crimes) **(Non-Metric #1)**
- Sexual assault court-martial outcomes (to be captured using the most serious crime charged, comparing penetration to contact crimes) **(Non-Metric #2)**
- Summary of referral data – Unrestricted and Restricted Reports - either referrals received from other sources or referrals made to other sources (e.g., medical/mental health, command, criminal investigation/security services, legal, civilian, or VA authorities, etc.)
- Any other information relating to sexual assault case data (e.g., information on completed sexual assault-related retaliation cases)

### **Overview of Sexual Assault Reports in the Marine Corps**

The Marine Corps received 1,244 reports of sexual assault in FY 2022. Despite some expected variation from year to year, reports of sexual assault remain rather consistent over time since FY 2018. 78 percent of reports filed in FY 2022 were for in-Service incidents, comparable to FY 2021 (75%). Enlisted women in ranks E1 to E4 are overrepresented as victims in Marine Corps reports, accounting for 61 percent of in-Service reports in FY 2022. Female Marines ranked E1 to E4 comprise approximately 6 percent of the total Marine Corps Active Component. The Marine Corps received seven official reports of retaliation related to Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault in FY 2022.

This number may differ from the results published by DoD; as at the time of this report, final retaliation data from DoD SAPRO is unavailable. Due to the small number of retaliation reports, further details will not be provided.

**Data Source.** In accordance with the 2009 NDAA, the DoD maintains a centralized, case-level database for the collection and maintenance of information regarding reports of sexual assault in the military. The Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID) is a Service-wide database that relies on data from multiple sources, including Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs), Headquarters Marine Corps (HQMC) Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR), HQMC Judge Advocate Division, and Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS). As the system of record for all sexual assault report data in the military, DSAID provided the information in this report. DSAID data are live and subject to change. While we made every effort to align the current results from previous annual reports, this analytic discussion represents a snapshot in time from the live database. It is possible that some data from sexual assault reports filed in prior years will differ slightly from previously published numbers. In these instances, data are current as of 10 January 2023.

## ALL SEXUAL ASSAULT REPORTS

### **Reports of Sexual Assault over Time (Metric #11)**

In FY 2022, the Marine Corps received 1,244 reports of sexual assault. Despite some expected variation from year to year, reported sexual assaults remain rather consistent over time since FY 2018. Unrestricted Reports are slightly higher in FY 2022 (791) than in FY 2021 (717), while Restricted Reports are slightly lower (453 in FY 2022, 485 in FY 2021). Figure 1 shows the number of sexual assaults reported to the Marine Corps from FY 2008 to FY 2022.

Victim choice largely drives the provision of services and reporting within the SAPR program. The sustained overall increase in reports suggests that Marines continue to seek supportive services to which they may not otherwise have access.






Figure 1. Metric 11: Marine Corps Reports of Sexual Assault by Report Type (FY08-FY22)

**Victim Gender in All Reports**

The majority of victims in FY 2022 sexual assault reports were women (75%). The percentage of male victims in reports remained nearly the same (25%) in FY 2022 compared to FY 2021 (24%). Men and women file Unrestricted Reports at similar rates overall. Since FY 2015, 66 percent of women and 65 percent of men reporting a sexual assault to the Marine Corps have done so via an Unrestricted Report. More men reported a sexual assault in FY 2022 (314) than in any prior year, and the proportion of those electing Unrestricted Reports is notably higher than in FY 2021 (67% in FY 2022, 52% in FY 2021).

**Conversions from Restricted to Unrestricted Reports**

In FY 2022, 123 victims elected to convert their report from Restricted to Unrestricted, comparable to FY 2021 (124). Marines may choose to convert to an Unrestricted Report at any time and for a variety of reasons, such as a desire for command support, access to additional services (e.g., expedited transfer), or the decision to pursue a criminal investigation.

**Victim Military Status**

The Marine Corps offers SAPR services to active duty and reserve members of the military, adult military dependents, and DoD employees and contractors OCONUS. Figure 2 depicts the proportion of all reports involving a non-Service member victim, Service member victim reporting a prior-to-Service incident, and Service member victim reporting an in-Service incident. Prior-to-Service incidents were comparable in FY 2022

(13% of all reports) to FY 2021 (16%), as were in-Service incidents (78% in FY 2022; 75% in FY 2021).

In the following graph (Figure 2), in-Service indicates incidents that occurred while the victim was in the military; non-Service member indicates that the victim was a civilian (either SAPR-eligible or non-eligible) or foreign national; and prior-to-Service are incidents occurring before the victim entered military Service.




Figure 2. Most Reports are for In-Service Incidents of Sexual Assault

## NON-MILITARY VICTIMS

### Summary of Reports with Non-Military Victims

The Marine Corps received 112 reports of sexual assault involving non-military victims (9% of all FY 2022 reports). This is comparable to FY 2021 (8%) and slightly lower than FY 2015 (14%). HQMC SAPR collects data on these reports to capture support services offered to SAPR-eligible civilians (e.g., spouses of Service members or DoD civilians overseas) and to collect data on subjects affiliated with the Marine Corps.

## MILITARY VICTIMS REPORTING PRIOR-TO-SERVICE INCIDENTS

### Prior-to-Service Incidents

The Marine Corps encourages Service members to report incidents of sexual assault at any time, regardless of when the incident occurred. Of the 1,244 reports filed in FY 2022, 161 (13%) were for incidents that occurred prior to the individual entering military Service.

This is comparable to FY 2021 (16%) and slightly lower than FY 2016 (20%). The Marine Corps consistently has the highest percentage of prior-to-Service reports in the DoD. Among other factors, previous victimization is associated with an increased risk of future sexual violence victimization. The high percentage of prior-to-Service reports may suggest that the Marine Corps Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program is building trust with Marines to acknowledge and report their previous experiences with sexual assault.

Most reports of prior-to-Service incidents were filed via the Restricted Report option (58% in FY 2022, down from 74% in FY 2021). Related, a higher proportion of prior-to-Service incidents were reported via Unrestricted Reporting in FY 2022 than in any prior year. Many Marines who reported a prior-to-Service sexual assault via the Restricted Reporting option indicated reasons such as a desire to avoid retelling their story or not wanting their command involved. In this way, Restricted Reports are likely an indicator of help-seeking behavior. For many Marines, this may be the first time they have had access to supportive services since they experienced a sexual assault. Figure 3 shows prior-to-Service incidents by report type over time.




Figure 3. Prior-to-Service Incidents by Report Type (FY15-FY22)

**VICTIMS WITH REPORTS OF IN-SERVICE INCIDENTS**

**Report Type**

As in previous years, most reports received were for incidents occurring during a Service member's time in the military. The proportion of FY 2022 in-Service incidents reported via the Unrestricted Reporting option (64%) was comparable to FY 2021 (63%).

### Victim Demographics for In-Service Incidents

Men comprised 27 percent of the victims in FY 2022 in-Service incidents, similar to FY 2021 (24%). The proportion of Unrestricted to Restricted Reports for male victims with in-Service incidents has been steady overall since FY 2015, allowing for some variation from year to year. The increase in Restricted Reporting in FY 2021 appears to be isolated and not indicative of a trend at this time.

Since FY 2015, women electing Restricted Reporting for in-Service incidents rose steadily. While little change is noticeable from year to year, the change overall from FY 2015 (29%) to FY 2022 (39%) and the relative decrease in Unrestricted Reports (71% in FY 2015, 61% in FY 2022) is worth noting. Additional exploration is needed to better understand this trend, and such analysis is not feasible for this report. The Marine Corps encourages all victims of sexual assault to seek support services in the way that is most beneficial for them. Figure 4 shows in-Service incidents by victim gender and report type over time.




Figure 4. In-Service Incidents by Gender and Report Type (FY15-FY22)

### Ranks and Relationship between Victim and Subject

Since FY 2014, 97 percent of all victims reporting in-Service sexual assaults have been enlisted; the remaining three percent of victims were commissioned officers or chief warrant officers. Lance corporals (E-3) and privates first class (E-2) continue to be the most frequent victim ranks to report a sexual assault to the Marine Corps, comprising approximately 60 percent of all victims. In FY 2022, 38 percent of all in-Service reports involved an E-3 victim, slightly lower than in FY 2021 (44%). Twenty-one percent of FY 2022 in-Service reports involved an E-2 victim, comparable to 18 percent in FY 2021.

Lance corporals comprise approximately 24 percent of active duty Marines, making it the most populous rank in the Marine Corps; privates first class account for about 10 percent of the active duty force strength. The percentage of reports with E-2 and E-3 victims is disproportionate to the composition of the Marine Corps. Sexual assault is an underreported crime; we cannot determine at this time if Marines in these ranks are more likely to be sexually assaulted or more likely to file a report.

Since FY 2015, Service Members indicated that the subject was an acquaintance (38%), friend (15%), or otherwise known (14%), and this remains the case for FY 2022. Analysis of in-Service report data over time suggests that, in general, Service member victims and subjects are often peers or near-peers (no more than one rank higher or lower). It is worth noting that subject rank data are limited to Unrestricted Reports as the Marine Corps only collects detailed subject information for individuals titled in a law enforcement investigation. The heat map in Figure 5 illustrates the relationship between victim and subject rank, aggregated from Unrestricted Reports of In-Service incidents received in FY 2015-FY 2022.




Figure 5. Service Member Victims and Subjects are Often Peers or Near-Peers (FY15-FY22 Aggregated)

**Incident Details**

In FY 2022, 59 percent of all in-Service reports were Service member-on-Service member incidents. While this is comparable to FY 2021 (58%), it is slightly lower than FY 2015 (66%). Figure 5 includes additional details. Subject demographic data are limited to Unrestricted Reports involving individuals subject-titled in a law enforcement investigation, or Restricted Reports in which the victim volunteered information about the subject.

Sixty-one percent of the in-Service incidents reported in FY 2022 occurred on a military installation or ship. This is consistent with prior years, despite some expected fluctuation from year to year. Figure 6 provides additional information.

Alcohol involvement is indicated by a single, self-report item in DSAID. A yes for this data point signals that alcohol was used by the subject, victim, or both . It cannot reveal who was drinking or under what circumstances, nor does it indicate intoxication or alcohol misuse on the part of the victim or subject. As Figure 6 illustrates, alcohol involvement in FY 2022 (47%) is comparable to FY 2021 (48%). This represents a slight decrease from FY 2015 (55%) and FY 2016 (57%).





Figure 6. Service Member-on-Service Member Incidents and Those Involving Alcohol Down Slightly Overall (FY15-FY22)

**LAW ENFORCEMENT AND DISPOSTION**

### Investigations (Non-Metric #6)

NCIS completed 660 investigations of Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault in FY22. These investigations may have been initiated in FY22 or in a previous year. The median length of an NCIS investigation was 108 days, similar to FY21 (116). Figure 7 shows median and average investigation length for NCIS investigations from FY13-FY22.


Figure 7. Non-Metric 6: Investigation Length (FY13-FY22)



### Victims Declining to Participate in Military Justice Process (Metric #7)

The Marine Corps documents a victim's willingness to participate in the military justice process using the standard Victim's Preference Letter (VPL), contained in Appendix A-1-q of the Manual of the Judge Advocate General (JAGMAN). Specifically, Appendix A-1-q informs the victim of the opportunity to express his or her willingness to participate in investigative and legal proceedings, to include providing testimony, under oath, at a court-martial. In FY21, 41 victims declined to participate in the military judicial action. Figure 8 displays the percentage of cases with victims declining to participate in the military justice process from FY09-FY21 (Metric #7).

Figure 8. Metric 7: Victims Declining to Participate in the Military Justice Process



**Command Actions for Military Subjects (Non-Metric #1)**

Law enforcement completed 575 sexual assault investigations in FY21, which includes cases reported in FY21 and previous years. Of these, there was sufficient evidence to support command action in 201 cases. Sexual assault charges were substantiated in 110 of those cases, resulting in 82 court-martial preferrals, 6 non-judicial punishments (NJPs), 17 administrative discharges, and 5 other adverse administrative actions. 63 of the 82 court-martial preferrals proceeded to trial. Figure 9 shows command actions taken for military subjects in FY21 (Non-Metric #1).






Figure 9. Non-Metric 1: FY22 Command Action for Alleged Military Offenders Under DoD Legal Authority

### Courts-Martial Outcomes (Non-Metric #2)

In FY21, 63 cases proceeded to trial involving at least one charged sexual assault offense. In 52 of those cases, the subject was convicted of at least one charge (though not necessarily a sexual assault). Figure 10 depicts court-martial actions by crime charged (penetrating sexual assault or sexual contact crime; Non-Metric #2).




Figure 10. Non-Metric 2: FY22 Sexual Assault Courts-Martial with Actions Completed

**VICTIM SERVICES**

Summary of Victim Referrals

Marine Corps SARCs and SAPR VAs offered over 11,000 referrals for eligible victims filing Restricted and Unrestricted Reports in FY22, with about 26 percent of these for SAPR VA services. Victims with in-Service reports received an average of about 10 referrals per case. Because victim choice is the driving force of SAPR services, it is likely that not all of the offered referrals were accepted. Figure 11 displays the referrals offered to eligible victims in prior-to-Service and in-Service incidents reported in FY22.




Figure 11. Referrals Offered to Military Victims in FY22 Reports

**Other Services**

The Marine Corps received 91 expedited transfer requests from Marines with Unrestricted Reports in FY22, about the same as FY21 (90), but still a decrease from 110 in FY18. These expedited transfer requests could be associated with reports made in FY22 or in a previous year. HQMC SAPR continued our concerted effort in FY22 to expand leadership awareness and understanding of the expedited transfer laws, policies, and orders. It is possible that Commanders are using alternate means to support victims’ individual recovery process, such as internal moves within the unit or moving the subject instead of the victim.

Of the 91 requests, 88 were ultimately approved, either by the victim’s immediate Commander or after a General Officer review, with three requests in which the final Command decision was disapproval.

**8.2 Personnel Support: Complete the following table with your numbers as of the end of FY 2022. Use the job/duty descriptions provided and the following inclusion criteria:**

- Include all Reserve and Active Duty military personnel. Army and Air Force do not need to include their respective National Guard component information as it will be included in the National Guard Bureau’s response.
- Include federal government civilian personnel
- Only include filled positions
- Indicate the number of full-time and part-time personnel (i.e., collateral duty personnel)

- Provide the exact number of current personnel, whenever possible. If the number is an estimate, indicate how the estimate was reached and any other relevant information.

**(DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), Encl 2, para 6)**

<b>Job/Duty Title</b>	<b>Description of Job/Duty</b>	<b>Full Time</b>	<b>Part Time</b>
<b>Program Managers</b>	<b>Capability in developing policy, or program management and execution; and completion of 40+ hours of Military Service-specific National Advocate Credentialing Program and approved SARC training.</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Dedicated Headquarters-Level Professionals</b>	<b>Include policy, advocacy, and prevention professionals who support the headquarters-level SAPR program offices at each Military Service/NGB (<i>not including program managers, who are counted in their own category</i>).</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Uniformed SARCs</b>	<b>Serve as the single point of contact at an installation or within a geographic area to oversee sexual assault awareness, prevention, and response training; coordinate medical treatment, including emergency care, for victims of sexual assault; and track the services provided to victims from the initial report through final disposition and resolution and are certified under the nationally accredited DoD Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP).</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>48 (collateral duty)</b>
<b>Civilian SARCs</b>	<b>See above.</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Uniformed SAPR-VAs</b>	<b>Provide non-clinical crisis intervention, referral, and ongoing non-clinical support to adult sexual assault victims; offer information on available options/resources to victims; coordinate liaison</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1222 (collateral duty)</b>

	<b>assistance with other organizations and agencies on victim care matters; report directly to the SARC, and are certified under the nationally-accredited D-SAACP.</b>		
<b>Civilian SAPR-VAs</b>	<b>See above.</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Sexual Assault-Specific Legal</b>	<b>Legal personnel who specialize in sexual assault cases including prosecutors, Victim Witness Assistance Program personnel, paralegals, legal experts, and Special Victims' Counsel/Victims' Legal Counsel.</b>	<b>308</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Sexual Assault – Specific Investigators</b>	<b>Military Criminal Investigation Office investigators who specialize in sexual assault cases.</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>436</b>
<b>Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners</b>	<b>Medical providers that have completed the DoD Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiner Course at Fort Sam Houston, or equivalent.</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>163</b>

Unrestricted Reports

MARINE CORPS FY22 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY		
A. FY22 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members. Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY22. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year. This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.	FY22 Totals	
<b># FY22 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)</b>	<b>767</b>	
# Service Member Victims	663	
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject	104	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	
<b># Unrestricted Reports in the following categories</b>	<b>767</b>	
# Service Member on Service Member	333	
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	104	
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	11	
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	85	
# Relevant Data Not Available	234	
<b># Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring</b>	<b>767</b>	
# On military installation	440	
# Off military installation	247	
# Unidentified location	80	
<b># Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation</b>	<b>767</b>	
<b># Victims in investigations initiated during FY22</b>	<b>719</b>	
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2022	262	
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2022	457	
<b># Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming</b>	<b>10</b>	
<b># Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement</b>	<b>38</b>	
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ	2	
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0	
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service	12	
# Victims - Other	24	
<b># All Restricted Reports received in FY22 (one Victim per report)</b>	<b>552</b>	
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)	99	
<b># Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY22</b>	<b>453</b>	
<b>B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY22</b>	FY22 Totals	FY22 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report</b>	<b>767</b>	<b>663</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	270	238
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	102	83
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	58	52
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	192	163
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	138	120
# Relevant Data Not Available	7	7
<b>Time of sexual assault</b>	<b>767</b>	<b>663</b>
# Midnight to 6 am	195	165
# 6 am to 6 pm	150	137
# 6 pm to midnight	246	203
# Unknown	168	150
# Relevant Data Not Available	10	8
<b>Day of sexual assault</b>	<b>767</b>	<b>663</b>
# Sunday	106	93
# Monday	113	95
# Tuesday	84	76
# Wednesday	88	79
# Thursday	84	76
# Friday	126	107
# Saturday	156	128
# Relevant Data Not Available	10	9

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

C. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY22 Totals		
# Service Member on Service Member	330	82	8	4	28	65	0	236	767		
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	239	72	7	3	0	12	0	0	333		
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	98	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	104		
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	7	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	11		
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	1	0	0	27	50	0	2	80		
# Relevant Data Not Available	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	232	234		
<b>FY22 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)</b>											
<b>UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY22</b>	<i>Penetrating Offenses</i>				<i>Contact Offenses</i>						
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
<b>D1.</b>	84	0	216	0	10	290	0	0	6	161	767
# Service Member on Service Member	21	0	98	0	2	192	0	0	2	11	333
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	21	0	37	0	8	72	0	0	2	19	104
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	19	0	21	0	1	30	0	0	2	10	85
# Relevant Data Not Available	30	0	66	0	4	38	0	0	0	102	234
<b>D2.</b>											
<b>TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY22 Reports</b>	63	0	179	0	7	268	0	0	4	142	663
# Service Member Victims: Female	49	0	139	0	5	170	0	0	3	97	456
# Service Member Victims: Male	18	0	43	0	2	98	0	0	1	45	207
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

<b>E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY22</b>		
Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.		
<b># Investigations Initiated during FY22</b>		<b>690</b>
# Investigations Completed as of FY22 End (group by MCIO #)		435
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY22 End (group by MCIO #)		255
<b># Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY22</b>		<b>557</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>		<b>8</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID		6
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID		2
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>		<b>418</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS		392
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS		26
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>		<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI		0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI		0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations</b>		<b>8</b>
Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.		
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations</b>		<b>118</b>
Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.		
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement</b>		<b>0</b>
Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.		
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement		0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement		0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>		<b>2</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>		<b>3</b>
<b># Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available</b>		<b>0</b>
<b>E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY22</b>		
Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY22. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY22 or any prior FY.		
<b># Total Investigations completed by Services during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>		<b>660</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim		30
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject		53
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject		4
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>		<b>599</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>		<b>3</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID		3
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID		0
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>		<b>462</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS		433
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS		29
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>		<b>1</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI		0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI		1
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>		<b>9</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>		<b>124</b>
<b># Subject Relevant Data Not Available</b>		<b>0</b>
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>		<b>712</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in CID investigations</b>		<b>3</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations		3
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations		0
<b># Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations</b>		<b>592</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations		556
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations		36
<b># Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations</b>		<b>1</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations		1
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations		0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service</b>		<b>116</b>
<b># Victim Relevant Data Not Available</b>		<b>0</b>



Unrestricted Reports (continued)

<b>E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY22</b>	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>4</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>3</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects Investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>2</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>1</b>
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>4</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations</b>	<b>4</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	4
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY22 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs")</b>	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>0</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects Investigated by MPs</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Victims in MP investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in MP Investigations</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

Victims in Investigation Completed in FY22	Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY22										FY22 Totals
	Penetrating Offense \$				Contact Offenses						
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
<b>F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY22 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)</b>											
<b>F1. Gender of Victims</b>	85	0	228	0	10	295	0	0	12	86	716
# Male	14	0	36	0	1	84	0	0	3	23	161
# Female	66	0	192	0	10	211	0	0	10	63	555
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F2. Age of Victims</b>	85	0	228	0	10	295	0	0	12	86	716
# 0-15	3	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	7	16
# 16-19	20	0	77	0	2	94	0	0	3	27	233
# 20-24	40	0	115	0	5	158	0	0	9	27	354
# 25-34	0	0	20	0	0	21	0	0	1	3	50
# 35-49	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	10	0	10	0	0	17	0	0	2	19	62
<b>F3. Victim Type</b>	85	0	228	0	10	295	0	0	12	86	716
# Service Member	64	0	191	0	7	267	0	0	9	62	600
# DOD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	4
# DOD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	20	0	34	0	3	25	0	0	2	24	105
# Foreign National	1	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	5
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F4. Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	64	0	191	0	7	267	0	0	9	62	600
# E1-E4	5	0	170	0	2	247	0	0	9	57	543
# E5-E9	3	0	19	0	1	14	0	0	0	4	43
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O-10	2	0	2	0	2	3	0	0	0	5	10
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F5. Service of Service Member Victims</b>	64	0	191	0	7	267	0	0	9	62	600
# Army	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	4	7
# Navy	0	0	5	0	0	15	0	0	1	3	35
# Marines	58	0	186	0	5	247	0	0	8	58	564
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Space Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F6. Status of Service Member Victims</b>	64	0	191	0	7	267	0	0	9	62	600
# Active Duty	61	0	187	0	7	246	0	0	8	58	564
# Reserve (Activated)	3	0	4	0	0	21	0	0	1	7	36
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

C. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY22 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY22											
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses							FY22 Totals
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available		
<b>G1. Gender of Subjects</b>	75	0	190	0	7	260	0	0	13	57	602	
# Male	50	0	149	0	7	213	0	0	10	45	478	
# Female	0	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	7	
# Unknown	16	0	37	0	0	35	0	0	3	12	117	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>G2. Age of Subjects</b>	75	0	190	0	7	260	0	0	13	57	602	
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 16-19	0	0	27	0	0	45	0	0	2	4	91	
# 20-24	35	0	92	0	3	107	0	0	6	27	274	
# 25-34	5	0	26	0	1	48	0	0	1	10	91	
# 35-49	2	0	5	0	0	12	0	0	0	1	20	
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	24	0	40	0	1	44	0	0	4	13	126	
<b>G3. Subject Type</b>	75	0	190	0	7	260	0	0	13	57	602	
# Service Member	51	0	148	0	6	212	0	0	9	40	466	
# Adult (Age 18 or older)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# DOD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# DOD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# US Civilian	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	23	0	39	0	0	42	0	0	4	13	112	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects</b>	51	0	148	0	6	212	0	0	9	40	466	
# E1-E4	40	0	115	0	2	161	0	0	8	28	356	
# E5-E9	11	0	31	0	2	47	0	0	1	9	98	
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# O1-O3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>G5. Service of Service Member Subjects</b>	51	0	148	0	6	212	0	0	9	40	466	
# Army	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
# Navy	1	0	8	0	0	16	0	0	1	3	28	
# Marines	50	0	139	0	6	196	0	0	8	36	435	
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Space Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>G6. Status of Service Member Subjects</b>	51	0	148	0	6	212	0	0	9	40	466	
# Active Duty	48	0	142	0	6	201	0	0	8	34	441	
# Reserve (Activated)	2	0	6	0	0	11	0	0	0	1	25	
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY22 INVESTIGATIONS	FY22 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY22 INVESTIGATIONS	FY22 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY22, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	3		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	1		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	1		
# Subjects - Other	1		
# Subjects in investigations completed in FY22 Note: These are Subjects from Tab 1b, Cells B79, B59, B77.	602	# Victims in investigations completed in FY22	716
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY22	294	# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY22	413
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	26		
# Unknown Offenders	18	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	13
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	6	# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	3
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	2	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	4
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	2
		# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	1
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	72	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	15	# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	52	# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	9
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0	# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	40
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	3	# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	4
		# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	0
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	590	# Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available	602
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2022	40		
# FY22 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	40	# FY22 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action	38
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	10	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial referrals against Subject	15
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	1	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	1
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	6	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	6
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	9	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	3
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	2	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial referrals for non-sexual assault offenses	2
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	9	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	4
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	2	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	3
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	3	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	4
* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.			

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

<b>I. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Sexual Assault Charge).</b> This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martial for sexual assault crimes completed during FY22	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a Sexual Assault Charge Pending Court Completion</b>	<b>57</b>
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY22	9
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY22</b>	<b>48</b>
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed</b>	<b>16</b>
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	2
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	7
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	6
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
<b># Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial</b>	<b>1</b>
# Officer and Cadet/Midshipmen Subjects who were allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	1
<b># Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a sexual assault charge</b>	<b>31</b>
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	11
<b># Subjects Convicted of Any Charge at Trial</b>	<b>20</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>20</b>
# Subjects receiving confinement	16
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	17
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	10
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	14
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	3
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	1
# Subjects to be processed for administrative discharge or separation subsequent to sexual assault conviction	3
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	3
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Convicted Subjects with a conviction under a UCMJ Article that requires Sex Offender Registration	5
<b>J. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Sexual Assault Charge).</b> This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for sexual assault crimes completed during FY22	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY22</b>	<b>8</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY22	6
<b># Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY22</b>	<b>2</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	0
<b># Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment</b>	<b>2</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>2</b>
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	1
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	0
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	0
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	1
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual assault charge	2
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	1
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	1
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
<b>K. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN.</b> This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY22	5
<b># Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a sexual assault offense</b>	<b>22</b>
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	13
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	3
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY22	4
<b># Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a sexual assault offense</b>	<b>4</b>

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

	FY22 Totals
<b>L. COURTS-MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Non-sexual assault offense).</b> This section reports the outcomes of Courts-Martials for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	
<b># Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a non-sexual assault offense in FY22</b>	9
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY22	3
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY22</b>	6
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	1
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial for a non-sexual assault offense	1
# Officer and Cadet/Midshipmen Subjects who were officers that where allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	1
<b># Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a non-sexual assault offense</b>	4
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	0
<b># Subjects Convicted of Any Non-Sexual Assault Charge at Trial</b>	4
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	4
# Subjects receiving confinement	2
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	4
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	2
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	2
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	1
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to conviction at trial	1
# Subjects receiving DOTHC administrative discharge	1
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
<b>M. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Non-Sexual Assault Charge).</b> This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	
	FY22 Totals
<b># Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY22</b>	14
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY22	1
<b># Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY22</b>	13
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	0
<b># Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense</b>	13
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	13
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	1
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	9
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	12
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	13
# Subjects receiving extra duty	12
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	0
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge	3
# Subjects who received NJP followed by DOTHC administrative discharge	3
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	1
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	1
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
<b>N. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN (Non-sexual assault offense).</b> This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non-sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	
	FY22 Totals
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY22	3
<b># Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a non-sexual assault offense</b>	7
# Subjects receiving DOTHC administrative discharge	3
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	2
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	1
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	1
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY22	0
<b># Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a non-sexual assault offense</b>	11

Restricted Reports

MARINE CORPS FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
<b>A. FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports</b>	<b>552</b>
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	540
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	12
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22*</b>	<b>99</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	95
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Total Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	<b>453</b>
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	445
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	8
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories</b>	<b>453</b>
# Service Member on Service Member	244
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	107
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	8
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	94
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>B. INCIDENT DETAILS</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Reported sexual assaults occurring</b>	<b>453</b>
# On military installation	190
# Off military installation	192
# Unidentified location	66
# Relevant Data Not Available	5
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report</b>	<b>453</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	71
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	49
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	26
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	86
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	98
# Relevant Data Not Available	123
<b>Time of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>453</b>
# Midnight to 6 am	87
# 6 am to 6 pm	41
# 6 pm to midnight	173
# Unknown	152
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Day of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>453</b>
# Sunday	54
# Monday	39
# Tuesday	18
# Wednesday	39
# Thursday	35
# Friday	58
# Saturday	87
# Relevant Data Not Available	123
<b>C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims</b>	<b>445</b>
# Army Victims	2
# Navy Victims	22
# Marines Victims	420
# Air Force Victims	1
# Space Force Victims	0
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

Restricted Reports (continued)

D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT		FY22 Totals
<b>Gender of Victims</b>		<b>453</b>
# Male		103
# Female		350
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>Age of Victims at the Time of Incident</b>		<b>453</b>
# 0-15		41
# 16-19		152
# 20-24		206
# 25-34		39
# 35-49		1
# 50-64		1
# 65 and older		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		13
<b>Grade of Service Member Victims</b>		<b>445</b>
# E1-E4		365
# E5-E9		56
# WO1-WO5		6
# O1-O3		13
# O4-O10		5
# Cadet/Midshipman		0
# Academy Prep School Student		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>Status of Service Member Victims</b>		<b>445</b>
# Active Duty		433
# Reserve (Activated)		12
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)		0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student		0
# Academy Prep School Student		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>Victim Type</b>		<b>453</b>
# Service Member		445
# DoD-Civilian		
# DoD-Contractor		
# Other-US-Government-Civilian		
# Non-Service Member		8
# Foreign-National		
# Foreign-Military		
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
# Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service		93
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18		56
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18		34
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify		3
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY)</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted		28.63
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted		44.06
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted		3
<b>G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY22</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22</b>		<b>24</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22		24
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
* The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.		



MARINE CORPS FY22 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT		
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>		
<b>A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>		
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>		<b>6761</b>
# Medical		376
# Behavioral Health		698
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)		641
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		477
# Rape Crisis Center		
# Victim Advocate/Informed Victim Advocate		1638
# DoD Safe Helpline		438
# Other		2519
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>		<b>758</b>
# Medical		8
# Behavioral Health		48
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)		13
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		1
# Rape Crisis Center		78
# Victim Advocate		47
# RCP-Specialists		
# Other		61
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>		<b>59</b>
<b># Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service</b>		<b>1</b>
<b># FY22 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO) AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS</b>		<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>
<b>B. Military Protective Orders issued during FY22</b>		<b>108</b>
# Reported MPO Violations in FY22		4
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects		4
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault		0
# Reported MPO Violations by Both		0
<i>The occurrence with DoD Policy Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk for the Victim.</i>		
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault		18
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied		2
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault		78
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied		2
<b>C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS</b>		<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>		<b>3754</b>
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>		<b>272</b>
# Medical		408
# Behavioral Health		263
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)		310
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		
# Rape Crisis Center		
# Victim Advocate/Informed Victim Advocate		998
# DoD Safe Helpline		308
# Other		1249
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>		<b>101</b>
# Medical		1
# Behavioral Health		32
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)		1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		8
# Rape Crisis Center		18
# Victim Advocate		24
# RCP-Specialists		
# Other		21
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>		<b>39</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>		<b>0</b>
	<b>Total Number Denied</b>	<b>6</b>
	<b>Reasons for Disapproval (Total)</b>	<b>6</b>
	No credible report/determination of a sexual assault	1
	Victim pending administrative separation	1
	Insufficient time in current command to fully implement care plan	1
	Victim received TAD orders in lieu of Expedited Transfer	1
	Victim is pending a medical evaluation board	1
	Victim declined to participate in a MCIO investigation	1

Support Services (continued)

D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER	FY22 Totals
<b>D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:</b>	<b>107</b>
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	2
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	24
# Relevant Data Not Available	81
<b>D2. Gender of Non-Service Members</b>	<b>107</b>
# Male	1
# Female	94
# Relevant Data Not Available	12
<b>D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>107</b>
# 0-15	2
# 16-19	8
# 20-24	18
# 25-34	5
# 35-49	2
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	7
# Relevant Data Not Available	65
<b>D4. Non-Service Member Type</b>	<b>107</b>
# DoD Civilian	4
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# US Civilian	68
# Foreign National	5
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	30
<b>D5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>282</b>
# Medical	13
# Behavioral Health	18
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	24
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	13
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	77
# DoD Safe Helpline	13
# Other	124
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>81</b>
# Medical	3
# Behavioral Health	10
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	2
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	2
# Rape Crisis Center	23
# Victim Advocate	16
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	25
<b># Cases where SAFE kits were conducted</b>	<b>11</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>E. FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report</b>	<b>3</b>
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	1
<b># Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	<b>2</b>
<b># Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:</b>	
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims</b>	<b>2</b>
# Male	0
# Female	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>2</b>
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	1
# 20-24	1
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E4. VICTIM Type</b>	<b>2</b>
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# Non-Service Member	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources</b>	<b>11</b>
# Medical	2
# Behavioral Health	1
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	1
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	1
# DoD Safe Helpline	1
# Other	4
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>4</b>
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	2
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	2
<b># Cases where SAFE kits were conducted</b>	<b>3</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

MARINE CORPS COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FY22 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY Note: These Reports are a subset of the FY22 Reports of Sexual Assault.		
<p><b>A. FY22 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members.</b>                      Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY22. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.                      This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.</p>		FY22 Totals
<b># FY22 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)</b>		3
# Service Member Victims		3
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b># Unrestricted Reports in the following categories</b>		3
# Service Member on Service Member		1
# Service Member on Non-Service Member		0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member		0
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		2
<b># Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring</b>		3
# On military installation		1
# Off military installation		2
# Unidentified location		0
<b># Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation</b>		3
<b># Victims in Investigations initiated during FY22</b>		3
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2022		1
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2022		2
<b># Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming</b>		0
<b># Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement</b>		0
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ		0
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations		0
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service		0
# Victims - Other		0
<b># All Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest received in FY22 (one Victim per report)</b>		4
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)		0
<b># Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY22</b>		4
<b>B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FOR FY22</b>		
	FY22 Totals	FY22 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report</b>	3	3
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	2	2
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	1	1
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	0	0
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	0	0
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
<b>Time of sexual assault</b>	3	3
# Midnight to 6 am	1	1
# 6 am to 6 pm	0	0
# 6 pm to midnight	2	2
# Unknown	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
<b>Day of sexual assault</b>	3	3
# Sunday	0	0
# Monday	0	0
# Tuesday	0	0
# Wednesday	0	0
# Thursday	0	0
# Friday	1	1
# Saturday	2	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

C. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY22 Totals		
	# Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2		
<b>FY22 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)</b>											
<b>UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY22</b>	<i>Penetrating Offenses</i>					<i>Contact Offenses</i>					
D. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
D1.	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
# Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
D2.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY22 Reports</b>	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
# Service Member Victims- Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
# Service Member Victims- Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST MADE IN FY22</b>											
D3. Time of sexual assault	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
# Midnight to 6 am	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
# 6 am to 6 pm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 6 am to midnight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D4. Day of sexual assault	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
# Sunday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Monday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Wednesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Friday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Saturday	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

FY22 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT. Note: The data in this section is drawn from raw, uninvestigated information about Unrestricted Reports received during FY22. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
<b>TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS</b>	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
Alghanistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bahrain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bilboudi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iraq	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jordan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kosovo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kuwait	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Qatar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somalia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Turkey	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Uae	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yemen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS</b>	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

<b>E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	
<b>E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY22 in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.	
<b># Investigations Initiated during FY22</b>	<b>3</b>
# Investigations Completed as of FY22 End (group by MCIO #)	2
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY22 End (group by MCIO #)	1
<b># Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY22</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>1</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	1
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations</b>	<b>0</b>
Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
# Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations	0
Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
# Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
# Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY22 in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY22. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY22 or any prior FY.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by Services during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>2</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>1</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	1
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
# Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service	0
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>2</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in CID investigations</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	0
<b># Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations</b>	<b>2</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	2
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	0
<b># Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

<b>E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY22 in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>0</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Subject Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victim Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY22 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as "MPs") in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>0</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Subject Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victims in MP investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in MP investigations</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victim Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>

Unrestricted in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

Victims in Investigation Completed in FY22 in Combat Areas of Interest	Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY22												
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses							Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)				
<b>F1. Gender of Victims</b>	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	
# Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>F2. Age of Victims</b>	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 20-24	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	
# 25-34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>F3. Victim Type</b>	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	
# Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>F4. Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	
# E1-E4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	
# E5-E9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>F5. Service of Service Member Victims</b>	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>F6. Status of Service Member Victims</b>	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	
# Active Duty	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	



Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

G. DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY22 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY22										FY22 Totals
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses						
	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 123)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
<b>G1. Gender of Subjects</b>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Male	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G2. Age of Subjects</b>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 20-24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 25-34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G3. Subject Type</b>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DOD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DOD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects</b>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# E1-E4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# E5-E9	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G5. Service of Service Member Subjects</b>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G6. Status of Service Member Subjects</b>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Active Duty	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY22 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY22 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY22 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY22 Totals
# Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY22, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	0		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	0		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	0		
# Subjects - Other	0		
# Subjects in investigations completed in FY22 Note: These are Subjects from Tab 1b, Cells B79, B59, B77.	2	# Victims in investigations completed in FY22	2
# Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY22	1	# Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY22	2
# Total Subjects with allegations unfounded by a Military Criminal Investigative Organization	0	# Total Victims associated with MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Non-Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Non-Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Unidentified Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0		
# Subjects with Subject data not yet available and with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Victims with Victim data not yet available and involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority	0		
# Unknown Offenders	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	0
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	0	# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	0
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	0
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	0
# Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	0	# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0	# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	0	# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	0
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0
		# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	0
# Subjects disposition data not yet available	2	# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	0
# Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2022	0	# Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available	2
# FY22 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action	0	# FY22 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action	0
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferals against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferals for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	0

Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

MARINE CORPS COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (CAI) FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
<b>A. FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports</b>	<b>4</b>
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	4
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22*</b>	<b>0</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	0
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Total Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	<b>4</b>
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	4
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories</b>	<b>4</b>
# Service Member on Service Member	0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	3
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>B. INCIDENT DETAILS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Reported sexual assaults occurring</b>	<b>4</b>
# On military installation	2
# Off military installation	2
# Unidentified location	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report</b>	<b>4</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	2
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	2
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Time of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>4</b>
# Midnight to 6 am	1
# 6 am to 6 pm	0
# 6 pm to midnight	2
# Unknown	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Day of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>4</b>
# Sunday	1
# Monday	2
# Tuesday	0
# Wednesday	0
# Thursday	1
# Friday	0
# Saturday	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims</b>	<b>4</b>
# Army Victims	1
# Navy Victims	1
# Marines Victims	2
# Air Force Victims	0
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0

Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

<b>D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>Gender of Victims</b>		<b>4</b>
# Male		3
# Female		1
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>Age of Victims at the Time of Incident</b>		<b>4</b>
# 0-15		0
# 16-19		1
# 20-24		1
# 25-34		2
# 35-49		0
# 50-64		0
# 65 and older		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>Grade of Service Member Victims</b>		<b>4</b>
# E1-E4		0
# E5-E9		2
# WO1-WO5		0
# O1-O3		1
# O4-O10		1
# Cadet/Midshipman		0
# Academy Prep School Student		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>Status of Service Member Victims</b>		<b>4</b>
# Active Duty		3
# Reserve (Activated)		1
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)		0
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student		0
# Academy Prep School Student		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>Victim Type</b>		<b>4</b>
# Service Member		4
# DoD Civilian		0
# DoD Contractor		0
# Other US Government Civilian		0
# Non-Service Member		0
# Foreign National		0
# Foreign Military		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service</b>		<b>0</b>
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18		0
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18		0
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted		0
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted		0
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted		0
<b>G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY22 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22</b>		<b>0</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22		0
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>TOTAL # FY22 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>		<b>4</b>
Afghanistan		1
Bahrain		2
Djibouti		0
Iraq		0
Jordan		1
Kosovo		0
Kuwait		0
Lebanon		0
Pakistan		0
Qatar		0
Saudi Arabia		0
Somalia		0
Syria		0
Turkey		0
Uae		0
Yemen		0
* The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.		

Support Services in Combat Areas of Interest

MARINE CORPS CAI FY22 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>	
<b>A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>26</b>
# Medical	3
# Behavioral Health	3
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)	2
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	2
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	4
# DoD Safe Helpline	2
# Other	10
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>0</b>
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># Cases where SAFE kits were conducted</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>B. FY22 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>
<b># Military Protective Orders issued during FY22</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Reported MPO Violations in FY22</b>	<b>0</b>
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	0
<i>*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk for the Victim.</i>	
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	0
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	0
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0
<b>C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>56</b>
# Medical	6
# Behavioral Health	6
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	6
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	6
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	6
# DoD Safe Helpline	6
# Other	20
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>0</b>
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># Cases where SAFE kits were conducted</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>

Support Services in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY22 Totals
<b>D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:</b>	0
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>D2. Gender of Non-Service Members</b>	0
# Male	0
# Female	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident</b>	0
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>D4. Non-Service Member Type</b>	0
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# US Civilian	0
# Foreign National	0
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>D5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories</b>	0
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	0
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	0
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	0
<b>E. FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report</b>	0
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	0
<b># Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:</b>	0
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims</b>	0
# Male	0
# Female	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident</b>	0
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E4. VICTIM Type</b>	0
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories</b>	0
<b># MILITARY Resources</b>	0
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	0
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	0











No.	Program Responsible for Investigating Retaliation Allegation(s)	Nature of Allegations Investigated for the Reporter of Retaliation	UCMJ Criminal Act for a Retaliatory Purpose in Connection with an Alleged Sex Related Offense	Reporter Type	Reporter Affiliation	Reporter Pay Grade	Reporter Gender	Retaliator Type	Retaliator Affiliation	Retaliator Pay Grade	Retaliator Gender	CMG or Command Action Regarding Alleged Retaliation Case	Findings of the Retaliation Investigation	Were the Results Provided to the Reporter?	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Narrative/Case Synopsis Notes
1	USMC IG	N/A	N/A	Military	Marine Corps	E-1	Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Action Taken	No Retaliator Identified	Yes	N/A	No Retaliator Identified
2	USMC Chain of Command	Reprisal Actions	Conspiracy Art. 80	Military	Marine Corps	E-5	Female	Military	Marine Corps	E-4	Female	No Action Taken	Allegations unsubstantiated based on administrative investigations	Yes	N/A	Two reports were made by an alleged victim of a sexual assault. The new victim made an unrestricted report that was ultimately unsubstantiated as there was not enough to establish probable cause. The new victim told NCIS that another Marine involved in the investigation collaborated with other witnesses and attempted to influence them, i.e. to change the facts of the case such as there was no underage drinking. Through follow-on interviews, the Trial Counsel and RTI determined that the alleged retaliator was forthright with all information and admitted to the underage drinking and was willingly interrogated. The retaliation was unsubstantiated as the retaliator did not lie to NCIS or attempt to influence any other witness.
3	USMC Chain of Command	N/A	N/A	Military, Military	Marine Corps, Marine Corps	E-3, E-2	Female, Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Action Taken	No Retaliator Identified	Yes	N/A	No Retaliator Identified
4	USMC IG	N/A	N/A	Military	Marine Corps	E-3	Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Action Taken	No Retaliator Identified	Yes	N/A	No Retaliator Identified
5	MEO Advisor/Representative (Marines), USMC Chain of Command	N/A	N/A	Military, Military	Marine Corps, Marine Corps	E-4, E-2	Male, Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Action Taken	No Retaliator Identified	Yes	N/A	No Retaliator Identified



## Enclosure 3: Department of the Air Force





SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE  
WASHINGTON

APR 06 2023


MEMORANDUM FOR UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR PERSONNEL AND  
READINESS

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2022 Department of Defense Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the  
Military

In response to your July 13, 2022 data call, I am forwarding the Department of the Air Force's (DAF) input to the Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Department of Defense Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military.

The DAF Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program continues to seek a reduction in sexual assault and increase victim reporting. We as a Department remain committed to ensuring comprehensive support and response for all sexual assault victims and combatting sexual assaults enterprise-wide using data-driven and research-informed prevention and accountability measures. Reports increased by 13 percent in FY 2022, the highest recorded value in the history of the DAF SAPR program. The DAF aims to close the gap between prevalence and reporting while simultaneously working to decrease prevalence of sexual violence.

The DAF remains dedicated to combatting sexual assault through a collaborative and comprehensive approach, teaming multiple functional partners together to strengthen prevention efforts and response capabilities. These increases in reporting demonstrate that while survivors are more willing to come forward, too many incidents are still occurring. This is unacceptable. We are committed to fostering a culture of dignity and respect where sexual violence is not tolerated and we must hold those who undermine our culture accountable. We are continuing to partner with industry, academia, and government agencies to identify proven approaches to preventing sexual assault and harassment.

  
Frank Kendall

Attachment:

FY 2022 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military Department of the Air Force

## FY 2022 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military Executive Summary: Department of the Air Force

The Department of the Air Force (DAF) remains committed to ensuring comprehensive support and response for all sexual assault victims and combating sexual assaults enterprise-wide using data-driven and research-informed prevention and accountability measures. We continue to partner with industry, academia, and government agencies to identify proven prevention approaches to sexual assault and harassment.

The Department's dedication to sexual assault prevention and response resulted in numerous initiatives in the Fiscal Year 2022 (FY22):

- **Independent Review Commission (IRC) on Sexual Assault in the Military** – The Secretary of Defense (SecDef) approved the recommendations made by the IRC, wherever possible, to address sexual assault and harassment in the military. The Department of Defense (DoD) developed a four-tiered implementation plan to address IRC recommendations and ensure progressive implementation, program effectiveness, and swift and thoughtful execution.  
IRC requirement efforts underway in the DAF:
  - o Co-Location of support agencies to help implement the “No Wrong Door” approach to improve ease of access in coordinating victim support.
  - o Several policies and practices (Safe-to-Report, Military Sexual Harassment Advocacy, Connect to Care, convalescent leave expansion, etc.) mutually reinforce efforts to increase victim and survivor agency and control of response processes and maximize Airmen and Guardian preferences on reporting status.
  - o The DAF is undertaking one of the most important and historical reforms to the military justice system since the inception of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ) by standing up the Office of Special Trial Counsel (OSTC). The mission of the OSTC is to provide expert, specialized, independent, and ethical representation of the United States in the investigation and trial-level litigation of covered offenses as prescribed by Article 1 (17) of the UCMJ.
- **Manpower Initiatives** – The DAF partnered with the DoD and the RAND corporation on a prevention workforce evaluation study assessing the implementation of a prevention workforce and its impact on preventing harmful behaviors, including sexual harassment and sexual assault. Additionally, the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) workforce is being restructured with increased manning in partnership with the Air Force Manpower Analysis Agency (AFMAA). Increasing the professional full-time SAPR workforce will help ensure an enduring solution to deliver prevention initiatives as well as exceptional care and support and decrease organizational and systematic reliance on collateral duty personnel.

- **Victim Assistance and Advocacy** – While remaining focused on exceptional victim care and support, the DAF has implemented the following advocacy initiatives:
  - o Sexual Harassment advocacy: The DAF implemented policy on 30 September 2022, to allow Confidential reporting of sexual harassment for military personnel and provide advocacy services throughout DAF-wide SAPR offices.
  - o Safe to Report: A Secretary of the Air Force (SecAF) memorandum released on August 25, 2022, immediately initiated the Safe-to-Report policy which offers certain protections to sexual assault victims who commit minor collateral misconduct related to a sexual assault incident. It seeks to encourage victims who might not otherwise report due to fear of disciplinary action.
  - o Convalescent Leave: DAF Instruction (DAFI) 36-3003 was updated in April of 2022 to include an option for non-chargeable leave for Airmen and Guardians with a restricted or unrestricted report of sexual assault.

Although we face challenges, the DAF will continue to focus on providing a world-class prevention and response capability to accelerate our progress toward Air and Space Forces free from sexual assault. We are continuously learning and understanding that preventing and responding to sexual assault requires leadership focus and is a vital command responsibility. We hold military and civilian leaders accountable for the climates in the organizations they lead. Prevention is “cross-cutting,” and we recognize the overlap of risk and protective factors across the different forms of interpersonal and self-directed violence (ISDV).

Sexual assault is a crime that undermines force lethality, readiness, and mission success. Through engaged and equipped Service members and leaders at all levels, we are committed to preventing and reducing sexual assault while ensuring that victims receive care and perpetrators are held accountable.

**1. Goal 1 Prevention: “institutionalize evidenced based, informed prevention practice and policies across the Department so that all Military Service members are treated with dignity and respect, and have the knowledge, tools, and support needed to prevent sexual assaults.**

**1.1 Efforts to Address Approved Independent Review Commission (IRC) on Sexual Assault in the Military Recommendations and the Prevention Plan of Action (PPoA):**

**Identify major actions completed or underway to address the approved recommendations of the IRC Lines of Effort listed below.**

- a. **Line of Effort 2: Prevention – Specifically address actions undertaken during FY 2022 to address the IRC prevention recommendations, the initiatives required under the SecDef’s Immediate Actions Memorandum and follow-on guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, as well as the 2019-2023 PPoA. Address your efforts under each of the requirements listed below:**
  - **Immediate Action 1. Assess Compliance with Sexual Assault and Harassment Policies and Integrated Violence Prevention Efforts**

- Immediate Action 2. Conduct Evaluation at High-Risk Installations
- Immediate Action 3. Establish a Violence Prevention Workforce
- 2019-2023 Prevention Plan of Action (Phase III Execution and Phase IV Evaluation)

**b. Line of Effort 3: Climate and Culture**

**(Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (OUSD(P&R) Memorandum, “Execution of the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention Plan of Action,” (April 26, 2019) / 2019-2023 DoD Prevention Plan of Action (April 2019) / Secretary of Defense (SecDef) Memorandum, “Immediate Actions to Counter Sexual Assault and Harassment and the Establishment of a 90-Day Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military,” (February 26, 2021) / OUSD(P&R) Memorandum, “Implementation of Immediate Actions to Counter Sexual Assault and Harassment in the Military,” (March 22, 2021) / SecDef Memorandum, “Department of Defense Actions and Implementation Guidance to Address Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment in the Military,” (July 2, 2021) / SecDef Memorandum, “Commencing DoD Actions and Implementation to Address Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment in the Military: Independent Review Commission Recommendation-Implementation Roadmap,” (September 22, 2021))**

DAF completed the Prevention Plan of Action 2.0 Self-Assessment, which identified areas of expansion, and established working groups to determine roles and responsibilities for each directorate at headquarters. The expansion of the Prevention Workforce in the field makes them more accessible to leadership and more readily able to integrate available research into briefings and prevention initiatives. DAF’s prevention strategy is being updated to include approach capacities (efficacy, skills, attitudes, and behaviors) and to ensure the overall approach targets risk and protective factors at all levels of the socio-ecological model purposely. Integrated efforts are institutionalized through the DAF’s Community Action Board and Community Action Team forums at all levels (headquarters, Major Command (MAJCOM), and installation) to ensure cross-functional and comprehensive data collection, training, and initiatives that target identified focus areas to strengthen and reinforce implementation of prevention activities and programs. Leaders are working to reinforce healthy climates, establish methods to incentivize behaviors that contribute to the health of their organization (e.g., check ins with Service members about stress and basic needs), and promote accountability and appropriate response to negative behaviors that are not aligned with our core values and ideals.

**1.2 Future Plans: Identify any major leadership-approved initiatives your Military Service/NGB is undertaking in support of prevention. Identify key considerations or obstacles that are currently affecting the implementation of prevention initiatives.**

**Line of Effort 2: Prevention**

**Immediate Action 1: Assess Compliance with Sexual Assault and Harassment Policies and Integrated Violence Prevention Efforts**

DAF is working diligently to institutionalize evidence-based, informed prevention practices and policies across the force. Initiatives work to reinforce a foundational norm in which Airmen and Guardians are treated with dignity and respect and are equipped with the



knowledge, tools, and support needed to prevent sexual assault. Headquarters level personnel have increased but are not yet fully staffed to allow them to devote the time necessary to facilitate a comprehensive approach. Additional training is required to further enhance the knowledge of Headquarters-level personnel before they can be fully utilized as subject matter experts. Full on-boarding is expected to continue, and priority on gaps have been identified; HAF will provide oversight to the prevention workforce in the field with established roles and responsibilities outlined in policy.

### **Immediate Action 2: Conduct Evaluation at High-Risk Installations**

On-Site Installation Evaluations (OSIE) have been planned across the DAF and will be carried out in FY23. The DAF sites for the evaluations are Malmstrom Air Force Base (AFB), MT and Los Angeles Space Force Base (SFB), CA. Sites were identified based on risk index scores that captured leading indicators of harmful behaviors or mitigating factors. Other site considerations included minimizing sites with recent visits and capturing a diverse selection, including geographically isolated and overseas locations, reserve units, and a range of unit sizes and types. The Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness will lead the OSIE visits and coordinate with members of the Prevention Collaboration Forum, Military Departments, Services, and the National Guard Bureau to plan and execute site visits. Site visits will take place January - April 2023. A report of findings and recommendations will be prepared and delivered to the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness no later than September 30, 2023.

### **Prevention/Immediate Action 3**

Prevention Workforce Development –

Integrated Prevention Course (IPC) at Air University (AU): AFPC/DPFZ (Integrated Resilience Division), in coordination with AU Force Support Development School, is developing standardized initial training for the DAF prevention workforce; personnel will be required to complete DAF-specific training following DoD SPARX Knowledge and within 12 months post-hire. IPC is a two-part hybrid course, part I is in-residence (IR) with 33 hours of facilitated content and part II is distance learning (DL) through CANVAS tailored to specific roles and responsibilities. 50+ IR/DL modules in development for completion by end of CY22 to support FY23 Q2 course roll out.

Digital Badge capability: DPFZ partnered with Air Education and Training Command (AETC) to establish a comprehensive digital badging capability for prevention workforce. In coordination with a professional marketing contractor, 28 digital badges were designed covering nine specific job skills. Digital Badges enable the DAF prevention workforce to track, display, and share digital credentials that highlight knowledge, skills, and expertise in prevention. The digital badge capability is expected to be fully implemented in CY23.

### **Line of Effort 3: Climate and Culture**

A training gap analysis is being coordinated with AETC to implement IRC recommendations on educating the force about sexual harassment and sexual assault. This analysis will ensure training content has a revitalized focus on the Services' core values and the Guardian Ideal. An emphasis is placed on assessing training content for emerging leaders in Professional Military Education (PME) (Non-Commissioned Officers

and Company Grade Officers), commanders, and senior enlisted leaders within the context of educating on cyber sexual harassment, an appropriate response to sexual assault and sexual harassment, and building command climates for the reduction of sexual assault and sexual harassment.

Additionally, the DAF is implementing, Proficiency-Based Tailored Training (PBTT). This training method tailors content and discussions for each total-force Airman and Guardian. Training is tailored based on each participant's grade, leadership role, and level of responsibilities needed for the position. PBTT helps maximize the DAF's knowledge and skills to address essential ISDV prevention and response efforts. Currently, Suicide Prevention and Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Annual Training have implemented PBTT with tiered training tailored for "Emerging Leaders" (GS-11, Captain, Technical Sergeant, and below) and "Senior Leaders" (GS-12, Major, Master Sergeant, and above). Ultimately, PBTT will look to modernize prevention education and skill-building to reflect today's generation of Service members.

A1ZR is currently conducting a review of existing research and methods to assist in training development of cyber-harassment and technology-facilitated sexual harassment and sexual assault. DPFZ is collaborating with civilian partners to develop training and awareness tools for DAF upper echelon and Airmen and Guardians.

### **Commander Accountability**

FY13/15 NDAA's and IRC recommendation 3.5.b asked for evaluation and accountability of commanders on climate, handling of sexual assault and sexual harassment allegations, and facilitating open channels for reporting without fear of retaliation. DAF will meet intent for both action requests through an addition to the evaluation policy. Commanders will have an added performance statement to their evaluations; the development of the "climate" narrative section will be added under the "Rater" evaluation and will look different from non-commanders, emphasizing the importance of unit climate data and responsible actions in response. Implementation is targeted for FY23.

### **Leadership Prevention Messaging**

Gap analysis will include developing senior leadership knowledge and skills such as understanding sexual assault and sexual harassment, Public Health Science on online dating, sexting and hookup culture, the concepts of healthy masculinity and healthy relationships, consent, and shared risk and protective factors. Skill development will include communicating discussions around complex topics, applying standards for a healthy climate and culture to units that may have fewer women or special populations, applying evidence-informed interventions, leading prevention activities, developing collaborative relationships, healthy interpersonal skills, emotional intelligence, mitigating risk factors, encouraging protective factors, and healthy decision making.

**2. Goal 2 Victim Assistance & Advocacy: "deliver consistent and effective advocacy and care for all military Service members or their adult dependents, such that it empowers them to report assaults, promotes recovery, facilitates dignified and respectful treatment, and restores military readiness."**

**2.1 Strategic Summary:** Summarize your efforts to achieve the Victim Assistance & Advocacy goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes and/or initiatives begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2022. As applicable, include significant updates to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes (e.g., Safe-to-Report policy); Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) and Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocate (SAPR VA) training and how the effectiveness of this training is evaluated; manpower and resource capabilities and/or shortfalls; SAPR personnel certifications/continuing education/background checks; resources/products to support victims, retaliation reporters, and responders; victim medical and mental health services; sexual assault victim and retaliation reporter care; gender-responsive outreach and care; collaboration with civilian and military victim response organizations and academic experts (e.g., warm handoffs of Service members requiring assistance); SAPR training improvements for the force (e.g., junior officer (O1-O2), mid-level enlisted (E4-E6), and junior enlisted training on appropriate actions to report and respond to sexual assault). There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 2.2 through 2.12 below. **(DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, p. 7 / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response: Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 2, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response: Education and Training,” (April 9, 2021)) / National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for FY2011, Section 1631**

DAFI 36-3003 was updated in April of 2022 to include an option for convalescent (non-chargeable) leave for Airmen and Guardians who have a restricted or unrestricted report of sexual assault. The Service member can request leave that can be used consecutively or to receive support or allow time for recovery, which increases a survivor’s agency, autonomy, and choice.

A SecAF memorandum released August 25, 2022, implemented the Safe-to-Report policy. The policy’s intent is to encourage the reporting of sexual assault by military members who may be hesitant to come forward because they fear discipline for collateral misconduct, negative career impacts, or retaliation. The policy provides certain DAF disciplinary protections to military-member sexual assault victims who commit minor collateral misconduct related to a reported sexual assault incident and applies whether the sexual assault investigation and/or prosecution is handled by military or civilian authorities. DAF Equal Opportunity and SAPR offices coordinated collaborative efforts to align each program’s policy and procedures to implement Sec 532 of FY21 NDAA and IRC Cross Cutting Recommendation 1: Confidential reporting of sexual harassment for military personnel and to make sexual harassment victims eligible for SAPR advocacy services. Military sexual harassment reporting and advocacy services with SAPR do not replace Military Equal Opportunity complaint processes but do expand options for care and/or support for Airmen and Guardians who experience incidents of sexual harassment.

AFMAA conducted a manpower study and analysis (February 2022 – August 2022) on the SAPR workforce for installation level personnel. AFMAA key findings included: SARC and SAPR VA workload requirements exceed capability of current authorizations and installation population, and demographics are a major workload factor. DAF’s initial manning increase of SAPR assets is insufficient and an expanded full-time professional

SAPR workforce is needed across all echelons to enhance victim care, meet all program requirements, and successfully execute the SAPR mission.

DPFZ hosted professional development seminars for DAF Integrated Resilience prevention and response personnel, virtually from September 12-16, 2022. There were approximately 7.5K participants across 50 professional development seminars and awarded over 14,400 Continuing Education Units (CEUs).

Other training delivered in 2022 included: Safe to Report Policy and Sexual Harassment Advocacy training. DPFZ also partnered with the DoD Safe Helpline to host the Safehelp 103 course and provided a webinar with the assistance of DoD SAPRO for a policy question and answer session.

Webinars and sessions are followed by post assessment to receive CEU credit; this ensures the material is well understood by all participants.

HAF/A1Z developed a Connect to Care framework to create a standardized process of providing support to individuals, family members and groups across the DAF-wide continuum of care. A1Z also led Connect to Care facilitator training for 100 personnel DAF-wide.

Lastly, the DAF completed the final report for junior officer and enlisted leader's education and training and revised curriculum. This ensures DAF junior leadership's ability to influence a positive workplace climate, while understanding their critical role in the prevention of and response to a variety of issues including, but not limited to, sexual harassment, sexual assault, suicide, and domestic violence.

See 2.11 for more IRC related initiatives.

**2.2 SARCs and SAPR VA Suspension, Revocation, and Reinstatement: Without providing personally identifiable information, how many SARCs and SAPR VAs in your Military Service/NGB received a suspension? A revocation? A reinstatement? (Identify how many SARCs and SAPR VAs for each category). (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, Objective 2.1, p. 8 / DoDI 6495.03, “Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP),” (February 28, 2020), sections 3.6 & 3.7, p. 11-15)**

During FY22, the DAF SAPR Program had 11 suspensions and 4 revocations as follows:

- Suspensions – 1 Civ SARC; 10 Volunteer Victim Advocates (7 Active Duty and 3 ANG)
- Revocations – 1 Civ SARC; 3 Volunteer Victim Advocates (2 Active Duty and 1 Civ)

There was 1 reinstatement approved and 1 reinstatement denied during this period.

**2.3 Sexual Assault Forensic Exam (SAFE) Kits: Was the medical care of any Service member hindered due to the lack of a SAFE kit, timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources, or other resources? If yes, explain and address how many times this occurred and what actions were taken to mitigate the issue? (Note: This answer should be consistent with the number reported in the Victim Services matrices). As related to reports of sexual assault, were there any issues at medical**

treatment facilities with the availability of supplies for testing and treatment for sexually transmitted infections and diseases, including HIV, and testing for pregnancy? (NDAA for FY 2006, section 596 / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), Encl 8)

There have been zero reported cases of hinderance to care due to lack of SAFE kits, lack of timely access to lab testing resources or other resources within the DAF's Military Treatment Facilities (MTFs).

DAF has not reported any complications with availability of lab testing supplies within the MTFs.

**2.4 Military Protective Orders: How many Military Protective Orders (MPOs) were issued as a result of an Unrestricted Report? Were any victim requests for an MPO denied? If so, what was the reason? How many MPOs were violated by the alleged offender? Indicate if the victim(s) and the alleged offender(s) were provided with copies of the DD Form 2873, "Military Protective Order," as required. (NDAA for FY 2010, section 567 / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), Encl 5, para 8)**

48 - MPO's requested, 2- Denied (Subject moved, Victim changed their mind)  
46 - Issued  
1 - Violated by alleged offender  
DD form 2873 provided yes - 46

**2.5 Appropriate Care in Deployed Environments: What steps did your Military Service/NGB take in FY 2022 to ensure trained personnel, appropriate supplies, and transportation resources are accessible to deployed units to provide an appropriate and timely response in any case of a reported sexual assault in a deployed unit, location, or environment? (NDAA for FY 2011, section 1631)**

Once identified by DAF Readiness, the forensic medical provider attends Army Center of Excellence sexual assault medical forensic examiner (SAMFE) training and is certified to care for patients who report for sexual assault in the deployed setting. The DAF sexual assault advisor ensures the deployed MTF has an up to date, comprehensive program that includes a current Expeditionary Medical Group Instruction addressing transportation and evacuation needs, supplies, and resources. Air Force Medical Service has funded training for 15 SAMFEs to the deployed environment in support of Airmen and Guardians. To date, there have been no reports received for inadequate equipment, training, or ineffective evacuation plans. Additionally, the Defense Health Agency offers continuing education, monthly webinars, online refresher training and other training options.

**2.6 Victim Expedited Transfer Request Oversight in Monthly Case Management Group (CMG): Provide the number and CMG-documented circumstances of ALL Expedited Transfers taking longer than 30 calendar days (i.e., tracking of the number of days between the approval date of a victim's request for Expedited Transfer and the date the victim physically departs the losing station (Permanent Change of Station), or the date the victim changes duty assignment location (Permanent Change of Assignment)). Were High-Risk Response Teams initiated when circumstances indicated that the transfer delay appreciably increased risk of harm to the victim? (Deputy Secretary of Defense (DSD) Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI**

**6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), Encl 9, para 2b(4))**

The DAF documented 61 instances in which an Expedited Transfer (ET) exceeded 30 days. General circumstances of the instances include the following:

- Victim preferred/requested additional time to accommodate personal circumstances
- Delays in household goods pack out, airline ticketing, or other out-processing tasks
- Assignment requests with an active duty military spouse (DAF or other Service)
- Exceptional Family Member Program considerations
- Administrative procedures such as erroneous assignment codes
- Timeline extended due to victim requests for cross-training

Of note, most DAF overseas locations reported ETs exceeding 30 days due to the amount of time needed to out process and coordinate personal arrangements. Additionally, some overseas locations mandate members travel via military transportation, which may only happen once a month at given locations.

There were no documented cases in which High-Risk Response Teams were initiated due to an increased risk of harm to the victim because of the transfer delay.

**2.7 Expedited Transfer Victim Mandatory Intake Meeting: How is your Military Service/NGB verifying the occurrence of the mandatory "intake" meetings between the sexual assault victim and the gaining SARC upon arrival at the new installation for ALL Expedited Transfers?\* Through your verification, were there any instances noted where these meetings did not occur? What actions were taken to correct these occurrences? \*Please note that the revised DD Form 2910, Victim Reporting Preference Statement," states that victim consent is no longer needed for the automatic transfer of the case to the gaining SARC in Expedited Transfers. (DSD Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), Encl 5, para 6a(4))**

DPFZ regularly communicates with the MAJCOM/Field Command (FLDCOM) SAPR Program Managers to discuss new program requirements or enhancements as well as review barriers to achieving program requirements—to include mandatory intake meetings. DAF includes training for policies and procedures for ET in the SARC and SAPR VA foundational courses and outlines expected objectives for the "intake" meetings, such as available services and resources and how to facilitate conversations to help connect victims with support services. Additionally, ET procedures are included in the annual by-law inspections of DAF installation SAPR programs.

There were instances in which mandatory intake meetings did not occur. General circumstances of these instances included the following: Reluctance of victims to meet with new SAPR personnel or attend scheduled intake meetings and incidents in which the losing

SARCs did not inform the gaining SARCs of the victims' scheduled arrival. Actions taken to correct some of these occurrences include communication with the "owning" MAJCOM/FLDCOM SAPR Program Managers of the losing SARCs to reinforce policy and procedures, as well as increased time and focus to discuss the mandatory meetings during initial and refresher training events.

**2.8 Quarterly CMG Meetings: How is your Military Service/NGB verifying the occurrence of the mandatory of Quarterly CMG Meetings (that are required in addition to the monthly CMG meetings)? Through your verification, were there any instances noted where these meetings did not occur? What actions, if any, were taken to correct these occurrences? How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that the Quarterly CMG Chair is reviewing the meeting notes and tracking the progress to correct systematic issues? (DSD Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), Encl 9)**

DAF includes training for policies and procedures for quarterly CMG meetings in the SARC and SAPR VA foundational courses and outlines who should attend and what should be covered during the meetings—including the review of meeting notes. Additionally, CMG procedures are included in the annual inspections of DAF installation SAPR programs, monthly oversight from the MAJCOM SAPR PMs in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID), and monthly meetings with the SARCs. DPFZ is currently in the process of enhancing the current CMG training by adding DAF-specific training and tools for utilization by SAPR personnel.

There were instances in which the quarterly CMGs did not occur, largely due to: weather conditions, strict overseas quarantine guidelines, personnel turnover, and leadership availability. One action taken to correct these instances include scheduling quarterly meetings at the very start of each quarter to accommodate scheduling or other issues. Another action taken was scheduling the quarterly CMG meeting every quarter on the same date of the monthly CMG but holding it prior to the monthly CMG meeting.

**2.9 Expanded Eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the Catch a Serial Offender (CATCH) Program: How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL SARCs and SAPR VAs have been trained on and are familiar with the expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting (e.g., ability for victims to make a restricted report even if the assault is disclosed through their chain of command, but not personally to law enforcement) and the CATCH Program (i.e., ability for victims who made an Unrestricted Report to utilize the CATCH Program when the name of the subject was not disclosed or discovered during an investigation)? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your SARCs and SAPR VAs? (DSD Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault**

**Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 4)**

DPFZ hosted DAF training on expanded eligibility. Additionally, content is included in AU SARC/VA courses and in Total Force Annual SAPR training. In addition to the DAF-wide training, DAF coordinated with DoD SAPRO to conduct multiple training sessions on program updates—including the expanded eligibility for restricted reporting.

DPFZ also maintains the public DAF Resilience webpage and a DAF SharePoint, which include information on both expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the CATCH Program. Additionally, recorded trainings are available for SAPR personnel to rewatch on demand.

New policy updates are provided to SAPR personnel through targeted electronic communication by the MAJCOM/FLDCOM SAPR Program Managers regularly. DAF has not identified any training issues for SARCs or SAPR VAs.

**2.10 Eligibility to File a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and Requesting the Return of Personal Property Utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, “Return of Victim’s Personal Property in Restricted Reporting Sexual Assault Cases Collected During a SAFE:”**

**How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL SARCs and SAPR VAs have been trained on and are familiar with the eligibility of a victim to file a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and request the return of personal property, utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, relinquished as part of the SAFE and after filing a Restricted Report? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your SARCs and SAPR VAs? (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), para 4ab(4) & Encl 4, para 1d)**

DAF coordinated with DoD SAPRO to conduct multiple training sessions to ensure all SARCs and SAPR VAs were trained on all new program updates—including the Section 540k Declination Letter and the return of victims’ personal property. DPFZ also maintains the public DAF Resilience webpage and a DAF SharePoint which include information on these updated policy items. Any new policy updated are provided to SAPR personnel through targeted electronic communication through the MAJCOM/FLDCOM SAPR Program Managers on a regular basis. Additionally, this new content is included in AU SARC/VA foundational course and in Total Force Annual SAPR training to ensure widest dissemination.

DAF has not identified any training issues for SARCs or SAPR VAs.

**2.11 Efforts to Address Approved Independent Review Commission (IRC)**

**Recommendations: Identify major actions completed or underway to address the approved recommendations of IRC Line of Effort 4: Victim Care and Support. Focus response on IRC efforts undertaken during FY 2022. (See the requirements listed in question 1.1)**



DAF piloted a physical co-location of centralized support with SAPR, Family Advocacy Program, sexual harassment advocacy, Victims' Counsel, and religious support personnel to improve ease of access in the coordination of victim support. Data from the pilot (August 2022 - January 2023) will inform opportunity to expand DAF-wide.

Implemented "No Wrong Door" approach and developed the Connect to Care Toolkit to ensure continuity of care and referrals to supportive services are accomplished in-person, virtually, or telephonically, based on the individual's preference. Connect to Care aims to eliminate stove-piped, isolated efforts in favor of centralized and enhanced connections that increase timely individual and system coordination. Increased independence and focus on prioritizing victim care and support by moving SARCs and SAPR VAs from the command reporting structure. DAF is working on an administrative control and operational control supervisory structure to ensure adherence to professional and ethical advocacy standards and that SAPR expertise is emphasized for SAPR chain of command.

A coordinated timeline for the elimination of collateral duty SAPR victim advocates (September 2024), with exceptions for identified isolated locations, builds DAF's capacity to transition this cadre of Airmen and Guardians to support the prevention of sexual assault. The sunseting of collateral duty advocates ensures a sufficient increase to professional full-time SAPR workforce to deliver exceptional care and decreases organizational and systematic reliance on collateral duty personnel for the complexities of sexual violence.

Several policies and practices (Safe-to-Report, Military Sexual Harassment Advocacy, Connect to Care, convalescent leave, etc.) mutually reinforce efforts to increase victim and survivor agency and control of response processes and maximize Airmen and Guardian preferences on reporting status and preferences in expedited transfers with no time on station requirements or waivers needed to process ET.

Updated and modernized sexual assault and sexual harassment training modules throughout PME emphasize appropriate response and active prevention of violence and harm. Increased knowledge, skill building and application of leadership training in real world scenarios provide opportunities to understand the continuum of harm, effects of trauma, and align our values and actions to secure a right-sized response or consequence on a parallel continuum of accountability.

Prevention and response are interdependent, and DAF is committed to responding to sexual violence, supporting those who are most impacted, and cultivating conditions that increase protective factors to interrupt and prevent future harm and violence. DAF believes in an integrated approach to explore the roots of sexual violence and is committed to investing in comprehensive approaches to end sexual assault. A larger prevention workforce will evaluate and assess the impact and effectiveness of prevention initiatives, analyze data, and develop innovative and targeted efforts for individuals experiencing sexual violence, individuals causing sexual violence and the environments that discourage violence intervention and prevention.

**2.12 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Victim Assistance and Advocacy goal.**

DAF future plans include increase of SAPR workforce across the echelons and new reporting and oversight structures for the SAPR chain of command that acknowledges and elevates expertise and best practices in the field of professional advocacy services. SAPR prevention and response efforts will focus on data-driven and research-informed action. Increased manning will provide relief for a dedicated surge capacity with program vacancies and innovative solutions for isolated locations to ensure a robust response capability.

### **3. Goal 3 Investigation: “sustain a high level of competence in the investigation of adult sexual assault using investigative resources to yield timely results.”**

**3.1 Strategic Summary:** Summarize your efforts for achieving the Investigation goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes and/or initiatives begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2022. As applicable, include significant updates made to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes; investigative resources (e.g., crime scene processing, evidence accountability, and hotlines) and manpower capabilities; training for military criminal investigators, law enforcement personnel, and/or first responders (e.g., new equipment training, interview techniques, and the CATCH Program) and how the effectiveness of this training is evaluated; Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability for Military Criminal Investigative Organizations (e.g., investigator and prosecutor coordination); case timeliness and quality reviews; sexual assault-related retaliation investigations; and information sharing within DoD and other organizations (e.g., federal and/or local civilian law enforcement). There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 3.2 through 3.6 below. **(DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 3 – Investigation, p. 9 / NDAA for FY 2020, section 540J)**

In FY22, the Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) staffed 21 specialized sexual assault investigators (Special Victims’ Investigations and Prosecutions (SVIP) agents), to support compliance with SVIP capability requirements. AFOSI strategically assigned SVIP agents across the globe to maximize capabilities at locations with historically higher occurrences of sexual assaults allegations.

AFOSI submitted a request in the FY23 Program Objective Memorandum (POM) cycle for critical programmed investments in sexual assault and other violent crime investigations for AFOSI. The request was based upon congressional and DoD requirements and the dramatic increases of caseload and evidentiary demands to AFOSI since the original SVIP billets were provided. AFOSI received no additional manning for the SVIP or violent crimes program through the POM process. However, through Congressional engagement, AF/A1 reprogramming action, and a manning request through the Independent Review Commission AFOSI received 133 billets to be filled in FY23 and FY24. These additional 133 billets will directly support AFOSI’s violent crime mission, which includes all SVIP offenses. In FY22, AFOSI drafted and submitted an allocation plan for the 133 billets based on AFOSI’s violent crime case load over the past five years. In FY 22, AFOSI advertised 76 of these new billets and are pending hiring and personnel actions.

AFOSI agents receive their initial training on sexual offense investigations at AFOSI's Basic Special Investigator's Course and receive advanced training through AFOSI's Sexual Crimes Investigations Training Program (SCITP). In FY22, the course continued to be a high priority for agents who filled a SVIP billet as well as field teams at locations with higher occurrences of alleged sex assaults/violent crimes. Although many COVID restrictions eased in FY22, the Federal Law Enforcement Center (FLETC), where SCITP is executed, shut down periodically during the FY. Despite these setbacks AFOSI executed five SCITP courses, with three courses executed off campus when FLETC shut down. In total, AFOSI trained 148 AFOSI agents and two Judge Advocates through SCITP in FY22.

For FY22, the DAF received 565 requests for CATCH Passwords, and 228 entries were submitted into the CATCH database. Two CATCH entries resulted in a potential match to an existing investigation and no CATCH entries resulted in a potential match to another CATCH entry. AFOSI continues to participate in monthly Military Criminal Investigative Organization (MCIO) meetings to discuss the matches and challenges that arise within the CATCH program.

AFOSI opened approximately 1027 adult sex assault investigations in FY22. AFOSI adjusted the process of reviewing closed investigations to ensure investigative sufficiency. Prior to FY22 HQ AFOSI would review 10 percent of closed sex crimes investigations. In FY22 this responsibility was turned over to the lower-level regional staff who are responsible for a specific area of responsibility. The regional staff now conduct a 100 percent review of SVIP investigations within 45 days of case opening and prior to closure to ensure sufficiency. Additionally, the review process is inspected by AFOSI IG during the Unit Effectiveness Inspection. This change in protocol and a shift from a HQ to a region level review ensures more sufficient cases and identifies gaps while the investigation is ongoing so that the gaps can be addressed at the time instead of after the closure of the investigation.

In FY22, AFOSI did not investigate any sexual assault retaliation/reprisal investigations. Any retaliation/reprisal allegations are reported to SAF/IG for investigation.

**3.2 Expanded Eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the CATCH Program: How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL MCIO investigators and military law enforcement personnel have been trained on and are familiar with the expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting (e.g., ability for victims to make a restricted report even if the assault is disclosed through their chain of command, but not personally to law enforcement) and the CATCH Program (i.e., ability for victims who made an Unrestricted Report to utilize the CATCH Program when the name of the subject was not disclosed or discovered during an investigation)? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your MCIO investigators and military law enforcement personnel? (DSD Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), Encl 4)**

All AFOSI Agents are required to take annual SAPR training. This training covers the expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting requirements. This training is tracked in the DoD Online Database, Joint Knowledge Online (JKO) and is fed into a AFOSI database that provides the status of all AFOSI training. AFOSI leadership teams have access to this database, so they can regularly check the status of their personnel's training. The expanded Restricted Reporting was informally added to SCITP in FY22 and will become part of the formal curriculum in AFOSI's basic and advanced course FY23.

**3.3 Requests for "Section 540K Declination Letter" and Requesting the Return of Personal Property Utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, "Return of Victim's Personal Property in Restricted Reporting Sexual Assault Cases Collected During a SAFE:"** Provide the number of "Section 540K Declination Letter" requests that your Military Service/NGB received in FY 2022. If provided, include a summary of the reason(s) for the request (no more than the top three reasons are sufficient). Also, did any investigations continue (despite there being a "Section 540K Declination Letter" from the victim) and what was/were the reason(s) why? How many requests did your Military Service/NGB receive, utilizing the DD Form 2910-3, for the return of personal property? (DSD Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), para 4ab(4) & Encl 4, para 1d)

Approximately 100 540K Declination Letters were uploaded into AFOSI's Case Management System in FY22. Thirty-six investigations continued despite the receipt of a 540K Declination Letter. The primary reason for the investigation continuing was the fact the offender's identity was known to AFOSI or logical investigative leads revealed the identity of the alleged offender. Eight of the thirty-six cases continued because the crime was not an eligible offense, specifically that of domestic violence (strangulation and sex crimes) in which the offender was known to AFOSI due to the relationship between the victim and the offender.

AFOSI developed procedures that allow for return of personal property to victims who have filed a Restricted Report and provide a DD Form 2910-3, signed by a SARC or SAPR VA and bearing the RRCN of the SAFE Kit. However, at this time AFOSI does not have a way to capture the number of DD Form 2910-3s processed by the agency because the forms, in accordance with DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, are maintained with the remaining evidence associated with the report.

**3.4 Eligibility to File a "Section 540K Declination Letter" and Requesting the Return of Personal Property Utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, "Return of Victim's Personal Property in Restricted Reporting Sexual Assault Cases Collected During a SAFE:"** How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL MCIO investigators and military law enforcement personnel have been trained on and are familiar with the eligibility of a victim to file a "Section 540K Declination Letter" and request the return of personal property, utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, relinquished as part of the SAFE and after filing a Restricted Report? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your MCIO investigators and law enforcement

**personnel? (DSD Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), para 4ab(4) & Encl 4, para 1d)**

All AFOSI Agents are required to take annual SAPR training. This training covers the eligibility of a victim to file a Section 540K Declination Letter and the return of personal property, utilizing a DD Form 2910-3. This training is tracked in the DoD Online Database, JKO and is fed into a AFOSI database that provides the status of all AFOSI training. AFOSI leadership teams have access to this database, so they can regularly check the status of their personnel's training.

**3.5 Evidence Processing Challenges: Describe any evidence processing challenges at the Defense Forensic Science Center (e.g., shipping delays and turnaround time for processing of SAFE kits and other evidence) and actions taken to address or mitigate these challenges. (Joint Chiefs of Staff Strategic Direction to the Joint Force on SAPR (May 7, 2012), p. 11)**

The average turnaround time for sexual assault evidence from the U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Laboratory (USACIL) was approximately 50 days (average in FY21 was 85 days). The diagnostic lab technicians, staff, and AFOSI agents have recovered from the pandemic slowly. In addition, operational changes and personnel gains at USACIL have resulted in the median turn-around time for sexual assault evidence declining each quarter in FY22 (67 days in Q1 vs 33 days in Q2).

Digital evidence in sexual assault investigations is often critical to the military justice system. In FY22, AFOSI Digital Forensic Examiners (DFC) consulted with filed units 627 times regarding digital evidence. 63 percent of the consultations (391 consults) directly supported Sex Offenses. This resulted in AFOSI Digital Forensic Examiners analyzing 148 digital devices or 81TB of data in support of Sex Offenses. This was 52 percent of all digital items (327 items/157TB) examined by AFOSI DFCs during FY22. Furthermore, the quantity and capacity of digital examinations increased 64 percent from FY21. AFOSI examinations during FY22 took on average 11 days to complete with an average transit time of 10 days for the requested item to arrive. In FY22, 34 items of evidence that required advanced laboratory tools or were voluminous in items or size were submitted to DoD Cyber Crime Center/ Cyber Forensics Laboratory (DC3/CFL) for analysis. The average turn-around time for DC3 analysis was approximately 39 days.

**3.6 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Investigation goal.**

AFOSI submitted a request in the FY23 POM cycle for critical programmed investments in sexual assault and other violent crime investigations for AFOSI, based upon national and DoD requirements, and caseload increases, and AFOSI evidentiary demands. Through other avenues, AFOSI was provided 133 Violent Crimes billets to be executed during FY23 and FY24. One hundred eleven billets out of the 133 will be agent billets. AFOSI drafted and submitted an allocation plan to ensure the new billets are placed where they are most needed based on overall sexual crimes investigations per location. In FY 22, AFOSI

advertised 76 of these new billets and are pending hiring and personnel actions. The remaining FY24 billets will be advertised in the last quarter of FY23.

AFOSI is planning a tiered approach for certification and specialization of our SVIP agent workforce and ensuring that every AFOSI geographic location, at a minimum, has capability for basic competency to provide an initial response to a sex crimes allegation, while simultaneously investing in intermediate and advanced training for SVIP agents who are serving on violent crimes teams throughout all DAF installations. This approach ensures compliance with congressional and DoD mandates.

A review of AFOSI's advanced sex crimes training program revealed gaps in areas of child abuse and Interpersonal Violence. To address these gaps AFOSI built a certification program, using SCITP as the foundation for the curriculum. The new course, to be relabeled Violent Crimes Investigations Training Program (VCITP) will retain all of the sex crimes curriculum, established via DoDI 5505.18, "Investigation of Adult Sexual Assault in the Department of Defense," Incorporating Change 3, November 10, 2021. Additionally, VCITP will include other SVIP topics such as child abuse and Intimate Partner Violence as prescribed in DoDI 5505.19, "Establishment of Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution (SVIP) Capability within the Military Criminal Investigative Organizations (MCIOs)," Incorporating Change 2, March 23, 2017. AFOSI completed the approval and planning process as well as the curriculum build in FY22 with the execution phase to begin in FY23. Finally, AFOSI is working on the curriculum for an advanced child abuse course that would be offered to agents upon successful graduation from the updated SCITP course. This advanced training is currently being developed with plans for a pilot course scheduled for FY23.

AFOSI created a new leadership training course, the Field Leadership Management Seminar (FLMS) to be implemented in FY23. This course is designed for individuals being assigned to field leadership positions who will be responsible for all investigations and operations at their designated field unit. FLMS' major topic area is SVIP investigations and will give AFOSI field leaders the key elements for conducting SVIP investigations from the leadership and management perspective.

AFOSI publications, AFOSI Manual 71-122, V1, "Criminal Investigations," and AFOSI Manual 71-121, "Reporting Investigative Matters," which provide guidance on the documentation and investigation of violent crimes underwent major revision in FY22 and both are on track to be published in FY23.

#### **4. Goal 4 Accountability: "maintain a high competence in holding alleged offenders appropriately accountable."**

**4.1 Strategic Summary: Summarize your efforts to achieve the Accountability goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2022. As applicable, include significant updates to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes; any new legal support resources, manpower capabilities, and/or redesign of services provided to victims/clients; and training and certification (as required) of personnel affiliated with the Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability program**

**(paralegals, trial counsel, and victim-witness assistance personnel) for responding to allegations of sexual assault and how the effectiveness of this training is evaluated. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 4.2 through 4.9 below. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 4 – Accountability, p. 9)**

FY22 saw the DAF continue its efforts under this goal through numerous, transformative initiatives including a new military justice professional development model, implementation of the OSTC, and updates to several Departmental regulations.

In FY22, the Air Force Judge Advocate General (JAG) Corps established a new military justice professional development model, called the Career Litigation Development Plan (CLDP), to resource and manage military justice assignments and litigation opportunities to ensure judge advocates are sufficiently adept and experienced at serving in critical roles in the military justice system over the course of a career. The CLDP deliberately vectors a cadre of judge advocates through successive military justice-focused assignments to create and maintain specialists in litigation and the administration of military justice. These assignments include positions where judge advocates represent individuals or the United States Government in trial-level litigation and appellate proceedings, develop military justice policy, serve as military justice instructors and military judges, and advise on or administer matters across the continuum of discipline.

The CLDP establishes five levels of competency, with special designations and training requirements at each level. This model incorporates achievable processes to measure, track, and develop expertise in all aspects of litigation, including prosecution, defense, and victim representation functions. This new model is designed to ensure that highly capable and experienced judge advocates are involved in every stage of the military justice process across the continuum of rank and responsibility over the course of a military career. This deliberate cultivation of highly competent military justice practitioners will improve the fair and transparent administration of justice across the DAF.

In addition to implementing the CLDP in FY22, the DAF is undertaking one of the most important and historical reforms to the military justice system since the inception of the Uniform Code of Military Justice by standing up the OSTC as directed by the FY22 National Defense Authorization Act. The mission of the OSTC is to provide expert, specialized, independent, and ethical representation of the United States in the investigation and trial-level litigation of covered offenses as prescribed by Article 1(17) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (Title 10 United States Code, Section 801(17)). Although this Office is independently organized under the Secretary of the Air Force, the JAG Corps is responsible for organizing, training, resourcing, and equipping the requirements of the OSTC.

To ensure qualified and experienced judge advocates are assigned to roles within the OSTC, the JAG Corps has developed a robust staffing process for this Office. This includes an assignment selection process that analyzes multiple data points, including prior

military justice experience and duties, military justice and litigation training, criminal justice experience prior to military service, the number and types of courts-martial and other proceedings participated in, military grade and assignment history, temperament and interpersonal qualities, levels of civilian and military education, and personal interest in criminal litigation. After these factors are considered, candidates are vetted with the leadership of the provisional OSTC for fitness for duty in the position based on a holistic review of each candidate's experience, expertise, and acumen for litigation. Once the most qualified candidates are identified for the OSTC, The Judge Advocate General personally assesses their qualifications and assigns them to duty within the OSTC.

In addition to this selection process, judge advocates selected for OSTC positions are also required to complete a foundational STC qualification course before performing duties. OSTC leadership will continuously monitor the performance of each judge advocate assigned to the OSTC to ensure the requisite proficiency and performance is maintained. Should proficiency standards not be maintained, procedures have been established for the removal of judge advocates from these positions as necessary. Fixed terms of three-year assignments have been established for OSTC positions to ensure judge advocates develop and maintain optimal effectiveness.

Along with the initiatives described above, in April 2022, the DAF consolidated guidance and procedures related to victim/witness rights and notifications in a new standalone DAF Instruction (DAFI) 51-207, "Victim and Witness Rights and Procedures." DAFI 51-207 provides practitioners a comprehensive resource for the protection and assistance of victims and enhances delivery of services by streamlining several sources of responsibilities into one authoritative document. Additionally, DAF hosted two in-residence Victim Witness Assistance Program (VWAP) Symposiums at Buckley Space Force Base, CO and MacDill AFB, FL. These symposiums included 79 VWAP students from locations worldwide who attended the five-day courses to receive instruction on victim rights, restitution, evidentiary privileges, finance and pay issues, and updates in the law.

In June 2022, the DAF also published DAFI 36-3211, "Military Separations," which outlines increased support to sexual assault survivors, strengthens sexual assault prevention and accountability efforts, and combines several discharge instructions. While the policy already required that Airmen and Guardians who commit sexual assault offenses are subject to mandatory initiation of discharge proceedings, the new policy further limits the circumstances in which an exception to this mandatory discharge is appropriate. Further, the new policy prohibits considering personal, family, or financial circumstances; good military character or service record; or a medical or mental health condition when determining whether a member should be discharged for committing sexual assault.

**4.2 Effectiveness of the Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)/Victims' Legal Counsel (VLC) Program:** Describe any enhancements your Military Service/NGB has made to the SVC/VLC program; how your Military Service/NGB provides support to victims/clients in remote/deployed locations; training/certification updates (if any); and the progress toward ensuring SVC/VLC case load does not exceed, to the extent practicable, 25 cases at any time. (NDAA for FY 2020, section 541 / NDAA for FY



**2013, section 573 / SecDef Memorandum, "Improving Victim Legal Support," (August 14, 2013), p. 1)**

In November 2021, the Victims' Counsel (VC) Charter was approved. The VC Charter consolidates legal and regulatory authorities for the operation of VCs and permits expansion of VC services beyond statutory eligibility requirements. In approving the Charter, The Judge Advocate General officially changed the Division's name from the Special Victims' Services Division to the Victims' Counsel Division, capturing the expanded scope of eligible clients and thus, the legal mission.

In March 2022, the VC Division was officially approved to provide privileged, confidential legal advice and services to DAF victims of interpersonal violence, including workplace violence and sexual harassment. The expansion of VC services effectively executes a "no wrong door" approach to comprehensive and holistic victims' legal services. The DAF continues to study ways to better support all crime victims.

The DAF continues to provide VC support to deployed and remote locations. Many DAF deployments are within U.S. Central Command and U.S. Africa Command. Victims/clients in these locations are informed of VC services via the deployed Sexual Assault Response Coordinator or investigating agency. For these areas, VCs in the DAF Fifth Judicial District (with locations in Germany, United Kingdom, and Italy) are the initial point of contact for crime victims. Depending on where the victim redeploys, the VC facilitates proper transfer to meet the victim's needs.

For remote locations, victims/clients contact the nearest VC office. VC offices are assigned geographically separated units and contact those units to establish relationships, facilitate training, and further victims' legal services.

With respect to training and certification updates, the VC Division will offer a one-week VC Distance Learning Certification Course, as needed, to fill the VC vacancies that may arise off-cycle and ultimately ensure continuity of victim legal services at VC office locations. The one-week curriculum meets DoD certification training requirements. The Air Force Judge Advocate General's School continues to facilitate an annual certification course. In May 2022, incoming DAF VCs and Victims' Paralegals (VPs) attended the certification course in-person, along with new VCs from other military services.

Lastly, the VC Division maintains 48 operating locations worldwide with five District Chief Victims' Counsel; three District Paralegal Managers; 51 VCs; 48 VPs; and a headquarters office at Joint Base Andrews comprised of a Division Chief, Deputy Chief, Chief Appellate and Outreach, Chief Training and Programs (currently vacant), and VC Paralegal Manager. Regarding progress toward ensuring VC case load does not exceed, to the extent practicable, 25 cases at any time, each month, the Districts report the number of detailed clients per VC to headquarters for leadership to track trends and/or issues. District Chiefs are authorized to coordinate the assignment of new clients with other Districts when a VC's caseload nears 25 cases while keeping in mind victim preference for VCs in the same general area and time zone.

**4.3 Trends in the Incidence, Disposition, and Prosecution of Sexual Assault: What trends has your Military Service/NGB identified in the incidence, disposition, and prosecution of sexual assault by units, commands, and installations during FY 2022? Please include trends relating to prevalence of incidents, prosecution of incidents, and avoidance of incidents. (NDA for FY 2013, section 575)**

Of the 190 cases with available adjudication information reported in FY22, the average number of dispositions complete and adjudicated per installation with at least one recorded disposition was 2.7 (with a standard deviation of 2.9). Not all cases with dispositions will have available adjudication information, as proceedings may not be complete by the end of the FY. Of the 190 adjudicated cases, 66 cases resulted in courts-martial charge preferred, with 26 proceeding to trial. Of the cases proceeding to trial, nine subjects were acquitted and 20 were convicted. The Department of Air Force does not track avoidance of incidents or prevalence of incidents at the installation, command, or unit level for the FY. The percentage of reports in which the incident occurred on base decreased from 45 percent in FY21 to 43 percent in FY22, continuing the trend of decreasing rates since FY20.

**4.4 CATCH Program: Describe any challenges faced by victims and/or SARCs with the CATCH Program (e.g., accessing the CATCH website or providing victim notification after a “match” was identified). Also, provide an update on how Service members are made aware of the CATCH program. (SecDef Memorandum, “Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Assault in the Military,” (May 1, 2019), p. 2)**

While most SARCs did not report any major challenges with the CATCH Program in FY22, one minor barrier identified was frequent SARC account lockouts. When a SARC is locked out of the system due to infrequent use, it is mitigated by utilizing others within the command to input CATCH information. Additionally, SARCs are instructed to re-accomplish initial CATCH training to gain access as a refresher of the 30-day login requirement.

In FY22, one major DAF challenge identified was victim notification of a match that was later discovered to be incorrect. DoD SAPRO and DAF coordinated on a way forward and the implementation of DoD-wide changes that will institute additional safeguards are forthcoming.

In addition to the above feedback, SARCs reported that the CATCH website was user-friendly, and no victims expressed concerns or challenges regarding the program. Service members are made aware of CATCH through a variety of resources. CATCH information is included in annual training for all DAF service members and civilians, to include leadership. CATCH information is also highlighted on the DAF Resilience public website, as well as installation-specific websites. SAPR personnel receive CATCH information, guidance, and updates in foundational training, refresher training, and revised DAF-specific policies. Content is in Annual Total Force SAPR training.

**4.5 Expanded Eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the CATCH Program: How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL legal officers have been trained on and are familiar with the expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting (e.g., ability for**

victims to make a restricted report even if the assault is disclosed through their chain of command, but not personally to law enforcement) and the CATCH Program (i.e., ability for victims who made an Unrestricted Report to utilize the CATCH Program when the name of the subject was not disclosed or discovered during an investigation)? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your legal officers? **(DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 4)**

The DAF ensured all legal officers (JAG Corps members) received training on the expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the CATCH Program. First, all Airmen and Guardians, including all legal officers, were trained on expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the CATCH Program during DAF’s annual SAPR training. Training on expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the CATCH Program was also provided to JAG Corps members through annual JAG Corps SAPR first responder training. Finally, additional training on expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the CATCH Program was provided for specific audiences through in-residence courses at the Air Force Judge Advocate General’s School, including the Judge Advocate Staff Officer Course, the VWAP Symposium, and the VC Certification Course.

**4.6 Eligibility to File a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and Requesting the Return of Personal Property with a DD Form 2910-3, “Return of Victim’s Personal Property in Restricted Reporting Sexual Assault Cases Collected During a SAFE:” How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL legal officers have been trained on and are familiar with the eligibility of a victim to file a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and request the return of personal property, utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, relinquished as part of the SAFE and after filing a Restricted Report? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your legal officers? **(DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), para 4ab(4) & Encl 4, para 1d)****

The DAF ensured all legal officers (JAG Corps members) received training on the Section 540K Declination Letter and DD Form 2910-3. First, all Airmen and Guardians, including all legal officers, were trained on the underlying eligibility of a victim to file a Section 540K Declination Letter and request the return of personal property using a DD Form 2910-3 during DAF’s annual SAPR training. JAG Corps members were also trained about the use of the Section 540K Declination Letter through annual JAG Corps SAPR first responder training. Additional training on the Section 540K Declination Letter and DD Form 2910-3 was provided for specific audiences through in-residence courses at The Air Force Judge Advocate General’s School, including the Judge Advocate Staff Officer Course, the VWAP Symposium, and the VC Certification Course. During the VC Certification course, incoming VCs and VPs were trained on advising and assisting a client who does not wish to participate in an investigation and regarding requesting the return of personal property.

Finally, policy requirements for the Section 540K Declination Letter and DD Form 2910-3 are incorporated in the new DAFI 51-207, "Victim and Witness Rights and Procedures." Compliance with DAFI 51-207 is mandatory for all Air Force JAG Corps personnel.

**4.7 UCMJ Article 93a (Prohibited Activities with Military Recruit or Trainee by Person in Position of Special Trust) Investigations and Convictions: Provide the number of personnel investigated for and convicted of UCMJ Art. 93a, specifically those who engaged in prohibited sexual activity with such specially protected junior members of the armed forces. (Article 93a, UCMJ)**

During FY22, 16 DAF members were investigated for offenses under Article 93a, UCMJ. The breakdown of the investigations is as follows: nine investigations are pending completion; three investigations were closed with no action taken; one investigation resulted in administrative action; and three investigations resulted in nonjudicial punishment actions.

**4.8 Efforts to Address Independent Review Commission (IRC) Recommendations: Identify major actions completed or underway to address the recommendations of IRC Line of Effort 1: Accountability. Focus response on IRC efforts undertaken during FY 2022. (See the requirements listed in question 1.1)**

The DAF continued implementing the recommendations of the IRC, including the establishment of the OSTC. The Secretary of the Air Force stood up the OSTC in June 2022 with initial operational capability. OSTC prosecutors serve as lead counsel on cases involving covered offenses such as sexual assault, domestic violence, child abuse, murder, and manslaughter. DAF held its inaugural Special Trial Counsel (STC) Qualification Course, and on June 15, 2022, TJAG certified the first cadre of DAF STC. STC are attorneys who have been designated as judge advocates and certified as STC pursuant to DAF STC certification criteria. To be certified as STC, these judge advocates either previously received designation as Special Victims' Unit prosecutors or completed the STC Qualification Course. Additionally, each prospective STC completed a certification interview with the Acting Lead STC; the OSTC Director of Operations; and two other special victim certified prosecutors. Further, STC have been designated as Investigation and Prosecution Support Team attorneys. They provide reach back support to legal offices and MCIO personnel throughout the investigation to ensure MCIOs and legal offices are completing thorough and efficient investigations with an eye towards successful prosecution. On January 4, 2022, the DAF also implemented a preponderance of the evidence standard of proof for all phases of nonjudicial punishment under Article 15, UCMJ, in accordance with the IRC's recommendation. See DAFI 51-202, "Nonjudicial Punishment."

**4.9 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Accountability goal.**

The Department's OSTC is currently in the process of developing the course curriculum for the next OSTC Qualification Course to train and qualify incoming STC. Next year's STC Qualification Course curriculum will be centered around litigation strategy, in-depth briefings from forensic experts, complex charging analysis, and round-table discussions to impart institutional knowledge. To ensure the OSTC is prepared to effectively prosecute

covered offenses, including sexual assault offenses, OSTC conducts monthly tabletop exercises to stress test processes and procedures. OSTC plans to continue these tabletop exercises through its phased implementation plan, culminating on December 27, 2023. DAF is also continuing its phased implementation of OSTC by teaming with the Air Force JAG Corp's Professional Development Directorate to increase the number of personnel as needed to support the OSTC mission; developing reciprocity agreements with sister services; and continuing to train senior prosecutors to ensure that the most qualified and highly trained prosecutors are in the courtroom.

**5. Goal 5 Assessment: “effectively measure, analyze, assess, and report SAPR Program progress to improve effectiveness.”**

**5.1 Strategic Summary: Summarize your efforts for achieving the Assessment goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY22. As applicable, include significant updates to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes and initiatives to ensure the quality, reliability, validity, and secure retention of sexual assault and retaliation data collected in the DSAID, to include SARC, SAPR VA, and Military Service-level legal officer DSAID training. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 5.2 through 5.4 below. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 5 – Assessment, p. 10)**

Processes have largely remained the same with regards to DSAID and how we use it in response to customer queries, leadership ad-hoc report requests, and other appropriate agency requests. However, the DAF directed the use of the new DD Form 3114, DoD Uniform Command Disposition Report, to capture final dispositions of unrestricted reports of sexual assault for entry into DSAID. Additionally, the DAF DSAID personnel provided the field with charts showing percentages of missing relevant data and show progress made, which has increased collaboration and accuracy of program assessment.

Every SARC and SAPR VA must complete the DoD SAPR online training as a pre-requisite before DSAID access. DPFZ also hosts a DAF-specific course for new users after SAPR professionals attend the SARC and SAPR VA foundational course. In FY22, DAF coordinated with MAJCOM/FLDCOM SAPR Program Managers and installation POCs to confirm responder contact numbers for the Safe Helpline Responder Verification in March 2022. This resulted in a 100 percent success rate for SARCs. DAF saw an increase in responder verification from 96 percent in the previous audit to 99 percent in March 2022. That process, combined with the joint effort from DPFZ and the MAJCOM/FLDCOM SAPR PMs, contributed to the increased response rate. DAF will continue encouraging MAJCOM/FLDCOM non-SAPR responders to update their POC information directly on the Safe Helpline website.

**5.2 Adequacy of SAPR Activities at Training Commands: Describe sexual assault prevention and response training delivered during Basic Military Training and Advanced Military Training or equivalent (e.g., military occupational specialty**

**training). What is your Military Service's/NGB's assessment of the adequacy of SAPR activities carried out by training commands during FY 2022, and describe how you assessed such activities? (NDAA for FY13, section 575)**

Basic Military Training (BMT) - Currently BMT dedicates over 7 hours of 7.5-week program to education/training on sexual harassment and sexual assault awareness and prevention. The BMT curriculum identifies basic facts and general principles about intermediate Airmanship/Guardian fundamentals.

- Purpose – Identify basic facts to help prevent sexual violence
- Content Overview
  - Decision Making – Encourages trainees to make well-informed, effective, and timely decisions to prevent sexual violence. Teaches trainees to use sound judgement to integrate and weigh situational constraints, risks, and rewards
  - Resilience – Introduces the concept to trainees as the process of negotiating, managing, and adapting to significant sources of stress or trauma
  - Self-Regulation – Teaches trainees a framework for self-awareness, identifying emotions or stress and strategies to self-regulate when activated

During the first week trainees receive an orientation briefing on DAF policies and expectations regarding sexual harassment and assault. They receive information on accessing SAPR services anonymously and use of hotline, definitions of professional and unprofessional relationships, Wingman concept and actions to take if aware of – or are a victim of – sexual harassment or sexual assault.

Course-specific content covers understanding professional/unprofessional relationships and applications in a military environment. Fundamentals include treating others with dignity and respect, the importance of trust and teamwork and impact on readiness, professionalism in language and behavior, sexual harassment definition and examples, and how to report unprofessionalism/behaviors on the continuum of harm. Prevention topics cover military culture and core values, zero tolerance policy for sexual harassment, sexual assault, or behaviors on the continuum of harm, and bystander intervention. Lastly, trainees receive essential situational awareness and risk reduction awareness. Topics include an overview of DoD and DAF programs, reporting options, support services and resources, the definition of sexual harassment/sexual assault and example of behaviors, social media, cyber harassment, definition of consent, alcohol and its effect on consent, facts, myths, intimate partner and acquaintance rape, coercion, and how to respond if fellow Wingman/Guardian is a victim.

Trainees are given a post-assessment test at the end of training. Additionally, the DAF has implemented several evaluation studies of multiple DAF SAPR training programs to assess their effectiveness in decreasing harmful behaviors, mitigating risk factors, and enhancing protective factors.

**5.3 Ensuring Safe and Secure Living Environment: How does your Military Service/NGB assess the adequacy of measures undertaken at military installations**

**with regard to preventing sexual assault? (NDAA for FY 2011, section 1602)**

DAF is committed to implementing and evaluating evidence-based prevention programs. Currently, the DAF evaluating multiple SAPR programs assessing the effectiveness of decreasing harmful behaviors and feasibility of implementing the programs. These assessments target a representative sample of DAF installations across MAJCOMs and branch of service. Additionally, the DAF is evaluating prevention programs at the United States Air Force Academy (USAFA) to assess the effectiveness of preventing sexual assault at a military service academy.

**5.4 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further**

DAF is standing up a dedicated evaluation team to assess program implementation and efficacy across installations in response to the IRC. This team will ensure that leaders can make data-driven decisions regarding future policies and programs. Additionally, DAF is partnering with DoD and RAND on a prevention workforce evaluation study to assess the implementation of the prevention workforce and its impact on preventing sexual harassment, sexual assault, and other harmful behaviors. This evaluation will be a six-year, multi-level, mixed methods assessment.

**6. Core Functions (Communication and Policy): Provide a brief summary for new efforts taken in FY 2022 on the following:**

**6.1 COVID-19 Impact: Discuss any continuing impacts the COVID-19 pandemic is having on your Military Service's/NGB's efforts in any of the five SAPR goals (e.g., prevention efforts, in-person training, SAFE/evidence processing). If there is a continuing impact, what adjustments and communication efforts were made in FY 2022 to ensure the advancement of your SAPR efforts?**

The initial impact of COVID-19 necessitated a DAF transition to virtual platforms for response, advocacy, and training. The SAPR teams adapted and demonstrated flexibility to provide virtual advocacy and training options to reach our Airmen and Guardians. While COVID-19 significantly impacted other DAF operations, victim assistance and advocacy remained largely uninterrupted.

While most SAPR offices have reported more "back to normal" operations, a few lasting impacts of COVID-19 have been identified. Telework options have continued for much of the DAF through FY22 and have proven a challenge for traditional in-person training. However, SAPR personnel continue to adapt and implement virtual outreach and training options to ensure all personnel are reached, including those who telework.

In FY22, DAF continued to adapt Sexual Assault Awareness and Prevention Month events to be executed virtually as needed. Many installations continued to leverage social media and other virtual platforms to further messaging during SAAPM. When feasible and following the guidance of local installations, some installations could conduct in-person SAAPM events as social distancing restrictions were lifted. Feedback from SARCs indicated that the option to complete DD Form 2910s and subsequent forms virtually is advantageous. This option allows individuals to maintain social distance if needed or

wanted but is also a secondary benefit when supporting geographically separated units (often in other countries).

Distance Learning was provided as an alternative option when needed for SARC and SAPR VA courses. The 2022 Annual Refresher for SAPR personnel was conducted virtually. There were no additional impacts on training.

**6.2 Survey Results: If not addressed in previous responses, describe your leadership-approved policy changes (if any) being initiated as a result of the findings from the FY 2021 Workplace and Gender Relations Surveys of Active Duty and Reserve Component Members and the FY2022 Defense Organizational Climate Surveys.**

As unhealthy command climate indicators have trended up and Service members' trust in the military system and chain of command has trended down, the DAF has emphasized a focus on prevention. An increased prevention workforce can assist commanders to identify root causes and target efforts to effectively address individual and systematic harms and increase protective factors to yield a healthy climate. Commander climate tools such as the "pulse survey" will collect real-time data between Defense Organizational Climate Surveys iterations. As trust builds, DAF hopes to decrease the gap between reporting and prevalence and decrease perceived experiences of retaliation from victims whether or not they report a sexual assault.

**7. National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) Requirements and Government Accountability Office (GAO) Recommendations:**

Provide your Military Service's status on the NDAA sections listed below. There are unique requirements embedded within each NDAA section's language, so referring to the entire section is necessary. Military Service's should also refer to GAO Report, GAO 22 103973, "DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts," (March 2022) and provide their updates based on the recommendations in that report.

After reviewing the designated NDAA and GAO section:

If action(s) has/have been implemented, provide the completion date and a short narrative (300 words or less) describing the action taken (e.g., Completed: January 15, 2022. Requirement added to AR 600 20, Army Command Policy).

If the action(s) has/have not been implemented, provide the projected completion date only without narrative (e.g., Projected Completion Date: January 15, 2023).

**7.1 (Army) NDAA for FY 2014, section 1721: Tracking of compliance of commanding officers in conducting organizational climate assessments for purposes of preventing and responding to sexual assaults. (Government Accountability Office (GAO) Report, GAO-22-103973, "DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts," (March 2022), Recommendation 6)**

NA

**7.2 (Marine Corps & Air Force) NDAA for FY 2015, section 508: Required consideration of certain elements of command climate in performance appraisals of commanding officers. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, "DoD and Coast Guard Should**



<b>Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts,” (March 2022), Recommendations 7 (Marine Corps) &amp; 8 (Air Force))</b>
Projected Completion Date: February 28, 2023.
<b>7.3 (Army) NDAA for FY 2012, section 582(a): Consideration of application for permanent change of station or unit transfer based on humanitarian conditions for victim of sexual assault or related offense. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, “DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts,” (March 2022), Recommendation 10)</b>
NA
<b>7.4 (Navy) NDAA for FY 2014, section 1741(a)-(c): Enhanced protections for prospective members and new members of the Armed Forces during entry-level processing and training. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, “DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts,” (March 2022), Recommendation 11)</b>
NA
<b>7.5 (Navy &amp; Marine Corps) NDAA for FY 2014, section 1745(a)-(c): Inclusion and command review of information on sex-related offenses in personnel service records of members of the Armed Forces. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, “DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts,” (March 2022), Recommendation 12)</b>
NA
<b>7.6 (Army, Navy, &amp; Air Force) NDAA for FY 2018, section 535(a)-(b): Sexual assault prevention and response training for all individuals enlisted in the Armed Forces under a delayed entry program. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, “DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts,” (March 2022), Recommendations 13 (Army), 14 (Navy), &amp; 15 (Air Force))</b>
Projected Completion Date: April 2023
<b>7.7 (Army, Navy, &amp; Air Force) NDAA for FY 2019, section 545(a)-(c): Development of resource guides regarding sexual assault for the military service academies. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, “DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts,” (March 2022), Recommendations 16 (Army), 17 (Navy), &amp; 18 (Air Force))</b>
Completed May 2019
USAFA developed a resource guide in 2019 that provides information and resources for sexual assault and sexual harassment incidents. This guide is distributed to all cadets

within 30 days of entry; tracking attendance is conducted at the required accessions 14-day SAPR training. USAFA SAPR office documents dissemination and receipt of the USAFA SAPR Resource guide link via the SAPR checklist. Additionally, this guide is provided to every cadet that seeks services for sexual assault and sexual harassment advocacy.

## 8. Analytics Discussion

**8.1 Military Services/NGB\*:** Provide an analytic discussion (1,500 words or less) of your Statistical Report of reported sexual assault cases from DSAID. The discussion shall include information on Unrestricted Reports; Restricted Reports; conversions of Restricted Reports to Unrestricted Reports; service referrals for victims alleging sexual assault; reports of retaliation; and case synopses of completed sexual assault and related retaliation investigations.

\*NGB should provide comments based on its available information and data.

This section must briefly address each of the following:

- Notable changes in the data over time
- Insight or suspected reasons for noted changes, or lack of change, if any, in data
- The application of insights from data analyses for programmatic planning, oversight, and/or research
- Total number of Sexual Assaults (Restricted Reports and Unrestricted Reports) over time (since FY08) (**Metric #11**)
- The number of sexual assault investigations completed by the MCIO in the FY and the corresponding mean and median investigation length. Case open date can be in any year, but the close date must be by the end of the FY (**Non-Metric #6**)
- The number of subjects with victims who declined to participate in the military justice process (**Metric #7**)
- Command action for military subjects under DoD legal authority (to be captured using the most serious crime investigated, comparing penetration to contact crimes) (**Non-Metric #1**)
- Sexual assault court-martial outcomes (to be captured using the most serious crime charged, comparing penetration to contact crimes) (**Non-Metric #2**)
- Summary of referral data – Unrestricted and Restricted Reports - either referrals received from other sources or referrals made to other sources (e.g., medical/mental health, command, criminal investigation/security services, legal, civilian, or VA authorities, etc.)
- Any other information relating to sexual assault case data (e.g., information on completed sexual assault-related retaliation cases)

### 8.1 Analytic Discussion Background

**Sexual Assault Definition:** The DoD and DAF SAPR programs utilize the term “sexual assault” to refer to the range of crimes in military law that constitutes contact sexual offenses between adults. These crimes include specific offenses of rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy (forced oral or anal sex), or any attempts to commit these offenses, as defined in Articles 80, 120, and 125 of the UCMJ.

**Sexual Assault Reporting Options:** Under the DoD’s Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Policy, Service members and their adult military dependents have two reporting options – Restricted and Unrestricted reporting.

Filing a Restricted Report provides sexual assault victims with access to medical care, mental health care, advocacy services, and legal advice without initiating a criminal investigation or notifying their commander. To file a Restricted Report, victims must report the crime directly to the SARC, SAPR Victim Advocate, or healthcare personnel.

Filing an Unrestricted Report provides the same access to care and services as an individual filing a Restricted Report. Per DoD policy, the DAF refers Unrestricted Reports for investigation with a Military Criminal Investigative Organization and notifies the command of the report.

Victims who initially make a Restricted Report may convert it to an Unrestricted Report. Once a victim has filed an Unrestricted Report or chosen to convert their previously filed Restricted Report to an Unrestricted Report, it is not possible to convert it to a Restricted Report.

**The Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database:** Since FY14, the DSAID has been the DoD’s authoritative, centralized case-level database used to collect and maintain information on sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces. The DoD SAPR Office operates the DSAID and works collaboratively with the Services to implement and sustain the system. The DSAID meets requirements set forth in the FY09 National Defense Authorization Act. The DAF uses the DSAID to conduct oversight, inform Department and Service-level SAPR program planning and analysis, and meet Congressional reporting requirements.

SARCs use the database to provide comprehensive, standardized victim case management. SARCs are required to enter victim demographic information, safety concerns, referrals, and incident data for each report of sexual assault into the DSAID. Additionally, for Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault, the database interfaces with Military Criminal Investigative Organization information systems, which “push” additional subject demographic and offense-specific information into the DSAID. Military Criminal Investigative Organization information systems remain the systems of record for all Unrestricted Reports investigated. Service appointed legal officers also enter subject case disposition information into the DSAID and validate entries.


**Scope:** This report contains data about sexual assault crimes that involve at least one Service member (either as the victim or as one or more of the subjects) reported to the DAF. Sexual assault reporting data between spouses or intimate partners fall under the purview of the DAF Family Advocacy Program and is not included in this report. Data regarding sexual harassment falls under the purview of the DAF Equal Opportunity Program and is not included in this report.

Unrestricted and Restricted Report data captures sexual assault reports made by Service members and adult military dependents. The data also includes sexual assaults committed by Service members on victims outside the Armed Forces. Information describing these victims and subjects is also in the following statistics.

Sexual assault data continually changes due to case progress. The data contained in this report is a snapshot, reflecting the status of sexual assault reports, investigations, and subject dispositions in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database on September 30, 2022 (the last day of FY22).

### 8.1.1. Sexual Assault Reporting in the DAF

The DAF received 1,928 reports of sexual assault involving Service members as either victims or subjects in FY22. The percentage of reports which remained Restricted at the end of the FY has been increasing since FY17. At the end of FY22, nearly 45 percent of all reports remained Restricted.



**Chart 8.1.1. – Annual Reports of Sexual Assault**

The DAF believes that the sustained high levels of reporting between FY14 to FY22 may indicate that victims feel increasingly comfortable in coming forward to report these crimes, receive care, and allow investigations to take place so that commanders and the military justice system can hold subjects appropriately accountable.

The 1,928 reports of sexual assault received by the DAF in FY22 represent a 13 percent increase from the 1,701 reports made in FY21. This is the highest number of reports received since the beginning of the SAPR program.

It is important to note that people do not always report sexual assaults in the same FY as they happen, although the majority do. Of the 1,928 sexual assault reports received by the DAF in FY22, 1,238 (64 percent) reported incidents that occurred in FY22, 521 reports (27 percent) were incidents that occurred in prior FYs, and the remaining 169 reports (9 percent) were for incidents that occurred on an unknown date.

For comparison, of the 1,701 sexual assault reports received by the DAF in FY21, 1,093 (64 percent) reported incidents that occurred in FY21, 465 reports (27 percent) were incidents that occurred in prior FYs, the remaining 143 reports (8 percent) were for incidents that occurred on an unknown date.

**Types of Sexual Assault Reports:** DoD policy allows eligible victims to make either a Restricted or an Unrestricted sexual assault report. A victim who initially makes a Restricted Report has the option of later converting the Restricted Report to an Unrestricted Report (policy prevents converting an Unrestricted Report to a Restricted Report). Of the 1,928 reports of sexual assault that the DAF received in FY22 involving Service members as either victims or subjects:

- 180 (9 percent) were Restricted Reports initially reported in FY22 which were converted to Unrestricted Reports during FY22
- 33 (2 percent) were Restricted Reports initially reported *prior* to FY22 which were converted to Unrestricted Reports during FY22
- 864 (45 percent) were Restricted Reports initially reported in FY22 which remained Restricted at the end of FY22.


#### **8.1.1.1. Sexual Assault Prevalence in the DAF**

It is important to draw a distinction between the number of sexual assaults that occur (i.e., sexual assault prevalence) and the number of sexual assaults that are reported to authorities (i.e., sexual assault reporting) in a given timeframe. Since both military and civilian victims often do not report a sexual assault, sexual assault prevalence will typically far exceed sexual assault reporting.

Sexual assault is a highly underreported crime, which means that the number of reported sexual assaults in each timeframe may not accurately indicate the number of sexual assaults which occurred in that timeframe. To estimate the number of sexual assaults that occur in the active duty DoD population, the DoD biannually administers an anonymous, confidential survey to active duty DoD members. The 2021 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Military Members is the most recent. Previous surveys are 2018 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of active duty Members, the 2014 RAND Military Workplace Study, and the 2012 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Active Duty Members. The Workplace and Gender Relations Survey for 2020 was delayed due to the

COVID-19 pandemic. The 2021 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Military Members was opened to both the Reserve component and the active duty force on 9 December 2021.

Chart 8.1.1.1. shows the estimated past-year sexual assault prevalence estimates (red dots) and unwanted sexual contact prevalence estimates (green dots) with 95 percent confidence intervals (black lines) for active duty Airmen and Guardians from the past DoD prevalence surveys. According to these surveys, in 2012 an estimated 3,200 active duty Airmen and Guardians experienced unwanted sexual contact in a prior year, decreasing to about 2,300 experiences of sexual assault in 2016. Approximately 3,920 Airmen reportedly experienced a past-year sexual assault in 2018, increasing 70 percent from 2016. 2021 DoD prevalence survey estimated 6,533 experienced an unwanted sexual contact. It is important to note the distinction between unwanted sexual contact and sexual assault.




**Chart 8.1.1.1. Past Year Sexual Assault Prevalence Estimates for Active Duty Airmen and Guardians**

**8.1.1.2. Sexual Assault Reporting Compared to Sexual Assault Prevalence**

The DAF SAPR program has stated a two-pronged goal of reducing sexual assault prevalence while increasing sexual assault reporting. According to the 2018 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of active duty Members, an estimated 3,920 active duty Airmen and Guardians were sexually assaulted in FY18. FY22 sexual assault reporting

has increased by 13 percent from FY21. The Office of People Analytics also encourages readers and analysts to use caution with regard to comparisons between any unwanted sexual contact estimates provided in the 2021 Workplace and Gender Relations Survey of Military Members and sexual assault or unwanted sexual contact estimates provided in previous survey years as wording of survey questions varies from year to year.

Chart 8.1.1.2. illustrates this trend of relatively flat estimated prevalence between FY14 and FY16 and increased estimated prevalence in FY18 versus steadily increasing reporting between FY16 and FY22; it compares the estimated number of sexually assaulted active duty Airmen and Guardians in past years to the number of sexual assaults reported by active duty Airmen and Guardians in each FY. However, because the DAF accepts sexual assault reports from a much wider population than the population to which the prevalence estimates pertain, not all sexual assault reports are directly comparable to the sexual assault prevalence estimates.<sup>1</sup>



<sup>1</sup> The sexual assault prevalence studies estimate the number of active duty Airmen and Guardians who experienced a sexual assault in the past 12 months while in military service. The DAF accepts sexual assault reports from active duty members of other services, certain non-active duty personnel, and imposes no limit on how long ago the sexual assault occurred or whether or not the victim was in the military at the time of the assault. Therefore, the graphic shows only the number of reports from victims who were active duty Service members both at the time of the assault and of the report.

### Chart 8.1.1.2. – Active Duty Prevalence vs. Reporting of Sexual Assault

In FY21, the DAF received a number of reports equal to approximately 22 percent of the number of estimated sexual assaults indicated by prevalence studies. This is a decrease from FY18 when the number of received reports was equal to approximately 32 percent of the estimated number indicated by prevalence studies. The DAF expects to reduce the “gap” between the survey-estimated number of sexually assaulted Airmen and Guardians and the number of Airmen and Guardians who choose to report in two ways, over time:

- Sexual assault prevention initiatives will reduce past-year prevalence of sexual assault; and
- Initiatives that encourage victims to report and improve the military justice system will increase the number of victims who choose to report.

Although FY22 saw the highest reporting number in the history of the DAF SAPR program, the DAF continues to strive to narrow this gap between prevalence and reporting to reduce the underreporting of sexual assault in the military community.

### 8.1.2. Unrestricted Reporting

#### 8.1.2.1. Analysis of Victims in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations

This section provides data about victims in completed investigations of Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault. In this section, the term “FY” refers to the FY year in which the investigation associated with a report concluded, *not* the FY of the report of the sexual assault. For example, Unrestricted Reports under the FY22 column are not necessarily sexual assaults reported in FY22. Rather, they are sexual assault reports whose associated investigations concluded in FY22. The dates of these reports were in FY22 or in any prior FY.

**Type of Offense Investigated:** Table 8.1.2.1.1. breaks out the Unrestricted Report investigations completed each FY by type of offense investigated. Military Criminal Investigative Organizations categorize Unrestricted Reports by the most serious offense alleged in the report, which may not ultimately be the same offense for which evidence supports a misconduct charge, if any.

Type of Offense Investigated in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations										
FY of Investigation Completion	FY18		FY19		FY20		FY21		FY22	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
<b>Total Victims</b>	865	-	1017	-	928	-	914	-	882	-
<b>Type of Offense Investigated</b>										
Penetrating Offenses	448	51.8%	555	54.6%	508	54.7%	468	51.2%	262	29.7%
Contact Offenses	380	43.9%	413	40.6%	384	41.4%	392	42.9%	482	54.6%
Attempts to Commit Offenses	23	2.7%	21	2.1%	19	2.0%	14	1.5%	12	1.4%
Offense Code Data Not Available	14	1.6%	28	2.8%	17	1.8%	40	4.4%	126	14.3%

**Table 8.1.2.1.1. – Type of Sexual Assault Offense for Unrestricted Reports**

The type of offenses investigated in completed investigations have been relatively stable since FY14. Penetrating offenses, which include rape, aggravated sexual assault, sexual assault, and forcible sodomy, account for 30 percent of all completed investigations in



FY22. Contact offenses, which include aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, and indecent assault account for nearly 55 percent of all completed investigations in FY22. Attempts to commit offenses and unknown offense types account for the remainder, approximately 15 percent of all completed investigations.

**Demographic Analysis of Victims in Completed Investigations:** Table 8.1.2.1.2. below provides a demographic analysis of victims in investigations completed each FY22 by gender, age at the time of the incident, military affiliation, duty status, and grade.

**Gender:** Women consistently represent the largest majority (85-88 percent) of sexual assault victims in completed investigations each FY between FY18 and FY22, while comprising about 21 percent of the active duty DAF population during the same period. Male victims in completed investigations averaged 14 percent between FY18 and FY22 while comprising about 79 percent of the active duty DAF population during the same period. Current data collection methods and procedures do not allow for victims identifying as another gender, though in some cases in past years, these victims may have been accounted for with a gender identifier as “Unknown.”

**Age at Time of Incident:** Victims in the 16–19 age range in completed investigations are over-represented compared to their representation in the DAF population. The percent of victims in the 16-19 age range averaged 24 percent between FY18 and FY22. In FY22, this age group accounts for 24 percent of all victims with investigations completed, while comprising less than 10 percent of the DAF population.

Victims in the 20-24 age range are also over-represented compared with the DAF population. Between FY18 and FY22, the 20-24 age range averaged 43 percent of victims with investigations completed. In FY22, this age range accounted for approximately 43 percent of victims with investigations, while comprising 30 percent of the DAF. Victims in each of the older age groups are under-represented compared to their respective cohorts in the FY22 active duty DAF population. In FY22, the 25-34 age range represented nearly 20 percent of victims and the 35-49 age range represented 4 percent of victims. In FY22, reports from the 50+ age range represented just 0.5 percent of the victims from Unrestricted Reports.

**Grade:** Junior enlisted Airmen and Guardians (E1-E4) are over-represented as victims in completed investigations between FY18 and FY22, averaging 76 percent of completed investigations. In FY22, junior enlisted Airmen and Guardians (E1-E4) accounted for 76 percent of victims in completed investigations while comprising just 43 percent of the active duty DAF population. Senior enlisted Airmen and Guardians (E5-E9) and officers each account for a smaller share of the victims in completed investigations, totaling 20 percent in FY22. Air Force Academy cadets and preparatory school students comprised around 3 percent of victims in Unrestricted Reports with completed investigations in FY22.

Victim Demographics in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations										
FY of Investigation Completion	FY18		FY19		FY20		FY21		FY22	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
<b>Total Victims</b>	865	-	1017	-	928	-	914	-	882	-
<b>Gender</b>										
Male	129	14.9%	151	14.8%	111	12.0%	137	15.0%	138	15.6%
Female	732	84.6%	861	84.7%	816	87.9%	777	85.0%	743	84.2%
Unknown	4	0.5%	5	0.5%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
<b>Age (Time of Incident)</b>										
0-15	8	0.9%	2	0.2%	4	0.4%	3	0.3%	4	0.5%
16-19	186	21.5%	228	22.4%	245	26.4%	238	26.0%	211	23.9%
20-24	324	37.5%	429	42.2%	415	44.7%	432	47.3%	375	42.5%
25-34	166	19.2%	199	19.6%	148	15.9%	154	16.8%	177	20.1%
35-49	34	3.9%	36	3.5%	17	1.8%	22	2.4%	33	3.7%
50-64	1	0.1%	3	0.3%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	3	0.3%
65+	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%
Unknown	145	16.8%	120	11.8%	98	10.6%	64	7.0%	77	8.7%
<b>Military Affiliation</b>										
Military	735	85.0%	862	84.8%	794	85.6%	787	86.1%	747	84.7%
Non-military	124	14.3%	150	14.7%	132	14.2%	127	13.9%	134	15.2%
Unknown	6	0.7%	5	0.5%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
<b>Duty Status (Military Victims)</b>										
Active Duty	687	93.5%	802	93.0%	735	92.6%	718	91.2%	693	92.8%
Reserve (Activated)	37	5.0%	39	4.5%	32	4.0%	33	4.2%	23	3.1%
National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	4	0.5%	4	0.5%	4	0.5%	7	0.9%	6	0.8%
Cadet/Prep School Student	7	1.0%	17	2.0%	23	2.9%	29	3.7%	25	3.3%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Grade (Military Victims)</b>										
C-1 to C-4 & Prep School	7	1.0%	17	2.0%	23	2.9%	29	3.7%	25	3.3%
E-1 to E-4	569	77.4%	653	75.8%	602	75.8%	605	76.9%	570	76.3%
E-5 to E-9	116	15.8%	146	16.9%	122	15.4%	115	14.6%	114	15.3%
WO1 to WO5	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
O-1 to O-3	37	5.0%	36	4.2%	39	4.9%	32	4.1%	33	4.4%
O-4 to O-10	6	0.8%	8	0.9%	8	1.0%	6	0.8%	5	0.7%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

**Table 8.1.2.1.2. – Victim Demographics for Unrestricted Reports**

**Military Protective Orders:** Table 8.1.2.1.3. provides a summary of military protective orders. There were 48 military protective orders issued in FY22, one of which was violated.

Military Protective Orders										
FY Military Protective Order Issued	FY18		FY19		FY20		FY21		FY22	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Military Protective Orders Issued	104	-	93	-	112	-	94	-	48	-
Military Protective Orders Violated	3	2.9%	2	2.2%	2	1.8%	3	3.2%	1	2.1%

**Table 8.1.2.1.3. – Military Protective Orders**

**Expedited Transfers:** Table 8.1.2.1.4. provides a summary of expedited transfer requests by Service member victims. The number of expedited transfer requests in FY22 was 213, seven of which were denied. Expedited transfers were denied if the victim was a subject in a separate criminal investigation, the victim was pending a medical evaluation board, or there was no credible report determination of a sexual assault. The number of transfer requests was comparable to FY20 and FY21, but current year represents a slight decrease from the previous year.

Expedited Transfers										
FY Expedited Transfer Requested	FY18		FY19		FY20		FY21		FY22	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Expedited Transfer Requests by Service Member Victims	178	-	224	-	213	-	218	-	213	-
Expedited Transfer Requests Approved	170	95.5%	217	96.9%	207	97.2%	211	96.8%	206	96.7%
Expedited Transfer Requests Denied	8	4.5%	7	3.1%	6	2.8%	7	3.2%	7	3.3%

**Table 8.1.2.1.4. – ETs for Unrestricted Reports**

**Victim Participation in the Military Justice Process:** Table 8.1.2.1.5. provides a summary of victim participation in the military justice process. The percentage of cases in which the victim declined to participate in the military justice process increased between FY21 (17 percent) and FY22 (22 percent).

Victim Participation in Military Justice Process (Unrestricted Reports)										
FY of Investigation Completion	FY18		FY19		FY20		FY21		FY22	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Sexual Assault Investigation Subjects that can be Considered for Possible Action by DoD Commanders	343	-	411	-	391	-	499	-	329	-
Number of Subject Cases with Victims Declining to Participate in the Military Justice Action	55	16.0%	80	19.5%	69	17.6%	84	16.8%	73	22.2%

**Table 8.1.2.1.5. – Victim Participation in the Military Justice Process**

**8.1.2.2. Analysis of Subjects in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations**

This section analyzes demographic data about subjects in completed investigations of Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault. In this section, the term “FY” refers to the FY in which the investigation associated with a sexual assault report concluded. For example, Unrestricted Reports under the FY22 column are not necessarily reports filed in FY22. Rather, they are sexual assault reports whose associated investigations concluded in FY22. These reports include those filed in FY22 for in any preceding FY.

Additionally, while each Unrestricted Report of sexual assault has a single victim, a report may have more than one subject. For these reasons, the number of subjects shown in a given FY will not necessarily match the number of Unrestricted Reports with completed investigations during that FY.

**Demographic Analysis of Subjects in Completed Investigations:** Table 8.1.2.2.1. provides a demographic analysis of subjects in investigations completed each FY by gender, age at the time of the incident, military affiliation, duty status, and grade.

**Gender:** Most subjects in completed investigations are male. The percentage of subjects in completed investigations that are identified as male has remained relatively stable during the last five FYs, at approximately 83 percent; in fact, this trend has remained stable since FY14. Men comprised about 79 percent of the active duty DAF population during fiscal years 2018 to 2022, though not all subjects are identified as military members (see below). Compared to their share of the active duty population, women are under-represented as subjects in completed investigations. In FY22, women represented about 7 percent of

gender-indicated subjects in completed investigations while comprising roughly 21 percent of the active duty DAF population.

**Age:** On average, between FY18 and FY22, the three leading age groups for subjects in Unrestricted reports with completed investigations are 20-24 years (40 percent), 25-34 years (27 percent), and 16-19 years (10 percent). In FY22, the leading age groups of subjects in completed investigations were similar to the 5-year averages.

**Grade:** On average, between FY18 and FY22, the grade groups of military subjects in completed investigations were junior enlisted (E-1 to E-4) at 51 percent, senior enlisted (E-5 to E-9) at 22 percent, junior officers (O-1 to O-3) at 3 percent, senior officers (O-4 to O-10) at 2 percent and cadets/midshipmen and prep school students at 2 percent. In FY22, E-1 to E-4 remained the grade group with the highest percentage of military subjects in completed investigations, at 64 percent.

Subject Demographics in Unrestricted Reports with Completed Investigations										
FY of Investigation Completion	FY18		FY19		FY20		FY21		FY22	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
<b>Total Subjects</b>	843	-	952	-	902	-	834	-	798	-
<b>Gender</b>										
Male	698	82.8%	802	84.2%	742	82.3%	683	81.9%	686	86.0%
Female	61	7.2%	57	6.0%	52	5.8%	56	6.7%	54	6.8%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	84	10.0%	93	9.8%	108	12.0%	95	11.4%	56	7.0%
<b>Age (Time of Incident)</b>										
0-15	2	0.2%	2	0.2%	3	0.3%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
16-19	84	10.0%	93	9.8%	109	12.1%	85	10.2%	76	9.5%
20-24	329	39.0%	359	37.7%	356	39.5%	324	38.8%	352	44.1%
25-34	217	25.7%	283	29.7%	229	25.4%	235	28.2%	218	27.3%
35-49	84	10.0%	77	8.1%	64	7.1%	59	7.1%	68	8.5%
50-64	15	1.8%	18	1.9%	6	0.7%	10	1.2%	10	1.3%
65+	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	50	6.0%	0	0.0%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	111	13.2%	120	12.6%	135	15.0%	73	8.8%	74	9.3%
<b>Military Affiliation</b>										
Military	652	77.3%	753	79.1%	702	77.8%	653	78.3%	674	84.5%
Non-military	61	7.2%	56	5.9%	51	5.7%	64	7.7%	0	0.0%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	131	15.5%	143	15.0%	150	16.6%	118	14.1%	0	0.0%
<b>Duty Status (Military Subjects)</b>										
Active Duty	618	94.8%	697	92.6%	653	93.0%	609	93.3%	632	93.8%
Reserve	26	4.0%	35	4.6%	33	4.7%	19	2.9%	17	2.5%
National Guard	4	0.6%	8	1.1%	3	0.4%	5	0.8%	6	0.9%
Cadet/Prep School Student	4	0.6%	13	1.7%	13	1.9%	20	3.1%	19	2.8%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Grade (Military Subjects)</b>										
C-1 to C-4 & Prep School	4	0.6%	13	1.7%	13	1.9%	20	3.1%	19	2.8%
E-1 to E-4	400	61.3%	485	64.4%	469	66.8%	412	63.1%	434	64.4%
E-5 to E-9	192	29.4%	212	28.2%	175	24.9%	182	27.9%	172	25.5%
WO-1 to WO-5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
O-1 to O-3	35	5.4%	27	3.6%	29	4.1%	24	3.7%	32	4.7%
O-4 to O-10	20	3.1%	14	1.9%	15	2.1%	15	2.3%	15	2.2%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	1	0.2%	2	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%

**Table 8.1.2.2.1. – Subject Demographics for Unrestricted Reports**

### 8.1.2.3. Investigative and Military Justice Process Discussion

**Subject Dispositions:** Once the investigation of an Unrestricted Report is complete, Congress requires the Military Services to provide the outcome of the allegations against each subject named in an investigation. There were 369 subject investigations with disposition information to report in FY22. Of those, 39 subjects were outside of the DoD's


legal and jurisdictional authority, and a civilian or foreign authority exercised jurisdiction over one service member subject. Table 8.1.2.3.1. illustrates that, of the remaining 329 subjects with reportable FY22 disposition data, 218 were held accountable by their Command. Command action was precluded with respect to 105 subjects, largely because either the victim declined to participate or there was insufficient evidence of an offense. Commanders were thus able to pursue sexual assault or other misconduct charges against nearly 66 percent of subjects who were considered for possible action.

<b>FY22 DISPOSITIONS</b>	<b>Total Count</b>	<b>Actions Completed in FY22 (Subset of Total Count)</b>
<b>SUBJECTS OF INVESTIGATIONS WITH DISPOSITION INFORMATION TO REPORT IN FY22</b>	<b>369</b>	<b>NA</b>
<b><u>DoD Did Not Consider Action</u></b>	<b><u>40</u></b>	<b><u>NA</u></b>
<b>Subject Outside DoD's legal Authority</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>NA</b>
Offender is Unknown	14	NA
Subject is a Civilian or Foreign National	23	NA
Subject Died or Deserted	2	NA
<b>Civilian/Foreign Authority Exercised Jurisdiction over Service Member Subject</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>NA</b>
<b><u>Sexual Assault Investigation Subjects Considered for Possible Action</u></b>	<b><u>329</u></b>	<b><u>NA</u></b>
<b>Evidence Supported Commander Action</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>179</b>
Sexual Assault Charge Substantiated	153	125
Court-Martial Charge Preferred	70	65
Nonjudicial Punishments	37	27
Administrative Discharges	16	11
Other Adverse Administrative Actions	30	22
Other Misconduct Substantiated	65	54
Court-Martial Charge Preferred	3	2
Nonjudicial Punishments	28	24
Administrative Discharges	1	0
Other Adverse Administrative Actions	33	28
<b>Commander Action Precluded or Respected Victims' Desired Non-Participation</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>NA</b>
Victim Declined to Participate in Military Justice Action	73	NA
Insufficient Evidence to Prosecute	31	NA
Statute of Limitations Expired	1	NA
Victim Died Before Completion of Military Justice Action	0	NA
<b>Allegation Unfounded by Command/Legal Review</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>NA</b>

**Table 8.1.2.3.1. – FY22 Subject Dispositions for Unrestricted Reports**

**Completed Command Actions:** Chart 8.1.2.3.2. analyzes completed command actions for both penetrating and sexual contact crimes over five years' time. Command actions for

non-sexual assault offenses decreased by 24 percentage points between FY21 (30 percent of investigated cases) and FY22 (18 percent). Command actions for sexual assault offenses leading to a discharge or other administrative actions remained at recent historical norm (11 percent of all investigated cases in FY22). Command actions for sexual assault offenses leading to non-judicial punishment continued a steady trend, from FY21 (9 percent) to FY22 (9 percent), while command actions leading to court-martial charges also continue a trend of 22 percent from FY21 to FY22. Cases where command action was not possible increased from 32 percent to 39 percent of all investigated cases.




\*NOTE: This figure only includes command actions in which the action was completed in FY 2022. Command actions pending completion (e.g., court-martial preferred but pending trial) are not included in this graph. Additionally, there were 9 completed command actions that could not be classified as penetrating or sexual contact crimes because the crime charged was attempted sexual assault.


**Chart 8.1.2.3.2. – Completed Command Actions by FY**

**Court-Martial Outcomes:** Charts 8.1.2.3.3. and 8.1.2.3.4. provide sexual assault court-martial outcomes for penetrating and sexual contact crimes, respectively, over a 5-year period. Of the 66 total subjects with courts-martial charge referrals, 29 subjects proceeded

to trial in FY22 (24 for penetrative crimes, five for sexual contact crimes). Fifteen of these subjects were convicted of a penetrative offense and five were convicted of a contact offense, for an overall conviction rate of 69 percent, an increase over FY21 (52 percent).



**Chart 8.1.2.3.3. – Sexual Assault Court-Martial Outcomes for Penetrating Crimes**



**Chart 8.1.2.3.4. – Sexual Assault Court-Martial Outcomes for Sexual Contact Crimes**

**Duration of Investigative and Military Justice Processes**


The length of a sexual assault investigation depends on several factors, including:

- the alleged offense

- the location and availability of the victim, subject, and witnesses
- the amount and kind of physical evidence gathered during the investigation
- the length of time required for crime laboratory analysis of evidence

Depending on these and other factors, the sexual assault investigation length may range from a few months to over a year.

Chart 8.1.2.3.5. shows the mean and median lengths of time to complete sexual assault investigations in the DAF for the past five FYs. The median length of investigation decreased by 655 days between FY21 and FY22, while the mean time decreased by 36 days.




**Chart 8.1.2.3.5. – Average Sexual Assault Investigation Length**

Chart 8.1.2.3.6. shows the mean and median number of days from when a victim files an Unrestricted Report (i.e., signs the DD Form 2910) to the completion of the court-martial process, sentencing or acquittal. In FY22, the median time from filing an Unrestricted sexual assault report to completion of the court-martial process was 102 days more than in 2021, increasing for the first time in three FYs. The mean number of days from




Unrestricted Report to court outcome increased by 107 days, the highest mean in the past five FYs.



**Chart 8.1.2.3.6. – Days from Filing of Unrestricted Report until Courts-Martial Outcome**

Chart 8.1.2.3.7. shows the mean and median number of days from when a victim files an Unrestricted Report (i.e., signs the DD Form 2910) to completion of non-judicial punishment (NJP) actions. In FY22, the median time between filing an Unrestricted Report and completion of NJP decreased by 27 days from FY21. The mean time between Unrestricted Report to NJP outcome decreased for the first time in three FYs by 47 days.



**Chart 8.1.2.3.7. – Days from Filing of Unrestricted Report Until Non-Judicial Punishment Outcome**

**8.1.2.4. Analysis of Incident Details in Unrestricted Reports**

Table 8.1.2.4.1. analyzes incident details associated with Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault by the FY in which the DAF received the sexual assault report, rather than the FY in which the investigation and outcome was completed, as in previous sections of this report. More plainly, whereas previous sections classified Unrestricted sexual assault reports by the FY in which the associated investigation was completed, this section classifies sexual assault reports by the FY of the filing of the report. In cases where investigations are not complete, the information provided by the victim is the basis for incident details. Table 8.1.2.4.1. does not include any sexual assault reports that were initially filed as “Restricted” in one FY but converted to “Unrestricted” in a later FY. However, it does include any sexual assault reports initially filed as Restricted in one FY and converted to Unrestricted in the same FY. The overall number of Unrestricted Reports made to the DAF decreased slightly, from 1,057 (FY21) to 1,031 (FY22).

**Assault Location:** The percentage of Unrestricted Reports in which the sexual assault occurred on base decreased from 45 percent in FY21 to 43 percent in FY22, continuing the trend of decreasing rates since FY20.

**Subject-Victim Service Affiliation:** Unrestricted Reports in which Service members assaulted fellow Service members decreased from 58 percent in FY21 to 54 percent in FY22, similar to the rates seen in FY18 and FY19. Unrestricted Reports in which Service members sexually assaulted non-Service members has remained relatively constant, between 12 percent and 13 percent, for FY18-FY22. Unrestricted Reports in which non-Service members sexually assaulted Service members remained relatively constant at 5-6 percent between FY18 and FY22, a stable trend exhibited from FY12 to FY22.

**Subject-Victim Gender:** The percentage of Unrestricted Reports involving males sexually assaulting females decreased by nearly three percentage points, from 63 percent in FY21 to 60 percent in FY22, continuing the rate of decrease between FY20 and FY21. All other subject-victim gender categories demonstrated minor changes ranging from 0 percent to 2.2 percent between FY21 and FY22, hewing closely to their respective 5-year average values.

**Reporting Delay:** The percentage of Unrestricted Reports filed greater than one year from the occurrence of the sexual assault decreased from 25 percent to 21 percent between FY21 and FY22. All other categories of time between occurrence and filing of Unrestricted Reports increased from 0.2 to 2.9 percent.

**Assault Day of Week and Time of Day:** The percentage of Unrestricted Reports in which the sexual assault occurred on the weekend (Friday through Sunday) continued a five-year trend, falling within the range of 57-59 percent during FY18 through FY22. The percentage of Unrestricted Reports in which the sexual assault occurred between midnight and 6AM has also remained relatively consistent since FY16, continuing to fall between 45 percent and 50 percent of all Unrestricted Reports. In FY22, 47 percent of the Unrestricted reports of sexual assault occurred between midnight and 6AM.

Incident Details for Unrestricted Reports*										
FY of Report	FY18		FY19		FY20		FY21		FY22	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
<b>Total Reports</b>	1055	-	1123		1060		1057		1031	
<b>Assault Location</b>										
On-Base	487	46.2%	485	43.2%	539	50.8%	478	45.2%	444	43.1%
Off-Base	491	46.5%	541	48.2%	438	41.3%	508	48.1%	501	48.6%
Unidentified	77	7.3%	97	8.6%	83	7.8%	71	6.7%	86	8.3%
<b>Subject-Victim Service Affiliation</b>										
Member on Member	575	54.5%	580	51.6%	613	57.8%	612	57.9%	556	53.9%
Member on Non-Member	137	13.0%	144	12.8%	126	11.9%	138	13.1%	135	13.1%
Non-Member on Member	54	5.1%	54	4.8%	56	5.3%	63	6.0%	61	5.9%
Unidentified on Member	92	8.7%	88	7.8%	75	7.1%	54	5.1%	74	7.2%
Relevant Data Not Available	197	18.7%	257	22.9%	190	17.9%	190	18.0%	205	19.9%
<b>Subject-Victim Gender</b>										
Male on Female	668	63.3%	669	59.6%	699	65.9%	667	63.1%	621	60.2%
Male on Male	65	6.2%	84	7.5%	61	5.8%	81	7.7%	89	8.6%
Female on Male	33	3.1%	27	2.4%	21	2.0%	39	3.7%	37	3.6%
Female on Female	19	1.8%	30	2.7%	24	2.3%	19	1.8%	22	2.1%
Unknown on Male	26	2.5%	27	2.4%	18	1.7%	7	0.7%	7	0.7%
Unknown on Female	56	5.3%	56	5.0%	45	4.2%	46	4.4%	45	4.4%
Multiple Mixed Gender	14	1.3%	11	1.0%	6	0.6%	9	0.9%	6	0.6%
Relevant Data Not Available	174	16.5%	219	19.5%	186	17.5%	189	17.9%	204	19.8%
<b>Reporting Delay</b>										
Within 3 days	303	28.7%	304	27.1%	259	24.4%	236	22.3%	242	23.5%
4-30 days	229	21.7%	214	19.1%	226	21.3%	202	19.1%	227	22.0%
31-365 days	305	28.9%	330	29.4%	347	32.7%	328	31.0%	327	31.7%
> 1 year	177	16.8%	229	20.4%	194	18.3%	261	24.7%	211	20.5%
Relevant Data Not Available	41	3.9%	46	4.1%	34	3.2%	30	2.8%	24	2.3%
<b>Assault Time of Day</b>										
6AM - 6PM	171	16.2%	204	18.2%	160	15.1%	185	17.5%	173	16.8%
6PM - Midnight	268	25.4%	308	27.4%	309	29.2%	314	29.7%	309	30.0%
Midnight - 6AM	542	51.4%	541	48.2%	529	49.9%	497	47.0%	480	46.6%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail.	74	7.0%	70	6.2%	62	5.8%	61	5.8%	69	6.7%
<b>Assault Day of Week</b>										
Weekend (Fri-Sun)	609	57.7%	672	59.8%	607	57.3%	604	57.1%	606	58.8%
Weekday (Mon-Thur)	405	38.4%	405	36.1%	419	39.5%	423	40.0%	399	38.7%
Relevant Data Not Available	41	3.9%	46	4.1%	34	3.2%	30	2.8%	26	2.5%

\*The counts in this table do not include prior-FY restricted reports that were converted to unrestricted in the indicated FY.

**Table 8.1.2.4.1. – Incident Details for Unrestricted Reports**

### 8.1.3. Restricted Reporting

Restricted Reports of sexual assault are confidential, covered communications as defined in DoD policy. The DAF cannot investigate allegations made in Restricted Reports because there is no requirement for victims to provide details about these sexual assaults, and SAPR personnel do not enter information about alleged subjects into DSAID. Therefore, DSAID data concerning Restricted Reports are more limited than data about Unrestricted Reports.

#### 8.1.3.1. Restricted Report Conversions

Table 8.1.3.1.1. shows the number of initially Restricted Reports, the number of initially Restricted Reports those victims converted to Unrestricted in the same FY, and the number of Restricted Reports remaining Restricted at the end of each FY.

In FY22, 1,044 victims initially filed Restricted Reports with the DAF. Of these, 180 victims chose to convert their Restricted Report to an Unrestricted Report in FY22 (the Unrestricted reporting sections above include these same-year conversion reports), resulting in 864 Restricted Reports remaining Restricted at the end of FY22. The percentage of Restricted Reports converted in the same year held steady from FY21's historical decrease of 17 percent, which was the lowest recorded rate since FY13.

Restricted Report Conversions										
FY of Report	FY18		FY19		FY20		FY21		FY22	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Initially Restricted	628	-	694	-	712	-	730	-	1,044	-
Converted to Unrestricted	164	26.1%	172	24.8%	146	20.5%	125	17.1%	180	17.2%
Remaining Restricted	464	73.9%	522	75.2%	566	79.5%	605	82.9%	864	82.8%

**Table 8.1.3.1.1. – Restricted Report Conversions**

**8.1.3.2. Analysis of Victims in Restricted Reports**

Table 8.1.3.2.1. and the following discussion provides a demographic analysis of victims of sexual assault who made Restricted Reports that remained Restricted through the end of the reporting FY, without conversion. The Unrestricted reporting section above includes all converted Restricted Reports.

**Gender:** The portion of male and female victims in Restricted Reports remained relatively consistent between FY18 and FY22. The percentage of male victims making a Restricted Report during this five-year period remained in the 19-22 percent range. The percentage of male victims in Restricted Reports was 22 percent for FY22, the highest percentage of male victims in Restricted Reports since FY15. Of note, the percentage of male victims in Restricted Reports during this 5-year period is from 5 percent to 7 percent higher than the male percentage of victims making Unrestricted Reports in FY18 through FY22 (averaging 14 percent during this period).

**Age at Time of Incident:** There is little variation between the age distribution of individuals making Unrestricted and Restricted Reports. Victims in the 16-19 age range accounted for 19 percent of victims in Restricted Reports and 23 percent of Unrestricted Reports in FY22. Victims in the 20-24 age range accounted for 46 percent of the Restricted Reports and 42 percent of the Unrestricted Reports in FY22. Notably, throughout the last 5 years, victims in the age range 0-15 make up a much larger portion of Restricted Reports (5.7 percent in FY22) versus in Unrestricted Reports (0.5 percent in FY22).

**Grade:** Officers continued to make up a higher proportion of Restricted Reports compared with Unrestricted Reports. The proportion of officers making a Restricted Report in FY22 was 12 percent, compared with 5 percent of the Unrestricted Reports. Senior enlisted Airmen and Guardians (E5-E9) also make up a higher proportion of Restricted Reports compared with Unrestricted Reports. Senior enlisted Airmen and Guardians make up 31 percent of the Restricted Reports in FY22 compared with 15 percent of the Unrestricted Reports.

Victim Demographics in Restricted Reports										
FY of Report	FY18		FY19		FY20		FY21		FY22	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
<b>Total Victims</b>	464	-	522	-	566	-	605	-	864	-
<b>Gender</b>										
Male	93	20.0%	105	20.1%	112	19.8%	116	19.2%	187	21.6%
Female	371	80.0%	417	79.9%	454	80.2%	489	80.8%	677	78.4%
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Age (Time of Incident)</b>										
0-15	29	6.3%	27	5.2%	44	7.8%	26	4.3%	49	5.7%
16-19	85	18.3%	119	22.8%	136	24.0%	142	23.5%	168	19.4%
20-24	205	44.2%	228	43.7%	245	43.3%	271	44.8%	394	45.6%
25-34	122	26.3%	118	22.6%	117	20.7%	140	23.1%	216	25.0%
35-49	23	5.0%	24	4.6%	20	3.5%	24	4.0%	33	3.8%
50-64	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	2	0.3%	1	0.1%
65+	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0.0%	5	1.0%	4	0.7%	0	0.0%	3	0.3%
<b>Military Affiliation</b>										
Military	447	96.3%	503	96.4%	550	97.2%	593	98.0%	843	97.6%
Non-military	17	3.7%	18	3.4%	16	2.8%	12	2.0%	21	2.4%
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Duty Status (Military Victims)</b>										
Active Duty	408	91.3%	444	88.3%	490	89.1%	519	87.5%	742	88.0%
Reserve (Activated)	21	4.7%	28	5.6%	33	6.0%	44	7.4%	50	5.9%
National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	4	0.9%	8	1.6%	4	0.7%	3	0.5%	13	1.5%
Cadet/Prep School Student	14	3.1%	23	4.6%	23	4.2%	27	4.6%	36	4.3%
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Grade (Military Victims)</b>										
C-1 to C-4 & Prep School	14	3.1%	23	4.6%	23	4.2%	27	4.6%	36	4.3%
E-1 to E-4	264	59.1%	310	61.6%	341	62.0%	345	58.2%	442	52.4%
E-5 to E-9	122	27.3%	114	22.7%	133	24.2%	146	24.6%	264	31.3%
WO1-WO5	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
O-1 to O-3	34	7.6%	35	7.0%	42	7.6%	47	7.9%	74	8.8%
O-4 to O-10	12	2.7%	21	4.2%	11	2.0%	28	4.7%	27	3.2%
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

**Table 8.1.3.2.1. – Victim Demographics in Restricted Reports**

**8.1.3.3. Analysis of Incident Details in Restricted Reports**

Table 8.1.3.3.1. provides analysis of the incident details for Restricted Reports that remained Restricted at the end of each FY.

**Assault Location:** The percentage of Restricted Reports in which the sexual assault occurred on base decreased from 43 percent in FY21 to 34 percent in FY22, resuming the trend of the previous 4 years prior to FY21.

**Subject-Victim Service Affiliation:** Restricted Reports in which Service members assaulted fellow Service members during FY22 was 65 percent of all Restricted Reports, similar to FY21, which was the highest observed percentage in the past 10 years. Restricted Reports in which Service members sexually assaulted non-Service members has remained relatively constant, between 2 percent and 4 percent, for FY14 - FY22. Restricted Reports in which non-Service members sexually assaulted Service members made up 21 percent of reports for FY22, which is relatively consistent with percentages from the past four FYs.

**Reporting Delay:** The percentage of Restricted Reports filed greater than one year from the occurrence of the sexual assault was 33 percent in FY22, the highest value in the past ten years of Restricted Reports. Restricted Reports filed within 3 days of the incident made

up just 14 percent of Restricted Reports filed in FY22, the lowest recorded value over the past ten FYs.

**Assault Day of Week and Time of Day:** The percentage of Restricted Reports in which the sexual assault occurred on the weekend (Friday through Sunday) continued a 5-year trend, falling within the range of 48-52 percent during FY18 through FY22. The percentage of Restricted Reports in which the sexual assault occurred between midnight and 6AM has also remained relatively consistent since FY15, continuing to fall between 28 percent and 34 percent of all Restricted Reports. In FY22, 46 percent of the Restricted reports of sexual assault occurred between 6PM and midnight, representing the largest majority of reports.

Incident Details for Restricted Reports										
FY of Report	FY18		FY19		FY20		FY21		FY22	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
<b>Total Reports</b>	464	-	522	-	566	-	605	-	864	-
<b>Incident Location</b>										
On-Base	147	31.7%	180	34.5%	205	36.2%	259	42.8%	294	34.0%
Off-Base	240	51.7%	289	55.4%	290	51.2%	285	47.1%	454	52.5%
Unidentified/Relevant Data Not Avail.	77	16.6%	53	10.2%	71	12.5%	39	6.4%	49	5.7%
<b>Subject-Victim Military Affiliation</b>										
Member on Member	265	57.1%	302	57.9%	340	60.1%	394	65.1%	562	65.0%
Member on Non-Member	17	3.7%	18	3.4%	16	2.8%	12	2.0%	21	2.4%
Non-Member on Member	105	22.6%	131	25.1%	130	23.0%	112	18.5%	177	20.5%
Unidentified on Member	77	16.6%	70	13.4%	80	14.1%	87	14.4%	103	11.9%
Relevant Data Not Available	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
<b>Reporting Delay</b>										
Within 3 days	101	21.8%	104	19.9%	107	18.9%	97	16.0%	120	13.9%
4-30 days	89	19.2%	95	18.2%	70	12.4%	82	13.6%	122	14.1%
31-365 days	75	16.2%	95	18.2%	105	18.6%	116	19.2%	151	17.5%
> 1 year	102	22.0%	124	23.8%	163	28.8%	191	31.6%	285	33.0%
Relevant Data Not Available	97	20.9%	104	19.9%	121	21.4%	119	19.7%	186	21.5%
<b>Assault Time of Day</b>										
6AM - 6PM	50	10.8%	62	11.9%	75	13.3%	74	12.2%	107	12.4%
6PM - Midnight	187	40.3%	231	44.3%	219	38.7%	275	45.5%	394	45.6%
Midnight - 6AM	153	33.0%	171	32.8%	185	32.7%	176	29.1%	242	28.0%
Unknown/Relevant Data Not Avail	74	15.9%	58	11.1%	88	15.5%	80	13.2%	121	14.0%
<b>Assault Day of Week</b>										
Weekend (Fri-Sun)	241	51.9%	270	51.7%	273	48.2%	295	48.8%	430	49.8%
Weekday (Mon-Thur)	129	27.8%	151	28.9%	174	30.7%	193	31.9%	246	28.5%
Relevant Data Not Available	94	20.3%	101	19.3%	119	21.0%	117	19.3%	188	21.8%

**Table 8.1.3.3.1. – Incident Details for Restricted Reports**

**8.1.4. Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault**

Table 8.1.4.1. analyzes service referrals for Unrestricted Reports. The number of referrals decreased from FY21 to FY22 by 5 percent. However, the average number of referrals per each Unrestricted Report (3.6 referrals per report) was approximately the same over the two FYs. As with previous years, the most common service referrals for Unrestricted Reports were Victim Advocate, Mental Health and Legal.

Service Referrals for Unrestricted Reports										
FY of Service Referral	FY18		FY19		FY20		FY21		FY22	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
<b>Total Service Referrals</b>	2,057	-	2,626	-	3,287	-	4,051	-	3,830	-
<b>Type of Service</b>										
Medical	148	7.2%	264	10.1%	337	10.3%	425	10.5%	426	11.1%
Mental Health	383	18.6%	475	18.1%	583	17.7%	733	18.1%	670	17.5%
Legal	458	22.3%	567	21.6%	649	19.7%	729	18.0%	735	19.2%
Chaplain/Spiritual Support	257	12.5%	329	12.5%	427	13.0%	548	13.5%	507	13.2%
Rape Crisis Center	52	2.5%	82	3.1%	110	3.3%	131	3.2%	16	0.4%
Victim Advocate	474	23.0%	519	19.8%	640	19.5%	759	18.7%	838	21.9%
DoD Safe Helpline	211	10.3%	269	10.2%	365	11.1%	502	12.4%	506	13.2%
Other	74	3.6%	121	4.6%	176	5.4%	222	5.5%	132	3.4%

**Table 8.1.4.1. – Service Referrals for Unrestricted Reports**

Table 8.1.4.2. analyzes service referrals for Restricted Reports. The number of referrals continues to increase from year-to-year. Referrals increased by 39 percent between FY21 and FY22, with the quantity of Restricted Reports increasing by 43 percent over the same timeframe. As with previous years, the most common service referrals for Restricted Reports were Mental Health and Victim Advocate.

Service Referrals for Restricted Reports										
FY of Service Referral	FY18		FY19		FY20		FY21		FY22	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
<b>Total Service Referrals</b>	1104	-	1524	-	1775	-	2751	-	3812	-
<b>Type of Service</b>										
Medical	110	10.0%	175	11.5%	182	10.3%	327	11.9%	441	11.6%
Mental Health	251	22.7%	326	21.4%	307	17.3%	522	19.0%	724	19.0%
Legal	151	13.7%	230	15.1%	205	11.5%	405	14.7%	586	15.4%
Chaplain/Spiritual Support	155	14.0%	210	13.8%	259	14.6%	397	14.4%	564	14.8%
Rape Crisis Center	39	3.5%	52	3.4%	9	0.5%	104	3.8%	158	4.1%
Victim Advocate	231	20.9%	293	19.2%	510	28.7%	484	17.6%	639	16.8%
DoD Safe Helpline	111	10.1%	170	11.2%	177	10.0%	361	13.1%	551	14.5%
Other	56	5.1%	68	4.5%	126	7.1%	150	5.5%	149	3.9%

**Table 8.1.4.2. – Service Referrals for Restricted Reports**

**8.2 Personnel Support:** Complete the following table with your numbers as of the end of FY 2022. Use the job/duty descriptions provided and the following inclusion criteria:

- Include all Reserve and Active Duty military personnel. Army and Air Force do not need to include their respective National Guard component information as it will be included in the National Guard Bureau’s response.
- Include federal government civilian personnel
- Only include filled positions
- Indicate the number of full-time and part-time personnel (i.e., collateral duty personnel)
- Provide the exact number of current personnel, whenever possible. If the number is an estimate, indicate how the estimate was reached and any other relevant information.

**(DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 2, para 6)**



Job/Duty Title	Description of Job/Duty	Full Time	Part Time
Program Managers	Capability in developing policy, or program management and execution; and completion of 40+ hours of Military Service-specific National Advocate Credentialing Program and approved SARC training.	16	0
Dedicated Headquarters-Level Professionals	Include policy, advocacy, and prevention professionals who support the headquarters-level SAPR program offices at each Military Service/NGB ( <i>not including program managers, who are counted in their own category</i> ).	7	0
Uniformed SARCs	Serve as the single point of contact at an installation or within a geographic area to oversee sexual assault awareness, prevention, and response training; coordinate medical treatment, including emergency care, for victims of sexual assault; and track the services provided to victims from the initial report through final disposition and resolution and are certified under the nationally-accredited DoD Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP).	34	26
Civilian SARCs	See above.	92	18
Uniformed SAPR-VAs	Provide non-clinical crisis intervention, referral, and ongoing non-clinical support to adult sexual assault victims; offer information on	0	1,994

	available options/resources to victims; coordinate liaison assistance with other organizations and agencies on victim care matters; and report directly to the SARC and are certified under the nationally accredited D-SAACP.		
<b>Civilian SAPR-VAs</b>	See above.	<b>81</b>	<b>168</b>
<b>Sexual Assault-Specific Legal</b>	<b>Legal personnel who specialize in sexual assault cases including prosecutors, Victim Witness Assistance Program personnel, paralegals, legal experts, and Special Victims' Counsel/Victims' Legal Counsel.</b>	<b>Victims' Counsel:</b> 58 – VCs 1 – Civilian VC 52 – Paralegals 2 – Reserve VCs  <b>Prosecutors:</b> 1 – Acting Lead Special Trial Counsel 1 – Director of Operations 19 – Special Trial Counsel 1 – OSTC Trial Operations Paralegal  <b>VWAP Personnel:</b> 2 – Dedicated full time 150 – VWAP Personnel (full-time employees assigned VWAP responsibilities in addition to other non-VWAP duties)	
<b>Sexual Assault – Specific Investigators</b>	<b>Military Criminal Investigation Office investigators who specialize in sexual assault cases.</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners</b>	<b>Medical providers that have completed the DoD Sexual Assault Medical Forensic</b>	<b>AF trained SAMFEs – 15 for deployment taskings</b>	All trained SAMFEs are located at inpatient

	<p><b>Examiner Course at Fort Sam Houston, or equivalent.</b></p>	<p>18 for remote/OCONUS MTFs  DHA manages and tracks trained SAMFEs for MTFs.  AF/SG3OHS requested data from DHA, on December 16 and December 21.  Awaiting response.</p>	<p>facilities as an additional duty and are on-call based upon case demand because there is not a demand for full-time SAMFE services</p>
--	---	---	---

Unrestricted Reports

AIR FORCE FY22 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY		
<p>A. FY22 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members.                      Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY22. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.                      This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.</p>		FY22 Totals
<b># FY22 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)</b>		<b>1031</b>
# Service Member Victims		896
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject		135
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b># Unrestricted Reports in the following categories</b>		<b>1031</b>
# Service Member on Service Member		556
# Service Member on Non-Service Member		135
# Non-Service Member on Service Member		61
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member		74
# Relevant Data Not Available		205
<b># Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring</b>		<b>1031</b>
# On military installation		444
# Off military installation		501
# Unidentified location		86
<b># Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation</b>		<b>1031</b>
<b># Victims in investigations initiated during FY22</b>		<b>842</b>
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2022		259
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2022		583
<b># Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming</b>		<b>35</b>
<b># Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement</b>		<b>154</b>
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ		53
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations		1
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service		19
# Victims - Other		81
<b># All Restricted Reports received in FY22 (one Victim per report)</b>		<b>1044</b>
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)		180
<b># Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY22</b>		<b>864</b>
<b>B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY22</b>		
	FY22 Totals	FY22 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report</b>	<b>1031</b>	<b>896</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	242	209
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	122	106
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	105	87
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	327	279
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	211	192
# Relevant Data Not Available	24	23
<b>Time of sexual assault</b>	<b>1031</b>	<b>896</b>
# Midnight to 6 am	480	409
# 6 am to 6 pm	173	153
# 6 pm to midnight	309	273
# Unknown	45	38
# Relevant Data Not Available	24	23
<b>Day of sexual assault</b>	<b>1031</b>	<b>896</b>
# Sunday	149	130
# Monday	105	91
# Tuesday	96	89
# Wednesday	89	74
# Thursday	109	91
# Friday	199	175
# Saturday	258	218
# Relevant Data Not Available	26	25

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

C. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY22 Totals		
	621	89	37	22	7	45	6	204	1031		
# Service Member on Service Member	438	67	30	14	0	1	6	0	556		
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	124	5	2	4	0	0	0	0	135		
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	43	11	3	4	0	2	0	1	61		
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	18	4	2	0	7	42	0	1	74		
# Relevant Data Not Available	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	202	205		
<b>FY22 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)</b>											
<b>UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY22</b>	<i>Penetrating Offenses</i>				<i>Contact Offenses</i>						
<b>D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)</b>	Rapes (Art 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art 120)	Indecent Assault (Art 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
<b>D1</b>	59	3	213	0	0	496	1	1	13	242	1031
# Service Member on Service Member	3	0	100	0	0	242	0	0	6	9	556
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	8	1	47	0	1	57	0	0	2	10	135
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	5	0	2	32	1	0	0	0	61
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	5	0	23	0	1	22	0	0	4	19	74
# Relevant Data Not Available	28	2	38	0	3	34	0	1	1	98	205
<b>D2</b>	<b>TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY22 Reports</b>										
	51	2	166	0	8	433	1	1	11	223	896
# Service Member Victims: Female	42	1	142	0	5	332	1	1	9	181	717
# Service Member Victims: Male	9	1	24	0	3	98	0	0	2	42	179
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

<b>E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY22</b>	
Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.	
<b># Investigations Initiated during FY22</b>	<b>765</b>
# Investigations Completed as of FY22 End (group by MCIO #)	521
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY22 End (group by MCIO #)	244
<b># Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY22</b>	<b>757</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>	<b>12</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	1
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	11
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>8</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	8
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>586</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	582
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	4
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations</b>	<b>41</b>
Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations</b>	<b>63</b>
Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement</b>	<b>8</b>
Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	8
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>17</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>13</b>
<b># Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY22</b>	
Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY22. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY22 or any prior FY.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by Services during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>763</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	74
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	31
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	3
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>780</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>	<b>17</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	17
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>12</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	12
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>644</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	640
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	4
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>39</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>58</b>
<b># Subject Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>10</b>
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>862</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in CID investigations</b>	<b>12</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	11
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	1
<b># Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations</b>	<b>16</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	10
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	6
<b># Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations</b>	<b>700</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	697
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	3
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>133</b>
<b># Victim Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>1</b>

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

<b>E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY22</b>	
<b>Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.</b>	
<b># Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>20</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>18</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement</b>	<b>1</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	1
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>8</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>9</b>
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>20</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations</b>	<b>19</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	19
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service</b>	<b>1</b>
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY22 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as MPs )</b>	
<b>Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.</b>	
<b>Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.</b>	
<b># Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>0</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
# Subject Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Victims in MP investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in MP investigations</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
# Victim Relevant Data Not Available	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

Victims in Investigation Completed in FY22	Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY22										
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses				Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
	Rape (Art 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art 120)	Indecent Assault (Art 134) (Pre-FY08)			
<b>F1. Gender of Victims</b>	44	1	217	0	3	478	1	0	12	126	882
# Male	4	17	17	0	1	96	0	0	20	20	138
# Female	40	1	200	0	2	381	1	0	12	106	743
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F2. Age of Victims</b>	44	1	217	0	3	478	1	0	12	126	882
# 0-15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# 16-19	12	0	61	0	0	108	0	0	3	27	211
# 20-24	19	1	99	0	1	193	0	0	6	56	375
# 25-34	10	0	43	0	2	101	0	0	1	20	177
# 35-49	0	0	6	0	0	22	0	0	1	4	33
# 50-64	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	0	7	0	0	48	1	0	1	15	77
<b>F3. Victim Type</b>	44	1	217	0	3	478	1	0	12	126	882
# Service Member	31	0	167	0	3	419	1	0	10	116	747
# DoD Civilian	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	12
# DoD Contractor	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	4
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	12	1	45	0	0	45	0	0	1	8	112
# Foreign National	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	6
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
<b>F4. Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	31	0	167	0	3	419	1	0	10	116	747
# E1-E4	20	0	125	0	1	328	0	0	8	88	570
# E5-E9	6	0	34	0	0	58	0	0	2	15	114
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	4	0	4	0	1	22	0	0	0	2	33
# O4-O10	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	5
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	0	3	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	24
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F5. Service of Service Member Victims</b>	31	0	167	0	3	419	1	0	10	116	747
# Army	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Navy	0	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	1	7	7
# Marines	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
# Air Force	30	0	163	0	3	414	1	0	10	114	735
# Space Force	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>F6. Status of Service Member Victims</b>	31	0	167	0	3	419	1	0	10	116	747
# Active Duty	30	0	160	0	3	387	0	0	9	104	693
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	3	0	0	16	1	0	1	2	23
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	6
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	0	3	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	24
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



Unrestricted Reports (continued)

G DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY22 (Investigation Completed within the reporting period These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY22										FY22 Totals
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses				Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
	Rape (Art 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art 120)	Indecent Assault (Art 134) (Pre-FY08)			
<b>G1. Gender of Subjects</b>	43	1	217	0	2	400	1	0	11	123	798
# Male	33	1	192	0	1	340	1	0	10	102	686
# Female	1	0	9	0	1	30	0	0	0	7	54
# Unknown	9	0	16	0	0	18	0	0	1	13	58
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G2. Age of Subjects</b>	43	1	217	0	2	400	1	0	11	123	798
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	2	0	15	0	0	48	0	0	0	13	76
# 20-24	19	1	107	0	1	160	0	0	5	58	362
# 25-34	6	0	64	0	1	113	0	0	5	26	218
# 35-49	1	0	11	0	0	40	1	0	0	6	68
# 50-64	0	0	2	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	11
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	7	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	3	18
# Relevant Data Not Available	13	0	19	0	0	17	0	0	1	13	56
<b>G3. Subject Type</b>	43	1	217	0	2	400	1	0	11	123	798
# Service Member	26	1	186	0	2	350	0	0	10	99	674
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Recruiters	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Civilian	0	0	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	8
# DoD Contractor	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	5
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	3	0	7	0	0	10	1	0	0	3	23
# Foreign National	1	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	6
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
# Unknown	12	0	16	0	0	10	0	0	1	13	59
# Relevant Data Not Available	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	24
<b>G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects</b>	26	1	186	0	2	350	0	0	10	99	674
# E1-E4	17	0	124	0	0	218	0	0	9	68	436
# E5-E9	7	1	46	0	1	93	0	0	1	19	172
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
# O1-O3	2	0	7	0	1	18	0	0	0	2	32
# O4-O10	0	0	4	0	0	10	0	0	0	1	15
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	3	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	10
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G5. Service of Service Member Subjects</b>	26	1	186	0	2	350	0	0	10	99	674
# Army	0	0	11	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	13
# Navy	2	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	9
# Marines	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
# Air Force	22	1	172	0	1	338	0	0	9	98	641
# Space Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G6. Status of Service Member Subjects</b>	26	1	186	0	2	350	0	0	10	99	674
# Active Duty	25	1	180	0	2	328	0	0	8	98	632
# Reserve (Activated)	1	0	3	0	0	10	0	0	1	2	17
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	3	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	10
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY22 INVESTIGATIONS	FY22 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY22 INVESTIGATIONS	FY22 Totals
<b># Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement</b> Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY22, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.	4		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	1		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	1		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	1		
# Subjects - Other	1		
<b># Subjects in investigations completed in FY22</b> Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.	#REF!	<b># Victims in investigations completed in FY22</b>	882
<b># Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY22</b>	462	<b># Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY22</b>	525
<b># Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority</b>	20		
# Unknown Offenders	12	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	1
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	8	# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	10
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	5
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	3
		# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
		# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
		# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
<b># Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault</b>	28		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	17	# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	12
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	10	# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	8
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	1	# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	1
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	0	# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	0
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	0
<b># Subjects disposition data not yet available</b>	746	<b># Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available</b>	812
<b># Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2022</b>	25		
<b># FY22 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action</b>	25	<b># FY22 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action</b>	23
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	5	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferals against Subject	4
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	4	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	4
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	2	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	1
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	3	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	3
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferals for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	6	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	5
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	5	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	6
<b>* Restricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.</b>			

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

<b>I. COURTS MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Sexual Assault Charge).</b> This section reports the outcomes of Courts Martial for sexual assault crimes completed during FY22	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a Sexual Assault Charge Pending Court Completion</b>	<b>70</b>
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY22	5
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY22</b>	<b>65</b>
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed</b>	<b>11</b>
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	3
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	5
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	2
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	1
<b># Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial</b>	<b>25</b>
# Officer and Cadet/Midshipmen Subjects who were allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	25
<b># Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a sexual assault charge</b>	<b>29</b>
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	9
<b># Subjects Convicted of Any Charge at Trial</b>	<b>20</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>20</b>
# Subjects receiving confinement	15
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	15
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	11
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	12
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	5
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects to be processed for administrative discharge or separation subsequent to sexual assault conviction	3
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	2
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	1
# Convicted Subjects with a conviction under a UCMJ Article that requires Sex Offender Registration	11
<b>J. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Sexual Assault Charge).</b> This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for sexual assault crimes completed during FY22	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a Sexual Assault Charge in FY22</b>	<b>37</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY22	10
<b># Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY22</b>	<b>27</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	1
<b># Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment</b>	<b>26</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>26</b>
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	23
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	13
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	1
# Subjects receiving extra duty	5
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	26
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a sexual assault charge	6
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	2
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	3
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
<b>K. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN.</b> This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY22	5
<b># Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a sexual assault offense</b>	<b>11</b>
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	2
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	5
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	2
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY22	8
<b># Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a sexual assault offense</b>	<b>22</b>

Unrestricted Reports (continued)

<b>L. COURTS MARTIAL ADJUDICATIONS AND OUTCOMES (Non sexual assault offense).</b> This section reports the outcomes of Courts Martials for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Courts-Martial Charge Preferred for a non-sexual assault offense in FY22</b>	<b>3</b>
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial action was NOT completed by the end of FY22	1
<b># Subjects whose Courts-Martial was completed by the end of FY22</b>	<b>2</b>
# Subjects whose Courts-Martial was dismissed	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed subsequent to recommendation by Art. 32 hearing officer followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 punishment	0
# Subjects in Charges dismissed for any other reason prior to Courts-Martial followed by Art. 15 acquittal	0
# Subjects who resigned or were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial for a non-sexual assault offense	0
# Officer and Cadet/Midshipmen Subjects who were officers that where allowed to resign in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
# Enlisted Subjects who were discharged in lieu of Courts-Martial	0
<b># Subjects with Courts-Martial charges proceeding to trial on a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>2</b>
# Subjects Acquitted of Charges	0
<b># Subjects Convicted of Any Non-Sexual Assault Charge at Trial</b>	<b>2</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>2</b>
# Subjects receiving confinement	1
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	2
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	1
# Subjects receiving a punitive discharge (Dishonorable, Bad Conduct, or Dismissal)	1
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	0
# Subjects receiving extra duty	0
# Subjects receiving hard labor	1
# Subjects processed for an administrative discharge or separation subsequent to conviction at trial	0
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
<b>M. NONJUDICIAL PUNISHMENTS IMPOSED (Non Sexual Assault Charge).</b> This section reports the outcomes of nonjudicial punishments for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in this category listed in Sections D and E above.	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Total Subjects with Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15) for a non-sexual assault offense in FY22</b>	<b>28</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was not completed by the end of FY22	4
<b># Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment action was completed by the end of FY22</b>	<b>24</b>
# Subjects whose nonjudicial punishment was dismissed	0
<b># Subjects administered nonjudicial punishment for a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>24</b>
# Subjects with unknown punishment	0
# Subjects with no punishment	0
# Subjects with pending punishment	0
<b># Subjects with Punishment</b>	<b>24</b>
# Subjects receiving correctional custody	0
# Subjects receiving reductions in rank	21
# Subjects receiving fines or forfeitures	10
# Subjects receiving restriction or some limitation on freedom	1
# Subjects receiving extra duty	2
# Subjects receiving hard labor	0
# Subjects receiving a reprimand	23
# Subjects receiving an administrative discharge subsequent to nonjudicial punishment on a non-sexual assault charge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects who received NJP followed by Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
<b>N. OTHER ACTIONS TAKEN (Non sexual assault offense).</b> This section reports other disciplinary action taken for Subjects who were investigated for sexual assault, but upon review of the evidence there was only probable cause for a non sexual assault offense. It combines outcomes for Subjects in these categories listed in Sections D and E above.	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
# Subjects whose administrative discharge or other separation action was not completed by the end of FY22	1
<b># Subjects receiving an administrative discharge or other separation for a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>0</b>
# Subjects receiving UOTHC administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving General administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Honorable administrative discharge	0
# Subjects receiving Uncharacterized administrative discharge	0
# Subjects whose other adverse administrative action was not completed by the end of FY22	5
<b># Subjects receiving other adverse administrative action for a non-sexual assault offense</b>	<b>28</b>

Restricted Reports

AIR FORCE FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
<b>A. FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports</b>	<b>1044</b>
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	1020
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	24
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22*</b>	<b>180</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	177
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Total Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	<b>864</b>
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	843
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	21
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b># Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories</b>	<b>864</b>
# Service Member on Service Member	562
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	177
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	21
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	103
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
<b>B. INCIDENT DETAILS</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Reported sexual assaults occurring</b>	<b>864</b>
# On military installation	294
# Off military installation	454
# Unidentified location	49
# Relevant Data Not Available	67
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report</b>	<b>864</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	120
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	75
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	47
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	151
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	285
# Relevant Data Not Available	186
<b>Time of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>864</b>
# Midnight to 6 am	242
# 6 am to 6 pm	107
# 6 pm to midnight	394
# Unknown	95
# Relevant Data Not Available	26
<b>Day of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>864</b>
# Sunday	100
# Monday	61
# Tuesday	59
# Wednesday	72
# Thursday	54
# Friday	129
# Saturday	201
# Relevant Data Not Available	188
<b>C. RESTRICTED REPORTING VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims</b>	<b>843</b>
# Army Victims	9
# Navy Victims	15
# Marines Victims	6
# Air Force Victims	805
# Space Force Victims	7
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1

Restricted Reports (continued)

D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT		FY22 Totals
<b>Gender of Victims</b>		<b>864</b>
# Male		187
# Female		677
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>Age of Victims at the Time of Incident</b>		<b>864</b>
# 0-15		49
# 16-19		168
# 20-24		394
# 25-34		216
# 35-49		33
# 50-64		1
# 65 and older		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		3
<b>Grade of Service Member Victims</b>		<b>843</b>
# E1-E4		442
# E5-E9		264
# WO1-WO5		0
# O1-O3		74
# O4-O10		27
# Cadet/Midshipman		33
# Academy Prep School Student		3
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>Status of Service Member Victims</b>		<b>843</b>
# Active Duty		742
# Reserve (Activated)		50
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)		13
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student		33
# Academy Prep School Student		3
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>Victim Type</b>		<b>864</b>
# Service Member		843
# DoD Civilian		
# DoD Contractor		
# Other US Government Civilian		
# Non-Service Member		21
# Foreign National		
# Foreign Military		
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service</b>		<b>92</b>
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18		56
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18		36
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY)</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted		37.1
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted		57.12
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted		1
<b>G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY22</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22</b>		<b>33</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22		33
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
* The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.		

Support Services

AIR FORCE FY22 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made</i>	
<b>A SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>3514</b>
# Medical	397
# Behavioral Health	600
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)	720
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	502
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uninformed Victim Advocate	685
# DoD Safe Helpline	508
# Other	96
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>316</b>
# Medical	29
# Behavioral Health	62
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	15
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	5
# Rape Crisis Center	16
# Victim Advocate	163
# DoD-Safe-Helpline	
# Other	36
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	94
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	0
# Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service	54
<b>B FY22 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO) AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS UNRESTRICTED REPORTS</b>	<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>
<b># Military Protective Orders issued during FY22</b>	<b>49</b>
<b># Reported MPO Violations in FY22</b>	<b>1</b>
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	1
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	0
<i>In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued on Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk for the Victim</i>	
	<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	1
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	213
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	7
	Victim is a subject in a separate criminal investigation
	Victim is pending a medical evaluation board
<b>C SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS</b>	<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>3472</b>
# Medical	421
# Behavioral Health	639
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	578
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	566
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uninformed Victim Advocate	620
# DoD Safe Helpline	551
# Other	99
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>339</b>
# Medical	20
# Behavioral Health	63
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	8
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	8
# Rape Crisis Center	168
# Victim Advocate	10
# DoD-Safe-Helpline	
# Other	50
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	46
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam	2

Support Services (continued)

CIVILIAN DATA	
D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER	FY22 Totals
<b>D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:</b>	<b>66</b>
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	31
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	9
# Relevant Data Not Available	26
<b>D2. Gender of Non-Service Members</b>	<b>66</b>
# Male	5
# Female	61
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>66</b>
# 0-15	1
# 16-19	2
# 20-24	9
# 25-34	15
# 35-49	7
# 50-64	2
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	30
<b>D4. Non-Service Member Type</b>	<b>66</b>
# DoD Civilian	29
# DoD Contractor	2
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# US Civilian	33
# Foreign National	1
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
<b>D5. # Support service referrals for Non Service Members in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>142</b>
# Medical	15
# Behavioral Health	19
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	31
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	17
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	36
# DoD Safe Helpline	22
# Other	2
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>99</b>
# Medical	9
# Behavioral Health	18
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	10
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	13
# Rape Crisis Center	25
# Victim Advocate	11
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	13
<b># Cases where SAFE kits were conducted</b>	<b>11</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>E. FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON SERVICE MEMBERS</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report</b>	<b>15</b>
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	4
<b># Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	<b>11</b>
<b># Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:</b>	<b>11</b>
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	6
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
<b>E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims</b>	<b>11</b>
# Male	1
# Female	10
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>11</b>
# 0-15	1
# 16-19	3
# 20-24	1
# 25-34	5
# 35-49	1
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E4. VICTIM Type</b>	<b>11</b>
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# Non-Service Member	9
# Relevant Data Not Available	2
<b>E5. # Support service referrals for Non Service Member Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources</b>	<b>27</b>
# Medical	3
# Behavioral Health	5
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	2
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	7
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	6
# DoD Safe Helpline	4
# Other	0
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>6</b>
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	4
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	0
# Victim Advocate	1
# DoD Safe Helpline	0
# Other	0
<b># Cases where SAFE kits were conducted</b>	<b>2</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>



Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FY22 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY Note: These Reports are a subset of the FY22 Reports of Sexual Assault.		
A. FY22 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members. Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY22. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year. This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAID) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.	FY22 Totals	
<b># FY22 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)</b>	42	
# Service Member Victims	41	
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject	1	
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	
<b># Unrestricted Reports in the following categories</b>	42	
# Service Member on Service Member	31	
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	1	
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	1	
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	6	
# Relevant Data Not Available	3	
<b># Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring</b>	42	
# On military installation	38	
# Off military installation	4	
# Unidentified location	0	
<b># Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation</b>	42	
<b># Victims in investigations initiated during FY22</b>	39	
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2022	3	
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2022	36	
<b># Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming</b>	0	
<b># Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement</b>	3	
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ	0	
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0	
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service	0	
# Victims - Other	3	
<b># All Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest received in FY22 (one Victim per report)</b>	44	
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)	5	
<b># Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY22</b>	39	
<b>B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST FOR FY22</b>	FY22 Totals	FY22 Totals for Service Member Victim Cases
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report</b>	42	41
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	9	9
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	4	4
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	7	7
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	17	16
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	5	5
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
<b>Time of sexual assault</b>	42	41
# Midnight to 6 am	15	14
# 6 am to 6 pm	4	4
# 6 pm to midnight	22	22
# Unknown	1	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0
<b>Day of sexual assault</b>	42	41
# Sunday	6	6
# Monday	2	2
# Tuesday	7	7
# Wednesday	5	5
# Thursday	7	7
# Friday	6	6
# Saturday	9	8
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

C. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY22 Totals		
		28	3	2	1	1	4	0	3	42	
# Service Member on Service Member	27	1	2	1	0	4	0	3	31		
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	6		
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3		
<b>FY22 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)</b>											
<b>UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY22</b>	<i>Penetrating Offenses</i>				<i>Contact Offenses</i>						
D. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)	Rape (Art 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art 120)	Indecent Assault (Art 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
<b>D1</b>	0	0	9	0	0	24	0	0	1	0	42
# Service Member on Service Member	0	0	8	0	0	20	0	0	1	0	31
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	3
<b>D2</b>											
<b>TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY22 Reports</b>	0	0	9	0	0	24	0	0	1	7	41
# Service Member Victims: Female	0	0	8	0	0	20	0	0	1	4	35
# Service Member Victims: Male	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	6
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREA OF INTEREST MADE IN FY22</b>											
<b>D3. Time of sexual assault</b>	0	0	9	0	0	24	0	0	1	8	42
# Midnight to 6 am	0	0	2	0	0	11	0	0	0	2	15
# 6 am to 6 pm	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	4
# 6 pm to midnight	0	0	7	0	0	10	0	0	0	4	22
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>D4. Day of sexual assault</b>	0	0	9	0	0	24	0	0	1	8	42
# Sunday	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	6
# Monday	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
# Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	7
# Wednesday	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	5
# Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	2	7
# Friday	0	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	6
# Saturday	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	9
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST LOCATION OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE											
FY22 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST LOCATIONS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT Note: The data in this section is drawn from raw, uninvestigated information about Unrestricted Reports received during FY22. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.	Rape (Art 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art 120)	Indecent Assault (Art 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
<b>TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS</b>	0	0	9	0	0	24	0	0	1	8	42
Afghanistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Bahrain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bahreini	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iraq	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jordan	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Kosovo	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Kuwait	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	4
Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Qatar	0	0	5	0	0	13	0	0	0	1	20
Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Somalia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Turkey	0	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	9
Uae	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	4	8
Yemen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS</b>	0	0	9	0	0	24	0	0	1	8	42

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

E. SUMMARY OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS WITH INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY22 Totals
<b>E1. Subjects in Unrestricted Reports Made to Your Service with Investigation Initiated During FY22 in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: This data is drawn from DSAID based on Service affiliation of the SARC who currently manages the Victim case associated with the investigation and Subject below.	
<b># Investigations Initiated during FY22</b>	<b>35</b>
# Investigations Completed as of FY22 End (group by MCIO #)	26
# Investigations Pending Completion as of FY22 End (group by MCIO #)	9
<b># Subjects in investigations Initiated During FY22</b>	<b>36</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>	<b>3</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	1
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	2
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>26</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	26
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Service Investigations</b>	<b>1</b>
Note: Non-Service Member Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Service Investigations</b>	<b>6</b>
Note: Unidentified Subjects are drawn from all CID, NCIS and AFOSI investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement</b>	<b>0</b>
Note: Service Member Subjects are drawn from Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service.	
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Civilian or Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Subject or Investigation Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>E2. Service Investigations Completed during FY22 in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: The following data is drawn from DSAID and describes criminal investigations completed during the FY22. These investigations may have been initiated during the FY22 or any prior FY.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by Services during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>34</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	3
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	1
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	1
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>34</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by CID</b>	<b>2</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by CID	2
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by NCIS	0
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI</b>	<b>25</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	25
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by AFOSI	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in completed Service Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>5</b>
<b># Subject Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>38</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in CID investigations</b>	<b>2</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in CID investigations	2
# Other Service Member Victims in CID investigations	0
<b># Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations</b>	<b>1</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in NCIS investigations	1
<b># Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations</b>	<b>34</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	34
# Other Service Member Victims in AFOSI investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in completed Service Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># Victim Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

<b>E3. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by US Civilian and Foreign Agencies during FY22 in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by US Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>0</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Subject Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victims in investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in Civilian and Foreign Law Enforcement Investigations in a case supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victim Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>E4. Subjects and Victims in Investigations Completed by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID (MPs) during FY22 (all organizations regardless of name are abbreviated below as MPs ) in Combat Areas of Interest</b>	
Note: This data is entered by your Service SARC for cases supported by your Service.	
Note: As of 1 Jan 2013, all sexual assault investigations are referred to MCIO for investigation. This section captures remaining Subjects from investigations opened in prior years by Military Police/Security Forces/Master At Arms/Marine Corps CID.	
<b># Total Investigations completed by MPs during FY22 (Group by MCIO Case Number)</b>	<b>0</b>
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Subject	0
# Of these investigations with more than one Victim and more than one Subject	0
<b># Subjects in MP investigations completed during FY22 involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
# Other Service Member Subjects investigated by MPs	0
<b># Non-Service Member Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Unidentified Subjects in MPs involving a Victim supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Subject Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victims in MP investigations completed during FY22, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Service Member Victims in MP investigations</b>	<b>0</b>
# Your Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
# Other Service Member Victims in MP investigations	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victims in MP Investigations, supported by your Service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Victim Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>0</b>

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

Victims in Investigation Completed in FY22 in Combat Areas of Interest	Victim Data From Investigations completed during FY22												
	Penetrating Offenses				Contact Offenses							Offense Code Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
	Rape (Art 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art. 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art 120)	Indecent Assault (Art 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art 80)				
<b>F. DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY22 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b> (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)													
<b>F1. Gender of Victims</b>	0	0	14	0	0	16	0	0	1	7	38		
# Male	0	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	1	8			
# Female	0	0	11	0	0	12	0	0	0	30			
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
<b>F2. Age of Victims</b>	0	0	14	0	0	16	0	0	1	7	38		
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0			
# 16-19	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	7			
# 20-24	0	0	4	0	0	7	0	0	0	13			
# 25-34	0	0	5	0	0	4	0	0	0	13			
# 35-49	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	4			
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1			
<b>F3. Victim Type</b>	0	0	14	0	0	16	0	0	1	7	38		
# Service Member	0	0	14	0	0	16	0	0	1	37			
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
<b>F4. Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	0	0	14	0	0	16	0	0	1	6	37		
# E1-E4	0	0	2	0	0	12	0	0	0	4	28		
# E5-E9	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	7	13		
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
# O1-O3	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2			
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
<b>F5. Service of Service Member Victims</b>	0	0	14	0	0	16	0	0	1	6	37		
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
# Air Force	0	0	14	0	0	15	0	0	1	6	36		
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
<b>F6. Status of Service Member Victims</b>	0	0	14	0	0	16	0	0	1	6	37		
# Active Duty	0	0	14	0	0	15	0	0	0	4	33		
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0			
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

G DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS IN INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN FY22 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST (Investigation Completed within the reporting period. These investigations may have been opened in current or prior Fiscal Years)	Subject Data From Investigations completed during FY22										FY22 Totals
	Penetrating Offenses					Contact Offenses					
	Rape (Art 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art 120)	Forcible Sodomy (Pre-2019 Art 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Oct07-Jun12) (Art 120)	Indecent Assault (Art 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
<b>G1. Gender of Subjects</b>	0	0	13	0	0	14	0	0	1	0	34
# Male	0	0	10	0	0	10	0	0	1	0	22
# Female	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
# Unknown	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G2. Age of Subjects</b>	0	0	13	0	0	14	0	0	1	0	34
# 0-15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 16-19	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# 20-24	0	0	4	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	9
# 25-34	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	11
# 35-49	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	4
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G3. Subject Type</b>	0	0	13	0	0	14	0	0	1	0	34
# Service Member	0	0	10	0	0	11	0	1	0	0	22
# Drill Instructors/Drill Sergeants	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Recruiters	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# DoD Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Other US Government Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# US Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign National	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign Military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G4. Grade of Service Member Subjects</b>	0	0	10	0	0	11	0	0	1	0	22
# E1-E4	0	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	8
# E5-E9	0	0	6	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	11
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# O1-O3	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G5. Service of Service Member Subjects</b>	0	0	10	0	0	11	0	0	1	0	22
# Army	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marines	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	0	0	3	0	0	10	0	1	0	0	14
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>G6. Status of Service Member Subjects</b>	0	0	10	0	0	11	0	0	1	0	22
# Active Duty	0	0	10	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	21
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

H. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN COMPLETED FY22 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY22 Totals	H1. ASSOCIATED VICTIM DATA FOR COMPLETED FY22 INVESTIGATIONS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY22 Totals
<b># Subjects in Unrestricted Reports that could not be investigated by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement</b> <b>Note: These Subjects are from Unrestricted Reports referred to MCIOs or other law enforcement for investigation during FY22, but the agency could not open an investigation based on the reasons below.</b>	1		
# Subjects - Not subject to the UCMJ	0		
# Subjects - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0		
# Subjects - Matter alleged occurred prior to Victim's Military Service	0		
# Subjects - Other	1		
<b># Subjects in investigations completed in FY22</b> <b>Note: These are Subjects from Tab1b, Cells B29, B59, B77.</b>	35	<b># Victims in investigations completed in FY22</b>	38
<b># Service Member Subjects in investigations opened and completed in FY22</b>	22	<b># Service Member Victims in investigations opened and completed in FY22</b>	29
<b># Total Subjects with allegations unfounded by a Military Criminal Investigative Organization</b>	3	<b># Total Victims associated with MCIO unfounded allegations</b>	3
# Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	3	# Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	3
# Non-Service Member Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Non-Service Member Victims involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
# Unidentified Subjects with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0		
# Subjects with Subject data not yet available and with allegations unfounded by MCIO	0	# Victims with Victim data not yet available and involved in MCIO unfounded allegations	0
<b># Total Subjects Outside DoD Prosecutive Authority</b>	2		
# Unknown Offenders	1	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Unknown Offender Reports	0
# US Civilians or Foreign National Subjects not subject to the UCMJ	1	# Service Member Victims in remaining Unknown Offender Reports	1
# Service Members Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0	# Service Member Victims in substantiated Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	1
# Subjects who died or deserted	0	# Service Member Victims in remaining Civilian/Foreign National Subject Reports	0
		# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports against a Service Member who is being Prosecuted by a Civilian or Foreign Authority	0
		# Service Member Victims in substantiated reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
		# Service Member Victims in remaining reports with a deceased or deserted Subject	0
<b># Total Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault</b>	2		
# Service Member Subjects where Victim declined to participate in the military justice action	1	# Service Member Victims who declined to participate in the military justice action	1
# Service Member Subjects whose investigations had insufficient evidence to prosecute	1	# Service Member Victims in investigations having insufficient evidence to prosecute	1
# Service Member Subjects whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0	# Service Member Victims whose cases involved expired statute of limitations	0
# Service Member Subjects with allegations that were unfounded by Command	0	# Service Member Victims whose allegations were unfounded by Command	0
# Service Member Subjects with Victims who died before completion of military justice action	0	# Service Member Victims who died before completion of the military justice action	0
<b># Subjects disposition data not yet available</b>	26	<b># Service Member Victims involved in reports with Subject disposition data not yet available</b>	29
<b># Subjects for whom Command Action was completed as of 30-SEP-2022</b>	2		
<b># FY22 Service Member Subjects where evidence supported Command Action</b>	2	<b># FY22 Service Member Victims in cases where evidence supported Command Action</b>	2
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferals against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15 UCMJ)	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishments (Article 15) against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Administrative discharges against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions against Subject	0
# Service Member Subjects: Courts-Martial charge preferred for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Courts-Martial preferals for non-sexual assault offenses	0
# Service Member Subjects: Non-judicial punishment for non-sexual assault offense	2	# Service Member Victims involved with Nonjudicial punishment for non-sexual assault offenses	2
# Service Member Subjects: Administrative discharges for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with administrative discharges for non-SA offense	0
# Service Member Subjects: Other adverse administrative actions for non-sexual assault offense	0	# Service Member Victims involved with Other administrative actions for non-SA offense	0
<b>Unrestricted Reports that convert to Unrestricted Reports are counted with the total number of Unrestricted Reports.</b>			



Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

A. FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST		FY22 Totals
<b># TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports</b>		<b>44</b>
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports		44
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b># Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22*</b>		<b>5</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22		5
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b># Total Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>		<b>39</b>
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted		39
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b># Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories</b>		<b>39</b>
# Service Member on Service Member		36
# Non-Service Member on Service Member		2
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)		0
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member		1
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>B. INCIDENT DETAILS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Reported sexual assaults occurring</b>		<b>39</b>
# On military installation		33
# Off military installation		6
# Unidentified location		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report</b>		<b>39</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault		3
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault		2
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault		2
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault		5
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault		20
# Relevant Data Not Available		7
<b>Time of sexual assault incident</b>		<b>39</b>
# Midnight to 6 am		10
# 6 am to 6 pm		6
# 6 pm to midnight		19
# Unknown		4
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>Day of sexual assault incident</b>		<b>39</b>
# Sunday		3
# Monday		2
# Tuesday		5
# Wednesday		1
# Thursday		4
# Friday		10
# Saturday		7
# Relevant Data Not Available		7
<b>C. RESTRICTED REPORTING VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims</b>		<b>39</b>
# Army Victims		0
# Navy Victims		1
# Marines Victims		0
# Air Force Victims		38
# Coast Guard Victims		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0

Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST	FY22 Totals
<b>Gender of Victims</b>	<b>39</b>
# Male	5
# Female	34
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Age of Victims at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>39</b>
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	2
# 20-24	17
# 25-34	17
# 35-49	3
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>39</b>
# E1-E4	14
# E5-E9	19
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	3
# O4-O10	3
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Status of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>39</b>
# Active Duty	35
# Reserve (Activated)	3
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	1
# Cadet/Midshipman/Prep School Student	0
# Academy Prep School Student	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Victim Type</b>	<b>39</b>
# Service Member	39
# DoD Civilian	0
# DoD Contractor	0
# Other US Government Civilian	0
# Non-Service Member	0
# Foreign National	0
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service</b>	<b>0</b>
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	0
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	0
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY) IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	17.4
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	19.53
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	6
<b>G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY22 IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22</b>	<b>0</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	0
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>TOTAL # FY22 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>39</b>
Afghanistan	3
Bahrain	0
Djibouti	1
Iraq	5
Jordan	3
Kosovo	0
Kuwait	4
Lebanon	0
Pakistan	0
Qatar	13
Saudi Arabia	3
Somalia	0
Syria	0
Turkey	2
Uae	5
Yemen	0
* The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.	

Support Services in Combat Areas of Interest

AIR FORCE CAI FY22 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
<i>NOTE: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>	
<b>A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>162</b>
# Medical	18
# Behavioral Health	28
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)	34
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	26
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	32
# DoD Safe Helpline	19
# Other	5
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>9</b>
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	1
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	5
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	
# Other	3
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>	<b>6</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>B. FY22 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS UNRESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>
<b># Military Protective Orders issued during FY22</b>	<b>3</b>
<b># Reported MPO Violations in FY22</b>	<b>0</b>
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault	0
# Reported MPO Violations by Both	0
<i>*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk for the Victim.</i>	
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	0
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	0
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault	9
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied	1
<b>C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>	
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>152</b>
# Medical	17
# Behavioral Health	32
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	28
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	23
# Rape Crisis Center	
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	28
# DoD Safe Helpline	21
# Other	3
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>	<b>9</b>
# Medical	0
# Behavioral Health	2
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)	0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Rape Crisis Center	5
# Victim Advocate	0
# DoD Safe Helpline	
# Other	2
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>	<b>1</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>

Support Services in Combat Areas of Interest (continued)

CIVILIAN DATA		
<b>D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) THAT DO NOT INVOLVE A SERVICE MEMBER IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:</b>		<b>0</b>
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member		0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>D2. Gender of Non-Service Members</b>		<b>0</b>
# Male		0
# Female		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident</b>		<b>0</b>
# 0-15		0
# 16-19		0
# 20-24		0
# 25-34		0
# 35-49		0
# 50-64		0
# 65 and older		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>D4. Non-Service Member Type</b>		<b>0</b>
# DoD Civilian		0
# DoD Contractor		0
# Other US Government Civilian		0
# US Civilian		0
# Foreign National		0
# Foreign Military		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>D5. # Support service referrals for Non Service Members in the following categories</b>		<b>0</b>
<b># MILITARY Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>		<b>0</b>
# Medical		0
# Behavioral Health		0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)		0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		0
# Rape Crisis Center		0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate		0
# DoD Safe Helpline		0
# Other		0
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>		<b>0</b>
# Medical		0
# Behavioral Health		0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)		0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		0
# Rape Crisis Center		0
# Victim Advocate		0
# DoD Safe Helpline		0
# Other		0
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>		<b>0</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>		<b>0</b>
<b>E. FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON SERVICE MEMBERS IN COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST</b>		<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report</b>		<b>0</b>
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22		0
<b># Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>		<b>0</b>
<b># Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:</b>		<b>0</b>
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)		0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims</b>		<b>0</b>
# Male		0
# Female		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident</b>		<b>0</b>
# 0-15		0
# 16-19		0
# 20-24		0
# 25-34		0
# 35-49		0
# 50-64		0
# 65 and older		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>E4. VICTIM Type</b>		<b>0</b>
# DoD Civilian		0
# DoD Contractor		0
# Other US Government Civilian		0
# Non-Service Member		0
# Relevant Data Not Available		0
<b>E5. # Support service referrals for Non Service Member Victims in the following categories</b>		<b>0</b>
<b># MILITARY Resources</b>		<b>0</b>
# Medical		0
# Behavioral Health		0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)		0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		0
# Rape Crisis Center		0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate		0
# DoD Safe Helpline		0
# Other		0
<b># CIVILIAN Resources (Referred by DoD)</b>		<b>0</b>
# Medical		0
# Behavioral Health		0
# Legal/Special Victims' Counsel(SVC)		0
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support		0
# Rape Crisis Center		0
# Victim Advocate		0
# DoD Safe Helpline		0
# Other		0
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>		<b>0</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>		<b>0</b>





Unrestricted Report Case Synopses

Case Number	Case Title	Case Type	Case Status	Case Category	Case Sub-Category	Case Priority	Case Urgency	Case Complexity	Case Risk	Case Impact	Case Effort	Case Cost	Case Resources	Case Location	Case Date	Case Description	Case Outcome	Case Status	Case Notes	
10	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 10)	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 10)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 10)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 10)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 10)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 10)
11	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 11)	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 11)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 11)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 11)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 11)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 11)
12	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 12)	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 12)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 12)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 12)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 12)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 12)
13	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 13)	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 13)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 13)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 13)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 13)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 13)
14	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 14)	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 14)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 14)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 14)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 14)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 14)
15	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 15)	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 15)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 15)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 15)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 15)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 15)
16	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 16)	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 16)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 16)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 16)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 16)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 16)
17	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 17)	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 17)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 17)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 17)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 17)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 17)
18	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 18)	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 18)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 18)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 18)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 18)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 18)
19	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 19)	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 19)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 19)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 19)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 19)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 19)
20	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 20)	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 20)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 20)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 20)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 20)	Shower Shower/Control (Sh. 20)











Unrestricted Report Case Synopses

Case No.	Case Title	Author	Year	Month	Day	Page	Section	Category	Sub-Category	Keywords	Abstract	Notes	Comments	Other Info	Accession No.	Availability	Notes
101	Shoulder (201-101)	John	1978	10	10	10	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder
102	Shoulder (201-102)	David	1978	10	10	10	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder
103	Shoulder (201-103)	John	1978	10	10	10	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder
104	Shoulder (201-104)	John	1978	10	10	10	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder
105	Shoulder (201-105)	John	1978	10	10	10	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder
106	Shoulder (201-106)	John	1978	10	10	10	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder
107	Shoulder (201-107)	John	1978	10	10	10	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder
108	Shoulder (201-108)	John	1978	10	10	10	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder
109	Shoulder (201-109)	John	1978	10	10	10	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder
110	Shoulder (201-110)	John	1978	10	10	10	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder
111	Shoulder (201-111)	John	1978	10	10	10	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder
112	Shoulder (201-112)	John	1978	10	10	10	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder
113	Shoulder (201-113)	John	1978	10	10	10	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder
114	Shoulder (201-114)	John	1978	10	10	10	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder
115	Shoulder (201-115)	John	1978	10	10	10	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder
116	Shoulder (201-116)	John	1978	10	10	10	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder
117	Shoulder (201-117)	John	1978	10	10	10	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder
118	Shoulder (201-118)	John	1978	10	10	10	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder
119	Shoulder (201-119)	John	1978	10	10	10	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder
120	Shoulder (201-120)	John	1978	10	10	10	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder	Shoulder

Case ID	Case Name	Case Type	Case Status	Case Category	Case Sub-Category	Case Priority	Case Urgency	Case Complexity	Case Risk	Case Impact	Case Effort	Case Budget	Case Resources	Case Start Date	Case End Date	Case Progress	Case Notes	Case Comments	Case Attachments
115	Abundant Thrush (2014-115)	South Korea	At Risk	0.5	Medium	At Risk	0.7	High						12/20/2014	12/31/2014	100%	Abundant Thrush	Completed	Abundant Thrush (2014-115)
116	Abundant Thrush (2014-116)	South Korea	At Risk	0.5	Medium	At Risk	0.7	High						12/20/2014	12/31/2014	100%	Abundant Thrush	Completed	Abundant Thrush (2014-116)
117	Abundant Thrush (2014-117)	South Korea	At Risk	0.5	Medium	At Risk	0.7	High						12/20/2014	12/31/2014	100%	Abundant Thrush	Completed	Abundant Thrush (2014-117)
118	Abundant Thrush (2014-118)	South Korea	At Risk	0.5	Medium	At Risk	0.7	High						12/20/2014	12/31/2014	100%	Abundant Thrush	Completed	Abundant Thrush (2014-118)

No.	Program Responsible for Investigating Retaliation Allegation(s)	Nature of Allegations Investigated for the Reporter of Retaliation	UCMJ Criminal Act for a Retaliatory Purpose in Connection with an Alleged Sex-Related Offense	Reporter Type	Reporter Affiliation	Reporter Pay Grade	Reporter Gender	Retaliator Type	Retaliator Affiliation	Retaliator Pay Grade	Retaliator Gender	CMG or Command Action Regarding Alleged Retaliation Case	Findings of the Retaliation Investigation	Were the Results Provided to the Reporter?	Court Case or Article 15 Outcome	Narrative/Case Synopsis Notes
1	Air Force IG	Reprisal Actions	N/A	Military	Air Force	O-2	Female	Military	Air Force	O-4	Male	Information referred to Command	Informal/verbal counseling of alleged retaliator	Yes	N/A	Command investigation disclosed that the reporter was not the victim of sexual assault but that she possessed information regarding a sexual assault. Reporter was threatened with adverse action by her flight commander if reporter did not disclose the information she knew about the sexual assault. Retaliator received informal verbal counseling for his actions.
2	AFOSI	Cruelty or Maltreatment	Assault Art. 128	Military	Air Force	E-3	Female	Military	Air Force	E-5	Female	Information referred to MCIQ	Action Pending	Yes	N/A	Sexual assault Victim reported retaliation by her supervisor. At the time and place of the incident, while at an off-base establishment, Victim reported she was pushed and punched in the back by her supervisor. Victim also reported that her supervisor is a friend of the person accused in Victim's sexual assault case.



## Enclosure 4: National Guard Bureau





## NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU

1636 DEFENSE PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON DC 20301-1636

MAR 06 2023

### MEMORANDUM FOR UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR PERSONNEL AND READINESS

SUBJECT: National Guard Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Fiscal Year 2022  
Review

The National Guard Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program review is attached for inclusion in the Department of Defense Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military.

This review details the integrative efforts employed to achieve the strategic and operational initiatives of the National Guard's Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program. The increased collaboration and greater sense of community intensified our determination toward eliminating these unacceptable behaviors within our organization.

Fiscal Year 2022 highlights include:

- Implementing Tier 1, Tier 2, Tier 3 Independent Review Commission and National Guard Prevention Task Force recommendations.
- Increasing the fulltime National Guard Sexual Assault Prevention and Response staffing in the States to increase victim support and strengthen community partnerships.
- Establishing a dedicated integrated primary prevention workforce in the States to reduce harmful behaviors.
- Creating a Sexual Harassment Operational Planning Team to review sexual harassment policy and processes.
- Expanding the Site Assessment and Assistance Visit process.

The point of contact for this action is Major General Wendy B. Wenke, National Guard Bureau Manpower and Personnel Directorate, at 703-604-9540.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "Daniel R. Hokanson", is positioned above the printed name and title.

Daniel R. Hokanson  
General, U.S. Army  
Chief, National Guard Bureau

Attachment:  
As stated



## FY 2022 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military Executive Summary: National Guard Bureau

“Always Ready, Always There” encapsulates the essence of the National Guard of the States, Territories, and the District of Columbia (hereafter referred to as “States”). As our National Guard Service members continue to be an integral part of addressing the challenges facing the Joint Force and our communities where we live, our National Guard Bureau (NGB) and National Guard leadership are no less committed to its most valued asset – its People! The Chief of the National Guard Bureau (CNGB) is unwavering in his commitment to make a positive difference for our National Guard family of Soldiers and Airmen and their families, and civilian employees using an integrated approach to battling sexual assault, sexual harassment, allegations of retaliation, and other derisive behaviors within our ranks. The Sexual Assault Prevention Task Force (SAPTF) and Suicide Prevention Task Force (SPFT) mandated by the CNGB in Fiscal Year 2021 (FY21) continued its contributions during Fiscal Year 2022 (FY22), as did the General Officer Steering Committee (GOSC) led by the Vice Chief of the National Guard Bureau. The SAPTF’s and SPFT’s immediate focus in FY22 was to progress with development of the GOSC approved recommendations with a corresponding plan of action and milestones (POAM) for the lines of effort with the intent on improving prevention efforts, training, and accountability. Concurrently, NGB and the National Guard focused on the Independent Review Commission (IRC) recommendations and the implementation plan as directed by the Secretary of Defense. The NGB and the National Guard of the States are determined to complete and implement these recommendations in a manner that prompts every National Guard member to step forward and take action to prevent sexual assault, domestic violence, sexual harassment, retaliation, and other activities and attitudes that erode our core values and persona of our force.

This FY22 summary of sexual assaults occurring within the National Guard shows a trend that is not acceptable and demands increased collaboration and cooperation within the NGB, the Services, The Adjutants General (TAGs) of the States and Commanding General of the District of Columbia NG (hereafter referred to as TAGs), and our community partners. In FY21 and FY22 reports increased by 11.04 and 21.59 percent, respectively. The National Guard attributes these rises in percentage on two primary factors, the return of pre-pandemic operational execution in FY22, and increased comfort and confidence in the reporting services. Of the 856 sexual assaults reported in FY22, 295 sexual assaults occurred within FY22. The remaining 561 incidents occurred in prior years. The National Guard will continue to focus on reducing barriers that might delay reporting, identifying risk factors, assessing program implementation, and providing resources to the States to change or eliminate the underlying causes resulting in these sexual assaults.

This report details the FY22 strategic initiatives of the NGB and the operational initiatives of the National Guard’s Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program. It highlights the progress made in initiating, completing and implementing IRC and SAPTF recommendations, establishing an integrated prevention program, enhancing communication efforts with our counterparts both within the Department of Defense (DoD)

and local communities, embracing a joint approach to victim advocacy and training, identifying high-risk markers, improving the National Guard's response capability, and improving investigative capabilities.

## **Goal 1 – Prevention**

The National Guard prepared a POAM to implement the Under Secretary of Defense Guidance for Implementing Tier 1, Tier 2, Tier 3 Recommendations of the “Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military.” In addition, the CNGB directed a GOSC-led SAPTF and SPTF to develop actionable items to reduce sexual harassment, sexual assault and harmful behaviors in the National Guard. Listed below is a summary of the progress made by NGB on these recommendations during FY22.

- Three IRC recommendations completed:
  - ✓ Recommendation 2.6.b: Review and Update all Policies that Restrict Data Collection on Important Populations of Service members.
  - ✓ Recommendation 2.7.a: Establish a Comprehensive National Guard Primary Prevention Strategy.
  - ✓ Recommendation 4.2.e: Amplify Victim's Rights and Services in the Post-Trial Period.
  
- Four IRC Recommendations are pending final review and publication:
  - ✓ Recommendation 3.8: Publish the Nature and Results of All Disciplinary Actions Related to Sexual Misconduct and Disseminate to Troops.
  - ✓ Recommendation 4.3.a: Implement the No Wrong Door Approach to Sexual Harassment, Sexual Assault and Domestic Abuse Across the Military Services.
  - ✓ Recommendation 4.3.d: Maximize Adherence to Survivor Preference on Reporting Status, Survivor Preference in Expedited Transfer.
  - ✓ Recommendation C1: DoD Should Immediately Make Sexual Harassment Victims Eligible for SAPR Services and Undertake a Review of All Policies and Structures Tasked with Addressing Elements of the Military's Sexual Harassment Response. (Interim Guidance)
  
- Three SAPTF Recommendations completed:
  - ✓ Recommendation 3: Provide Leaders with Tools to Ensure Appropriate Response to Sexual Assault, Sexual Harassment, and Retaliation (Leader's Handbook).
  - ✓ Recommendation 7: Train National Guard SAPR Professionals on Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs) and Memorandums of Agreement (MOAs) to Support Development of Engagement Plan for Community Partnerships.
  - ✓ Recommendation 14: Establish a Program for Alcohol Planning Guidance.

- Completed two SPTF Recommendations.
  - ✓ Recommendation 1: Create Organizational Efficiencies to Improve Resource Collaboration and Remove Programmatic Silos by establishing a staffing model to support collocation of support agencies at the State level
  - ✓ Recommendation 19: Incorporate lethal means safety training and practices into existing prevention efforts. Lethal means safety training was incorporated into all pre command courses and Project SafeGuard was initiated along with a year long public messaging campaign.

## **Goal 2 – Victim Assistance and Advocacy**

- Executed the strategy to improve manpower and resource shortfalls resulting in an increase in fulltime Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) and SAPR Victim Advocate (VA) positions within the National Guard SAPR programs at State level.
- Hosted Annual Refresher Training for fulltime State Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs) and SAPR Victim Advocates (VAs) and provided four webinars focused on the DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (SAPRO) Men’s campaign.
- Developed and published the National Guard Joint Initial SAPR Course and gained National Organization for Victim Assistance (NOVA) approval for use in training of fulltime National Guard SARCs and SAPR VAs.
- Monitored and tracked world-wide and Southwest Border deployments to help ensure deploying SARCs received required screenings and training to achieve certification.
- Implemented a tracking method for all Brigade, Wing, and Battalion fulltime and collateral duty SARCs and SAPR VAs training.
- Implemented a process to conduct thorough background investigations on all appointed SARCs and SAPR VAs.
- Consolidated the existing Army National Guard (ARNG) and Air National Guard (ANG) Regional Leads into one branch for a joint regional approach.
- Implemented the SAPR Advisory Committee Charter providing the State SAPR Programs a platform to voice questions and assist with policy development.

### **Goal 3 – Investigation**

- NGB Office of Complex Investigations (NGB OCI) restructured the organization to add two mid-level investigator supervisors to facilitate the delivery of timely guidance and feedback to investigators.
- NGB OCI initiated the revision of their CNGB Instruction, Manual, and standard operating procedures to document organizational changes.
- J1-SAPR created two Directive Type Memoranda to implement the National Guard's use of the Department of Defense DD Form 3114, "*Uniform Command Disposition Report*" and the NGB Form 912, "*Section 540K Declination Letter*."

### **Goal 4 – Accountability**

- J1-SAPR integrated a review of accountability actions into the State Site Assessment and Assistance Visit (SAAV) process.
- Through information sharing with NGB OCI, J1-SAPR personnel reviewed the cases referred to and substantiated by NGB OCI and validated that administrative action was taken against the subject. This information was presented to State SAPR Professionals and senior leaders to ensure their visibility on any trends or lack of action occurring within the State.
- The Vice Chief of the National Guard Bureau (VCNGB) initiated a Sexual Harassment Operational Planning Team (OPT) to review sexual harassment policy and processes. The Sexual Harassment OPT has three lines of effort: (1) Sexual Harassment Investigators, (2) Sexual Harassment and Sexual Assault Due Process, (3) Streamlining of Sexual Harassment Data, Policies, and Programs.
- Published a CNGB memorandum, "Publication of Accountability Actions Taken in Sexual Assault, Domestic Sexual Abuse, and Sexual Harassment Cases" to comply with the IRC recommendation to publicize disciplinary actions on a website accessible to National Guard members.

### **Goal 5 – Assessment**

- Continued to provide the States with quarterly Health of the Force Scorecards with key programmatic metrics.
- Expanded the SAAV process and employed J1-SAPR assessment teams to execute 18 visits in FY22.
- Coordinated with all 90 ANG Wings to ensure completion of their annual SAPR By-Law inspections and served as the Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification

Program (D-SAACP) certified SAPR subject matter expert in 70 ANG By-Law Inspections.

- Conducted 42 Continual Evaluations and four Unit Effectiveness Inspections at the Wings during FY22.

The NGB and National Guard of the States remain steadfast in our commitment to improve the integrated efforts in support of every Service member and member of our National Guard community to ensure they have a safe environment in which to work, and are treated with dignity, respect and compassion.

**1. Goal 1 Prevention: “institutionalize evidenced based, informed prevention practice and policies across the Department so that all Military Service members are treated with dignity and respect, and have the knowledge, tools, and support needed to prevent sexual assaults.**

**1.1 Efforts to Address Approved Independent Review Commission (IRC) Recommendations and the Prevention Plan of Action (PPoA): Identify major actions completed or underway to address the approved recommendations of the IRC Lines of Effort listed below.**

- a. **Line of Effort 2: Prevention – Specifically address actions undertaken during FY 2022 to address the IRC prevention recommendations, the initiatives required under the Secretary of Defense’s Immediate Actions Memorandum and follow-on guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, as well as the 2019-2023 PPoA. Address your efforts under each of the requirements listed below:**
- **Immediate Action 1. Assess Compliance with Sexual Assault and Harassment Policies and Integrated Violence Prevention Efforts**
  - **Immediate Action 2. Conduct Evaluation at High Risk Installations**
  - **Immediate Action 3. Establish a Violence Prevention Workforce**
  - **2019-2023 Prevention Plan of Action (Phase III Execution and Phase IV Evaluation)**
- b. **Line of Effort 3: Climate and Culture**

**(Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (OUSD(P&R) Memorandum, “Execution of the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention Plan of Action,” (April 26, 2019) / 2019-2023 DoD Prevention Plan of Action (April 2019) / Secretary of Defense (SecDef) Memorandum, “Immediate Actions to Counter Sexual Assault and Harassment and the Establishment of a 90-Day Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military,” (February 26, 2021) / OUSD(P&R) Memorandum, “Implementation of Immediate Actions to Counter Sexual Assault and Harassment in the Military,” (March 22, 2021) / SecDef Memorandum, “Department of Defense Actions and Implementation Guidance to Address Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment in the Military,” (July 2, 2021) / SecDef Memorandum, “Commencing DoD Actions and Implementation to Address Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment in the Military: Independent Review Commission Recommendation-Implementation Roadmap,” (September 22, 2021))**

NGB made significant shifts in scope and ownership of sexual assault primary prevention activities in FY21 to include synchronizing primary prevention efforts and capabilities under the responsibility of the NGB Manpower and Personnel Warrior Resilience and Fitness Division, establishing a SAPTF to develop recommendations to meet the goals of its Prevention Plan of Action, and forming a GOSC to review the recommendations of the SAPTF. The GOSC approved 19 SAPTF recommendations and selected nine of them for completion within FY22. On 5 January 2022, a SAPTF Implementation Working Group composed of one member from each of the SAPR regions across the States conducted their kick-off meeting and met regularly throughout FY22 to develop a plan to complete and implement the nine recommendations. The SAPTF Working Group developed its POAM to complete and implement the GOSC approved primary prevention of sexual assault recommendations and incorporated the recommendations established by the IRC. There was at least one IRC objective recommendation in synchrony with each of the approved SAPTF recommendations. NGB and SAPR professionals from the States took an integrated approach and worked collaboratively to accomplish and implement the recommendations within the specified timelines set by the SAPTF and DoD.

NGB completed or initiated the following actions during FY22 to address the approved IRC prevention recommendations, initiatives required under the Secretary of Defense Immediate Actions Memorandum and follow-on guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, as well as the 2019-2023 Prevention Plan of Action and SAPTF approved recommendations:

**Line of Effort 2: *Prevention.***

**Immediate Action 1. *Assess Compliance with Sexual Assault and Harassment Policies and Integrated Violence Prevention Efforts.***

NGB assessed compliance through the third quarter FY21 and determined the underlying shortfall was the National Guard's lack of a dedicated prevention workforce for integrated violence prevention efforts. In FY22 as part of the overall IRC effort, the NGB, in collaboration with the Office of Force Resiliency, developed a National Guard Prevention Workforce. This was a ground-breaking effort as National Guard lacked a prevention program, including a dedicated workforce. NGB developed a phased prevention workforce plan with initial roll-out of Phase 1 in May 2022 including 30 States and the District of Columbia. Phase 2 will encompass the remaining 23 States/Territories in FY23.

NGB also published an Integrated Primary Prevention Strategy and Framework to provide much needed guidance to senior leaders and Prevention Workforce personnel in the field. In addition, NGB updated existing policy to reflect IRC recommendations and developed new training to augment the Service-specific SARC and SAPR VA training provided to new hires. The training provides NG specific policy and procedures not resident in the Service provided training.

The sexual harassment assessments identified the lack of a case-tracking platform because of differing procedural processes for filing a Title 32 U.S.C. versus a Title 10 U.S.C. complaint, the lack of a standard practice for notification of complaints, and a lack of understanding of available resources across the States. The National Guard Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Directorate manages the sexual harassment complaint process. In FY22, the Directorate identified the requirements for a standardized database to track sexual harassment complaints with anticipated implementation within Fiscal Year 2023 (FY23). J1-SAPR developed interim guidance for sexual harassment complainants to receive limited SAPR services. The anticipated implementation of this guidance is within FY23. NGB established a Sexual Harassment OPT to review sexual harassment policy and processes to streamline efforts where possible. This review included all the CNGB SAPR policy and processes, and the development of a POAM to update all documents as needed.

Lastly, National Guard sexual assault assessments showed a lack of knowledge by the State SARCs to write and execute MOUs and MOAs. The National Guard General Counsel assisted in addressing this deficiency by providing training to all incoming SARCs and SAPR VAs on how to prepare and use MOUs and MOAs. As further assistance, a template is being staffed for dissemination to the States. The assessment also identified the difference in investigative processes between National Guard members in a Title 32 U.S.C. status versus members serving in a Title 10 U.S.C. status. The primary difference is the absence of a Military Criminal Investigative Organization within the National Guard, and the lack of consistency in the definition of sexual assault across Federal and State entities.

**Immediate Action 2. *Conduct Evaluation at High-Risk Installations.***

The National Guard had two States selected for an On-Site Installation Evaluation (OSIE). Based on the results of the FY21 force-wide climate survey, one State displaying a positive climate was identified as having one of the highest protective factors across the National Guard. The indicators of a positive climate included a cohesive environment, motivation to improve prevention, and a positive work environment. The OSIE observed that the State always put Soldiers and Airmen's welfare first. This State's leadership throughout the organization showed that a Soldiers wellbeing was part of the mission and not a secondary effort. The evaluation of the second State selected exposed that personnel responsible for prevention were unable to meet the needs statewide, their annual prevention programming was not evaluated, and the leaders lacked the abilities to promote a protective and healthy environment. This State developed a POAM to track their compliance of program shortfalls through completion. The J1-SAPR Compliance and Accountability Team conducted a face-to-face site assisted assessment visit in May 2022 to review the findings and document progress in completing the OSIE requirements for program compliance. This State corrected all findings of the OSIE within FY22.

**Immediate Action 3. *Establish a Violence Prevention Workforce:***

**IRC Recommendation 2.2. *Establish a dedicated primary prevention workforce.***

The National Guard successfully took the first of many steps to establish an Integrated Primary Prevention Workforce. NGB completed plans of action and milestones and established benchmarks to monitor efforts. These products were reviewed and approved by the Office of the Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness). NGB also conducted a workforce study to establish initial projections for new personnel. These original projections were later confirmed by an Office of the Secretary of Defense workforce study. NGB created 19 new position descriptions for the 650 plus new prevention workforce positions slated to start at all levels of the organization by Fiscal Year 2025. These positions range from General Schedule (GS) 09 developmental positions and GS 11 positions at the Brigade and Wing level to a GS 14 Deputy Branch Chief position at NGB. These positions also meet the requirements as proposed by the Prevention Workforce Model, DoD SPARX, and best practices defined by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and scientific literature. NGB published the position descriptions in May 2022 which allowed the States to begin hiring. In addition to these efforts, the NGB established policy in support of DoD Instruction 6400.09, “DoD Policy on Integrated Primary Prevention of Self-Directed Harm and Prohibited Abuse or Harm” and an NGB strategy in line with the Prevention Plan of Action 2.0, 2022 – 2024.

**2019-2023 Prevention Plan of Action (*Phase III Execution and Phase IV Evaluation*).**

J1-SAPR provided a Phase III Interim Report in FY21 based on the current Prevention Plan of Action and completed Phase I: Self-Assessment and Phase II POAM and Logic Model Development. During Phase III, NGB focused on executing prevention activities outlined in the POAM, updated the Prevention Plan of Action to Prevention Plan of Action 2.0, and migrated from a sexual assault specific prevention plan of action to an integrated prevention plan of action. The National Guard participated in a manpower study to determine the National Guard prevention workforce requirement and attended working groups building a SPARX Leadership Action Guidebook and DoD SPARX training curricula.

**Line of Effort 3: *Climate and Culture.***

**IRC Recommendation 3.3.c. *Hold Service member appropriately accountable who engage in cyber harassment and other forms of technology-facilitated sexual harassment and sexual assault***

- **Completed:** The CNGB provided case synopses and Outcomes Report in accordance with the Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness) Memorandum, “Guidance for Implementing Tier 1 Recommendations of the



Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military” dated 13 October 2021.

**IRC Recommendation 3.8.** *The Services should publish the nature and results of all disciplinary actions related to sexual assault misconduct and disseminate this information to troops periodically; and* **SAPTF Recommendation #9.** *Report outcomes of actions taken against Guard perpetrators.*

- **Initiated:** A CNGB memorandum, “Publication of Accountability Actions Taken in Sexual Assault, Domestic Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Cases” is currently being staffed for signature and subsequent dissemination to the States. This memorandum identifies TAGs of the States responsible for publicizing disciplinary actions taken against National Guard members associated with substantiated allegations of sexual assault, domestic abuse, or sexual harassment every 60 days. The medium used must be a website accessible to National Guard members. The summary of disciplinary actions taken will not include any information which might identify the subject, victim, victim rank, or unit information. This action will demonstrate the National Guard’s commitment to holding offenders accountable and maintaining good order and discipline. The anticipated publication date is within FY23.

NGB continues to strive towards completion of initiated actions, while continuing the development of new actions as time and resources permit. Listed below are additional IRC, SAPTF, and SPTF recommendations on prevention actions that were initiated or completed during FY22.

**SPTF Recommendations.**

- **Recommendation 1:** *Create Organizational Efficiencies to Improve Resource Collaboration and Remove Programmatic Silo’s.*  
**Completed**
- **Recommendation 19:** *Incorporate lethal means safety training and practices into existing prevention efforts.*  
**Completed**

**IRC Recommendation 2.1 b.** *Develop and hold leaders appropriately accountable for prevention.*

- **Initiated.** Pending Service guidance, NGB is reviewing and revising policies to encourage early intervention, such as victim’s first disclosure of inappropriate unprofessional behavior and promoting a “no wrong door” approach for commanders. NGB created and distributed a Leader’s Handbook which introduces primary prevention to leaders and provides recommendations to reduce the risk of sexual assault and sexual harassment.

**IRC Recommendation 2.3** *Implement community-level prevention strategies unique to Service member environments; and* **SAPTF Recommendation #15** *Create formal partnership with National organizations.*

- **Initiated.** Created a list of National organizations and points of contact for future partnerships to leverage civilian programming as appropriate as it relates to advocacy for sexual assault victims. This is an ongoing effort.

**IRC Recommendation 2.3 b.** *Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness) should identify a non-clinical Office of the Secretary of Defense-level Office of Primary Responsibility for alcohol policy and develop relevant policy guidance and oversight; and*

**SAPTF Recommendation 14.** *Establish a program for alcohol planning guidance, including talking points for consideration and risk assessment options, regarding alcohol consumption to support safe and responsible alcohol use at National Guard events.*

- **Completed.** In an effort to reduce the association of alcohol use and sexual assaults, NGB created a Command Policy Memorandum template for National Guard units to use and post in public areas within their unit location. The policy provides guidelines on “Alcohol Use During On and Off Duty Hours”, to include during drill weekends, annual training, State active duty, and other sanctioned military events. The memorandum offers guidance and recommendations for Commanders to consider on how to mitigate the risk of alcohol use during unit functions. The policy was approved in July 2022 and distributed to the States.

**IRC Recommendation 2.5 a.** *Services and NGB should institute a pilot program to link Service members with resources and support.*

- **Initiated.** NGB is developing an Integrated Primary Prevention Toolkit to provide a suite of tools to assist the National Guard’s Integrated Prevention Workforce in addressing risks of adverse outcomes for National Guard Service members. The Prevention Workforce will be able to access relevant information related to risk factors, as well as resources to tailor efforts to their respective populations from a single space. This is an ongoing effort.

**IRC Recommendation 2.6 b.** *Services and NGB will continually review and update all policies that unnecessarily restrict data collection on important populations of Service members.*

- **Completed.** This action is an ongoing effort.

**IRC Recommendation 2.7 a.** *NGB should develop ARNG and ANG prevention strategies aligned with DoD’s Prevention Plan of Action based on National Guard’s unique construct and missions.*

- **Completed.**

**IRC Recommendation 2.7 b.** *Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness) should submit a legislative proposal providing authorization and funding for the NGB to conduct recurring National Guard unit inspections and staff assistance visits for prevention oversight and assistance.*

- **Completed.**

**SAPTF Recommendation #5.** *Develop training to build prevention skills for leaders at all levels.*

- **Initiated.** NGB is developing an executive level prevention course which will include enhanced training on the use of Defense Organizational Climate Survey. NGB is evaluating and revising first line supervisor training to incorporate necessary prevention skill building and is developing a self-paced SPARX course.

**SAPTF Recommendation #6.** *Establish a single NGB (not Service driven) prevention training strategy.*

- **Initiated.** Will align ARNG and ANG with a joint prevention training program for the State prevention workforce to complete as they onboard. NGB is currently using Office of the Secretary of Defense guidelines, pending receipt of Service guidance.

**SAPTF Recommendation #10.** *Incorporate risk assessment for sexual assault and sexual harassment into tactical risk assessment process.*

- **Initiated.** NGB is integrating tactical response components into policy and developing Tactical Risk Assessment Training for sexual assault and sexual harassment. Buddy Aid training presented at the SAPR Supplemental Course is an operational approach for performing first aid on sexual assault victims. This training focuses on treating the trauma of the assault and is intended to bridge the gap between encountering the victim and getting them to a SARC or SAPR VA.

**SAPTF Recommendation #17.** *Expand the use of Army's Unit Risk Inventory (URI) to ANG.*

- **Initiated.** NGB is exploring the use of a modified Unit Risk Inventory for ANG units and incorporating training on use of the tool. NGB is currently conducting a pilot program and is receiving positive feedback.

**1.2 Future Plans: Identify any major leadership-approved initiatives your Military Service/NGB is undertaking in support of prevention. Identify key considerations or obstacles that are currently affecting the implementation of prevention initiatives.**

The leadership approved future plans in support of Prevention include:

- Creating a SAPR Prevention Leadership Award to give recognition of positive messaging and engaged leadership and promote increased motivation to follow these examples or provide examples of what positive messaging can look like.
- Modifying the delivery method of annual SAPR training requirements and capitalizing more on interactive activities that focus on smaller segments that can fully engage the participants. This manner of training spread over time has proven to be a more effective way to improve knowledge and skills.
- Enhancing leadership training at all levels on use of the Defense Organizational Climate Survey and emphasizing the need for first line supervisor prevention skill building. This training will be designed to increase leader understanding of how to execute the survey, interpret results, provide out briefs to units, and additional data to manage climate. The intent is to also improve collaboration between Equal Opportunity and Inclusion personnel, such State Equal Employment Managers, Equal Opportunity Advisors, and Equal Opportunity leaders, with their SARCs to support their command's ability to interpret the survey's results.
- Establishing a Joint NGB prevention training strategy that is evidence based and standard across the States for maximum effectiveness.
- Improving and expanding data collection of NG formal and informal sexual harassment complaints intended to provide NGB and TAGs with more detailed data on sexual harassment to inform prevention and response efforts and maximize existing resources.
- Incorporating risk assessment for sexual assault and sexual harassment into Tactical Risk Assessment processes to include developing a risk assessment metrics based on forthcoming risk and protective factors from DoD, integrating tactical response components into policy, and developing Tactical Risk Assessment Training for sexual assault and sexual harassment.
- Creating a mechanism to review Call Signs among unit leadership to eliminate inappropriate Call Signs that do not support good order and discipline and negatively impacts command climate.

**2. Goal 2 Victim Assistance & Advocacy: “deliver consistent and effective advocacy and care for all military Service members or their adult dependents, such that it empowers them to report assaults, promotes recovery, facilitates dignified and respectful treatment, and restores military readiness.”**

**2.1 Strategic Summary: Summarize your efforts to achieve the Victim Assistance & Advocacy goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes and/or initiatives begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2022. As applicable, include significant updates to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes (e.g., Safe-to-Report policy); Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) and Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocate (SAPR VA) training and how the effectiveness of this training is**

evaluated; manpower and resource capabilities and/or shortfalls; SAPR personnel certifications/continuing education/background checks; resources/products to support victims, retaliation reporters, and responders; victim medical and mental health services; sexual assault victim and retaliation reporter care; gender-responsive outreach and care; collaboration with civilian and military victim response organizations and academic experts (e.g., warm handoffs of Service members requiring assistance); SAPR training improvements for the force (e.g., junior officer (O1-O2), mid-level enlisted (E4-E6), and junior enlisted training on appropriate actions to report and respond to sexual assault). There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 2.2 through 2.12 below. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, p. 7 / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response: Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 2, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response: Education and Training,” (April 9, 2021)) / National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for FY2011, Section 1631

The National Guard remains focused on providing quality services and support to all eligible victims of sexual assault and retaliation based on a report of sexual assault or the perception of a sexual assault. In support of the SAPR professionals in the States, NGB focused on updating policy and procedures, improving management of the screening and credentialing process for newly hired or selected individuals to fill a SARC or SAPR VA position within the non-federalized National Guard force, and working with the States on manpower and resource capabilities to hire additional personnel to support the SAPR program.

### **Manpower and Resource Capabilities and Shortfalls.**

Despite increases in fulltime SAPR positions, the National Guard State SAPR programs have operated at less than required manning levels since inception. Funding received because of the IRC recommendations created the potential for significant improvement in the National Guard’s response capability in designated locations and was instrumental in moving the Guard towards a fully staffed and executed program. However, this increase only covers 30 percent of the required fulltime staffing requirements for the National Guard. Select Brigades across the States received funding to add fulltime SARCs and SAPR VAs to improve their response capability. NGB selected States based on National Guard population, geographic disbursement, and State size. These 192 authorized SAPR positions will be phased across the States with final execution in Fiscal Year 2025. NGB plans to program the remaining 70 percent of the Brigade and Wing SARCs from Fiscal Year 2025 through Fiscal Year 2029. Additionally, IRC funding will also support the hiring of an individual within the Prevention workforce at the NGB level. NGB used the Program Objective Management process and coordinated with Headquarters, Air Force to obtain funding for 10 fulltime SAPR VAs at the ANG Wings. This is a significant addition for the National Guard as it will be the first time ANG Wings will have a fulltime SAPR VA. This new staffing will support improved response and reduce the burden on Wing SARCs as the sole responder for ANG members.

## **SARC and SAPR VA Training**

J1-SAPR continuously evaluates the initial SAPR training received by its fulltime SARCs and SAPR VAs to determine effectiveness of Service-specific curriculum for our National Guard force. We saw gaps within the curriculum that did not address National Guard specific programs and laws. In response, last year NGB implementation of a 40-hour Joint Supplemental Course to augment the Service-specific SARC and SAPR VA training received by National Guard fulltime new hires. This course provides consistent, relevant, and current information on National Guard specific policy and procedures and other gaps identified in the program. The course was well received by the new hires, as well as existing fulltime SARCs and SAPR VAs. J1-SAPR included a new component to the Supplemental SAPR Course in FY22 which included an overview of terminology and definitions related to the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer, and “others” community and also explored effective advocacy for this group. J1-SAPR coordinated with a community-based nonprofit to provide this training.

Upon further review of the training requirements for the fulltime Title 5 U.S.C. National Guard SAPR Professionals, CNGB directed the creation of a National Guard Joint Initial SAPR Course for new hires filling fulltime SARC and SAPR VA positions. The basis of this course was the original National Guard Initial SAPR training developed when the program began. This course contains the core competencies required by DoD SAPRO and includes National Guard specific policy, procedures, and information focused on increasing cross-service response, and maximizing limited State resources for improved victim care and outreach. The National Guard Joint Initial SAPR Course was developed with the support of a working group and received NOVA approval to meet the training requirement for D-SAACP certification. J1-SAPR hosted the first National Guard Joint Initial SAPR Course in FY22. Learners completed Daily Feedback Surveys, which the J1-SAPR Training Branch used to make improvements to the course. Learners also took a pre-test and post-test to measure knowledge gains. This course uses adult learning strategies including small group discussion, hands-on learning activities, and having learners draw from their own experiences. Title 32 U.S.C. ARNG personnel selected as SARCs and SAPR VAs will continue to enroll in their Service-specific Sexual Harassment/Assault Response and Prevention (SHARP) courses to meet the designation of the skill identifier and the requirements for deployment.

J1-SAPR hosted the FY22 Annual Refresher Training for over 200 full-time SARCs and SAPR VAs. This two-day event provided policy and procedural updates, presentations by subject matter experts, and opportunities to network with other SAPR Professionals from across the States and to earn continuing education units. Topics discussed during the training included the preparation of MOUs and MOAs by the NGB Office of General Counsel, privacy and confidentiality best practices by DoD SAPRO, implementation of IRC recommendations by J1-SAPR Division Chief and NG Special Victims' Counsel (SVC) Deputy Program Manager, and Military Sexual Trauma Services for National Guard members by Veterans Health Administration and Veterans Benefits Administration staff. To assess the effectiveness of the training and to gather ways to enhance the training in

future years, the J1-SAPR Training Branch prepared feedback forms and asked the participants to provide their input throughout the training day.

Over the course of FY22, J1-SAPR provided four webinars to highlight the DoD SAPRO Men's Campaign. This training focused on victim advocacy for male survivors of sexual assault and introduced materials from the Men's Campaign to encourage use at the State level. The training also included information to address advocacy considerations specific to transgender and gay men. The National Guard also partnered with NOVA and provided a webinar on the National Guard SAPR program and community collaboration. The webinar had over 300 attendees for the live event which was recorded and made available on the NOVA website. The National Guard also provided similar training at the 45<sup>th</sup> Annual NOVA conference to increase awareness of the National Guard program and improve community collaboration with civilian victim service agencies.

Additional J1-SAPR training program highlights include:

- **Sexual Assault Awareness Prevention Month Activities.** Assisting with the Sexual Assault Awareness Prevention Month daily activities conducted at the Herbert R. Temple Jr. Army National Guard Readiness Center and the Air National Guard Readiness Center on Joint Base Andrews and sending resources to the States on how to execute awareness activities and present bulletins that raise awareness, provide prevention techniques and publicize available resources for the prevention of sexual assault.
- **SPARX Knowledge Training Program.** Utilizing all National Guard allotted DoD SPARX slots and filling unclaimed slots from other services to train SAPR Professionals on the strategies and concepts of the DoD SPARX Knowledge Training Program.
- **Pre-Command SAPR Training.** Presenting SAPR Program information at three ARNG Brigade and Battalion Pre-Command Course offerings to provide future Commanders with an overview of their roles and responsibilities and command team response to a sexual assault. The training also included an overview of the NGB SAPR Division, a discussion on the use of SAPR data and trends, command prevention efforts, and program assessment. J1-SAPR also provided a discussion on SAPR deployment training planning to include an explanation of the timeline and requirements to get their SARCs and SAPR VAs suitability screened and trained.
- **Commanders Development Course SAPR Training.** Presenting SAPR Program information at three ANG Commanders Development Courses, which includes Squadron and Group Commanders.
- **Chief Master Sergeant Orientation Course SAPR Training.** Presenting information at the quarterly Chief Master Sergeant Orientation Course to inform the future leaders of the ANG Noncommissioned Officers Corps about the latest sexual

assault prevention techniques and how to implement them among the units. The training was scenario based and facilitated by members from J1-SAPR, Suicide Prevention, Equal Opportunity, and Behavioral Health.

- **NGB Joint Action Officer Course SAPR Training.** Presenting information on the SAPR Program at the NGB Joint Action Officer Course in the Herbert R. Temple Jr. Army National Guard Readiness Center.
- **DSAID Webinar Training.** Presenting monthly DSAID Webinar for National Guard SAPR Professionals requiring DSAID access. Topics covered a range of common DSAID issues faced by the field and provided SAPR Professionals with continuing education units opportunities.
- **Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment Retaliation Training.** Enforcing annual Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment Retaliation training for Army Leaders in compliance with Headquarters, Department of Army Executive Order 110-22.

### **Operational Level Improvements**

- **On-line Portal.** The National Guard established an online portal to capture and track all required certifications, trainings, and systems access for National Guard SAPR Professionals. This portal streamlines document submission and shows state compliance with program requirements. This information is used, in part, to provide state leadership a monthly state scorecard, highlighting strengths and areas for improvement.
- **Updated Screening Process.** J1-SAPR significantly updated the process to complete and track background screenings. This included creating new forms, an online tracking system, and cross-checks to ensure all D-SAACP certified personnel are compliant with DoD requirements, to include ARNG members deploying as SARCs or SAPR VAs. This is an ongoing process.
- **Joint Regional Approach.** To manage and assist the SAPR Professionals within the States, NGB had organized the States into regions, where Service-specific managers within J1-SAPR provided guidance, information and support within each region. During FY22, J1-SAPR reapportioned the States into new regions and designated one Regional Lead to manage a region using a joint approach. This joint approach will better reflect the program's structure at the State level and is designed to foster collaboration across both elements of the National Guard. NGB is also adding four additional civilian region lead positions for improved program continuity. This restructuring will allow region leads the ability to work with SARCs to improve DSAID data integrity, improve prescreening for deploying SARCs and SAPR VAs to reduce derogatory findings, and offer a 24/7 headquarters response to the field.



- **Improved Communication with the States.** J1-SAPR took a number of actions during FY22 to improve the communication, cooperation, and collaboration with the SAPR professionals located within the States.
  - ✓ Published the updated Charter for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Advisory Committee (SAPRAC) in FY22. This effort reestablished the committee's mission to serve as a channel of communication for SAPR professionals within the States to advise NGB of trends and obstacles affecting the delivery and execution of the National Guard SAPR program. Regularly scheduled meetings were reinstated between the Executive Committee of the SAPRAC and J1-SAPR.
  - ✓ Continued the use of the Monthly Regional Teleconferences. These teleconferences were used to distribute information to the States and to encourage dialogue among SAPR Professionals within the States and with NGB to discuss questions or concerns involving policy, processes, initiatives, and strategies.
  - ✓ Monthly Voluntary Joint Questions and Collaborations meeting. Hosted by J1-SAPR, this teleconference offered an opportunity for SAPR Professionals within the States to ask questions, provide best practices, and engage in conversations pertinent to the National Guard SAPR Program.
  - ✓ Created a new Joint Fulltime State Employee Roster. NGB replaced an existing Master SARC Roster spreadsheet with a new Joint Fulltime State Employee Roster for use with the On-line Portal. The new format of this roster provides the State SAPR Professionals and servicing community, such as Special Victims Counsel with updated information every 24 hours.

### **Tactical Level Resources**

Fulltime SAPR Professionals were provided with various resources, products, and support during FY22 to support victims, retaliation reporters, and responders. Provided below is a synopsis of these resources.

- **Expanded Restricted Reporting Job Aid.** National Guard SAPR Professionals received an Expanded Restricted Reporting job aid to improve their understanding of the new process. The SARCs used this as a tool to train commanders on the new process.
- **State Sexual Assault Response Team Liaison Appointment Memorandum Template.** J1-SAPR provided an approved memorandum template to the SAPR Professionals within the States to facilitate a SARC's membership and participation in their State and local Sexual Assault Response Teams. The intent of providing the approved memorandum template was to encourage SARCs to engage with

their local and state agencies and to define clear boundaries between civilian agencies and a National Guard entity.

- **Retaliation Reporting Training.** The NGB Inspector General office created training on retaliation reporting as part of the NG Initial Joint SAPR Course. Additionally, the revision of CNGB Manual 1300.03, “*National Guard Retaliation Reporting Processes Related to Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault*” will include a new retaliation reporting process for SAPR Professionals who experience retaliation from a Lead SARC or senior leadership. This new process was developed as a recommendation by the SAPTF and will include resources for civilian and uniform SARCs.
- **TriCare Benefits and Healthcare for Victims.** Members of the National Guard who are sexually assaulted while serving in a military status are eligible for a Line of Duty determination, which is required to obtain TriCare benefits for medical and mental health services. The ANG process is efficient for Restricted Reports and the processing time is typically under 2 weeks. However, members of the ARNG are experiencing challenges in obtaining mental health care, due to a requirement to have a physical examination. The member must refuse a physical examination in writing before being approved to see a mental health provider. National Guard members not in a duty status at the time of the assault are not eligible for an LOD and rely on their own personal medical insurance to access medical and mental health care. In cases where the National Guard member does not possess health insurance, the SARCs work to identify free or low-cost services within the community. This situation presents a challenge for the SARCs as free mental health care services are difficult to locate and limits the ability of the National Guard victim to access much needed care and support toward their recovery.
- **Veterans Affairs Military Sexual Trauma Resources.** The National Guard continues to participate in the “Joint Executive Committee Sexual Trauma Working Group” to help create a better understanding of the challenges faced by National Guard victims when seeking care through the Veterans Affairs. This includes identifying barriers such as when a National Guard member who is sexually assaulted during basic training and is separated from the Service prior to performing active-duty is denied eligibility for Military Sexual Trauma services. Most of the States still have not received the required training from Veterans Affairs on the eligibility requirements for National Guard members to receive Veterans Affairs Military Sexual Trauma services which is causing confusion. Without clear Federal and State guidance on the services available to National Guard members who were sexually assaulted, National Guard members are hindered from receiving the care needed for their recovery.
- **State Legislative Initiatives.** Described below are some of the local or State legislative involvement and changes affecting SAPR Program initiatives, victim care, investigations, and accountability.

- ✓ Designated the State Bureau of Criminal Apprehension as the investigative agency for unrestricted reports when it is military on military.
- ✓ Revised statutes on sexual assault to mirror the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ) and include statutes listing sexual harassment as a separate offense.
- ✓ Designated November as Military Sexual Trauma Awareness Month.
- ✓ Implemented their State Code of Military Justice.
- ✓ Passed a law to allow coordination between Civilian Protective Orders and Military Protective Orders (MPOs) so survivors only need to file one, and they are enforceable on and off the military installation.
- ✓ Increased the requirement to maintain Sexual Assault Forensic Exams for 20 years, which simplified and improved local MOUs for Sexual Assault Forensic Exam (SAFE) coverage. Also created an MOU with the State Police to use their Campus Sexual Assault Investigative Team for all Title 32 U.S.C. sexual assault investigations, which streamlined the process and improved services overall.
- ✓ Tracking a bill that extends the reporting timeframe for individuals “mentally incapacitated” at the time of the crime and broadens language of sexual battery to encompass more of a person’s anatomy.
- ✓ Tracking a bill introduced to create harsher penalties for alleged subjects who assaulted an individual who was intoxicated.
- ✓ A State completed a report on military sexual assault that result in the creation of a Governor’s Advisory Committee on Military Sexual Trauma.
- ✓ A State created a new law extending Lautenberg-type protections to civilian jurisdictions by prohibiting carrying of weapons after conviction of domestic violence incident.
- ✓ Modernized consent laws to define consent as “a freely given, reversible agreement specific to the conduct at issue...freely given means agreement to cooperation in the act was positively expressed by word or action.” Also included a provision for the trauma response of freezing, such as a state of immobility, and lack of consent.

## **Policy and Procedural Updates**

Throughout 2022, the National Guard updated existing policy and created new guidance in support to recent changes in SAPR policy. Many of the new policies, procedures, and guidance originated from the IRC recommendations and were tasked by or through the Office of the Secretary of Defense directly to the CNGB to address the requirements applicable to National Guard members in a non-Federalized status (Title 32 U.S.C. and State Active Duty). This necessitated discussion and coordination with the Army SHARP Program Office, Air Force SAPR Program Office, and The Office of General Council to deconflict inconsistencies on SAPR policy and procedural implementation between Service-specific guidance and NGB guidance focused on the non-Federalized National Guard and their unique construct and missions. This discussion and resolution will improve the National Guard's ability to publish required policies and procedures in a more responsive fashion and remain compliant with policy and procedural implementation timelines.

NGB developed the following guidance in response to new policy as directed by the Secretary of Defense or based on updates to DoD SAPR Instructions.

- ***CNGB Directive Type Memorandum “Safe to Report Policy.”*** This memorandum prescribes policy and procedures for the identification and treatment of alleged minor and non-minor collateral misconduct by Service member victims of sexual assault and complies with Under Secretary of Defense Memorandum, 25 October 2021, “Safe to Report Policy for Service Member Victims of Sexual Assault. Implementation of this memorandum was delayed to deconflict the National Guard’s policy applicability and intent with the policies developed by Headquarters, Department of Army and Headquarters, Air Force. Anticipated publication within FY23.
- ***CNGB Memorandum and NGB Form 912 “Use of Section 540K Declination Letter for Sexual Assault Victims with Third Party or Command Initiated Investigations.”*** The development of a National Guard specific 540K Declination letter highlights the commitment of the CNGB to empower victims and honor their choices. Civilian law enforcement agencies have the investigative authority in over 95% of all National Guard sexual assaults. National Guard SARCs navigate a patchwork of state criminal codes, police jurisdictions, and prosecuting attorneys. Very few civilian jurisdictions have a formal process to record a victim’s desire to not participate in an investigation. The 540K Declination letter will allow commanders to document their due diligence in notifying the appropriate law enforcement agency and capturing the wishes of the victim to not participate. Anticipated publication within FY23.
- ***Updated CNGB Manual 1300.01, “Department of Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program and Systems Access Requirements”*** Anticipated publication within FY23.

- **Updated CNGB Manual 1300.04, “National Guard Expedited Transfer Program for Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault”** Anticipated publication within FY23
- **Updated CNGB Manual 1300.02, “National Guard Sexual Assault Incident Notification and Reporting Requirements.”** Anticipated publication within FY23
- **Developing CNGB Manual 1300.XX, “National Guard Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Quarterly and Monthly Case Management Group Meetings.”** Anticipated publication within FY23
- **Updated CNGB Manual 1300.03, “National Guard Retaliation Reporting Processes Related to Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault.”** Anticipated publication within FY23
- **Updated CNGB Instruction 1300.01 “National Guard Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program.”** Anticipated publication within FY23

**NG SVC Resources**

NG SVC personnel include judge advocates, resource managers, and paralegals located across the States. The NG SVC Program provides policy updates and training opportunities, which encompassed receiving training as well as providing training to “others,” and administering guidance to NG SVC personnel during FY22. The SVC Program employed specialized trained judge advocates and paralegals to provide legal representation to eligible clients who were victims of sexual assault and domestic violence. NG Special Victims’ Counsels (SVCs) entered into attorney-client relationships to advocate for their client’s interests within the National Guard, DoD, and State military forums. Additionally, all Senior Regional SVCs provided supervision and periodic training sessions with each SVC located in their Region to address specific practice topics to enable SVCs to address specific client issues more effectively.

**2.2 SARC and SAPR VA Suspension, Revocation, and Reinstatement: Without providing personally identifiable information, how many SARCs and SAPR VAs in your Military Service/NGB received a suspension? A revocation? A reinstatement? (Identify how many SARCs and SAPR VAs for each category). (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 2 – Victim Assistance & Advocacy, Objective 2.1, p. 8 / DoDI 6495.03, “Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP),” (February 28, 2020), sections 3.6 & 3.7, p. 11-15)**

The National Guard suspended the certification of three SARCs and seven SAPR VAs; and revoked the certification of two SAPR VAs during FY22.

	<b>Suspension</b>	<b>Revocation</b>	<b>Reinstatement</b>
--	-------------------	-------------------	----------------------

Joint Force Headquarters – State Fulltime SARC	1	0	0
ARNG Collateral Duty SARC	1	0	0
ARNG Collateral Duty SAPR VAs	4	2	0
ANG Fulltime SARC	1	0	0
ANG Volunteer SAPR VAs	3	0	0

During FY22, NGB revised the CNGB Manual 1300.01A, “Defense Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program and System Access Requirements.” The expected publication of this manual is within FY23 and will provide updates to the required assignment eligibility and suitability screening guidelines for applicants applying for full-time, volunteer, and collateral duty SAPR positions. It also increases the focus on the required activities the applicant, Commander, supervisor, or appointing authority complete specific to the screening process. Additionally, the J1-SAPR Victim Assistance and Advocacy Branch improved their oversight of the screening process to ensure the National Guard selects high quality individuals to serve as SAPR professionals.

**2.3 Sexual Assault Forensic Exam (SAFE) Kits: Was the medical care of any Service member hindered due to the lack of a SAFE kit, timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources, or other resources? If yes, explain and also address how many times this occurred and what actions were taken to mitigate the issue? (Note: This answer should be consistent with the number reported in the Victim Services matrices). As related to reports of sexual assault, were there any issues at medical treatment facilities with the availability of supplies for testing and treatment for sexually transmitted infections and diseases, including HIV, and testing for pregnancy? (NDAA for FY 2006, section 596 / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 8)**

National Guard members in a non-Federalized or non-duty status and their eligible adult family members rely on civilian medical providers at civilian healthcare facilities to conduct SAFE exams. National Guard SAPR professionals within each State work to establish MOUs with their local, regional, and State civilian medical facilities to ensure our National Guard members and their adult dependents receive timely access to medical care, SAFE kits, and other available resources. Laboratory testing of SAFE kits is dependent upon each State.

Some National Guard members deployed or attending training outside of their home State encountered issues in receiving a SAFE and rape crisis services in States with mandatory reporting requirements at the SAFE examination site. National Guard servicing SARCs responded quickly to victims who reported issues in obtaining a SAFE or the appropriate

medical care related to a sexual assault and mitigated the situation to ensure the victim received the requested services.

**2.4 Military Protective Orders: How many Military Protective Orders (MPOs) were issued as a result of an Unrestricted Report? Were any victim requests for an MPO denied? If so, what was the reason? How many MPOs were violated by the alleged offender? Indicate if the victim(s) and the alleged offender(s) were provided with copies of the DD Form 2873, “Military Protective Order,” as required. (NDA for FY 2010, section 567 / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 5, para 8)**

The DSAID data shows that National Guard Commanders issued 43 MPOs in FY22. This is an 18 percent decrease from the 53 MPOs issued in FY21. The DSAID data also shows one MPO violation. National Guard Commanders issuing MPOs provided copies of the DD Form 2873 to the victims and subjects. Additionally, Commanders also assisted victims in requesting six Civilian Protective Orders and 37 No-Contact Orders in FY22 with one No Contact Order violation.

MPO Requested	48
MPOs Issued	43
MPO Violation	1
Civilian Protective Orders Requested	6
Civilian Protective Order Violation	0
Informal No Contact Orders	37
Informal No Contact Order Violations	1

Due to the limitations of authority and enforcement of MPOs based upon military status and jurisdiction, National Guard members are encouraged to pursue a Civilian Protective Order or No Contact Order with local civilian authorities for added coverage. National Guard commanders can only enforce an MPO under the following circumstances:

- Both recipient and protected individual are in a covered military status such as Traditional Title 32 U.S.C., Active Guard/Reserve Title 32 U.S.C., Active Duty for Operational Support Title 10 U.S.C.
- Both individuals are physically on a military installation.

**2.5 Appropriate Care in Deployed Environments: What steps did your Military Service/NGB take in FY 2022 to ensure trained personnel, appropriate supplies, and transportation resources are accessible to deployed units in order to provide an appropriate and timely response in any case of a reported sexual assault in a deployed unit, location, or environment? (NDA for FY 2011, section 1631)**

National Guard units notified of a deployment, such as to the Southwest Border, coordinate with their Military Service Headquarters to plan for their preparation, deployment, and redeployment to home station.

For ARNG deployments, Commanders and J1-SAPR participated in the quarterly Multi-Component Joint Assessment meetings hosted by First Army in Leavenworth, Kansas.

- Information specific to J1-SAPR actions included anticipated increases in SAPR Professionals appointments, suitability screenings, training requirements, and D-SAACP certification applications. Actions taken as a follow-up to this meeting include coordinating with States' National Guard Joint Force Headquarters SARC's on a weekly basis to obtain status updates on appointees, suitability screenings, and required training coordination until each unit identified for deployment has met all SHARP deployment requirements for ARNG uniform SARC's and SAPR VAs. J1-SAPR coordinated with Headquarters, Department of Army and ensured all ARNG personnel identified as deploying SARC's and SAPR VAs take precedence in registering for the SHARP courses.

- Commanders of deploying units received information to confirm their understanding of mobilization requirements, such as selecting SARC's and SAPR VAs and the mandatory processes to certify personnel within the SHARP program for deployment.

Additionally, each fiscal year, the J1-SAPR prioritizes resources from the funding Management Decision Package to the States for units who are deploying. This funding ensures that appointed personnel can be mobilized on Active Duty for Operational Support orders and have the necessary funding for their travel and lodging to attend the initial SHARP training to obtain D-SAACP certification.

Commanders of the deploying units coordinate with their Service Headquarters to ensure appropriate supplies and transportation resources will be available to provide an appropriate and timely response for all cases of reported sexual assault in a deployed unit, location, or environment. If a member of the National Guard is assaulted during a deployment and the victim desires continued SAPR services, NGB coordinates case transfers in DSAID. National Guard SAPR Professionals are responsible for initiating the Line of Duty for the victim to obtain TriCare coverage for ongoing medical or mental health care.

**2.6 Victim Expedited Transfer Request Oversight in Monthly Case Management Group (CMG): Provide the number and CMG-documented circumstances of ALL Expedited Transfers taking longer than 30 calendar days (i.e., tracking of the number of days between the approval date of a victim's request for Expedited Transfer and the date the victim physically departs the losing station (Permanent Change of Station), or the date the victim changes duty assignment location (Permanent Change of Assignment)). Were High-Risk Response Teams initiated when circumstances indicated that the transfer delay appreciably increased risk of harm to the victim? (Deputy Secretary of Defense (DSD) Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention**



**and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 9, para 2b(4))**

The National Guard received 19 expedited transfer requests in FY22. Two of the requests were rescinded by the victims who no longer needed or wanted the transfer. All remaining 17 requests were approved within 30 days from submission mitigating the need to initiate a High-Risk Response Team. Fourteen of the expedited transfers were identified as “Local” with the servicing SARC remaining the same. Only three requests involved a “permanent change of station” requiring the transfer to a new servicing SARC.

**2.7 Expedited Transfer Victim Mandatory Intake Meeting: How is your Military Service/NGB verifying the occurrence of the mandatory “intake” meetings between the sexual assault victim and the gaining SARC upon arrival at the new installation for ALL Expedited Transfers?\* Through your verification, were there any instances noted where these meetings did not occur? What actions were taken to correct these occurrences? \*Please note that the revised DD Form 2910, Victim Reporting Preference Statement,” states that victim consent is no longer needed for the automatic transfer of the case to the gaining SARC in Expedited Transfers. (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 5, para 6a(4))**

J1-SAPR verifies the occurrence of the mandatory “intake” meetings between the sexual assault victim and the gaining SARC upon arrival at the new installation by checking entries in DSAID and conducting an annual survey on the compliance of the Expedited Transfer procedures. Irregularities discovered through the data review or staff adherence to procedures are examined in more detail to determine the cause of the irregularity and the subsequent actions required to minimize a repeat occurrence. Actions may include an individualized training session or refresher training provided during Annual Refresher Training or discussed during the Monthly Regional Teleconferences conducted by J1-SAPR with State SAPR Professionals.

There were three instances when this initial meeting did not occur because the Active Duty SARC did not transfer the DSAID case and did not notify the SARC when the National Guard victim was returning to their home state. NGB J1 SAPR is providing quarterly training to all basic training locations and tech schools. Materials have been incorporated into initial Active Component courses to improve warm hand offs and notifications.

**2.8 Quarterly CMG Meetings: How is your Military Service/NGB verifying the occurrence of the mandatory of Quarterly CMG Meetings (that are required in addition to the monthly CMG meetings)? Through your verification, were there any instances noted where these meetings did not occur? What actions, if any, were**

taken to correct these occurrences? How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that the Quarterly CMG Chair is reviewing the meeting notes and tracking the progress to correct systematic issues? (DSD Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), Encl 9)

The National Guard implemented the conduct of the Quarterly CMG Meetings during FY22 and highlighted the requirement on the Monthly Regional Teleconferences and during the FY22 Annual Refresher Training as part of the policy updates. The majority of the States conducted the Quarterly CMGs based on SARC discussion and surveys, however, DSAID currently does not offer the capability to track these meetings within the database. Based on DSAID current capabilities, it would require a review of every CMG meeting minutes for each State to gain an accurate account of the States conducting the Quarterly CMG meetings.

The draft CNGB Manual 1300.XX "National Guard Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Quarterly and Monthly Case Management Group Meetings" developed by J1-SAPR is under final review prior to its entry into the formal staffing process for the approving authority's signature. The anticipated publication date is within FY23.

**2.9 Expanded Eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the Catch a Serial Offender (CATCH) Program:** How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL SARCs and SAPR VAs have been trained on and are familiar with the expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting (e.g., ability for victims to make a restricted report even if the assault is disclosed through their chain of command, but not personally to law enforcement) and the CATCH Program (i.e., ability for victims who made an Unrestricted Report to utilize the CATCH Program when the name of the subject was not disclosed or discovered during an investigation)? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your SARCs and SAPR VAs? (DSD Memo, "Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations," (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures," (November 10, 2021), Encl 4)

NGB verified attendance of all SAPR professionals who participated in National Guard-specific training, such as the Annual Refresher Training and the Joint Supplemental Course, as well as attendance at the monthly Regional Teleconferences. During FY22, these venues were used to disseminate the expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the Catch a Serial Offender (CATCH) program. As an aid in understanding the process, J1-SAPR created an "Expanded Restricted Reporting Flowchart" for the SAPR professionals to use. Additionally, Lead SARCs and Wing SARCs in the States documented training conducted with the collateral duty and volunteer SAPR VAs.

**2.10 Eligibility to File a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and Requesting the Return of Personal Property Utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, “Return of Victim’s Personal Property in Restricted Reporting Sexual Assault Cases Collected During a SAFE:”** How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL SARCs and SAPR VAs have been trained on and are familiar with the eligibility of a victim to file a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and request the return of personal property, utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, relinquished as part of the SAFE and after filing a Restricted Report? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your SARCs and SAPR VAs? **(DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), para 4ab(4) & Encl 4, para 1d)**

National Guard member victims whose cases do not fall under the purview of an MCIO or the UCMJ do not have the option to sign a Section 540K Declination Letter or to complete a DD Form 2910-3 to request the return of personal property in restricted reporting sexual assault cases collected during a sexual assault forensic exam.

The eligibility of National Guard members in a non-Federalized status to file a Section 540K Declination Letter or to request the return of personal property using DD Form 2910-3, “Return of Victim’s Personal Property in Restricted Reporting Sexual Assault Cases Collected During a SAFE” is a rare circumstance. National Guard members, unless on Title 10 U.S.C. orders, typically will not have access to a military treatment facility.

This information was disseminated during the Monthly Regional Teleconferences for the State SAPR Professionals’ situational awareness and was incorporated in the revised Annual Refresher Training and National Guard Joint Initial SAPR Course. Additionally, as stated previously, J1-SAPR created a National Guard specific Section 540K Declination Letter (NGB Form 912) and corresponding guidance in a CNGB Memorandum. This form will serve the intent and purposes of the Section 540K Declination letter and allow commanders to document their due diligence in notifying the appropriate law enforcement agency and record the victim’s desire to not participate in an investigation.

**2.11 Efforts to Address Approved Independent Review Commission (IRC) Recommendations:** Identify major actions completed or underway to address the approved recommendations of IRC Line of Effort 4: Victim Care and Support. Focus response on IRC efforts undertaken during FY 2022. **(See the requirements listed in question 1.1)**

The status of approved IRC and SAPTF Recommendations initiated or completed is provided as follows:

**IRC Recommendation 4.1 a.** *Remove Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs) and Victim Advocates (VAs) from Command Structure.*

- **Initiated.** NGB is developing an implementation plan to provide to the States to remove SARC and SAPR VAs from their command structure. A CNGB Memorandum outlining the new reporting structure is expected to be published within FY23. Position descriptions for the GS-13s were developed and distributed to the States for hiring. Some States hired the Lead SARC position and began the transition of Wing SARC to fall under the supervision of the State SAPR Officer.

**IRC Recommendation 4.1 b.** *Eliminate collateral duty SARC and SAPR VAs.*

- **Initiated.** Office of the Secretary of Defense funded 30 percent fulltime resources at selected ARNG Brigades and ANG Wing. Until 100% funding is received collateral duty personnel will continue to be utilized to ensure coverage. Selecting and hiring the SAPR Professionals is ongoing. The National Guard will continue to request the 70 percent shortfall through programming actions.

**IRC Recommendation 4.2 a.** *Increase access and visibility of civilian community-based care;* and **SAPTF Recommendation 7.** *Train National Guard SAPR Personnel on MOUs and MOAs to support development of engagement plan for community partnerships.*

- **IRC - Initiated.** NGB in collaboration with the States is developing an interactive resource map to increase visibility of and access to community-based care by allowing users to select their State for resources in and outside their area. The anticipated completion date is within FY23.
- **SAPTF - Completed.** J1-SAPR created a training module on the development of MOUs and MOAs. This training was presented to the fulltime SAPR Professionals during the FY22 Annual Refresher Training. The development of MOUs and MOAs will serve to formalize the partnerships with civilian resources to provide care and services to National Guard members impacted by a sexual assault. The IRC recommendation is also being implemented in the form of NG reliance on civilian partnerships to care for NG members especially since not all sexual assaults impacting NG members occur in the line of duty nor are there military medical assets nearby to National Guard units.

**IRC Recommendation 4.3 a.** *No Wrong Door and Warm Handoffs*

- **Initiated.** NGB developed a Directive-Type Memorandum, “No Wrong Door and Warm Handoff Policy for National Guard Service Member Victims of Sexual Assault” to establish interim policy for Service members reporting or seeking assistance for a sex-related victimization, and the associated warm handoff policy and procedures. The anticipated publication date is within FY23.

**IRC Recommendation 4.3 b.** *Institute a “Commander’s Package” from the SAPR VA with recommendations for victim care;* and **SAPTF Recommendation #3.** *Provide Leaders with tools (Leader Handbook).*

- **Completed.** The NGB developed the “Leader’s Handbook, Sexual Assault: Prevention and Response” with assistance from SAPR professionals from the States to achieve this recommendation. The handbook consists of eight sections organized to guide the reader through the processes in support of a victim from initial report, and provides valuable resources, such as templates for the required reports associated with a sexual assault. This handbook was approved June 2022 and distributed to the States. Additionally, the National Guard provided two Service members to work with DoD SAPRO and the Library of Congress to provide input on National Guard nuances in the development of a Commanders Package.

**IRC Recommendation 4.3 d.** *Increase victim agency by maximizing survivor preference on reporting status and ET*

- **Initiated.** Expanded Restricted Reporting evolved from this recommendation and changes to the Expedited Transfer policy and procedures. Updates to CNGBM 1300.04, “National Guard Expedited Transfer Program for Members with Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault” will provide State personnel with the current expedited transfer process.

**IRC Cross Cutting Recommendation 1.** *Provide Limited SAPR Services for Sexual Harassment Complainants.*

- **Initiated.** NGB prepared a Directive-Type Memorandum to establish interim guidance for National Guard SAPR professionals to provide limited services to non-Federalized NG Service members who file a formal or informal sexual harassment complaint or believe they were subjected to sexual harassment. NGB will also provide SVC services to SH complainants. In addition to being a Task Force recommendation, this memorandum will satisfy the IRC recommendation C1. The anticipated publication date is within FY23.

**2.12 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Victim Assistance and Advocacy goal.**

The leadership approved future plans for Victim Advocacy and Assistance include the following:

- Offering free live monthly webinars that will provide continuing education units for NG SAPR Professionals. These webinars will assist collateral duty SAPR VAs in meeting the eight hours of in person training required to renew their D-SAACP certification.
- Continuing to work with the Defense Health Agency to provide training for NG SAPR Professionals on the Line of Duty process and working to improve certain aspects of the process to reduce barriers to obtaining care. NGB will continue to support the Joint Executive Committee Sexual Trauma Working Group and share

the challenges National Guard members encounter in obtaining care through the Veterans Administration.

- Establishing a dedicated phone number for NG SAPR Professionals experiencing retaliation.
- Coordinating with NGB Office of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion to establish state hotlines for sexual harassment complaints.

### **SVC Program Future Plans.**

The NG SVC Program's future plans include using its Headquarters and Senior Regional SVCs to continue its training on specific topics and its mentorship program for the Regional SVCs. The practice topics will target areas of particular interest to the Regional SVCs and their respective clients.

Additionally, the NG SVC Program plans to expand its capacity by adding approximately 40 additional positions. These positions will include 19 Army and 13 Air Force Title 5 U.S.C. Civilians, and eight ANG Active Guard/Reserve members. The anticipated filling of these positions will begin on or about Spring FY23 to meet the statutory maximum case load requirements, and balance and distribute the caseloads among Regional SVCs more efficiently. These additional positions will allow Regional SVCs to advocate better for their clients and increase their focus on providing client assistance and counsel.

### **3. Goal 3 Investigation: "sustain a high level of competence in the investigation of adult sexual assault using investigative resources to yield timely results."**

**3.1 Strategic Summary:** Summarize your efforts for achieving the Investigation goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes and/or initiatives begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2022. As applicable, include significant updates made to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes; investigative resources (e.g., crime scene processing, evidence accountability, and hotlines) and manpower capabilities; training for military criminal investigators, law enforcement personnel, and/or first responders (e.g., new equipment training, interview techniques, and the CATCH Program) and how the effectiveness of this training is evaluated; Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability for Military Criminal Investigative Organizations (e.g., investigator and prosecutor coordination); case timeliness and quality reviews; sexual assault-related retaliation investigations; and information sharing within DoD and other organizations (e.g., federal and/or local civilian law enforcement). There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 3.2 through 3.6 below. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 3 – Investigation, p. 9 / NDAA for FY 2020, section 540J)

Unique to the National Guard, NGB OCI is an administrative investigation capability that investigates allegations of sexual assault in the States at the request of the respective TAGs to fill the gap that results when the local or state law enforcement agency declines to do a criminal investigation. NGB OCI made the following significant updates during FY22.

### **Strategy**

- Restructured the organization to add two mid-level investigator supervisors. This mid-level leadership construct will facilitate the delivery of timely guidance and feedback to investigators on performance and quality of work product with the objective of reducing report of investigation production times and improving quality of reports. NGB OCI also instituted the use of an Investigation Data Request Sheet for the requesting State to complete. Prior to implementing the use of the Investigation Data Request Sheet, investigators spent a significant amount of time requesting and coordinating the receipt of the required data and information before an investigation could be initiated. The objective of the Data Sheet is to reduce the amount of time between a state request for investigation and the start of that investigation.

### **Operational Policy and Procedures**

- Initiated the revision of its CNGB Manual and Instruction, and Standard Operating Procedures to document organizational changes and to ensure availability of clear, concise internal and external guidance on NGB OCI operational policies and procedures.

Initiatives completed by J1-SAPR included preparing:

- A Directive Type Memorandum to provide interim policy and procedures on the use of the Department of Defense DD Form 3114 “*Uniform Command Disposition Report.*” The DD Form 3114 will replace the National Guard’s use of the NGB Form 97, “*National Guard Bureau State Report of Disciplinary or Administrative Action,*” and will allow the National Guard to align with the Services as it applies to submitting relevant sexual assault case information. The publication of the Directive Type Memorandum will occur in FY23.
- An NGB memorandum to implement the use of the NGB Form 912, “Section 540K Declination Letter,” currently in staffing for signature and publication. This form will give eligible National Guard sexual assault victims the option to declare their declination to participate in a law enforcement agency investigation initiated because of a third-party report or by the command. It will also give the National Guard Commander the basis to document the victim’s decision as a matter of record as required by policy and law. Publication of the memorandum and NGB Form 912 will occur in FY23.

**3.2 Expanded Eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the CATCH Program: How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL MCIO investigators and military law enforcement personnel have been trained on and are familiar with the expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting (e.g., ability for victims to make a restricted report even if the assault is disclosed through their chain of command, but not personally to law enforcement) and the CATCH Program (i.e., ability for victims who made an Unrestricted Report to utilize the CATCH Program when the name of the subject was not disclosed or discovered during an investigation)? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your MCIO investigators and military law enforcement personnel? (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 4)**

The National Guard participates in the CATCH Program but does not have an MCIO or military law enforcement personnel to train. However, the National Guard Bureau SAPR Training Branch conducted training on the CATCH Program for SAPR Professionals within the States during their Annual Refresher Training. Training on updates to the CATCH Program was also provided to NG SVCs for their situational awareness.

Although NGB OCI conducts administrative investigations of sexual assault after an applicable criminal investigative agency declines to investigate and where the subject was identified and disclosed, this training on the expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting is not applicable as NGB OCI investigates only Unrestricted Reports.

**3.3 Requests for “Section 540K Declination Letter” and Requesting the Return of Personal Property Utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, “Return of Victim’s Personal Property in Restricted Reporting Sexual Assault Cases Collected During a SAFE:” Provide the number of “Section 540K Declination Letter” requests that your Military Service/NGB received in FY 2022. If provided, include a summary of the reason(s) for the request (no more than the top three reasons are sufficient). Also, did any investigations continue (despite there being a “Section 540K Declination Letter” from the victim) and what was/were the reason(s) why? How many requests did your Military Service/NGB receive, utilizing the DD Form 2910-3, for the return of personal property? (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), para 4ab(4) & Encl 4, para 1d)**

Not applicable to the non-Federalized National Guard.

The National Guard does not have an MCIO or operate military treatment facilities capable of offering a SAFE to National Guard victims of sexual assault. Additionally,



although NGB OCI provides an administrative investigative capability, it does not use the Section 540K Declination Letter if a victim declines to participate in an investigation.

**3.4 Eligibility to File a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and Requesting the Return of Personal Property Utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, “Return of Victim’s Personal Property in Restricted Reporting Sexual Assault Cases Collected During a SAFE:”**

**How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL MCIO investigators and military law enforcement personnel have been trained on and are familiar with the eligibility of a victim to file a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and request the return of personal property, utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, relinquished as part of the SAFE and after filing a Restricted Report? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your MCIO investigators and law enforcement personnel? (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), para 4ab(4) & Encl 4, para 1d)**

Not applicable to the National Guard.

The National Guard does not have an MCIO or operate military treatment facilities and rely on civilian hospitals to conduct a SAFE.

Additionally, NGB OCI investigators, who only investigate unrestricted reports of sexual assault, do not use the Section 540K Declination Letter if a victim decides not to participate in an investigation and does not have subpoena authority or the capability to collect physical evidence.

**3.5 Evidence Processing Challenges: Describe any evidence processing challenges at the Defense Forensic Science Center (e.g., shipping delays and turnaround time for processing of SAFE kits and other evidence) and actions taken to address or mitigate these challenges. (Joint Chiefs of Staff Strategic Direction to the Joint Force on SAPR (May 7, 2012), p. 11)**

Not applicable to the National Guard. NGB OCI does not collect physical evidence and does not use the Defense Forensic Science Center.

Physical evidence collected by civilian law enforcement agencies and healthcare facilities is processed in accordance with State, Territory, and the District of Columbia procedures. NG SAPR Professionals work to create MOUs and MOAs with all civilian law enforcement agencies and SAFE providers to align responses with DoD standards of care for sexual assault victims.

**3.6 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Investigation goal.**

NGB leadership approved future plans for implementation include the following:

### Policy

- NGB OCI will initiate the development of an investigative data management capability to provide appropriate level access among all of the stakeholders in the NGB-SAPR enterprise to facilitate a collaborative information sharing environment with an objective of facilitating data driven policy.

### Strategy

- In response to the recommendations of the IRC on Sexual Assault in the Military, NGB OCI will expand its mission set to include investigation of sexual harassment allegations. In addition to continuing strategic hiring and personnel placement initiatives for sexual assault investigations, NGB OCI will explore an innovative sexual harassment investigation workforce to provide a professional, flexible workforce capable of responding rapidly to fluctuations in demand for investigators.

### Training

- To develop a professional workforce with minimal disruption to the operational investigation mission, NGB OCI will explore investigation training resource alternatives.

## **4. Goal 4 Accountability: “maintain a high competence in holding alleged offenders appropriately accountable.”**

**4.1 Strategic Summary:** Summarize your efforts to achieve the Accountability goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2022. As applicable, include significant updates to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes; any new legal support resources, manpower capabilities, and/or redesign of services provided to victims/clients; and training and certification (as required) of personnel affiliated with the Special Victim Investigation and Prosecution Capability program (paralegals, trial counsel, and victim-witness assistance personnel) for responding to allegations of sexual assault and how the effectiveness of this training is evaluated. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 4.2 through 4.9 below. **(DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 4 – Accountability, p. 9)**

The National Guard relies primarily upon the civilian court system to hold National Guard members who are alleged subjects of a report of sexual assault accountable for their actions. State Staff Judge Advocates coordinate with their civilian counterparts to gather case information on National Guard members prosecuted through the civilian courts to inform the Chair of the Case Management Group on the dispositions of these cases. In

cases when a local or state law enforcement agency declines to investigate TAG may request NGB OCI to conduct an administrative investigation of a sexual assault. During FY22, NGB OCI and J1-SAPR set up a process of information sharing to allow the Compliance and Accountability Branch to review the cases referred to and substantiated by NGB OCI and validate what administrative action was taken against the subject. These accountability action reviews were integrated into the J1-SAPR SAAV process. This information was presented to State SAPR Professionals and senior leaders to give them visibility on any trends or lack of action occurring within their State.

The Vice Chief of the National Guard directed the formation of a Sexual Harassment OPT to review National Guard sexual harassment policy and processes. This OPT is currently working on three lines of effort: (1) Sexual Harassment Investigators, (2) Sexual Harassment and Sexual Assault Due Process, and (3) Streamlining Sexual Harassment Data, Policies, and Program. Participants in the OPT include NGB Manpower and Personnel office, J1-SAPR, J1 Warrior Resilience and Fitness Division, NGB Office of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion, NGB OCI, and NG SVC Program office.

The National Guard SVC Program provides legal representation to eligible victims in response to an allegation of sexual assault or domestic violence. NG SVC representation is divided into four Regions across the States – East, West, Midwest, and South. During FY22, each region gained a paralegal to assist the Senior Regional SVC with administrative tasks and SVCs with supporting victims of sexual assault. The most significant impact from this action was that SVCs essentially increased their manpower to advocate for their clients in military justice and administrative proceedings, and to help enforce victim rights.

**4.2 Effectiveness of the Special Victims' Counsel (SVC)/Victims' Legal Counsel (VLC) Program: Describe any enhancements your Military Service/NGB has made to the SVC/VLC program; how your Military Service/NGB provides support to victims/clients in remote/deployed locations; training/certification updates (if any); and the progress toward ensuring SVC/VLC case load does not exceed, to the extent practicable, 25 cases at any time. (NDAA for FY 2020, section 541 / NDAA for FY 2013, section 573 / SecDef Memorandum, "Improving Victim Legal Support," (August 14, 2013), p. 1)**

The NG SVC Program is comprised of a Program Management Office and four geographical regions – East, West, Midwest, and South. Each region provides services to its assigned State, Territory, or the District of Columbia (CNGBM 0401.01, Encl A, Para 1). When an NG SVC receives a request for SVC representation, the NG SVC Program Office will perform a conflict check and assign the matter to an SVC. Once assigned, the SVC will establish contact with the requesting service member within 48 hours of assignment. The requesting service member will also have the option of establishing contact with the assigned NG SVC (CNGBM 0401.01, Encl E, Para 2).

The standard NG SVC Scope of Representation permits SVCs to assist clients with adverse actions arising out of reprisal or retaliation. That Scope of Representation was

expanded to include domestic violence. All new SVCs are trained to review the Scope of Representation with their clients to ensure the client understands the range and limits of NG SVC services.

NG SVC centrally assigns all cases from the NG SVC Program Management Office and monitors the frequency and ratio of cases assigned per SVC. This process ensures each SVC is able to manage their caseload effectively, which is limited to 25 caseloads per each SVC. Additionally, each geographical region has a Senior Regional SVC who reviews the caseload periodically with each SVC. NG SVC plans to expand the Program by adding approximately 40 additional positions to include 19 ARNG T5 civilians, 13 ANG T5 civilians, and eight ANG Active Guard/Reserve positions on or about Spring FY23. This increase will meet the statutory maximum case load requirements and better balance and distribute caseloads among Regional SVCs, which in turn allows Regional SVCs to better advocate for their clients and provide more focused assistance and counsel.

NG SVC developed and implemented specialized training for all new NG SVC personnel to supplement the SVC certification training provided by the active component Army and Air Force's SVC programs. While all NG SVC personnel are certified by the Judge Advocate General of the Army or the Judge Advocate General of the Air Force, the NG SVC Program training prepares the SVC to address representation issues unique to the National Guard in support of clients who might otherwise be ineligible for SVC or VLC services under active component program guidelines.

**4.3 Trends in the Incidence, Disposition, and Prosecution of Sexual Assault: What trends has your Military Service/NGB identified in the incidence, disposition, and prosecution of sexual assault by units, commands, and installations during FY 2022? Please include trends relating to prevalence of incidents, prosecution of incidents, and avoidance of incidents. (NDA for FY 2013, section 575)**

The National Guard received 505 unrestricted reports of sexual assault in FY22. Of those total reports, 311 cases had investigations opened, 29 cases with no investigations. Of the 311 cases with open investigations, 106 investigations are complete. Of the 106 complete investigations there are 11 substantiated cases with command action and 11 unsubstantiated cases. Command action was taken in two of the unsubstantiated cases. Eighty-four of the completed investigations are pending final case dispositions. The National Guard coordinates with multiple Military Criminal Investigative Offices to include the Army Criminal Investigative Division, Air Force Office of Special Investigations, and Naval Criminal Investigative Service, as well as NGB OCI for administrative investigations. There were 165 cases with no investigation designation due to lack of data in DSAID which prevents substantitative trend analysis. The National Guard Bureau is developing policy to require the use of the DD 3114 Uniform Command Disposition Report form to close all DSAID cases. This policy and increased oversight will improve case disposition information and support data analysis to identify trends.

The National Guard relies heavily on civilian local and State law enforcement for the criminal investigative process. This requires significant coordination between Staff Judge

Advocates, SARCs, and local and State law enforcement. Depending on the reported offense, differences in the criminal elements in UCMJ Article 120 and State criminal codes create a gap in local law enforcement investigations. Civilian law enforcement will not investigate if there is no equivalent criminal charge in State law. If TAGs determine, after referral to the applicable MCIO or local law enforcement, that further investigation of an Unrestricted Report of sexual assault is necessary, NGB OCI is available to assist TAGs by providing an administrative investigation into the allegation of sexual assault. TAGs may request an NGB OCI administrative investigation if civilian law enforcement declines to open a criminal investigation or may request an exception to policy to allow NGB OCI to investigate when a civilian law enforcement agency unduly delays investigation, or for other sufficient reasons.

**4.4 CATCH Program: Describe any challenges faced by victims and/or SARCs with the CATCH Program (e.g., accessing the CATCH website or providing victim notification after a “match” was identified). Also, provide an update on how Service members are made aware of the CATCH program. (SecDef Memorandum, “Actions to Address and Prevent Sexual Assault in the Military,” (May 1, 2019), p. 2)**

National Guard victims did not express any challenges in requesting CATCH passwords or accessing the CATCH website during FY22. National Guard SARCs and SAPR VAs continue to inform victims of sexual assault of the CATCH program option during the filing process on the DD Form 2910. J1-SAPR routinely provides updates to program changes during the Annual Refresher Training and during the monthly Regional Teleconferences to ensure timely dissemination of new information, as well as addressing questions or concerns regarding the program. The J1-SAPR Compliance and Accountability Branch continued to distribute the monthly updates received from DoD SAPRO to the field. This information included updates on the program, tables showing total entries per Service, and a variety of charts to help inform and keep SARCs and SAPR VAs apprised of National Guard-specific and DoD-wide CATCH data and trends.

NG SVC continued to closely monitor the development of the CATCH Program. NG SVC regularly provided updated materials to personnel and advises personnel on the availability and capability of the CATCH Program as a potential resource for their clients.

**4.5 Expanded Eligibility for Restricted Reporting and the CATCH Program: How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL legal officers have been trained on and are familiar with the expanded eligibility for Restricted Reporting (e.g., ability for victims to make a restricted report even if the assault is disclosed through their chain of command, but not personally to law enforcement) and the CATCH Program (i.e., ability for victims who made an Unrestricted Report to utilize the CATCH Program when the name of the subject was not disclosed or discovered during an investigation)? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your legal officers? (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume**

**1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 4)**

Newly assigned NG SVCs who completed either the Air or Army Special Victims Certification Course within FY22 received the updated training on the extended eligibility at that time. Additionally, newly hired SVCs must complete orientation, which includes a refresher with training scenarios where restricted and unrestricted reports are discussed. The NG SVC conducted its Annual Legal Training Course for FY22 and provided updated information relevant to victims’ rights and representation of clients. During that training, J1- SAPR assisted in providing information on the CATCH program and specifically addressed the new changes to eligibility and summarized the differences between Restricted Reporting and Unrestricted Reporting. J1-SAPR also provided this training to the National Guard Directors of Psychological Health and Staff Judge Advocates.

**4.6 Eligibility to File a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and Requesting the Return of Personal Property with a DD Form 2910-3, “Return of Victim’s Personal Property in Restricted Reporting Sexual Assault Cases Collected During a SAFE:” How is your Military Service/NGB verifying that ALL legal officers have been trained on and are familiar with the eligibility of a victim to file a “Section 540K Declination Letter” and request the return of personal property, utilizing a DD Form 2910-3, relinquished as part of the SAFE and after filing a Restricted Report? What actions, if any, were taken to correct any identified issues in training your legal officers? (DSD Memo, “Update to Department of Defense Policy and Procedures for the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program and Adult Sexual Assault Investigations,” (November 10, 2021) / DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), para 4ab(4) & Encl 4, para 1d)**

During the FY22 Annual Legal Training Course, NG SVC included a discussion of DD Form 2910-3 and “Section 540K Declination Letter.” A copy of the DD Form 2910-3 was distributed through email to the entire formation along with other updated forms and procedures during FY22. Information pertaining to “Section 540K Declination Letter” was also distributed to the entire formation on multiple occasions during FY22 through email.

**4.7 UCMJ Article 93a (Prohibited Activities with Military Recruit or Trainee by Person in Position of Special Trust) Investigations and Convictions: Provide the number of personnel investigated for and convicted of UCMJ Art. 93a, specifically those who engaged in prohibited sexual activity with such specially protected junior members of the armed forces. (Article 93a, UCMJ)**

Article 93a, UCMJ is not applicable to non-Federalized National Guard.

**4.8 Efforts to Address Independent Review Commission (IRC) Recommendations: Identify major actions completed or underway to address the recommendations of IRC Line of Effort 1: Accountability. Focus response on IRC efforts undertaken during FY 2022. (See the requirements listed in question 1.1)**

**IRC Recommendation 1.2** *“Independent, trained investigators for sexual harassment and mandatory initiation of involuntary separation for all substantiated complaints.”* The National Guard does not fall under the UCMJ for this action.

**4.9 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Accountability goal.**

J1-SAPR leadership approved future plans include the following:

- Continuing to participate in the CATCH program and ensuring all SARCs have access to the system. Title 32 U.S.C. National Guard members are typically ineligible for an MCIO investigation of a sexual assault. The National Guard relies on coordination with civilian law enforcement agencies to achieve the accountability goal.

The NG SVC Program’s leadership approved future plans include:

- Increasing training opportunities and manpower to offer additional training opportunities on both Federal and State Courts Martial proceedings to enhance the SVC’s ability to represent their clients in these situations. During FY23, the NG SVC Program also plans to increase the number of SVCs to decrease the total case load per SVC and increase the number of paralegals to supply additional regional support to SVCs.
- Continuing to authorize NG SVC personnel to attend, and often speak at, a wide variety of military and civilian trainings, events, and conferences throughout each fiscal year.

**5. Goal 5 Assessment: “effectively measure, analyze, assess, and report SAPR Program progress to improve effectiveness.”**

**5.1 Strategic Summary: Summarize your efforts for achieving the Assessment goal. In this strategic summary, include significant updates and/or force-wide changes begun or completed by your Military Service/NGB in FY 2022. As applicable, include significant updates to regulations, policies, procedures, and/or processes and initiatives to ensure the quality, reliability, validity, and secure retention of sexual assault and retaliation data collected in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID), to include SARC, SAPR VA, and Military Service-level legal officer DSAID training. There is no need to repeat prior Annual Report submissions or address each of the examples above if these processes have remained largely the same as in previous years or when addressed in your responses to questions 5.2 through 5.4 below. (DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Strategic Plan, 2017-2021 (December 1, 2016), Goal 5 – Assessment, p. 10)**

**Health of the Force Scorecard.**

J1-SAPR continues to provide quarterly issuances of key programmatic metrics in the form of Health of the Force Scorecards to each of the States. Through data pulls of various systems of record, the scorecards provide visibility on SAPR Professionals manning, service member annual training completion, SAPR budget execution, and sexual assault case management data. This process was expanded in FY22 to include historic case closure data to grant visibility on cases that may be eligible for closure to assist in SARC program management.

### **Site Assessment and Assistance Visits (SAAVs).**

J1-SAPR expanded the SAAV process which began in FY21. Members of the J1-SAPR Assessment team executed 18 site visits in FY22, scheduled another 18 visits for FY23, and set expectations for 18 more SAAVs in Fiscal Year 2024. This scheduling will result in a tri-annual rotation across the States. Two of the visits conducted in FY22 were in coordination with the NGB Business Transformation Office or NGB OCI. Of the two FY22 and two FY21 SAAVs conducted in conjunction with NGB Business Transformation Office, members of the assessment team continued engagement with State Leadership and local program stakeholders to conduct monthly In-Process Reviews to advance action plans toward their proper resolution based on the SAAV findings. J1-SAPR adopted an adjusted version of this process, working with J1-SAPR's Victim Advocacy and Assistance Branch personnel to provide continued follow-up and resolution to items identified during the SAAV process.

J1-SAPR enhanced the SAAV process through routine coordination with NGB OCI to identify investigations conducted and identify corresponding command action taken by State leadership in instances of substantiated cases of Sexual Assault. The Assessment team additionally utilized consolidated data as part of the OSIE to identify high risk and protective factors within the States. This information is shared to increase senior leader knowledge of State NG cultural trends and their potential SAPR impacts within their State.

### **ANG By-Law Coordination.**

J1-SAPR coordinated with all 90 ANG Wings to have the J1-SAPR Compliance Inspector serve as the D-SAACP certified individual to support Wing Inspectors General in the conduct of the SAPR By-Law Process. The Compliance inspector additionally serves as a subject matter expert to provide greater insight to the Inspector General personnel regarding SAPR processes, requirements, and Wing SARCs compliance with them. J1-SAPR also conducted 42 Continual Evaluations and four Unit Effectiveness Inspections at the Wings.

**5.2 Adequacy of SAPR Activities at Training Commands: Describe sexual assault prevention and response training delivered during Basic Military Training and Advanced Military Training or equivalent (e.g., military occupational specialty training). What is your Military Service's/NGB's assessment of the adequacy of**



**SAPR activities carried out by training commands during FY 2022, and describe the means by which you assessed such activities? (NDAA for FY 2013, section 575)**

National Guard Service members primarily receive their Basic Military Training and Advanced Military Training at active component training facilities using their Service-specific curriculum.

Each State National Guard has an Army Regional Training Institute missioned by the Department of Army to train specific courses or sets of courses developed to meet the Army and Army National Guard requirements. The courses include Military Occupational Skills qualification training and professional military education or functional training. The training facilities follow Army requirements to assess SAPR activities.

**5.3 Ensuring Safe and Secure Living Environment: How does your Military Service/NGB assess the adequacy of measures undertaken at military installations and by units to ensure the safest and most secure living and working environments with regard to preventing sexual assault? (NDAA for FY 2011, section 1602)**

Unit Commanders, with the support of their appointed and trained SAPR professionals, make the safety assessments for their Service members under their command. NGB is developing risk assessment tools and guidance to implement the SAPTF Recommendation #10, "*Incorporate Risk Assessment for Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment into Tactical Risk Assessment Processes.*" This specific process will augment the existing risk assessment by adding a focus on preventing sexual assault and harassment. NGB is developing risk assessment metrics that are based on risk and protective factors provided by DoD SAPRO.

The Department of the Army Form 2977 and the Air Force Form 4391 are being modeled in the design of a form that will identify and assess the risk of sexual assault and help a leader organize and implement mitigating factors of that risk for any given operation or training event.

Additionally, the SAAVs and By-Law inspections conducted within the States assess the policies of the respective commanders regarding the security of their subordinates. J1-SAPR presents the findings to TAG or the Wing Commander for visibility and action as needed.

**5.4 Future Plans: Describe your leadership-approved future plans (if any) to further improve the achievement of the Assessment goal.**

NGB leadership approved future plans for implementation include the following:

- Continuing to conduct assessments to ensure SAPR program implementation aligns with policies, regulations, and instructions, such as the conduct of the monthly Case Management Group meetings. These assessments will include:

- ✓ SAAVs. J1-SAPR coordinated with State's National Guard Joint Force Headquarters' SARC's to schedule 18 SAAVs for FY23 and communicated the intent to conduct an additional 18 in Fiscal Year 2024. The schedule will complete the initial rotation of States.
- ✓ ANG By-Law Coordination. J1-SAPR will continue to coordinate with ANG Wing SARC's and Inspectors General to schedule or gain visibility on pre-existing By-Law inspection for the Compliance Inspectors participation. It is anticipated that all 90 Wings will complete the By-Law inspection within FY23.

**6. Core Functions (Communication and Policy): Provide a brief summary for new efforts taken in FY 2022 on the following:**

**6.1 COVID-19 Impact: Discuss any continuing impacts the COVID-19 pandemic is having on your Military Service's/NGB's efforts in any of the five SAPR goals (e.g., prevention efforts, in-person training, SAFE/evidence processing). If there is a continuing impact, what adjustments and communication efforts were made in FY 2022 to ensure the advancement of your SAPR efforts?**

**J1-SAPR**. COVID -19 continued to have minimal effect on in-person training or SAAVs conducted throughout the National Guard. Personnel traveling adhered to the guidelines at the time of their travel, had proof of vaccination, and kept the appropriate safety and distancing procedures in place at each location visited. J1-SAPR continued to mitigate potential or perceived impacts to training due to COVID-19 restrictions by either rescheduling the event, changing to a larger venue to allow for the appropriate number of personnel in attendance, or providing virtual training.

**National Guard SVC Program**. Judge advocates serving as SVCs in the NG SVC program are spread across the States. Due to the disparate geographical proximity of SVCs to their clients, NG SVCs must travel to represent and meet the needs of their clients appropriately. The early travel restrictions put in place by DoD in response to the pandemic led to postponing investigations, adjudicating proceedings, and client meetings. Although DoD eased restrictions within FY22, the threats posed by the COVID-19 pandemic persisted. SVCs continued to use teleconference, video conference, and other remote communication platforms to reach and represent their clients.

**State SAPR Programs**. The COVID-19 impact varied across the States' SAPR programs. Some of the significant effects include:

- Rebuilding community connections, as some resources changed and some no longer exist.
- Finding time to provide robust SAPR education and prevention activities on drill weekends as schedules are filled with mission training requirements that could not be accomplished virtually during COVID-19.

- Focusing more on SAPR services during Annual Training to offset the lack of training in previous year.
- Continuing to use the virtual case in-take procedures, based on victim's increased comfort and preference.
- Overcoming challenges during in-take for individuals in remote locations or without internet capabilities.
- Overcoming the hesitancy to engage in large group events or public speaking.
- Increasing the number of training events conducted or attended using virtual capabilities.
- Continuing to use virtual means to conduct Case Management Group meetings, which improves management of attendees who are not local.
- Impeding the ability to get victims transported to healthcare facilities and to obtain appropriate care.

**6.2 Survey Results: If not addressed in previous responses, describe your leadership-approved policy changes (if any) being initiated as a result of the findings from the FY 2021 Workplace and Gender Relations Surveys of Active Duty and Reserve Component Members and the FY2022 Defense Organizational Climate Surveys.**

The National Guard will continue to implement its current approved strategy, policy, and procedural changes within FY23. These changes focus on the recommendations provided by the IRC and NG SAPTF. These recommendations encompass a broad range of objectives within all four goals: Prevention, Victim Advocacy and Assistance, Investigation, and Accountability.

**7. National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) Requirements and Government Accountability Office (GAO) Recommendations:**

Provide your Military Service's status on the NDAA sections listed below. There are unique requirements embedded within each NDAA section's language, so referring to the entire section is necessary. Military Service's should also refer to GAO Report, GAO 22 103973, "DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts," (March 2022) and provide their updates based on the recommendations in that report.

**After reviewing the designated NDAA and GAO section:**

If action(s) has/have been implemented, provide the completion date and a short narrative (300 words or less) describing the action taken (e.g., Completed: January 15, 2022. Requirement added to AR 600 20, Army Command Policy).

If the action(s) has/have not been implemented, provide the projected completion date only without narrative (e.g., Projected Completion Date: January 15, 2023).
<p><b>7.1 (Army) NDAA for FY 2014, section 1721:</b> Tracking of compliance of commanding officers in conducting organizational climate assessments for purposes of preventing and responding to sexual assaults. <b>(Government Accountability Office (GAO) Report, GAO-22-103973, “DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts,” (March 2022), Recommendation 6)</b></p>
<p>Not Applicable to the National Guard.</p>
<p><b>7.2 (Marine Corps &amp; Air Force) NDAA for FY 2015, section 508:</b> Required consideration of certain elements of command climate in performance appraisals of commanding officers. <b>(GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, “DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts,” (March 2022), Recommendations 7 (Marine Corps) &amp; 8 (Air Force))</b></p>
<p>Not Applicable to the National Guard.</p>
<p><b>7.3 (Army) NDAA for FY 2012, section 582(a):</b> Consideration of application for permanent change of station or unit transfer based on humanitarian conditions for victim of sexual assault or related offense. <b>(GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, “DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts,” (March 2022), Recommendation 10)</b></p>
<p>Not Applicable to the National Guard.</p>
<p><b>7.4 (Navy) NDAA for FY 2014, section 1741(a)-(c):</b> Enhanced protections for prospective members and new members of the Armed Forces during entry-level processing and training. <b>(GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, “DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts,” (March 2022), Recommendation 11)</b></p>
<p>Not Applicable to the National Guard.</p>
<p><b>7.5 (Navy &amp; Marine Corps) NDAA for FY 2014, section 1745(a)-(c):</b> Inclusion and command review of information on sex-related offenses in personnel service records of members of the Armed Forces. <b>(GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, “DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts,” (March 2022), Recommendation 12)</b></p>
<p>Not Applicable to the National Guard.</p>
<p><b>7.6 (Army, Navy, &amp; Air Force) NDAA for FY 2018, section 535(a)-(b):</b> Sexual assault prevention and response training for all individuals enlisted in the Armed Forces under a delayed entry program. <b>(GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, “DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts,” (March 2022), Recommendations 13 (Army), 14 (Navy), &amp; 15 (Air Force))</b></p>

Not Applicable to the National Guard.

**7.7 (Army, Navy, & Air Force) NDAA for FY 2019, section 545(a)-(c):** Development of resource guides regarding sexual assault for the military service academies. (GAO Report, GAO-22-103973, “DoD and Coast Guard Should Ensure Laws Are Implemented to Improve Oversight of Prevention and Response Efforts,” (March 2022), Recommendations 16 (Army), 17 (Navy), & 18 (Air Force))

Not Applicable to the National Guard

## 8. Analytics Discussion

**8.1 Military Services/NGB\*:** Provide an analytic discussion (1,500 words or less) of your Statistical Report of reported sexual assault cases from DSAID. The discussion shall include information on Unrestricted Reports; Restricted Reports; conversions of Restricted Reports to Unrestricted Reports; service referrals for victims alleging sexual assault; reports of retaliation; and case synopses of completed sexual assault and related retaliation investigations.

\*NGB should provide comments based on its available information and data.

This section must briefly address each of the following:

- Notable changes in the data over time
- Insight or suspected reasons for noted changes, or lack of change, if any, in data
- The application of insights from data analyses for programmatic planning, oversight, and/or research
- Total number of Sexual Assaults (Restricted Reports and Unrestricted Reports) over time (since FY 2008) (Metric #11)
- The number of sexual assault investigations completed by the MCIO in the FY and the corresponding mean and median investigation length. Case open date can be in any year, but the close date must be by the end of the FY (Non-Metric #6)
- The number of subjects with victims who declined to participate in the military justice process (Metric #7)
- Command action for military subjects under DoD legal authority (to be captured using the most serious crime investigated, comparing penetration to contact crimes) (Non-Metric #1)
- Sexual assault court-martial outcomes (to be captured using the most serious crime charged, comparing penetration to contact crimes) (Non-Metric #2)
- Summary of referral data – Unrestricted and Restricted Reports - either referrals received from other sources or referrals made to other sources (e.g., medical/mental health, command, criminal investigation/security services, legal, civilian, or VA authorities, etc.)
- Any other information relating to sexual assault case data (e.g., information on completed sexual assault-related retaliation cases)

The Analytics Discussion section contains data on sexual assaults reported to the NGB within FY22. The FY22 final reporting data extracted from DSAID on 01 November 2022, and validated for use, establishes the basis of this discussion. NGB removed some sexual assault cases reported in FY22 from the analysis because of missing basic information or incorrect case entry. This report includes graphs and charts to display National Guard Joint data, as well as ARNG and ANG specific data, as available.

### National Guard Report Totals (Figure 1)

The National Guard received 856 sexual assault reports in FY22, an increase of 21.59 percent from FY21; the largest percentage increase recorded over the history of the program. In the previous FYs, the program saw an increase in the number of reports by 11.04 percent in FY21, and a rise of 4.26 percent in FY20. National Guard members on Title 10 U.S.C. orders reporting sexual assault increased by 36.46 percent, from 96 sexual assault reports in FY21 to 131 reports in FY22. This increase may be reflective of the continued larger number of National Guard members placed in Title 10 U.S.C. status in FY22 in comparison to prior FYs. This rise in reports for FY22 is consistent with the increase in the number of reports from 67 reports in FY20 to 96 reports in FY21, a 43.28 percent increase.

In FY22, the National Guard returned to pre-pandemic operational execution and witnessed the continued increase in physical contact as work, drills, meetings, and other activities occurred in person. These factors may have contributed to the sustained upward trend in sexual assault reports. The combination of these factors contributed to the percentage increase of sexual assault reports between FY21 and FY22. Additionally, the greater comfort level a sexual assault victim may have had with their VA and better access to SAPR services and resources may have attributed to the upward trend of increased reports in recent years. They continue to illuminate the need for victim services and sexual violence prevention.




Figure 1. National Guard Report Totals by Status Type and Fiscal Year

**Title 32 Status Guard Members.** In FY22, reports filed involving Title 32 U.S.C. status National Guard members increased to 725 from the 608 reports filed in FY21, a 19.24 percent rise from FY21. This increase is significantly higher than the previous steady growth in the number of reports involving Title 32 Guard members over the last five years, such as the 7.23 percent rise in FY21 from FY20. This increase in reporting may be partially attributed to the enhanced knowledge of and access to SAPR services within the National Guard.

- **Title 10 Status Guard Members.** The total number of reports filed involving Title 10 U.S.C. status National Guard members rose from 96 reports in FY21 to 131 reports in FY22, a 26.72 percent increase in reports. However, this is a decrease from the 43.28 percent increase seen in FY21 reporting from FY20. The number of reports involving Title 10 National Guard members in FY 20 and FY 19, were 67 and 92, respectively.

**ARNG Report Totals (Figure 2)**

The ARNG reports followed a similar pattern as the National Guard report totals. FY22 saw an increase of reports to 661 from 536 in FY21, reflecting a 23.32 percent rise. While reports increased by 16.14 percent in FY21, reports only rose to 10.97 percent between FY20 to FY19.




Figure 2. ARNG Report Totals by Status Type and Fiscal Year

### ANG Report Totals (Figure 3)

The ANG reports also followed a similar pattern as the National Guard total reports and continued to reflect an increase in annual reporting numbers, which rose to 195 in FY22 from 168 in FY21, showing an increase of 16.07 percent. Previously, the FY21 reports rose by 11.25 percent, while reports decreased marginally by 0.01 percent in FY20.




Figure 3. ANG Report Totals by Status Type and Fiscal Year

Year Incident Occurred	Sexual Assault Incidents Reported in FY22
FY22	295
FY21	89
FY20	49
FY19	38
FY18	21
FY17	11
FY16	11
FY15	7
FY14	5
FY13	8
FY12	7
FY11	4
FY10	3
FY09	12
FY08	4
Prior to FY08	45
Unknown Incident Date	247
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>856</b>

Figure 4. Sexual Assault incidents based on Fiscal Year reported in FY22

### Sexual Assault Incidents Reported in FY22 (Figure 4)

In FY22, of the total 856 sexual assaults reported in FY22, only 295 of the sexual assault report incidents actually occurred in FY22. There were 89 sexual assaults reported that occurred in FY21, while 247 reports of sexual assault were recorded with “unknown incident dates.” These reports with an “unknown incident date” could be the result of “open with limited” cases which include third party reports, and gaps in the data available at the time the report is entered into DSAID. The number of reports with “unknown incident dates” in FY22 are comparable to the number of reports recorded in FY21.



**Total Number of Sexual Assaults (Unrestricted Reports and Restricted Reports) over time, FY13 – FY22 (Metric 11) (Figure 5)**

The National Guard saw 505 Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault involving National Guard members filed in FY22. This is a 7.21 percent increase over the 471 reports filed in FY21. Of the 505 Unrestricted Reports, 448 involved Title 32 U.S.C. status National Guard members and 57 involved Title 10 U.S.C. status National Guard members. The number of Restricted Reports in FY22 increased to 351 reports in comparison to the 233 reports filed in FY21, a 50.6 percent rise. Of the FY22 Restricted Reports, 277 involved Title 32 U.S.C. National Guard members and 74 involved Title 10 U.S.C. National Guard members.




Figure 5. National Guard Sexual Assault Report Types by Fiscal Year




Figure 6. ARNG Sexual Assault Report Types by Fiscal Year

**Total Number of ARNG Sexual Assaults (Unrestricted Reports and Restricted Reports) over time, FY13 – FY22 (Figure 6)**

The ARNG saw 406 Unrestricted Reports involving National Guard members filed in FY22. This is an 8.84 percent increase from 373 in FY21. Of the 406 Unrestricted Reports, 362 involved Title 32 U.S.C. status National Guard members and 44 involved Title 10 U.S.C. status National Guard members. The number of Restricted Reports in FY22 rose to 255 reports in comparison to the 163 reports filed in FY21. This is a 56.4 percent increase over the past fiscal year. Of the FY22 Restricted Reports, 201 involved Title 32 U.S.C. National Guard members and 54 involved Title 10 U.S.C. National Guard members.

**Total Number of ANG Sexual Assaults (Unrestricted Reports and Restricted Reports) over time, FY13 – FY22 (Figure 7)**

The ANG saw 99 Unrestricted Reports involving National Guard members filed in FY22. This is a one percent increase from the 98 reports filed in FY21. Of the 99 Unrestricted Reports, 86 involved Title 32 U.S.C. status National Guard members and 13 involved Title 10 U.S.C. status National Guard members. The number of Restricted Reports in FY22 increased to 96 reports in comparison to the 72 reports filed in FY21. This is a 33 percent increase over the past fiscal year. Of the FY22 Restricted Reports, 76 involved Title 32 U.S.C. National Guard members and 20 involved Title 10 U.S.C. National Guard members.




Figure 7. ANG Sexual Assault Report Types by Fiscal Year

**Case synopses by Type of Report, Gender, and Type of Report and Gender (Figures 8, 9 and 10)**

	Cases by Type of Report					
	Restricted			Unrestricted		
	ARNG	ANG	Total	ARNG	ANG	Total
FY20	142	46	188	355	105	460
FY21	163	70	233	373	98	471
FY22	255	96	351	406	99	505

Figure 8. Cases by Type of Report

	Cases by Gender								
	Female Victim			Male Victim			Unknown		
	ARNG	ANG	Total	ARNG	ANG	Total	ARNG	ANG	Total
FY20	360	117	477	58	23	81	79	11	90
FY21	374	130	504	72	15	87	90	23	113
FY22	478	129	607	79	30	109	104	36	140

Figure 9. Cases by Gender by ARNG and ANG

Cases by Gender and Type												
	Female Victim						Male Victim					
	Restricted			Unrestricted			Restricted			Unrestricted		
	ARNG	ANG	Total	ARNG	ANG	Total	ARNG	ANG	Total	ARNG	ANG	Total
FY20	103	40	143	257	77	334	23	5	28	35	18	53
FY21	111	57	168	263	73	336	21	5	26	51	10	61
FY22	179	61	240	299	68	367	30	17	47	49	13	62

Figure 10. Cases by Gender and Type of Report

- Male Reporting.** The National Guard continued to pursue awareness efforts targeted at male survivors in an effort to increase sexual assault reporting for this population. In FY22, 109 reports involved male victims. This is an increase of 25.29 percent from FY21 and accounts for 12.73 percent of the total reports for all of the National Guard in FY22. Of those 109 reports, 79 involved ARNG male victims (11.95 percent of total ARNG reports) and 30 involved ANG male victims (15.38 percent of total ANG reports).

### Victim and Subject Demographics (Figures 11 and 12)

The majority of National Guard victims fell within the E1-E5 pay grade range over the past three fiscal years. In FY22, 61.88 percent of ARNG victims and 52.31 percent of ANG victims were within the E1-E5 range. The majority of National Guard subjects fell within the same E1-E5 pay grade range over the past three fiscal years. In FY22, 61.11 percent of ARNG subjects and 62.5 percent of ANG subjects fell within the E1-E5 range. The National Guard continues to focus prevention efforts at the E1-E5 range with buy-in and participation from senior leadership.

Cases By Victim Pay Grade						
	E1 – E5		E6 – E9		Officers	
	ARNG	ANG	ARNG	ANG	ARNG	ANG
FY20	315	100	48	30	23	6
FY21	337	80	57	34	20	15
FY22	409	102	68	37	44	9

Figure 11. Sexual Assault Cases by Victim Pay grade

Cases By Subject Pay Grade						
	E1 – E5		E6 – E9		Officers	
	ARNG	ANG	ARNG	ANG	ARNG	ANG
FY20	93	20	55	17	14	1
FY21	99	18	52	9	9	3
FY22	110	19	51	12	17	1

Figure 12. Sexual Assault Cases by Subject Pay grade

**Restricted Reporting Information (Figure 13)** The reasons for filing a restricted sexual assault report in FY22 varied for the 351 restricted reports. The most common reason was a “desire to avoid retelling their story,” at 18.23 percent of restricted reports. The next highest was “other” at 15.67 percent and “declined to specify a reason” at 13.96 percent.

<b>Reason for Filing Report as Restricted (FY12 – FY22)</b>	<b>ARNG</b>	<b>ANG</b>	<b>Total</b>
Declined to specify a reason	257	89	346
Other	253	83	336
Desire to avoid retelling story	190	71	261
Feared some kind of retaliation from the offender or the offender's friends	104	29	133
Feared being the target of gossip or his/her reputation being damaged in the eyes of commander or unit members	86	36	122
Was concerned that reporting would negatively impact career	79	27	106
Did not want law enforcement involvement	74	40	114
Thought he/she would not be believed	51	13	64
Did not want to hurt the offender's career	41	20	61
Thought he/she would be blamed or labeled a troublemaker	29	10	39
Did not want to engage military justice system	21	18	39
Thought the matter was not important enough to report to law enforcement	23	9	32
Feared he/she or friends would be punished for collateral offense, such as underage drinking or curfew violation	12	4	16
Was concerned that reporting would prevent finishing training or completing an operational mission	9	7	16
Was concerned that reporting would delay returning home from a deployment	4	0	4
Was concerned that reporting would result in being sent home from a deployment early	1	1	2

Figure 13. Reasons for Filing a Restricted Report

<b>Sex of Those Who Made Restricted Reports in FY22</b>		
	<b>Count</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Male</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>13%</b>
<b>Female</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>68%</b>
<b>Relevant Data Not Available</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>18%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>351</b>	<b>100%</b>

Figure 14. Sex of Those Who Made Restricted Reports

### Restricted Reporting Demographic Information (Figures 14 – 16)

In FY22, there were 351 total Restricted Reports of sexual assault. Figures 14 through 16 show that victims who filed a Restricted Report were primarily female, 24 or younger, and grades E1-E4.

Age of Those at Time of Incident Who Made Restricted Reports in FY22		
	Count	Percent
0-17	20	6%
18-20	105	30%
21-24	108	31%
25-34	84	24%
35-49	33	9%
50 and Older	1	0.28%
<b>Total</b>	<b>351</b>	<b>100%</b>

Figure 15. Age of Those who made Restricted Reports at the Time of Incident

Grade of Those at Time of Report who made Restricted Reports in FY22		
	Count	Percent
E1-E4	148	42%
E5-E9	97	28%
WO1-WO5	4	1%
O1-O3	19	5%
O4-O10	10	3%
Relevant Data Not Available	73	21%
<b>Total</b>	<b>351</b>	<b>100%</b>

Figure 16. Grade of Those in Restricted Reports at Time of Report

**Conversion of Restricted Reports to Unrestricted Reports.** Of the 351 Restricted Reports filed in FY22, 44 of the reports were converted to Unrestricted Reports within FY22, equating to 3.5 percent of all National Guard reports. ARNG victims converted 33 reports, while ANG victims converted 11 reports. This is a significant increase of 76 percent from restricted reports converted in FY21.

**Synopses of Offense Types and Top Five Assault Locations. (Figures 17 and 18)**

The most common sexual assault offense type among the National Guard in FY22 was abusive sexual contact, which accounted for 19 percent of Unrestricted Report totals. The majority of assaults occurred at a private residence and accounted for 44 percent of all Unrestricted Reports in FY22. The National Guard faces unique challenges when it comes to sexual assault prevention due to geographical dispersion of National Guard members across the States.

Offense Type Unrestricted Reports	Service Member Victim	Non-Service Member Victims	Total Victims	Percent of Total Unrestricted Reports
Abusive Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	90	8	98	19%
Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	58	5	63	12%
Rape (Art. 120)	63	14	77	15%
Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	20	6	26	5%
Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	5	0	5	1%
Forcible Sodomy (Art. 125)	4	1	5	1%
Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	2	0	2	0%

Figure 17. Offense Types of Unrestricted Reports

Location Assault Occurred FY22 (Top 5 only)			
Victim Affiliation	ARNG	ANG	Total
Residence	168	59	227
Hotel / Motel	105	29	134
Government / Public Building	68	14	82
Unknown	32	10	42
Bar / Night Club / Officer Club / NCO Club	18	13	31

Figure 18. Top Five Locations for assaults

**Investigative Metrics (Non-Metric #6) (Figures 19 and 20)**

The National Guard closed 242 investigations in FY22. These investigations include cases that were opened in FY22 as well as previous FYs. The National Guard’s primary investigative agency remains Civilian Local Law Enforcement, resulting in consistent investigative closure delays. The mean investigative length for Civilian Local Law Enforcement was 210 days, compared to Air Force Office of Special Investigations, which was the shortest at a mean of 103 days.

NGB OCI completed 53 administrative investigations into unrestricted reports of sexual assault at the request of The Adjutants General and the Commanding General of the District of Columbia. An additional 18 OCI investigations were requested and remain open from FY22. These reports involved members of the ARNG and the ANG in a Title 32 duty status, where civilian or military law enforcement either declined to investigate the allegation or the Adjutants General determined the evidence gathered was insufficient to make determinations regarding good order and discipline.

<b>Investigations Completed by Investigative Agency in FY 2022</b>			
<b>Investigative Agency</b>	<b>Investigations Closed</b>	<b>Median Investigative Length (Days)</b>	<b>Mean Investigative Length (Days)</b>
Air Force Office of Special Investigations	36	72	103
Army Criminal Investigative Division	54	149	194
Civilian Law Enforcement	99	128	210
NGB OCI	53	178	197
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>188</b>

*Figure 19. Non-Metric #6. Investigations Completed in FY22*

<b>Outcomes For All Agency Investigations Completed in FY 22</b>	
<b>Substantiated</b>	33
<b>Unsubstantiated</b>	27
<b>Pending Dispositions</b>	182
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>242</b>

*Figure 20. Outcomes for Investigations Completed in FY22*

### Command Action (Non-Metric #1) (Figure 21)

The National Guard saw 33 cases with Command Action taken against military subjects in FY22. Civilian Local Law Enforcement maintains jurisdiction on the majority of National Guard sexual assault cases, greatly limiting command action. National Guard members are eligible to report any sexual assault incident that meets the elements of Article 20 in the UCMJ. However, most States criminal codes do not align with the UCMJ. This creates situations where a SAPR report is taken, but civilian law enforcement is unable to investigate or file charges. This could be a reason for the “unknown” column regarding most serious crime investigated as civilian Local Law Enforcement may not always convey the charges. Also, OCI investigations do not have criminal authority and any investigation initiated solely by OCI would result in an “unknown” crime investigated if substantiated findings were discovered

Command Action For Military Subjects in FY 2022					
	Most Serious Crime Investigated (MCIO/OCI/LLE)				
	All Crimes	Penetrative Crimes	Sexual Contact Crimes	Prosecuted by State Law	Unknown
Administrative Discharge	6	3	2	0	1
Administrative Discharge, Non-Sexual Assault Offense	1	1	0	0	0
Courts-Martial Charge Preferred	4	1	3	0	0
No Action Taken	4	3	0	1	0
Non-Judicial Punishment	5	0	3	0	2
Non-Judicial Punishment, Non-Sexual Assault Offense	2	1	1	0	0
Other Adverse Administrative Actions	6	1	4	1	0
Other Adverse Administrative Actions, Non-Sexual Assault Offense	5	1	1	1	2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>

Figure 21. Non-Metric #1. Command Action in FY22

### Notifying Command

Of the incidents of sexual assaults reported by National Guard members in FY22, SARCs notified command within 24 hours of the report for 77.69 percent of cases; this is an increase from 74.86 percent in FY21, as well as from the 73.82 percent reported in FY20. As mentioned elsewhere in this section, the continued return to normal operations post-COVID-19 pandemic has facilitated more accessibility and availability of SARCs and increased administrative barriers to swift reporting.

### **Summary Referral Data (Total reports) (Figures 22, 23, and 24)**

The National Guard saw 1,763 referrals in FY22 (**Figure 22**), which is a significant increase of 23.03 percent over the 1,433 referrals in FY21. The continued increase in referrals illustrates an increase in awareness and access to resources for survivors of sexual assault. The increase in referrals may also demonstrate successful SAPR policy changes and awareness initiatives. Please note that more than one referral may be made for each case at the request of the survivor.

The rising trend of mental health referrals continued in FY22. All referral sources saw increases from FY21 to FY22. The highest volume of referrals in FY22 was to mental health resources; with 457 referrals, mental health resources represent 25.92 percent of all referrals made in FY22. Victim advocate referrals increased from 273 in FY21 to 344 in FY22, most likely due to the continued increases of in-person work and services. The largest percent increase in referrals was for medical referrals, increasing by a significant 56.04 percent, which may be due to increased awareness and engagement with medical agencies within the local communities.

The ARNG referrals (**Figure 23**) totaled 1,175 in FY22, which is an increase of 17.62 percent over 999 referrals in FY21. Referrals to mental health resources also show the highest volume of referrals in FY22 with 298 referrals representing 25.36 percent of all ARNG referrals during FY22. The highest percentage increase was of chaplain/spiritual support referrals, which increased by 91.3 percent between FY 21 and FY22.

The ANG referrals (**Figure 24**) totaled 588 in FY22, an increase of 13.73 percent over 517 referrals in FY21. Similar to the ARNG, mental health referrals represent the highest volume of referrals in FY22 for the ANG with 159 referrals representing 27.04 percent of all ANG referrals during FY21. The highest percentage increase was of Victim Advocate referrals, which significantly increased by 71.93 percent between FY 21 and FY22. The ANG saw a significant decrease in medical referrals, dropping from 75 in FY21 to 41 in FY22.






Figure 22. National Guard Referral Types by Fiscal Year




Figure 23. ARNG Referral Types by Fiscal Year




Figure 24. ANG Referral Types by Fiscal Year

### **Not Applicable to the non-Federalized National Guard**

Under the command and control of the Governor, non-Federalized National Guard members typically do not fall under Title 10, U.S.C., and jurisdiction of a MCIO or the military judicial system. Therefore, quality data is not available for analyses or reporting for the following:

- The number of subjects with victims who declined to participate in the military justice process (Metric #7)
- Sexual assault court-martial outcomes (to be captured using the most serious crime charged, comparing penetration to contact crimes) (Non-Metric #2)

**8.2 Personnel Support:** Complete the following table with your numbers as of the end of FY 2022. Use the job/duty descriptions provided and the following inclusion criteria:

- Include all Reserve and Active Duty military personnel. Army and Air Force do not need to include their respective National Guard component information as it will be included in the National Guard Bureau’s response.
- Include federal government civilian personnel
- Only include filled positions
- Indicate the number of full-time and part-time personnel (i.e., collateral duty personnel)
- Provide the exact number of current personnel, whenever possible. If the number is an estimate, indicate how the estimate was reached and any other relevant information.

**(DoDI 6495.02, Volume 1, “Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Procedures,” (November 10, 2021), Encl 2, para 6)**

Job/Duty Title	Description of Job/Duty	Full Time	Part Time
Program Managers	Capability in developing policy, or program management and execution; and completion of 40+ hours of Military Service-specific National Advocate Credentialing Program and approved SARC training.	2	0
Dedicated Headquarters-Level Professionals	Include policy, advocacy, and prevention professionals who support the headquarters-level SAPR program offices at each Military Service/NGB ( <i>not including program managers, who are counted in their own category</i> ).	27	0
Uniformed SARCs	Serve as the single point of contact at an installation or within a geographic area to oversee sexual assault awareness, prevention, and response training; coordinate medical treatment, including emergency care, for victims of sexual assault; and track the services provided to victims from the initial report through final disposition and resolution and are certified under the	0	297

	<b>nationally-accredited DoD Sexual Assault Advocate Certification Program (D-SAACP).</b>		
<b>Civilian SARCs</b>	<b>See above.</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Uniformed SAPR-VAs</b>	<b>Provide non-clinical crisis intervention, referral, and ongoing non-clinical support to adult sexual assault victims; offer information on available options/resources to victims; coordinate liaison assistance with other organizations and agencies on victim care matters; and report directly to the SARC, and are certified under the nationally-accredited D-SAACP.</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1968</b>
<b>Civilian SAPR-VAs</b>	<b>See above.</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Sexual Assault-Specific Legal</b>	<b>Legal personnel who specialize in sexual assault cases including prosecutors, Victim Witness Assistance Program personnel, paralegals, legal experts, and Special Victims' Counsel/Victims' Legal Counsel.</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Sexual Assault – Specific Investigators</b>	<b>Military Criminal Investigation Office investigators who specialize in sexual assault cases.</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiners</b>	<b>Medical providers that have completed the DoD Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examiner Course at Fort Sam Houston, or equivalent.</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

NATIONAL GUARD FY22 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY	
<p>A. FY22 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, forcible sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) BY or AGAINST Service Members.</p> <p>Note: The data on this page is raw, uninvestigated information about allegations received during FY22. These Reports may not be fully investigated by the end of the fiscal year.</p> <p>This data is drawn from Defense Sexual Assault Database (DSAD) based on Service affiliation of the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) who currently manages the Victim case.</p>	FY22 Totals
<b># FY22 Unrestricted Reports (one Victim per report)</b>	<b>505</b>
# Service Member Victims	394
# Non-Service Member Victims in allegations against Service Member Subject	35
# Relevant Data Not Available	76
<b># Unrestricted Reports in the following categories</b>	<b>505</b>
# Service Member on Service Member	173
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	21
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	26
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	12
# Relevant Data Not Available	273
<b># Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring</b>	<b>505</b>
# On military installation	131
# Off military installation	177
# Unidentified location	197
<b># Victim in Unrestricted Reports Referred for Investigation</b>	<b>505</b>
<b># Victims in investigations initiated during FY22</b>	<b>311</b>
# Victims with Investigations pending completion at end of 30-SEP-2022	197
# Victims with Completed Investigations at end of 30-SEP-2022	96
<b># Victims with Investigative Data Forthcoming</b>	<b>8</b>
<b># Victims where investigation could not be opened by DoD or Civilian Law Enforcement</b>	<b>29</b>
# Victims - Alleged perpetrator not subject to the UCMJ	6
# Victims - Crime was beyond statute of limitations	0
# Victims - Unrestricted Reports for Matters Occurring Prior to Military Service	1
# Victims - Other	22
<b># All Restricted Reports received in FY22 (one Victim per report)</b>	<b>395</b>
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report* (report made this year and converted this year)	44
<b># Restricted Reports Remaining Restricted at end of FY22</b>	<b>351</b>

<b>B. DETAILS OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR FY22</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>	<b>FY22 Totals for Service Member</b>
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report</b>	<b>505</b>	<b>394</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	84	71
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	43	34
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	40	38
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	110	94
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	105	86
# Relevant Data Not Available	123	71
<b>Time of sexual assault</b>	<b>505</b>	<b>394</b>
# Midnight to 6 am	104	94
# 6 am to 6 pm	89	75
# 6 pm to midnight	144	127
# Unknown	43	27
# Relevant Data Not Available	125	71
<b>Day of sexual assault</b>	<b>505</b>	<b>394</b>
# Sunday	44	40
# Monday	44	39
# Tuesday	39	27
# Wednesday	51	45
# Thursday	44	38
# Friday	62	48
# Saturday	98	86
# Relevant Data Not Available	123	71

<b>C. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (VICTIM AND SUBJECT GENDER)</b>	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	Multiple Mixed Gender Assault	Relevant Data Not Available	FY22 Totals
	<b>163</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>285</b>	<b>505</b>
# Service Member on Service Member	127	15	6	9	0	1	10	5	173
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	16	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	21
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	16	4	1	0	0	0	2	3	26
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	8	12
# Relevant Data Not Available	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	269	273



**FY22 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY MATTER INVESTIGATED TYPE (May not reflect what crimes can be charged upon completion of investigation)**

<i>UNRESTRICTED REPORTS MADE IN FY22</i>											
<b>D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS BY OR AGAINST SERVICE MEMBERS (MOST SERIOUS CRIME ALLEGED, AS CATEGORIZED BY THE MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATION)</b>	<i>Penetrating Offenses</i>				<i>Contact Offenses</i>						<b>FY22 Totals</b>
	Rape (Art 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Oct07-Jun12)	Sexual Assault (After Jun12) (Art. 120)	Forcible sodomy (Art 125)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (0ct07-Jun12) (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art 134) (Pre-FY08)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art 80)	Offense Code Data Not Available	
<b>D1.</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>505</b>
# Service Member on Service Member	28	1	27	1	11	53	0	0	2	50	173
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	3	0	2	1	3	6	0	0	0	6	21
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	7	0	4	2	1	3	0	0	0	9	26
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	2	1	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	4	12
# Relevant Data Not Available	37	0	28	1	11	33	0	1	3	159	273
<b>D2.</b>											
<b>TOTAL Service Member Victims in FY22 Reports</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>394</b>
# Service Member Victims: Female	55	1	54	3	17	75	0	0	5	127	337
# Service Member Victims: Male	8	1	4	1	3	15	0	1	0	24	57
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT MADE IN FY22</b>											
<b>D3. Time of sexual assault</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>505</b>
# Midnight to 6am	36	1	13	2	5	24	0	0	1	22	104
#6am to 6pm	7	1	19	0	10	31	0	0	0	21	89
# 6pm to midnight	27	0	28	3	9	34	0	1	2	40	144
# Unknown	7	0	3	0	2	9	0	0	2	20	43
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	125	125
<b>D4. Day of sexual assault</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>505</b>
# Sunday	9	1	7	0	5	7	0	0	0	15	44
# Monday	7	0	7	2	1	16	0	0	1	10	44
# Tuesday	10	0	8	0	3	9	0	0	2	7	39
# Wednesday	10	0	11	0	2	15	0	0	0	13	51
# Thursday	9	0	6	1	2	15	0	0	0	11	44
# Friday	12	0	8	1	7	8	0	1	1	24	62
# Saturday	20	1	16	1	6	28	0	0	1	25	98
# Relevant Data Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	123	123

ARMY FY20 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	
<b>A. FY22 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># TOTAL Victims initially making Restricted Reports</b>	<b>395</b>
# Service Member Victims making Restricted Reports	317
# Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report involving a Service Member Subject	4
# Relevant Data Not Available	71
<b># Total Victims who reported and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22*</b>	<b>44</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY20	37
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY20	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	7
<b># Total Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	<b>351</b>
# Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	280
# Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted	7
# Relevant Data Not Available	64
<b># Remaining Restricted Reports involving Service Members in the following categories</b>	<b>351</b>
# Service Member on Service Member	169
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	40
# Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	4
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	135
<b>B. INCIDENT DETAILS</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Reported sexual assaults occurring</b>	<b>351</b>
# On military installation	73
# Off military installation	109
# Unidentified location	13
# Relevant Data Not Available	156
<b>Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report</b>	<b>351</b>
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	16
# Reports made within 4 to 10 days after sexual assault	9
# Reports made within 11 to 30 days after sexual assault	9
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	49
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	144
# Relevant Data Not Available	124
<b>Time of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>351</b>
# Midnight to 6 am	52
# 6 am to 6 pm	50
# 6 pm to midnight	131
# Unknown	43
# Relevant Data Not Available	75
<b>Day of sexual assault incident</b>	<b>351</b>
# Sunday	37
# Monday	21
# Tuesday	20
# Wednesday	25
# Thursday	27
# Friday	37
# Saturday	60
# Relevant Data Not Available	124

<b>C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims</b>	<b>280</b>
# Army Victims	205
# Navy Victims	0
# Marines Victims	0
# Air Force Victims	75
# Coast Guard Victims	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY20 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>Gender of Victims</b>	<b>351</b>
# Male	47
# Female	240
# Relevant Data Not Available	64
<b>Age of Victims at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>351</b>
# 0-15	14
# 16-19	60
# 20-24	159
# 25-34	84
# 35-49	33
# 50-64	1
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Grade of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>280</b>
# E1-E4	148
# E5-E9	97
# WO1-WO5	4
# O1-O3	19
# O4-O10	10
# Cadet/Midshipman	1
# Academy Prep School Student	1
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>Status of Service Member Victims</b>	<b>280</b>
# Active Duty	17
# Reserve	5
# National Guard (Activated - Title 10)	74
# National Guard (Title 32)	127
# Relevant Data Not Available	57
<b>Victim Type</b>	<b>351</b>
# Service Member	280
# Non-Service Member	7
# Relevant Data Not Available	64
<b>E. RESTRICTED REPORTING FOR A SEXUAL ASSAULT THAT OCCURRED PRIOR TO JOINING SERVICE</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Service Member Victims making a Restricted Report for Incidents Occurring Prior to Military Service</b>	<b>15</b>
# Service Member Making A Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred Prior to Age 18	9
# Service Member Making a Restricted Report for an Incident that Occurred After Age 18	5
# Service Member Choosing Not to Specify	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	1
<b>F. RESTRICTED REPORTS CONVERSION DATA (DSAID USE ONLY)</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
Mean # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	51.7
Standard Deviation of the Mean For Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	57.98
Mode # of Days Taken to Change to Unrestricted	1
<b>G. TOTAL VICTIMS WHO REPORTED IN PRIOR YEARS AND CONVERTED FROM RESTRICTED REPORT TO UNRESTRICTED REPORT IN THE FY22</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>Total Victims who reported in prior years and converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in the FY22</b>	<b>27</b>
# Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	27
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY22	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
* The Restricted Reports are reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are counted in the total number of Unrestricted Reports listed in Worksheet 1a, Section A.	

NATIONAL GUARD FY22 SUPPORT SERVICES FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT			
<i>Note: Totals of referrals and military protective orders are for all activities during the reporting period, regardless of when the sexual assault report was made.</i>			
<b>A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO SERVICE MEMBERS VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:</b>			<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>			<b>394</b>
# Medical			67
# Mental Health			218
# Legal			183
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support			110
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate			182
# DoD Safe Helpline			76
# Other			34
# Rape Crisis Center			27
# Other (Blank)			136
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>			<b>33</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits were not offered</b>			<b>87</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>			<b>1</b>
<b># Military Victims making an Unrestricted Report for an incident that occurred prior to military service</b>			<b>17</b>
<b>B. FY22 MILITARY PROTECTIVE ORDERS (MPO)* AND EXPEDITED TRANSFERS - UNRESTRICTED REPORTS</b>			<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>
<b># Military Protective Orders issued during FY22</b>			<b>48</b>
<b># Reported MPO Violations in FY22</b>			<b>1</b>
# Reported MPO Violations by Subjects			1
# Reported MPO Violations by Victims of sexual assault			0
# Reported MPO Violations by Both			0
*In accordance with DoD Policy, Military Protective Orders are only issued in Unrestricted Reports. A Restricted Report cannot be made when there is a safety risk for the Victim.			Use the following categories or add a new category to identify the reason the requests were denied:
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault			14
# Unit/Duty expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied			0
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims of sexual assault			3
# Installation expedited transfer requests by Service Member Victims Denied			0
<b>C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS</b>			<b>FY22 TOTALS</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>			<b>280</b>
# Medical			71
# Mental Health			202
# Legal			114
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support			89
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate			143
# DoD Safe Helpline			74
# Other			35
# Rape Crisis Center			31
# Other (Blank)			65
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>			<b>8</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits were not offered</b>			<b>104</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>			<b>1</b>
			<b>Total Number Denied</b>
			<b>0</b>
			<b>Reasons for Disapproval (Total)</b>
			<b>0</b>
			One victim pending UCMJ action
			0
			One victim subject in a separate criminal investigation
			0
			One victim with no credible report determination of
			0

CIVILIAN DATA	
<b>D. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS (e.g., DOD CIVILIANS, DEPENDENTS, CONTRACTORS, ETC)</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>D1. # Non-Service Members in the following categories:</b>	<b>35</b>
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member	0
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	14
<b>D2. Gender of Non-Service Members</b>	<b>35</b>
# Male	5
# Female	30
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>D3. Age of Non-Service Members at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>35</b>
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	2
# 20-24	5
# 25-34	6
# 35-49	2
# 50-64	1
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	19
<b>D4. Non-Service Member Type</b>	<b>35</b>
# DoD Civilian	16
# DoD Contractor	1
# Other US Government Civilian	1
# US Civilian	17
# Foreign National	0
# Foreign Military	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>D5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Members in the following categories</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b># Support service referrals for Victims in the following categories</b>	<b>35</b>
# Medical	4
# Mental Health	17
# Legal	9
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	8
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	10
# DoD Safe Helpline	4
# Other	4
# Rape Crisis Center	5
# Other (Blank)	14
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>	<b>3</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits were not offered</b>	<b>8</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>1</b>

<b>E. FY20 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FROM NON-SERVICE MEMBERS</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
<b>E1. # Non-Service Member Victims making Restricted Report</b>	<b>7</b>
# Non-Service Member Victims who converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report in FY20	0
<b># Non-Service Member Victim reports remaining Restricted</b>	<b>7</b>
<b># Restricted Reports from Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories:</b>	<b>7</b>
# Non-Service Member on Non-Service Member (entitled to a RR by DoD Policy)	3
# Unidentified Subject or Undisclosed Affiliation on Non-Service Member	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E2. Gender of Non-Service Member Victims</b>	<b>7</b>
# Male	0
# Female	7
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E3. Age of Non-Service Member Victims at the Time of Incident</b>	<b>7</b>
# 0-15	0
# 16-19	1
# 20-24	3
# 25-34	2
# 35-49	1
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E4. VICTIM Type</b>	<b>7</b>
# Non-Service Member	7
# Relevant Data Not Available	0
<b>E5. # Support service referrals for Non-Service Member Victims in the following categories</b>	<b>FY22 Totals</b>
# Medical	0
# Mental Health	6
# Legal	1
# Chaplain/Spiritual Support	0
# Victim Advocate/Uniformed Victim Advocate	4
# DoD Safe Helpline	1
# Other	0
# Rape Crisis Center	1
# Other (Blank)	1
<b># Cases where SAFEs were conducted</b>	<b>0</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits were not offered</b>	<b>4</b>
<b># Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of Victim's exam</b>	<b>0</b>



# Annex 1: Men's SAPR Communication Campaign Department of Defense Safe Helpline Evaluation



## Table of Contents

---

Purpose .....	3
Key Findings .....	3
Methodology .....	6
Sample Inclusion.....	7
Limitations.....	8



## Purpose

---

Civilian and military research underscores the fact that men are far less likely to report an experience of sexual assault than women. In 2021, the Department of Defense (DoD) estimated that only 10 percent of active-duty men reported their experience of sexual assault to a DoD authority, compared to about 29 percent of active-duty women.<sup>1</sup>

To encourage greater access by men to resources and support, the DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (SAPRO) launched the Plan to Prevent and Respond to Sexual Assault of Military Men in 2016.<sup>2</sup> The Plan involved research that identified a need for outreach directed towards men who experience sexual assault. In Fiscal Year 2021 (FY21), SAPRO released a Men's Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Communication Campaign (hereafter referred to as the "Men's Campaign") to increase awareness that men are victims of sexual assault and to encourage men impacted by the crime to access available resources and support.

This evaluation attempts to quantify the impact of the Men's Campaign by reviewing a sample of usage data from the DoD Safe Helpline (SHL) from FY21 and FY22 and comparing use of the resource by gender before and after the launch of the Men's Campaign.

## Key Findings

---

### **The Amount of Sampled SHL Users Increased Following the Launch of the Men's Campaign**


Prior to the launch of the Men's Campaign, the SHL saw a decrease in use by all genders in the sample since Q2 FY21. The subsequent increase in sampled users of all genders aligns with the launch of the Men's Campaign during Q2 FY22. In addition, there is an overall increase associated with Men's Campaign social media push from Q1 to Q3 FY22. While the increase was most notable in the data sample among men and users of an undisclosed gender, the increase was also seen among women in Q2 to Q3 FY22. This can be seen in Figure 1, demonstrating an increase in sampled SHL users of all genders after the launch of the Men's Campaign.

---

<sup>1</sup> For more information, see the DoD Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military, FY 2021 available at [www.sapr.mil/reports](http://www.sapr.mil/reports).

<sup>2</sup> For more information on the DoD Plan to Prevent and Respond to Sexual Assault of Military Men, see [www.sapr.mil/mens-sapr-campaign](http://www.sapr.mil/mens-sapr-campaign).

**Figure 1. Total Count of Sample SHL Users by Gender from Quarter to Quarter**



\*Note: SHL data is reported at the end of each quarter.

SAPRO analyzed the change in percentage of sampled users each quarter and found a measurable increase in users who identify as men, women, and users of undisclosed gender from Q1 to Q2 FY22. These percent changes in SHL usage are shown in Table 1. From Q1 to Q2 (January to March 2022), SHL sampled usage increased 21 percent among men and 26 percent among users of an undisclosed gender. An even greater increase is apparent among sampled users from Q2 to Q3 FY22 (April to June 2022), as those who were men increased 34 percent and those who were of an undisclosed gender increased 41 percent.

Data for SHL sampled users who identify as women show a different trend than that of SHL users who identify as men or as an undisclosed gender. From Q1 to Q2 FY22 (January to March 2022), SHL sampled usage among women decreased 13.33 percent, whereas usage for both men and of undisclosed genders increased during that time. From Q2 to Q3 FY22 (April to June 2022), SHL sampled usage increased 17.32 percent among women. The sample of men and of users of an undisclosed gender also increased during this time and had a significantly greater increase than the sample of women (33.72 percent, 41.18 percent and 17.32 percent respectively). The NB/GNC population was first recorded in Q2 FY22 and given the small sample size saw a 400 percent decrease from Q2 to Q3 FY22.

**Table 1. Percent Changes in SHL Usage of the Sample from Q1 FY21 to Q3 FY22**

	% Change Q1 FY21 to Q2 FY21	% Change Q2 FY21 to Q3 FY21	% Change Q3 FY21 to Q4 FY21	% Change Q4 FY21 to Q1 FY22	% Change Q1 FY22 to Q2 FY22	% Change Q2 FY22 to Q3 FY22
Men (Online and Phone)	+16%	-9%	-55%	-22%	+21%	+34%
Women (Online and Phone)	+8%	-21%	-23%	-10%	-13%	+17%
Undisclosed Gender (Online and Phone)	+8%	-17%	-62%	-30%	+26%	+41%

Men's Campaign Launch →

### Most Sampled SHL Users Utilize the Online Chat Option and Do Not Disclose Their Gender

Figure 2 breaks down the SHL sample data by means of contact and gender. Most sampled users contact SHL through the online chat option, and do not disclose their gender. Sampled users of an undisclosed gender using the SHL online chat option also saw the most significant increase in count after the launch of the Men's Campaign.

The count for sampled men or women using the SHL online chat option, sampled men or women calling the SHL number, and sampled users of an undisclosed gender calling the SHL number are all significantly lower than sampled users of the SHL online chat option of an undisclosed gender.

**Figure 2. Count of Sample SHL Users by Gender and Means of Contact**





Figure 3 shows SHL online and phone sample usage broken down by gender in Q2 to Q3 FY22, the quarters following the launch of the Men’s Campaign. For sampled men and women, both ways of contacting the SHL are similarly utilized with slightly more people using the phone option. In contrast, a significant majority of sampled users of an undisclosed gender contact the SHL via the online chat option. The high use of the online chat option by those not disclosing their gender may speak to a greater sense of anonymity and comfort in accessing a resource where they are able to use text chat instead of a verbal option. As discussed in a previous section, men are less likely to report an experience of sexual assault than women due to male-specific stigma and myths surrounding sexual assault. Having the online chat option for general users not wanting to disclose their gender may make the SHL an accessible first step in receiving support for their experience of sexual assault for all members of the military.

**Figure 3. Gender Distribution of Sampled Online and Phone Sessions from Q2-Q3 FY22**



## Methodology

The SHL is an anonymous and confidential 24/7 hotline operated by the Rape, Abuse & Incest National Network (RAINN) through a contract with the Department of Defense, to assist members of the DoD community affected by sexual assault.<sup>3</sup> When a SHL staff member provides support to a user, the staff member logs the support provided and other information about the user in a session assessment tool. In the session assessment tool, the staff member selects the gender the user disclosed or selects “undisclosed” if the user did not disclose their gender. Assessment data are based on information that SHL users volunteer during a session. SHL staff do not solicit information from users for research purposes.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>3</sup> For more information on SHL, see [www.safehelpline.org](http://www.safehelpline.org).

<sup>4</sup> FY21 Safe Helpline Annual Report 1.31.22

SHL data is compiled quarterly to show users by gender, including users of an undisclosed gender.<sup>5</sup> SAPRO analyzed a sample of these data to compare the number of users before and after the launch of the Men's Campaign.

## Campaign Timeline

In January 2022, DoD launched the Men's Campaign among SAPR personnel. In February 2022, DoD launched the Campaign across the Military Services, including YouTube videos and opportunities for trainings. From May to September 2022, DoD released a social media push to increase exposure to the Men's Campaign. To align with quarterly SHL data, SAPRO defined the "Launch of the Men's Campaign" as occurring between Q1 FY22 and Q2 FY22.

## Sample Inclusion

---

During the timeframe of data collection, SHL staff utilized the session assessment tool to document all online and telephone sessions. Rigorous inclusion criteria were applied for analysis of session assessment data to ensure that cases analyzed provided adequate information. A number of conditions excluded session assessments from the sample. Throughout the findings below, the phrase "sampled users" describes the users included in the sample due to adequate data collection by SHL staff in the session assessment tool.

Conditions that excluded a session from the sample include:

- Sessions where it is clear there is no military affiliation for the user, victim or alleged perpetrator<sup>6</sup>
- Sessions based on simple referral or off target contacts<sup>7</sup>
- Incomplete sessions due to users calling the wrong number or abrupt/early disconnections before 10 minutes<sup>8</sup>
- Online chat sessions where the user never spoke, joined, or was labeled inactive<sup>9</sup>
- Prank calls<sup>10</sup>
- Sessions that were incoherent or impossible to understand<sup>11</sup>
- Sessions where the user was "just curious"<sup>12</sup>

The following Key Findings section is based on findings from the sample, not findings from all SHL sessions. The sample only represents a percentage of SHL users, and therefore may not

---

<sup>5</sup> Although non-binary and gender nonconforming (NB/GNC) selections were included as options in the SHL session assessment tool in FY22, DoD SAPRO cannot measure the impact of the Men's Campaign on NB/GNC user engagement as NB/GNC data was not collected prior to the Men's Campaign launch. The impact of the Men's Campaign on NB/GNC populations may be different than the impact on users who are men or women. Prior to the creation of the NB/GNC option in the SHL log in FY22, SHL staff may have selected the "undisclosed gender" option for NB/GNC users if those users did not disclose their gender. Therefore, responses and analysis results for the "undisclosed gender" category are unclear.

<sup>6</sup> SHL FY22\_Q1\_Brief 3.15.22

<sup>7</sup> FY22 Safe Helpline Annual Report 1.31.23

<sup>8</sup> Ibid

<sup>9</sup> Ibid

<sup>10</sup> Ibid

<sup>11</sup> Ibid

<sup>12</sup> Ibid

accurately represent the impact of the Men's Campaign on SHL usage or on service members more broadly.

## Limitations

---

To evaluate the effectiveness of the Men's Campaign, this report focused on a sample of user data from the SHL based on gender and methods of contacting SHL. The sample only represents a percentage of SHL users, and therefore may not accurately represent the impact of the Men's Campaign on SHL usage. DoD SAPRO is also not able to identify a direct correlation between the two factors.

Another important limitation to note is the lack of trending data for NB/GNC individuals accessing SHL.

While there was a measurable increase in sampled users following the launch of the Men's Campaign, it is not possible to isolate the Men's Campaign as the only factor or cause of increased users. Regarding the specific initiatives within the Men's Campaign schedule, the impact of the social media push on SHL data is unclear because its date range spanned from Q1 to Q3 FY22. Other factors, such as improved training for leadership to spread awareness on resources or current events that increased awareness of sexual assault, could also impact the number of users. The SHL data is also a DoD-wide perspective, which obscures differences between the Military Services.

# Annex 2: Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military Implementation Update



# Table of Contents

---

Implementation Status Update ..... 2  
Implementation Way Forward ..... 13



## Implementation Status Update

The Department of Defense (DoD) is taking unprecedented action to implement the historic reforms recommended by the Independent Review Commission on Sexual Assault in the Military (IRC) as approved by Secretary of Defense Lloyd Austin III to prevent and respond to sexual assault in the military. The main body of this year's Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military documents key actions the Department took in Fiscal Year 2022 (FY22) to implement the IRC recommendations wherever possible. This annex provides an implementation status update.

As of March 2023, significant implementation action was undertaken for 21 approved IRC recommendations (Table 1). The implementation of many more recommendations is underway or will begin this calendar year.

**Table 1. Approved IRC Recommendations and Actions**

Count	Recommendation	Implementation Action
1	<b>1.4:</b> Professionalized career billets for military justice personnel handling special victim crimes.	The Military Departments updated their implementation plans in light of the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for FY22 and the Secretary of Defense's section 532 policy guidance for the Offices of the Special Trial Counsel.
2	<b>1.5:</b> Judge-alone sentencing in all noncapital general and special courts-martial and establishment of sentencing parameters.	President signed "Memorandum on the Prescription of Method of Designating a Member of the Military Sentencing Parameters and Criteria Board" on June 21, 2022. Additional action pending.
3	<b>1.7.f:</b> Article 128b of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ) should be amended to include dating violence.	DoD submitted a legislative proposal to amend the UCMJ in accordance with DoD Directive (DoDD) 5500.01, "Preparing, Processing, and Coordinating Legislation, Executive Orders, Proclamations, Views Letters, and Testimony." Additional action pending.
4	<b>2.1.a:</b> The Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (USD(P&R)) should define the competencies leaders must have to oversee prevention.	DoD Instruction (DoDI) 6400.11 "Primary Prevention Policy for Prevention Workforce and Leaders," published on December 20, 2022, defined competencies.
5	<b>2.2.a:</b> USD(P&R) should develop a model for a dedicated and capable prevention workforce.	The Prevention Workforce Model was signed by USD(P&R) on June 13, 2022.
6	<b>2.2.b:</b> USD(P&R) should develop a professional credential for the prevention workforce.	DoDI 6400.11 "Primary Prevention Policy for Prevention Workforce and Leaders" defined the professional credential for the prevention workforce. In September 2022, a credentialing entity was identified and funded.
7	<b>2.6.b:</b> USD(P&R), the Services, and the NGB should continually review and update all policies that unnecessarily restrict data	DoDI 6400.11 "Primary Prevention Policy for Prevention Workforce and Leaders" was published, and the Services and the NGB evaluated and updated policies in

	collection on important populations of Service members.	accordance with the DoDI. This instruction now addresses and alleviates restrictions on data collection on important populations of Service members.
8	<b>2.8:</b> USD(P&R) should update the Department's prevention strategy, including the DoD Prevention Plan of Action (PPoA), to incorporate approved IRC recommendations.	PPoA 2.0 signed by USD(P&R) on May 27, 2022.
9	<b>4.3.c:</b> Allow survivors flexibility to take non-chargeable time off for seeking services or time for recovery from sexual assault.	"Clarification of Convalescent Leave Policy for Service Members Recovering from Sexual Assault" was signed October 20, 2022.
10	<b>4.3.e:</b> Study the methods our allies have used to make amends to survivors, including restorative engagement to acknowledge harm, and potential victim compensation.	Completed.
11	<b>4.4.a:</b> Establish a Defense Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment Center of Excellence that administers a core curriculum of trauma and response trainings for all Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Victim Advocates (SAPR VAs) and Sexual Assault and Response Coordinators (SARCs), chaplains, and other response personnel.	Completed.
12	<b>CC 1:</b> DoD should immediately make sexual harassment victims eligible for SAPR services and undertake a review of all policies and structures tasked with addressing elements of the military's sexual harassment response.	Memorandum signed by USD(P&R) on September 14, 2022, made sexual harassment victims eligible for SAPR services if requested. Review of policies is ongoing.
13	<b>CC.3.a:</b> Designated independent judge advocates should replace commanders in deciding whether a charge should be tried by a court-martial and, if so, whether by a special or general court-martial (i.e., the referral decision) in domestic violence cases.	Lead Special Trial Counsel have been Senate confirmed and designated pursuant to the NDAA for FY 2022. Additional action pending.
14	<b>CC.3.b:</b> DoD should establish a mechanism to track prevalence of domestic abuse/intimate partner related sexual assault by collecting information on the victim-perpetrator relationship in the <i>Workplace and Gender Relations Surveys of Active-Duty Members (WGRA)</i> , and <i>Workplace and Gender Relations Surveys of Reserve Component Members (WGRR)</i> .	The 2021 <i>WGR</i> survey was published and incorporated the ability to estimate the prevalence of intimate partner-related unwanted sexual contact incidents as a form of intimate partner violence.
15	<b>CC.3.c:</b> USD(P&R) should immediately publish the reissuance of DoDI 6400.06,	DoDI 6400.06, "DoD Coordinated Community Response to Domestic Abuse Involving DoD Military and Certain Affiliated

	"Domestic Abuse Involving DoD Military and Certain Affiliated Personnel."	Personnel" and associated policy clarification memo published December 15, 2021.
16	<b>CC.4.b:</b> DoD should require the collection of data regarding sexual orientation and gender identity on the <i>WGRA</i> and <i>WGRR</i> .	Completed.
17	<b>CC.4.c:</b> DoD should ensure the <i>WGRA</i> and <i>WGRR</i> publish both past-year prevalence, prior to joining the military prevalence, and lifetime prevalence of sexual assault by race and ethnicity, sexual orientation, and gender identity.	Completed.
18	<b>CC.4.d:</b> DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (SAPRO) should work with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Division of Violence Prevention to request that future reports of the military supplement to the <i>National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey (NISVS)</i> include a breakdown of past-year and lifetime prevalence by race/ethnicity.	Completed.
19	<b>CC.4.e:</b> DoD should ensure the <i>WGRA</i> and <i>WGRR</i> publish sexual harassment prevalence data by race and ethnicity, sexual orientation, and gender identity.	Completed.
20	<b>CC.4.h:</b> DoD should require the collection of data regarding sexual orientation and gender identity on the <i>Workplace and Equal Opportunity Survey of Active Duty (WEOA)</i> and the <i>Workplace and Equal Opportunity Survey Reserve Component Members (WEOR)</i> .	Completed.
21	<b>CC.4.i:</b> DoD should ensure the <i>Workplace and Equal Opportunity</i> surveys of military members publish past-year prevalence rates for racial/ethnic harassment by gender identity and sexual orientation.	Completed.

As of March 2023, implementation of the remaining approved recommendations is underway or will begin this calendar year. One IRC recommendation is directed to Congress; therefore, the Department cannot provide a status for that recommendation. One recommendation (2.7.b) is under consideration by the Department.<sup>1</sup> Tables 2 through 6 provide a status update organized by Line of Effort (LOE). The LOEs focus on accountability, prevention, culture and climate, victim care and support, and cross cutting recommendations, respectively.

---

<sup>1</sup> Recommendation 2.7.b: USD(P&R) should submit a legislative proposal providing authorization and funding for the NGB to conduct recurring National Guard unit inspections and staff assistance visits for prevention oversight and assistance.

Based on timelines outlined in the Department’s Implementation Roadmap signed by the Secretary of Defense on September 22, 2021, all approved recommendations will be implemented by FY30. Tier one approved recommendations are estimated to be implemented by FY27. Approved recommendations in tiers two and three are estimated to be implemented by FY28. Tier four approved recommendations are estimated to be implemented by FY30.

**Table 2. Status of Approved IRC Recommendations, LOE 1: Accountability**

Count	Recommendation	Action	Status
1	<b>1.1:</b> Establishment of Offices of Special Victims Prosecutors and removing prosecution of sexual assaults and related crimes out of the military chain of command.	The Military Departments established the Offices of Special Trial Counsel, issued initial training and educational policies, and assigned Lead Special Trial Counsel. The Military Departments will submit implementation plans to SASC (Senate Committee on Armed Services) and House Armed Services Committee (HASC) as required by section 531 of NDAA for FY 2022.	On track.
2	<b>1.2:</b> Independent, trained investigators for sexual harassment and mandatory initiation of involuntary separation for all substantiated complaints.	The Services and NGB have begun appointing Investigating Officers outside of the command where the victim alleged a complaint.	On track.
3	<b>1.3:</b> Study of judge-ordered Military Protective Orders for victims of sexual assault and related offenses.	A study is underway.	On track.
4	<b>1.6:</b> Expedite processing of proposed Executive Orders (EO) regarding military justice, including those currently awaiting issuance.	DoD actions for this recommendation are completed.	Pending.
5	<b>1.7.a and b:</b> The Military Justice Review Panel (MJRP) has been directed to study Article 32, Preliminary Hearings. The MJRP has been directed to study Article 34, Advice to	Ongoing.	Pending.

	Convening Authority Before Referral to Trial.		
6	<b>1.7.c:</b> JSC on Military Justice has drafted a proposed EO to establish a preponderance of the evidence standard for non-judicial punishment.	Ongoing.	Pending.
7	<b>1.7.d:</b> The method of selection of court-martial panel members should be revised.	Ongoing.	Pending.
8	<b>1.7.e:</b> The Secretary of Defense should direct the Services to establish funding appropriate for defense counsel control of their own resources.	Ongoing.	DoD action to implement is on track.
9	<b>1.8:</b> Study caseloads to attain the optimum timeline for the military justice process.	Planning for study is underway.	On track.

**Table 3. Status of Approved IRC Recommendations, LOE 2: Prevention**

Count	Recommendation	Action	Status
1	<b>2.1.b:</b> The Services and the NGB should develop and hold leaders appropriately accountable for prevention.	Ongoing.	On track.
2	<b>2.1.c:</b> The Services and the NGB should equip all leaders to develop and deliver informed prevention messages in formal and informal settings.	Ongoing.	On track.
3	<b>2.2.c:</b> The Services should determine the optimum full-time prevention workforce, and equip all echelons of active duty, reserve, and guard organizations.	The Department adapted the Prevention Workforce Model for each component to determine staffing needs, and the Services and NGB developed position descriptions based on the model. Hiring is underway.	On track.
4	<b>2.3.a:</b> The Services and the NGB should resource and implement prevention strategies at organizational and community levels.	DoD partnered with CDC to develop guidance to support implementation of this recommendation.	On track.

5	<b>2.3.b:</b> USD(P&R) should identify a non-clinical Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD)-level Office of Primary Responsibility (OPR) for alcohol policy and develop relevant policy guidance and oversight.	Ongoing.	On track.
6	<b>2.4:</b> Modernize prevention education and skill-building to reflect today's generation of Service members.	Ongoing.	On track.
7	<b>2.5.a:</b> The Services and the NGB should institute a pilot program to link Service members with resources and support.	Implementation of the pilot programs is underway.	On track.
8	<b>2.5.b:</b> The Services and the NGB should employ virtual platforms to provide support to all Service members.	Ongoing.	On track.
9	<b>2.6.a:</b> DoD should establish a dedicated research center for the primary prevention of interpersonal and self-directed violence.	DoD is working with CDC and Library of Congress to conduct research projects identified in the FY23 Integrated Prevention Research agenda.	On track.
10	<b>2.6.c:</b> The Secretary of Defense should immediately authorize operational testing of the Air Force Compatibility Assessment, or similar tool, with a cross-Service pre-accession sample, allowing for important research and intervention development.	Research to inform implementation is underway.	On track.
11	<b>2.6.d:</b> USD(P&R) should commission research on gender and masculinities to develop effective social marketing strategies to facilitate primary prevention efforts.	Study is underway.	On track.
12	<b>2.7.a:</b> The NGB should develop Army National Guard and Air National Guard prevention strategies aligned with DoD's PPOA based on the National	NGB has published a National Guard Prevention Strategy. Additional actions are ongoing.	On track.

	Guard's unique construct and missions.		
--	--	--	--

**Table 4. Status of Approved IRC Recommendations, LOE 3: Culture and Climate**

Count	Recommendation	Action	Status
1	<b>3.1:</b> USD(P&R) should codify in policy and direct the development and implementation of metrics related to sexual harassment and sexual assault as part of readiness tracking and reporting.	OUSD(P&R) is conducting a study to inform this recommendation.	On track.
2	<b>3.2:</b> USD(P&R) should direct the Services and the NGB to educate the force about sexual harassment and sexual assault within the context of the Services' core values.	DoDI 6495.02 Vol 2 directs Services to implement this action.	On track.
3	<b>3.3.a:</b> Collect data to measure the problem of cyber harassment (and related harms).	Study is underway.	On track.
4	<b>3.3.b:</b> Educate leaders on cyber harassment and technology-facilitated sexual harassment and sexual assault.	Training content development is underway.	On track.
5	<b>3.3.c:</b> Hold Service members appropriately accountable who engage in cyber harassment and other forms of technology-facilitated sexual harassment and sexual assault.	Ongoing.	On track.
6	<b>3.4.a:</b> Elevate and standardize the gender advisor workforce.	Ongoing.	On track.
7	<b>3.4.b:</b> Use qualitative data as part of indicators for Defense Objective One of the Women Peace and Security (WPS) Strategic Framework.	Ongoing.	On track.
8	<b>3.4.c:</b> Integrate a gender analysis into the military's planning & operational frameworks.	Ongoing.	On track.
9	<b>3.4.d:</b> Review and revise Professional Military Education (PME) and DoD	Ongoing.	On track.

	schoolhouse curricula to mainstream WPS priorities.		
10	<b>3.4.e:</b> Congress should support DoD's inclusion of Personnel & Readiness in WPS implementation and codify in legislation.	Directed to Congress.	N/A
11	<b>3.5.a:</b> Use qualitative data to select and develop the right leaders.	Use of qualitative data to select and develop leaders is ongoing.	On track.
12	<b>3.5.b:</b> Include a meaningful narrative section in performance evaluations for officers and Non-Commissioned Officers (NCOs).	Narrative content development for performance evaluations is underway.	On track.
13	<b>3.6:</b> Building a climate for the reduction of sexual harassment and sexual assault as a fundamental leader development requirement.	Ongoing.	On track.
14	<b>3.7.a:</b> USD(P&R) should develop a standardized "pulse survey" tool that would enable unit-level commanders to collect real-time climate data on sexual harassment and sexual assault from Service members in their units between required administrations of the <i>Defense Organizational Climate Survey (DEOCS)</i> .	DoDI 6400.11 codifies the requirement for the pulse survey. Service implementation is ongoing.	On track.
15	<b>3.7.b:</b> The Secretary of Defense should direct the Services and the NGB to develop a formal system to share climate survey data at the unit level and initiate and evaluate corrective action plans.	Ongoing.	On track.
16	<b>3.7.c:</b> USD(P&R) should accelerate efforts to develop a validated "Climate Benchmark" to measure healthy and unhealthy climate at the unit level.	Data analysis to inform implementation is underway.	On track.
17	<b>3.7.d:</b> The Secretary of Defense should assess	Study is underway.	On track.



	whether current DoD policies, relevant components, and the Service-level Equal Opportunity workforce have the capacity to help commanders resolve climate issues.		
18	<b>3.8:</b> The Services and the NGB should publish the nature and results of all disciplinary actions related to sexual misconduct and disseminate this information to troops periodically.	Ongoing.	On track.

**Table 5. Status of IRC Recommendations, LOE 4: Victim Care and Support**

Count	Recommendation	Action	Status
1	<b>4.1.a:</b> Move SARCs and SAPR VAs from the command reporting structure.	The Services and the NGB conducted a SAPR Workforce Study and analyzed requirements to move SARCs and SAPR VAs from the command reporting structure.	On track.
2	<b>4.1.b:</b> Eliminate collateral duty for SARCs and SAPR VAs, with exceptions for ships, submarines, and isolated installations.	Ongoing.	On track.
3	<b>4.1.c:</b> Explore the co-location of SAPR and Sexual Harassment/ Assault Response Program (SHARP) with other special victim services, such as Family Advocacy Program (FAP), to improve coordination, collaboration, and consistency in victim support.	Ongoing.	On track.
4	<b>4.1.d:</b> The Secretary of Defense will ensure victims can receive forensic healthcare evidence collection and appropriate medical care in all locations, including in deployed and isolated environments. Medical personnel in	Ongoing.	On track.

	deployed and isolated environments where law enforcement personnel are not immediately available will be trained in non-intrusive techniques to help victims identify and preserve evidence to avoid loss.		
5	<b>4.2.a:</b> Increase access to and visibility of civilian community-based care.	Ongoing.	On track.
6	<b>4.2.b:</b> DoD will explore whether allowing Service members full access to Veterans Affairs (VA) services, without a referral is feasible, mitigates stigma associated with help seeking, and does not adversely impact the Services' ability to receive readiness-impacting feedback from VA health care providers.	Data sharing agreement established with the Veterans Benefits Administration. Additional implementation action is ongoing.	On track.
7	<b>4.2.c:</b> Expand access to Catch a Serial Offender Program (CATCH) to include victims of sexual harassment and enable Service members to self-service access to CATCH.	Study underway to inform implementation.	On track.
8	<b>4.2.d:</b> Create survivor-led peer support programs that allow for in-person, virtual, and telephone interaction.	Research on peer support programs to inform implementation.	On track.
9	<b>4.2.e:</b> Amplify victims' rights and services in the post-trial period.	Ongoing.	On track.
10	<b>4.3.a:</b> Implement the No Wrong Door approach to sexual harassment, sexual assault, and domestic abuse across the Services and the NGB.	Ongoing.	On track.
11	<b>4.3.b:</b> Institute a "Commander's Package" from the SAPR VA with recommendations for victim care and support.	Ongoing.	On track.
12	<b>4.3.d:</b> Increase victim agency and control of the response process by:	Ongoing.	On track.

	maximizing adherence to survivor preference on reporting status, and centering survivor preferences in expedited transfers.		
13	<b>4.4.b:</b> Develop training to build the capacity of SARCs and SAPR VAs to provide culturally competent care to Service members from communities of color, LGBTQ+ Service members, religious minorities, and men.	Training development is underway.	On track.
14	<b>4.4.c:</b> Revise and update training modules on appropriate response to sexual assault and sexual harassment in Professional Military Education (PME) for officers and non-commissioned officers (NCOs).	Training updates are underway.	On track.
15	<b>4.4.d:</b> Use an action research model to identify root problems, test interventions, and create best practices with survivors' input.	Ongoing.	On track.

**Table 6. Status of IRC Recommendations, Cross-Cutting**

Count	Recommendation	Action	Status
1	<b>CC.2:</b> DoD must undertake a comprehensive approach to professionalizing, strengthening, and resourcing the workforce for SAPR across the board.	Services and the NGB completed workforce studies.	On track.
2	<b>CC.4.a:</b> DoD should require the collection of data regarding sexual orientation and gender identity in DSAID.	Ongoing.	On track.
3	<b>CC.4.f:</b> DoD should evaluate ways to better collect data, via existing DoD-wide surveys, on the role of race/ethnicity on the experience of sexual harassment (i.e., racialized	Ongoing.	On track.

	sexual harassment) in the military.		
4	<b>CC.4.g:</b> DoD should require the Services and the NGB to publish data for all sexual harassment complaints.	Assessment regarding capabilities needed to facilitate collection and publication of this data is underway.	On track.
5	<b>CC.4.j:</b> DoD should evaluate ways to better collect data, via existing DoD-wide surveys, on the role of gender in the experience of racial/ethnic harassment and discrimination in the military.	Study is underway.	On track.
6	<b>CC.4.k and CC.4.l:</b> DoD should commission qualitative research to better understand the experiences of racial/ethnic minority service women and their perceptions of climate, attitudes and experiences with sexual assault and sexual harassment, and gender and racial discrimination.  DoD SAPRO should dedicate a segment of its iterations of the Military Service Gender Relations (MSGR) Focus Groups to understanding the experiences of racial and ethnic minority service members and survivors.	Ongoing.	On track.
7	<b>CC.5:</b> The Secretary of Defense should establish a Senior Policy Advisor for Special Victims. The Senior Policy Advisor should be supported by the new position of the DoD Special Victim Advocate.	Ongoing.	On track.

## Implementation Way Forward

OUSD (P&R) will continue to collaborate with the Military Departments, Military Services, NGB, and Office of the Secretary of Defense Components to implement all recommendations as approved by the Secretary of Defense.